# Medicaid and CHIP State Plan, Waiver, and Program Submissions

**PRA Disclosure Statement** - This information is being collected to assist the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services in program monitoring of Medicaid Section 1115 Substance Use Disorder Demonstrations. This mandatory information collection (42 CFR § 431.428) will be used to support more efficient, timely and accurate review of states' SUD 1115 demonstrations monitoring reports submissions to support consistency of monitoring and evaluation of SUD 1115 Demonstrations, increase in reporting accuracy, and reduce timeframes required for monitoring and evaluation. Under the Privacy Act of 1974 any personally identifying information obtained will be kept private to the extent of the law. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The OMB control number for this project is **0938-1148 (CMS-10398 #57)**." If you have comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: CMS, 7500 Security Boulevard, Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

### 1. Title Page for the State's SUD Demonstration or SUD Components of Broader Demonstration

The state should complete this Transmittal Title Page at the beginning of a demonstration and submit as the title page of all SUD Monitoring Reports. The content of this transmittal table should stay consistent over time.

State	Vermont
Demonstration Name	Global Commitment to Health 1115 Demonstration
Approval Date	June 28, 2022
Approval Period	July 1, 2022, to December 31, 2027
SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD Related) Demonstration Goals and Objectives	<ol> <li>Increase rates of identification, initiation, and engagement in treatment.</li> <li>Improve access to care for physical health conditions among beneficiaries.</li> <li>Increase adherence to and retention in treatment.</li> <li>Reduce overdose deaths, particularly those due to opioids.</li> <li>Reduce utilization of emergency department and inpatient hospital settings for treatment where the utilizationis preventable or medically inappropriate through improved access to other continuum of care services.</li> <li>Reduce readmissions to the same or higher level of care where the readmission is preventable or medically inappropriate.</li> </ol>

### 2. Executive Summary

The executive summary should be reported in the fillable box below. This executive summary is intended for summary level information only. The recommended word count is 500 words or less.

The Division of Substance Use Programs (DSU) continues to develop the implementation roadmap for the expanded eligibility group for people with a SUD diagnosis (referred to as "SUD CIT" - Community Intervention and Treatment) and is executing the work included in the implementation roadmaps that would make recovery services and supports, and withdrawal management services Medicaid eligible. These projects are currently scheduled for 2025 implementation.

The Substance Use Disorder Treatment Standards, revised January 1, 2024, is being used to certify Preferred Providers and is available at:

#### https://www.healthvermont.gov/alcohol-drugs/professionals/treatment-provider-certification

The Compliance Assessment Tool (CAT) is used during site visits to determine a Preferred Provider's level of certification compliance by providing transparency about the Preferred Provider's status; highlighting areas that require action or emphasis; and evaluating the level and type of technical assistance need. The CAT has been used four times between January 1, 2024, and March 31, 2024, at treatment provider locations.

DSU and the DVHA Payment Reform team received approval from CMS regarding the incentives for a value-based payment model for residential programs to align with its All-Payer Model Agreement with CMS.

From 1/1/2024-3/31/2024, VT Helplink, DSU's centralized intake and resource center, received 313 calls and 6,601 website visits and 10 unique SUD treatment provider locations offered over 250 hours of appointment time via VT Helplink. A VT Helplink media campaign ran November 2023 through January 2024. It included bus signage, broadcast television, social media (Facebook/Instagram), Google search, search engine banners, and YouTube. The target audience is Vermonters 18+ who may be actively using substances, those who care about them, service providers of all types, and any Vermonter needing support or information about alcohol or drug use. This campaign resulted in 7,784,035 "impressions" (views of the ad) overall. Broadcast television, bus signage, and Facebook/Instagrams ads consisted of 80% of the total campaign impressions.

The Substance Misuse Prevention Oversight and Advisory Council (SMPC) was established within the Vermont Department of Health and addresses all substances of misuse. The SMPC has three goals: 1) Increase protective factors and build resilience and feelings of connectedness in Vermont communities, across all ages, cultures, and socioeconomic conditions; 2) Decrease risk factors for substance use in Vermont for individuals of all ages, cultures, and socioeconomic conditions; 3) Increase efficiency and collaboration on prevention efforts across all state, public, and private entities, through a consolidated and holistic approach to prevention that is sustainable, scalable, and equitable. The SMPC submitted their 2024 Annual Report to the Vermont General Assembly. Additional information on the SMPC can be found at: www.healthvermont.gov/SMPC

The Recovery Coaches in the Emergency Department Program is fully implemented in all 14 emergency departments in the state. 1843 unique Vermonters were served during the calendar year 2023. The number of Vermonters served during the first quarter 2024 will be provided in the second quarterly report.

Vermont assembled a Part 2 Data Governance Group and began assessing the requirements needed to allow SUD data to be incorporated into the Vermont Health Information Exchange (VHIE).

## 3. Narrative Information on Implementation, by Reporting Topic

Prompts	Demonstration year (DY) and quarter first reported	Related metric (if any)	Summary
1.2 Assessment of Need and	l Qualification for S	UD Services	
1.2.1 Metric Trends			
Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described.			
	DY20 Q1	4 Number of beneficiaries who receive MAT or a SUD-related treatment service with an associated SUD diagnosis during the measurement period and/or in the 12 months before the measurement period (6.8%)	This is likely due to increased system availability after covid restrictions were lifted.
[Add rows as needed]	DY20 Q1	5 Number of beneficiaries with a claim for residential or inpatient treatment for SUD in IMDs during the measurement period (11.0%)	This is likely due to increased system availability after covid restrictions were lifted.
$\Box$ The state has no metrics the state has no	rends to report for thi	s reporting topic.	•
1.2.2 Implementation Upda	A		
Compared to the demonstration design			

details outlined in the STCs			
and implementation plan,			
have there been any			
changes or does the state			
expect to make any changes			
to: A) the target			
population(s) of the			
demonstration? B) the			
clinical criteria (e.g., SUD			
diagnoses) that qualify a			
beneficiary for the			
demonstration?			 
Are there any other			
anticipated program			
changes that may impact			
metrics related to			
assessment of need and			
qualification for SUD			
services? If so, please			
describe these changes.			
$\boxtimes$ The state has no implementat	tion update to repor	rt for this reporting topic.	
2.2 Access to Critical Levels of	f Care for OUD ar	nd other SUDs (Milestone 1)	
2.2.1 Metric Trends			
Discuss any relevant trends			
that the data shows related			
to assessment of need and			
qualification for SUD			
services. At a minimum,			
changes (+ or -) greater			
than two percent should be			
described.			

DY20		leading to a decrease in enrollment. From the quarter Jul-Sep to the quarter Oct-Dec, enrollment was down 4.8%. Please note that many SUD providers assist people in re-enrolling when they qualify.
DY20	<i>Q1</i> 7 Early intervention (C calculate/divide by zer	
DY20	Q1 8 Outpatient Services (	leading to a decrease in enrollment. From the quarter Jul-Sep to the quarter Oct-Dec, enrollment was down 4.8%. Please note that many SUD providers assist people in re-enrolling when they qualify. In addition, there have been closures in SUD specialty providers and existing providers are struggling to hire.
DY20	<i>Q1</i> 9 IOP/Partial hospitalit services (-19.3%)	zationThis is likely a small numbers issue – it went from an average of 121 people per month to 97 per month.
DY20	Q1 10 Residential and Inpatient Services	(-17.0%) Instability in this measure is often due to small numbers as well as changes in coding. Vermont uses a case rate for combined residential and withdrawal management services – providers may use either the withdrawal management or residential code for the episode of care and nearly everyone receives both services during a single stay, so it is most accurate to look at measures 10 and 11 in combination. Both are down for this quarter, and we expect them to increase in the next quarter. The goal is to maintain or decrease and it went from an average of 152 people per month to 138.
DY20	Q1 11 Withdrawal Manag 25.6%)	ement (- Instability in this measure is often due to small numbers as well as changes in coding. Vermont uses a case rate for combined residential and withdrawal management

			services – providers may use either the withdrawal management or residential code for the episode of care and nearly everyone receives both services during a single stay, so it is most accurate to look at measures 10 and 11 in combination. Both are down for this quarter, and we expect them to increase in the next quarter. The goal is to maintain or decrease and it went from an average of 53 people per month to 40.
	DY20 Q1	12 MAT (-5.8%)	One of the MAT provider locations has not billed all services for the quarter which may be contributing to the reduction seen here. MOUD has stabilized and we do not expect to see significant increases going forward.
	DY20 Q1	36 The average length of stay for beneficiaries discharged from IMD inpatient or residential treatment for SUD during the measurement period (-4.73)	This may be the result of the residential payment reform model. We are now incentivizing appropriate discharge planning and length of stay.
☐ The state has no metrics tr	1	s reporting topic.	
2.2.2 Implementation Upda	te		The Division of Substance Use Programs (DSU)
Compared to the demonstration design and			continues to develop the implementation roadmap for
operational details outlined			the expanded eligibility group for people with a SUD
the implementation plan,			diagnosis (referred to as "SUD CIT" - Community
have there been any			Intervention and Treatment) and is executing the work
changes or does the state			included in the implementation roadmaps that would
expect to make any changes			make recovery services and supports, and withdrawal
to:			management services Medicaid eligible. These projects
a. Planned activities to			are currently scheduled for 2025 implementation.
improve access to SUD			
treatment services			
across the continuum of			
care for Medicaid			

beneficiaries (e.g.			
outpatient services,			
intensive outpatient			
services, medication			
assisted treatment,			
services in intensive			
residential and inpatient			
settings, medically			
supervised withdrawal			
management)?			
b. SUD benefit coverage			
under the Medicaid			
state plan or the			
Expenditure Authority,			
particularly for			
residential treatment,			
medically supervised			
withdrawal			
management, and			
medication assisted			
treatment services			
provided to individuals			
in IMDs?			
Are there any other		•	
anticipated program			
changes that may impact			
metrics related to access to			
critical levels of care for			
OUD and other SUDs? If			
so, please describe these			
changes.			
[Add rows as needed]			

The state has no implementation updates to report for this reporting topic.			
3.2 Use of Evidence-based, SUD-specific Patient Placement Criteria (Milestone 2)			
3.2.1 Metric Trends			
Discuss any relevant trends			
that the data shows related			
to assessment of need and			
qualification for SUD			
services. Changes (+ or -)			
greater than two percent			
should be described.			
[Add rows as needed]			
The state is reporting metrics related to Milestone 2 but ha	is no metrics trends to report for this reporting topic.		
The state is not reporting any metrics related to this report	ing topic.		
3.2.2 Implementation Update			
Compared to the	The Substance Use Disorder Treatment Standards,		
demonstration design and	revised January 1, 2024, is being used to certify		
operational details outlined	Preferred Providers and is available at:		
the implementation plan,	https://www.healthvermont.gov/alcohol-		
have there been any	drugs/professionals/treatment-provider-certification		
changes or does the state			
expect to make any changes	The Compliance Assessment Tool (CAT) is used during		
to:	site visits to determine a Preferred Provider's level of		
a. Planned activities to	certification compliance by providing transparency		
improve providers' use	about the Preferred Provider's status; highlighting areas		
of evidence-based,	that require action or emphasis; and evaluating the level		
SUD-specific	and type of technical assistance need. The CAT has		
placement criteria?	been used four times between January 1, 2024, and		
b. Implementation of a	March 31, 2024, at treatment provider locations.		
utilization management			
approach to ensure:			

i. Beneficiaries	
have access to	
SUD services at	
the appropriate	
level of care?	
ii. Interventions are	
appropriate for	
the diagnosis and	
level of care?	
iii. Use of	
independent	
process for	
reviewing	
placement in	
residential	
treatment	
settings?	
Are there any other	DSU and the DVHA Payment Reform team received
anticipated program	approval from CMS regarding the incentives for a
changes that may impact	value-based payment model for residential programs to
metrics related to the use of	align with its All-Payer Model Agreement with CMS in
evidence-based, SUD-	December 2023.
specific patient placement	
criteria (if the state is	
reporting such metrics)? If	
so, please describe these	
changes.	
$\Box$ The state has no implementation updates to report for this reporting topic.	

4.2 Use of Nationally Recognized SUD-specific Program Standards to Set Provider Qualifications for Residential Treatment Facilities (Milestone 3)

4.2.1 Metric Trends

$\boxtimes$ The state is not reporting a	ny metrics related to this reporting top	netrics trends to report for this reporting topic.
4.2.2 Implementation Updat	e	
Compared to the demonstration design and operational details outlined the implementation plan, have there been any changes or does the state expect to make any changes to: a. Implementation of residential treatment provider qualifications that meet the ASAM Criteria or other nationally recognized, SUD-specific program standards? b. State review process for residential treatment providers' compliance with qualifications standards?		The Substance Use Disorder Treatment Standards, revised January 1, 2024, is being used to certify Preferred Providers and is available at:  https://www.healthvermont.gov/alcohol- drugs/professionals/treatment-provider-certificationThe Compliance Assessment Tool (CAT) is used during site visits to determine a Preferred Provider's level of certification compliance by providing transparency about the Preferred Provider's status; highlighting areas that require action or emphasis; and evaluating the level and type of technical assistance need. The CAT has been used four times between January 1, 2024, and March 31, 2024, at treatment provider locations.

c. Availability of			
medication assisted			
treatment at residential			
treatment facilities,			
either on-site or			
through facilitated			
access to services off			
site?			
Are there any other			
anticipated program			
changes that may impact			
metrics related to the use of			
nationally recognized SUD-			
specific program standards			
to set provider			
qualifications for residential			
treatment facilities (if the			
state is reporting such			
metrics)? If so, please			
describe these changes.			
[Add rows as needed]			
$\Box$ The state has no implement	tation updates to rep	ort for this reporting topic.	
5.2 Sufficient Provider Capa	acity at Critical Lev	els of Care including for Medication	Assisted Treatment for OUD (Milestone 4)
5.2.1 Metric Trends			
Discuss any relevant trends	DY20 Q1	13 The number of providers who	Some providers have ceased operations after covid,
that the data shows related		were enrolled in Medicaid and	from the financial strain, burnout, or retirement.
to assessment of need and		qualified to deliver SUD services	
qualification for SUD		during the measurement period (-	
services. At a minimum,		4.9%)	
changes (+ or -) greater			
than two percent should be			
described.			

[Add rows as needed]	DY20 Q1	14 The number of providers who were enrolled in Medicaid and qualified to deliver SUD services during the measurement period and who meet the standards to provide buprenorphine or methadone as part of MAT (- 15.3%)	There was a change in the methodology used to calculate this measure. In previous years, we use the xDEA DATA 2000 waiver roster and compared it to enrolled providers to determine this. The waiver is no longer in place so this year we used enrolled providers who provided MOUD based on claims data defined as providers with one or more buprenorphine prescriptions or methadone HCPCS codes (H0020).
$\Box$ The state has no metrics the state has no	rends to report for thi	s reporting topic.	
5.2.2 Implementation Upda	te		
Compared to the demonstration design and operational details outlined the implementation plan, have there been any changes or does the state expect to make any changes to planned activities to assess the availability of providers enrolled in Medicaid and accepting new patients in across the continuum of SUD care?			
Are there any other anticipated program changes that may impact metrics related to provider capacity at critical levels of care, including for medication assisted treatment (MAT) for OUD?			

If so, please describe these changes.			
[Add rows as needed]			
The state has no implement	ntation updates to rep	port for this reporting topic.	
·	^	· · · ·	ress Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
6.2.1 Metric Trends			
Discuss any relevant trends			
that the data shows related			
to assessment of need and			
qualification for SUD			
services. At a minimum,			
changes (+ or -) greater			
than two percent should be			
described.			
	DY20 Q1	23 Emergency Department for SUD per 1000 beneficiaries (-10.4%)	As Vermonters are re-engaging with more appropriate SUD treatment services such as outpatient, residential and withdrawal management in a timely manner, it is possible that the need for higher level emergency services has decreased.
	DY20 Q1	24 Inpatient stays for SUD per 1000 (-15.6%)	It is unclear what is driving this reduction. It could be a result of there being fewer ED visits reported above.
$\Box$ The state has no metrics the state of the	ends to report for thi	s reporting topic.	
6.2.2 Implementation Upda	te		
Compared to the			There are no planned changes to the prescribing
demonstration design and			guidelines and other interventions.
operational details outlined			
the implementation plan,			
have there been any			
changes or does the state			
expect to make any changes			
to:			

a. Implementation of	
opioid prescribing	
guidelines and other	
interventions related to	
prevention of OUD?	
b. Expansion of coverage	
for and access to	
naloxone?	
Are there any other	
anticipated program	
changes that may impact	
metrics related to the	
implementation of	
comprehensive treatment	
and prevention strategies to	
address opioid abuse and	
OUD? If so, please describe	
these changes.	
[Add rows as needed]	
$\boxtimes$ The state has no implementation updates to report for this reporting topic	
7.2 Improved Care Coordination and Transitions between Levels of Ca	re (Milestone 6)
7.2.1 Metric Trends	
Discuss any relevant trends	
that the data shows related	
to assessment of need and	
qualification for SUD	
services. At a minimum,	
changes (+ or -) greater	
than two percent should be	
described.	
$\boxtimes$ The state has no metrics trends to report for this reporting topic.	

7.2.2 Implementation Under	722 Implementation Undate			
7.2.2 Implementation Updat				
Compared to the				
demonstration design and				
operational details outlined				
the implementation plan,				
have there been any				
changes or does the state				
expect to make any changes				
to implementation of				
policies supporting				
beneficiaries' transition				
from residential and				
inpatient facilities to				
community-based services				
and supports?				
Are there any other				
anticipated program				
changes that may impact				
metrics related to care				
coordination and transitions				
between levels of care? If				
so, please describe these				
changes.				
[Add rows as needed]				
$\boxtimes$ The state has no implement	<u> </u>			
8.2 SUD Health Information	n Technology (Healt	th IT)		
8.2.1 Metric Trends				
Discuss any relevant trends				
that the data shows related				
to assessment of need and				
qualification for SUD				
services. Changes (+ or -)				

greater than two percent	
should be described.	
[Add rows as needed]	
$\boxtimes$ The state has no metrics trends to report for this reporting	topic.
8.2.2 Implementation Update	
Compared to the	The Vermont Prescription Monitoring System (VPMS)
demonstration design and	has successfully maintained integrations with its pilot
operational details outlined	sites and has actively been monitoring audit files to
in STCs and	determine if there are any issues.
implementation plan, have	
there been any changes or	Vermont is working with one additional health care
does the state expect to	entity utilizing Dr. First for EHR capacity and will begin
make any changes to:	working with its first site who utilizes Cerner. VPMS
a. How health IT is being	has approved the connection with the Dr. First site and
used to slow down the	will set a go-live date upon confirmation from the
rate of growth of	vendor about their readiness to implement.
individuals identified	
with SUD?	Initial planning for the inclusion of interstate data with
b. How health IT is being used to treat effectively	integrated entities is complete. Currently, interstate data
individuals identified	is not included in an integrated query; however, procedures have been developed to allow access for
with SUD?	approved interstate connections when allowed by statute
c. How health IT is being	and other legislation.
used to effectively	and other registation.
monitor "recovery"	Vermont has assembled a Part 2 Data Governance
supports and services	Group and continues to determine the mechanism and
for individuals	requirements needed to allow SUD data to be
identified with SUD?	incorporated into the Vermont Health Information
d. Other aspects of the	Exchange (VHIE).
state's plan to develop	
the health IT	
infrastructure/capabiliti	

es at the state, delivery	Vermont has completed the data governance agreement
system, health	with a group of providers. The Shared Values and
plan/MCO, and	Goals for the project are:
individual provider	
levels?	1. Ensuring access and minimal barriers to services for
e. Other aspects of the	all Vermonters.
state's health IT	
implementation	2. Clear and shared understanding of governance
milestones?	process.
f. The timeline for	3. We will establish data governance prior to any data
achieving health IT	being sent.
implementation	4. Patients are at the center of their health data – all
milestones?	individuals can make informed decisions about the use
	of their health data.
g. Planned activities to increase use and	5. Policy makers / payers are able to assess the value of
	· · · ·
functionality of the	programs and adapt to changing needs.
state's prescription	6. AHS will not share data with law enforcement or
drug monitoring	anyone else.
program?	
	Vermont has completed a Data Governance document to
	guide the process. It is available upon request.
	Surde the process. It is available upon request.
	Please note that we are beginning with a subset of SUD
	providers to develop the processes and other SUD
	providers will be added later.
Are there any other	
anticipated program	
changes that may impact	
metrics related to SUD	
Health IT (if the state is	
meanin m (m me state is	1

reporting such metrics)? If			
so, please describe these			
changes.			
	DY20 Q1	Q1 Number of PDMP Checks (15.9%)	Vermont expanded outreach and training to providers.
[Add rows as needed]	DY20 Q1	Q2 Number of PDMP Linkages (0.0%)	Small numbers. No new linkages
□ The state has no impleme	ntation updates to rep	port for this reporting topic.	•
9.2 Other SUD-Related Me			
9.2.1 Metric Trends			
Discuss any relevant trends that the data shows related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services. At a minimum, changes (+ or -) greater than two percent should be described.		25 The rate of all-cause readmissions during the measurement period among	This may have decreased because of the system being fully open again in 2023, after programs limiting capacity due to covid in 2022.
		beneficiaries with SUD (-3.3%) 26 Number of overdose deaths during the measurement period among Medicaid beneficiaries living in a geographic area covered by the demonstration. The state is encouraged to report the cause of overdose death as specifically as possible (for example, prescription vs. illicit opioid) (- 6.8%)	Overall overdose deaths decreased between 2022 and 2023. This may be due to the ongoing overdose prevention activities Vermont has implemented but it may also be an indication of a change in the drug supply.

	27 Rate of overdose deaths during the measurement period among adult Medicaid beneficiaries living in a geographic area covered by the demonstration. The state is encouraged to report the cause of overdose death as specifically as possible (for example, prescription vs. illicit opioid) (-9.9%)	Overall overdose deaths decreased between 2022 and 2023. The rate decreased more than the number of deaths which is consistent with a reduction in the number of people enrolled in Medicaid.
$\Box$ The state has no metrics trends to report for t	his reporting topic.	
9.2.2 Implementation Update		
Are there any anticipated program changes that may impact the other SUD- related metrics? If so, please describe these changes.		<ul> <li>The DSU has published a summary of the actions</li> <li>Vermont is taking to address overdose. These are some of the actions: <ul> <li>Naloxone – provide naloxone and training through collaborations with community-based organizations, including getting naloxone to the motels where the state is housing people experiencing homelessness.</li> <li>VT Helplink is a free and confidential referral service available to connect people to resources and treatment (802-565-LINK or www.VTHelplink.org )</li> <li>Recovery Centers are conducting outreach to reduce relapse and prevent overdoses (e.g. Harm Reduction Pack distribution, peer support specialists, Recovery Coaching referrals, etc.)</li> <li>Providers are increasing outreach to patients and are continually re-evaluating patients' stability to triage for in-person supports, decreased take-homes, etc.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	Disseminate of key harm reduction     messaging on the increased risks
	associated with overdose and using alone.
[Add rows as needed]	
$\Box$ The state has no implementation updates to report for this	reporting topic.
10.2 Budget Neutrality	
10.2.1 Current status and analysis	
Discuss the current status of	Updates on Budget Neutrality can be found in Section
budget neutrality and	V. Financial/Budget Neutrality Development/Issues
provide an analysis of the	of the Broad Demonstration Monitoring Report.
budget neutrality to date. If	
the SUD component is part	
of a comprehensive	
demonstration, the state	
should provide an analysis	
of the SUD-related budget	
neutrality and an analysis of	
budget neutrality as a	
whole.	
[Add rows as needed]	
$\boxtimes$ The state has no metrics trends to report for this reporting	topic.
10.2.2 Implementation Update	
are there any anticipated	
program changes that may	
impact budget neutrality? If	
so, please describe these	
changes.	
[Add rows as needed]	
$\boxtimes$ The state has no implementation updates to report for this	reporting topic.
11.1 SUD-Related Demonstration Operations and Policy	
11.1.1 Considerations	

Highlight significant SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD- related) demonstration operations or policy considerations that could positively or negatively impact beneficiary enrollment, access to services, timely provision of services, budget neutrality, or any other provision that has potential for beneficiary impacts. Also note any activity that may accelerate or create delays or impediments in achieving the SUD demonstration's approved goals or objectives, if not already reported elsewhere in this document. See report template instructions for more detail.			The Division of Substance Use Programs (DSU) is proceeding with developing the implementation roadmap for the expanded eligibility group for people with a SUD diagnosis (referred to as "SUD CIT" - Community Intervention and Treatment), and the implementation roadmaps that would make recovery services and supports, and withdrawal management services Medicaid eligible. These projects are currently scheduled for 2025 implementation.
[Add rows as needed]			
$\Box$ The state has no related co	nsiderations to report	t for this reporting topic.	
11.1.2 Implementation Upda	ate		
Compared to the			
demonstration design and			
operational details outlined			
in STCs and the			
implementation plan, have			

there been any changes or		
does the state expect to		
make any changes to:		
a. How the delivery		
system operates under		
the demonstration (e.g.		
through the managed		
care system or fee for		
service)?		
b. Delivery models		
affecting demonstration		
participants (e.g.		
Accountable Care		
Organizations, Patient		
Centered Medical		
Homes)?		
c. Partners involved in		
service delivery?		
Has the state experienced		
any significant challenges		
in partnering with entities		
contracted to help		
implement the		
demonstration (e.g., health		
plans, credentialing		
vendors, private sector		
providers)? Has the state		
noted any performance		
issues with contracted		
entities?		
What other initiatives is the		
state working on related to		

SUD or OUD? How do		
these initiatives relate to the		
SUD demonstration? How		
are they similar to or		
different from the SUD		
demonstration?		
[Add rows as needed]		
The state has no implementation up	dates to report for this reporting	topic.
12.1 SUD Demonstration Evaluation	Update	
12.1.1 Narrative Information		
Provide updates on SUD		Updates on the SUD evaluation work, deliverables and
evaluation work and		timeline can be found in Sections VIII. Quality
timeline. The appropriate		Improvement and IX. Demonstration Evaluation of the
content will depend on		Broad Demonstration Monitoring Report.
when this report is due to		
CMS and the timing for the		
demonstration. See report		
template instructions for		
more details.		
Provide status updates on		
deliverables related to the		
demonstration evaluation		
and indicate whether the		
expected timelines are		
being met and/or if there		
are any real or anticipated		
barriers in achieving the		
goals and timeframes		
agreed to in the STCs.		
List anticipated evaluation-		
related deliverables related		

to this demonstration and			
their due dates.			
[Add rows as needed]			
$\Box$ The state has no SUD dem	nonstration evaluation	n update to report for this reporting top	ic.
13.1 Other Demonstration I	Reporting		
13.1.1 General Reporting R	equirements		
Have there been any			
changes in the state's			
implementation of the			
demonstration that might			
necessitate a change to			
approved STCs,			
implementation plan, or			
monitoring protocol?			
Does the state foresee the			
need to make future			
changes to the STCs,			
implementation plan, or			
monitoring protocol, based			
on expected or upcoming			
implementation changes?			
Compared to the details			Updates on the Monitoring Protocol work, deliverables,
outlined in the STCs and			and timeline can be found in Section X. Compliance of
the monitoring protocol,			the Broad Demonstration Monitoring Report.
has the state formally			
requested any changes or			
does the state expect to			
formally request any			
changes to:			
a. The schedule for			
completing and			

submitting monitoring			
reports?			
b. The content or			
completeness of			
submitted reports?			
Future reports?			
Has the state identified any			
real or anticipated issues			
submitting timely post-			
approval demonstration			
deliverables, including a			
plan for remediation?			
[Add rows as needed]			
□ The state has no updates of	on general reporting r	equirements to report for this reporting	topic.
13.1.2 Post Award Public F	orum		
If applicable within the			
timing of the			
demonstration, provide a			
summary of the annual			
post-award public forum			
held pursuant to 42 CFR §			
431.420(c) indicating any			
resulting action items or			
issues. A summary of the			
post-award public forum			
must be included here for			
the period during which the			
forum was held and in the			
annual report.			
[Add rows as needed]			
There was not a post-awar	rd nublic forum held	during this reporting period and this is	not an annual report so the state has no post award public

There was not a post-award public forum held during this reporting period and this is not an annual report, so the state has no post award public forum update to report for this reporting topic.

14.1 Notable State Achievements and/or Innovations				
14.1 Narrative Information				
Provide any relevant				
summary of achievements				
and/or innovations in				
demonstration enrollment,				
benefits, operations, and				
policies pursuant to the				
hypotheses of the SUD (or				
if broader demonstration,				
then SUD related)				
demonstration or that				
served to provide better				
care for individuals, better				
health for populations,				
and/or reduce per capita				
cost. Achievements should				
focus on significant impacts				
to beneficiary outcomes.				
Whenever possible, the				
summary should describe				
the achievement or				
innovation in quantifiable				
terms, e.g., number of				
impacted beneficiaries.				
[Add rows as needed]				
$\boxtimes$ The state has no notable as	☑ The state has no notable achievements or innovations to report for this reporting topic.			