1. Title page for the state's substance use disorder (SUD) demonstration or the SUD component of the broader demonstration

The state should complete this title page at the beginning of a demonstration and submit as the title page for all monitoring reports. The content of this table should stay consistent over time. Definitions for certain rows are below the table.

State	Virginia
Demonstration name	Building and Transforming Coverage, Services, and Supports for a Healthier Virginia
Approval period for section 1115 demonstration	01/01/2020-12/31/2024
SUD demonstration start date ^a	12/15/2016
Implementation date of SUD demonstration, if different from SUD demonstration start date ^b	04/01/2017
SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD -related) demonstration goals and objectives	 Promote strategies to ID Medicaid individuals with SUD Enhance clinical practices and promote guidelines and decision making tools for serving youth and adults with SUD Build after care and recover supports (like recovery coaching) Coordinate SUD treatment with Primary care and Long Term Care Coordinate with other sources of local, state and federal funds for an efficient use of resources consistent with program objectives Encourage increased use of quality and outcome measures to inform benefit design and payment models Identify strategies to address prescription and illicit opioid addiction, consistent with efforts to curb epidemic.
SUD demonstration year and quarter	SUD DY5 Q1 Report
Reporting period	04/01/2021-06/30/2021

^a SUD demonstration start date: For monitoring purposes, CMS defines the start date of the demonstration as the *effective date* listed in the state's STCs at time of SUD demonstration approval note that the SUD demonstration is effective January 1, 2020 – December 31, 2025, the state should consider January 1, 2020 to be the start date of the SUD demonstration. Note that the effective date is considered to be the first day the state may begin its SUD demonstration. In many cases, the effective date is distinct from the approval date of a demonstration;

that is, in certain cases, CMS may approve a section 1115 demonstration with an effective date that is in the future. For example, CMS may approve an extension request on 12/15/2020, with an effective date of 1/1/2021 for the new demonstration period. In many cases, the effective date also differs from the date a state begins implementing its demonstration.

b Implementation date of SUD demonstration: The date the state began claiming federal financial participation for services provided to individuals in institutions for mental disease.

2. Executive summary

The executive summary should be reported in the fillable box below. It is intended for summary-level information only. The recommended word count is 500 words or less.

The Department of Medical Assistance Services (DMAS) collaborated with the Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services (DBHDS) in hosting two information sessions regarding the addition of the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) criteria to the DBHDS licensing regulations. This change required Addiction and Recovery Treatment Services (ARTS) providers to submit service modifications to DBHDS to ensure service alignment with ASAM criteria.

In response to providers reporting continued barriers in members receiving their prescriptions for buprenorphine at pharmacies in the Southwest region of the state, DMAS staff updated pharmacy policies. This policy update removed the out of network restrictions for prescribing buprenorphine and added generic buprenorphine/naltrexone tablets as a Preferred Product to the Virginia Medicaid Preferred Drug List. DMAS also worked closely with the Drug Enforcement Agency regional and central office representatives and the Virginia Pharmacy Association to help address Suboxone film access issues at local pharmacies in this region.

DMAS released three memorandums outlining new policy changes. The first memorandum outlined the new allowance for FAMIS MOMS enrollees to receive treatment for substance use disorders (SUD) in Institutions for Mental Diseases (IMD). This allows for coverage to FAMIS MOMS enrollees in residential treatment settings, psychiatric units, and free-standing psychiatric hospitals to further align with benefits offered to pregnant women under the Medicaid state plan and Medicaid Section 1115 demonstration waiver. The second memorandum detailed the addition of the mobile unit as a place of service option for Office Based Opioid Treatment (OBOT) programs. Providers using the Mobile OBOT settings shall have the ability to deliver time sensitive screenings, treatment and recovery services to Medicaid members with an Opioid Use Disorder (OUD). The goal is to expand access to evidence-based treatment for members with OUD, targeting higher-risk, vulnerable populations transitioning from an institutional or hospital setting such as emergency departments, residential, hospital settings as well as prisons, local and regional jails. The last memorandum outlined new policy for Peer Recovery Support Services (PRSS) that extended registration approvals to 6 months and removed

additional documentation and administrative barriers. This occurred in conjunction with DMAS engaging with stakeholders to create Recovery Resiliency Wellness Plan guidance documents to provide further assistance on best practice for engaging with clients and completing this documentation.

DMAS staff assisted with the creation of the Telehealth Services Supplement manual which provides a detailed description of all allowances and requirements around telehealth services. Because of the increase in telehealth utilization during the public health emergency, DMAS staff sought to ensure clarity amongst provider populations.

DMAS was allocated funding during the Virginia General Assembly 2021 session to expand OBOT services to include other primary SUDs. The new OBAT (Office Based Addiction Treatment) model allows ARTS providers to receive enhanced reimbursement for services provided within the OBAT for individuals with OUD and other primary SUDs. DMAS will host design sessions with stakeholders to discuss the expansion of the model and brainstorm how to best streamline its implementation. DMAS has determined this expansion will not impact the budget neutrality for the 1115 Waiver.

3. Narrative information on implementation, by milestone and reporting topic

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
1. Assessment of need and qualification for SUD services			
1.1 Metric trends			
1.1.1. The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services	X		
1.2 Implementation update			
1.2.1. Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 1.2.1.i. The target population(s) of the demonstration	X		
1.2.1.ii. The clinical criteria (e.g., SUD diagnoses) that qualify a beneficiary for the demonstration	X		
1.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
2. Access to Critical Levels of Care for OUD and other S	UDs (Milestone 1)	
2.1 Metric trends			
2.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 1	X		
2.2 Implementation update			
2.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 2.2.1.i. Planned activities to improve access to SUD treatment services across the continuum of care for Medicaid beneficiaries (e.g. outpatient services, intensive outpatient services, medication-assisted treatment, services in intensive residential and inpatient settings, medically supervised withdrawal management)	X		
2.2.1.ii. SUD benefit coverage under the Medicaid state plan or the Expenditure Authority, particularly for residential treatment, medically supervised withdrawal management, and medication-assisted treatment services provided to individual IMDs	X		
2.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 1	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
3. Use of Evidence-based, SUD-specific Patient Placemen	t Criteria (Miles	tone 2)	
3.1 Metric trends			
3.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 2	X		
3.2. Implementation update			
 3.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 3.2.1.i. Planned activities to improve providers' use of evidence-based, SUD-specific placement criteria 	X		
3.2.1.ii. Implementation of a utilization management approach to ensure (a) beneficiaries have access to SUD services at the appropriate level of care, (b) interventions are appropriate for the diagnosis and level of care, or (c) use of independent process for reviewing placement in residential treatment settings	X		
3.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 2	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
4. Use of Nationally Recognized SUD-specific Program S 4.1 Metric trends	tandards to Set F	Provider Qualificatio	ons for Residential Treatment Facilities (Milestone 3)
4.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 3	X		
Note: There are no CMS-provided metrics related to Milestone 3. If the state did not identify any metrics for reporting this milestone, the state should indicate it has no update to report.			
4.2 Implementation update			
4.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 4.2.1.i. Implementation of residential treatment provider qualifications that meet the ASAM Criteria or other nationally recognized, SUDspecific program standards	X		
4.2.1.ii. Review process for residential treatment providers' compliance with qualifications.	X		
4.2.1.iii. Availability of medication-assisted treatment at residential treatment facilities, either on-site or through facilitated access to services off site	X		
4.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 3	X		

	State has no trends/update to report	Related metric(s)			
Prompt	(place an X)	(if any)	State response		
5. Sufficient Provider Capacity at Critical Levels of Care 5.1 Metric trends	including for Mo	edication Assisted Ti	reatment for OUD (Milestone 4)		
5.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends,	X				
including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 4	Λ				
5.2 Implementation update					
5.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:	X				
Planned activities to assess the availability of providers enrolled in Medicaid and accepting new patients in across the continuum of SUD care					
5.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 4	X				
6. Implementation of Comprehensive Treatment and Prevention Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)					
6.1 Metric trends					
6.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 5	X				
6.2 Implementation update	6.2 Implementation update				
 6.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 6.2.1.i. Implementation of opioid prescribing guidelines and other interventions related to prevention of OUD 	X				
6.2.1.ii. Expansion of coverage for and access to naloxone	X				

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
6.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 5	X		
7. Improved Care Coordination and Transitions between 7.1 Metric trends	Levels of Care (Milestone 6)	
7.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 6	X		
7.2 Implementation update			
7.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: Implementation of policies supporting beneficiaries' transition from residential and inpatient facilities to community-based services and supports	X		
7.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 6	X		
8. SUD health information technology (health IT)			
8.1 Metric trends			
8.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to its health IT metrics	X		
8.2 Implementation update			
 8.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 8.2.1.i. How health IT is being used to slow down the rate of growth of individuals identified with SUD 	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response		
How health IT is being used to treat effectively individuals identified with SUD	X				
8.2.1.ii. How health IT is being used to effectively monitor "recovery" supports and services for individuals identified with SUD	X				
8.2.1.iii. Other aspects of the state's plan to develop the health IT infrastructure/capabilities at the state, delivery system, health plan/MCO, and individual provider levels	X				
8.2.1.iv. Other aspects of the state's health IT implementation milestones	X				
8.2.1.v. The timeline for achieving health IT implementation milestones	X				
8.2.1.vi. Planned activities to increase use and functionality of the state's prescription drug monitoring program	X				
8.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to health IT	X				
9. Other SUD-related metrics					
9.1 Metric trends					
9.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics	X				
9.2 Implementation update	9.2 Implementation update				
9.2.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics	X				

4. Narrative information on other reporting topics

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
10. Budget neutrality		
10.1 Current status and analysis		
10.1.1 If the SUD component is part of a broader demonstration, the state should provide an analysis of the SUD-related budget neutrality and an analysis of budget neutrality as a whole. Describe the current status of budget neutrality and an analysis of the budget neutrality to date.	X	
10.2 Implementation update		
10.2.1 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect budget neutrality	X	
11. SUD-related demonstration operations and policy		
11.1 Considerations		
11.1.1 The state should highlight significant SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD-related) demonstration operations or policy considerations that could positively or negatively affect beneficiary enrollment, access to services, timely provision of services, budget neutrality, or any other provision that has potential for beneficiary impacts. Also note any activity that may accelerate or create delays or impediments in achieving the SUD demonstration's approved goals or objectives, if not already reported elsewhere in this document. See report template instructions for more detail.	X	
11.2 Implementation update		
11.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:	X	
11.2.1.i. How the delivery system operates under the demonstration (e.g. through the managed care system or fee for service)		

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
11.2.1.ii. Delivery models affecting demonstration participants (e.g. Accountable Care Organizations, Patient Centered Medical Homes)	X	
11.2.1.iii. Partners involved in service delivery	X	
11.2.2 The state experienced challenges in partnering with entities contracted to help implement the demonstration (e.g., health plans, credentialing vendors, private sector providers) and/or noted any performance issues with contracted entities	X	
11.2.3 The state is working on other initiatives related to SUD or OUD	X	
11.2.4 The initiatives described above are related to the SUD or OUD demonstration (The state should note similarities and differences from the SUD demonstration)	X	
12. SUD demonstration evaluation update		
12.1 Narrative information		
12.1.1 Provide updates on SUD evaluation work and timeline. The appropriate content will depend on when this report is due to CMS and the timing for the demonstration. There are specific requirements per Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) for annual reports. See report template instructions for more details.	X	
12.1.2 Provide status updates on deliverables related to the demonstration evaluation and indicate whether the expected timelines are being met and/or if there are any real or anticipated barriers in achieving the goals and timeframes agreed to in the STCs	X	
12.1.3 List anticipated evaluation-related deliverables related to this demonstration and their due dates	X	

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response		
13. Other demonstration reporting	, ,			
13.1 General reporting requirements				
13.1.1 The state reports changes in its implementation of the demonstration that might necessitate a change to approved STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol	X			
13.1.2 The state anticipates the need to make future changes to the STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol, based on expected or upcoming implementation changes	X			
13.1.3 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 13.1.3.i. The schedule for completing and submitting monitoring reports	X			
13.1.3.ii. The content or completeness of submitted reports and/or future reports	X			
13.1.4 The state identified real or anticipated issues submitting timely post-approval demonstration deliverables, including a plan for remediation	X			
13.2 Post-award public forum				
13.2.2 If applicable within the timing of the demonstration, provide a summary of the annual post-award public forum held pursuant to 42 CFR § 431.420(c) indicating any resulting action items or issues. A summary of the post-award public forum must be included here for the period during which the forum was held and in the annual report.	X			

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
14. Notable state achievements and/or innovations		
14.1 Narrative information		
14.1.1 Provide any relevant summary of achievements and/or innovations in demonstration enrollment, benefits, operations, and policies pursuant to the hypotheses of the SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD related) demonstration or that served to provide better care for individuals, better health for populations, and/or reduce per capita cost. Achievements should focus on significant impacts to beneficiary outcomes. Whenever possible, the summary should describe the achievement or innovation in quantifiable terms, e.g., number of impacted beneficiaries.	X	

^{*}The state should remove all example text from the table prior to submission.

Note: Licensee and states must prominently display the following notice on any display of Measure rates:

Measures IET-AD, FUA-AD, FUM-AD, and AAP [Metrics #15, 17(1), 17(2), and 32] are Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) measures that are owned and copyrighted by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). HEDIS measures and specifications are not clinical guidelines, do not establish a standard of medical care and have not been tested for all potential applications. The measures and specifications are provided "as is" without warranty of any kind. NCQA makes no representations, warranties or endorsements about the quality of any product, test or protocol identified as numerator compliant or otherwise identified as meeting the requirements of a HEDIS measure or specification. NCQA makes no representations, warranties, or endorsement about the quality of any organization or clinician who uses or reports performance measures and NCQA has no liability to anyone who relies on HEDIS measures or specifications or data reflective of performance under such measures and specifications.

The measure specification methodology used by CMS is different from NCQA's methodology. NCQA has not validated the adjusted measure specifications but has granted CMS permission to adjust. A calculated measure result (a "rate") from a HEDIS measure that has not been certified via NCQA's Measure Certification Program, and is based on adjusted HEDIS specifications, may not be called a "HEDIS rate" until it is audited and designated reportable by an NCQA-Certified HEDIS Compliance Auditor. Until such time, such measure rates shall be designated or referred to as "Adjusted, Uncertified, Unaudited HEDIS rates."