Dear Mr. Checketts:

I am writing to inform you that the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) is granting Utah final approval of its Statewide Transition Plan (STP) to bring settings into compliance with the federal home and community-based services (HCBS) regulations found at 42 CFR Section 441.301(c)(4)(5) and Section 441.710(a)(1)(2). Upon receiving initial approval for completion of its systemic assessment and outline of systemic remediation activities on April 5, 2017, the state worked diligently in making a series of technical changes requested by CMS in order to achieve final approval.

Final approval is granted to the state after completing the following activities:

- Conducted a comprehensive site-specific assessment and validation of all settings serving individuals receiving Medicaid-funded HCBS, and included in the STP the outcomes of these activities and proposed remediation strategies to rectify any issues uncovered through the site specific assessment and validation processes by the end of the transition period.
- Outlined a detailed plan for identifying settings that are presumed to have institutional characteristics, including qualities that isolate HCBS beneficiaries, as well as the proposed process for evaluating these settings and preparing for submission to CMS for review under heightened scrutiny;
- Developed a process for communicating with beneficiaries who are currently receiving services in settings that the state has determined cannot or will not come into compliance with the home and community-based settings criteria by March 17, 2022; and
- Established ongoing monitoring and quality assurance processes that will ensure all settings providing HCBS continue to remain fully compliant with the rule in the future.

After reviewing the STP submitted by the state on April 9, 2019, CMS provided additional feedback on May 2, 2019 and requested several technical changes be made to the STP in order for the state to receive final approval. These changes did not necessitate another public comment period. The state subsequently addressed all issues and resubmitted an updated version on June 5, 2019. A summary of the technical changes made by the state is attached.
The state is encouraged to work collaboratively with CMS to identify any areas that may need strengthening with respect to the state’s remediation and heightened scrutiny processes as the state implements each of these key elements of the transition plan. Optional quarterly reports through the milestone tracking system, designed to assist states to track their transition processes, will focus on four key areas:

1. Reviewing progress made to-date in the state’s completion of its proposed milestones;
2. Discussing challenges and potential strategies for addressing issues that may arise during the state’s remediation processes;
3. Adjusting the state’s process as needed to assure that all sites meeting the regulation’s categories of presumed institutional settings\(^1\) have been identified, reflects how the state has assessed settings based on each of the three categories and the state’s progress in preparing submissions to CMS for a heightened scrutiny review; and
4. Providing feedback to CMS on the status of implementation, including noting any challenges with respect to capacity building efforts and technical support needs.

It is important to note that CMS’ approval of a STP solely addresses the state’s compliance with the applicable Medicaid authorities. CMS’ approval does not address the state’s independent and separate obligations under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act or the Supreme Court’s *Olmstead v. LC* decision. Guidance from the Department of Justice concerning compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and the *Olmstead* decision is available at: [http://www.ada.gov/olmstead/q&a_olmstead.htm](http://www.ada.gov/olmstead/q&a_olmstead.htm).

This letter does not convey approval of any settings submitted to CMS for heightened scrutiny review, but does convey approval of the state’s process for addressing that issue. Any settings that have been or will be submitted by the state under heightened scrutiny will be reviewed and a determination made separate and distinct from the final approval.

Thank you for your work on this STP. CMS appreciates the state’s effort in completing this work and congratulates the state for continuing to make progress on its transition to ensure all settings are in compliance with the federal home and community-based services regulations.

Sincerely,

/S/

Ralph F. Lollar, Director
Division of Long Term Services and Supports

---

\(^1\) CMS describes heightened scrutiny as being required for three types of presumed institutional settings: 1) Settings located in a building that is also a publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment; 2) Settings in a building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution; 3) Any other setting that has the effect of isolating individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS from the broader community of individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.
SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO THE STP MADE BY THE STATE OF UTAH AS REQUESTED BY CMS IN ORDER TO RECEIVE FINAL APPROVAL
(Detailed list of technical changes made to the STP since April 9, 2019)

Public Input
- Clarified in the plan the most recent public input section. (Appendix p. 96)
- Ensured public comments were fully addressed.

Site-Specific Assessment & Validation Activities
- Clarified that the administrative code in regard to licensing for Adult Foster Care was included in the systemic assessment for review; however, Adult Foster Care is not a waiver service and HCBS are not provided in those settings. The state chose to align the Adult Foster Care service with the settings rule.
- Clarified the residential and non-residential self-assessment results have been validated not only through desk reviews but also on-site reviews. On-site reviews included observation along with interviews/surveys of participants and staff, and document and policy reviews on a statistically valid stratified random sample of settings. (Appendix p. 59)
- Clarified the initial validation results for settings that will be receiving additional reviews. (Appendix p.61-64)

Site-Specific Remedial Actions
- Indicated the additional steps the state will be taking to build capacity to increase non-disability specific settings. (p. 16)
- Clarified how individuals in non-compliant settings will be assisted to transition to compliant settings. (p. 13)

Ongoing Monitoring
- Clarified that all HCBS settings criteria will be assessed in the ongoing monitoring process. (p. 13)

Heightened Scrutiny
- Clarified the estimates for settings requiring heightened scrutiny. (Appendix p. 63)