FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

Preamble

Section 2108(a) and Section 2108(e) of the Social Security Act (the Act) provide that each state and territory* must assess the operation of its state child health plan in each federal fiscal year and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the federal fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the state must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children. The state is out of compliance with CHIP statute and regulations if the report is not submitted by January 1. The state is also out of compliance if any section of this report relevant to the state's program is incomplete.

The framework is designed to:

- Recognize the diversity of state approaches to CHIP and allow states flexibility to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their CHIP programs, AND
- Provide consistency across states in the structure, content, and format of the report, AND
- Build on data already collected by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, AND
- Enhance **accessibility** of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI

The CHIP Annual Report Template System (CARTS) is organized as follows:

- Section I: Snapshot of CHIP Programs and Changes
- Section II: Program's Performance Measurement and Progress
- Section III: Assessment of State Plan and Program Operation
- Section IV: Program Financing for State Plan
- Section V: Program Challenges and Accomplishments
 - * When "state" is referenced throughout this template it is defined as either a state or a territory.

*<u>Disclosure</u>. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0938-1148. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 40 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, write to: CMS, 7500 Security Blvd., Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

DO NOT CERTIFY YOUR REPORT UNTIL ALL SECTIONS ARE COMPLETE.

State/Territory:	OK	
Na	ame of State/Territor	у
The following Annual Report is submitt (Section 2108(a) and Section 2108(e))		th Title XXI of the Social Security Act
Signature: Rebecca Pasternik-Ik	ard	
CHIP Program Name(s): All, Oklah	ıoma	
CHIP Program Type:		
☐ CHIP Medicaid Expansion C☐ Separate Child Health Progr☑ Combination of the above	-	
Reporting Period: 2017 (Note: Fede	eral Fiscal Year 2017	starts 10/1/2016 and ends 9/30/2017)
Contact Person/Title: Reginald (Re	ggie) Mason Sr	. Research Analyst
Address: 4345 N. Lincoln Blvd.		
City: Oklahoma City	State: oĸ	Zip: <u>73015</u>
Phone: <u>405-522-7773</u>	Fax: <u>405-</u>	-530-3230
Email: reggie.mason@okhca.org	_	
Submission Date: 12/29/2017		

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1st of each year)

Section I. Snapshot of CHIP Program and Changes

1)	To provide a summary at-a-glance of your CHIP program, please provide the following information. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table.								
	☐ Provide an assurance that your state's CHIP program eligibility criteria as set forth in the CHIP state plan in section 4, inclusive of PDF pages related to Modified Adjusted Gross Income eligibility, is accurate as of the date of this report.								
	Please note that the numbers in brackets, e.g., [500] are character limits in the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Annual Report Template System (CARTS). You will not be able to enter responses with characters greater than the limit indicated in the brackets.								
	Upper % of FPL		Expansion Program) fields are defined as <u>Up</u>	to and Including					
Do	es your program requi	re premiums or an enro	ollment fee? 🗌 NO 🔀 Y	YES N/A					
Pre	rollment fee amount: emium fee amount: oremiums are tiered by	/ FPL, please breakout	by FPL.						
	Premium Amount Premium From % of FPL Up to % of FPL								
			From % of FPL	Up to % of FPL					
	emium Amount om (\$)	Premium Amount To (\$)	From % of FPL	Up to % of FPL					
			From % of FPL	Up to % of FPL					
			From % of FPL	Up to % of FPL					
			From % of FPL	Up to % of FPL					
Ye	om (\$) arly Maximum Premiu		y: \$	Up to % of FPL					
Ye If p	om (\$) arly Maximum Premiu	Amount To (\$)	y: \$	Up to % of FPL Up to % of FPL					
Ye If p	arly Maximum Premiuns are tiered by	Amount To (\$) um Amount per Family FPL, please breakout Premium	y: \$ by FPL.						
Ye If p	arly Maximum Premiuns are tiered by	Amount To (\$) um Amount per Family FPL, please breakout Premium	y: \$ by FPL.						

If yes, briefly explain fee structure: [500]							
Which delivery system(s ☐ Managed Care ☐ Primary Care Case M ☐ Fee for Service		ise?					
Please describe which great	oups receive which de	livery system: [500]					
Separate Child Health Program Upper % of FPL (federal poverty level) fields are defined as Up to and Including Does your program require premiums or an enrollment fee? ☐ NO ☒ YES ☐ N/A Enrollment fee amount: Premium fee amount: If premiums are tiered by FPL, please breakout by FPL.							
Premium Amount From (\$)	Premium Amount To (\$)	From % of FPL	Up to % of FPL				
Yearly Maximum Premium Amount per Family: \$ If premiums are tiered by FPL, please breakout by FPL.							
Premium Amount From (\$)	Premium Amount To (\$)	From % of FPL	Up to % of FPL				

If yes, briefly explain fee structure: [500]

Insure Oklahoma ESI Populations enrollment fee and premium amount is based on income but is not to exceed 3% of the employees income or 5% of the total household income for members whose income is between 186% and 200% of FPL

Which delivery system(s) does your program use?
☑ Managed Care☐ Primary Care Case Management☑ Fee for Service

Please describe which groups receive which delivery system: [500] IO ESI Dependents are enrolled in various health plans through their parent's employers so they may be in Managed Care (HMO's). IO ESI adults receive Managed Care, IO ESI dependent children receive Managed Care

2) Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during the reporting period? Please indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking the appropriate column.

For FFY 2017, please include <u>only</u> the program changes that are in addition to and/or beyond those required by the Affordable Care Act.

Medicoid

		Medicaid Expansion CHIP Program			_	Separate Child Health Program		
		Yes	No Change	N/A	_	Yes	No Change	N/A
a)	Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)							
b)	Application						\boxtimes	
c)	Benefits						\boxtimes	
d)	Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)		\boxtimes				\boxtimes	
e)	Crowd out policies		\boxtimes				\boxtimes	
f)	Delivery system						\boxtimes	
g)	Eligibility determination process						\boxtimes	
h)	Implementing an enrollment freeze and/or cap						\boxtimes	
i)	Eligibility levels / target population						\boxtimes	
j)	Eligibility redetermination process		\boxtimes				\boxtimes	
k)	Enrollment process for health plan selection							

Senarate

1)	Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)			\boxtimes			\boxtimes	
m)	Premium assistance	assistance			\boxtimes	\boxtimes		
n)	Prenatal care eligibility expansion (Sections 457.10, 457.350(b)(2), 457.622(c)(5), and 457.626(a)(3) as described in the October 2, 2002 Final Rule)			\boxtimes			\boxtimes	
o)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" children			\boxtimes			\boxtimes	
p)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" pregnant wom	en		\boxtimes			\boxtimes	
q)	Pregnant Women state plan expansion			\boxtimes			\boxtimes	
r)				\boxtimes				
s)	Other – please specify							
	a.							
	b.							
	c.							
	For each topic you responded "yes" to abomade, below: Medicaid.	ove, please explain the Expansion CHIP Program		e and why	the char	nge was		
7	Topic	List change and why the		e was made)			
(Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)							
) .	Application							
:)]	Benefits	The amount of individual, group and family psychotherapy reimbursable by SoonerCare in Outpatient Behavioral Health Agencies were reduced to 4 units of individual, 6 units of group, and 4 units of family.						
	Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)							
e) (Crowd out policies							
)	Delivery system							

	Topic	List change and why the change was made
g)	Eligibility determination process	
h)	Implementing an enrollment freeze and/or cap	
i)	Eligibility levels / target population	
j)	Eligibility redetermination process	
k)	Enrollment process for health plan selection	
1)	Outreach	
m)	Premium assistance	
n)	Prenatal care eligibility expansion (Sections 457.10, 457.350(b)(2), 457.622(c)(5), and 457.626(a)(3) as described in the October 2, 2002 Final Rule)	
o)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" children	
p)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" pregnant women	
q)	Pregnant Women State Plan Expansion	
r)	Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	
s)	Other – please specify	
	a.	
	b.	
	c.	
	Concerni	te Child Health Program
	Topic	List change and why the change was made
a)	Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)	

	Topic	List change and why the change was made
b)	Application	
c)	Benefits	
d)	Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)	
e)	Crowd out policies	
f)	Delivery system	
g)	Eligibility determination process	
h)	Implementing an enrollment freeze and/or cap	
i)	Eligibility levels / target population	
j)	Eligibility redetermination process	
k)	Enrollment process for health plan selection	
1)	Outreach	
m)	Premium assistance	College students applying for IO ESI as a dependent must provide a copy of current schedule to prove full-time student status. Also, dependent college students must enroll under their parents and parent income is included in determining eligibility. Dependent or independent status is determined by the student's FAFSA.
n)	Prenatal care eligibility expansion (Sections 457.10, 457.350(b)(2), 457.622(c)(5), and 457.626(a)(3) as described in the October 2, 2002 Final Rule)	
o)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" children	
p)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" pregnant women	
q)	Pregnant Women State Plan Expansion	
r)	Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	Added rules stating that overpayments identified through the audit process may be withheld from future payments. A case tracker system was implemented that allows for enhanced collection of audit and investigation findings.
s)	Other – please specify	1

Topic	List change and why the change was made
a.	
b.	
c.	

Enter any Narrative text related to Section I below. [7500]

Section II Program's Performance Measurement and Progress

This section consists of two subsections that gather information about the CHIP and/or Medicaid program. Section IIA captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your state. Section IIB captures progress towards meeting your state's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

Section IIA: Enrollment And Uninsured Data

1. The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in CHIP in your state for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 (Unduplicated # Ever Enrolled Year) in your state's 4th quarter data report (submitted in October) in the CHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by CARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response. If the information displayed in the table below is inaccurate, please make any needed updates to the data in SEDS and then refresh this page in CARTS to reflect the updated data.

Program	FFY 2016	FFY 2017	Percent change FFY 2016-2017
CHIP Medicaid	177157	190438	7.5
Expansion Program			
Separate Child Health	10814	10568	-2.27
Program			

A. Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent. **[7500]**

Oklahoma experienced normal growth in the Medicaid CHIP expansion program. The small decrease in the separate CHIP child health programs may be due to some pregnant women choosing full scope benefits coverage as a result of the individual mandate instead of pregnancy related benefits only under the separate CHIP programs.

2. The tables below show trends in the number and rate of uninsured children in your state. Three year averages in Table 1 are based on the Current Population Survey. The single year estimates in Table 2 are based on the American Community Survey (ACS). CARTS will fill in the single year estimates automatically, and significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3.

Table 1: Number and percent of uninsured children under age 19 below 200 percent of poverty, Current Population Survey

			Uninsured Chi	ildren Under Age 19	
	Uninsured Childr	en Under Age 19	Below 200 Percent of Poverty as a		
Period	Below 200 Pero	cent of Poverty	Percent of Total Children Under Age 1		
	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error	
	(In Thousands)				
1996 - 1998	116	18.8	12.6	2.0	
1998 - 2000	102	17.7	12.1	2.0	
2000 - 2002	98	13.9	10.6	1.4	
2002 - 2004	86	13.1	9.4	1.4	
2003 - 2005	86	14.1	9.5	1.5	
2004 - 2006	77	14.0	8.4	1.5	
2005 - 2007	70	13.0	7.4	1.4	
2006 - 2008	63	13.0	6.6	1.3	
2007 - 2009	55	12.0	5.7	1.2	
2008 - 2010	59	8.0	6.0	.8	
2009 - 2011	62	9.0	6.3	.9	
2010 - 2012	57	8.0	5.8	0	

Table 2: Number and percent of uninsured children under age 19 below 200 percent of poverty, American Community Survey

Period			Uninsured Ch	ildren Under Age 19	
	Uninsured Childr	en Under Age 19	Below 200 Percent of Poverty as a		
	Below 200 Per	cent of Poverty	Percent of Total	Children Under Age 19	
	Number	Margin of Error	Rate	Margin of Error	
	(In Thousands)			-	
2013	60	4.0	6.1	.4	
2014	50	4.0	5.1	.4	
2015	45	4.0	4.5	.4	
2016	41	4.0	4.2	.4	
Percent change	8.9%	N/A	.0%	N/A	
2015 vs. 2016					

- A. Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children. [7500]

 The individual mandate may have contributed to the small decrease in the number and rate of uninsured children in Oklahoma.
- B. Please note any comments here concerning ACS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates. [7500]
 NA
- 3. Please indicate by checking the box below whether your state has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

☐ Yes (please ☐ No (skip to		a in the table below)
		he table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to
		ge). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method vering the uninsured.
Topic	J	Description
Data source(s)		
Reporting period (2	or more	
points in time)		
Methodology		
Population (Please in and income levels)	iciude ages	
Sample sizes		
Number and/or rate f	for two or	
more points in time	.01 (110 01	
Statistical significan	ce of results	
the num [7500] B. What is errors, co. [7500]	ber and/or rate of your state's asse onfidence interv	r state chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in of uninsured children. essment of the reliability of the estimate? Please provide standard vals, and/or p-values if available.
D. How doe [7500]	es your state use	e this alternate data source in CHIP program planning?
Enter any Narrative text i NA	elated to Section II	A below. [7500]

Section IIB: State Strategic Objectives And Performance Goals

This subsection gathers information on your state's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your CHIP state plan. (If your goals reported in the annual report now differ from Section 9 of your CHIP state plan, please indicate how they differ in "Other Comments on Measure." Also, the state plan should be amended to reconcile these differences). The format of this section provides your state with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

- Reducing the number of uninsured children
- CHIP enrollment
- Medicaid enrollment
- · Increasing access to care
- Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2015 and FFY 2016) will be populated with data from previously reported data in CARTS. If you reported data in the two previous years' reports and you want to update/change the data, please enter that data. If you reported no data for either of those two years, but you now have data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2017).

In this section, the term performance measure is used to refer to any data your state provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are state-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported for Child Core Set reporting. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your state did not report elsewhere. As a reminder, Child Core Set reporting migrated to MACPRO in December 2015. Historical data are still available for viewing in CARTS.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

A. Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective. **All new goals should include a direction and a target. For clarification only, an <u>example goal would be:</u> "Increase (direction) by 5 percent (target) the number of CHIP beneficiaries who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had a second dose of MMR, three hepatitis B vaccinations and one varicella vaccination by their 13th birthday."**

B. Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

- <u>New/revised</u>: Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.
- <u>Continuing:</u> Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.
- <u>Discontinued:</u> Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued. GAL

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

<u>Provisional:</u> Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the
data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you
finalize them for FFY 2017.

<u>Explanation of Provisional Data</u> – When the value of the Status of Data Reported field is selected as "Provisional", the state must specify why the data are provisional and when the state expects the data will be final.

- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2017.
- Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your state reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

C. Measurement Specification:

This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which states may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®).

Please indicate whether the measure is based on HEDIS® technical specifications or another source. If HEDIS® is selected, the HEDIS® Version field must be completed. If "Other" measurement specification is selected, the explanation field must be completed.

D. HEDIS® Version:

Please specify HEDIS® Version (example 2016). This field must be be completed only when a user select the HEDIS® measurement specification.

"Other" measurement specification explanation:

If "Other", measurement specification is selected, please complete the explanation of the "Other" measurement specification. The explanation field must be completed when "Other" measurement specification has been selected.

E. Data Source:

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and CHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

F. Definition of Population Included in Measure:

Numerator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the numerator for each measure (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

Denominator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure.

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, please

- Check one box to indicate whether the data are for the CHIP population only, or include both CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.
- If the denominator reported is not fully representative of the population defined above (the CHIP population only, or the CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations combined), please further define the denominator. For example, denominator includes only children enrolled in managed care in certain counties, technological limitations preventing reporting on the full population defined, etc.). Please report information on exclusions in the definition of the denominator (including the proportion of children excluded), The provision of this information is important and will provide CMS with a context so that comparability of denominators across the states and over time can occur.

G. Deviations from Measure Specification

For the measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care.

If the data provided for a measure deviates from the measure specification, please select the type(s) of measure specification deviation. The types of deviation parallel the measure specification categories for each measure. Each type of deviation is accompanied by a comment field that states must use to explain in greater detail or further specify the deviation when a deviation(s) from a measure is selected.

The five types (and examples) of deviations are:

- Year of Data (e.g., partial year),
- Data Source (e.g., use of different data sources among health plans or delivery systems),
- Numerator (e.g., coding issues),
- Denominator (e.g., exclusion of MCOs, different age groups, definition of continuous enrollment),
- Other.

When one or more of the types are selected, states are required to provide an explanation.

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the period in which enrollment or utilization took place. Do not report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

Date Range: available for 2017 CARTS reporting period.

Please define the date range for the reporting period based on the "From" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the beginning period in which utilization took place and please report the "To" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the end period in which utilization took place. Do not report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

H. Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators and denominators, rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on

whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or other methodologies. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators and denominators for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on the measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator). The reporting unit for each measure is the state as a whole. If states calculate rates for multiple reporting units (e.g., individual health plans, different health care delivery systems), states must aggregate data from all these sources into one state rate before reporting the data to CMS. In the situation where a state combines data across multiple reporting units, all or some of which use the hybrid method to calculate the rates, the state should enter zeroes in the "Numerator" and "Denominator" fields. In these cases, it should report the state-level rate in the "Rate" field and, when possible, include individual reporting unit numerators, denominators, and rates in the field labeled "Additional Notes on Measure," along with a description of the method used to derive the state-level rate.

I. Explanation of Progress:

The intent of this section is to allow your state to highlight progress and describe any quality-improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. Any quality-improvement activity described should involve the CHIP program, benefit CHIP enrollees, and relate to the performance measure and your progress. An example of a quality-improvement activity is a state-wide initiative to inform individual families directly of their children's immunization status with the goal of increasing immunization rates. CHIP would either be the primary lead or substantially involved in the project. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality-improvement plans. In this section, your state is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2018, 2019 and 2020. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2015 through 2017), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your state set for the year, as well as any quality-improvement activities that have helped or could help your state meet future objectives.

J. Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations, plans to report on a measure in the future, or differences between performance measures reported here and those discussed in Section 9 of the CHIP state plan.

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIA, Questions 2 and 3)

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Decrease the number of uninsured Oklahoma children by 2%	Decrease the number of uninsured Oklahoma children by	Decrease the number of uninsured Oklahoma children by 2%
within 5 years beginning 7/1/09, under 19 years of age, under	2% within 5 years beginning 7/1/09, under 19 years of age,	within 5 years beginning 7/1/09, under 19 years of age, under
186% of FPL.	under 186% of FPL.	186% of FPL.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☑ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
The target has been met.	The target has been met	The target has been met.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
		č
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Tauc.		
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the	How did your performance in 2016 compare with	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	the Annual Performance Objective documented in	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
2014 Annual Report?	your 2015 Annual Report?	2016 Annual Report?

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIA, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
	Decrease the number of uninsured Oklahoma children by 2%	Decrease the number of uninsured Oklahoma children by 2%
	within 5 years beginning 2/1/10, under 19 years of age, 186-	within 5 years beginning 2/1/10, under 19 years of age, 186-
	300% of FPL.	300% of FPL.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
	The target was met in 2012	Goal was met in FFY2012
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
		D (1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of denominator.	Definition of denominator.	Definition of denominator.
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
2014 Annual Report?	2015 Annual Report?	2016 Annual Report?
I	1	I

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIA, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
	Increase the number of qualified Oklahoma businesses	Increase the number of qualified Oklahoma businesses
	participating in the Insure Oklahoma program by 2% within 5	participating in the Insure Oklahoma program by 2% within 5
	years beginning 2/1/10.	years beginning 2/1/10.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :☐ Continuing.	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Continuing.	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Discontinued. Explain.	Discontinued. Explain.	Discontinued. Explain.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	☑ Other. <i>Specify</i> :
		Insure Oklahoma provider data
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator: The number of small businesses	Definition of denominator: The number of small businesses
Definition of denominator.	enrolled in ESI at the baseline date.	enrolled in ESI at the baseline date.
Definition of numerator:		
	Definition of numerator: The increase/decrease in the number of small businesses enrolled in ESI at the baseline date	Definition of numerator: The increase/decrease in the number of small businesses enrolled in ESI at the baseline date
	of small businesses enrolled in EST at the baseline date $(2/1/10)$ and the end of FFY15	of small businesses enrolled in EST at the baseline date $(2/1/10)$ and the end of FFY17
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2015	From: (mm/yyyy) 02/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2017
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
	The number of qualified Oklahoma businesses participating	The number of qualified Oklahoma businesses participating
Numerator:	in the Insure Oklahoma beginning 2/1/10.	in the Insure Oklahoma.
Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: 1678	Numerator: 1162
Rate:	Denominator: 5634	Denominator: 5630
	Rate: 29.8	Rate: 20.6
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2016 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: The numbers reflect a point –in-time comparison between the Feb. 2010 (baseline) and Sep. 2016. In September 2015 ESI became available to businesses with 100 to 250 employees. The time period specified in the goal has been exceeded.	Other Comments on Measure: The numbers reflect a point –in-time comparison between the Feb. 2010 (baseline) and Sep. 2017. In September 2015 ESI became available to businesses with 100 to 250 employees. The time period specified in the goal has been exceeded.

Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
NA	NA	Increase the number of Soon To Be Sooners (STBS)
		enrolled Oklahoma pregnant women by 2% within 5 years
		beginning 4/1/08, under 186% FPL.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☑ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
NA	NA	Goal was met.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
		D (1) 1
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of denominator.	Definition of denominator.	Definition of denominator.
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2016 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
()	NA	Increase the number of Insure Oklahoma enrolled children by
		2% within 5 years beginning 2/1/10, under 19 years of age,
		186-300% FPL.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	☐ New/revised. Explain. ☐ Continuing.
	☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	
Discontinued. Explain:		Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Ctatus of Data Danautal.	NA Status of Data Reported:	Ctatus of Data Danautad.
Status of Data Reported: Provisional.	Provisional.	Status of Data Reported: Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	⊠ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator: The number of Insure Oklahoma
Bernitton of denominator.	Definition of denominator.	enrolled children under 19 years of age, 186-300% FPL at the
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	baseline date of 9/30/2011.
Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.	baseline date of 7/30/2011.
		Definition of numerator: Increase or decrease in the number
		of Insure Oklahoma enrolled children under 19 years of age,
		186-300% FPL between baseline date and FFY 2015
		(9/30/2015)
		(9/30/2013)
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2016 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2017
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Described what is being incasticu.	Described what is being incasuled.	See above.
		See above.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 355
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 527
Rate:		Rate: 67.4
Naic.	Rate:	Kate. 07.4

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure: NA
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2016 Annual Report? NA What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? NA
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: NA Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: NA Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020: NA
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set: In 8/2010 ESI was expanded to offer coverage for dependent children of IO members 186%-200% FPL.In9/2010IP was expanded to offer coverage for dependent children of Insure Oklahoma members 186%-200% FPL. Budget constraints prevented the inclusion of the population up to 300% FPL. These numbers reflect point in time data. Cont.;
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: The measurement date of 9/11 will be used as the baseline to calculate progress toward the target increase. This will allow the baseline to be set a year after expansion. The baseline enrollment number for this measure is: 527 In September 2015 ESI was made available to businesses with 100 to 250 employees. The time period specified in the goal has been exceeded.

Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
NA	, ,	NA
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
NA	Discontinued. Explain.	NA
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
suisir speegy.	Suiter speegy.	cure speegy).
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
•	•	•
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Kutc.	Rate.	rate.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2016 Annual Report?

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
NA	NA	Increase the number of SoonerCare enrolled Oklahoma
		children by 2% within 5 years beginning 7/1/09, under 19
		years of age, under 186% FPL.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
NA	NA	The target has been met
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
D.C. A C.D L. A L. A M	D.C. 44 CD L.4 I L. L. L. L. M	D.C. A. C. C. D. and A. C. T. alanda I. Alan Marana
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of denominator.	Definition of denominator.	Definition of denominator.
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
β	g	β
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2016 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
NA	NA	Increase the number of SoonerCare enrolled Oklahoma
		pregnant women by 2% within 5 years beginning 7/1/09,
		under 186% FPL.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:
NA	NA	The target has been met.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guier. Specify.	Guier. Specify.	Other. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of Formation included in the incusare.	Definition of 1 optimition included in the incustre.	Definition of Formation included in the freebure.
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of denominator.	Definition of denominator.	Definition of denominator.
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
g		β
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2016 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
NA	NA	NA
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
NA	NA	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
D.C. V.		D.C
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Described what is being measured.	Described what is being measured.	Described what is being measured.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Kutc.	Rate.	Kutc.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2016 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make
make progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
NA	NA	Maintain the capacity of contracted SoonerCare primary care
		providers over a 2 year period beginning 7/1/09.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
_ '		The target has been met.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	☐ Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
suisir speegy.	outer speedy).	Suite speedy).
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, Explain.
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. Explain.
Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2016 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
	NA	Maintain the capacity of contracted Insure Oklahoma
		primary care providers over a 2 year period beginning 2/1/10.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
	NA	The target has been met.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:

FY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications: ☐ Year of Data, Explain.
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, Explain.
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional note/commentss on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2017 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2016 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Explain how these objectives were set:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: Explain how these objectives were set:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020: Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
NA	NA	Increase the percentage of SoonerCare children, under 19
		years of age, under 186% FPL, who have selected a
		contracted SoonerCare primary care provider by 2% within 5
		years beginning 1/1/09.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing.	☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing.	☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing.
☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
NA	NA	The goal has been met.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Unier. specify.	Under. specify:	☐ Other. specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range:	Date Range:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy) HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy) HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
(1) reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-tike methodology)	(ij reporting with HEDIO)	(1) reporting with HEDIO)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	Data Source, Explain.
Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional material materials	A 4 3 4 5 1 4	A 44'4'14
Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress:	Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress:	Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress:
Explanation of Frogress:	Explanation of Frogress:	Explanation of Frogress.
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the	How did your performance in 2016 compare with	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	the Annual Performance Objective documented in	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
2014 Annual Report?	your 2015 Annual Report?	2016 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make	enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make	enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
progress toward your goar.	progress toward your goar.	progress toward your goar.
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Description (Cl. 1) 6 DDW 4047		A 1D 6 O11 11 6 PPV 4010
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
Aimuai i citormanee Objective for i i i 2017.	Annual I critimance Objective for I I I 2010.	Annual I citof mance Objective for FF 1 2017.

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
NA	NA	Increase the percentage of SoonerCare well baby/child visits
		by age of birth through 18 years, by 2% within 5 years
		beginning 7/1/09.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☑ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
NA	NA	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	⊠Other. Explain: OHCA HEDIS 2016
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
D.C. A CD L.A T L. J. J. Al M	D.C. M. C. C. D. C. L. M. C. T. J. J. J. M. C. M. C.	NA D.G. G.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: NA
Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: NA Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded: NA
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) 09/2016 To: (mm/yyyy) 10/2017
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
22 1112 1112 1112 1112 1112	1 10 1111 117	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, Explain.
☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
Bata Source, Expiain.	Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
Numerator,. Explain.	Numerator,. Explain.	Inumerator,. Explain.
☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, Explain.
\square Other, Explain.	\square Other, <i>Explain</i> .	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 0
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 0
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Rute.	ruic.	Ruici
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: NA
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the	How did ways norformance in 2016 compare with the	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the
	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the	
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
2014 Annual Report?	2015 Annual Report?	2016 Annual Report? NA
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal? NA
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: NA
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: NA
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	
Aminari Cristinance Objective for FFT 2010.	Annual Performance Objective for FF Y 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020:
Explain how these objectives were set:		HEDIS is calculated on a calendar year. Data reported
Explain now mese objectives were set.	Explain how these objectives were set:	measurement year 2009 will be used as a baseline.For
		CY10, OHCA revised the method of calculating these
		HEDIS measures. Well-Child Visits HEDIS-OHCA
		HEDIS data is broken down into age categories:

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
		CY10 OHCA HEDIS Well-Child Visits Child Hlth Checkups in first 15 months-1-more visits;95.4% Child Hlth Checkups 3-6-1-more visits;61.9% Child Hlth Checkups adolescent-1 or more visits;37.1%
		Explain how these objectives were set: CY11 OHCA HEDIS Well-Child Visits Child Checkups in first 15 months (1-more visits);98.3% Child Checkups 3-6(1-more visits);59.8% Child Checkups 1-more visits);33.5% CY12 OHCA HEDIS Well-Child Visits Child Checkups in first 15 months(1-more visits;98.3% Child Checkups 3-6(1-more visits);57.4% Child Checkups(1-more visits);34.5% CY13 OHCA HEDIS Well-Child Visits Child Checkups in first 15mths(1-more visits);97.3% Child Checkups 3-6(1-more visits);57.6% Child Checkups(1-more visits;22.5%
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: CY14 OHCA HEDIS Well-Child Vists Child Checkups in first 15(1-more vists);96.3 % Child Checkups 3-6(1-more vists);21.8% CY15 OHCA HEDIS Well-Child Vists Child Checkups in first 15 (1-more vists);94.3 % Child Checkups 3-6(1-more vists);57.1% Child Checkups (1-vists);22.1% CY16 OHCA HEDIS Well-Child Vists Child Checkups in first 15(1-more vists);96.4% Child Checkups 3-6(1-more vists);56.1% Child Health Checkups(1-more vists);22.4% The goal has been exceeded.

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
NA	NA	Participate with the state of Oklahoma to increase the
		immunization rates of all children, under 19 years of age,
		under 186% FPL, by 2% within 5 years beginning 7/1/09.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
NA	-	The target has been met
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
	N	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications: ☐ Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications: ☐ Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. Explain.
Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, Explain.
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2016 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
		Increase the number of SoonerCare pregnant women who
		sought prenatal care in the first trimester, by 2% within 5
		years beginning 7/1/09.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☑ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
		The target has been met.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:

FFY 2015	FFY 2016	FFY 2017		
Deviations from Measure Specifications: ☐ Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Deviations from Measure Specifications: ☐ Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications: ☐ Year of Data, Explain.		
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, Explain.		
☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. Explain.		
☐Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.		
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.		
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:		
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:		
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:		
How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	How did your performance in 2017 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2016 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?		
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Explain how these objectives were set:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2020:		
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:		

- 1. What other strategies does your state use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? What have you found? [7500] Assessing the quality of care for all children enrolled in Oklahoma Medicaid is a priority, thus there is no separation between the CHIP and TXIX population for these purposes at the OHCA. Currently the OHCA utilizes quality assessment and performance studies to report on the access and quality of care SoonerCare members receive in both the CHIP and TXIX populations. The OHCA conducts annual HEDIS reports focused on the access and quality of care received by the SoonerCare populations. These reports include the following HEDIS measures for our children and adolescent populations: lead screening, appropriate treatment for children with Upper Respiratory Infection (URI), annual dental visit, well child visits in the first 15 months of life, well child visits in the third, fourth, fifth, and sixth years of life, and children and adolescents' access to primary care practitioners. In addition to HEDIS reports, EPDST screening and ER provider profiles over the SoonerCare population are sent to providers on a semi-annual basis.
- 2. What strategies does your CHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? When will data be available? [7500]

The CHIP expansion population is integrated with the Medicaid population. Strategies for measurement and reporting on access, quality, and outcomes of care will continue with the ongoing activities outlined in question 1 above.

Currently, OHCA is developing an agency-wide Quality Improvement Plan (QIP). The plan will include various Quality Improvement (QI) activities and outcome measures.

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your CHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found? [7500]

Because the CHIP expansion population is integrated with the Medicaid population there have not been any focused studies specifically targeting the CHIP population. However, there are reports that address the SoonerCare child population.

For example, the Quality of Care in the SoonerCare Program – Quality Meaures is a bi-annual report that addresses: Access/Availability of Care; Effectiveness of Care; and Utilization. Also, the bi-annual CAHPS survey is used to gauge member satisfaction.

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your CHIP program's performance. Please include any analyses or descriptions of any efforts designed to reduce the number of uncovered children in the state through a state health insurance connector program or support for innovative private health coverage initiatives. **[7500]**NA

Enter any Narrative text related to Section IIB below. [7500] NA

Section III: Assessment of State Plan and Program Operation

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

Please note that the numbers in brackets, e.g., [7500] are character limits in the State Annual Report Template System (CARTS). You will not be able to enter responses with characters greater than the limit indicated in the brackets.

Section IIIA: Outreach

- How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period? [7500]
 While we continue to provide outreach and technical assistance to the communities, our main focus has shifted to outreach with the provider community. This includes targeted efforts around topics such as CPC+, LARCs and Blood Lead Screening Tests.
- 2. What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness? **[7500]**
 - 2. Our most innovative approach is our text messaging service

How have you measured effectiveness? [7500] In January 2017, we began automatically enrolling all SoonerCare members into Connect4health via the SoonerCare online enrollment application. Connect4health sends text messages customized by OHCA staff to promote targeted preventive health benefits, EPSDT and well-child visits, immunizations, medication compliance, appropriate ER utilization and more on behalf of SoonerCare. From December 2016 through June 2017, nearly 64,723 households with SoonerCare children ages one to 18 received the health-related messages. An additional 12,446 pregnant women and caregivers of infants also received mobile health messages through Connect4health. We have explored the possibility of a multi-media campaign for blood lead screening tests that would include T.V., radio ads, and billboards, etc. Currently, because of our budget we are only moving forward with documents that can be given to providers to share with members.

3.	Which of the methods described in Question 2 would you consider a best practice(s)? [7500]
	The Connect4Health Text messaging service
4.	Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)?

⊠ Yes □ No

Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness? [7500] The Oklahoma Health Care Authority's Tribal Government Relations Unit works with Tribal Governments and Health Systems with enrollment assistance. A member from our unit regularly attends tribal health fairs and other outreach events in order to assist in the enrollment of potential tribal citizens. Our unit has also met with individual Tribal Governments and Tribal Health System to provide training on OHCA's Home View and Agency View enrollment systems. We are also available daily to answer any calls or emails that our tribal partners may have regarding enrollment.

In an effort to address health disparities within the SoonerCare population, the Oklahoma Health Care Authority (OHCA) has convened an African American Health Disparities Taskforce. The Taskforce is charged with both researching health disparities among African Americans in Oklahoma, as well as, recommending strategies that will target the reduction of the health

conditions that contribute to these disparities. OHCA staff attend community events, not only for health topics that contribute to the top ten causes of death for African Americans in Oklahoma, but also for risk factors that lead to health disparities such as lack of housing and employment status.

The goal of OHCA staff is to inform Oklahomans of the services available for eligible members and explain how SoonerCare can improve each individual's health and well-being. Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness? [7500]

The targeted outreach has not been evaluated for effectiveness at this time.

5.	What percentage of children below 200 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligible for Medicaid or CHIP have been enrolled in those programs? [5] 90.8
	(Identify the data source used). [7500]

https://www.insurekidsnow.gov/campaign/participation-rates/index.html

https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/by-state/stateprofile.html?state=oklahoma

Enter any Narrative text related to Section IIIA below. [7500]

the links provided for #5 is the history of CHIP participation for 2013-2015 and CHIP enrollment increase As of August 2017

Section IIIB: Substitution of Coverage (Crowd-out)

All states should answer the following questions. Please include percent calculations in your responses when applicable and requested.

1. Does your program require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting period)?
⊠ No □ Yes □ N/A
If no, skip to question 5. If yes, answer questions 2-4:
2. How many months does your program require a child to be uninsured prior to enrollment?
3. To which groups (including FPL levels) does the period of uninsurance apply? [1000]
4. List all exemptions to imposing the period of uninsurance [1000]
5. Does your program match prospective enrollees to a database that details private insurance status? No Yes N/A
6. If answered yes to question 5, what database? [1000]

- 7. At the time of application, what percent of CHIP applicants are found to have Medicaid [(# applicants found to have Medicaid/total # applicants) * 100] [5] 96 and what percent of applicants are found to have other group health insurance [(# applicants found to have other insurance/total # applicants) * 100] [5]? 6 Provide a combined percent if you cannot calculate separate percentages. [5]
- 8. What percent of CHIP applicants cannot be enrolled because they have group health plan coverage? [5] 0
 - a. Of those found to have had other, private insurance and have been uninsured for only a portion of the state's waiting period, what percent meet your state's exemptions to the waiting period (if your state has a waiting period and exemptions) [(# applicants who are exempt/total # of new applicants who were enrolled)*100]? [5]
- 9. Do you track the number of individuals who have access to private insurance?

☐ Yes ⊠ No

10. If yes to question 9, what percent of individuals that enrolled in CHIP had access to private health insurance at the time of application during the last federal fiscal year [(# of individuals that had access to private health insurance/total # of individuals enrolled in CHIP)*100]? [5]

Enter any Narrative text related to Section IIIB below. [7500] NA

Section IIIC: Eligibility

This subsection should be completed by all states. Medicaid Expansion states should complete applicable responses and indicate those questions that are non-applicable with N/A.

Section IIIC: Subpart A: Eligibility Renewal and Retention

1.	Do you have authority in your CHIP state plan to provide for presumptive eligibility, and have you
	implemented this? ☐ Yes ☒ No
	If yes,

- a. What percent of children are presumptively enrolled in CHIP pending a full eligibility determination? [5]
- of those children who are presumptively enrolled, what percent of those children are determined eligible and enrolled upon completion of the full eligibility determination? [5]
- 2. Select the measures from those below that your state employs to simplify an eligibility renewal and retain eligible children in CHIP.

Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers

Sends renewal reminder notices to all families

- How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program? [500]
 Two
- At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the state?) [500]

The Periodic Redetermination Letter is sent out 45 days, and a follow-up (Case Status Letter) is sent out 15 days before the end of current eligibility.

 \boxtimes

Other, please explain: [500]

- Passive renewal redetermination of eligibility without requiring information from the member if able to do so based on reliable information contained in the individual's account or other more current information available to the agency, including information accessed through data bases.
- 3. Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology. [7500]

The strategies have not been evaluated for effectiveness.

Section IIIC: Subpart B: Eligibility Data

Table 1. Data on Denials of Title XXI Coverage in FFY 2017

States are required to report on all questions (1, 1.a., 1.b., and 1.c) in FFY 2017. Please enter the data requested in the table below and the template will tabulate the requested percentages.

Measure	Number	Percent
Total number of denials of title XXI coverage	6219	100
a. Total number of procedural denials	798	12.8
b. Total number of eligibility denials	5339	85.8
i. Total number of applicants denied for title XXI and enrolled in title XIX	3034	48.8
☐ (Check here if there are no additional categories) c. Total number of applicants denied for other reasons Please indicate:	82	1.3

2. Please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data used in this table: NA

Definitions:

- 1. The "the total number of denials of title XXI coverage" is defined as the total number of applicants that have had an eligibility decision made for title XXI and denied enrollment for title XXI in FFY 2017. This definition only includes denials for title XXI at the time of initial application (not redetermination).
 - a. The "total number of procedural denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI procedural reasons in FFY 2017 (i.e., incomplete application, missing documentation, missing enrollment fee, etc.).
 - b. The "total number of eligibility denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI eligibility reasons in FFY 2017 (i.e., income too high, income too low for title XXI /referred for Medicaid eligibility determination/determined Medicaid eligible, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your state's specified waiting period, etc.)
 - The total number of applicants that are denied eligibility for title XXI and determined eligible for title XIX.
 - c. The "total number of applicants denied for other reasons" is defined as any other type of denial that does not fall into 2a or 2b. Please check the box provided if there are no additional categories.

Table 2. Redetermination Status of Children

For tables 2a and 2b, reporting is required for FFY 2017.

Table 2a. Redetermination Status of Children Enrolled in Title XXI.

Please enter the data requested in the table below in the "Number" column, and the template will automatically tabulate the percentages.

Des	scription	Number		Pe	rcent	
Total number of children who are enrolled in title XXI and eligible to be redetermined		191357	100%			
2.	Total number of children screened for redetermination for title XXI	171747	89.75	100%		
3.	Total number of children retained in title XXI after the redetermination process	105777	55.28	61.59		
4.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI after the redetermination process	21329	11.15	12.42	100%	
	 Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to comply with procedures 	4603			21.58	
	 Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to meet eligibility criteria 	16148			75.71	100%
	 i. Disenrolled from title XXI because income too high for title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □) 	11614				71.92
	 ii. Disenrolled from title XXI because income too low for title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □) 	0				
iii. Disenrolled from title XXI because application indicated access to private coverage or obtained private coverage (If unable to provide the data or if you have a title XXI Medicaid Expansion and this data is not relevant check here □)		333				2.06
		4201				26.02
	 Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for other reason(s) Please indicate: (Check here if there are no additional categories □) 	578			2.71	

5.	If relevant, please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data entered into this table.	Please describe any state policies or procedures that
	may have impacted the redetermination outcomes data [7500].	

Definitions:

1. The "total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined" is defined as the total number of children due to renew their eligibility in federal fiscal year (FFY) 2017, and did not age out (did not exceed the program's maximum age requirement) of the program by or before redetermination. This total number may include those children who are eligible to renew prior to their 12 month eligibility redetermination anniversary date. This total must include ex parte redeterminations, the process when a state uses information available to it through other databases, such as wage and labor records, to verify ongoing eligibility. This total number must also include children whose

- eligibility can be renewed through administrative redeterminations, whereby the state sends the family a renewal form that is pre-populated with eligibility information already available through program records and requires the family to report any changes.
- 2. The "total number of children screened for redetermination" is defined as the total number of children that were screened by the state for redetermination in FFY 2017 (i.e., ex parte redeterminations and administrative redeterminations, as well as those children whose families have returned redetermination forms to the state).
- 3. The "total number of children retained after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who were found eligible and remained in the program after the redetermination process in FFY 2017.
- 4. The "total number of children disenrolled from title XXI after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who are disenrolled from title XXI following the redetermination process in FFY 2017. This includes those children that states may define as "transferred" to Medicaid for title XIX eligibility screening.
 - a. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to comply with procedures" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to successfully complete the redetermination process in FFY 2017 (i.e., families that failed to submit a complete application, failed to provide complete documentation, failed to pay premium or enrollment fee, etc.).
 - b. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to meet eligibility criteria" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for no longer meeting one or more of their state's CHIP eligibility criteria (i.e., income too low, income too high, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your state's specified waiting period, etc.). If possible, please break out the reasons for failure to meet eligibility criteria in i.-iv.
 - c. The "total number of children disenrolled for other reason(s)" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for a reason other than failure to comply with procedures or failure to meet eligibility criteria, and are not already captured in 4.a. or 4.b.

 The data entered in 4.a., 4.b., and 4.c. should sum to the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI (line 4).

Table 2b. Redetermination Status of Children Enrolled in Title XIX.

Please enter the data requested in the table below in the "Number" column, and the template will automatically tabulate the percentages.

Description		Number]	Percent	
1. Total number of children who are enrolled in title XIX and eligible to be redetermined 5		565621	100%			
Total number of children screened for redetermination for title XIX 50		501711	88.7	100%		
3.	Total number of children retained in title XIX after the redetermination process	454873	80.42	90.66		
4.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX after the redetermination process	33051	5.84	6.59	100%	
	 Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for failure to comply with procedures 	6558			19.84	
b. Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for failure to meet eligibility criteria v. Disenrolled from title XIX because income too high for title XIX (If unable to provide the data, check here □) vi. Disenrolled from title XIX for other eligibility reason(s) Please indicate: (If unable to provide the data check here □)		25059			75.82	100%
		12467				49.75
		12592				50.25
		1434			4.34	

5. If relevant, please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data entered into this table. Please describe any state policies or procedures that may have impacted the redetermination outcomes data [7500].

Definitions:

- 1. The "total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined" is defined as the total number of children due to renew their eligibility in federal fiscal year (FFY) 2017, and did not age out (did not exceed the program's maximum age requirement) of the program by or before redetermination. This total number may include those children who are eligible to renew prior to their 12 month eligibility redetermination anniversary date. This total must include ex parte redeterminations, the process when a state uses information available to it through other databases, such as wage and labor records, to verify ongoing eligibility. This total number must also include children whose eligibility can be renewed through administrative redeterminations, whereby the state sends the family a renewal form that is pre-populated with eligibility information already available through program records and requires the family to report any changes.
- 2. The "total number of children screened for redetermination" is defined as the total number of children that were screened by the state for redetermination in FFY 2017 (i.e., ex parte redeterminations and administrative redeterminations, as well as those children whose families have returned redetermination forms to the state).
- 3. The "total number of children retained after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who were found eligible and remained in the program after the redetermination process in FFY 2017.
- 4. The "total number of children disenrolled from title XIX after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who are disenrolled from <u>title XIX</u> following the redetermination process in FFY 2017. This includes those children that states may define as "transferred" to CHIP for title XXI eligibility screening.
 - a. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to comply with procedures" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for failure to successfully complete the redetermination process in FFY 2017 (i.e., families that failed to submit a complete application, failed to provide complete documentation, failed to pay premium or enrollment fee, etc.).
 - b. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to meet eligibility criteria" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for no longer meeting one or more of their state's Medicaid eligibility criteria (i.e., income too high, etc.).
 - c. The "total number of children disenrolled for other reason(s)" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for a reason other than failure to comply with procedures or failure to meet eligibility criteria, and are not already captured in 4.a. or 4.b.
 - The data entered in 4.a., 4.b., and 4.c. should sum to the total number of children disenrolled from title XIX (line 4).

Table 3. Duration Measure of Selected Children, Ages 0-16, Enrolled in Title XIX and Title XXI, Second Quarter FFY 2017

The purpose of tables 3a and 3b is to measure the duration, or continuity, of Medicaid and CHIP enrollees' coverage. This information is required by Section 402(a) of CHIPRA. **Reporting on this table is required.**

Because the measure is designed to capture continuity of coverage in title XIX and title XXI beyond one year of enrollment, the measure collects data for 18 months of enrollment. This means that reporting spans two CARTS reports over two years. The duration measure uses a cohort of children and follows the enrollment of the same cohort of children for 18 months to measure continuity of coverage. States identify a new cohort of children every two years. States identified newly enrolled children in the second quarter of FFY 2016 (January, February, and March of 2016) for the FFY 2016 CARTS report. This same cohort of children will be reported on in the FFY 2017 CARTS report. If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary.

The FFY 2017 CARTS report is the second year of reporting in the cycle of two CARTS reports on the cohort of children identified in the second quarter of FFY 2016. The next cohort of children will be identified in the second quarter of the FFY 2018 (January, February and March of 2018).

Instructions: For this measure, please identify <u>newly enrolled</u> children in both title XIX and title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2016, ages 0 months to 16 years at time of enrollment. Children enrolled in January 2016 must have birthdates after July 1999 (e.g., children must be younger than 16 years and 5 months) to ensure that they will not age out of the program at the 18th month of coverage. Similarly, children enrolled in February 2016 must have birthdates after August 1999, and children enrolled in March 2016 must have birthdates after September 1999. Each child newly enrolled during this time frame needs a unique identifier or "flag" so that the cohort can be tracked over time. If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary. Please follow the child based on the child's age category at the time of enrollment (e.g., the child's age at enrollment creates an age cohort that does not change over the 18 month time span).

Please enter the data requested in the tables below, and the template will tabulate the percentages. The tables are pre-populated with the 6-month data you reported last year; in this report you will only enter data on the 12- and 18-month enrollment status. Only enter a "0" (zero) if the data are known to be zero. If data are unknown or unavailable, leave the field blank.

Note that all data must sum correctly in order to save and move to the next page. The data in each individual row must add across to sum to the total in the "All Children Ages 0-16" column for that row. And in each column, the data within each time period (6, 12 and 18 months) must each sum up to the data in row 1, which is the number of children in the cohort. This means that in each column, rows 2, 3 and 4 must sum to the total in row 1; rows 5, 6 and 7 must sum to the row 1; and rows 8, 9 and 10 must sum to row 1. Rows numbered with an "a" (e.g., rows 3a and 4a) are excluded from the total because they are subsets of their respective rows.

Table 3a. Duration Measure of Children Enrolled in Title XIX

□ Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP or Medicaid—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2016, he/she would not be enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in December 2015, etc.)
□ Not Previously Enrolled in Medicaid—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in title XIX in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2016, be/she would not be enrolled in title XIX in December 2015, etc.)

Table 3a. Duration Measure, Title XIX			ren Ages 16	12 m	ss than	1	ges -5	Ages 6-12		Ages 13-16	
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1.	Total number of children newly enrolled in title XIX in the second quarter of FFY 2016	31390	100%	9472	100%	9571	100%	9242	100%	3105	100%
		Enrollm	ent Status	s 6 months	s later						
2.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XIX	29011	92.42	8769	92.58	8761	91.54	8608	93.14	2873	92.53
3.	Total number of children with a break in title XIX coverage but re-enrolled in title XIX	583	1.86	191	2.02	227	2.37	111	1.2	54	1.74
	3.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) during title XIX coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here 因)										
4.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX	1796	5.72	512	5.41	583	6.09	523	5.66	178	5.73
	4.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) after being disenrolled from title XIX (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	67	0.21	7	0.07	18	0.19	25	0.27	17	0.55
		Enrollm	ent Status	12 month	s later						
5.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XIX	20882	66.52	7231	76.34	6108	63.82	5824	63.02	1719	55.36
6.	Total number of children with a break in title XIX coverage but re-enrolled in title XIX	3744	11.93	939	9.91	1290	13.48	1149	12.43	366	11.79
	6.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) during title XIX coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here ⊠)										
7.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX	6764	21.55	1302	13.75	2173	22.7	2269	24.55	1020	32.85
	7.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) after being disenrolled from title XIX (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	334	1.06	49	0.52	116	1.21	109	1.18	60	1.93
			ent Status	18 month							
8.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XIX	15057	47.97	3714	39.21	5126	53.56	4866	52.65	1351	43.51
9.	Total number of children with a break in title XIX coverage but re-enrolled in title XIX	7676	24.45	3003	31.7	2133	22.29	1911	20.68	629	20.26
	9.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) during title XIX coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here □)										
10.	. Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX	8657	27.58	2755	29.09	2312	24.16	2465	26.67	1125	36.23
	10.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) after being disenrolled from title XIX (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	967	3.08	310	3.27	247	2.58	284	3.07	126	4.06

Definitions:

- 1. The "total number of children newly enrolled in title XIX in the second quarter of FFY 2016" is defined as those children either new to public coverage or new to title XIX, in the month before enrollment. Please define your population of "newly enrolled" in the Instructions section.
- 2. The total number of children that were continuously enrolled in title XIX for 6 months is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2016
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2016
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2016
- 3. The total number who had a break in title XIX coverage during <u>6 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage) but were re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of the 6 months, is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of June 2016
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of July 2016
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of August 2016
 - 3.a. From the population in #3, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI during their break in coverage
- 4. The total number who disenrolled from title XIX, 6 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of June 2016
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of July 2016
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of August 2016
 - 4.a. From the population in #4, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI in the month after their disenrollment from title XIX.
- 5. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XIX for 12 months is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of December 2016
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of January 2017
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of February 2017
- 6. The total number of children who had a break in title XIX coverage during 12 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XIX by the end of the 12 months, is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of December 2016

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of January 2017
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999 who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of February 2017
- 6.a. From the population in #6, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI during their break in coverage.
- 7. The total number of children who disenrolled from title XIX 12 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were enrolled in January 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of December 2016
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were enrolled in February 2016 and were disenvolled by the end of January 2017
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were enrolled in March 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of February 2017
 - 7.a. From the population in #7, provide the total number of children, who were enrolled in title XXI in the month after their disenrollment from title XIX.
- 8. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XIX for 18 months is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2017 + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2017
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2017
- 9. The total number of children who had a break in title XIX coverage during 18 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XIX by the end of the 18 months, is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of June 2017
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of July 2017
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of August 2017
 - 9.a. From the population in #9, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI during their break in coverage.
- 10. The total number of children who were disenrolled from title XIX 18 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and disenrolled by the end of June 2017
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and disenrolled by the end of July 2017
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and disenrolled by the end of August 2017
 - 10.a. From the population in #10, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI (CHIP) in the month after their disenrollment from XIX.

Table 3b. Duration Measure of Children Enrolled in Title XXI

□ Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP or Medicaid—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in the month before enrollmen
(i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2016, he/she would not be enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in December 2015, etc.)

□ **Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP**—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in title XXI in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2016, he/she would not be enrolled in title XXI in December 2015, etc.)

Specify how your "newly enrolled" population is defined:

Table 3b. Duration Measure, Title XXI			dren Ages -16		ss than		jes -5	Ages 6-12			ges 3-16
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1.	Total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2016	6949	100%	534	100%	1451	100%	2959	100%	2005	100%
	·	Enrolln	nent Status	6 months	later						
2.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI	5989	86.19	477	89.33	1195	82.36	2539	85.81	1778	88.68
3.	Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI	110	1.58	6	1.12	24	1.65	51	1.72	29	1.45
	3.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here ⊠)										
4.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI	850	12.23	51	9.55	232	15.99	369	12.47	198	9.88
	 4.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) after being disenrolled from title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □) 	69	0.99	5	0.94	28	1.93	24	0.81	12	0.6
		Enrollm	ent Status	12 month	s later						
5.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI	2451	35.27	77	14.42	346	23.85	1087	36.74	941	46.93
6.	Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI	1037	14.92	119	22.28	237	16.33	431	14.57	250	12.47
	6.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here ⊠)										
7.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI	3461	49.81	338	63.3	868	59.82	1441	48.7	814	40.6
	7.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) after being disenrolled from title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	343	4.94	21	3.93	123	8.48	141	4.77	58	2.89
		Enrollm	ent Status	18 month	s later						
8.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI	1768	25.44	39	7.3	214	14.75	772	26.09	743	37.06
9.	Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI	1847	26.58	196	36.7	401	27.64	793	26.8	457	22.79
	9.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here ⊠)										
10	. Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI	3334	47.98	299	55.99	836	57.62	1394	47.11	805	40.15
	10.aTotal number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) after being disenrolled from title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	677	9.74	75	14.04	211	14.54	260	8.79	131	6.53

Definitions:

- 1. The "total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2016" is defined as those children either new to public coverage or new to title XXI, in the month before enrollment. Please define your population of "newly enrolled" in the Instructions section.
- 2. The total number of children that were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 6 months is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2016

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2016
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2016
- 3. The total number who had a break in title XXI coverage during <u>6 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage) but were re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of the 6 months, is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of June 2016

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of July 2016
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of August 2016
- 3.a. From the population in #3, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
- 4. The total number who disenrolled from title XXI, 6 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of June 2016

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of July 2016
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of August 2016
- 4.a. From the population in #4, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.
- 5. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 12 months is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of December 2016

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of January 2017
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of February 2017
- 6. The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during 12 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XXI by the end of the 12 months, is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of December 2016

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of January 2017
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of February 2017
- 6.a. From the population in #6, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
- 7. The total number of children who disenrolled from title XXI 12 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were enrolled in January 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of December 2016

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were enrolled in February 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of January 2017
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were enrolled in March 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of February 2017
- 7.a. From the population in #7, provide the total number of children, who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.
- 8. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 18 months is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2017
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2017
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2017
- 9. The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during 18 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XXI by the end of the 18 months, is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of June 2017
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of July 2017
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of August 2017
 - 9.a. From the population in #9, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
- 10. The total number of children who were disenrolled from title XXI 18 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
 - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and disenrolled by the end of June 2017
 - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and disenrolled by the end of July 2017
 - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and disenrolled by the end of August 2017
 - 10.a. From the population in #10, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX (Medicaid) in the month after their disenrollment from XXI.

Enter any Narrative text related to Section IIIC below. [7500]

NA

Section IIID: Cost Sharing

	1.		ow the state trac maximum in the	cks cost sharing to ensure enrollees do not pay more than 5 percent e year?
	a.	Cost sharii	ng is tracked by	<i>y</i> :
	\boxtimes] Enrollees (s	shoebox method	d)
			uses the shoebo track cost shar	ox method, please describe informational tools provided to ring. [7500]
				the members to keep track of their own out of pocket costs. The Online Enrollment system
		N/A (No cos	n(s) Administrator st sharing requi	
	2.	When the faceased?		he 5% cap, are premiums, copayments and other cost sharing
	3.		cribe how provide the 5% cap. [75]	ders are notified that no cost sharing should be charged to enrollees [600]
				Members are reimbursed later. The burden of proof is on the ost sharing and then ask for reimbursement after the fact.
	4.			e of the number of children that exceeded the 5 percent cap in the ng the federal fiscal year. [500]
		0		
	5.	Has your st participation		any assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on
		□Yes	⊠ No	If so, what have you found? [7500]
	6.		tate undertaken ices in CHIP?	any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of
		☐ Yes	⊠ No	If so, what have you found? [7500]
	7.	state monito	oring the impact	or decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, how is the t of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and lth services in CHIP. If so, what have you found? [7500]
		NA		
Enter	any	Narrative tex	xt related to Sec	ction IIID below. [7500]

Section IIIE: Employer sponsored insurance Program (including

NA

Premium Assistance)

	1.	Does your state offer an employer sponsored insurance program (including a premium assistance program under the CHIP State Plan or a Section 1115 Title XXI Demonstration) for children and/or adults using Title XXI funds?
		☑ Yes, please answer questions below.☑ No, skip to Program Integrity subsection.
Chil	dre	n
	\boxtimes	Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
		 □ Purchase of Family Coverage under the CHIP state plan (2105(c)(3)) □ Additional Premium Assistance Option under CHIP state plan (2105(c)(10)) □ Section 1115 Demonstration (Title XXI) □ Premium Assistance Option (applicable to Medicaid Expansion) children (1906)
. 1	1.	Premium Assistance Option (applicable to Medicaid Expansion) children (1906A)
Adu	lts	Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
		 □ Purchase of Family Coverage under the CHIP state plan (2105(c)(10) □ Section 1115 demonstration (Title XXI)
		Premium Assistance option under the Medicaid state plan (1906) Premium Assistance option under the Medicaid state plan (1906A)
2	2.	Please indicate which adults your state covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)
		□ Parents and Caretaker Relatives□ Pregnant Women
;	3.	Briefly describe how your program operates (e.g., is your program an employer sponsored insurance program or a premium assistance program, how do you coordinate assistance between the state and/or employer, who receives the subsidy if a subsidy is provided, etc.) [7500]
		Provides premium assistance for commercial group coverage. The subsidy payments go to the small employer. Employer pays commercial plan.
4	4.	What benefit package does the ESI program use? [7500] Available commercial plans
ţ	5.	Are there any minimum coverage requirements for the benefit package? ⊠Yes □ No
(6. E	Does the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits?
		☐ Yes ⊠ No
-	7. <i>P</i>	Are there limits on cost sharing for children in your ESI program?
		⊠ Yes □ No
8	8.	Are there any limits on cost sharing for adults in your ESI program?

	☐ Yes ☐ No
9.	Are there protections on cost sharing for children (e.g., the 5 percent out-of-pocket maximum) in your premium assistance program?
	☑ Yes ☐ No If yes, how is the cost sharing tracked to ensure it remains within the 5 percent yearly aggregate maximum [7500]? Subscriber premium payments are automatically tracked in MMIS (added to the household out of pocket panel). Members also submit claims with documentation for copayments, co-insurance and deductible. These claims are added to the out of pocket panels. Once the amount exceeds 5% of household income, members are issued a warrant for the amount of those claims.
10.	Identify the total number of children and adults enrolled in the ESI program for whom Title XXI funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in this program even if they were covered incidentally, i.e., not explicitly covered through a demonstration).
	0 Number of childless adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
	0 Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
	517 Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period
11.	Provide the average monthly enrollment of children and parents ever enrolled in the premium assistance program during FFY 2017.
	Children <u>228</u> Parents <u>0</u>
12.	During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your ESI program has experienced? [7500]
	Increasing enrollment
13.	During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your ESI program? [7500]
	Insure Oklahoma continues to improve the Online Enrollment platform
14.	What changes have you made or are planning to make in your ESI program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]
	Insure Oklahoma continues to make changes to the Online Enrollment platform in hopes to make it more user friendly.

15. What do you estimate is the impact of your ESI program (including premium assistance) on enrollment and retention of children? How was this measured? **[7500]**

Oklahoma Health Care Authority (OHCA) does not track/measure.

16. Provide the average amount each entity pays towards coverage of the dependent child/parent under your ESI program:

Population	State	Employer	Employee
Child	85	0	15

Population	State	Employer	Employee
Parent			

	raieiii					
17	. Indicate the range in the state on behalf of a chil	_	•	amount	of premium assis	tance provided by the
	Children Parent	Low Low	High High			
18	. If you offer a premium [500]	assistand	ce program, wha	t, if any	, is the minimum e	mployer contribution?
	25% of Employee prem	ium				
19	. Please provide the inco	me level	s of the children From	or famil	lies provided prem To	ium assistance.
	Income level of Children Income level of Parents					
20	. Is there a required peri	od of uni	nsurance before	enrollir	ng in premium assi	istance?
	☐ Yes ⊠ No					
	If yes, what is the period	d of uning	surance? [500]			
21	. Do you have a waiting	list for yo	our program?	Yes	⊠ No	
22	. Can you cap enrollmen	t for your	program? X	es	☐ No	
23	. What strategies has the provision of premium as				ducing administrat	ive barriers to the
	Insure Oklahoma has m multiple programs at a	_		rollmei	nt platform to mak	e enrollment for
Qι	ter any Narrative text relatestion # 8 does not requie do not track/ or measure	re a respo	onse because we	_	_	I with TXXI funding.
C	ion IIIF: Program OMPLETE ONLY WIT HAT ARE NOT MEDIC	H REGA	ARD TO SEPAI	RATE (CHIP PROGRAM	AS, I.E., THOSE
1.	Does your state have a for:	written p	lan that has safe	guards a	and establishes me	thods and procedures
	(1) prevention: (2) investigation: (3) referral of case	Yes [] No	Yes	□ No	
	Please explain: [7500]					

OHCA's MMIS has an extensive pre-payment edit system that checks all claims against specified criteria prior to payment which will result in either continued processing or denial of claims. The system compares the provider information against eligibility criteria to ensure that the provider was active at the time service was given and has been approved for payment. Should the provider have a lapsed or terminated contract, the claim will be denied

Preliminary and Full Investigations.

Preliminary investigations are considered a necessary audit step prior to undertaking a full investigation. A preliminary investigation is initiated whenever a complaint or questionable practice is identified. For cases in which fraud and / or abuse is suspected, that information is provided to OHCA's Office of Legal Counsel and, where appropriate, a referral is made by the Office of Legal Counsel to the appropriate law enforcement agency. Program Integrity Referral Forms are utilized to notify the Oklahoma Department of Human Services Office of Inspector General (OKDHS OIG). Notification in writing is submitted to MFCU when a provider is in question.

Investigation Procedures.

Providers. The purpose of the preliminary provider investigation is to determine whether further investigation is warranted and whether fraud and / or abuse is suspected.

The actual methods used in preliminary investigations vary depending on the situation. Techniques that may be used include queries, reports and data mining to identify unusual dollar amounts, units of service and / or billing patterns that may indicate that further investigation is necessary. Procedures applied depend on the issue, but often large scale data extracts are performed and analyzed, on-site reviews are performed, medical records are requested and reviewed, billing patterns analyzed, etc.

For the preliminary investigation of a single provider / member, a query is developed to review the billing or service utilization behaviors of the provider / member. If data indicates unusual or unexpected behavior, other actions are taken such as analysis of previous reviews and findings as well as claimed dollars and service utilization. This information is evaluated to determine whether a full investigation is warranted.

For preliminary investigations on populations such as provider types or provider billing practices, once the issue is isolated a universe of claims is created based on those that could be affected by the review. From this universe, the number of providers and claim dollars impacted by the errors are identified. For new areas of review, onsite reviews are conducted to validate the query results.

An example of a preliminary investigation would be the concern that a provider is up-coding. In this case, Program Integrity (PI) staff develops a query to report the span of CPT codes utilized by the provider. If the query results in only high level codes claimed, with no mid- or lower-level codes billed, further investigation takes place. Further confirmation would include review of the provider contract, the amounts claimed and paid, and other audits or reviews evaluating the provider's behaviors. This information is taken to the Case Selection Committee for discussion and identification of next steps.

If fraud or abuse is suspected, the matter is referred to the OHCA Office of Legal Counsel, which makes the final determination as to whether the matter should be referred to MFCU or other law enforcement agency for full investigation. Where the referral is based on an audit, the MFCU is provided copies of PI audit documentation, findings and appeals results.

Eligibility referrals are screened to determine potential fraud, waste, or abuse, and associated claims are reviewed. Member eligibility is reviewed and compared to referrals received using a variety of

tools and data sources. The review includes a determination of whether immediate or delayed case action is necessary, as well as a decision to pursue further investigation and/or seek remedy to eligibility inaccuracies. Information requests are issued through Program Integrity and through the online eligibility MMIS system depending on circumstances presented. Once the investigation is complete the eligibility is updated, closed, or left unchanged based on reviewer findings. Case actions occur through the online eligibility system, and appropriate notices are issued. Hearings are completed through normal channels for negative actions related to eligibility. Referrals to OIG may occur when fraud is suspected after review by Member Audit.

	Do managed health care plans with which your program contracts have written plans?
	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	Please Explain: [500]
	NA
2.	For the reporting period, please report the
	118 Number of fair hearing appeals of eligibility denials
	16 Number of cases found in favor of beneficiary
3.	For the reporting period, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, regarding fraud and abuse in the following areas:
	Provider Credentialing
	8 Number of cases investigated
	6 Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
	Provider Billing
	8 Number of cases investigated
	6 Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
	Beneficiary Eligibility
	335 Number of cases investigated
	2 Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
Are	e these cases for:
	CHIP
	Medicaid and CHIP Combined
4.	Does your state rely on contractors to perform the above functions?
	Yes, please answer question below.
	□ No
5.	If your state relies on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide

oversight of those contractors? Please explain: [7500]

OKHCA is contracted with an entity that performs investigations on eligibility related to a portion of the Medicaid programs approved through OKDHS. The entity is required to report to OKHCA and is subject to review of records by OHCA or audit by the State Auditor and Inspector.

6.	Do you contract with managed care health plans and/or a third party contractor to provide this oversight?
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Please Explain: [500]
	NA

Enter any Narrative text related to Section IIIF below. [7500]

In regards to provider fraud investigations and any subsequent referrals to law enforcement, OHCA does not differentiate or distinguish between the CHIP and Medicaid programs. *Additionally, OHCA does not categorize provider fraud referrals based on the type of potential fraud, i.e. provider credentialing v. provider billing. A provider fraud referral can contain multiple different reasons and OHCA does not separately track referrals based on the type of provider fraud. Eligibility related investigations and referrals are categorized by program type.

Section IIIG: Dental Benefits:

Please ONLY report data in this section for children in Separate CHIP programs and the Separate CHIP part of Combination programs. Reporting is required for all states with Separate CHIP programs and Combination programs. If your state has a Combination program or a Separate CHIP program but you are not reporting data in this section on children in the Separate CHIP part of your program, please explain why. Explain: [7500]

 Information on Dental Care for Children in Separate CHIP Programs (including children in the Separate CHIP part of Combination programs). Include all delivery system types, e.g. MCO, PCCM, FFS.

Data for this table are based on the definitions provided on the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (EPSDT) Report (Form CMS-416)

a. Annual Dental Participation Table for Children Enrolled in Separate CHIP programs and the Separate CHIP part of Combination programs (for Separate CHIP programs, please include ONLY children receiving full CHIP benefits and supplemental benefits).

FFY 2017	Total (All age groups)	<1 year	1 – 2 years	3 – 5 years	6 – 9 years	10-14 years	15–18 years
Total Individuals Enrolled for at Least	142830	1242	9004	14810	31783	41445	44546

FFY 2017	Total (All age groups)	<1 year	1 – 2 years	3 – 5 years	6 – 9 years	10–14 years	15–18 years
90 Continuous Days ¹							
Total Enrollees Receiving Any Dental Services ² [7]	74380	13	1619	6514	18511	24067	23656
Total Enrollees Receiving Preventive Dental Services ³ [7]	68348	1	1209	5835	17433	22518	21352
Total Enrollees Receiving Dental Treatment Services ⁴ [7]	34683	6	151	1749	8309	11367	13101

¹ Total Individuals Enrolled for at Least 90 Continuous Days – Enter the total unduplicated number of children who have been continuously enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days in the federal fiscal year, distributed by age. For example, if a child was enrolled January 1st to March 31st, this child is considered continuously enrolled for at least 90 continuous days in the federal fiscal year. If a child was enrolled from August 1st to September 30th and from October 1st to November 30th, the child would not be considered to have been enrolled for 90 continuous days in the federal fiscal year. Children should be counted in age groupings based on their age at the end of the fiscal year. For example, if a child turned 3 on September 15th, the child should be counted in the 3-6 age grouping.

²Total Enrollees Receiving Any Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days who received at least one dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D0100 - D9999 (or equivalent CDT codes D0100 - D9999 or equivalent CPT codes) based on an unduplicated paid, unpaid, or denied claim.

³Total Enrollees Receiving Preventive Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days who received at least one preventive dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D1000 - D1999 (or equivalent CDT codes D1000 - D1999 or equivalent CPT codes, that is, only those CPT codes that are for preventive dental services and only if provided by or under the supervision of a dentist), based on an unduplicated paid, unpaid, or denied claim.

⁴Total Enrolllees Receiving Dental Treatment Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days who received at least one treatment service by or under the supervision of a dentist, as defined by HCPCS codes D2000 - D9999 (or equivalent CDT codes D2000 - D9999 or equivalent CPT codes, that is, only those CPT codes that involve periodontics, maxillofacial prosthetics, implants, oral and maxillofacial surgery, orthodontics, adjunctive general services, and only if provided by or under the supervision of a dentist), based on an unduplicated paid, unpaid, or denied claim.

Report all dental services data in the age category reflecting the child's age at the end of the federal fiscal year even if the child received services while in two age categories. For example, if a child turned 10 on September 1st, but had a cleaning in April and a cavity filled in September, both the cleaning and the filling would be counted in the 10-14 age category.

b. For the age grouping that includes children 8 years of age, what is the number of such children who have received a sealant on at least one permanent molar tooth⁵? [7]

⁵Receiving a Sealant on a Permanent Molar Tooth -- Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for 90 continuous days and in the age category of 6-9 who received a sealant on a permanent molar tooth, as defined by HCPCS code D1351 (or equivalent CDT code D1351), based on an unduplicated paid, unpaid, or denied claim. For this line, include sealants placed by any dental professional for whom placing a sealant is within his or her scope of practice. Permanent molars are teeth numbered 2, 3, 14, 15, 18, 19, 30, 31, and additionally, for those states that cover sealants on third molars, also known as wisdom teeth, the teeth numbered 1, 16, 17, 32.

Report all sealant data in the age category reflecting the child's age at the end of the federal fiscal year even if the child was factually a different age on the date of service. For example, if a child turned 6 on September 1st, but had a sealant applied in July, the sealant would be counted in the age 6-9 category.

2.	Does the state provide supplemental dental coverage? Yes	⊠ No
	If yes, how many children are enrolled? [7]	
	What percent of the total number of enrolled children have supplen [5]	nental dental coverage?

Enter any Narrative text related to Section IIIG below. [7500]

Individuals were enrolled for at least 90 continuous days in the federal fiscal year (10/1/2016 - 9/30/2017)

Section IIIH: CHIPRA CAHPS Requirement:

CHIPRA section 402(a)(2), which amends reporting requirements in section 2108 of the Social Security Act, requires Title XXI Programs (i.e., CHIP Medicaid Expansion programs, Separate Child Health Programs, or a combination of the two) to report CAHPS results to CMS starting December 2013. While Title XXI Programs may select any CAHPS survey to fulfill this requirement, CMS encourages these programs to align with the CAHPS measure in the Children's Core Set of Health Care Quality Measures for Medicaid and CHIP (Child Core Set). Starting in 2013, Title XXI Programs should submit summary level information from the CAHPS survey to CMS via the CARTS attachment facility. We also encourage states to submit raw data to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's CAHPS Database. More information is available in the Technical Assistance fact sheet, Collecting and Reporting the CAHPS Survey as

Required Under the CHIPRA: https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/quality-of-care/downloads/cahpsfactsheet.pdf

If a state would like to provide CAHPS data on both Medicaid and CHIP enrollees, the agency must sample Title XIX (Medicaid) and Title XXI (CHIP) programs separately and submit separate results to CMS to fulfill the CHIPRA Requirement.

Did you Collect this Survey in Order to Meet the CHIPRA CAHPS Requirement? ⊠ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, How Did you Report this Survey (select all that apply): Submitted raw data to AHRQ (CAHPS Database) Submitted a summary report to CMS using the CARTS attachment facility (NOTE: do not submit raw CAHPS data to CMS) Other. Explain:
If No, Explain Why: Select all that apply (Must select at least one):
□ Service not covered □ Population not covered □ Partial population not covered Explain the partial population not covered: □ Data not available Explain why data not available □ Budget constraints □ Data inconsistencies/accuracy Please explain: □ Data source not easily accessible Select all that apply: □ Requires medical record review □ Requires data linkage which does not currently exist □ Other: □ Information not collected. Select all that apply: □ Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan) □ Other: □ Other: □ Small sample size (less than 30) Enter specific sample size: □ Other. Explain: Definition of Population Included in the Survey Sample:
Definition of population included in the survey sample: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP (Title XXI) population only. ☐ Survey sample includes CHIP Medicaid Expansion population. ☐ Survey sample includes Separate CHIP population. ☐ Survey sample includes Combination CHIP population.
If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:
Which Version of the CAHPS® Survey was Used? ☐ CAHPS® 5.0. ☐ CAHPS® 5.0H.

Other. Explain:				
No supplemental item se	dren with Chronic Conditions	vey?		
	•			
Enter any Narrative text ro	elated to Section IIIH below.	[7500]		
Section III I: Heal	th Service Initiative	es (HSI) Under the	CHIP State Plan	
percent of actual or estim (HSI) (after first funding co	Persuant to Section 2105(a)(1)(D)(ii) of the Social Security Act, states have the option to use up to 10 percent of actual or estimated Federal expenditures to develop state-designed Health Services Initiatives (HSI) (after first funding costs associated with administration of the CHIP state plan), as defined in regulations at 42 CFR 457.10, to improve the health of low-income children.			
1) Does your state opera	ate HSI(s) to provide direct s	ervices or implement public	c health initiatives using	
Title XXI funds?				
🛚 Yes, please ar	nswer questions below.			
☐ No, please ski	p to Section IV.			
2) In the table below, please provide a brief description of each HSI program operated in the state in the first column. In the second column, please list the populations served by each HSI program. In the third column, provide estimates of the number of children served by each HSI program. In the fourth column, provide the percentage of the population served by the HSI who are children below your state's CHIP FPL eligibility threshold.				
HSI Program	Population Served by HSI Program	Number of Children Served by HSI Program	Percent of Low- income Children Served by HSI Program ¹	
Health Service Initiative	Under 19	201 213	100%	

rioi i logiani	HSI Program	Served by HSI Program	income Children Served by HSI Program ¹
Health Service Initiative Request #1:	Under 19	201,213	100%
Long Acting Reversible Contraceptive (LARC). The initiative promotes education to the targeted age group regarding the use of LARCs. It aligns strategies across	Specifically females ages 11-18		

¹ The percent of children served by the HSI program who are below the CHIP FPL threshold in your state should be reported in this column.

Health Service Initiative Request #2: The Long Acting Reversible Contraceptive(LARC) devices Health Service Initiative (HSI)formulates a concerted effort to address the problem of unwanted pregnancy and promote LARC devices. The State spearheads a statewide effort to promote provider education and training regarding LARC. Health Service Initiative Request #3: This initiative purchases medication that can prevent hospitalizations and deaths with the use of Naloxone, which reverses the effects of an opioid overdose and is completely safe to use. The 13 high-risk, high-need counties identified throughout the State is where Naloxone rescue kits will be distributed to atrisk individuals.	agencies as well as private and public payers in order to promote efficient utilization. This effort increases the population's access and utilization of LARC devices leading to a decrease in unwanted pregnancies as well as decrease costs to the Medicaid program.			
Request #3: This initiative purchases medication that can prevent hospitalizations and deaths with the use of Naloxone, which reverses the effects of an opioid overdose and is completely safe to use. The 13 high-risk, high-need counties identified throughout the State is where Naloxone rescue kits will be distributed to at-	Request #2: The Long Acting Reversible Contraceptive(LARC) devices Health Service Initiative(HSI)formulates a concerted effort to address the problem of unwanted pregnancy and promote LARC devices. The State spearheads a statewide effort to promote provider education and training regarding LARC.	Specifically females ages 11-18	201,213	100%
Health Service Initiative Under 19 1,317 100%	Request #3: This initiative purchases medication that can prevent hospitalizations and deaths with the use of Naloxone, which reverses the effects of an opioid overdose and is completely safe to use. The 13 high-risk, high-need counties identified throughout the State is where Naloxone rescue kits will be distributed to atrisk individuals.		1 317	100%

Request #4:			
This initiative implements an informed and coordinated approach to ensuring quality of care for children in the foster care system that is prescribed psychotropic medications.			
Health Service Initiative Request #5:	Under 19	2,215	100%
This initiative combines the standards of care with current evidence and presents this in a nonbiased manner known as Academic Detailing (AD). The AD program results in measurable cost savings to OHCA through improved prescribing and a decrease in the number of prior authorizations submitted.			

3) Please define a metric for each of your state's HSI programs that is used to measure the program's impact on improving the health of low-income children. In the table below, please list the HSI program title in the first column, and include a metric used to measure that program's impact in the second column. In the third column, please provide the outcomes for metrics reported in the second column. Reporting on outcomes will be optional for the FFY 2017 report as states work to develop metrics and collect outcome data. States that are already reporting to CMS on such measures related to their HSI program(s) do not need to replicate that reporting here and may skip to Section IV.

HSI Program	Metric	Outcome
HSI #1	Teen Birth Rates; Medicaid LARC Claims Data	N/A
HSI #2	LARC Claims Data for Trained Providers	N/A

HSI Program	Metric	Outcome
HSI #3 Naloxone Rescue Kits for distribution to at-risk youth	Establishment of distribution centers for Opioid Education and Naloxone Distribution (OEND)	As of September 30, 2016 Contracts were established with 20 agencies to provide OEND services 20 agencies received training on conducting OEND
		20 agencies received educational materials 9 agencies ordered and
		 received Narcan 7 agencies ordered Narcan and were waiting on shipment
HSI #3 Naloxone Rescue Kits	Distribution of Naloxone kits to	2 staff members were hired As of July 2017:
for distribution to at-risk youth	at-risk youth (OEND) program	18 Agencies contracted with ODMHSAS to distribute naloxone kits to at risk youth.
		218 Naloxone kits distributed via OEND- CHIP program as of July 2017
		http://takeasprescribed.org/overdose- prevention-naloxone
HSI #3 Naloxone Rescue Kits for distribution to at-risk youth	Decrease in unintentional overdose and/or number of hospitalizations	1 rescue of 17 year old as demonstrated by refill of kit and reported by distribution center.
HSI #3 Naloxone Rescue Kits for distribution to at-risk youth	Outreach to layperson as potential overdose by-standers	Advertising campaign completed targeting cable markets that were identified as the primary demographic for outreach.
		Program embedded into ODMHSAS website: http://takeasprescribed.org
HSI #4	Improving the health of care for low income children in foster care	See #4 outcome measure in the narrative section
HSI #5: Academic Detailing	ADHD Prior Authorizations Submitted	30.3% decrease

HSI Program	Metric	Outcome
HSI #5: Academic Detailing	High-tier ADHD Claims	43.6% decrease
HSI #5: Academic Detailing	ADHD claims, age 0-4	58.33% decrease

Enter any Narrative text related to Section III I below. [7500]

HSI #1: The Long Acting Reversible Contraceptive (LARC) devices Health Service Initiative (HSI) will address a state-wide effort to promote education to the 18 and younger targeted age group. The initiative will align strategies across agencies as well as private and public payers in order to promote efficient utilization. This effort will increase the target population's access and utilization of LARC devices leading to a decrease in unwanted pregnancies as well as decrease costs to the Medicaid program.

Oklahoma ranks #2 in the nation in teen births. HSI #1 has worked to increase access and utilization of LARC devices for Oklahoma teens. This effort has revised the Oklahoma State Plan Amendment related to LARC reimbursement to increase access to LARC devices. The effort has also been working with local, state, and national entities to align resources and strategies. Currently over 25 organizations are engaged in the agency's LARC advisory group with leadership from both state universities, the state health department, and the two largest private payers in Oklahoma represented on the group. In addition regional teen pregnancy prevention organizations from the two largest counties in Oklahoma (Oklahoma County and Tulsa County) have engaged with the advisory group and the program to promote LARC through their networks. The effort is currently working on a website that will be a hub of information for the entire state including providers, non-profits, parents, and teens. In addition, efforts to address inventory and same day service issues are in development.

HSI #2: The Long Acting Reversible Contraceptive (LARC) devices Health Service Initiative (HSI) will formulate a concerted effort to address the problem of unintended pregnancy and promote LARC devices. The State proposes to spearhead a state-wide effort to promote provider education and training regarding LARC devices and align strategies across agencies as well as private and public payers in order to support efficient utilization. The State will contract with an entity to provide training and education for other payers, medical schools, health departments, and stakeholders in order to increase availability and usage of LARC devices while decreasing the barriers of LARC device usage in female Oklahomans under the age of 19.

Approximately half of Oklahoma Medicaid contracted providers with contraception claims provide LARC. HSI #2 aimed to increase this number by providing a comprehensive LARC training program for Oklahoma family planning providers who serve patients under 19. This effort resulted in a training program being developed in partnership with the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center. This program trained 124 providers from across the state. The majority of providers trained were physicians (57%) with nurse practitioners making up 27% of the trainees. Sixty-nine percent of the providers trained were family practice providers, and 27% of the providers trained were from rural Oklahoma. In total the providers who were trained see approximately 3,100 females aged 13-18 years old meaning that now these females under 19 have access to LARC. There is still considerable interest from the provider community for more training sessions; therefore efforts to offer more training sessions are in development. Expansion of the training to include new topics and education for administrative and clinical support staff are also being developed.

Section IV. Program financing for State Plan

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-federal funds). (Note: This reporting period equals federal fiscal year 2017. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.)

COST OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN

Benefit Costs	2017	2018	2019
Insurance payments			
Managed Care	196087504	199617079	202810952
Fee for Service	24823188	25071420	25472563
Total Benefit Costs	220910692	224688499	228283515
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)			
Net Benefit Costs	\$ 220910692	\$ 224688499	\$ 228283515

Administration Costs	2017	2018	2019
Personnel			
General Administration	9031149	10160210	10322773
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs			
Other (e.g., indirect costs)			
Health Services Initiatives	1454254	1358198	2926043
Total Administration Costs	10485403	11518408	13248816
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	24545632	24965389	25364835

	2017	2018	2019
Federal Title XXI Share	219733732	222034493	227040391
State Share	11662363	14172414	14491940
TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN	231396095	236206907	241532331

2.	What were the sources of non-federal funding used for state match during the reporting period?

\boxtimes	State appropriations
	County/local funds
	Employer contributions
	Foundation grants
	Private donations
\boxtimes	Tobacco settlement
	Other (specify) [500]

3. Did you experience a short fall in CHIP funds this year? If so, what is your analysis for why there were not enough federal CHIP funds for your program? [1500] Yes. Due to delay in Congress action on reauthorizing and extending the CHIP program for upcoming

federal fiscal years beginning October 1, 2017.

4. In the tables below, enter 1) number of eligibles used to determine per member per month costs for the current year and estimates for the next two years; and, 2) per member per month (PMPM) cost rounded to a whole number. If you have CHIP enrollees in a fee for service program, per member per month cost will be the average cost per month to provide services to these enrollees.

A. Managed Care

Year	Number of Eligibles	PMPM (\$)
2017	130358	\$157
2018	136876	\$156
2019	143720	\$155

A. Fee For Service

Year	Number of Eligibles	PMPM (\$)
2017	10906	\$232
2018	10797	\$237
2019	10624	\$244

Enter any Narrative text related to Section IV below. [7500]

The estimate for FFY-2018 and FFY-2019 are based on the assumption of CHIP program will be reauthorized by Congress for the next five years thru 2022 and maintain the ACA's 23 percentage-point increase in the enhanced federal match in FY 2018 and FY 2019.

Section V: Program Challenges and Accomplishments

1. For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted CHIP. [7500]

Political Environment

The SoonerCare and Insure Oklahoma programs have faced budget challenges in 2017 and continue to be a central focus of the state's leadership and legislature. Due to the state's continuing budget shortfalls and amidst a Supreme Court ruling that found one of the legislative revenue raising measures to be unconstitutional, the agency experienced a \$70 million dollar appropriations shortage. Anticipating revenue shortages, the OHCA board voted to implement across-the-board rate reductions in the amount of 9% to most providers and a 6% to nursing home providers to be effective 1/1/2018. The proposed reduction excluded complex rehabilitation technology provider services, long-term care facilities, child abuse exams, non-emergency transportation, Insure Oklahoma, payments for drug ingredients, physician supplied drugs, services provided under a waiver, services paid for by other state agencies, services provided to Native Americans through Indian Health Services Indian/Tribal/Urban (ITU) Clinics, and private duty nursing, emergency transportation, FQHCs/RHCs, Choice Care Coordination, and Programs of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE). The board also approved a 6 percent rate reduction for nursing facilities, both regular and acute Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities (ICF/IID) and nursing facilities with services for individuals diagnosed with AIDs as well as eliminating Medicare crossover coinsurance and deductible payments for nursing facilities.

Following the regular legislative session, the Governor vetoed most of the funding but preserved so funding for state agencies. The funding however was not enough to cancel the rate cuts. After a special session called by the Governor, the rate cuts to be implemented 1/1/2018 were reduced 6% and 1% respectively. A second session was called resulting in the agency being appropriated an additional 17.7 million dollars. This funding allowed the agency to reverse the proposed rate cuts and to continue with the programs in their current form until March of 2018. The agency continues to have a 48 million dollar budget deficit. The special session is scheduled to reconvene in January 2018 to address the budget shortfall issue for the agency.

Fiscal Environment

According to the October 2017 Oklahoma Economic Report released by the Oklahoma State Treasurer's Office, "October Gross Receipts to the Treasury are up by more than 10 percent from the same month of the prior year, the first time double-digit growth has been seen in four and a half years. It also marks the ninth time since the start of the calendar year that monthly Gross Receipts to the Treasury are more than the prior year." Also, "While there have been improvements in the economy and state revenues, there is no meaningful fix for the structural imbalance in sight and reserves continue to be depleted."

These structural imbalances refer to weak revenue collections brought about partly as a result of declining oil and gas prices and have resulted in credit agencies lowering Oklahoma's credit rating. Last March, Standard and Poor's Global Ratings lowered its rating on the state one notch to "AA-" from "AA".

According to S&P, "The downgrade reflects our view that persistently weak revenue collections have further compounded the state's challenge to achieve structural balance in fiscal 2018. The state's financial position has deteriorated to a point that further precludes the state from building up reserves in subsequent fiscal years. Given the state's reliance on nonrecurring measures to balance fiscal 2016 and 2017 budgets, coupled with shrinking reserves balances, we believe the state is vulnerable

to further revenue declines. In the absence of meaningful structural reforms that align revenues and expenditures and that do not materially depend on onetime budget solutions...we could lower the ratings (again).

During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced?[7500]

Due to the State budget shortfall ,OHCA is facing budget pressure that is forcing the agency to make tough choices and threatens OHCA's ability to pay adequate provider rates. The agency must continue to be diligent in its efforts to reduce expenses while maintaining current services and provider rates.

3. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program? [7500]
The OHCA has continued to identify areas and projects worthwhile to pursue given political and fiscal constraints. The following paragraphs describe a few of Oklahoma's accomplishments in SFY 2018.

A. Academic Detailing

Title:

Evaluation of Academic Detailing on Prescribing Patterns for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

Abstract:

Background, Relevance, Aims/Objectives:

Prescribing patterns show consistent and significant care deficits for the treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). These deficits are particularly notable in the pediatric population despite well-established guidelines. We developed and implemented an ADHD Academic Detailing (ADHD-AD) program to increase evidence-based care and reduce prescription costs for the associated healthcare plan.

Practice Description:

Primary care providers were identified for ADHD-AD based on number and cost of paid medication claims and prior authorization (PA) submissions for pediatric ADHD patients. ADHD-AD materials were prepared and sessions provided by a clinical pharmacist. Provider surveys were administered to establish AD program satisfaction.

Outcomes:

Prescribing patterns were evaluated 180 days pre- and post-detailing and compared with non-detailed providers during the detailing time period. Detailed providers reduced both the number and cost of ADHD medications by more than 58% for patients age four and younger. Across all ages, detailed providers reduced the cost of ADHD medications and number PA submissions with a resultant savings of more than \$110,000, or \$1676 per detailed provider, after all applicable federal and state rebates. More than 98% of detailed providers believed the AD program was clearly presented, easily understood, and evidence-based. Nearly 90% would recommend the program to colleagues and plan to participate in future topics.

Conclusions/Implications:

ADHD-AD provides measurable improvements in clinical care and associated cost savings. Future considerations include expansion if the AD program to include additional providers and disease topics.

B. Long Acting Reversible Contraceptive Initiative #1

The initiative promotes education to the targeted age group regarding the use of LARCs. It aligns strategies across agencies as well as private and public payers in order to promote efficient utilization. This effort increases the population's access and utilization of LARC devices leading to a decrease in unwanted pregnancies as well as decrease costs to the Medicaid program.

Oklahoma ranks #2 in the nation in teen births. LARC #1 has worked to increase access and utilization of LARC devices for Oklahoma teens. This effort has revised the Oklahoma State Plan Amendment related to LARC reimbursement to increase access to LARC devices. The effort has also been working with local, state, and national entities to align resources and strategies. Currently over 25 organizations are engaged in the agency's LARC advisory group with leadership from both state universities, the state health department, and the two largest private payers in Oklahoma represented on the group. In addition regional teen pregnancy prevention organizations from the two largest counties in Oklahoma (Oklahoma County and Tulsa County) have engaged with the advisory group and the program to promote LARC through their networks. The effort is currently working on a website that will be a hub of information for the entire state including providers, non-profits, parents, and teens. In addition, efforts to address inventory and same day service issues are in development.

C. Long Active Reversible Contraceptive Initiative #2

This initiative purchases the actual LARC devices and compliments the educational initiative. It addresses the problem of unwanted pregnancy by promoting LARC devices, and increasing the availability and usage of LARC devices while decreasing the barriers of LARC device usage in females.

D. Naloxone

Naloxone, also known by the brand name Narcan®, reverses the effects of an overdose of heroin or opioid painkillers. Partnering with ODMHSAS, this project will focus on the 18 and younger population. Through this project, 13 high risk Oklahoma counties receive and distribute naloxone to Oklahomans who are under 19 and at risk of an opioid overdose or who are under 19 and know someone that might be at risk. Naloxone is distributed at Comprehensive Community Addiction Recovery Centers and Opioid Treatment Programs in the targeted areas.

 Number of Naloxone Kits distributed to persons 19 or under OR knows a person 19 or under at risk of overdose

#4 - January 2017 #45 April 2017 #173 May, June July 2017

As of September 30, 2016

Contracts were established with 20 agencies to provide OEND services

20 agencies received training on conducting OEND services and reporting requirements

20 agencies received educational materials

9 agencies ordered and received Narcan

7 agencies ordered Narcan and were waiting on shipment

2 staff members were hired

As of July 2017:

18 Agencies contracted with ODMHSAS to distribute naloxone kits to at risk youth.

218 Naloxone kits distributed via OEND- CHIP program as of July 2017

http://takeasprescribed.org/overdose-prevention-naloxone

Future Plans:

- Zero Member Copay and not go against Rx Limit
- Decrease in unintentional overdose and/or number of hospitalizations
- 4. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your CHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. **[7500]**

Because of the uncertainty around continuation of the CHIP program OHCA is planning to transition children currently being covered under CHIP into the Title XIX program if CHIP is not reauthorized. OHCA is also planning and looking for ways to continue initiatives begun with the help of CHIP HSI funds.

Enter any Narrative text related to Section V below. **[7500]** NA