Dear Ms. Brodie:

I am writing to inform you that CMS is granting Alaska final approval of its Statewide Transition Plan (STP) to bring settings into compliance with the federal home and community-based services (HCBS) regulations found at 42 CFR Section 441.301(c)(4)(5) and Section 441.710(a)(1)(2). Upon receiving initial approval for completion of its systemic assessment and outline of systemic remediation activities on December 28, 2016, the state worked diligently in making a series of changes requested by CMS in order to achieve final approval.

Final approval is granted due to the state completing the following activities:

- Conducted a comprehensive site-specific assessment and validation of all settings serving individuals receiving Medicaid-funded HCBS, included in the STP the outcomes of these activities, and proposed remediation strategies to rectify any issues uncovered through the site specific assessment and validation processes by the end of the transition period.
- Outlined a detailed plan for identifying settings that are presumed to have institutional characteristics, including qualities that isolate HCBS beneficiaries, as well as the proposed process for evaluating these settings and preparing for submission to CMS for review under heightened scrutiny;
- Developed a process for communicating with beneficiaries who are currently receiving services in settings that the state has determined cannot or will not come into compliance with the home and community-based settings criteria by March 17, 2022; and
- Established ongoing monitoring and quality assurance processes that will ensure all settings providing HCBS continue to remain fully compliant with the rule in the future.

After reviewing the STP submitted by the state on June 7, 2018, CMS provided additional feedback on July 2, 2018, and requested several technical changes be made to the STP in order for the state to receive final approval. The state subsequently addressed all issues and resubmitted an updated version on August 20, 2018. A summary of the technical changes made by the state is attached.

The state is encouraged to work collaboratively with CMS to identify any areas that may need strengthening with respect to the state's remediation and heightened scrutiny processes as the state implements each of these key elements of the transition plan. Optional quarterly reports through the milestone tracking system, designed to assist states to track their transition processes, will focus on four key areas:

1. Reviewing progress made to-date in the state's completion of its proposed milestones;
2. Discussing challenges and potential strategies for addressing issues that may arise during the state’s remediation process;
3. Adjusting the state's process as needed to assure that all sites meeting the regulation's categories of presumed institutional settings\(^1\) have been identified, reflecting how the state has assessed settings based on each of the three categories, and describing the state's progress in preparing submissions to CMS for a heightened scrutiny review; and
4. Providing feedback to CMS on the status of implementation, including noting any challenges with respect to capacity building efforts and technical support needs.

It is important to note that CMS' approval of a STP solely addresses the state's compliance with the applicable Medicaid authorities. CMS' approval does not address the state's independent and separate obligations under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act or the Supreme Court's *Olmstead v. LC* decision. Guidance from the Department of Justice concerning compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Olmstead decision is available at: [http://www.ada.gov/olmstead/q&a_olmstead.htm](http://www.ada.gov/olmstead/q&a_olmstead.htm).

This letter does not convey approval of any settings submitted to CMS for heightened scrutiny review, but does convey approval of the state's process for addressing that issue. Any settings that have been or will be submitted by the state for heightened scrutiny will be reviewed and a determination made separate and distinct from the final approval.

Thank you for your work on this STP. CMS appreciates the state's effort in completing this work and congratulates the state for continuing to make progress on its transition to ensure all settings are in compliance with the federal home and community-based services regulations.

Sincerely,

Ralph F. Lollar, Director
Division of Long Term Services and Supports

---

\(^1\) CMS describes heightened scrutiny as being required for three types of presumed institutional settings: 1) Settings located in a building that is also a publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment; 2) Settings in a building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution; 3) Any other setting that has the effect of isolating individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS from the broader community of individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.
SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO THE STP MADE BY THE STATE OF ALASKA
AS REQUESTED BY CMS IN ORDER TO RECEIVE FINAL APPROVAL

(Detailed list of technical changes made to the STP since June 7, 2018)

Public notice

- Provided a description of the most recent public comment period to include the dates of the 30-day public comment period, at least 2 statements of public notice and input procedures, and descriptions of how individuals could make comments, how the state made the full STP available and how stakeholders were informed of the availability. (p. 110)

Site-Specific Assessment & Validation

- Clarified all settings were validated in the non-sampled group with an initial desk review, a focused review and a secondary level review. (p. 20)
- Confirmed that the STP accurately includes all group supported employment settings in its assessment and validation activities and verified all group and individual supported employment settings are being included in the ongoing monitoring. (p. 14)

Site-Specific Remedial Actions

- Verified the results on p. 19 are from compliance findings based on the state’s validation process of those provider self-assessments. (p. 18)
- Clarified the total number of settings was 650 and not 654. There were four extra survey keys that were free-standing and not associated with any setting, while all settings received a survey. (p. 18)

Heightened Scrutiny

- Clarified the process the state follows to determine whether to send a setting to CMS for heightened scrutiny review. (p. 28-29)
- Clarified that the state had misidentified some settings as requiring heightened scrutiny in the original STP, which were subsequently determined to not be institutional in nature in later submissions of the STP. (p. 28)
- Clarified that there was one assisted living home, originally identified as a setting on the grounds of a public institution, that is on the grounds of a private institution; therefore the setting does not fall under the institutional presumption. (p. 27-28)