

1. Title page for the state’s substance use disorder (SUD) demonstration or the SUD component of the broader demonstration

The state should complete this title page at the beginning of a demonstration and submit as the title page for all monitoring reports. The content of this table should stay consistent over time. Definitions for certain rows are below the table.

State	District of Columbia
Demonstration name	Behavioral Health Transformation
Approval period for section 1115 demonstration	01/01/2020 – 12/31/2024
SUD demonstration start date^a	01/01/2020
Implementation date of SUD demonstration, if different from SUD demonstration start date^b	
SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD -related) demonstration goals and objectives	The goal of the demonstration is for the District to maintain and enhance access to opioid use disorder (OUD) and other substance use disorder (SUD) services; and continue delivery system improvements to provide more coordinated and comprehensive treatment for Medicaid beneficiaries with SUD. This demonstration authorizes the District to receive federal financial participation (FFP) for delivering high-quality, clinically appropriate treatment to beneficiaries diagnosed with SUD and receiving treatment while they are short-term residents in settings that qualify as Institutions for Mental Diseases (IMD). This demonstration also complements the District’s efforts to implement models of care that are focused on increasing supports for individuals outside of institutions, in home and community-based settings (HCBS) to improve their access to SUD services at varied levels of intensity, and to combat OUD and other SUDs among District residents.
SUD demonstration year and quarter	SUD DY2Q2
Reporting period	04/01/2021 – 06/30/2021

^a **SUD demonstration start date:** For monitoring purposes, CMS defines the start date of the demonstration as the *effective date* listed in the state’s STCs at time of SUD demonstration approval. For example, if the state’s STCs at the time of SUD demonstration approval note that the SUD demonstration is effective January 1, 2020 – December 31, 2025, the state should consider January 1, 2020 to be the start date of the SUD demonstration. Note that the effective date is considered to be the first day the state may begin its SUD demonstration. In many cases, the effective date is distinct from the approval date of a demonstration;

that is, in certain cases, CMS may approve a section 1115 demonstration with an effective date that is in the future. For example, CMS may approve an extension request on 12/15/2020, with an effective date of 1/1/2021 for the new demonstration period. In many cases, the effective date also differs from the date a state begins implementing its demonstration.

^b Implementation date of SUD demonstration: The date the state began claiming federal financial participation for services provided to individuals in institutions for mental disease.

2. Executive summary

SUD utilization of any SUD treatment and outpatient services remains at lower levels than prior to the COVID-19 public health emergency. The overall SUD treatment measure (“Any SUD treatment) continued to increase this quarter and appears to be partially impacted by a return of beneficiaries using residential and inpatient services.

There were significant increases in a majority of the HIT metrics due to the activities of the HIE Connectivity grant, as outlined in the implementation plan.

There were significant decreases in the number of grievances, appeals, and critical incidents. The District attributes these changes to natural variation.

3. Narrative information on implementation, by milestone and reporting topic

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
1. Assessment of need and qualification for SUD services			
1.1 Metric trends			
1.1.1. The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services		#2: Medicaid beneficiaries with newly initiated SUD treatment/diagnosis	The number of Medicaid beneficiaries with newly initiated SUD treatment/diagnosis increased by 10% between Q4 (10/1/20-12/31/20) and Q1 (1/1/21-3/31/21). The District attributes this increase to rebounding utilization since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic.
1.2 Implementation update			
1.2.1. Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 1.2.1.i. The target population(s) of the demonstration	X		
1.2.1.ii. The clinical criteria (e.g., SUD diagnoses) that qualify a beneficiary for the demonstration	X		
1.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
2. Access to Critical Levels of Care for OUD and other SUDs (Milestone 1)			
2.1 Metric trends			
2.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 1		#6: Any SUD treatment #8: Outpatient services #9: Intensive Outpatient and Partial Hospitalization Services #10: Residential and inpatient services	DHCF calculated the following changes that were more than 2% between Q4 (10/1/20-12/31/21) and Q1 (1/1/21-3/31/21): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There was a 3% increase in the number of Medicaid beneficiaries receiving any SUD treatment • There was a 5% decrease in the number of Medicaid beneficiaries receiving outpatient services. • There was an 7% increase in the number of Medicaid beneficiaries receiving intensive outpatient and partial hospitalization services. The District attributes the large increase to the small numbers in this measure. • There was a 3% increase in the number of Medicaid beneficiaries receiving residential and inpatient services. SUD utilization of any SUD treatment and outpatient services remains at lower levels than prior to the COVID-19 public health emergency. The overall SUD treatment measure (“Any SUD treatment”) continued to increase this quarter and appears to be partially impacted by a return of beneficiaries using residential and inpatient services. There may be an inverse relationship between residential/inpatient services and outpatient services. The increase in residential/inpatient services could help explain some of the decrease in outpatient services.
2.2 Implementation update			

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 [District of Columbia] [Behavioral Health Transformation]

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
2.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 2.2.1.i. Planned activities to improve access to SUD treatment services across the continuum of care for Medicaid beneficiaries (e.g. outpatient services, intensive outpatient services, medication-assisted treatment, services in intensive residential and inpatient settings, medically supervised withdrawal management)	X		
2.2.1.ii. SUD benefit coverage under the Medicaid state plan or the Expenditure Authority, particularly for residential treatment, medically supervised withdrawal management, and medication-assisted treatment services provided to individual IMDs	X		
2.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 1	X		

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 [District of Columbia] [Behavioral Health Transformation]

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
3. Use of Evidence-based, SUD-specific Patient Placement Criteria (Milestone 2)			
3.1 Metric trends			
3.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 2	X		
3.2. Implementation update			
3.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 3.2.1.i. Planned activities to improve providers' use of evidence-based, SUD-specific placement criteria	X		
3.2.1.ii. Implementation of a utilization management approach to ensure (a) beneficiaries have access to SUD services at the appropriate level of care, (b) interventions are appropriate for the diagnosis and level of care, or (c) use of independent process for reviewing placement in residential treatment settings	X		
3.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 2	X		

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 [District of Columbia] [Behavioral Health Transformation]

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
4. Use of Nationally Recognized SUD-specific Program Standards to Set Provider Qualifications for Residential Treatment Facilities (Milestone 3)			
4.1 Metric trends			
4.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 3 <i>Note: There are no CMS-provided metrics related to Milestone 3. If the state did not identify any metrics for reporting this milestone, the state should indicate it has no update to report.</i>	X		
4.2 Implementation update			
4.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 4.2.1.i. Implementation of residential treatment provider qualifications that meet the ASAM Criteria or other nationally recognized, SUD-specific program standards	X		
4.2.1.ii. Review process for residential treatment providers' compliance with qualifications.	X		
4.2.1.iii. Availability of medication-assisted treatment at residential treatment facilities, either on-site or through facilitated access to services off site	X		
4.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 3	X		

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 [District of Columbia] [Behavioral Health Transformation]

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
5. Sufficient Provider Capacity at Critical Levels of Care including for Medication Assisted Treatment for OUD (Milestone 4)			
5.1 Metric trends			
5.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 4	X		
5.2 Implementation update			
5.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: Planned activities to assess the availability of providers enrolled in Medicaid and accepting new patients in across the continuum of SUD care	X		
5.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 4	X		
6. Implementation of Comprehensive Treatment and Prevention Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)			
6.1 Metric trends			
6.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 5		#23: Emergency Department Utilization for SUD per 1,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries	The rate of ED utilization per 1,000 beneficiaries increased by 5% between Q4 (10/1/20-12/31/20) and Q1 (1/1/21-3/31/21). The District attributes this change to the start of the data rebounding after a 15% drop was reported the previous quarter.
6.2 Implementation update			
6.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 6.2.1.i. Implementation of opioid prescribing guidelines and other interventions related to prevention of OUD	X		

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 [District of Columbia] [Behavioral Health Transformation]

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
6.2.1.ii. Expansion of coverage for and access to naloxone	X		
6.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 5	X		
7. Improved Care Coordination and Transitions between Levels of Care (Milestone 6)			
7.1 Metric trends			
7.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 6	X		
7.2 Implementation update			
7.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: Implementation of policies supporting beneficiaries' transition from residential and inpatient facilities to community-based services and supports	X		
7.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 6	X		
8. SUD health information technology (health IT)			
8.1 Metric trends			

<p>8.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to its health IT metrics</p>		<p>Q1: Active DC HIE behavioral health provider users</p> <p>S1: DC Medicaid-enrolled behavioral health care facilities/providers receiving data from the HIE</p> <p>S2: DC Medicaid-enrolled behavioral health care facilities/providers sending data to the HIE</p> <p>Q2: Behavioral health providers managed in provider directory</p> <p>Q3: DC HIE behavioral health users who performed a patient care snapshot in the last 30 days</p>	<p>Q1: The number of active DC HIE behavioral health provider users increased by 37.3% due to the activities of the HIE Connectivity grant. As outlined in the implementation plan, the HIE Connectivity grant provides technical assistance to connect nearly all Medicaid providers to HIE by 2022 and behavioral health providers were assigned priority for technical assistance.</p> <p>S1: The number of DC Medicaid-enrolled behavioral health care facilities/providers receiving data from the HIE increased by 18.1% due to the activities of the HIE Connectivity grant, as described above.</p> <p>S2: The number of DC Medicaid-enrolled behavioral health care facilities/providers sending data to the HIE increased by 16.7% due to the activities of the HIE Connectivity grant, as described above.</p> <p>Q2: The 19.2% increase in the number of behavioral health providers managed in provider directory corresponds with the overall increase in the number of active DC HIE behavioral health provider users, as described above.</p> <p>Q3: The District believes the 8.5% decrease in the number of users who performed a patient care snapshot is due to natural variation.</p>
<p>8.2 Implementation update</p>			

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 [District of Columbia] [Behavioral Health Transformation]

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
8.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 8.2.1.i. How health IT is being used to slow down the rate of growth of individuals identified with SUD	X		
How health IT is being used to treat effectively individuals identified with SUD	X		
8.2.1.ii. How health IT is being used to effectively monitor “recovery” supports and services for individuals identified with SUD	X		
8.2.1.iii. Other aspects of the state’s plan to develop the health IT infrastructure/capabilities at the state, delivery system, health plan/MCO, and individual provider levels	X		
8.2.1.iv. Other aspects of the state’s health IT implementation milestones	X		
8.2.1.v. The timeline for achieving health IT implementation milestones	X		
8.2.1.vi. Planned activities to increase use and functionality of the state’s prescription drug monitoring program	X		
8.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to health IT	X		
9. Other SUD-related metrics			
9.1 Metric trends			

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
9.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics		#33: Grievances Related to SUD Treatment Services #34: Appeals Related to SUD Treatment Services #35: Critical Incidents Related to SUD Treatment Services	#33: The District believes the 100% decrease in grievances is due to natural variation. #34: The District believes the 100% decrease in appeals is due to natural variation. #35: The District believes the 63.6% decrease in critical incidents is due to natural variation.
9.2 Implementation update			
9.2.1 The state expects to make the following program changes that may affect other SUD-related metrics	X		

4. Narrative information on other reporting topics

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
10. Budget neutrality		
10.1 Current status and analysis		

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 [District of Columbia] [Behavioral Health Transformation]

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
10.1.1 If the SUD component is part of a broader demonstration, the state should provide an analysis of the SUD-related budget neutrality and an analysis of budget neutrality as a whole. Describe the current status of budget neutrality and an analysis of the budget neutrality to date.		The District is working to resolve some data issues regarding budget neutrality calculations. The District will submit Q1 and Q2 budget neutrality reports on September 30, 2021.
10.2 Implementation update		
10.2.1 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect budget neutrality	X	
11. SUD-related demonstration operations and policy		
11.1 Considerations		
11.1.1 The state should highlight significant SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD-related) demonstration operations or policy considerations that could positively or negatively affect beneficiary enrollment, access to services, timely provision of services, budget neutrality, or any other provision that has potential for beneficiary impacts. Also note any activity that may accelerate or create delays or impediments in achieving the SUD demonstration’s approved goals or objectives, if not already reported elsewhere in this document. See report template instructions for more detail.		The COVID-19 public health emergency has the potential to broadly affect DC Medicaid. The public health emergency would impact beneficiary enrollment, access to services, and timely provision of services.
11.2 Implementation update		
11.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:		
11.2.1.i. How the delivery system operates under the demonstration (e.g. through the managed care system or fee for service)	X	
11.2.1.ii. Delivery models affecting demonstration participants (e.g. Accountable Care Organizations, Patient Centered Medical Homes)	X	
11.2.1.iii. Partners involved in service delivery	X	

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 [District of Columbia] [Behavioral Health Transformation]

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
11.2.2 The state experienced challenges in partnering with entities contracted to help implement the demonstration (e.g., health plans, credentialing vendors, private sector providers) and/or noted any performance issues with contracted entities	X	
11.2.3 The state is working on other initiatives related to SUD or OUD		<p>In September 2019, the District received a SUPPORT Section 1003 planning grant to increase the treatment capacity of Medicaid providers to deliver substance use disorder treatment and recovery services.</p> <p>In August 2020, the District received a second State Opioid Response (SOR) grant.</p>

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
<p>11.2.4 The initiatives described above are related to the SUD or OUD demonstration (The state should note similarities and differences from the SUD demonstration)</p>		<p>The SUPPORT Section 1003 planning grant complements the 1115 SUD demonstration. The funding allows the District to support behavioral health transformation in several ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct a comprehensive needs assessment of Medicaid provider capacity to diagnose and treat SUD • Provide education and technical assistance among Medicaid providers to build provider capacity to treat individuals with SUD in community settings • Build critical infrastructure to support appropriate, privacy-preserving information exchange <p>The SOR 2 grant complements the 1115 SUD demonstration. The funding allows the District to support behavioral health transformation in several ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase entry points into the system of care (e.g., mobile screening and MAT in high need communities) • Coordinate care as individuals move through the system by supporting the development of a care management entity and care managers at the DC Jail • Provide training, technical assistance, coaching, and consultation to SUD providers/health care professionals to increase their ability to address client needs • Implement a coordinated approach at the community level by facilitating key stakeholders in each ward to work collaboratively around prevention, community outreach, and education initiatives

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 [District of Columbia] [Behavioral Health Transformation]

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
12. SUD demonstration evaluation update		
12.1 Narrative information		
12.1.1 Provide updates on SUD evaluation work and timeline. The appropriate content will depend on when this report is due to CMS and the timing for the demonstration. There are specific requirements per Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) for annual reports. See report template instructions for more details.		In accordance with the District’s approved evaluation design: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site visits and listening sessions were held during this quarter for primary data collection. Highlights from the interviews were shared. • The first round of the beneficiary survey concluded. A memo on initial findings of the beneficiary survey that included survey methodology and tabulation of responses was shared. • IMPAQ began planning for the second round of key informant interviews. • IMPAQ continues to develop code for quantitative data analysis. • IMPAQ continues to plan for the mid-point assessment.
12.1.2 Provide status updates on deliverables related to the demonstration evaluation and indicate whether the expected timelines are being met and/or if there are any real or anticipated barriers in achieving the goals and timeframes agreed to in the STCs	X	
12.1.3 List anticipated evaluation-related deliverables related to this demonstration and their due dates	X	
13. Other demonstration reporting		
13.1 General reporting requirements		
13.1.1 The state reports changes in its implementation of the demonstration that might necessitate a change to approved STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol	X	
13.1.2 The state anticipates the need to make future changes to the STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol, based on expected or upcoming implementation changes	X	

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0
 [District of Columbia] [Behavioral Health Transformation]

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
13.1.3 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:		
13.1.3.i. The schedule for completing and submitting monitoring reports	X	
13.1.3.ii. The content or completeness of submitted reports and/or future reports	X	
13.1.4 The state identified real or anticipated issues submitting timely post-approval demonstration deliverables, including a plan for remediation	X	
13.2 Post-award public forum		
13.2.2 If applicable within the timing of the demonstration, provide a summary of the annual post-award public forum held pursuant to 42 CFR § 431.420(c) indicating any resulting action items or issues. A summary of the post-award public forum must be included here for the period during which the forum was held and in the annual report.	X	
14. Notable state achievements and/or innovations		
14.1 Narrative information		
14.1.1 Provide any relevant summary of achievements and/or innovations in demonstration enrollment, benefits, operations, and policies pursuant to the hypotheses of the SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD related) demonstration or that served to provide better care for individuals, better health for populations, and/or reduce per capita cost. Achievements should focus on significant impacts to beneficiary outcomes. Whenever possible, the summary should describe the achievement or innovation in quantifiable terms, e.g., number of impacted beneficiaries.	X	

*The state should remove all example text from the table prior to submission.

Note: Licensee and states must prominently display the following notice on any display of Measure rates:

Measures IET-AD, FUA-AD, FUM-AD, and AAP [Metrics #15, 17(1), 17(2), and 32] are Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) measures that are owned and copyrighted by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). HEDIS measures and specifications are not clinical guidelines, do not establish a standard of medical care and have not been tested for all potential applications. The measures and specifications are provided “as is” without warranty of any kind. NCQA makes no representations, warranties or endorsements about the quality of any product, test or protocol identified as numerator compliant or otherwise identified as meeting the requirements of a HEDIS measure or specification. NCQA makes no representations, warranties, or endorsement about the quality of any organization or clinician who uses or reports performance measures and NCQA has no liability to anyone who relies on HEDIS measures or specifications or data reflective of performance under such measures and specifications.

The measure specification methodology used by CMS is different from NCQA’s methodology. NCQA has not validated the adjusted measure specifications but has granted CMS permission to adjust. A calculated measure result (a “rate”) from a HEDIS measure that has not been certified via NCQA’s Measure Certification Program, and is based on adjusted HEDIS specifications, may not be called a “HEDIS rate” until it is audited and designated reportable by an NCQA-Certified HEDIS Compliance Auditor. Until such time, such measure rates shall be designated or referred to as “Adjusted, Uncertified, Unaudited HEDIS rates.”