



August 10, 2021

Emma Chacon
Interim Director
Division of Medicaid and Health Financing
Utah Department of Health
PO Box 143101
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-3101

Dear Ms. Chacon:

On February 12, 2021, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) sent you a letter regarding the March 29, 2019 approval of the amendment to Utah's section 1115 demonstration project entitled "Primary Care Network (PCN)" (Project Numbers 11-W-00145/8 and 21-W-00054/8). The letter advised that CMS would commence a process of determining whether or not to withdraw the authorities previously approved in the PCN demonstration that permit the state to require work and other community engagement activities as a condition of continued Medicaid eligibility. It explained that in light of the ongoing disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, Utah's community engagement requirement risks significant coverage losses and harm to beneficiaries. For the reasons discussed below, CMS is now withdrawing approval of the community engagement requirement in the March 29, 2019 amendment to the PCN demonstration, which is not currently in effect and which would have expired by its terms on June 30, 2022. Other components of the demonstration will continue to expire on June 30, 2022.

Section 1115 of the Social Security Act (the Act) provides that the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) may approve any experimental, pilot, or demonstration project that, in the judgment of the Secretary, is likely to assist in promoting the objectives of certain programs under the Act. In so doing, the Secretary may waive Medicaid program requirements of section 1902 of the Act, and approve federal matching funds per section 1115(a)(2) for state spending on costs not otherwise matchable under section 1903 of the Act, which permits federal matching payments only for "medical assistance" and specified administrative expenses.¹ Under section 1115 authority, the Secretary can allow states to undertake projects to test changes in Medicaid eligibility, benefits, delivery systems, and other areas across their Medicaid programs that the Secretary determines are likely to promote the statutory objectives of Medicaid.

As stated in the above-referenced letter sent on February 12, 2021, under section 1115 and its implementing regulations, CMS has the authority and responsibility to maintain continued oversight of demonstration projects in order to ensure that they are currently likely to assist in promoting the objectives of Medicaid. CMS may withdraw waivers or expenditure authorities if it "find[s] that [a] demonstration project is not likely to achieve the statutory purposes." 42 C.F.R. § 431.420(d); see 42 U.S.C. § 1315(d)(2)(D). As the February 12, 2021 letter explained, the PCN community engagement requirement is not in effect. Although implementation began

¹ 42 U.S.C. § 1315.

in January 2020, it was paused in March 2020 due to the COVID-19 public health emergency. The COVID-19 pandemic and its expected aftermath have made Utah's community engagement requirement infeasible. In addition, implementation of the community engagement requirement is currently prohibited by the Families First Coronavirus Response Act (FFCRA), Pub. L. No. 116-127, Div. F, § 6008(a) and (b), 134 Stat. 208 (2020), which conditioned a state's receipt of an increase in federal Medicaid funding during the pandemic on the state's maintenance of certain existing Medicaid parameters. Utah has chosen to claim the 6.2 percentage point FFCRA Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) increase, and therefore, while it does so, must maintain the enrollment of beneficiaries who were enrolled as of, or after, March 18, 2020.

The February 12, 2021 letter noted that, although the FFCRA's bar on disenrolling such beneficiaries will expire after the COVID-19 public health emergency ends, CMS still has serious concerns about testing policies that create a risk of substantial loss of health care coverage and harm to beneficiaries even after the expiration of the bar on disenrolling beneficiaries. The COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on the health of Medicaid beneficiaries. Uncertainty regarding the current crisis and the pandemic's aftermath, and the potential impact on economic opportunities (including job skills training, work and other activities used to satisfy the community engagement requirement), and access to transportation and affordable child care, have greatly increased the risk that implementation of the community engagement requirement approved in this demonstration will result in substantial coverage loss. In addition, the uncertainty regarding the lingering health consequences of COVID-19 infections further exacerbates the harms of coverage loss for Medicaid beneficiaries.

Accordingly, the February 12, 2021 letter indicated that, taking into account the totality of circumstances, CMS had preliminarily determined that allowing the community engagement requirement to take effect in Utah would not promote the objectives of the Medicaid program. Therefore, CMS provided the state notice that we were commencing a process of determining whether to withdraw the authorities approved in Utah's PCN demonstration that permit the state to require work or other community engagement activities as a condition of Medicaid eligibility. See Special Terms and Conditions ¶ 10. The letter explained that if CMS ultimately determined to withdraw those authorities, it would "promptly notify the state in writing of the determination and the reasons for the amendment and withdrawal, together with the effective date, and afford the state an opportunity to request a hearing to challenge CMS's determination prior to the effective date." *Id.* The February 12, 2021 letter indicated that, if the state wished to submit to CMS any additional information that in the state's view may warrant not withdrawing those authorities, such information should be submitted to CMS within 30 days.

On March 12, 2021, Utah submitted additional information in response to CMS's February 12, 2021 letter. As further discussed later in this letter, the additional information that Utah submitted did not address the concerns we raised in the February 12, 2021 letter. Specifically, the state did not dispute that the COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on the health of Medicaid beneficiaries and that there is uncertainty about the lingering health effects of COVID-19. The state also did not offer any information to indicate how it would ensure beneficiary awareness and understanding of the community engagement participation requirement, how to qualify for available exemptions, or the beneficiary reporting obligations. We do not have evidence before us that suggests that the state has measures in place that are

likely to reduce the risks of Utah's demonstration project resulting in substantial coverage losses at a time when losing access to health care coverage would cause significant harm to beneficiaries. Overall, as addressed further below, the information available to CMS, including that which was submitted in Utah's March 12, 2021 letter, does not provide an adequate basis to resolve the concerns stated in our February 12, 2021 letter.

In light of these concerns, for the reasons set forth below, CMS has determined that, on balance, the authorities that permit Utah to require community engagement as a condition of continued eligibility are not likely to promote the objectives of the Medicaid statute. Therefore, we are withdrawing the community engagement authorities that were added in the March 29, 2019 amendment approval for the PCN demonstration.

Background of Utah's Demonstration

On March 29, 2019, CMS approved Utah's request to amend the PCN section 1115 demonstration. This demonstration amendment authorized the state to require some PCN adult expansion beneficiaries ages 19 through 59, to participate in and timely document and report completion of specified work-related activities within a three-month period, as a condition of continued Medicaid eligibility. These activities included registering for work through the state system, completing an assessment of employment training needs, applying for employment (submitting at least 48 job applications), and completing the job training modules determined by the state to be relevant to the individual based on the employment training needs assessment. Among other exemptions, beneficiaries who work 30 hours or more a week or are enrolled at least half-time in an educational/training program would be exempt from the requirement to participate in and document their completion of those activities during the 12-month benefit period in which the exemption was granted.

Upon implementation of the community engagement requirement, after a beneficiary was determined eligible for Medicaid, the state would notify the beneficiary whether he or she was required to participate in community engagement activities as a condition of continued eligibility or whether the beneficiary qualified for an exemption based on information available to the state. Non-exempt beneficiaries would have had three months after that notice to complete the community engagement requirement or report their qualification for an exemption or good cause exception due to circumstances verified by the state including, but not limited to, a qualifying disability (that is not the basis for Medicaid eligibility), the death or birth of a family member, or childcare responsibilities. Beneficiaries who failed to complete the community engagement requirement or qualify for an exemption or good cause exception within three months would have been disenrolled on the last day of the month in which the beneficiary received notification of his or her non-compliance, unless the beneficiary filed a timely appeal or qualified for a good cause exception. This process would have repeated during the first three months of each 12-month eligibility period, to maintain eligibility and coverage.

Early Experiences from the Implementation of Community Engagement Requirements in Utah and Other States

Utah began implementing the community engagement requirement on January 1, 2020 for adult expansion beneficiaries who were between the ages of 19 and 59. However, the requirement was suspended due to the COVID-19 public health emergency² prior to the disenrollment penalty for noncompliance with the requirement taking effect, and the state indicated in the demonstration’s quarterly monitoring report submitted to CMS in May 2020 that the “requirement will remain suspended until a later date.”³ Because of the design of the state’s community engagement requirement, no Utahans were disenrolled from the Medicaid demonstration for noncompliance with the community engagement requirement between January and March 2020.

The state estimated that about 120,000 Utah adults would have been eligible for the expansion program in each of the demonstration years between 2019 and 2021,^{4,5} though the state’s demonstration monitoring reports indicate that adult expansion enrollment was 41,381 in January 2020 and rose to 44,640 beneficiaries in March 2020 and subsequently to 71,793 beneficiaries by December 2020.⁶ Due to the suspension of the community engagement requirement in April 2020, there are no data available on the actual numbers of beneficiaries who were subject to completing the community engagement requirement and who were exempt during the period between January 2020 and March 2020. In the state’s news release to announce the suspension of the community engagement requirement due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the state noted that “approximately 30 percent of all adult expansion members would have been subject to [completing] the community engagement requirement [qualifying activities], given that many members would meet one of the 13 exemption criteria, including those who were age 60 or older, pregnant, caring for young children, already working at least 30 hours per week, or students.”^{7,8,9}

² Utah Department of Health. (April 2020). Medicaid Expansion Community Engagement Requirement Suspended. News Release. Retrieved from https://medicaid.utah.gov/Documents/pdfs/4.3.20_NR_CEsuspension.pdf

³ Utah Department of Health. (2020). Utah 1115 Demonstration Waiver Quarterly Monitoring Report Demonstration Year 18 Quarter 1. Retrieved from <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/section-1115-demonstrations/downloads/ut-primary-care-network-qtrly-rpt-jan-mar-2020.pdf>

⁴ Utah Department of Health. Retrieved on May 12, 2021 from <https://medicaid.utah.gov/expansion/>

⁵ State of Utah 1115 Primary Care Network Demonstration Waiver: Adult Expansion Amendment Request. (2018). Retrieved from <https://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Waivers/1115/downloads/ut/ut-primary-care-network-pa4.pdf>

⁶ Utah Department of Health. (2020). Utah 1115 Demonstration Waiver Quarterly Monitoring Report Demonstration Year 18 Quarter 1. Retrieved from <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/section-1115-demonstrations/downloads/ut-primary-care-network-qtrly-rpt-jan-mar-2020.pdf> and Utah Department of Health. (2021). Utah 1115 Demonstration Waiver Quarterly Monitoring Report Demonstration Year 19 Quarter 2. Under CMS Review.

⁷ Utah Department of Health. (April 2020). Medicaid Expansion Community Engagement Requirement Suspended. News Release. Retrieved from https://medicaid.utah.gov/Documents/pdfs/4.3.20_NR_CEsuspension.pdf

⁸ The state’s evaluation design estimated that 40,000 adult expansion beneficiaries would be subject to the community engagement requirement. See <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/section-1115-demonstrations/downloads/ut-primary-care-network-appvd-eval-des-11302020.pdf>

⁹ Specifically, the relevant exemption requires working at least 30 hours a week or working and earning at least what would equal the federal minimum wage earned working 30 hours a week.

With the state suspending the demonstration's community engagement requirement after just three months of implementation, by which time no disenrollment penalty had occurred, Utah was unable to provide any data on the observed enrollment impact of this requirement. However, the Commonwealth Fund estimated that Medicaid coverage losses could be between 10,000 and 17,000 beneficiaries within the first 12 months of full implementation of the community engagement requirement in Utah, representing a coverage loss of 15–20 percent out of the estimated total population of 67,000–87,000 beneficiaries who could be subject to the requirement in the state.^{10,11,12,13}

According to research from the Kaiser Family Foundation using the Current Population Survey (CPS) data, all but a small minority of adult expansion beneficiaries in Utah were either working full-time or were ill or disabled or caring for a family member (and therefore would have qualified for an exemption from the community engagement requirement).¹⁴ The study estimates that, in Utah, 22 percent (43 percent nationally) of Medicaid beneficiaries aged 19 to 64 without Supplemental Security Income (SSI) in 2019 were working full-time (at least 35 hours per week).¹⁵ Of those not already working full-time in Utah, two-thirds would likely qualify for an exemption: 19 percent of these individuals were sick or disabled, 26 percent provide care to a dependent, and 21 percent were enrolled in school. Moreover, 27 percent of individuals not already working full-time, were working part-time. These individuals may be prevented from working longer hours due to childcare responsibilities, health issues, issues related to the labor

¹⁰ The study estimated Utah's target population size based on enrollment projected by the state.

¹¹ Ku, L. & Brantley, E. (2019). Medicaid Work Requirements in Nine States Could Cause 600,000 to 800,000 Adults to Lose Medicaid Coverage. The Commonwealth Fund. Retrieved from <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/blog/2019/medicaid-work-requirements-nine-states-could-cause-600000-800000-adults-lose-coverage>

¹² Ku, L. & Brantley, E. (2019). Methods Appendix: Medicaid Work Requirements in Nine States Could Cause 600,000 to 800,000 Adults to Lose Medicaid Coverage. The Commonwealth Fund. Retrieved from https://www.commonwealthfund.org/sites/default/files/2019-06/Ku_methods_appendix_06-21-2019.pdf

¹³ The authors accounted for the following dimensions of demonstration features in its estimates of Utah's coverage losses: (a) the target population ages and status; (b) specific type of exemptions for parents and caretakers; (c) the administrative and paperwork requirements for beneficiaries that do not already work at 30 hours or more per week and are not enrolled at least half-time in an educational or training program; and (d) the process to regain coverage. The authors acknowledged that Utah's policy was different from other states' because it did not require "specific numbers of hours worked per month" and that they are therefore "less certain of the effects" of Utah's demonstration project and "conservatively estimate[d]" the potential enrollment impacts to be between 15 and 20 percent.

¹⁴ Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., Guth, M., Orgera, K., & Hinton, E. (2021). Work Among Medicaid Adults: Implications of Economic Downturn and Work Requirements. Issue Brief. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/work-among-medicaid-adults-implications-of-economic-downturn-and-work-requirements/>

¹⁵ Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., Guth, M., Orgera, K. & Hinton, E. (2021). Work Among Medicaid Adults: Implications of Economic Downturn and Work Requirements. Issue Brief. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/report-section/work-among-medicaid-adults-implications-of-economic-downturn-and-work-requirements-issue-brief/>

market (e.g., difficulty finding steady employment), or the nature of their employment (e.g., lack of control over work schedules).^{16,17}

This is consistent with research indicating more generally that most Medicaid beneficiaries are already working or are likely to be exempt from a potential community engagement requirement.^{18,19,20,21} For example, there are data to indicate that 81 percent of adults with Medicaid coverage live in families with a working adult, and 6 in 10 are working themselves.²² Similarly, a study published in 2017 reported that, out of the 22 million adults covered by Medicaid nationwide who could be subject to a community engagement requirement designed like that in Utah's PCN demonstration (representing 58 percent of all adults on Medicaid), 50 percent were already working, 14 percent were looking for work, and 36 percent were neither working nor looking for work.²³ For those beneficiaries not working or looking for work, 29 percent indicated that they were caring for a family member, 17 percent were in school, and 33 percent noted that they could not work because of a disability (despite excluding from analysis those qualifying for Medicaid on the basis of disability, highlighting the difficulty with disability determination), with the remainder citing layoff, retirement, or a temporary health problem.

Thus, overall, prior to the pandemic, the available data indicated that the substantial majority of the population that would be targeted by a community engagement requirement like in Utah's demonstration would qualify for an exemption. Furthermore, before the pandemic, according to a study from the Kaiser Family Foundation, many (approximately 32 percent) Medicaid beneficiaries who were working part-time cited work limits such as shorter work weeks (less than 35 hours per week) and unfavorable work and business conditions as the reasons for their part-time employment. It is also likely that many of Utah's part-time working beneficiaries

¹⁶ Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., Guth, M. Orgera, K. & Hinton, E. (2021). Work Among Medicaid Adults: Implications of Economic Downturn and Work Requirements. Issue Brief. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/report-section/work-among-medicaid-adults-implications-of-economic-downturn-and-work-requirements-issue-brief/>

¹⁷ Karpman, M. (2019). Many Adults Targeted by Medicaid Work Requirements Face Barriers to Sustained Employment. The Urban Institute. Retrieved from <http://hrms.urban.org/briefs/hrms-medicaid-work-requirements-2019.pdf>

¹⁸ Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., Guth, M. Orgera, K. & Hinton, E. (2021). Work Among Medicaid Adults: Implications of Economic Downturn and Work Requirements. Issue Brief. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/work-among-medicaid-adults-implications-of-economic-downturn-and-work-requirements/>

¹⁹ Huberfeld, N. (2018). Can work be required in the Medicaid program? *New England Journal of Medicine*. 378:788-791. Retrieved from <https://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMp1800549>

²⁰ Goldman, A.L., Woolhandler, S., Himmelstein, D.U., Bor, D.H. & McCormick, D. (2018). Analysis of work requirement exemptions and Medicaid spending. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. 178:1549-1552. Retrieved from <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamainternalmedicine/fullarticle/2701627>

²¹ Solomon, J. (2019). Medicaid Work Requirements Can't Be Fixed: Unintended Consequences are Inevitable Result. Center of Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/medicaid-work-requirements-cant-be-fixed>

²² Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., Guth, M. Orgera, K. & Hinton, E. (2021). Work Among Medicaid Adults: Implications of Economic Downturn and Work Requirements. Issue Brief. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/work-among-medicaid-adults-implications-of-economic-downturn-and-work-requirements/>

²³ Leighton Ku, L & Brantley, E. (2017). Medicaid Work Requirements: Who's At Risk? Health Affairs Blog. Retrieved from <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hblog20170412.059575/full/>

would qualify for an exemption, since many part-time working Medicaid beneficiaries also indicated childcare problems and other family or personal obligations as their reasons for part-time work before the pandemic, circumstances that likely have been aggravated since the pandemic.²⁴ All this makes it challenging for the community engagement requirement in Utah to produce any meaningful impact on employment outcomes by incentivizing behavioral changes in a small fraction of beneficiaries, all the while risking substantial coverage losses among those subject to the requirement.

In addition to Utah, Arkansas, Indiana, Michigan and New Hampshire, all had community engagement requirements that went into effect; however, not every state's requirement was in place long enough to trigger penalties associated with non-compliance with the requirement or to obtain meaningful data on the effects of the policy. Some early evidence on potential enrollment impacts of a community engagement requirement as a condition of Medicaid eligibility are available from Arkansas, Michigan, and New Hampshire.^{25,26} Experience from these states indicates that large portions of the beneficiaries subjected to these states' community engagement requirements failed to comply with the community engagement reporting requirements or became disenrolled once the requirements were implemented. In Arkansas, for instance, before the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia halted the community engagement requirement, the state reported that from August 2018 through December 2018, more than 18,000 individuals were disenrolled from coverage for "noncompliance with the work requirement."²⁷ During these five months, the monthly rate of coverage loss as a percentage of those who were required to report work and community engagement activities fluctuated between 20 and 47 percent.²⁸ In New Hampshire, almost 17,000 beneficiaries (about 40 percent of those subject to the requirement) were set to be suspended for non-compliance with the requirement and lose Medicaid coverage within the span of just over a month when that state's

²⁴ Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., Guth, M. Orgera, K. & Hinton, E. (2021). Work Among Medicaid Adults: Implications of Economic Downturn and Work Requirements. Issue Brief. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/work-among-medicaid-adults-implications-of-economic-downturn-and-work-requirements/>

²⁵ Similar to Utah, Indiana also briefly implemented a section 1115 demonstration with a community engagement requirement, but these states did not impose any non-compliance penalties because beneficiaries were not late in meeting their respective reporting requirements. In Indiana, while the state suspended the community engagement requirement in October 2019, a beneficiary could report compliance or exemption status any time until the last day of the calendar year 2019. In Utah, beneficiaries were required to report compliance, or eligibility for a qualifying exemption or a good cause exception, within three months after receiving the notice to comply. Since Utah suspended the requirement right after the third month of its implementation, no beneficiaries experienced a non-compliance penalty for the community engagement requirement.

²⁶ Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Washington, DC. (2021). Issue Brief No. HP-2021-03, Medicaid Demonstrations and Impacts on Health Coverage: A Review of the Evidence. Retrieved from <https://aspe.hhs.gov/pdf-report/medicaid-demonstrations-andimpacts>

²⁷ Arkansas Department of Human Services (DHS). (2018 & 2019). Arkansas Works Section 1115 Demonstration Annual Reports. Retrieved from <https://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Waivers/1115/downloads/ar/Health-Care-Independence-Program-Private-Option/ar-works-annl-rpt-jan-dec-2018.pdf>; <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/section-1115-demonstrations/downloads/ar-works-annl-rpt-jan-dec-2019.pdf>

²⁸ Arkansas Department of Human Services (DHS). (2018). Arkansas Works Section 1115 Demonstration Annual Report: January 1, 2018 – December 31, 2018. Retrieved from <https://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Waivers/1115/downloads/ar/Health-Care-Independence-Program-Private-Option/ar-works-annl-rpt-jan-dec-2018.pdf>

community engagement requirement was in effect.^{29,30,31} Based on that early data, another study projected that between 30 and 45 percent of New Hampshire beneficiaries subject to the community engagement requirement would have been disenrolled within the first year of implementation.³² And in Michigan, before the policy was vacated by the courts, 80,000 beneficiaries—representing nearly 33 percent of individuals subject to the community engagement requirement—were at risk of loss of coverage for failing to report compliance with the community engagement requirement.³³

Notwithstanding state assurances in the demonstration’s Special Terms and Conditions that Utah would provide the necessary outreach to Medicaid beneficiaries, experience from other states with similar community engagement requirements shows that despite similar assurances, lack of awareness of and administrative barriers associated with community engagement requirements create serious challenges for beneficiaries, which could result in significant coverage losses.³⁴ In fact, there was evidence of widespread confusion and lack of awareness among demonstration beneficiaries regarding the community engagement requirements in the states where the requirements were implemented.³⁵ For example, many beneficiaries in New Hampshire reportedly did not know about the community engagement reporting requirement or received confusing and often contradictory notices about whether they were subject to the requirement.^{36,37} Moreover, in Arkansas, Michigan, and New Hampshire, evidence suggests that even individuals who were already working or those who had serious health needs, and therefore should have been eligible for exemptions, lost coverage or were at risk of losing coverage

²⁹ Wagner, J., & Schubel, J. (2020). States' experiences confirming harmful effects of Medicaid work requirements. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/states-experiences-confirm-harmful-effects-of-medicaid-work-requirements>

³⁰ New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services. (2019). DHHS Community Engagement Report: June 2019. Retrieved from <https://www.dhhs.nh.gov/medicaid/granite/documents/ga-ce-report-062019.pdf>

³¹ Hill, I., Burroughs, E., & Adams, G. (2020). New Hampshire’s Experience with Medicaid Work Requirements: New Strategies, Similar Results. Urban Institute. Retrieved from <https://www.urban.org/research/publication/new-hampshires-experiences-medicaid-work-requirements-new-strategies-similar-results>

³² The Commonwealth Fund Blog. (2019). New Hampshire’s Medicaid Work Requirements Could Cause More Than 15,000 to Lose Coverage. Retrieved from <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/blog/2019/new-hampshires-medicaid-work-requirements-could-cause-coverage-loss>

³³ Wagner, J., & Schubel, J. (2020). States’ Experiences Confirm Harmful Effects of Medicaid Work Requirements. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/states-experiences-confirm-harmful-effects-of-medicaid-work-requirements>

³⁴ Solomon, J. (2019). Medicaid Work Requirements Can’t Be Fixed: Unintended Consequences are Inevitable Result. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/medicaid-work-requirements-cant-be-fixed>

³⁵ Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Washington, DC. (2021). Issue Brief No. HP-2021-03, Medicaid Demonstrations and Impacts on Health Coverage: A Review of the Evidence. Retrieved from <https://aspe.hhs.gov/pdf-report/medicaid-demonstrations-and-impacts>.

³⁶ Solomon, D. (2019). Spreading the Word on Medicaid Work Requirement Proves Challenging. Union Leader. Retrieved from https://www.unionleader.com/news/health/spreading-the-word-on-medicaid-work-requirement-proves-challenging/article_740b99e7-9f48-52d4-b2d8-030167e66af8.html

³⁷ Moon, J. (2019). Confusing Letters, Frustrated Members: N.H.’s Medicaid Work Requirement Takes Effect. New Hampshire Public Radio. Retrieved from <https://www.nhpr.org/post/confusing-letters-frustrated-members-nhs-medicaid-work-requirement-takes-effect#stream/0>

because of complicated administrative and paperwork requirements.³⁸ Beneficiaries also reported barriers to obtaining exemptions from the community engagement requirement. For example, beneficiaries with physical and behavioral health conditions reported that their providers were resistant to signing forms needed to establish that the beneficiary was unable to work so that the beneficiary could qualify for an exemption.³⁹

There is overwhelming evidence that any impediment to coverage could be detrimental to the health of beneficiaries. For example, one study found that low-income individuals without insurance coverage were more likely to avoid or delay needed care, which can lead to greater risk of avoidable illnesses or even death.⁴⁰ Further, disenrollment and coverage gaps have been associated with increased barriers to care, lower quality care, and greater medical debt among beneficiaries disenrolled from Medicaid, even after their coverage resumed.⁴¹ Another study using data from Arkansas found that adults ages 30–49 who had lost Medicaid or Marketplace coverage in the prior year experienced significantly higher medical debt and financial barriers to care, compared to similar Arkansans who maintained coverage.⁴² Specifically, 50 percent of Arkansans affected by disenrollment in that age group reported serious problems paying off medical bills; 56 percent delayed seeking health care and 64 percent delayed taking medications because of cost considerations.⁴³ These rates were all significantly higher than among individuals who retained coverage in Medicaid or Marketplace all year. Evidence also indicates that those with chronic conditions were more likely to lose coverage,⁴⁴ which could lead to worse health outcomes in the future.

In all states, consistent and stable employment is often out of reach for beneficiaries who might be subject to a community engagement requirement. Many low-income beneficiaries face a challenging job market, which often offers only unsteady or low-paying jobs with unpredictable

³⁸ Wagner, J., & Schubel, J. (2020). States' Experiences Confirm Harmful Effects of Medicaid Work Requirements. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/states-experiences-confirm-harmful-effects-of-medicaid-work-requirements>

³⁹ Hill, I., Burroughs, E., & Adams, G. (2020). New Hampshire's Experience with Medicaid Work Requirements: New Strategies, Similar Results. Urban Institute. Retrieved from <https://www.urban.org/research/publication/new-hampshires-experiences-medicaid-work-requirements-new-strategies-similar-results>

⁴⁰ Ku, L. & Ross, D.C. (2002). Staying Covered: The Importance of Retaining Health Insurance for Low-Income Families. The Commonwealth Fund. Retrieved from https://www.commonwealthfund.org/sites/default/files/documents/_media_files_publications_fund_report_2002_dec_staying_covered_the_importance_of_retaining_health_insurance_for_low_income_families_ku_stayingcovered_586.pdf.pdf

⁴¹ University of Wisconsin-Madison Institute for Research on Poverty. (2019). Evaluation of Wisconsin's BadgerCare Plus Health Coverage for Parents & Caretaker Adults and for Childless Adults 2014 Waiver Provisions. Retrieved from <https://www.irp.wisc.edu/wp/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/BC-2014-Waiver-Provisions-Final-Report-08302019.pdf>

⁴² Sommers, B.D., Chen, L., Blendon, R.J., Orav, E.J., & Epstein, A.M. (2020). Medicaid Work Requirements in Arkansas: Two-Year Impacts on Coverage, Employment, and Affordability of Care. *Health Affairs*, 39(9), 1522-1530. Retrieved from <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/full/10.1377/hlthaff.2020.00538>

⁴³ Sommers, B.D., Chen, L., Blendon, R.J., Orav, E.J., & Epstein, A.M. (2020). Medicaid Work Requirements in Arkansas: Two-Year Impacts on Coverage, Employment, and Affordability of Care. *Health Affairs*, 39(9), 1522-1530. Retrieved from <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/full/10.1377/hlthaff.2020.00538>

⁴⁴ Chen, L. & Sommers, B.D. (2020). Work Requirements and Medicaid Disenrollment in Arkansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas, 2018. *American Journal of Public Health*, 110, 1208-1210. Retrieved from <https://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/full/10.2105/AJPH.2020.305697>

or irregular hours, sometimes resulting in spells of unemployment, particularly in seasonal work.^{45,46,47,48} For example, one study found that among Medicaid beneficiaries likely to be subject to a community engagement requirement who did not always work 20 hours per week, about half reported not working or not working more hours for reasons related to the labor market or the nature of their employment, such as difficulty finding work, employer restrictions on their work schedule, employment in temporary positions, or reduced hours because business was slow.⁴⁹ Low-wage workers with a stated preference for full-time work are also often working irregular hours as many of their employers expect them to be on-call and available on short notice, making it potentially difficult for these workers to secure a second job or to take advantage of education and training opportunities that may require scheduled attendance.^{50,51}

Given the range of labor market and employment barriers facing Medicaid beneficiaries who could be subject to a community engagement requirement, Utah's demonstration design to exempt working beneficiaries only if they work at least 30 hours per week is a concern even for low-income Utahans who are working, albeit less than 30 hours per week.^{52,53} Therefore, it is not evident that Utah's community engagement activities, which appear designed to help beneficiaries find employment (e.g., job training or submitting job applications), would be beneficial to beneficiaries who already work part-time and are unable to work second jobs or attend classes. In contrast, the administrative aspect of the requirement can be onerous for

⁴⁵ Butcher, K. & Schanzenbach, D. (2018). Most Workers in Low-Wage Labor Market Work Substantial Hours, in Volatile Jobs. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/poverty-and-inequality/most-workers-in-low-wage-labor-market-work-substantial-hours-in>

⁴⁶ Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. (2020). Taking Away Medicaid for Not Meeting Work Requirements Harms Low-Wage Workers. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/taking-away-medicaid-for-not-meeting-work-requirements-harms-low-wage-workers>

⁴⁷ Gangopadhyaya, A., Johnston, E., Kenney, G. & Zuckerman, S. (2018). Kentucky Medicaid Work Requirements: What Are the Coverage Risks for Working Enrollees? Urban Institute. Retrieved from https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/98893/2001948_kentucky-medicaid-work-requirements-what-are-the-coverage-risks-for-working-enrollees.pdf

⁴⁸ Karpman, M. (2019). Many Adults Targeted by Medicaid Work Requirements Face Barriers to Sustained Employment. The Urban Institute. Retrieved from <http://hrms.urban.org/briefs/hrms-medicaid-work-requirements-2019.pdf>

⁴⁹ Karpman, M. (2019). Many Adults Targeted by Medicaid Work Requirements Face Barriers to Sustained Employment. The Urban Institute. Retrieved from <http://hrms.urban.org/briefs/hrms-medicaid-work-requirements-2019.pdf>

⁵⁰ Smith, V. & Halpin, B. (2014). Low-Wage Work Uncertainty Often Traps Low-Wage Workers. Policy Brief, Volume 2, Number 9. Center for Poverty Research, University of California-Davis. Retrieved from https://poverty.ucdavis.edu/sites/main/files/file-attachments/smith_cpr_policy_brief_employability.pdf

⁵¹ Walther, A. (2018-2019). Poverty Fact Sheet: Unstable Jobs, Unstable Lives: Low-Wage Work in the United States. Institute for Research on Poverty; University of Wisconsin-Madison. Retrieved from <https://www.irp.wisc.edu/wp/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/Fact-Sheet-16-2018-UnstableJobs-UnstableLives.pdf>

⁵² Solomon, J. (2019). Medicaid Work Requirements Can't Be Fixed: Unintended Consequences are Inevitable Result. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/medicaid-work-requirements-cant-be-fixed>

⁵³ Aron-Dine, A., Chaudhry, R. & Broaddus, M. (2018). Many Working People Could Lose Health Coverage Due to Medicaid Work Requirements. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/many-working-people-could-lose-health-coverage-due-to-medicaid-work-requirements>

beneficiaries.⁵⁴ In addition to the challenges associated with learning about the community engagement participation requirement, the nuances of the exemptions and qualifying activities, and the reporting obligations, the community engagement requirement could be difficult to comply with in terms of documenting employment or exemption status, filling out forms, and understanding and appropriately responding to notices. All of these can potentially limit access to health coverage and care.⁵⁵ Furthermore, such a requirement is likely to aggravate the psychological costs, including the stigma, stress, frustration, anxiety, and loss of autonomy, which can arise from interacting with administratively burdensome public programs, potentially adversely impacting beneficiary health.⁵⁶ Moreover, the mental stress and negative health implications of administratively burdensome programs may be more pronounced among beneficiary populations of racial minorities.⁵⁷

Research examining the outcomes of statutorily authorized work requirements in other public assistance programs, such as Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), indicates that such requirements generally have only modest and temporary effects on employment, failing to increase long-term employment or reduce poverty.^{58,59,60,61} Additionally, studies have found that imposing work requirements in the SNAP program led to substantial reductions in enrollment, even after controlling for changes in unemployment and poverty levels.⁶² In fact, evidence suggests that there were large and rapid caseload losses in selected areas after SNAP work requirements went into effect, similar to what early data from Arkansas show, and what appeared would be likely to happen in New Hampshire and Michigan after these states began implementing community engagement requirements, if those states' community engagement requirements had been implemented long enough to reach the scheduled suspensions or disenrollments.

⁵⁴ Herd P. & Moynihan, D. (2020). How Administrative Burdens Can Harm Health. Health Affairs: Health Policy Brief. Retrieved from <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hpb20200904.405159/full/>

⁵⁵ Herd P. & Moynihan, D. (2020). How Administrative Burdens Can Harm Health. Health Affairs: Health Policy Brief. Retrieved from <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hpb20200904.405159/full/>

⁵⁶ Herd P. & Moynihan, D. (2020). How Administrative Burdens Can Harm Health. Health Affairs: Health Policy Brief. Retrieved from <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hpb20200904.405159/full/>

⁵⁷ Schram, S., Soss, J., Fording, R., & Houser, L. (2009). Deciding to Discipline: Race, Choice, and Punishment at the Frontlines of Welfare Reform. *American Sociological Review*, 74(3): 398-422. Retrieved from <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/000312240907400304>

⁵⁸ Katch, H., Wagner, J. & Aron-Dine, A. (2018). Taking Medicaid Coverage Away From People Not Meeting Work Requirements Will Reduce Low-Income Families' Access to Care and Worsen Health Outcomes. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/taking-medicaid-coverage-away-from-people-not-meeting-work-requirements-will-reduce>

⁵⁹ Danziger, S.K., Danziger, S., Seefeldt, K.S. & Shaefer, H.L. (2016). From Welfare to a Work-Based Safety Net: An Incomplete Transition. *Journal of Policy Analysis & Management*, 35(1), 231-238. Retrieved from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/pam.21880>

⁶⁰ Pavetti, L. (2016). Work Requirements Don't Cut Poverty, Evidence Shows. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/poverty-and-inequality/work-requirements-dont-cut-poverty-evidence-shows>

⁶¹ Gray, C., Leive, A., Prager, E., Pukelis, K.B. & Zaki, M. (2021). Employed in a SNAP? The Impact of Work Requirements on Program Participation and Labor Supply. National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper 28877. Retrieved from <https://www.nber.org/papers/w28877>

⁶² Ku, L., Brantley, E. & Pillai, D. (2019). The Effects of SNAP Work Requirements in Reducing Participation and Benefits From 2013 to 2017. *American Journal of Public Health* 109(10), 1446-1451. Retrieved from <https://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/10.2105/AJPH.2019.305232>

Therefore, existing evidence from states that have implemented community engagement requirements through Medicaid section 1115 demonstrations, evidence from other public programs with work requirements, and the overall work patterns and job market opportunities for the low-income adults who would be subject to such requirements all highlight the potential ineffectiveness of community engagement requirements at impacting employment outcomes for the target population. And while there are variations in the design and implementation of community engagement requirements in each state that has implemented such a requirement, as well as differences in employment and economic opportunities, findings from the states that implemented community engagement requirements point in the general direction of challenges with beneficiary outreach efforts to ensure understanding of program requirements, various bottlenecks in complying with reporting requirements, and subsequent coverage losses among individuals subject to such requirements.

As further described below, CMS does not expect that requiring community engagement as a condition of continued eligibility in Utah's Medicaid demonstration would have a different outcome than what was observed during the initial implementation of community engagement requirements in other states. Considering all available information, there is a substantial risk that the community engagement requirement, as authorized under the amendment to Utah's PCN demonstration approved in March 2019, would lead to substantial coverage losses, a risk that is exacerbated by the ongoing COVID-19 public health emergency and its likely aftermath.

Impact of COVID-19 and its Aftermath

The COVID-19 pandemic and the uncertainty surrounding the long-term effects on economic activity and opportunities across the nation exacerbate the risks associated with tying a community engagement requirement to eligibility, making Utah's community engagement requirement infeasible under the current circumstances. There is a substantial risk that the COVID-19 pandemic and its aftermath will have a negative impact on economic opportunities for Medicaid beneficiaries. If employment opportunities are limited, Medicaid beneficiaries may find it difficult to obtain paid work in the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic.^{63,64}

As discussed above, prior to the pandemic, most adult Medicaid beneficiaries who did not face a barrier to work were working full or part-time.⁶⁵ However, one in three working adult Medicaid beneficiaries was doing only part-time work prior to the COVID-19 public health emergency, often due to fewer opportunities for full-time employment. The pandemic is expected to exacerbate the challenges not only of finding full-time employment, but it also may create

⁶³ Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., Guth, M., Orgera, K. & Hinton, E. (2021). Work Among Medicaid Adults: Implications of Economic Downturn and Work Requirements. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/report-section/work-among-medicaid-adults-implications-of-economic-downturn-and-work-requirements-issue-brief/>

⁶⁴ Gangopadhyaya, A. & Garrett, B. (2020). Unemployment, Health Insurance, and the COVID-19 Recession. Urban Institute. Retrieved from https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/101946/unemployment-health-insurance-and-the-covid-19-recession_1.pdf

⁶⁵ Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., Guth, M., Orgera, K. & Hinton, E. (2021). Work Among Medicaid Adults: Implications of Economic Downturn and Work Requirements. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/report-section/work-among-medicaid-adults-implications-of-economic-downturn-and-work-requirements-issue-brief/>

additional obstacles to securing even part-time work, due to shifting caregiving responsibilities and increased transportation barriers.⁶⁶

Moreover, during the pandemic, the different sectors of the economy have seen disparate levels of disruption, which has affected labor market outcomes for certain populations more than the others. While the national employment rate⁶⁷ declined by 6.5 percent from January 2020 to March 2021, there was significant variation by income. For example, employment rates for workers in the bottom wage quartile (i.e., annual wages under \$27,000) decreased by 23.6 percent whereas workers in the highest wage quartile (i.e., annual wages above \$60,000) had their employment rates increase by 2.4 percent across that time period.⁶⁸ In Utah, employment rates for low-wage earners declined by 8.9 percent, compared to a modest 0.2 percent increase in employment rates for high-wage earners from January 2020 to March 2021.⁶⁹

Further, declines in employment have been much higher for Black and Hispanic women and for workers in several low-wage service sectors, such as hospitality and leisure, while workers in other sectors, such as financial services, have seen virtually no change.⁷⁰ In April 2020, the estimated unemployment rates (including individuals who were employed but absent from work and those not in the workforce but who wanted employment) for the Black and Hispanic populations were as high as 32 and 31 percent, respectively, compared to 24 percent for the White population.⁷¹ Hispanic populations specifically are more likely to be affected due to their disproportionate representation in industries such as hospitality and construction, which have been most affected by the pandemic-related layoffs.^{72,73,74}

⁶⁶ Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., Guth, M., Orgera, K. & Hinton, E. (2021). Work Among Medicaid Adults: Implications of Economic Downturn and Work Requirements. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/report-section/work-among-medicaid-adults-implications-of-economic-downturn-and-work-requirements-issue-brief/>

⁶⁷ Not seasonally adjusted.

⁶⁸ Opportunity Insights: Economic Tracker. (2021). Percent Change in Employment. Retrieved on June 16, 2021 from www.tracktherecovery.org

⁶⁹ Opportunity Insights: Economic Tracker. (2021). Percent Change in Employment. Retrieved on June 16, 2021 from www.tracktherecovery.org

⁷⁰ Rouse, C. (2021). The Employment Situation in February. The White House Briefing Room. Retrieved from <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/blog/2021/03/05/the-employment-situation-in-february/>

⁷¹ Fairlie, R., Couch, K. & Xu, H. (2020). The Impacts of COVID-19 on Minority Unemployment: First Evidence from April 2020 CPS Microdata. National Bureau of Economic Research. Retrieved from https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w27246/w27246.pdf

⁷² Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., Guth, M., Orgera, K. & Hinton, E. (2021). Work Among Medicaid Adults: Implications of Economic Downturn and Work Requirements. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/report-section/work-among-medicaid-adults-implications-of-economic-downturn-and-work-requirements-issue-brief/>

⁷³ Industries like health care and transportation have been less affected by the pandemic, and that has provided some cushion for Black workers. See Despard, M., Weiss-Grinstein, M., Chun, Y. & Roll, S. (2020). COVID-19 Job and Income Loss Leading to More Hunger and Financial Hardship. Brookings Institution. Retrieved from <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/up-front/2020/07/13/covid-19-job-and-income-loss-leading-to-more-hunger-and-financial-hardship/>

⁷⁴ Krogstad, J.M., Gonzalez-Barrera, A. & Noe-Bustamante, L. (2020). U.S. Latinos among hardest hit by pay cuts, job losses due to coronavirus. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/04/03/u-s-latinos-among-hardest-hit-by-pay-cuts-job-losses-due-to-coronavirus/>

Moreover, pandemic-related job and income losses have also been more acute among the low-income population—those with the least wherewithal to withstand economic shocks, and who are disproportionately enrolled in Medicaid.⁷⁵ In fact, 52 percent of lower income adults (annual income below \$37,500) live in households where someone has lost a job or taken a pay cut due to the pandemic.⁷⁶ Understandably, households with a job or income loss were two-to-three times more likely to experience economic hardship than those who did not experience such a loss.^{77,78} Fifty-nine percent of lower-income adults said they worry every day or almost every day about paying their bills.⁷⁹ There are also racial and ethnic disparities in the likelihood of reporting hardships; for example, compared to White households, Black households reported significantly higher chances of putting off filling prescriptions and difficulties making housing and other bill payments. Also, Hispanic households were more likely to experience food insecurity compared to White households.^{80,81}

Existing disparities in access to computers and reliable internet may also exacerbate issues in finding and maintaining employment during the pandemic. For example, 29 percent of adults in households with annual incomes below \$30,000 did not own a smartphone, and 44 percent did not have home broadband services in 2019.⁸² Moreover, fewer than 8 percent of Americans with earnings below the 25th percentile have the capabilities to work remotely.⁸³ These disparities will result in fewer opportunities for beneficiaries to satisfy a community engagement requirement, particularly as more jobs have shifted to telework or “work from home” during the public health emergency. Therefore, implementation of the community engagement requirement

⁷⁵ Despard, M., Weiss-Grinstein, M., Chun, Y. & Roll, S. (2020). COVID-19 Job and Income Loss Leading to More Hunger and Financial Hardship. Brookings Institution. Retrieved from <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/up-front/2020/07/13/covid-19-job-and-income-loss-leading-to-more-hunger-and-financial-hardship/>

⁷⁶ Parker, K., Horowitz, J.M., & Brown, A. (2020). About Half of Lower-Income Americans Report Household Job or Wage Loss Due to COVID-19. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2020/04/21/about-half-of-lower-income-americans-report-household-job-or-wage-loss-due-to-covid-19/>

⁷⁷ Despard, M., Weiss-Grinstein, M., Chun, Y. & Roll, S. (2020). COVID-19 Job and Income Loss Leading to More Hunger and Financial Hardship. Brookings Institution. Retrieved from <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/up-front/2020/07/13/covid-19-job-and-income-loss-leading-to-more-hunger-and-financial-hardship/>

⁷⁸ Gangopadhyaya, A. & Garrett, B. (2020). Unemployment, Health Insurance, and the COVID-19 Recession. Urban Institute. Retrieved from https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/101946/unemployment-health-insurance-and-the-covid-19-recession_1.pdf

⁷⁹ Parker, K., Horowitz, J.M., & Brown, A. (2020). About Half of Lower-Income Americans Report Household Job or Wage Loss Due to COVID-19. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2020/04/21/about-half-of-lower-income-americans-report-household-job-or-wage-loss-due-to-covid-19/>

⁸⁰ Despard, M., Weiss-Grinstein, M., Chun, Y. & Roll, S. (2020). COVID-19 Job and Income Loss Leading to More Hunger and Financial Hardship. Brookings Institution. Retrieved from <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/up-front/2020/07/13/covid-19-job-and-income-loss-leading-to-more-hunger-and-financial-hardship/>

⁸¹ Gangopadhyaya, A. & Garrett, B. (2020). Unemployment, Health Insurance, and the COVID-19 Recession. Urban Institute. Retrieved from https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/101946/unemployment-health-insurance-and-the-covid-19-recession_1.pdf

⁸² Anderson, M. & Kumar, M. (2019). Digital Divide Persists Even as Lower-Income Americans Make Gains in Tech Adoption. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2019/05/07/digital-divide-persists-even-as-lower-income-americans-make-gains-in-tech-adoption/>

⁸³ Maani, N., Galea, S. (2020). COVID-19 and Underinvestment in the Health of the US Population. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 98: 239-249. Retrieved from <https://www.milbank.org/quarterly/articles/covid-19-and-underinvestment-in-the-health-of-the-us-population/>

approved in this demonstration increases the risk of coverage loss for these low-income individuals.^{84,85}

The pandemic also has disproportionately impacted the physical and mental health of racial and ethnic minority groups, who already experience disparities in health outcomes. Racial minorities and people living in low-income households are more likely to work in industries that are considered “essential services,” which have remained open during the pandemic.⁸⁶ Additionally, occupations with more frequent exposure to COVID-19 infections, and that require close proximity to others (such as personal care aides and bus drivers) employ Black individuals at higher rates than White individuals.⁸⁷ As a result, Black people may be at a higher risk of contracting COVID-19 through their employment. The pandemic’s mental health impact also has been pronounced among populations experiencing disproportionately high rates of COVID-19 cases and deaths. Specifically, Black and Hispanic adults have been more likely than White adults to report symptoms of anxiety and/or depressive disorder during the pandemic.⁸⁸

Since the start of the pandemic, individuals have delayed or postponed seeking care, either due to concerns with out-of-pocket expenses or to avoid risk of contact with infected individuals in health care settings. For example, one study showed that screenings for breast, colon, prostate, and lung cancers were between 56 and 85 percent lower in April 2020 than in the previous year.⁸⁹ Results of another survey-based study show that 40 percent of respondents canceled upcoming health care appointments due to the pandemic, and another 12 percent reported they needed care but did not schedule or receive services.⁹⁰ These unmet health care needs may lead to substantial increases in subsequent mortality and morbidity.⁹¹ In addition to the health

⁸⁴ Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., Guth, M., Orgera, K. & Hinton, E. (2021). Work Among Medicaid Adults: Implications of Economic Downturn and Work Requirements. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/report-section/work-among-medicaid-adults-implications-of-economic-downturn-and-work-requirements-issue-brief/>

⁸⁵ Gangopadhyaya, A. & Garrett, B. (2020). Unemployment, Health Insurance, and the COVID-19 Recession. Urban Institute. Retrieved from https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/101946/unemployment-health-insurance-and-the-covid-19-recession_1.pdf

⁸⁶ Raifman, M.A., & Raifman, J.R. (2020). Disparities in the Population at Risk of Severe Illness From COVID-19 by Race/Ethnicity and Income. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 59(1), 137–139. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0749379720301550?via%3Dihub>

⁸⁷ Hawkins, D. (2020). Differential Occupational Risk for COVID-19 and Other Infection Exposure According to Race and Ethnicity. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 63(9):817-820. Retrieved from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/ajim.23145>

⁸⁸ Panchal, N., Kamal, R., Cox, C. & Garfield, R. (2021). The Implications of COVID-19 for Mental Health and Substance Use. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/the-implications-of-covid-19-for-mental-health-and-substance-use/>

⁸⁹ Patt, D., Gordan, L., Diaz, M., Okon, T., Grady, L., Harmison, M., Markward, N., Sullivan, M., Peng, J., Zhou, A. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on Cancer Care: How the Pandemic Is Delaying Cancer Diagnosis and Treatment for American Seniors. *JCO Clinical Cancer Informatics*, 4:1059-1071. Retrieved from <https://ascopubs.org/doi/full/10.1200/CCI.20.00134>

⁹⁰ McKinsey & Company (2020). Understanding the Hidden Costs of COVID-19’s Potential on U.S. Healthcare. Retrieved from <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/healthcare-systems-and-services/our-insights/understanding-the-hidden-costs-of-covid-19s-potential-impact-on-us-healthcare#>

⁹¹ Chen, J. & McGeorge, R. (2020). Spillover Effects Of The COVID-19 Pandemic Could Drive Long-Term Health Consequences For Non-COVID-19 Patients. Health Affairs Blog. Retrieved from <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hblog20201020.566558/full/>

consequences associated with delaying care, pandemic-related delays in seeking care are estimated to increase annual health care costs nationwide by a range of \$30 to \$65 billion.⁹²

The impact of the COVID-19 public health emergency on the economy has been significant, and, importantly, experience with previous recessions suggests the impact is likely to persist for an extended period of time. The unemployment rate went up from 3.5 percent in February 2020, prior to when the pandemic hit, to 14.8 percent in April 2020, and has subsequently fallen to 5.8 percent in May 2021.⁹³ The labor force participation rate (i.e., the percentage of the civilian non-institutional population age 16 or older who are working or actively seeking work during the prior month) likewise dipped from 63.3 percent in February 2020 to 60.2 percent in April 2020 only to recover somewhat to 61.6 percent in May 2021.^{94,95} Compared to pre-pandemic conditions, these data suggest that the labor force is still down in May 2021 by approximately 3.6 million individuals.^{96,97}

Evidence shows that losing a job can have significant long-term effects on an individual's future earnings. Studies have found that workers who lose their jobs in mass layoffs still earn 20 percent less than similar workers who kept their jobs, 15 to 20 years after the layoff, and the impacts are greater for individuals who lose their jobs during a recession. On average, men lost 2.8 years of pre-layoff earnings when the mass layoff occurred in a time when the unemployment rate was above eight percent.⁹⁸ Further, workers who enter the labor market during a recession

⁹² McKinsey & Company (2020). Understanding the Hidden Costs of COVID-19's Potential on U.S. Healthcare. Retrieved from <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/healthcare-systems-and-services/our-insights/understanding-the-hidden-costs-of-covid-19s-potential-impact-on-us-healthcare#>

⁹³ U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2021). Labor Force Statistics from the Current Population Survey. Retrieved from <https://www.bls.gov/cps/>

⁹⁴ The numerator of the labor force participation rate, i.e., the total labor force, consists of those employed and unemployed, where the unemployed are individuals without a job but actively looking for work during the past month. The labor force does not include individuals who would like to and are available for work but may have given up looking for work altogether (known as discouraged workers, or more broadly as, marginally attached workers), usually because they believe that there are no jobs available for them or there are none for which they would qualify. Recessions, such as the one that resulted as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic, often lead to a sharp rise in the number of discouraged workers, and therefore, the size of the labor force shrinks resulting in a sharp decline in labor force participation rates. These individuals who leave the labor force *discouraged* are not represented either in the employment or unemployment rates. Therefore, in addition to the employment and unemployment rates, the labor force participation rate is another important measure of the labor market, particularly during times of economic shocks. For more information, for example, see: <https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/LNU05026645>, <https://www.bls.gov/charts/employment-situation/civilian-labor-force-participation-rate.htm>, and <https://www.bls.gov/opub/btn/archive/ranks-of-discouraged-workers-and-others-marginally-attached-to-the-labor-force-rise-during-recession.pdf>.

⁹⁵ U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2021). Labor Force Statistics from the Current Population Survey. Retrieved from <https://www.bls.gov/cps/> and <https://www.bls.gov/charts/employment-situation/civilian-labor-force-participation-rate.htm>

⁹⁶ For May 2021 seasonally adjusted labor force data, see: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2021). Labor Force Statistics from the Current Population Survey. Retrieved on June 10, 2021 from <https://www.bls.gov/web/empsit/cpseea08b.pdf>

⁹⁷ For February 2020 seasonally adjusted labor force data, see: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (March, 2020). The Employment Situation – February 2020. News Release. Table A-1. Retrieved from https://www.bls.gov/news.release/archives/empsit_03062020.pdf

⁹⁸ Davis, S.J. & von Wachter, T. (2011). Recessions and the Costs of Job Loss. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity. Retrieved from https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2011/09/2011b_bpea_davis.pdf

also face long-term consequences for their earnings.⁹⁹ Additionally, non-White individuals and individuals with lower educational attainment have experienced larger and more persistent earning losses than other groups who enter the labor market during recessions.¹⁰⁰

Layoffs can also impact an individual's mortality and morbidity risks.¹⁰¹ For example, one study found that male workers experienced mortality rates that were 50-100 percent higher than expected in the year after a layoff occurred, and 20 years later, mortality rates remained 10-15 percent higher for these individuals.¹⁰² Furthermore, workers experiencing layoff have reductions in health care utilization, especially among those who lose coverage, which suggests that access to coverage, and continuity of care, could be important in alleviating the long-term ill effects of layoffs on mortality.¹⁰³

In summary, the short-to-long-term adverse implications of the COVID-19 pandemic on the economic opportunities for Medicaid beneficiaries, which have been aggravated further by challenges around shifting childcare and caregiving responsibilities as well as constraints on public transportation during the pandemic, heightens the risks of attaching a community engagement requirement to eligibility for coverage. In addition, the uncertainty regarding the lingering health complications of COVID-19 infections and the potential long-term adverse health effects resulting from the economic and non-economic consequences of the pandemic exacerbate the risk of any coverage losses for Medicaid beneficiaries. The likely ramifications of losing timely access to necessary health care also can be long lasting. As such, CMS believes that the potential for coverage loss among Medicaid beneficiaries—especially from a requirement that is difficult for beneficiaries to understand and administratively complex for states to implement—would be particularly harmful in the aftermath of the pandemic, and makes the community engagement requirement impracticable.

Evidence Submitted by Utah

On March 12, 2021, Utah submitted a response to CMS's February 12, 2021 letter. As noted above, the February 12, 2021 letter informed Utah that CMS had preliminarily determined that allowing the community engagement requirement to take effect in Utah would not promote the objectives of the Medicaid program. The February 12, 2021 letter explained that in light of the COVID-19 public health emergency, Utah's community engagement requirement risks

⁹⁹ Schwandt, H. & von Wachter, T.M. (2018). Unlucky Cohorts: Estimating the Long-term Effects of Entering the Labor Market in a Recession in Large Cross-sectional Data Sets. NBER Working Paper 25141. Retrieved from <https://www.nber.org/papers/w25141>

¹⁰⁰ Schwandt, H. & von Wachter, T.M. (2018). Unlucky Cohorts: Estimating the Long-term Effects of Entering the Labor Market in a Recession in Large Cross-sectional Data Sets. NBER Working Paper 25141. Retrieved from <https://www.nber.org/papers/w25141>

¹⁰¹ Banks, J., Karjalainen, H. & Propper, C. (2020). Recessions and Health: The Long-Term Health Consequences of Responses to the Coronavirus. *Journal of Applied Public Economics*. Retrieved from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/1475-5890.12230>

¹⁰² Sullivan, D. & von Wachter, T. (2009). Job Displacement and Mortality: An Analysis Using Administrative Data. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. Retrieved from <https://academic.oup.com/qje/article-abstract/124/3/1265/1905153?redirectedFrom=fulltext>

¹⁰³ Schaller, J. & Stevens, A. (2015). Short-Run Effects of Job Loss on Health Conditions, Health Insurance, and Health Care Utilization. *Journal of Health Economics*, 43, 190-203. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167629615000788>

significant coverage losses at a time when losing access to health care coverage would cause substantial harm to beneficiaries.

Utah's response does not resolve the concerns we raised in the February 12, 2021 letter. The state did not dispute that the COVID-19 public health emergency has had a significant impact on the health of Medicaid beneficiaries and that there is uncertainty about the lingering health effects of COVID-19. Nor did the state dispute the pandemic's likely impact on economic opportunities for beneficiaries. There is significant uncertainty as to whether there would be employment opportunities for beneficiaries who are not already working 30 hours per week or enrolled in school half-time, even once the public health emergency has ended. So Utah's community engagement activities, which require beneficiaries to register for work, complete a job skills assessment and training courses, and apply for at least 48 jobs, will be of little benefit to those beneficiaries who complete them if there are not enough jobs available.

Research on potential beneficiary coverage loss from community engagement requirements indicates that most of those losing coverage would be individuals who are already working or should be otherwise exempt, but would lose coverage because of the inherently complex reporting requirements and the apparent challenges of informing and educating beneficiaries about the requirements in general.^{104,105,106} The Kaiser Family Foundation, for example, estimated that if community engagement requirements were implemented nationwide, coverage losses due to non-reporting of qualifying activities or exemptions would account for 77–83 percent of total Medicaid disenrollments due to such a requirement, with the rest potentially attributable to not participating in sufficient hours of qualifying activities to meet work or community engagement requirements.¹⁰⁷ Beneficiaries in Utah subject to the community engagement requirement are required to register for work through a state system, complete an assessment of employment training needs, apply for work with at least 48 job applications, and complete job training modules identified by the state. While Utah's community engagement requirement does not require beneficiaries to complete a certain number of hours of work per week (unless relying on work hours for an exemption from the community engagement requirement), its requirement is reliant on completion of activities that could be administratively burdensome for beneficiaries to comply with.¹⁰⁸ Beneficiaries may also find it difficult to claim

¹⁰⁴ Solomon, J. (2019). Medicaid Work Requirements Can't Be Fixed: Unintended Consequences are Inevitable Result. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/medicaid-work-requirements-cant-be-fixed>

¹⁰⁵ Wagner, J., & Schubel, J. (2020). States' experiences confirming harmful effects of Medicaid work requirements. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/states-experiences-confirm-harmful-effects-of-medicaid-work-requirements>

¹⁰⁶ Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., & Musumeci, M. (2018). Implications of a Medicaid Work Requirement: National Estimates of Potential Coverage Losses. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/implications-of-a-medicaid-work-requirement-national-estimates-of-potential-coverage-losses/>

¹⁰⁷ Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., & Musumeci, M. (2018). Implications of a Medicaid Work Requirement: National Estimates of Potential Coverage Losses. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/implications-of-a-medicaid-work-requirement-national-estimates-of-potential-coverage-losses/>

¹⁰⁸ Hahn, H., Sullivan, L., Tran, V., Blount, D., & Waxman, E. (2019). SNAP Work Requirements in Arkansas for Adults without Dependents or Disabilities. Urban Institute. Retrieved from

an exemption based on work hours due to documentation requirements, such as paystubs and timesheets, possibly from multiple employers, and other bureaucratic hurdles.^{109,110} This would be more challenging for individuals who are self-employed and therefore may not have such documentation readily available.¹¹¹ Furthermore, with increased administrative burdens on the state agency, it is possible that a backlog in processing paperwork could develop and result in delays or mistakes affecting coverage of individuals subject to the community engagement requirement.¹¹²

The demonstration's Special Terms and Conditions indicate that beneficiaries "complete activities through an online program at any location with internet access and also may access the program at Employment Resource Centers across the state." Given the challenges experienced in some other states with beneficiary use of online portals, this reliance on internet accessibility could be an added hindrance to beneficiary compliance.¹¹³ One study reported that more than 22 percent of Medicaid beneficiaries likely not to be exempt from a community engagement requirement do not have internet access at home, compared with 10.9 percent of potentially exempt Medicaid beneficiaries and 4.3 percent of the privately insured.¹¹⁴ Such lack of household internet access could be a constraint for searching for jobs or completing other internet-based qualifying activities in Utah. Nonexempt Medicaid beneficiaries were also more likely than both exempt beneficiaries and privately insured adults to have limited access to

https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/101112/snap_work_requirements_in_arkansas_for_adults_with_out_dependents_or_disabilities_5.pdf

¹⁰⁹ Katch, H., Wagner, J. & Aron-Dine, A. (2018). Taking Medicaid Coverage Away From People Not Meeting Work Requirements Will Reduce Low-Income Families' Access to Care and Worsen Health Outcomes. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/taking-medicaid-coverage-away-from-people-not-meeting-work-requirements-will-reduce>

¹¹⁰ Hahn, H., Sullivan, L., Tran, V., Blount, D., & Waxman, E. (2019). SNAP Work Requirements in Arkansas for Adults without Dependents or Disabilities. Urban Institute. Retrieved from https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/101112/snap_work_requirements_in_arkansas_for_adults_with_out_dependents_or_disabilities_5.pdf

¹¹¹ Katch, H., Wagner, J. & Aron-Dine, A. (2018). Taking Medicaid Coverage Away From People Not Meeting Work Requirements Will Reduce Low-Income Families' Access to Care and Worsen Health Outcomes. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/taking-medicaid-coverage-away-from-people-not-meeting-work-requirements-will-reduce>

¹¹² Katch, H., Wagner, J. & Aron-Dine, A. (2018). Taking Medicaid Coverage Away From People Not Meeting Work Requirements Will Reduce Low-Income Families' Access to Care and Worsen Health Outcomes. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/taking-medicaid-coverage-away-from-people-not-meeting-work-requirements-will-reduce>

¹¹³ Solomon, J. (2019). Medicaid Work Requirements Can't Be Fixed: Unintended Consequences are Inevitable Result. Center of Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/medicaid-work-requirements-cant-be-fixed>

Garfield, R., Rudowitz, R., Guth, M. Orgera, K. & Hinton, E. (2021). Work Among Medicaid Adults: Implications of Economic Downturn and Work Requirements. Issue Brief. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/work-among-medicaid-adults-implications-of-economic-downturn-and-work-requirements/>

Wagner, J., & Schubel, J. (2020). States' Experiences Confirm Harmful Effects of Medicaid Work Requirements. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/states-experiences-confirm-harmful-effects-of-medicaid-work-requirements>

¹¹⁴ Karpman, M. (2019). Many Adults Targeted by Medicaid Work Requirements Face Barriers to Sustained Employment. The Urban Institute. Retrieved from <http://hrms.urban.org/briefs/hrms-medicaid-work-requirements-2019.pdf>

transportation (i.e., no vehicle and fair or poor ability to get around without driving).¹¹⁵ These challenges with accessing transportation could hinder beneficiaries in traveling to Employment Resource Centers or other locations in the community where they might find access to the internet to complete required community engagement activities. Thus, we believe that Utah’s community engagement requirement would present similar administrative challenges and complications surrounding beneficiary outreach, understanding, and timely reporting, as experienced in other states pursuing community engagement requirements.¹¹⁶

Utah states in its March 12, 2021 letter that its community engagement requirement intends to promote Medicaid objectives by “improving the health and wellness of ... individuals and ... reducing their long-term reliance on the program.” While there may be a correlation between employment and physical and mental health, the state did not offer evidence that employment causes better health outcomes, particularly for the population likely to be subject to the community engagement requirement. Neither did the state provide sufficient evidence that its community engagement requirement is likely to be effective at accomplishing the state’s goal of causing beneficiaries to find employment. Furthermore, the state did not offer evidence that requiring beneficiaries to complete the state’s listed activities and report their compliance with that requirement as a condition of continued Medicaid eligibility would be likely to lead to improved health and “financial independence” for beneficiaries as suggested by the state, whereas there is a substantial and clear risk of coverage loss from failing to satisfy the community engagement requirement, compliance with which is made more challenging in the wake of the COVID-19 public health emergency.

Individuals must be healthy in order to work, and consistent access to health coverage and care is vital to being healthy enough to work.^{117,118,119,120} The state has not presented information to suggest that withholding safety net benefits, such as Medicaid coverage, from otherwise eligible beneficiaries has led to increased employment or other positive outcomes for low income and vulnerable individuals. Overall, we do not have information before us that suggests that the design and approach of Utah’s community engagement requirement are likely to reduce the risks

¹¹⁵ Karpman, M. (2019). Many Adults Targeted by Medicaid Work Requirements Face Barriers to Sustained Employment. The Urban Institute. Retrieved from <http://hrms.urban.org/briefs/hrms-medicaid-work-requirements-2019.pdf>

¹¹⁶ Solomon, J. (2019). Medicaid Work Requirements Can’t Be Fixed: Unintended Consequences are Inevitable Result. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/medicaid-work-requirements-cant-be-fixed>.

¹¹⁷ Gehr, J. & Wickle, S. (2017). The Evidence Builds: Access to Medicaid Helps People Work. The Center for Law and Social Policy (CLASP). Retrieved from <https://www.clasp.org/sites/default/files/publications/2017/04/The-Evidence-Builds-Access-to-Medicaid-Helps-People-Work.pdf>

¹¹⁸ The Ohio Department of Medicaid. (2018). 2018 Ohio Medicaid Group VIII Assessment: A Follow-Up to the 2016 Ohio Medicaid Group VIII Assessment. Retrieved from <https://www.medicaid.ohio.gov/Portals/0/Resources/Reports/Annual/Group-VIII-Final-Report.pdf>. **Beneficiaries participating in substance use disorder treatment are exempt from the community engagement requirement.**

¹¹⁹ Tipirneni R., Ayanian J., Kullgren J., Goold S., Kieffer E., Chang T., Haggins A., Clark S. & Lee S. (2017). Medicaid Expansion Helped Enrollees Do Better at Work or in Job Searches. The University of Michigan Institute for Healthcare Policy and Innovation (IHPI). Retrieved from <https://ihpi.umich.edu/news/medicaid-expansion-helped-enrollees-do-better-work-or-job-searches>

¹²⁰ Musumeci M., Rudowitz R. & Lyons B. (2018). Medicaid Work Requirements in Arkansas: Experience and Perspectives of Enrollees. Kaiser Family Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.kff.org/report-section/medicaid-work-requirements-in-arkansas-experience-and-perspectives-of-enrollees-issue-brief/>

that this component of the state's demonstration project would result in substantial coverage losses at a time when losing access to health care coverage would cause significant harm to beneficiaries.

Withdrawal of the Primary Care Network 1115 Demonstration

Based on the foregoing, and pursuant to our obligation under section 1115 of the Act to review demonstration projects and ensure they remain likely to promote the objectives of Medicaid, CMS has determined that, on balance, the approval authorizing Utah to implement a community engagement requirement as a condition of continued eligibility is not likely to promote the objectives of the Medicaid program. At a minimum, in light of the significant risks and uncertainties described above about the adverse effects of the pandemic and its aftermath, the information available to CMS does not provide an adequate basis to support an affirmative judgment that the community engagement requirement is likely to assist in promoting the objectives of Medicaid. Accordingly, pursuant to its authority and responsibility under applicable statutes and regulations to maintain ongoing oversight of whether demonstration projects are currently likely to promote those objectives, CMS is hereby withdrawing the portion of the March 29, 2019 demonstration amendment approval that permits the state to require work and community engagement as a condition of continued eligibility under the PCN demonstration. The provisions of our letter approving the community engagement requirement in the March 29, 2019 amendment and the corresponding provisions of the expenditure authorities and Special Terms and Conditions that authorize the community engagement requirement are withdrawn.

The withdrawal of these authorities is effective on the date that is thirty days after the date of this letter, unless the state timely appeals, as discussed below. The expenditure authorities and Special Terms and Conditions reflecting this change are attached to this letter and will govern the PCN demonstration from the effective date of the withdrawal of the community engagement authorities until the demonstration expires on June 30, 2022.

As indicated in CMS's February 12, 2021 letter, CMS is also reviewing the other authorities that CMS previously approved in the Utah PCN demonstration. That review remains ongoing. The state and CMS will work together to update the demonstration's monitoring protocol and evaluation design, as needed, to reflect all the key policies that are implemented during the approval period. The current established timeline for the quarterly and annual monitoring reports as well as the interim and summative evaluation reports will remain in effect. CMS looks forward to continuing to work with the state on the monitoring deliverables, as well as the evaluation design, and the interim and summative evaluation reports.

Procedure to Appeal This Decision

In accordance with Special Terms and Conditions ¶ 10 and 42 C.F.R. § 430.3, the state may request a hearing to challenge CMS's determination prior to the above-referenced effective date by appealing this decision to the Departmental Appeals Board (DAB or Board), following the procedures set forth at 45 C.F.R. part 16. This decision shall be the final decision of the Department unless, within 30 calendar days after the state receives this decision, the state

delivers or mails (the state should use registered or certified mail to establish the date) a written notice of appeal to the DAB.

A notice of appeal may be submitted to the DAB by mail, by facsimile (fax) if under 10 pages, or electronically using the DAB's electronic filing system (DAB E-File). Submissions are considered made on the date they are postmarked, sent by certified or registered mail, deposited with a commercial mail delivery service, faxed (where permitted), or successfully submitted via DAB E-File. The Board will notify the state of further procedures. If the state faxes its notice of appeal (permitted only if the notice of appeal is under 10 pages), the state should use the Appellate Division's fax number, (202) 565-0238.


To use DAB E-File to submit your notice of appeal, the state's Medicaid Director or its representative must first become a registered user by clicking "Register" at the bottom of the DAB E-File homepage, <https://dab/efile.hhs.gov/>; entering the information requested on the "Register New Account" form; and clicking the "Register Account" button. Once registered, the state's Medicaid Director or its representative should login to DAB E-File using the e-mail address and password provided during registration; click "File New Appeal" on the menu; click the "Appellate" button; and provide and upload the requested information and documents on the "File New Appeal-Appellate Division" form. Detailed instructions can be found on the DAB E-File homepage.

Due to the COVID-19 public health emergency, the DAB is experiencing delays in processing documents received by mail. To avoid delay, the DAB strongly encourages the filing of materials through the DAB E-File system. However, should the state so choose, written requests for appeal should be delivered or mailed to U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Departmental Appeals Board MS 6127, Appellate Division, 330 Independence Ave., S.W., Cohen Building Room G-644, Washington, DC 20201. Refer to 45 C.F.R. Part 16 for procedures of the Departmental Appeals Board.

The state must attach to the appeal request, a copy of this decision, a note of its intention to appeal the decision, a statement that there is no dollar amount in dispute but that the state disputes CMS's withdrawal of certain section 1115 demonstration authorities, and a brief statement of why the decision is wrong. The Board will notify the state of further procedures. If the state chooses to appeal this decision, a copy of the notice of appeal should be mailed or delivered (the state should use registered or certified mail to establish the date) to Judith Cash, Acting Deputy Director, Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services at 7500 Security Blvd, Baltimore, MD 21244.

Medicaid is a federal-state partnership and we look forward to continuing to work together. If you have any questions, please contact Judith Cash at (410) 786-9686.

Sincerely,

A black rectangular redaction box covers the signature area, obscuring the name and any handwritten notes or dates.

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

**CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES
EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY**

NUMBERS: 11-W-00145/8 (Title XIX)
21-W-00054/8 (Title XXI)

TITLE: Primary Care Network (PCN)

AWARDEE: Utah Department of Health

Title XIX Costs Not Otherwise Matchable Authority

Under the authority of section 1115(a)(2) of the Social Security Act (the Act), expenditures made by the state for the items identified below (which would not otherwise be included as matchable expenditures under section 1903) shall, for the period of this demonstration, as amended, from December 16, 2020 through June 30, 2022, be regarded as matchable expenditures under the state's Medicaid Title XIX state plan. The expenditure authorities listed below promote the objectives of title XIX.

- 1. Current Eligibles.** Expenditures for optional services not covered under Utah's state plan or beyond the state plan's service limitations and for cost-effective alternative services, to the extent those services are provided in compliance with the federal managed care regulations at 42 CFR 438 *et seq.*
- 2. Demonstration Population I.** Expenditures to provide health services to non-disabled and non-elderly individuals age 19 through 64 with incomes above the Medicaid standard but at or below 95 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) (effectively 100 percent with the five percent income disregard) who are not otherwise eligible for Medicaid, as described in the Special Terms and Conditions (STCs). This expenditure authority will end effective April 1, 2019.
- 3. Demonstration Population III.** Expenditures for premium assistance related to providing 12 months of guaranteed eligibility to subsidize the employee's share of the costs of the insurance premium for employer sponsored health insurance to non-disabled and non-elderly low-income workers age 19 through 64 with incomes above the Medicaid standard but at or below 200 percent of the FPL, as well as their spouses and their children, age 19 through 26, who are enrolled in their parents' employer sponsored insurance (ESI) plan, who are not otherwise eligible for Medicaid, as described in the STCs.
- 4. Demonstration Population V.** Expenditures for premium assistance related to providing up to a maximum of 18 months of eligibility to subsidize the employee's share of the costs of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986 (COBRA) premium for COBRA continuation of coverage to non-disabled and non-elderly low-income workers age 19 through 64 with incomes above the Medicaid standard but at or below 200 percent of the

FPL, as well as their spouses, who are not otherwise eligible for Medicaid, as described in the STCs.

- 5. Individuals who are Blind or Disabled.** Expenditures for dental benefits for individuals who are blind or disabled and who are eligible for Medicaid, as described in the STCs.
- 6. Individuals who are Aged.** Expenditures for dental benefits for individuals who are age 65 and older, and are eligible for Medicaid, as described in the STCs.
- 7. Former Foster Care Youth from Another State.** Expenditures to extend eligibility for full Medicaid state plan benefits to former foster care youth who are defined as individuals under age 26, that were in foster care under the responsibility of a state other than Utah or tribe in such other state on the date of attaining 18 years of age or such higher age as the state has elected for termination of federal foster care assistance under title IV-E of the Act, were ever enrolled in Medicaid, and are now applying for Medicaid in Utah.
- 8. Targeted Adults.** Expenditures to provide state plan coverage to certain individuals, age 19 through 64, without dependent children, who have incomes at zero percent of the FPL (effectively up to five percent with the five percent income disregard), as described in these STCs, who are not otherwise eligible for Medicaid. Expenditures to provide dental benefits for individuals in this expenditure population who are receiving substance use disorder (SUD) treatment.
- 9. Substance Use Disorder.** Expenditures for otherwise covered services furnished to otherwise eligible individuals who are primarily receiving treatment and withdrawal management services for SUD who are short-term residents in facilities that meet the definition of an institution for mental diseases (IMD).
- 10. Adult Expansion Population.** As of January 1, 2020, expenditures to provide coverage to adults, ages 19 through 64, who are not Current Eligibles, and have household income at or below 133 percent of the FPL, as described in the STCs. Members of the Adult Expansion Population who are childless/non-custodial parents will receive state plan coverage, while members of the Adult Expansion Population who are custodial parents/caretaker relatives will receive the Current Eligibles benefit package, as specified in the STCs.
- 11. Mandatory Employer Sponsored Insurance.** Expenditures to provide premium assistance and wrap around benefits to the Adult Expansion Population beneficiaries who are enrolled in ESI plans.
- 12. Intensive Stabilization Services Program.** Expenditures to provide an assessment and service package including state plan behavioral services and home and community-based respite and non-medical transportation services reimbursed using a daily bundled rate during the first eight weeks of the 16-week intensive stabilization program for Medicaid eligible children/youth in state custody or at risk of being placed in state custody experiencing significant emotional and/or behavioral challenges.

13. Residential and Inpatient Treatment for Individuals with Serious Mental Illness

Upon CMS approval of the serious mental illness (SMI) Implementation Plan, expenditures for services furnished to eligible individuals ages 21 through 64 who receive treatment for a SMI and who are short-term residents in facilities that meet the definition of an IMD.

Title XIX Requirements Not Applicable to the Demonstration Eligible Populations

All requirements of the Medicaid program expressed in law, regulation, and policy statement not expressly identified as not applicable to these expenditure authorities shall apply to the demonstration for the remaining period of this demonstration.

1. Amount, Duration, and Scope of Services and Comparability **Section 1902(a)(10)(B)**

To enable the state to vary the amount, duration, and scope of services offered to individuals by demonstration group, with the exception of the Former Foster Care Youth from another state to whom state plan services will be provided. To enable the state to vary the amount, duration, and scope of services to individuals in the Targeted Adults, blind, aged, and disabled expenditure populations. To enable the state to include additional benefits, such as behavioral health, case management, and health education not otherwise available, to Medicaid beneficiaries who are enrolled in a managed care delivery system. To enable the state to vary the amount, duration, and scope of services offered to individuals in the Adult Expansion Population demonstration, based on whether the individual is a custodial parent/caretaker or not a custodial parent/caretaker. To enable the state to provide clinically managed residential withdrawal services to adult Medicaid beneficiaries with a primary diagnosis of OUD or another SUD and living in Salt Lake County, which are not available to other beneficiaries under the Medicaid state plan. To enable the state to provide intensive stabilization services (ISS) to Medicaid eligible children/youth under age 21 in state custody or at risk of state custody experiencing significant emotionally and behavioral challenges.

2. Federally Qualified Health Centers Payments **Section 1902(a)(15) and Section 1902 (bb)**

To permit the state to pay for Federally Qualified Health Center services provided to Demonstration Population I beneficiaries on a basis other than a prospective payment system.

3. Retroactive Eligibility **Section 1902(a)(34)**

To permit the state not to provide retroactive eligibility for individuals in Demonstration Populations I and III and V.

4. Statewideness/Uniformity **Section 1902(a)(1)**

To enable the state to provide differing types of managed care plans in certain geographical areas of the state for Title XIX populations affected by this demonstration. To enable the state to provide the clinically managed residential withdrawal pilot only in Salt Lake County.

5. Freedom of Choice

Section 1902(a)(23)(A)

To enable the state to restrict freedom of choice of providers for Title XIX populations affected by this demonstration.

6. Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT)

Section 1902(a)(43)

To enable the state not to cover certain services required to treat a condition identified during an EPSDT screening. This not applicable applies to 19 and 20 year olds in Title XIX populations who are not part of the Adult Expansion Population. This not applicable does not apply to blind and disabled enrollees who receive dental benefits through the demonstration.

7. Methods of Administration

Section 1902(a)(4) insofar as it incorporates 42 CFR 431.53

To the extent necessary to relieve the state of the responsibility to assure non-emergency medical transportation to and from providers for beneficiaries with dependent children enrolled the Adult Expansion Population, except that this requirement nevertheless shall apply with respect to those eligible for EPSDT services.

8. Compliance with ABP requirements

Section 902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII) insofar as it incorporates section 1902(k), and sections 1902(k) and 1903(i)(26) insofar as they incorporate section 1937 and 42 CFR 440.390

In order to permit federal financial participation (FFP) to be provided in expenditures to the extent that non-emergency medical transportation (NEMT) is not covered for certain beneficiaries for whom its assurance would otherwise be required.

Title XXI Costs Not Otherwise Matchable

Under the authority of section 1115(a)(2) of the Act as incorporated into Title XXI by section 2107(e)(2)(A), state expenditures described below, shall, for the period of this demonstration, November 1, 2017 through June 30, 2022, and to the extent of the state's available allotment under section 2104 of the Act, be regarded as matchable expenditures under the state's Title XXI plan. All requirements of Title XXI will be applicable to such expenditures for Demonstration

Population VI, described below, except those specified below as not applicable to these expenditure authorities.

- 1. COBRA Children (Demonstration Population VI).** Expenditures to provide premium assistance and benefits specified in the STCs, to children up to age 19 with family income up to and including 200 percent of the FPL who would meet the definition of a targeted low-income child except for continuation of coverage in accordance with the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (COBRA), Pub. L. 99-272. Such expenditures are authorized without regard to the funding limitation under section 2105(c)(2) of the Act. Moreover, the Title XXI requirements listed below do not apply to the benefits for this population.

Title XXI Requirements Not Applicable to CHIP Expenditure Authorities for Demonstration Population VI

- 1. General Requirements, and Eligibility Screening Requirements** **Section 2102**

The state child health plan does not have to reflect the demonstration population. Eligibility screening is not required to exclude eligibility for individuals enrolled in continuation coverage pursuant to COBRA.

- 2. Restrictions on Coverage and Eligibility to Targeted Low-Income Children** **Section 2103 and 2110**

Coverage and eligibility is not restricted to targeted low-income children, to the extent that it includes individuals enrolled under continuation coverage pursuant to COBRA.

- 3. Qualified Employer Sponsored Coverage** **Section 2105(c)(10)**

To permit the state to offer a premium assistance subsidy that does not meet the requirements of section 2105(c).

- 4. Cost Sharing Exemption for American Indian/Alaskan Native (AI/AN) Children** **Section 2102**

To the extent necessary to permit AI/AN children who are in all CHIP populations affected by this demonstration, and whose benefits are limited to premium assistance, to be charged premiums and/or cost sharing by the plans in which they are enrolled.

- 5. Benefit Package Requirements** **Section 2103**

To permit the state to offer a benefit package for all CHIP populations affected by this demonstration that is limited to premium assistance.

6. Cost Sharing

Section 2103(e)

To the extent necessary to permit all CHIP populations affected by this demonstration, whose benefits are limited to premium assistance, to have cost sharing imposed by employer-sponsored insurance plans.

**CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES
SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

NUMBERS: 11-W-00145/8 (Title XIX)
21-W-00054/8 (Title XXI)

TITLE: Primary Care Network

AWARDEE: Utah Department of Health

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. PREFACE**
- II. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION AND OBJECTIVES**
- III. GENERAL PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS**
- IV. ELIGIBILITY**
- V. BENEFITS**
- VI. ENROLLMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION**
- VII. COST SHARING**
- VIII. DELIVERY SYSTEMS**
- IX. FEDERAL MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PERCENTAGE**
- X. SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER**
- XI. INTENSIVE STABILIZATION SERVICES (ISS) PROGRAM**
- XII. GENERAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**
- XIII. GENERAL FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS UNDER TITLE XIX**
- XIV. GENERAL FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS**

XV. MONITORING BUDGET NEUTRALITY FOR THE DEMONSTRATION

XVI. EVALUATION OF THE DEMONSTRATION

Attachment A: Developing the Evaluation Design

Attachment B: Preparing the Interim and Summative Evaluation Reports

Attachment C: SUD Implementation Protocol

Attachment D: SUD Monitoring Protocol *[reserved]*

Attachment F: Monitoring Protocol *[reserved]*

Attachment G: SUD Evaluation Design

Attachment H: Intensive Stabilization Services (ISS) Claiming Methodology Protocol

Attachment I: Non-Traditional Benefit Package

Attachment J: Traditional Benefit Package

Attachment K: Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) Conversion Table

Attachment L: Claiming Methodologies *[reserved]*

Attachment M: SMI Evaluation Plan *[reserved]*

Attachment N: SMI Implementation Plan *[reserved]*

Attachment O: PCN Evaluation Design

I. PREFACE

The following are the Special Terms and Conditions (STCs) for Utah’s Primary Care Network (PCN) Medicaid section 1115(a) demonstration program (hereinafter referred to as “demonstration”) to enable the Utah Department of Health, Division of Health Care Financing (hereinafter “state”) to operate this demonstration. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) has granted expenditure authorities authorizing federal matching of demonstration costs not otherwise matchable, which are separately enumerated.

The STCs set forth conditions and limitations on the expenditure authorities and describe in detail the nature, character, and extent of federal involvement in the demonstration and the state’s obligations to CMS related to the demonstration. These STCs neither grant additional expenditure authorities, nor expand upon those separately granted. The demonstration will be statewide and is approved for a five-year period, from November 1, 2017 through June 30, 2022, unless otherwise specified. Approval shall be directed to the CMS Central Office Project Officer and the Regional Office State Representative at the addresses shown on the award letter. All previously approved STCs, Waivers, and Expenditure Authorities are superseded by the STCs set forth below.

II. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION AND OBJECTIVES

Utah’s PCN is a statewide section 1115 demonstration to expand Medicaid coverage to certain adults who are not eligible for state plan services and to offer these adults and children on the Children’s

Health Insurance Program (CHIP) an alternative to traditional direct coverage public programs. When the demonstration was first approved in 2002, state plan eligibles (referred to as Current Eligibles), who are categorically or medically needy parents or other caretaker relatives, were provided a reduced benefit package and required to pay increased cost-sharing. Savings from this state plan population funded a Medicaid expansion for up to 25,000 uninsured adults age 19 to 65 with family incomes up to 150 percent of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL). This expansion population of parents, caretaker relatives, and childless adults is covered for a limited package of preventive and primary care services. Also, high-risk pregnant women, whose resources made them ineligible under the state plan, were covered under the demonstration for the full Medicaid benefits package. This demonstration provides access to mental health services, opioid use disorder (OUD) and other substance use disorder (SUD) services for Medicaid beneficiaries with serious mental illness (SMI) and/or SUD. Through the demonstration, the state also provides clinically appropriate treatment to beneficiaries with SMI and/or SUD who are short-term residents in residential and inpatient treatment settings that qualify as an IMD.

The goal of this approval is for the state to increase the maximum premium assistance reimbursement amount for adults (age 19 through 64), from \$150 per enrollee per month, to a higher amount, through the state administrative rulemaking process, rather than by an amendment to the demonstration.

During the demonstration period, of January 1 2021 through June 30, 2022, the state seeks to achieve the following goals related to the latest amendment:

Premium Assistance Goals:

1. Reduce the number of uninsured individuals in Utah.
2. Allow individuals to continue to purchase health insurance as the costs of health coverage rise.

Previous Demonstration Waivers and Amendments:

- The Utah PCN 1115 demonstration waiver was submitted on December 11, 2001, approved on February 8, 2002, implemented on July 1, 2002, and was originally scheduled to expire on June 30, 2007.
- **Amendment #1** - This amendment made a technical correction needed to ensure that certain current Medicaid eligibles (i.e., those ages 19 and above who are eligible through sections 1925 and 1931) in the demonstration that become pregnant get the full Medicaid state plan benefit package. It eliminated or reduced the benefit package for Current Eligibles to conform with changes to the benefits available under the state plan. Finally, it increased the co-payment for hospital admissions from \$100 to \$220, again to conform with changes to the state plan. (Approved on August 20, 2002, effective on July 1, 2002)
- **Amendment #2** - This amendment provided a premium assistance option called Covered at

Work (CAW) for up to 6,000 of the 25,000 potential expansion enrollees. Specifically, the state subsidizes the employee's portion of the premium for up to 5 years. The employer- sponsored insurance must provide coverage equal to or greater than the limited Medicaid package. The subsidy is phased down over 5 years, to provide a span of time over which employees' wages can increase to the point of unsubsidized participation in the employer- sponsored plan. With this amendment, the state was also granted authority to reduce the enrollment fee for approximately 1,500 General Assistance beneficiaries, who are either transitioning back to work or are awaiting a disability determination. These individuals were required to enroll in PCN, but the \$50 fee was prohibitive as they earn less than \$260 per month. For this population, the state reduced the enrollment fee to \$15. (Approved on May 30, 2003, effective on May 30, 2003).

- **Amendment #3** - This amendment reduced the enrollment fee for a second subset of the expansion population. Specifically, approximately 5,200 individuals with incomes under 50 percent of the FPL had their enrollment fee reduced from \$50 to \$25. (Approved on July 6, 2004, effective on July 6, 2004).
- **Amendment #4** - This changed the way that the maximum visits per year for Physical Therapy/Occupational Therapy/Chiropractic Services are broken out for the "Current Eligibles" ("non-traditional" Medicaid) population. Instead of limiting these visits to a maximum of 16 visits per policy year in any combination, the state provides 10 visits per policy year for Physical Therapy/Occupational Therapy and 6 visits per policy year for Chiropractic Services. (Approved on March 31, 2005, effective on March 31, 2005).
- **Amendment #5** - This amendment implemented the adult dental benefit for the "Current Eligibles" population (section 1925/1931 and medically needy non-aged/blind/disabled adults). (Approved on August 31, 2005, effective on October 1, 2005).
- **Amendment #6** - This amendment suspended the adult dental benefit coverage for Current Eligibles of Amendment #5 above. (Approved on October 25, 2006, effective on November 1, 2006).
- **Amendment #7** - This amendment implemented an increase in the prescription co-payments for the Current Eligible population from \$2.00 per prescription to \$3.00 per prescription. (Approved on October 25, 2006, effective on November 1, 2006).
- **Amendment #8** - This amendment implemented a Preferred Drug List (PDL) for Demonstration Population I adults in the PCN. (Approved on October 25, 2006, effective on November 1, 2006).
- **Amendment #9** - This amendment implemented the State's Health Insurance Flexibility and Accountability (HIFA) application request, entitled State Expansion of Employer Sponsored Health Insurance (dated June 23, 2006, and change #1 dated September 5, 2006). Also, this amendment suspended Amendment #2 - for the CAW program, which was absorbed by the new HIFA-ESI program. (Approved on October 25, 2006, effective on November 1, 2006).

This amendment provides the option of ESI assistance to adults with countable household income up to and including 150 percent of the FPL, if the employee's cost to participate in the plan is at least five percent of the household's countable income. The state subsidizes premium assistance through a monthly subsidy of up to \$150 per adult. The employer must pay at least half (50 percent) of the employee's health insurance premium, but no employer share of the premium is required for the spouse or children. Likewise, an ESI component for children provides CHIP-eligible children with family incomes up to and including 200 percent of the FPL with the option of ESI premium assistance through their parent's employer or direct CHIP coverage. The per-child monthly premium subsidy depends on whether dental benefits are provided in the ESI plan. If provided, the premium subsidy is \$140 per month; otherwise, it is \$120 per month. If dental benefits are not provided by a child's ESI plan, the state offers dental coverage through direct CHIP coverage. Families and children are subject to the cost sharing of the employee's health plan, and the amounts are not limited to the Title XXI out-of-pocket cost sharing limit of five percent. Benefits vary by the commercial health care plan product provided by each employer. However, Utah ensures that all participating plans cover, at a minimum, well- baby/well child care services, age appropriate immunizations, physician visits, hospital inpatient, and pharmacy. Families are provided with written information explaining the differences in benefits and cost sharing between direct coverage and the ESI plan so that they can make an informed choice. All children have the choice to opt back into direct CHIP coverage at any time.

- **Amendment #10** – This amendment enables the state to provide premium assistance to children and adults for coverage obtained under provisions of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986 (COBRA). COBRA provides certain former employees, retirees, spouses, former spouses, and dependent children the right to temporary continuation of employer-based group health coverage at group rates. COBRA coverage becomes available following the loss of ESI due to specified qualifying events, such as an end of employment (voluntary or involuntary); divorce or legal separation; death of employee; entitlement to Medicare; reduction in hours of employment; and loss of dependent-child status. Through this amendment, Utah will provide premium assistance to programmatically- eligible adults and children (as differentiated from individuals who are COBRA-eligible but not otherwise eligible for the Utah COBRA premium assistance program) toward the purchase of COBRA coverage, in a manner similar to the provision of premium assistance for the purchase ESI coverage. (Medicare-eligible individuals who are also COBRA-eligible would be ineligible for the Utah COBRA Premium Assistance Program (CPAP) based on age or the State's standard processes of cross-matching with SSI/SSDI eligibility files).

During its initial period of operation, Utah's COBRA Premium Assistance Program (CPAP) will work in tandem with the subsidy provided under ARRA for the purchase of COBRA coverage. Specifically, ARRA provides a federal subsidy of 65 percent of the cost of COBRA coverage, to individuals and families affected by involuntary job loss occurring September 1, 2008, through December 31, 2009, and as extended by Congress. As long as the individual receives the ARRA subsidy, the state would provide the family with premium assistance based on the number of programmatically-eligible individuals, but limited to the lower of 35 percent of the cost of COBRA that remains the individual's responsibility or the maximum amounts allowable by the

state under these STCs.

The ARRA COBRA subsidy can last for up to nine months, whereby individuals qualifying on December 31, 2009 could receive a subsidy through September 30, 2010. Once the ARRA subsidy ends, or for those not eligible for the ARRA COBRA subsidy, the Utah CPAP will continue to provide a monthly payment for up to 18 months to offset the cost of COBRA coverage. Under the Utah program, the amount of premium assistance available to a family will be based on the number of programmatically-eligible individuals in the household. However, as with the existing ESI program, the state will use various administrative databases to ensure that it does not exceed the individual/family's share of the cost of the COBRA premium.

The Utah CPAP program will provide premium assistance to programmatically-eligible individuals and families with existing COBRA coverage, whether or not the individual qualifies for the ARRA COBRA subsidy. Individuals and families who are COBRA-eligible but uninsured may also apply for enrollment in the Utah CPAP. CPAP assistance will be limited to the maximums set in the ESI program, will last for the period of COBRA coverage, and will not exceed the family's share of the cost of the premium or the maximum amounts allowable as set by the state under these STCs. The amendment was approved by CMS on December 18, 2009.

- **Amendment #11** - This amendment raised the income eligibility for premium assistance for adults between the ages of 19 and 64 [Demonstration populations III (ESI) and V (COBRA)] from 150 percent of the FPL to 200 percent of the FPL. This amendment was approved by CMS on September 28, 2012.
- **Section 1115(e) Extension** - On June 23, 2006, the State of Utah formally requested an extension of their PCN 1115 demonstration waiver under the authority of section 1115(e) of the Social Security Act. The demonstration, which would have expired on June 30, 2007, was approved for a 3-year extension from July 1, 2007, through June 30, 2010.
- **Section 1115(f) Extension** – On March 1, 2010, the State of Utah formally requested an extension of the PCN demonstration under the authority of Section 1115(f) of the Social Security Act. The demonstration, which would have expired on June 30, 2010, was approved for a 3-year extension from July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2013. The demonstration was temporarily extended through December 31, 2013.
- **Temporary Extension** – The December 24, 2013 amendment and temporary extension, changed the STCs so beginning on January 1, 2014, the cost-sharing for Current Eligibles and adults in the PCN program was required to align with Medicaid regulations and state plan requirements. In addition, the income eligibility for the PCN program decreased from 150 percent FPL to 100 percent FPL.
- **Temporary Extension** – The December 19, 2014 approval amendment and temporary extension changed the STCs so the FPL for Demonstration Population I was decreased to 95 percent (effectively 100 percent of the FPL because of the 5 percent income disregard) in order to ensure

that eligible individuals above 100 percent of the FPL would be able to receive APTC to help purchase insurance through the federally facilitated marketplace (FFM).

- **Temporary Extension** – On November 19, 2015, the demonstration was temporarily extended through December 31, 2016.
- **Temporary Extension** – December 16, 2016, the demonstration was temporarily extended on through December 31, 2017.
- **Amendment #12** – On June 29, 2017, CMS approved an amendment which allows the state to provide state plan dental benefits to adults with disabilities or blindness, age 18 and older, removed the sub-caps for enrollment of Demonstration Population I, and removed Demonstration Population II (high risk pregnant women) since changes to federal law rendered this group obsolete and it has not had individuals covered under this population since 2014.
- **Amendment #13** – On October 31, 2017 (effective on November 1, 2017), CMS approved an extension that creates a new demonstration population, Targeted Adults, under which eligible beneficiaries receive state plan services. This new population is made of adults without dependent children, age 19 through 64 years of age, whose income is at zero percent of FPL. In addition, they must meet at least one of three criteria; chronically homeless, involved in the justice system and in need of substance use and mental health treatment, or those who are just in need of substance use or mental health treatment. In addition, under this approval, the state has expenditure authority to restore full mental health benefits for Current Eligibles and remove the exclusion of Norplant as a covered benefit.
- **Amendment #14** – This amendment would have terminated the EPSDT waiver of Section 1902(a)(43) for individuals ages 19 and 20 for all Title XIX populations affected by this waiver. The state withdrew this amendment.
- **Amendment #15** – In February 2019, the state received the authority provide comprehensive dental benefits to Targeted Adults who are receiving SUD treatment. In addition, the state received approval to provide state plan Medicaid coverage to Former Foster Care Youth who were ever enrolled in Medicaid in another state.
- **Amendment #16** – In March 2019, the state received authority to provide full state plan benefits to adults without children who have incomes up to 95 percent of the FPL and the Current Eligible benefit package to adults with children who have incomes up to 95 percent of the FPL (together, these categories are known as the Adult Expansion Population) effective April 1, 2019. If the state determines that the state needs to close enrollment in this Medicaid eligibility group (MEG) due to budgetary restrictions, coverage will be closed and no applicants will be able to enroll in this MEG until enrollment re-opens. Beneficiaries in this category who have access to ESI coverage are required to enroll in that coverage to maintain Medicaid eligibility, and receive wraparound coverage. In addition, non-exempt Adult Expansion Population beneficiaries are required to complete community engagement requirements (or demonstrate good cause for failing to do so)

each benefit year to be eligible for continued coverage. Lastly, this approval allowed the state to provide clinically managed residential withdrawal services to adult beneficiaries who reside in Salt Lake County.

- **Amendment #18** – In November 2019, the state received the authority to provide intensive stabilization services (ISS) to Medicaid eligible children and youth under age 21 in state custody or those at risk of being placed in state custody who are experiencing significant emotional and/or behavioral challenges. The ISS includes state plan and home community based services and are provided during the first eight -weeks of the intensive program on a fee-for-service (FFS) basis using a daily bundled rate. The state uses this authority to demonstrate that providing these services will reduce Emergency Room (ER) utilization, psychiatric hospitalizations, and residential treatment services and length of stay as well as positively impact the child/youth’s physical health in terms of comprehensive care.
- **Amendment #19** – In December 2019, the state received the authority to expand the Adult Expansion Population to include adults, ages 19-64, with incomes up to and including 133 percent of the FPL, subject to previously approved community engagement requirements. In addition, the approval provided the state authority to provide dental benefits to Medicaid eligible individuals age 65 and older, as well as porcelain or porcelain-to-metal crowns and to Targeted Adults who receive treatment for SUD. This approval also revised and expanded the definition for the Targeted Adults eligibility criteria. Lastly, with this approval, the state received the ability to enroll demonstration populations in managed care plans; create and operate an integrated managed care model, called Utah Medicaid Integrated Care (UMIC), to combine the delivery of physical health and behavioral health services in five Utah counties for the Adult Expansion Population on beneficiaries. Adult Expansion Beneficiaries in eight additional counties are enrolled in an Accountable Care Organization (ACO) for their physical health services and in a Prepaid Mental Health Plan (PMHP) for their behavioral health services. Adult Expansion beneficiaries in the remaining 16 counties receive their physical health services on a FFS basis and are enrolled in a PMHP for their behavioral health services. ACOs and PMHPs also deliver services to Current Eligibles.
- **Amendment # 20** – In December 2020, the state received authority to receive FFP, once CMS approves the serious mental illness (SMI) Implementation Plan, for inpatient residential and other services to otherwise eligible Medicaid beneficiaries receiving treatment for a SMI while residing in facilities that meet the definition of an institution for mental diseases (IMD). In addition, this approval also authorized the state to provide porcelain or porcelain-to-metal crowns to Medicaid eligible blind or disabled adults, as well as to restrict the provider network for this population.
-

III. GENERAL PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

1. **Compliance with Federal Non-Discrimination Statutes.** The state must comply with all applicable federal statutes relating to non-discrimination. These include, but are not limited to, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504), and the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and

section 1557 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Section 1557).

- 2. Compliance with Medicaid and Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Law, Regulation, and Policy.** All requirements of the Medicaid and CHIP programs expressed in federal law, regulation, and policy statement, not expressly waived or identified as not applicable in the waiver and expenditure authority documents (of which these terms and conditions are part), apply to the demonstration, including the protections for Indians pursuant to section 5006 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.
- 3. Changes in Medicaid and CHIP Law, Regulation, and Policy.** The state must, within the timeframes specified in federal law, regulation, or written policy, come into compliance with any changes in law, regulation, or policy affecting the Medicaid or CHIP programs that occur during this demonstration approval period, unless the provision being changed is expressly waived or identified as not applicable. In addition, CMS reserves the right to amend the STCs to reflect such changes and/or changes as needed without requiring the state to submit an amendment to the demonstration under STC 7. CMS will notify the state 30 business days in advance of the expected approval date of the amended STCs to allow the state to provide comment. Changes will be considered in force upon issuance of the approval letter by CMS. The state must accept the changes in writing.
- 4. Impact on Demonstration of Changes in Federal Law, Regulation, and Policy.**
 - a. To the extent that a change in federal law, regulation, or policy requires either a reduction or an increase in federal financial participation (FFP) for expenditures made under this demonstration, the state must adopt, subject to CMS approval, modified budget neutrality agreement for the demonstration as necessary to comply with such change as well as an allotment neutrality worksheet as necessary to comply with such change. The trend rates for the budget neutrality agreement are not subject to change under this subparagraph. Further, the state may seek an amendment to the demonstration (as per STC 7 of this section) as a result of the change in FFP.
 - b. If mandated changes in the federal law require state legislation, unless otherwise prescribed by the terms of the federal law, the changes must take effect on the earlier of the day such state legislation becomes effective, or on the last day such legislation becomes effective, or on the last date such legislation was required to be in effect under the law, whichever is sooner.
- 5. State Plan Amendments.** The state will not be required to submit title XIX or title XXI state plan amendments (SPAs) for changes affecting any populations made eligible solely through the demonstration. If a population eligible through the Medicaid or CHIP state plan is affected by a change to the demonstration, a conforming amendment to the appropriate state plan is required, except as otherwise noted in these STCs. In all such cases, the Medicaid or CHIP state plan and these STCs with respect to a population eligible through the Medicaid and CHIP state plans, govern.

- 6. Changes Subject to the Amendment Process.** Changes related to eligibility, enrollment, benefits, beneficiary rights delivery systems, cost sharing, sources of non-federal share of funding, budget neutrality, and other comparable program elements must be submitted to CMS as amendments to the demonstration. All amendment requests are subject to approval at the discretion of the Secretary in accordance with section 1115 of the Act. The state must not implement changes to these elements without prior approval by CMS either through an approved amendment to the Medicaid or CHIP state plan or amendment to the demonstration. Amendments to the demonstration are not retroactive and no FFP of any kind, including for administrative or medical assistance expenditures, will be available for changes to the demonstration that have not been approved through the amendment process set forth in STC 7 below, except as provided in STC 3.
- 7. Amendment Process.** Requests to amend the demonstration must be submitted to CMS for approval no later than 120 calendar days prior to the planned date of implementation of the change and may not be implemented until approved. CMS reserves the right to deny or delay approval of a demonstration amendment based on non-compliance with these STCs, including but not limited to failure by the state to submit required elements of a complete amendment request as described in this STC, and failure by the state to submit required reports and other deliverables according to the deadlines specified therein. Amendment requests must include, but are not limited to, the following:
- i. An explanation of the public process used by the state, consistent with the requirements of STC 12. Such explanation must include a summary of any public feedback received and identification of how this feedback was addressed by the state in the final amendment request submitted to CMS;
 - ii. A detailed description of the amendment, including impact on beneficiaries, with sufficient supporting documentation;
 - iii. A data analysis which identifies the specific “with waiver” impact of the proposed amendment on the current budget neutrality agreement. Such analysis must include current total computable “with waiver” and “without waiver” status on both a summary and detailed level through the current approval period using the most recent actual expenditures, as well as summary and detailed projections of the change in the “with waiver” expenditure total as a result of the proposed amendment, which isolates (by Eligibility Group) the impact of the amendment;
 - iv. An up-to-date CHIP allotment worksheet, if necessary;
 - v. The state must provide updates to existing demonstration reporting and quality and evaluation plans. This includes a description of how the evaluation design and annual progress reports will be modified to incorporate the amendment provisions, as well as the oversight, monitoring and measurement of the provisions.
- 8. Extension of the Demonstration.** States that intend to request an extension of the

demonstration must submit an application to CMS from the Governor of the state in accordance with the requirements of 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §431.412(c). States that do not intend to request an extension of the demonstration beyond the period authorized in these STCs must submit a phase-out plan consistent with the requirements of STC 9.

9. Demonstration Phase-Out. The state may only suspend or terminate this demonstration in whole, or in part, consistent with the following requirements.

- a. Notification of Suspension or Termination: The state must promptly notify CMS in writing of the reason(s) for the suspension or termination, together with the effective date and a transition and phase-out plan. The state must submit a notification letter and a draft transition and phase-out plan to CMS no less than six months before the effective date of the demonstration's suspension or termination. Prior to submitting the draft transition and phase-out plan to CMS, the state must publish on its website the draft transition and phase-out plan for a 30-day public comment period. In addition, the state must conduct tribal consultation in accordance with STC 12, if applicable. Once the 30-day public comment period has ended, the state must provide a summary of the issues raised by the public during the comment period and how the state considered the comments received when developing the revised transition and phase-out plan.

The state must obtain CMS approval of the phase-out plan prior to the implementation of the phase-out activities. Implementation of phase-out activities must be no sooner than 14 days after CMS approval of the phase-out plan.

- b. Phase-out Plan Requirements: The state must include, at a minimum, in its phase-out plan the process by which it will notify affected beneficiaries, the content of said notices (including information on the beneficiary's appeal rights), the process by which the state will conduct administrative reviews of Medicaid eligibility for the affected beneficiaries, and ensure ongoing coverage for eligible individuals, as well as any community outreach activities.
- c. Transition and Phase-out Plan Approval. The state must obtain CMS approval of the transition and phase-out plan prior to the implementation of transition and phase-out activities. Implementation of transition and phase-out activities must be no sooner than 14 calendar days after CMS approval of the transition and phase-out plan.
- d. Transition and Phase-out Procedures: The state must comply with all notice requirements found in 42 CFR §§431.206, 431.210 and 431.213. In addition, the state must assure all appeal and hearing rights afforded to demonstration participants as outlined in 42 CFR §§431.220 and 431.221. If a demonstration participant requests a hearing before the date of action, the state must maintain benefits as required in 42 CFR §431.230. In addition, the state must conduct administrative renewals for all affected beneficiaries in order to determine if they qualify for Medicaid eligibility under a different eligibility category as discussed in October 1, 2010, State Health Official Letter #10-008.
- e. Exemption from Public Notice Procedures 42.CFR Section 431.416(g). CMS may expedite

the federal and state public notice requirements under circumstances described in 42 CFR section 431.416(g).

- f. Enrollment Limitation during Demonstration Phase-Out. If the state elects to suspend, terminate, or not to extend this demonstration, during the last six months of the demonstration, enrollment of the new individuals into the demonstration must be suspended. The limitation of enrollment into the demonstration does not impact the state's obligation to determine Medicaid eligibility in accordance with the approved Medicaid state plan.
- g. Federal Financial Participation (FFP): If the project is terminated or any relevant waivers suspended by the state, FFP must be limited to normal closeout costs associated with the termination or expiration of the demonstration including services, continued benefits as a result of beneficiaries' appeals and administrative costs of disenrolling beneficiaries.

10. Withdrawal of Waiver or Expenditure Authority. CMS reserves the right to withdraw waivers and/or expenditure authorities at any time it determines that continuing the waiver or expenditure authorities would no longer be in the public interest or promote the objectives of title XIX and title XXI. CMS will promptly notify the state in writing of the determination and the reasons for the withdrawal, together with the effective date, and afford the state an opportunity to request a hearing to challenge CMS' determination prior to the effective date. If a waiver or expenditure authority is withdrawn, FFP is limited to normal closeout costs associated with terminating the waiver or expenditure authority, including services, continued benefits as a result of beneficiary appeals, and administrative costs of disenrolling beneficiaries.

11. Adequacy of Infrastructure. The state will ensure the availability of adequate resources for implementation and monitoring of the demonstration, including education, outreach, and enrollment; maintaining eligibility systems; compliance with cost sharing requirements; and reporting on financial and other demonstration components.

12. Public Notice, Tribal Consultation, and Consultation with Interested Parties. The state must comply with the state notice procedures as required in 42 CFR section 431.408 prior to submitting an application to extend the demonstration. For applications to amend the demonstration, the state must comply with the state notice procedures set forth in 59 Fed. Reg. 49249 (September 27, 1994) prior to submitting such request. The state must also comply with the Public Notice Procedures set forth in 42 CFR 447.205 for changes in statewide methods and standards for setting payment rates.

The state must also comply with tribal and Indian Health Program/Urban Indian Organization consultation requirements in section 1902(a)(73) of the Act, 42 CFR 431.408(b), State Medicaid Director Letter #01-024, or as contained in the state's approved Medicaid State Plan, when any program changes to the demonstration either through amendment as set out in STC 7, or extension, are proposed by the state.

The state must also comply with tribal and Indian Health Program/Urban Indian Organization

consultation requirements at section 1902(a)(73) of the Act, 42 CFR section 431.408(b), State Medicaid Director Letter #01-024, and contained in the state's approved Medicaid State plan, when any program changes to the demonstration, either through amendment as set out in STC 7 or extension, are proposed by the state.

- 13. Federal Financial Participation (FFP).** No federal matching funds for expenditures for this demonstration, including for administrative and medical assistance expenditures, will be available until the effective date identified in the demonstration approval letter, or if later, as expressly stated within these STCs.
- 14. Administrative Authority.** When there are multiple entities involved in the administration of the demonstration, the Single State Medicaid Agency must maintain authority, accountability, and oversight of the program. The State Medicaid Agency must exercise oversight of all delegated functions to operating agencies, managed care organizations (MCOs), and any other contracted entities. The Single State Medicaid Agency is responsible for the content and oversight of the quality strategies for the demonstration.
- 15. Common Rule Exemption.** The state must ensure that the only involvement of human subjects in research activities that may be authorized and/or required by this demonstration is for projects which are conducted by or subject to the approval of CMS, and that are designed to study, evaluate, or otherwise examine the Medicaid or CHIP program – including public benefit or service programs, procedures for obtaining Medicaid or CHIP benefits or services; possible changes in or alternatives to Medicaid or CHIP programs and procedures; or possible changes in methods or levels of payment for Medicaid benefits or services. CMS has determined that this demonstration as represented in these approved STCs meets the requirements for exemption from the human subject research provisions of the Common Rule set forth in 45 CFR 46.104(b)(5).

IV. ELIGIBILITY

- 16. Use of Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) Based Methodologies For Eligibility Groups Affected By or Eligible Only Under the Demonstration.** Mandatory and optional state plan groups derive their eligibility through the Medicaid state plan, and are subject to all applicable Medicaid laws and regulations in accordance with the Medicaid state plan, except as expressly waived in this demonstration and as described in these STCs. Any Medicaid state plan amendments to the eligibility standards and methodologies for these eligibility groups, including the conversion to a MAGI standard January 1, 2014, will apply to this demonstration. These state plan eligible beneficiaries are included in the demonstration for access to additional benefits not described in the state plan. Expansion groups which are not eligible under the state plan and are eligible only for benefits under this demonstration are subject to all Medicaid requirements except as expressly waived in this demonstration, or expressly listed as not applicable to the specific expansion group. These requirements include determination of income using the same MAGI-based methodologies applicable to populations eligible under the Medicaid state plan.
- 17. Eligibility Criteria.** Mandatory and optional Medicaid state plan populations derive their

eligibility through the Medicaid state plan, and are subject to all applicable Medicaid laws and regulations in accordance with the Medicaid state plan, except as expressly waived and as described in these STCs. Current Eligibles, as defined below, are included in the demonstration to generate savings for covering the expansion populations, to mandate enrollment in managed care by waiving the freedom of choice requirement, and to waive other specific programmatic requirements.

Demonstration eligible populations are not otherwise eligible for Medicaid through the state plan, and are only covered under Medicaid through the section 1115 demonstration.

18. Eligibility Groups. The Utah section 1115 demonstration is comprised of the following Eligibility Groups.

- a. Current Eligibles are the following individuals, whose eligibility is derived from the state plan, but whose coverage is affected by the demonstration: 1) adults age 19 and above who are eligible through section 1925 and 1931 of the Act, including those eligible through any liberalized section 1931 criteria already in the state plan; 2) adults age 19 through 64 who are medically needy and not aged, blind, or disabled. Individuals who are pregnant are excluded, through the 60th day postpartum. Expenditures on current eligibles are considered demonstration expenditures for purposes of calculation of demonstration budget neutrality. There is no enrollment limit for this group. This population is a part of the original PCN demonstration and is not participating in the ESI program.
- b. Demonstration Population I is comprised of individuals age 19 through 64 with incomes at or below 95 percent of the FPL (effectively 100 percent of the FPL considering a disregard of 5 percent of income), who are U.S. citizens/qualified non-citizen, are residents of Utah, are not otherwise eligible for Medicaid, do not qualify for Medicare or Veterans benefits, and do not have other health insurance. There is no resource limit for Demonstration Population I.

The state may exclude from Demonstration Population I individuals that have access to ESI such that the cost to the employee does not exceed a specified percentage of household countable income; the specified percentage may not exceed 15 percent. Demonstration Population I is subdivided into two groups, which have a combined annual average enrollment limit of 25,000:

- i. Custodial Parents/Caretaker Relatives: A population consisting of adults with children with family income levels that exceed the levels for eligibility under the state plan provisions implementing section 1931 of the Act.
- ii. Childless Adults/Non-Custodial Parents: A demonstration eligible population.

As of April 1, 2019, Demonstration Population I will be suspended and all beneficiaries enrolled in the population will move to the Adult Expansion Population. The state may reopen Demonstration Population I when the state submits and CMS approves an amendment.

- c. Demonstration Population III is comprised of working adults, age 19 through 64, their spouses, and their children who are ages 19 through 26, with countable gross family incomes up to and including 200 percent of the FPL, who are U.S. citizens/ qualified non- citizen, are residents of Utah, are not otherwise eligible for Medicaid, Medicare, or Veterans benefits, have no other health insurance, and participate in an Utah's Premium Partnership for Health Insurance (UPP)-approved ESI plan where the employee's cost to participate in the plan is at least five percent of the household's countable income. Demonstration Population III is subdivided into three groups:
 - i. Custodial Parents/Caretaker Relatives: Adults with children with family income that exceeds the levels under the state plan provisions implementing section 1931 of the Act. There is no enrollment limit for this group.
 - ii. Childless Adults/Non-Custodial Parents: A demonstration eligible population. There is no enrollment limit for this group.
 - iii. Adult Children of Custodial Parents/Caretaker Relatives: A demonstration eligible population that meets the eligibility requirements of Demonstration Population III, as well as being age 19 through 26, enrolled in their caretaker's ESI plan, and live in their caretaker's household.

As of January 1, 2020, beneficiaries with incomes up to and including 133 percent of the FPL who meet these criteria for enrollment in Demonstration Population III will instead be enrolled in the Adult Expansion Population. Beneficiaries who were previously enrolled in the Adult Expansion Population and are receiving UPP-approved ESI where the employee's cost to participate in the plan is at least five percent of the household's countable income, but whose incomes increased above 133 percent of the FPL and remain below 200 percent of the FPL, will be eligible for Demonstration Population III.

- d. Demonstration Population V consists of adults age 19 through 64 with countable gross family income up to and including 200 percent of FPL, are U.S. citizens or qualified non- citizen, are resident(s) of Utah, do not qualify for Medicaid, Medicare, or Veterans benefits, have no other health insurance, and would otherwise be eligible as a member of Demonstration Population III (except that the eligible individual or custodial parent/caretaker is able to enroll in COBRA continuation coverage based on any qualifying event rather than a qualifying ESI plan, and that COBRA-eligibles are not subject to the requirement that an employer subsidize at least 50 percent of the premium cost for the employee's health coverage). Demonstration Population V is subdivided into two groups:
 - i. Custodial Parents/Caretaker Relatives: Adults with children with family income that exceeds the levels under the state plan provisions implementing section 1931 of the Act.
 - ii. Childless Adults/Non-Custodial Parents: A demonstration eligible population.

As of January 1, 2020, beneficiaries with incomes up to and including 133 percent of the FPL who meet these criteria for enrollment in Demonstration Population V will instead be enrolled in the Adult Expansion Population.

- e. Current Eligible CHIP Children is comprised of children up to age 19 with family income up to and including 200 percent of the FPL who would meet the definition of a targeted low-income child. These children are eligible for the CHIP, but the children's parents have elected to receive premium assistance for the employee's share of the cost of ESI instead of receiving CHIP direct coverage. There is no enrollment cap applied to this population. These children can opt back into direct coverage at any time.
- f. Demonstration Population VI is comprised of children up to age 19 with family income up to and including 200 percent of the FPL who would meet the definition of a targeted low-income child. These children can opt into direct coverage at any time. There is no enrollment cap applied to this population. Demonstration Population VI is subdivided into two groups:
 - i. COBRA-Eligible Children: A child that meets the definition of a targeted low-income child eligible under Title XXI who is eligible and able to enroll in COBRA continuation coverage based on any qualifying event. These children are eligible for CHIP, but the child's parents have elected to receive premium assistance for the employee's share of the cost of COBRA continuation of coverage instead of receiving CHIP direct coverage.
 - ii. COBRA Continuation Children: A child that meets the definition of a targeted low-income children except for receipt of continuation coverage in accordance with the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (COBRA), Pub. L. 99-272, and who elect to receive such premium assistance.
- g. The Targeted Adults are comprised of adults, ages 19-64, with incomes at zero percent of the FPL (effectively five percent of the FPL with the five percent disregard) and no dependent children, who meet one of the following additional criteria:
 - i. Be chronically homeless, defined as:
 - (1) An individual who has been continuously homeless for at least 12 months or on at least four separate occasions in the last three years (totaling at least 12 months); and has a diagnosable substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability, post-traumatic stress disorder, cognitive impairments resulting from a brain injury, or chronic physical illness or disability;
 - (2) An individual living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter for a total of six months within a 12-month period; and has a diagnosable substance use disorder or serious mental health disorder. At the option of the state, these criteria may be expanded to include individuals with a diagnosable developmental disability, post-traumatic stress disorder, cognitive impairments resulting from a brain injury, or chronic physical

- illness or disability;
 - (3) An individual who is a victim of domestic violence who is living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven or in an emergency shelter; or
 - (4) An individual currently living in supportive housing who has previously met the definition of chronically homeless as specified in paragraphs (i)(1), (i)(2), or (i)(3), above.
- ii. Involved in the criminal justice system and in need of substance use or mental health treatment, defined as:
- (1) An individual who has complied with and substantially completed a substance use disorder treatment program while they were incarcerated in jail or prison, including Tribal jails (requirements regarding the type and length of qualifying programs will be established in the Utah Administrative Code);
 - (2) An individual who is court ordered to receive substance abuse or mental health treatment by a district court or Tribal court;
 - (3) An individual on probation or parole with serious mental illness and/or serious substance use disorder;
 - (4) An individual discharged from the Utah State Hospital who was admitted to the civil unit of the hospital in connection with a criminal charge, or admitted to the forensic unit due to a criminal offense with which the individual was charged or of which the individual was convicted; or
 - (5) Individual involved with a Drug Court or Mental Health Court, including Tribal courts, related to a criminal charge or conviction.
- iii. Needing substance use or mental health treatment, defined as:
- (1) An individual receiving General Assistance from the Department of Workforce Services (DWS), who has been diagnosed with a substance use or mental health disorder; or
 - (2) An individual recently discharged from the Utah State Hospital who was civilly committed, to be further specified in the Utah Administrative Code.
- h. Former Foster Care Youth from Another State are defined as individuals under age 26, who were in foster care under the responsibility of a state other than Utah or a tribe in such other state when they turned 18 (or such higher age as the state has elected for termination of federal foster care assistance under title IV-E of the Act), were ever enrolled in Medicaid, are now applying for Medicaid in Utah, and are not otherwise eligible for Medicaid.
- i. Adult Expansion Population is comprised of adults, ages 19 through 64, who are not Current Eligibles, who are U.S. citizens/qualified non-citizens, are residents of Utah, and have household income at or below 133 percent of the FPL. To remain eligible for Medicaid, beneficiaries in this eligibility group who have access to ESI are required to enroll in a qualified ESI plan, as defined by the state.
- j. Intensive Stabilization Services (ISS) Population is comprised of children/youth under age

21, whose eligibility is derived from the state plan, and are experiencing significant emotional and/or behavioral challenges while in state custody or are at risk of being placed in state custody.

Table 1: Eligibility Groups

Note: This Table is presented for information purposes and does not change the state plan requirements or otherwise establish policy.

Medicaid Eligibility Groups	FPL and/or Other Qualifying Criteria	Not Applicables	Expenditure Reporting Form (see paragraph X.1(c), Medicaid, unless otherwise indicated)	Member-Month Reporting Category in section X.5, if applicable)
Mandatory Medicaid State Plan Groups				
Section 1925 and 1931 TANF related adult family members	Income according to the State Standard of Need	Statewideness, Comparability, Freedom of Choice, EPSDT	<u>Current Eligibles</u>	Current Eligibles
Section 1902(a)(10)(C)/42 CFR 435.322 & 435.330 adults who are blind or disabled	No income standard	Amount, Duration, Scope of Services, and Comparability Freedom of Choice	<u>Blind and Disabled Adults–Dental</u>	1902(a)(10)(C) - <u>Blind and Disabled Adults –Dental</u>
Section 1902(a)(10)(C)/42 CFR 435.330 adults who age 65 and over	No income standard	Comparability	<u>Aged Adults - Dental</u>	1902(a)(10)(C) - <u>Aged Adults - Dental</u>
Optional Medicaid State Plan Groups				
Medically Needy adults who are not pregnant/postpartum, aged, blind, or disabled	Individual must "spend down" to a Medically Needy Income Standard set by the state	Statewideness, Comparability, Freedom of Choice, EPSDT	<u>Current Eligibles</u>	Current Eligibles
All Medicaid Eligible children/youth	Income according to the specific eligibility group	Freedom of Choice, Amount, Duration, and Scope of Services, Comparability	Intensive Stabilization Services (ISS) Children/Youth	Intensive Stabilization Services (ISS) Children/Youth
PCN Demonstration Eligible Groups				
Medicaid Eligibility Groups	FPL and/or Other Qualifying Criteria	Not Applicables	Expenditure Reporting Form (see paragraph X.1(c), Medicaid, unless otherwise indicated)	Member-Month Reporting Category in section X.5, if applicable)

Adult custodial parents/caretaker relatives and childless adults/noncustodial parents: Demonstration Population I	Individuals with incomes at or below 95% FPL	Comparability, Freedom of Choice, Statewideness, EPSDT, FQHC, Retroactive Eligibility	<u>PCN Adults w/Children(1)</u> (parents/ caretaker relatives) <u>PCN Childless Adults(1)</u> (childless adults/noncustodial parents)	<u>PCN Adults w/Children (1)</u> <u>PCN Childless Adults(1)</u> (childless adults/non-custodial parents)
Targeted Adults	Individuals with incomes at 0% FPL	Statewideness, Comparability, Freedom of Choice,	<u>Targeted Adults</u>	<u>Targeted Adults</u>
Former Foster Care Youth	No income standard	N/A	<u>FFCY</u>	<u>FFCY</u>
Adult Expansion Population	Individuals with incomes up to and including 133% of the FPL	Statewideness, Comparability, Freedom of Choice, Eligibility and Provision of Medical Assistance, Reasonable Promptness, Method of Administration	<u>Adult Expansion Population</u>	<u>Adult Expansion Population</u>
ESI Demonstration Eligible Groups				
Adult custodial parents/caretaker relatives and childless adults/noncustodial parents and adult children (19-26) of parents/caretakers Demonstration Population III	Up to and including 200% FPL	Comparability, Freedom of Choice, EPSDT, Cost Sharing, Retroactive Eligibility	<u>ESI Adults w/Children(3)</u> (parents/ caretaker relatives) <u>ESI Childless Adults(3)</u> (childless adults/noncustodial parents) ESI Adult Children (Title XIX)(3)	<u>ESI Adults with Children</u> <u>ESI Childless Adults(3)</u> (childless adults/non-custodial parents) ESI Adult Children
CHIP children of working adults -Current Eligible CHIP Children Population	Up to and including 200% FPL	Cost Sharing Exemption for AI/AN Children, Cost Sharing, Benefit Package Requirement	ESI Children (Title XXI)(4)	ESI Children

Medicaid Eligibility Groups	FPL and/or Other Qualifying Criteria	Not Applicables	Expenditure Reporting Form (see paragraph X.1(c), Medicaid, unless otherwise indicated)	Member-Month Reporting Category in section X.5, if applicable)
COBRA Premium Assistance Demonstration Eligible Groups				
Adult custodial parents/caretaker relatives and childless adults/noncustodial parents eligible for COBRA benefits Demonstration Population V	Up to and including 200% FPL	Comparability, Freedom of Choice, EPSDT, Cost Sharing, Retroactive Eligibility	<u>COBRA Adult w/ Children(5)</u> (parents/caretaker relatives) COBRA Childless Adult (5) (childless adults/non-custodial parents)	<u>COBRA Adults with children</u> - COBRA Childless Adult (5) (childless adults)
CHIP children of unemployed adults eligible for COBRA benefits Demonstration Population VI	Up to and including 200% FPL	Cost Sharing Exemption for AI/AN Children, Cost Sharing, Benefit Package Requirements	COBRA-Eligible Children COBRA-Continuation Children (Title XXI)	COBRA-Eligible Children COBRA-Continuation Children

V. BENEFITS

19. Minimum for Current Eligibles. Current Eligible adults enrolled in the demonstration receive most of the services covered under Utah’s state plan according to the limitations specified in the state plan, except as modified below. This benefit package is reduced from that available under the state plan in accord with changes detailed in Table 2a. Any changes that would result in coverage limitations that are more restrictive than those listed in Table 2a, or less restrictive than both table 2a and the corresponding section of the Medicaid state plan, must be submitted as a demonstration amendment. If the state were to amend its Medicaid state plan to provide benefit limitations that are more restrictive than those listed in Table 2a (including elimination of any of the listed services), the revised state plan would determine the benefit. The state must notify the Project Officer of all planned changes to benefits for Current Eligibles, and provide an updated budget neutrality analysis with each such notification that shows the likely effect of the planned changes. CMS reserves the right to determine whether a change in benefits under the state plan that impacts this demonstration and effects budget neutrality for the demonstration would warrant an amendment. The state may not amend its Medicaid state plan to provide a Benchmark Benefit under section 1937 of the Act to Current Eligibles, or any subset of Current Eligibles, so long as

this demonstration is in effect.

Table 2a: Benefits for Current Eligibles and for Members of the Adult Expansion Population who are Custodial Parents/Caretaker Relatives that are Different than State Plan Covered Services and Limitations

*The following table is for illustrative purposes only and does not limit the state’s ability to change the state plan benefits through State Plan Amendments.

Service	Special Limitations for Current Eligibles
Hospital Services	Additional surgical exclusions. Refer to the Administrative Rule UT Admin Code R414-200 Non-Traditional Medicaid Health Plan Services and the Coverage and Reimbursement Code Lookup.
Vision Care	One eye examination every 12 months; No eye glasses
Physical Therapy	Visits to a licensed PT professional (limited to a combination of 16 visits per policy year for PT and OT)
Occupational Therapy	Visits to a licensed OT professional (limited to a combination of 16 visits per policy year for PT and OT)
Speech and Hearing Services	Hearing evaluations or assessments for hearing aids are covered, Hearing aids covered only if hearing loss is congenital
Private Duty Nursing	Not covered
Medical Supplies and Medical Equipment	Same as traditional Medicaid with exclusions. (See Utah Medicaid Provider Manual, Non-Traditional Medicaid Plan)
Organ Transplants	The following transplants are covered: kidney, liver, cornea, bone marrow, stem cell, heart and lung (includes organ donor)
Long Term Care	Not covered
Transportation Services	Ambulance (ground and air) for medical emergencies only (non-emergency transportation, including bus passes, is not covered)
Dental	Dental services are not covered, with exceptions.

20. Minimum for Demonstration Population I – PCN Eligibles. The benefit package for Demonstration Population I is a limited benefit package of primary and preventative care services through the PCN program. These services include primary care physician, lab, radiology, durable medical equipment, emergency room services, pharmacy, dental, and vision. Covered services are often provided with different limitations than those covered in the state plan. Inpatient hospital, specialty care, and mental health services are among the services that are not covered. The benefits are detailed in Table 2b. The benefit package for Demonstration Population I eligibles must be

comprehensive enough to be consistent with the goal of increasing the number of individuals in the state with health insurance, including at least a primary care benefit, which means all health care services and laboratory services customarily furnished by or through a general practitioner, family physician, internal medicine physician, obstetrician/gynecologist, or pediatrician. Medicaid state plan services other than those listed in Table 2b are not covered for Demonstration Population I. Should the state amend its Medicaid state plan to provide benefit limitations that are more restrictive for the services listed in Table 2b (including elimination of any of the listed services), the revised state plan would determine the benefit, and no demonstration amendment would be needed; all other changes to the benefit for Demonstration Population I must be made through a demonstration amendment. The state must notify the Project Officer of all planned changes to benefits for Demonstration Population I, and provide an updated budget neutrality analysis with each such notification that shows the likely effect of the planned changes. As of April 1, 2019, Demonstration Population I will close and all beneficiaries enrolled in the population will move to the Adult Expansion Population.

Table 2b: Benefits for Demonstration Population I Eligibles that are Different than State Plan Covered Services and Limitations

*The following table is for illustrative purposes only and does not limit the state’s ability to change the state plan benefits through State Plan Amendments.

Service	Special Limitations for Demonstration Population I
Hospital Services	Emergency Services in Emergency Room only
Physician Services	Services by licensed physicians and other health professionals for primary care services only
Vision Care	One eye examination every 12 months, no eyeglasses
Lab and Radiology Services	Lab and Radiology only as part of primary care services or as part of an approved emergency service as identified in the PCN Provider Manual
Occupational Therapy	Not covered
Chiropractic Services	Not covered
Speech and Hearing Services	Hearing evaluations for hearing loss or assessments for hearing aids are covered
Podiatry Services	Not covered
End Stage Renal Disease - Dialysis	Not covered
Home Health Services	Not covered
Hospice Services	Not covered
Private Duty Nursing	Not covered
Medical Supplies and Medical Equipment	Equipment only for recovery (see detail list in the PCN Provider Manual)
Abortions and Sterilizations	Not covered
'Inpatient Treatment for Substance Abuse and Dependency	Not covered
Organ Transplants	Not covered

Long Term Care	Not Covered
Family Planning Services	Consistent with physician and pharmacy scope of services. Not covered: Norplant, Infertility drugs, Invitro fertilization, Genetic counseling, Vasectomy, Tubal ligation.
High-Risk Prenatal Services	Not covered
Medical and Surgical Services of a Dentist	Not covered
Health Education including Diabetes and Asthma	Not covered
Pharmacy	Pharmacy services limited to 4 prescriptions per month; prior authorization required for non-PDL drugs when a PDL exists for a drug class; some injectables are covered in a pharmacy, and any other injectables identified in the PCN Provider Manual
Dental	Limited scope of services: exams, preventive services, fillings, and limited extractions
Mental Health	Not covered
Outpatient Substance Abuse	Not covered
Targeted Case Management for the Chronically Mentally Ill	Not covered
Targeted Case Management for Substance Abuse	Not covered
Targeted Case Management for Homeless	Not covered
Targeted Case Management for HIV/AIDs	Not covered
Transportation Services	Ambulance (ground and air) for medical emergencies only. Non-emergency transportation is not covered.

21. Benefit Definition

- a. **For Adults and Adult Children in Demonstration Populations III and V – Premium Assistance.** The sole benefit provided to persons eligible for premium assistance (through ESI or COBRA coverage) is assistance in paying the employee’s, individual’s, or family’s share of the monthly premium cost of qualifying insurance plans.
- b. **For Children in Demonstration (Current Eligible CHIP Children and Demonstration Populations VI) – Premium Assistance.** The primary benefit provided to children eligible for premium assistance (through ESI or COBRA coverage) is assistance in paying the child’s share of the employee’s, individual’s, or family’s share of the monthly premium cost of qualifying

insurance plans.

Dental benefits for children will be offered through two paths. If the health benefit package that is available to a child through qualified premium assistance coverage includes dental benefits, the child's premium assistance will be approximately equivalent to the per-child-per-month cost under the Title XXI state plan including dental costs.

However, if a child does not receive dental benefits through the qualified premium assistance plan, the state's minimum dental coverage for children is set by legislation, and is benchmarked to the coverage of the largest private carrier. In this case, the coverage is the same as direct coverage.

- c. Utah will ensure that all participating premium assistance insurance plans cover well-baby/well-child care services, age-appropriate immunizations, and emergency care. The state will also ensure children receive physician visits, hospital inpatient, and pharmacy benefits, at a minimum. Utah may use state rules to establish a set of additional criteria that will be used to determine which insurance plans shall be "qualified plans."
- d. Benefits furnished by qualified premium assistance insurance plans are not benefits under this demonstration; the only benefit under this demonstration is premium assistance. Qualified plans are not restricted from offering additional benefits, at the option of the plan, which may vary by the plan to which the individual or family has access.

22. Choice of Benefit Plans. An eligible individual or family may enroll in any qualified insurance plan that meets the requirements specified in state rules and is provided by their employer or to which they have access through COBRA.

23. Premium Assistance Subsidy Determination. Demonstration Population III and V beneficiaries will receive premium assistance, under the following conditions:

- a. In accord with the enrollment and implementation procedures as defined in Section VI, the state will provide an eligible and enrolled individual or family with a premium assistance subsidy.
- b. The premium assistance amount for participating plans must not exceed the maximum amount of the participant's share of the premium:
 - **For ESI plans –**
 - Children = \$120 per enrollee per month with state wrap around dental benefits
 - Children = \$140 per enrollee per month if the plan provides dental benefits comparable to those offered through direct state coverage
 - **For COBRA plans –**
 - Children = \$120 per enrollee per month with state wrap around dental benefits
 - Children = \$140 per enrollee per month if the plan provides dental benefits comparable to those offered through direct state coverage.

- c. **Adjustments for Health Care Inflation.** For adults enrolled in the premium assistance programs, the state may increase the maximum amount per month through the state’s rulemaking process as long as it does not exceed the without waiver ceiling amount established in the budget neutrality calculation of estimated service expenditures and the subsidy amount found in [Utah Administrative Code R414-320-16](#) .
- d. For demonstration populations III and V, the maximum premium subsidy will be determined by the amounts found in [Utah Administrative Code R414-320-16](#). Any future changes to decrease the maximum premium subsidy amount must be approved by CMS through an amendment to the demonstration in accordance with the process outlined in STC 7.
- e.

For children enrolled in the premium assistance programs, the per child monthly premium assistance payment will be approximately equivalent to the per-child-per-month cost under the Title XXI state plan (excluding dental costs – currently \$120 per month; or including dental costs – currently \$140 per month).

- f. The premium assistance subsidy will be paid directly to the individual/family up to the maximum amount specified in STC 23(b).
- g. The COBRA subsidy -
 - i. For a qualified individual, who is determined to be an assistance-eligible individual under section 3001 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) and can receive the nine-month ARRA COBRA subsidy, the UPP-Like COBRA program will provide additional premium assistance to subsidize the payment of the former employee’s 35 percent share of the monthly premium for COBRA continuation coverage (up to the limits set below).
 - ii. After the expiration of the ARRA COBRA subsidy, the Utah COBRA premium assistance program will subsidize the former employee’s share in accord with STC 23.

24. Dental Benefit for Enrollees who are Blind or Disabled. All individuals who are blind or disabled, 18 and older, who are enrolled in the state plan under Section 1902(a)(10)(C) of the Act and 42 CFR 435.322, 435.324 and 435.330, will receive dental benefits that are defined in the Utah Medicaid Provider Manual, Dental Services, and if needed, porcelain or porcelain-to-metal crowns.

25. Dental Benefit for Enrollees who are Aged. All individuals who are age 65 and older, and are eligible for Medicaid, who are eligible to enroll in the state plan under Section 1902(a)(10)(C) of the Act and 42 CFR 435.320 and 435.330, will receive dental benefits that are defined in the Utah Medicaid Provider Manual, Dental Services, and if needed, porcelain or porcelain-to-metal crowns.

26. Targeted Adults. Beneficiaries enrolled in this eligibility category will receive full Medicaid

state plan benefits. Beneficiaries that are enrolled in this eligibility category and receiving SUD treatment will receive dental benefits that are defined in the Utah Medicaid Provider Manual, Dental Services, and if needed, porcelain or porcelain-to-metal crowns.

27. Former Foster Care Youth from Another State. Beneficiaries enrolled in this eligibility category will receive full Medicaid state plan benefits.

28. Adult Expansion Population. Beneficiaries in this category will receive benefits as follows:

- a. Custodial Parents/Caretaker Relatives enrolled in this eligibility category will receive the same benefits as Current Eligibles, the non-traditional benefits, which are outlined in Table 2a and Attachment I. These beneficiaries will receive benefits as described in Attachment I. Utah has fully aligned the non-traditional benefit package with the Medicaid state plan except for those benefits limitations listed under table 2a. The state has ensured all requirements of section 1937 of the Act are met including the inclusion of coverage for the ten categories of essential health benefits (EHBs). The non-traditional benefit package does not differ in amount, duration or scope from Medicaid state plan benefits, except to the extent that it includes coverage required under section 1937 of the Act that is not included under the state plant and the benefit limitations listed under Table 2a. Any changes to this coverage must be approved through a future amendment to the demonstration.
- b. Childless Adults/Non-custodial Parents enrolled in this eligibility category will receive full Medicaid state plan benefits, the traditional benefits, as outlined in Attachment J. These beneficiaries will receive benefits as described in Attachment J. Utah has fully aligned its traditional benefit package with the Utah Medicaid state plan while ensuring all requirements of section 1937 of the Act are met, including the inclusion of coverage for the ten categories of EHBs. The traditional benefit package does not differ in amount, duration or scope from Medicaid State plan benefits, except to the extent that it includes coverage required under section 1937 of the Act that is not included under the state plan. Any changes to this coverage must be approved through a future amendment to the demonstration.
- c. With respect to the coverage described in STC 28 (a) and (b), the non-traditional benefits and traditional benefits provided to specified categories of beneficiaries within the Adult Expansion Population, Utah assures that these benefit packages comport with the requirements of section 1937 of the Act, except as limitations discussed in this STC, and specifically makes the following assurances:
 - i. Utah assures that all services in the EHB benchmark plan used to define the benefit package have been accounted for throughout the Alternative Benefits Plan (ABP) 5 charts found in Attachments I and J and Utah assures the accuracy of all information in ABP 5 depicting amount, duration and scope parameters of services authorized in the currently approved Medicaid State Plan.
 - ii. Utah assures EPSDT services will be provided to individuals under 21 years of age who are covered under the traditional and non-traditional benefit packages.
 - iii. Utah assures that it does not apply any financial requirement or treatment limitation to mental health or SUD benefits in any classification that is more restrictive than the

- predominant financial requirement or treatment limitation of that type applied to substantially all medical/surgical benefits in the same classification.
- iv. Utah assures that it is not imposing limits on habilitative services and devices that are more stringent than limits on rehabilitative services (42 CFR 440.347(d) and 45 CFR 156.115(a)(5)(iii). Further, Utah assures that it will not impose combined limits on habilitative and rehabilitative services and devices.
 - v. Utah assures that substituted benefits are actuarially equivalent to the benefits they replaced from the EHB benchmark plan used to define EHB benefits, and that the state has actuarial certification for substituted benefits available for CMS inspection if requested by CMS.
 - vi. Utah assures that individuals will have access to services in Rural Health Clinics (RHC) and Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHC) as defined in subparagraphs (B) and (C) of section 1905(a)(2) of the Social Security Act. Utah assures that payment for RHC and FQHC services is made in accordance with the requirements of section 1902(bb) of the Social Security Act.
 - vii. Utah assures that it will comply with the requirement of section 1937(b)(5) of the Act by ensuring that the benefit package includes at least the EHBs as described in section 1302(b) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.
 - viii. Utah assures that it will comply with the mental health and substance use disorder parity requirements of section 1937(b)(6) of the Act by ensuring that the financial requirements and treatment limitations applicable to mental health or substance use disorder benefits comply with the requirements of section 2705(a) of the Public Health Service Act in the same manner as such requirements apply to a group health plan.
 - ix. Utah assures that it will comply with section 1937(b)(7) of the Act by ensuring that benefits provided to beneficiaries include, for any individual described in section 1905(a)(4)(C), medical assistance for family planning services and supplies in accordance with such section.
 - x. Utah assures necessary medical transportation (emergency and non-emergency) for the Adult Expansion Population beneficiaries who receive the traditional benefits in accordance with 42 CFR 431.53 and necessary emergency transportation for the Adult Expansion Population beneficiaries who receive the non-traditional benefits, except that Utah assures necessary medical transportation (emergency and non-emergency) for Adult Expansion Population beneficiaries who are eligible for EPSDT services..
 - xi. Utah assures, in accordance with 42 CFR 440.347(a) and 45 CFR 156.115(a)(4), that it will provide benefits that include preventive services identified at 45 CFR 147.130.
 - xii. Utah assures that, for each benefit provided under the benefit packages that is not provided through managed care, it will use the payment methodology in its approved state plan for the benefit.
 - xiii. Utah assures that prescription drug coverage is the same as under the approved Medicaid State Plan for prescribed drugs.
 - xiv. Utah assures that when it pays for outpatient prescription drugs covered under the benefit packages, it meets the requirements of section 1927 of the Act and implementing regulations at 42 CFR 440.345.

- xv. Utah assures that when conducting prior authorization of prescription drugs for Adult Expansion Population beneficiaries receiving the traditional and non-traditional benefit packages, it complies with prior authorization program requirements in section 1927(d)(5) of the Act.
 - xvi. The state assures it will comply with section 1115 Public Notice and Tribal Consultation requirements in STC 14 before amending benefits, include in public notice, the method for assuring compliance with 42 CFR 440.345 related to full access to EPSDT services and a description of the method for complying with the provisions of the amendments made by section 5006(e) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.
- d. **Mandatory ESI Enrollees.** As of January 1, 2020, beneficiaries in this eligibility group that are eligible to enroll in a qualified ESI plan (as described in STC 18(i)), are required enroll in that plan, and will be reimbursed for the full amount of the beneficiary’s share of the monthly premium cost of the qualified ESI plan. In order to ensure the beneficiary receives Medicaid benefits, wrap-around benefits will be provided through a FFS delivery system.

29. Behavioral Health Benefits. The Adult Expansion Population and Current Eligibles will receive the following benefits that are the equivalent of (b)(3) services authorized under the state’s 1915(b) Prepaid Mental health Plan (PMHP) waiver:

- a. Psychoeducational services (mental health rehabilitation);
- b. Personal services;
- c. Respite care; and
- d. Supportive living services (mental health services in residential treatment settings).

30. Intensive Stabilization Services (ISS) Program. Beneficiaries enrolled in this eligibility category will receive state plan and home and community based crisis stabilization services during the first eight -weeks of the intensive program on a FFS basis using a daily bundled rate. The benefits included in the daily bundled rate are detailed in Table 2c.

Table 2c: Benefits for Intensive Stabilization Services Program

Bundled Crisis Stabilization Services	State Plan or Non State Plan Services
Psychiatric Diagnostic Evaluation	State Plan Service
Mental Health Assessment by a Non-Mental Health Therapist	State Plan Service
Psychotherapy with Patient and/or Family Member	State Plan Service
Family Psychotherapy with Patient Present and Family Member Psychotherapy without Patient Present	State Plan Service
Group Psychotherapy and Multiple Family Group Psychotherapy	State Plan Service
Psychotherapy for Crisis	State Plan Service

Psychotherapy with Evaluation and Management (E/M) Services	State Plan Service
Therapeutic Behavioral Services	State Plan Service
Psychosocial Rehabilitative Services	State Plan Service
Peer Support Services	State Plan Service
Case/Care Management	State Plan Service
Non-emergency medical transportation	State Plan Service
Non-medical transportation	Currently Not Covered in State Plan
Respite	Currently Not Covered in State Plan

VI. ENROLLMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION

31. General Requirements

- a. Unless otherwise specified in these STCs, all processes for eligibility, enrollment, redeterminations, terminations, appeals, etc. must comply with federal law and regulations governing Medicaid and CHIP.
- b. Any individual who is denied eligibility in any health coverage program authorized under this demonstration must receive a notice from the state that gives the reason for denial, and includes information about the individual's right to appeal.
- c. The state will adhere to the demonstration population enrollment limits presented in Section IV.

32. Enrollment in the PCN Program (Demonstration Population I).

- a. Individuals applying for the PCN program must be screened for eligibility in Medicaid and CHIP, and enrolled in Medicaid or CHIP if determined eligible.
- b. If an applicant is determined not to be eligible for other coverage (as specified in (a) above) and the applicant meets all of the eligibility criteria for PCN, and if PCN is open to new enrollment at the time of the determination, the applicant may be enrolled in PCN.
- c. PCN may be closed to new enrollment either at the state's election, or because the enrollment limit specified in these STCs has been reached. If PCN is closed to new enrollment, the state will stop taking applications. Applications will not be held over for a new enrollment period.
- d. The state will provide for a redetermination of eligibility at least once every 12 months.
- e. As of April 1, 2019, this program is closed to enrollment.

33. Enrollment in UPP for ESI Premium Assistance (Demonstration Populations III and Current Eligible CHIP Children).

- a. Adults with incomes at or below 95 percent of the FPL who have been determined eligible for the PCN (Demonstration Population I) may be given an opportunity to receive premium assistance for ESI through UPP, instead of the PCN benefit.
- b. Adults with incomes above 133 percent, up to and including 200 percent of the FPL who meet all other requirements for Demonstration Population III will be given the option to receive premium assistance for ESI through UPP.
- c. Families with dependent children that are eligible for CHIP may elect to have their children receive premium assistance for ESI through UPP, instead of receiving CHIP coverage. However, children may opt back into direct coverage at any time.
- d. The state must establish and maintain procedures (which may be done through rulemaking) that will:
 - i. Ensure that at least one adult family member is employed, that the employer offers health insurance as a benefit, that the benefit qualifies for the premium assistance subsidy, and that the employee elects to participate and maintains participation in the ESI plan for all individuals receiving UPP subsidies from the state;
 - ii. Provide written information prior to enrollment in UPP explaining the differences in benefits and cost sharing between direct PCN and/or CHIP coverage and ESI coverage, so that they can make an informed choice (if the individual is eligible for direct PCN and/or CHIP);
 - iii. Ensure the consent of the responsible adult family member to receiving premium assistance under UPP instead of coverage through PCN or CHIP (if the individual is eligible for direct PCN and/or CHIP);
 - iv. Allow children to opt out of ESI and begin receiving CHIP coverage at any time, with an immediate effective date upon request;
 - v. Obtain regular documentation, and verify at least quarterly, that the individual or family continues to be enrolled in ESI coverage and the individual's/family's share of the premium;
 - vi. Require clients to notify the Utah Department of Health within ten days if they change their ESI plan, there is a change in the amount of their premium, or their ESI coverage is terminated;
 - vii. Ensure that the total amount of UPP subsidies provided to an individual or family does not exceed the amount of the employee's financial obligation

toward their ESI coverage;

- viii. Provide for recovery of payments made for months in which the individual or family did not receive ESI coverage. The federal share must be returned within the timeframes established in statute and regulations; and
- ix. Provide for a redetermination of eligibility at least once every 12 months.

34. Enrollment in Utah COBRA Premium Assistance Program

- a. Adults with incomes at or below 95 percent of the FPL who have been determined eligible for the PCN (Demonstration Population I) may be given an opportunity to receive premium assistance for COBRA Coverage through UPP, instead of the PCN benefit.
- b. Adults with incomes above 133 percent, up to and including of 200 percent of the FPL who meet all other requirements for Demonstration Population V will be given the option to receive premium assistance for COBRA through UPP.
- c. Families with dependent children that are eligible for CHIP, and whose children have lost COBRA-eligible ESI coverage, may elect to have their children receive premium assistance for COBRA coverage through UPP, instead of receiving CHIP coverage.
- d. The state may offer premium assistance for COBRA coverage to all adults and children who are receiving COBRA coverage and who are receiving a subsidy of 65 percent of its cost under ARRA. COBRA premium assistance may be offered to adults and children who would be eligible for PCN or CHIP, respectively, if uninsured. Families must submit applications within the 60-day period referenced above to qualify for this assistance.
- e. The state must establish and maintain procedures (which may be done through rulemaking) that will:
 - i. Ensure that at least one adult family member is eligible for COBRA continuation coverage, that the COBRA benefit qualifies for the COBRA premium assistance subsidy, and that the eligible individual elects to participate and maintains participation in the COBRA plan for all individuals receiving UPP COBRA subsidies from the state;
 - ii. Provide written information prior to enrollment explaining the differences in benefits and cost sharing between direct PCN and/or CHIP coverage and COBRA coverage, so that they can make an informed choice (if the individual is eligible for direct PCN and/or CHIP);
 - iii. Ensure the consent of the responsible adult family member to receiving COBRA premium assistance instead of coverage through PCN or CHIP (if the individual is eligible for direct PCN and/or CHIP);

- iv. Allow children to opt out of the Utah COBRA Premium Assistance Program and begin receiving CHIP coverage at any time; with an immediate effective date upon request.
- v. Obtain regular documentation, and verify at least quarterly, that the individual or family continues to be enrolled in COBRA coverage and the individual's/family's share of the premium. Verification may include the use of the Coverage Election Notice, forms developed by the state, and use of inter-agency administrative databases such as eFILE;
- vi. Require clients to notify the Utah Department of Health within 10 days if there is a change in the amount of their premium or their COBRA coverage is terminated;
- vii. Ensure that the total amount of the Utah COBRA Premium Assistance Program subsidy(ies) provided to an individual or family does not exceed the amount of the former employee's financial obligation toward their COBRA coverage, which must be net of any ARRA subsidy amount received;
- viii. Provide for recovery of payments made for months in which the individual or family did not receive COBRA coverage. The federal share must be returned within the timeframes established in statute and regulations; and
- ix. Provide for a review of benefits on a timeframe consistent with anticipated changes in COBRA coverage or premiums and a redetermination of eligibility at least once every 12 months.

35. Disenrollment from the Premium Assistance Programs. If an individual/family is involuntarily disenrolled from a demonstration premium assistance program, such as when a participating plan no longer meets the established state criteria or the individual meets the eligibility criteria for direct Medicaid coverage:

- a. There is no sanction period before a child, who has been involuntarily disenrolled from a premium assistance program, could be enrolled in CHIP.
- b. Children involuntarily disenrolled from premium assistance will be seamlessly enrolled in the CHIP program. Utah CHIP will ensure that there is no break in coverage.

36. Interaction with Medicaid. For individuals eligible for Demonstration Populations III (ESI adults) and V (COBRA adults) who are not eligible for Demonstration Population I (PCN), the state will offer opportunities for these individuals to enroll in Demonstration Population I or other direct Medicaid coverage if they are later determined to be eligible for such coverage.

- a. Individuals may at any time apply for Medicaid, and if determined eligible, be enrolled in direct coverage.
- b. At least every 12 months, the state must remind each individual by mail, an eligibility redetermination, or other comparable means that he or she is entitled to apply for Medicaid

and provide directions on how to initiate an application. In particular, the reminder must point out that the participant is likely to qualify for Medicaid if pregnant.

37. Enrollment in Dental Benefits. There is no separate enrollment process required for individuals who are aged, blind or, disabled and otherwise enrolled in the state plan, or Targeted Adults who are receiving SUD treatment, to receive dental services through this demonstration.

38. Targeted Adults Enrollment. As of November 1, 2017, individuals who are currently eligible for Demonstration Population I and can be identified as eligible for this demonstration population, may be moved to the Targeted Adults eligibility group. Current Demonstration Population I eligible individuals who cannot be identified as eligible for the Targeted Adults population will be sent notification informing them of the availability of this program.

- a. Individuals applying for Medicaid will be screened for eligibility in other Medicaid programs before being enrolled in the Targeted Adults eligibility group.
- b. The state will provide for a redetermination of eligibility at least once every 12 months.
- c. The Targeted Adults group or any subset of this group may be closed to new enrollment at the state's election. If this eligibility group is closed to new enrollment, the state will stop taking applications. Applications will not be held over for a new enrollment period.
- d. The state will provide continuous benefits for a period of 12 months to the Targeted Adults. Changes during this period will not affect a beneficiary's benefits with the exception of the following reasons:
 - Moving out of state;
 - Death;
 - Determined eligible for another Medicaid eligibility category;
 - Fraud; or
 - Client request.

If a Targeted Adult's income rises above an income of 133 percent of the FPL, that beneficiary will no longer be eligible for the newly eligible enhanced FMAP.

- e. All eligibility criteria, including income, will be considered at the time of the individual's annual eligibility redetermination to determine if the individual continues to meet eligibility for Medicaid.

39. Adult Expansion Population. Individuals do not have to undergo a separate process to enroll and receive coverage in this population and there is no enrollment cap on this population.

- a. **Beneficiary Enrollment Requirements.** Effective January 1, 2020, the state may mandatorily enroll members of the Adult Expansion Population into UMIC managed care organizations

(MCO) for delivery of their physical and behavioral health services in the five urban counties in the state (Davis, Salt Lake, Utah, Washington, and Weber), except as provided in paragraph (e) of this STC. Further, the state may mandatorily enroll members of the Adult Expansion Population in an ACO and a PMHP, for beneficiaries residing in the remaining eight counties (Box Elder, Cache, Iron, Morgan, Rich, Summit, Tooele, and Wasatch) in which beneficiaries are not enrolled into UMIC.

- b. **Auto-Assignment.** If a beneficiary does not choose a managed care plan (UMIC MCO or ACO/PHMP) within the time frames defined in (b)(iii), he or she may be auto-assigned to a managed care plan. When possible, the auto assignment algorithm shall take into consideration the beneficiary's history with a primary care provider, and when applicable, the beneficiary's history with a managed care plan. If this is not possible, the state will equitably distribute beneficiaries among managed care plan as specified in this STC.
 - i. Beneficiaries who are newly enrolling in the Adult Expansion Population and residing in a mandatory managed care county (either a UMIC MCO or ACO/PMHP model) will receive a pending managed care plan selection that will be placed on the beneficiary's case using a "round robin" method, consistent with the auto-assignment standards described in the previous paragraph, so that each managed care plan receives approximately the same number of new cases.
 - ii. Returning Medicaid beneficiaries will have their previous managed care plan reinstated if it has been less than two years since they were enrolled in managed care. If it has been more than two years or if their previous managed care plan is no longer available for enrollment, their pending assignment will be based on the "round robin" method, after taking into consideration the beneficiary's history with a primary care provider.
 - iii. All beneficiaries subject to mandatory enrollment into managed care will receive a letter that informs them of the need to select a plan(s) and that if they do not respond within 10 days, the state will assign a plan(s). If a beneficiary (including beneficiaries with special health care needs) contacts the state and indicates that he or she has a current primary or specialty provider, the state will assist the member in selecting a plan(s) that includes that provider in its network. After 10 days, if a member has not responded, the system-assigned (i.e., pending) plan(s) will be the member's plan(s).
- c. **Open Enrollment Period.** An open enrollment period will be held for beneficiaries from mid-May to mid-June each year, during which such beneficiaries may select a different available managed care plan for enrollment.
- d. **Enrollment Exemptions.** The following populations are exempt from mandatorily enrolling in UMIC MCO or ACO and PMHP:
 - i. Utah Medicaid beneficiaries residing in the Utah State Hospital or the Utah State

- Developmental Center;
 - ii. Beneficiaries with presumptive eligibility;
 - iii. Individuals enrolled in the Healthy Outcomes Medical Excellence (HOME) program;
 - iv. Medicaid members enrolled in Utah’s Buyout Program; and
 - v. Adult Expansion Population beneficiaries mandatorily enrolled in ESI.
- e. **Enrollment Exemption Process.** The state will allow a beneficiary not to enroll in or to disenroll from a managed care plan and to enroll in a FFS delivery system, or to switch from a managed care plan to another available managed care plan, in the event that enrollment in the current managed care plan or in any available managed care plan, as applicable, would not meet the beneficiary’s health care needs and there is a reasonable expectation that the beneficiary’s health would suffer if he or she were not permitted to switch to a different available managed care plan or enroll in FFS delivery. Exemption requests must be submitted for approval to the state Medicaid agency.
- f. **Disenrollment.** The state allows enrollees to make a request to disenroll from/transfer between managed care plan plans or enroll in FFS as described in STC 41(e). The determination must be made no later than the first day of the second month following the month in which the enrollee or a plan files the request with the state. If determination is not made within this time frame, the request is deemed approved.

40. Mandatory ESI Enrollment. For beneficiaries in the Adult Expansion Population who are required to enroll in a qualified ESI plan as specified in STC 18(i), access to and enrollment in a qualified ESI plan and the beneficiary’s premium amount will be verified at initial application, every three months, and at annual recertification.

41. Intensive Stabilization Services (ISS) Enrollment. The Stabilization and Mobile Response teams (clinician and care manager) will screen and request authorization/approval for ISS for Medicaid eligible children/youth who are experiencing significant emotional and/or behavioral challenges based on medical necessity, acuity, and need.

VII. COST SHARING

42. Cost Sharing. Cost sharing must comply with Medicaid requirements that are set forth in statute, regulation and policies, including exemptions from cost-sharing set forth in 42 CFR §447.56(a), and be reflected in the state plan. Standard Medicaid exemptions from cost- sharing set forth in 42 CFR §447.52(b) applies to the demonstration.

43. Demonstration Populations III and Current Eligible CHIP Children in ESI and Demonstration Populations V and VI in COBRA. Adults and children of families that choose premium assistance will have cost sharing requirements (including the out-of-pocket maximum) as set by their qualified plan. Children who choose to receive coverage through premium assistance will be charged cost sharing amounts set by their ESI or COBRA coverage and will not be limited to the Title XXI five percent out-of-pocket family income maximum. All other

cost sharing, including co-payments, and co-insurance, are set by the qualified plan and the responsibility of the participant.

- 44. Cost Sharing for Certain American Indian/Alaskan Native Eligibles.** American Indian/Alaskan Native beneficiaries enrolled in the demonstration are subject to cost sharing exemptions of section 5006 of the American Recovery Reinvestment Act of 2009 (and are not required to pay premiums or cost sharing for services received through the Indian health care system). American Indian/Alaskan Native beneficiaries who have received a service or referral from an Indian Health Care Provider are exempt from premiums/enrollment fees and cost sharing. Those who are eligible to receive services or a referral through an Indian Health Care Provider are also exempt from premiums and enrollment fees.
- 45. Enrollment Fee.** The state must not impose an enrollment fee on any demonstration populations.

VIII. DELIVERY SYSTEMS

- 46.** Utah Primary Care Network's MCOs, ACOs, and PMHPs must provide a comprehensive service delivery system that provides the full array of benefits and services offered under the program for which the relevant organization or plan has contracted to provide coverage. This includes the integration of a participant's physical health and behavioral health needs as further articulated by the delivery system requirements set forth below.
- 47. Compliance with Managed Care Regulations.** The state, its MCOs and any subcontractor delegated to perform activities under the managed care contract, must comply with the managed care regulations published in 42 CFR part 438, except as expressly waived or specified as not applicable to an expenditure authority.
- 48. Description of Managed Care Program.** Under terms of this demonstration, the state is authorized to provide managed medical assistance benefits through managed care delivery systems, consistent with regulations in 42 CFR part 438. The state may mandatorily enroll Current Eligibles, Targeted Adults, Adult Expansion Population to receive the health care benefits pursuant to Section VI of the STCs.
- 49. Managed Care Contracts.** In accordance with managed care regulations published at 42 CFR part 438, CMS requires that the state must submit MCO contracts to CMS for review and approval to ensure compliance with beneficiary informational requirements, quality outcome provisions, and other applicable federal requirements. The state must provide CMS with a minimum of 90 days to review and approve contracts and/or any changes to contracts. The state must submit any supporting documentation deemed necessary by CMS. CMS reserves the right, as a corrective action, to withhold FFP (either partial or full) for the demonstration, until the requirements of this STC are met or any identified deficiency in a contract is corrected.
- 50. ESI and COBRA Delivery Systems.** Demonstration Populations III through VI will receive

services through the delivery systems provided by their respective qualified plan for ESI or COBRA premium assistance.

51. Dental Services.

- a. Effective January 1, 2021, the state will deliver services through a fee-for-service (FFS) payment model and contract with entities to provide dental services to the blind and disabled population.
 - i. The state will enter into agreements with the single state agency to transfer an amount equal to the program's non-federal share of the cost of providing dental services to the population described in 51(a) above through an intergovernmental transfer (IGT) consistent with section 1903(w)(6)(A) of the Act. Only units of government are eligible to contribute the nonfederal share through an IGT and the IGT funds will be derived from state or local tax revenue. No payment under this demonstration may be dependent on any agreement or arrangement for providers or related entities to donate money or services to a governmental entity.
 - ii. The contracted entities must guarantee access statewide.
- b. The state will deliver services through a FFS payment model and contract with entities to provide dental services to the Targeted Adults who are receiving SUD treatment. The state must ensure that contracted entities:
 - i. Have demonstrated experience working with beneficiaries who are being treated for both a SUD and a major oral health disease;
 - ii. Operate a program, targeted at the individuals described in 53(b) above, that has demonstrated effectiveness in providing dental services to such individuals who are receiving SUD treatment, as reflected in a peer-reviewed evaluation or study; and
 - iii. Enter into agreements with the single state agency to transfer an amount equal to the program's non-federal share of the cost of providing dental services to the population described above through an IGT consistent with section 1903(w)(6)(A) of the Act. Only units of government are eligible to contribute the nonfederal share through an IGT and the IGT funds will be derived from state or local tax revenue. No payment under this demonstration may be dependent on any agreement or arrangement for providers or related entities to donate money or services to a governmental entity; and
 - iv. Can guarantee access to care statewide.
- c. Effective January 1, 2020, the state will deliver dental services to the aged population through a FFS payment model and by contracting with an entity that:
 - i. Operates a program for aged individuals that has demonstrated, through a peer-reviewed evaluation, the effectiveness of providing dental treatment to those individuals;
 - ii. Enters into agreements with the single state agency to transfer an amount equal to the program's non-federal share of the cost of providing dental services to the population described in 51(c) above through an intergovernmental transfer (IGT) consistent with section 1903(w)(6)(A) of the Act. Only units of government are

eligible to contribute the nonfederal share through an IGT and the IGT funds will be derived from state or local tax revenue. No payment under this demonstration may be dependent on any agreement or arrangement for providers or related entities to donate money or services to a governmental entity; and

- iii. Can guarantee access to care statewide.

52. Intensive Stabilization Services (ISS) Delivery System. As of November 25, 2019, ISS will be delivered during the first eight weeks of the intensive program on a FFS basis using a daily bundled rate. Please refer to Attachment H: Intensive Stabilization Services Program Claiming Methodology Protocol.

IX. FEDERAL MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PERCENTAGE (FMAP)

- 53.** The state will receive the enhanced Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) for the Adult Expansion Population, as well as the Targeted Adults, who are newly eligible within the meaning of section 1905(y)(2)(A) of the Act. As part of the standard 1115 demonstration process, Utah may request to amend the demonstration, including coverage for the Adult Expansion Population, if the enhanced FMAP for the newly eligible beneficiaries in this population changes. .
- 54.** For beneficiaries who are members of the Adult Expansion Population and Targeted Adults, the state will make an individual income-based determination for purposes of the enhanced FMAP methodology by comparing individual income to the relevant converted income eligibility standards in effect on December 1, 2009, and included in the MAGI Conversion Plan approved by CMS on December 20, 2019. In general, and subject to any adjustments described in this STC under the enhanced FMAP methodology, the expenditures of individuals with incomes below the relevant converted income standards for the applicable subgroup are considered as those for which the enhanced FMAP is not available. The relevant MAGI-converted standards for each population group in the Adult Expansion Population and Targeted Adults are described in Attachment K.
- 55. Claiming Methodology.** For purposes of claiming federal funding at the appropriate FMAP for the populations transitioned to the Adult Expansion Population, the determination of which beneficiaries qualify for enhanced FMAP methodology as a newly eligible adult will be determined pursuant to a claiming methodology deliverable that will be submitted to CMS 30 days after the December 23, 2019 approval of the amendment increasing the Adult Expansion Population income eligibility limit to 133 percent of the FPL. Once approved, the claiming methodology will become Attachment L.
- 56. Resource Proxy Adjustment.** The state has elected not to apply a resource proxy adjustment to a population group(s) that was subject to a resource test that was applicable on December 1, 2009.
- 57. Enrollment Cap Adjustment.** The state has elected to not apply an enrollment cap adjustment.
- 58. Special Circumstances and Other Adjustments to the Adult Group FMAP Methodology.** The state has elected to not apply a special circumstances adjustment.

59. Expansion State Designation. The state does not meet the definition of expansion state in 42 CFR 433.204(b) and therefore does not qualify for temporary 2.2 percentage point increase in FMAP under 42 CFR 433.10(c)(7).

60. Assurances. The state assures the following:

- a. The application of the enhanced FMAP claiming methodology will not affect the timing or approval of any individual’s eligibility for Medicaid.
- b. The application of the enhanced FMAP claiming methodology will not be biased in such a manner as to inappropriately establish the numbers of, or medical assistance expenditures for, individuals determined to be newly or not newly eligible.

X. SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER

61. Opioid Use Disorder (OUD)/Substance Use Disorder (SUD) Program. Effective upon CMS’ approval of the SUD Implementation Protocol, the demonstration benefit package for Medicaid recipients will include OUD/SUD treatment services, including services provided in residential and inpatient treatment settings that qualify as an Institution for Mental Disease (IMD), which are not otherwise matchable expenditures under section 1903 of the Act. The state will be eligible to receive FFP for Medicaid recipients residing in IMDs under the terms of this demonstration for coverage of medical assistance and OUD/SUD benefits that would otherwise be matchable if the beneficiary were not residing in an IMD once CMS approves the state’s Implementation Protocol. Under this demonstration, beneficiaries will have access to high quality, evidence-based OUD and other SUD treatment services ranging from acute withdrawal management to on-going chronic care for these conditions in cost-effective settings while also improving care coordination and care for comorbid physical and mental health conditions.

The coverage of OUD/SUD residential treatment and withdrawal management in IMDs will expand Utah’s current SUD benefit package available to all Medicaid recipients as outlined in Table 3. Room and board costs are not considered allowable costs for residential treatment service providers unless they qualify as inpatient facilities under section 1905(a) of the Act.

Table 3: Utah OUD/SUD Benefits Coverage with Expenditure Authority

SUD Benefit	Medicaid Authority	Expenditure Authority
Early Intervention (Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral to Treatment)	State plan (Individual services covered)	
Outpatient Therapy (Individual; Group; Family; Collateral)	State plan (Individual services covered)	
Intensive Outpatient Program	State plan (Individual services covered)	

Partial Hospitalization Treatment (including Day Treatment for children/youth under the age of 21)	State plan (Individual services covered)	
Residential Treatment	State plan (Individual services covered)	Services provided to individuals in IMDs
Withdrawal Management	State plan	Services provided to individuals in IMDs
Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT)	State plan	Services provided to individuals in IMDs
Peer Support (including Parent/Family Peer Support)	State plan	Services provided to individuals in IMDs
Crisis Intervention (including Mobile Crisis)	State plan (Individual services covered)	
Residential Crisis Stabilization	State plan (Individual services covered)	Services provided to individuals in IMDs

62. SUD Implementation Protocol. The state must submit an SUD Implementation Protocol within 90 calendar days after approval of this demonstration. The state may not claim FFP for services provided in IMDs until CMS has approved the Implementation Protocol. Once approved, the Implementation Protocol will be incorporated into the STCs, as Attachment C, and once incorporated, may be altered only with CMS approval. After approval of the Implementation Protocol, FFP will be available prospectively, not retrospectively. Failure to submit an Implementation Protocol or failure to obtain CMS approval will be considered a material failure to comply with the terms of the demonstration project as described in 42 CFR 431.420(d) and, as such, would be grounds for termination or suspension of the SUD program under this demonstration. Failure to progress in meeting the milestone goals agreed upon by the state and CMS will result in a funding deferral.

At a minimum, the SUD Implementation Protocol will describe the strategic approach and detailed project implementation plan, including timetables and programmatic content where applicable, for meeting the following milestones which reflect the key goals and objectives of the SUD component of this demonstration project:

- a. **Access to Critical Levels of Care for SUDs:** Service delivery for new benefits, including residential treatment, crisis stabilization and withdrawal management within 12-24 months of OUD/SUD program demonstration approval;
- b. **Use of Evidence-based SUD-specific Patient Placement Criteria:** Establishment of a requirement that providers assess treatment needs based on SUD-specific, multidimensional assessment tools, such as the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) Criteria or other comparable assessment and placement tools that reflect evidence-based clinical

treatment guidelines within 12-24 months of OUD/SUD program demonstration approval;

- c. **Patient Placement:** Establishment of a utilization management approach such that beneficiaries have access to SUD services at the appropriate level of care and that the interventions are appropriate for the diagnosis and level of care, including an independent process for reviewing placement in residential treatment settings within 12-24 months of demonstration approval;
- d. **Use of Nationally Recognized SUD-specific Program Standards to Set Provider Qualifications for Residential Treatment Facilities:** Currently, residential treatment service providers must be a licensed organization, pursuant to the residential service provider qualifications described in Utah Administrative Code. The state will establish residential treatment provider qualifications in licensure, policy or provider manuals, managed care contracts or credentialing, or other requirements or guidance that meet program standards in the ASAM Criteria or other comparable, nationally recognized, SUD-specific program standards regarding in particular the types of services, hours of clinical care, and credentials of staff for residential treatment settings within 12-24 months of demonstration approval;
- e. **Standards of Care:** Establishment of a provider review process to ensure that residential treatment providers deliver care consistent with the specifications in the ASAM Criteria or other comparable, nationally recognized SUD program standards based on evidence-based clinical treatment guidelines for types of services, hours of clinical care, and credentials of staff for residential treatment settings within 12-24 months of demonstration approval;
- f. **Standards of Care:** Establishment of a requirement that residential treatment providers offer MAT on-site or facilitate access to MAT off-site within 12-24 months of demonstration approval;
- g. **Sufficient Provider Capacity at Critical Levels of Care including MAT:** An assessment of the availability of providers in the key levels of care throughout the state, or in the regions of the state participating under this demonstration, including those that offer MAT within 12 months of SUD program demonstration approval;
- h. **Implementation of Comprehensive Treatment and Prevention Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD:** Implementation of opioid prescribing guidelines along with other interventions to prevent prescription drug abuse and expand access to naloxone;
- i. **SUD Health IT Plan:** Implementation of the milestones and metrics as detailed in this STC; and
- j. **Improved Care Coordination and Transitions:** Establishment and implementation of policies to ensure residential and inpatient facilities link beneficiaries with community-

based services and supports following stays in these facilities within 24 months of demonstration approval.

- 63. SUD Monitoring Protocol.** The state must submit a SUD Monitoring Protocol within 150 calendar days after approval of SUD program under this demonstration. The SUD Monitoring Protocol must be developed in cooperation with CMS and is subject to CMS approval. Once approved, the SUD Monitoring Protocol will be incorporated into the STCs, as Attachment D. At a minimum, the SUD Monitoring Protocol will include reporting relevant to each of the program implementation areas listed in STC 69. The protocol will also describe the data collection, reporting and analytic methodologies for performance measures identified by the state and CMS for inclusion. The SUD Monitoring Protocol will specify the methods of data collection and timeframes for reporting on the state's progress on required measures as part of the general reporting requirements described in Section XIV of the demonstration. In addition, for each performance measure, the SUD Monitoring Protocol will identify a baseline, a target to be achieved by the end of the demonstration and an annual goal for closing the gap between baseline and target expressed as percentage points. Where possible, baselines will be informed by state data, and targets will be benchmarked against performance in best practice settings. CMS will closely monitor demonstration spending on services in IMDs to ensure adherence to budget neutrality requirements.
- 64. Mid-Point Assessment.** The state must conduct an independent mid-point assessment between DYs 17 and 18 of the demonstration. The assessor must collaborate with key stakeholders, including representatives of MCOs, SUD treatment providers, beneficiaries, and other key partners in the design, planning and conducting of the mid-point assessment. The assessment will include an examination of progress toward meeting each milestone and timeframe approved in the SUD Implementation Protocol, and toward closing the gap between baseline and target each year in performance measures as approved in the SUD Monitoring Protocol. The assessment will also include a determination of factors that affected achievement on the milestones and performance measure gap closure percentage points to date, and a determination of selected factors likely to affect future performance in meeting milestones and targets not yet met and about the risk of possibly missing those milestones and performance targets. The mid-point assessment will also provide a status update of budget neutrality requirements. For each milestone or measure target at medium to high risk of not being met, the assessor will provide, for consideration by the state, recommendations for adjustments in the state's implementation plan or to pertinent factors that the state can influence that will support improvement. The assessor will provide a report to the state that includes the methodologies used for examining progress and assessing risk, the limitations of the methodologies, its determinations and any recommendations. A copy of the report will be provided to CMS. CMS will be briefed on the report.

For milestones and measure targets at medium to high risk of not being achieved, the state will submit to CMS modifications to the SUD Implementation Plan and SUD Monitoring Protocols for ameliorating these risks subject to CMS approval.

65. Deferral for Insufficient Progress Toward Milestones and Failure to Report Measurement

Data. If the state does not demonstrate sufficient progress on milestones in the SUD Implementation Protocol, as determined by CMS, or fails to report data as approved in the SUD Monitoring Protocol, CMS will defer funds in the amounts specified in STC 90 for each incident of insufficient progress and failure to report in each reporting quarter.

- 66. SUD Evaluation.** The SUD Evaluation will be subject to the same terms as the overall demonstration evaluation, as listed in Sections XIV (General Reporting Requirements) and XVIII (Evaluation of the Demonstration) of the STCs.
- 67. SUD Evaluation Design.** The state must submit, for CMS comment and approval, a draft Evaluation Design with implementation timeline, no later than one hundred twenty (120) days after the effective date of these STCs. Failure to submit an acceptable and timely evaluation design along with any required monitoring, expenditure, or other evaluation reporting will subject the state to a \$5 million deferral. The state must use an independent evaluator to design the evaluation.
- a. **Evaluation Design Approval and Updates.** The state must submit a revised draft Evaluation Design within sixty (60) days after receipt of CMS’ comments. Upon CMS approval of the draft Evaluation Design, the document will be included as an attachment to these STCs. Per 42 CFR 431.424(c), the state will publish the approved Evaluation Design within thirty (30) days of CMS approval. The state must implement the evaluation design and submit a description of its evaluation implementation progress in each of the Quarterly Reports and Annual Reports, including any required Rapid Cycle Assessments specified in these STCs.
 - b. **Evaluation Questions and Hypotheses.** The state must follow the general evaluation questions and hypotheses requirements as specified in STC 140. In addition, hypotheses for the SUD program should include an assessment of the objectives of the SUD component of this section 1115 demonstration, to include (but is not limited to): initiation and compliance with treatment, utilization of health services (emergency department and inpatient hospital settings), and a reduction in key outcomes such as deaths due to overdose.
- 68. SUD Health Information Technology (Health IT).** The state will provide CMS with an assurance that it has a sufficient health IT infrastructure/”ecosystem” at every appropriate level (i.e. state, delivery system, health plan/MCO and individual provider) to achieve the goals of the demonstration—or it will submit to CMS a plan to develop the infrastructure/capabilities. This “SUD Health IT Plan,” or assurance, will be included as a section of the state’s Implementation Protocol (see STC 69) to be approved by CMS. The SUD Health IT Plan will detail the necessary health IT capabilities in place to support beneficiary health outcomes to address the SUD goals of the demonstration. The plan will also be used to identify areas of SUD health IT ecosystem improvement.
- a. The SUD Health IT section of the Implementation Protocol will include implementation milestones and dates for achieving them (see Attachment D).

- b. The SUD Health IT Plan must be aligned with the state’s broader State Medicaid Health IT Plan (SMHP) and, if applicable, the state’s Behavioral Health (BH) “Health IT” Plan.
- c. The SUD Health IT Plan will describe the state’s goals, each DY, to enhance the state’s prescription drug monitoring program’s (PDMP).¹
- d. The SUD Health IT Plan will address how the state’s PDMP will enhance ease of use for prescribers and other state and federal stakeholders.² This will also include plans to include PDMP interoperability with a statewide, regional or local Health Information Exchange. Additionally, the SUD Health IT Plan will describe ways in which the state will support clinicians in consulting the PDMP prior to prescribing a controlled substance—and reviewing the patients’ history of controlled substance prescriptions— prior to the issuance of a Controlled Substance Schedule II (CSII) opioid prescription.
- e. The SUD Health IT Plan will, as applicable, describe the state’s capabilities to leverage a master patient index (or master data management service, etc.) in support of SUD care delivery. Additionally, the SUD Health IT Plan must describe current and future capabilities regarding PDMP queries—and the state’s ability to properly match patients receiving opioid prescriptions with patients in the PDMP. The state will also indicate current efforts or plans to develop and/or utilize current patient index capability that supports the programmatic objectives of the demonstration.
- f. The SUD Health IT Plan will describe how the activities described in (a) through (e) above will: (a) support broader state and federal efforts to diminish the likelihood of long-term opioid use directly correlated to clinician prescribing patterns³ and (b) ensure that Medicaid does not inappropriately pay for opioids—and that states implement effective controls to minimize the risk.
- g. In developing the Health IT Plan, states shall use the following resources.
 - i. States may use resources at Health IT.Gov (<https://www.healthit.gov/playbook/opioid-epidemic-and-health-it/>) in “Section 4: Opioid Epidemic and Health IT.”
 - ii. States may also use the CMS 1115 Health IT resources available on “Medicaid Program Alignment with State Systems to Advance HIT, HIE and Interoperability” at <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/data-and- systems/hie/index.html>. States

¹ Prescription drug monitoring programs (PDMP) are electronic databases that track controlled substance prescriptions in states. PDMPs can provide health authorities timely information about prescribing and patient behaviors that contribute to the “opioid” epidemic and facilitate a nimble and targeted response.

² *Ibid.*

³ Shah, Anuj, Corey Hayes and Bradley Martin. *Characteristics of Initial Prescription Episodes and Likelihood of Long-Term Opioid Use — United States, 2006–2015*. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2017;66.

should review the “1115 Health IT Toolkit” for health IT considerations in conducting an assessment and developing their Health IT Plans.

- iii. States may request from CMS technical assistance to conduct an assessment and develop plans to ensure they have the specific health IT infrastructure with regards to PDMP plans and, more generally, to meet the goals of the demonstration.
- h. The state will include in its Monitoring Protocol (see STC 70) an approach to monitoring its SUD Health IT Plan which will include performance metrics provided by CMS or State defined metrics to be approved in advance by CMS.
- i. The state will monitor progress, each DY, on the implementation of its SUD Health IT Plan in relationship to its milestones and timelines—and report on its progress to CMS in in an addendum to its Annual Reports (see STC 103).
- j. The state shall advance the standards identified in the ‘Interoperability Standards Advisory—Best Available Standards and Implementation Specifications’ (ISA) in developing and implementing the state’s SUD Health IT policies and in all related applicable State procurements (e.g., including managed care contracts) that are associated with this demonstration.
 - i. Wherever it is appropriate, the state must require that contractors providing services paid for by funds authorized under this demonstration shall adopt the standards, referenced in 45 CFR Part 170.
 - ii. Wherever services paid for by funds authorized by this demonstration are not addressed by 45 CFR Part 170 but are addressed by the ISA, the state should require that contractors providing such services adopt the appropriate ISA standards.

69. SUD Interim Evaluation Report. The state must submit an Interim Evaluation Report for the completed years of the demonstration, and for each subsequent renewal or extension of the demonstration, as outlined in 42 CFR 431.412(c)(2)(vi). When submitting an application for renewal, the Evaluation Report should be posted to the state’s website with the application for public comment.

- a. The interim evaluation report will discuss evaluation progress and present findings to date as per the approved evaluation design.
- b. For demonstration authority that expires prior to the overall demonstration’s expiration date, the Interim Evaluation Report must include an evaluation of the authority as approved by CMS.
- c. If the state is seeking to renew or extend the demonstration, the draft Interim Evaluation

Report is due when the application for renewal is submitted. If the state made changes to the demonstration in its application for renewal, the research questions and hypotheses, and how the design will be adapted should be included. If the state is not requesting a renewal for a demonstration, an Interim Evaluation report is due one (1) year prior to the end of the demonstration. For demonstration phase outs prior to the expiration of the approval period, the draft Interim Evaluation Report is due to CMS on the date that will be specified in the notice of termination or suspension.

- d. The state must submit the final Interim Evaluation Report 60 days after receiving CMS comments on the draft Interim Evaluation Report and post the document to the state's website.
- e. The Interim Evaluation Report must comply with Attachment B of these STCs.

70. SUD Summative Evaluation Report. The draft Summative Evaluation Report must be developed in accordance with Attachment B of these STCs. The state must submit a draft Summative Evaluation Report for the demonstration's current approval period within 18 months of the end of the approval period represented by these STCs. The Summative Evaluation Report must include the information in the approved Evaluation Design.

- a. Unless otherwise agreed upon in writing by CMS, the state shall submit the final Summative Evaluation Report within 60 days of receiving comments from CMS on the draft.
- b. The final Summative Evaluation Report must be posted to the state's Medicaid website within 30 days of approval by CMS.

XI. INTENSIVE STABILIZATION SERVICES (ISS) PROGRAM

71. Overview. This program provides ISS to Medicaid eligible children and youth under age 21 in state custody or those at risk of being placed in state custody who are experiencing significant emotional and/or behavioral challenges. It is intended to support Utah's System of Care, which is a customized service approach to keep families safely together while effectively helping children with emotional and/or behavioral health needs thrive in their homes, schools, and communities.

72. Operations. The program is administered through the Utah Department of Human Services (DHS). The state is contracting with five Regional Administrators throughout the state to serve 29 counties. The Regional Administrators are responsible to subcontract with Stabilization and Mobile Response teams who will screen the Medicaid children/youth based on medical necessity, acuity, and need to authorize ISS using this daily bundled rate. The ISS contracted providers are all Medicaid enrolled providers.

73. Eligibility. Medicaid eligible children/youth under age 21, whose eligibility is derived from the

state plan, and are experiencing significant emotional and/or behavioral challenges while in state custody or are at risk of being placed in state custody.

- a. **Target Group.** The ISS program is available to Medicaid enrolled child/youth under age 21, who meet the following needs-based criteria that would otherwise be allowable under a 1915(i) state plan amendment (SPA).
- b. **Needs-Based Criteria.** The Medicaid enrolled child/youth is assessed using the ISS Utah Family and Children Engagement Tool (UFACET) evaluation. The Medicaid enrolled child/youth must have a rating of at least “2” or higher indicating the need for assistance with at least one of the following significant emotional and/or behavioral challenges that impair the child’s ability to focus and control impulsive behaviors that affect their ability to control or regulate emotions to the point where it interferes with their daily lives and relationships and negatively affects performance at school, work and/or home: short attention span, impulsiveness, aggression, self-injurious behaviors, risk of harm to others, fighting withdrawal, excessive fear or anxiety, hostility, irritability uncooperative, oppositional, and non-compliant with rules or authority figures.

And the child/youth must also meet at least one of the following risk factors:

- i. A history of receiving services, or at risk of receiving services, from one or more DHS agencies (child welfare, juvenile justice, service for people with disabilities, mental health or substance abuse, and/or the courts). At risk of receiving services may include one or more of the following:
 - (1) The child has juvenile court charges;
 - (2) The child has been on probation previously;
 - (3) The child/family has an open child protection investigation;
 - (4) The child is in the process of eligibility determination for disability services;
 - (5) The child has received inpatient psychiatric services and/or has been referred to the Pediatric program at the Utah State Hospital; or
 - (6) The child has a mental health condition or substance abuse history.
- ii. At risk of being placed into the custody of a state agency, which includes one of the following:
 - (1) The child is on probation or has sufficient juvenile court charges that the judge is considering placement with the Department for community placement or secure care;
 - (2) The child/family has an open in-home services case with the Division of Child and Family Services based on a finding of dependency, or a child protection investigation, and placement of the child(ren) in protective custody is being recommended;
 - (3) The child has been in custody previously under similar circumstances;
 - (4) The child is in the process of eligibility determination for disability services and the family is struggling to provide care for them;

- (5) The child has a serious mental health condition or substance use history and the family is struggling to continue care for them;
 - (6) The child has experienced significant disorders post adoption; or
 - (7) The child has experienced multiple failed private placements.
- iii. At risk of reverting back to a higher level of care due to behavioral or emotional concerns;
 - iv. Has been involved in the Juvenile Competency process;
 - v. Has been frequently utilizing hospital emergency services to manage behavioral, developmental, and/or mental health challenges; or
 - vi. Has been referred to the DHS High Level Staffing Committee.

74. Benefits. This program provides both state plan behavioral health services and home and community based services (HCBS) that are not currently authorized through the state plan. The state plan services included in the daily bundled rate are outlined in Table 2c and the service benefits, limitations, and provider qualifications are specified in the state plan. The HCBS provided include:

a. **Service name:** Respite

- i. **Service Description:** Services provided to Medicaid children/youth on a short-term basis due to the absence of, or need for relief for the persons who normally provide care for the Medicaid child/youth. Respite may be delivered in multiple periods of duration such as partial hour, hourly, daily without overnight, or daily with overnight. Respite may be provided in the Demonstration participant’s home, a DHS licensed group home, or another community-based setting approved by DHS.
- ii. **Service Limits:** Room and board costs will not be paid when services are provided in the Demonstration participant’s home or place of residence. The service will be approved if it complies with DHS respite policies.
- iii. **Provider Specifications:** Providers must meet qualifications as specified by DHS and must be a Medicaid enrolled provider.

b. **Service name:** Non-Medical Transportation

- i. **Service Description:** This transportation service will be provided to Medicaid children/youth that are determined by the Care Manager to be in need of short-term transportation to and/or from a non-medical activity that is an integral part of the youth’s individualized service plan where there are no other feasible transportation options. Coverage of transportation for the primary caregiver is provided when the primary care giver is accompanying the child. These nonmedical services could include, but are not limited to, recreational activities, youth training sessions, transitioning youth services, after-school programs not associated with a youth’s Individual Education Plan (IEP), and parent support services that include the child.
- ii. **Service Limits:** This service must be a part of a comprehensive individualized service

plan developed by a Care Manager and requires prior authorization. The youth must be currently authorized and receiving care management services. Frequency and duration of service must be supported by a needs assessment and included in the participant's individualized service plan. This service must be provided in a community setting and is not to be used in a residential or hospital setting.

- iii. **Provider Specifications:** Providers and their staff must meet minimum levels of education, experience, and training as delineated by DHS and the provider and staff must be enrolled as a Utah Medicaid provider.

75. Delivery System. As of November 25, 2019, the intensive stabilization services (ISS) will be delivered during the first eight weeks of the intensive program on a FFS basis using a daily bundled rate. Please refer to Attachment H: Intensive Stabilization Services Program Claiming Methodology Protocol.

76. Additional Delivery System Requirements: HCBS Services Not Authorized through the State Plan. The following additional delivery system requirements apply to all the HCBS services in this demonstration.

- a. **Demonstration Participant Protections.** The state will assure that children and youth are afforded linkages to protective services (e.g., Ombudsman services, Protection and Advocacy, Division of Child Protection and Permanency) through all service entities. The state will also develop and implement a process for community-based providers to conduct efficient, effective, and economical background checks on all prospective employees/providers with direct physical access to enrollees.
- b. **Fair Hearings.** All enrollees must have access to the state fair hearing process as required by 42 CFR 431 Subpart E.
- c. **Conflict of Interest:** The state agrees that the entity that authorizes the services is external to the agency or agencies that provide the HCB services. The state also agrees that appropriate separation of assessment, treatment planning and service provision functions are incorporated into the state's conflict of interest policies.
- d. **Approved Quality Improvement Strategy:** The state is required to work with CMS to develop approvable performance measures within 90 days following approval of the 1115 for the following waiver assurances (i through vi below):
 - i. **Administrative Authority:** A performance measure should be developed and tracked for any authority that the State Medicaid Agency (SMA) delegates to another agency, unless already captured in another performance measure.

- ii. **Eligibility based on 1115 Requirements:** A performance measure should be developed that tracks eligibility for the Intensive Stabilization Services (ISS) Program that meets the 1115 requirements.
 - iii. **Qualified Providers:** The state must have performance measures that track that providers meet licensure/certification standards and that non-certified providers are monitored to meet state requirements.
 - iv. **Service Plan:** The state must demonstrate it has designed and implemented an effective system for reviewing the adequacy of service plans for the Medicaid children/youth receiving ISS. Performance measures are required to demonstrate service plans address all assessed needs and personal goals, that services are delivered in accordance with the service plan including type, scope, amount, duration, and frequency specified in the service plan, and for choice of non-state plan HCBS services.
 - v. **Health and Welfare:** The state must demonstrate it has designed and implemented an effective system for assuring HCBS participants health and welfare. The state must have performance measures that track that on an ongoing basis it identifies, addresses and seeks to prevent instances of abuse, neglect, exploitation and unexplained death; that an incident management system is in place that effectively resolves incidents and prevents further singular incidents to the extent possible; that state policies and procedures for the use or prohibition of restrictive interventions are followed; and, that the state establishes overall health care standards and monitors those standards based on the responsibility of the service provider.
 - vi. **Financial Accountability:** The state must demonstrate that it has designed and implemented an adequate system for insuring financial accountability of HCBS. The state must have performance measures that track that it provides evidence that claims are coded and paid for in accordance for services rendered.
- e. The state will submit a report to CMS which includes evidence on the status of the HCBS quality assurances and measures that adheres to the requirements outlined in the March 12, 2014, CMS Informational Bulletin, Modifications to Quality Measures and Reporting in §1915(c) Home and Community-Based Waivers. This information could be captured in the section 1115 Summative Evaluation Report detailed in STC 142.
 - f. The state must report annually the deficiencies found during the monitoring and evaluation of the HCBS waiver assurances, an explanation of how these deficiencies have been or are being corrected, as well as the steps that have been taken to ensure that these deficiencies do not reoccur. The state must also report on the number of substantiated instances of abuse, neglect, exploitation and/or death, the actions taken regarding the incidents and how they were resolved. Submission is due no later than 6 months following the end of the demonstration year. This information could be included in the annual reports submitted for section 1115 waivers detailed in STC 103.

XII. SERIOUS MENTAL ILLNESS PROGRAM AND BENEFITS

77. SMI Program Benefits. Upon CMS' approval of the SMI Implementation Plan, beneficiaries will have access to, the full range of otherwise covered Medicaid services, including SMI treatment services. SMI services will range in intensity from short-term acute care in inpatient settings for SMI, to ongoing chronic care for such conditions in cost-effective community-based settings. The state will work to improve care coordination and care for co-occurring physical and behavioral health conditions. The state must achieve a statewide average length of stay of no more than 30 days in IMD treatment settings for beneficiaries receiving coverage through this demonstration's SMI Program, to be monitored pursuant to the SMI Monitoring Plan as outlined in STCs 89 – 90 below.

78. SMI Implementation Plan.

- a. The state must submit the SMI Implementation Plan within 90 calendar days after approval of the demonstration for CMS review and comment. If applicable, the state must submit a revised SMI Implementation Plan within sixty (60) calendar days after receipt of CMS's comments. The state may not claim FFP for services provided to beneficiaries residing in IMDs primarily to receive treatment for SMI under expenditure authority #14 until CMS has approved the SMI implementation plan and the SMI financing plan described in STC 88. After approval of the required implementation plan and financing plan, FFP will be available prospectively, but not retrospectively.

Once approved, the SMI Implementation Plan will be incorporated into the STCs and Attachment N, and once incorporated, may be altered only with CMS approval. Failure to submit an SMI Implementation Plan within 90 calendar days after approval of the demonstration, will be considered a material failure to comply with the terms of the demonstration project as described in 42 CFR 431.420(d) and, as such, would be grounds for termination or suspension of the SMI program under this demonstration. Failure to progress in meeting the milestone goals agreed upon by the state and CMS will result in a funding deferral as described in STC 94.

- b. At a minimum, the SMI Implementation Plan must describe the strategic approach, including timetables and programmatic content where applicable, for meeting the following milestones which reflect the key goals and objectives for the program:
 - i. **Ensuring Quality of Care in Psychiatric Hospitals and Residential Settings.**
 - A. Hospitals that meet the definition of an IMD in which beneficiaries receiving demonstration services under the SMI program are residing must be licensed or approved as meeting standards for licensing established by the agency of the state or locality responsible for licensing hospitals prior to the state claiming FFP for services provided to beneficiaries residing in a hospital that meets the definition of an IMD. In addition, hospitals must be in compliance with the conditions of participation set forth in 42 CFR Part 482 and either: a) be certified by the state agency as being in compliance with those conditions through a state agency survey, or b) have deemed status to participate in

Medicare as a hospital through accreditation by a national accrediting organization whose psychiatric hospital accreditation program or acute hospital accreditation program has been approved by CMS.

- B. Residential treatment providers that meet the definition of an IMD in which beneficiaries receiving demonstration services under the SMI program are residing must be licensed, or otherwise authorized, by the state to primarily provide treatment for mental illnesses. They must also be accredited by a nationally recognized accreditation entity prior to the state claiming FFP for services provided to beneficiaries residing in a residential facility that meets the definition of an IMD.
- C. Establishment of an oversight and auditing process that includes unannounced visits for ensuring participating psychiatric hospitals and residential treatment settings meet state licensure or certification requirements as well as a national accrediting entity's accreditation requirements;
- D. Use of a utilization review entity (for example, a managed care organization or administrative service organization) to ensure beneficiaries have access to the appropriate levels and types of care and to provide oversight to ensure lengths of stay are limited to what is medically necessary and only those who have a clinical need to receive treatment in psychiatric hospitals and residential treatment settings are receiving treatment in those facilities;
- E. Establishment of a process for ensuring that participating psychiatric hospitals and residential treatment settings meet applicable federal program integrity requirements, and establishment of a state process to conduct risk-based screening of all newly enrolling providers, as well as revalidation of existing providers (specifically, under existing regulations, the state must screen all newly enrolling providers and reevaluate existing providers pursuant to the rules in 42 CFR Part 455 Subparts B and E, ensure providers have entered into Medicaid provider agreements pursuant to 42 CFR 431.107, and establish rigorous program integrity protocols to safeguard against fraudulent billing and other compliance issues);
- F. Implementation of a state requirement that participating psychiatric hospitals and residential treatment settings screen beneficiaries for co-morbid physical health conditions and SUDs and demonstrate the capacity to address co-morbid physical health conditions during short-term stays in residential or inpatient treatment settings (e.g., with on-site staff, telemedicine, and/or partnerships with local physical health providers).

ii. Improving Care Coordination and Transitions to Community-Based Care.

- A. Implementation of a process to ensure that psychiatric hospitals and residential treatment facilities provide intensive pre-discharge, care coordination services to help beneficiaries transition out of those settings into appropriate community-based outpatient services, including requirements that facilitate participation of community-based providers in transition efforts (e.g., by allowing beneficiaries to receive initial services from a community-based provider while the beneficiary is still residing in these settings and/or by engaging peer support specialists to help beneficiaries make connections with available community-based providers and, where applicable, make plans for employment);

- B. Implementation of a process to assess the housing situation of a beneficiary transitioning to the community from psychiatric hospitals and residential treatment settings and to connect beneficiaries who may experience homelessness upon discharge or who would be discharged to unsuitable or unstable housing with community providers that coordinate housing services, where available;
- C. Implementation of a requirement that psychiatric hospitals and residential treatment settings have protocols in place to ensure contact is made by the treatment setting with each discharged beneficiary within 72 hours of discharge and to help ensure follow-up care is accessed by individuals after leaving those facilities by contacting the individuals directly and, as appropriate, by contacting the community-based provider they were referred to;
- D. Implementation of strategies to prevent or decrease the length of stay in emergency departments among beneficiaries with SMI or SED (e.g., through the use of peer support specialists and psychiatric consultants in EDs to help with discharge and referral to treatment providers);
- E. Implementation of strategies to develop and enhance interoperability and data sharing between physical, SUD, and mental health providers, with the goal of enhancing coordination so that disparate providers may better share clinical information to improve health outcomes for beneficiaries with SMI or SED.

iii. Increasing Access to Continuum of Care Including Crisis Stabilization Services.

- A. Establishment of a process to annually assess the availability of mental health services throughout the state, particularly crisis stabilization services, and updates on steps taken to increase availability;
- B. Commitment to implementation of the SMI/SED financing plan described in STC 88(d);
- C. Implementation of strategies to improve the state’s capacity to track the availability of inpatient and crisis stabilization beds to help connect individuals in need with that level of care as soon as possible;
- D. Implementation of a requirement that providers, plans, and utilization review entities use an evidence-based, publicly available patient assessment tool, preferably endorsed by a mental health provider association (e.g., LOCUS or CASII) to determine appropriate level of care and length of stay.

iv. Earlier Identification and Engagement in Treatment and Increased Integration

- A. Implementation of strategies for identifying and engaging individuals, particularly adolescents and young adults, with SMI/SED in treatment sooner, including through supported employment and supported education programs;
- B. Increasing integration of behavioral health care in non-specialty care settings, including schools and primary care practices, to improve identification of SMI/SED conditions sooner and improve awareness of and linkages to specialty treatment providers;
- C. Establishment of specialized settings and services, including crisis stabilization services, focused on the needs of young people experiencing SMI or SED.

- c. **SMI Health Information Technology (Health IT) Plan.** The Health IT plan is intended to apply only to those State Health IT functionalities impacting beneficiaries within this demonstration and providers directly funded by this demonstration. The state will provide CMS with an assurance that it has a sufficient health IT infrastructure/ "ecosystem" at every appropriate level (i.e. state, delivery system, health plan/MCO and individual provider) to achieve the goals of the demonstration. If the state is unable to provide such an assurance, it will submit to CMS a Health IT Plan, to be included as a section of the applicable Implementation Plan (see STC 88(c) to develop the infrastructure/capabilities of the state's health IT infrastructure.

The Health IT Plan will detail the necessary health IT capabilities in place to support beneficiary health outcomes to address the SMI/SED goals of the demonstration. The plan(s) will also be used to identify areas of health IT ecosystem improvement. The Plan must include implementation milestones and projected dates for achieving them (see Attachment [N]), and must be aligned with the state's broader State Medicaid Health IT Plan (SMHP) and, if applicable, the state's Behavioral Health (BH) IT Health Plan.

The state will include in its Monitoring Plans (see STC 89) an approach to monitoring its SMI Health IT Plan which will include performance metrics to be approved in advance by CMS.

The state will monitor progress, each DY, on the implementation of its SMI/SED Health IT Plan in relationship to its milestones and timelines—and report on its progress to CMS in an addendum to its Annual Report (see STC 103).

As applicable, the state should advance the standards identified in the 'Interoperability Standards Advisory – Available Standards and Implementation Specifications' (ISA) in developing and implementing the state's SMI/SED Health IT policies and in all related applicable state procurements (e.g. including managed care contracts) that are associated with this demonstration.

Where there are opportunities at the state- and provider-level (up to and including usage in MCO or ACO participation agreements) to leverage federal funds associated with a standard referenced in 45 CFR 170 Subpart B, the state should use the federally-recognized standards, barring another compelling state interest.

Where there are opportunities at the state- and provider-level to leverage federal funds associated with a standard not already referenced in 45 CFR 170 but included in the ISA, the state should use the federally-recognized ISA standards, barring no other compelling state interest. Components of the Health IT Plan include:

- i. The Health IT Plan will, as applicable, describe the state's capabilities to leverage a master patient index (or master data management service, etc.) in support of SED/SMI care delivery. The state will also indicate current efforts or plans to develop and/or utilize current patient index capability that supports the programmatic objectives of the demonstration.

- ii. The Health IT Plan will describe the state’s current and future capabilities to support providers implementing or expanding Health IT functionality in the following areas: 1) Referrals, 2) Electronic care plans and medical records, 3) Consent, 4) Interoperability, 5) Telehealth, 6) Alerting/analytics, and 7) Identity management.

In developing the Health IT Plan, states should use the following resources:

1. States may use federal resources available on Health IT.Gov (<https://www.healthit.gov/topic/behavioral-health>) including but not limited to “Behavioral Health and Physical Health Integration” and “*Section 34: Opioid Epidemic and Health IT*” (<https://www.healthit.gov/playbook/health-information-exchange/>).
2. States may also use the CMS 1115 Health IT resources available on “Medicaid Program Alignment with State Systems to Advance HIT, HIE and Interoperability” at <https://www.medicare.gov/medicaid/data-and-systems/hie/index.html>. States should review the “1115 Health IT Toolkit” for health IT considerations in conducting an assessment and developing their Health IT Plans.
3. States may request from CMS technical assistance to conduct an assessment and develop plans to ensure they have the specific health IT infrastructure with regards to electronic care plan sharing, care coordination, and behavioral health-physical health integration, to meet the goals of the demonstration.

- d. **SMI Financing Plan.** As part of the SMI implementation plan referred to in STC 88, the state must submit, within 90 calendar days after approval of the demonstration, a financing plan for approval by CMS. Once approved, the Financing Plan will be incorporated into the STCs as part of the implementation plan in Attachment O and, once incorporated, may only be altered with CMS approval. Failure to submit an SMI Financing Plan within 90 days of approval of the demonstration will be considered a material failure to comply with the terms of the demonstration project as described in 42 CFR 431.420(d) and, as such, would be grounds for termination or suspension of the SMI program under this demonstration. Components of the financing plan must include:

- i. A plan to increase the availability of non-hospital, non-residential crisis stabilization services, including but not limited to the following: services made available through crisis call centers, mobile crisis units, coordinated community response services that includes law enforcement and other first responders, and observation/assessment centers; and
- ii. A plan to increase availability of ongoing community-based services such as intensive outpatient services, assertive community treatment, and services delivered in integrated care settings;
- iii. A plan to ensure the on-going maintenance of effort (MOE) on funding outpatient community-based services to ensure that resources are not disproportionately drawn into increasing access to treatment in inpatient and residential settings at the expense of community-based services.

- 79. SMI Monitoring Protocol(s).** The state must submit a Monitoring Protocol for the SMI program authorized by this demonstration within 150 calendar days after approval of the implementation plan. The Monitoring Protocol Template must be developed in cooperation with CMS and is subject to CMS approval. The state must submit a revised Monitoring Protocol within sixty (60) calendar days after receipt of CMS' comments, if any. Once approved, the SMI Monitoring Protocol will be incorporated into the STCs, as Attachment O. Progress on the performance measures identified in the Monitoring Protocol must be reported via the quarterly and annual monitoring reports (as required by STC 103). Components of the Monitoring Protocol must include:
- a. An assurance of the state's commitment and ability to report information relevant to each of the program implementation areas listed in STC 88), information relevant to the state's SMI financing plan described in Attachment C, and information relevant to the state's Health IT plans described in STC 88(c);
 - b. A description of the methods of data collection and timeframes for reporting on the state's progress on required measures as part of the general reporting requirements described in Section VIII of the demonstration; and
 - c. A description of baselines and targets to be achieved by the end of the demonstration. Where possible, baselines will be informed by state data, and targets will be benchmarked against performance in best practice settings.
- 80. Monitoring, Reporting, and Evaluation.** The SMI Evaluation will be subject to the same requirements as the overall demonstration evaluation, as described in Sections XIV (General Reporting Requirements) and XVIII (Evaluation of the Demonstration) of these STCs. The state will follow CMS guidelines to ensure the evaluation design is amended to provide a rigorous evaluation of the SMI component of the demonstration.
- 81. Availability of FFP for the SMI Services Under Expenditure Authority #11.** FFP is only available for services provided to beneficiaries during short term stays for acute care in IMDs. The state may claim FFP for services furnished to beneficiaries during IMD stays of up to 60 days, as long as the state shows at its midpoint assessment that it is meeting the requirement of a 30 day or less average length of stay (ALOS). Demonstration services furnished to beneficiaries whose stays in IMDs exceed 60 days are not eligible for FFP under this demonstration. If the state cannot show that it is meeting the 30 day or less ALOS requirement within one standard deviation at the mid-point assessment, the state may only claim FFP for stays up to 45 days until such time that the state can demonstrate that it is meeting the 30 day or less ALOS requirement. The state will ensure that medically necessary services are provided to beneficiaries that have stays in excess of 60 days—or 45 days, as relevant.
- 82. SMI Mid-Point Assessment.** The state must conduct an independent mid-point assessment by September 30, 2023, whether or not the demonstration is renewed. If the demonstration is not renewed or is renewed for a term that ends on or before September 30, 2023, then this mid-point assessment must address the entire term for which the SMI Program under the demonstration was authorized. In the design, planning and conduct of the mid-point assessment, the state must require

that the independent assessor consult with key stakeholders including, but not limited to: representatives of MCOs, SMI providers, and beneficiaries.

The state must require that the assessor provide a report to the state that includes the methodologies used for examining progress and assessing risk, the limitations of the methodologies, its determinations and any recommendations. The state must provide a copy of the report to CMS no later than 60 days after September 30, 2023. The state must brief CMS on the report.

For milestones and measure targets identified by the independent assessor as at medium- to high-risk of not being achieved, the state must submit to CMS proposed modifications to the SMI Implementation Plan, the SMI Financing Plan, and the SMI Monitoring Protocol, as appropriate, for mitigating these risks. Modifications to the applicable Implementation Plan, Financing Plan, and/or Monitoring Protocol are subject to CMS approval.

Elements of the mid-point assessment must include, at a minimum:

- a. An examination of progress toward meeting each milestone and timeframe approved in the SMI Implementation Plan, the SMI Financing Plan, and toward meeting the targets for performance measures as approved in the SMI Monitoring Protocol;
- b. A determination of factors that affected achievement on the milestones and performance measure gap closure percentage points to date;
- c. A determination of factors likely to affect future performance in meeting milestones and targets not yet met and information about the risk of possibly missing those milestones and performance targets;
- d. For milestones or targets identified by the independent assessor as at medium- to high-risk of not being met, recommendations for adjustments in the state's SMI Implementation Plan and/or SMI Financing Plan or to other pertinent factors that the state can influence that will support improvement; and
- e. An assessment of whether the state is on track to meet the budget neutrality requirements in these STCs.

83. Unallowable Expenditures Under the SMI Expenditure Authority. In addition to the other unallowable costs and caveats already outlined in these STCs, the state may not receive FFP under any expenditure authority approved under this demonstration for any of the following:

- a. Room and board costs for residential treatment service providers unless they qualify as inpatient facilities under section 1905(a) of the Act.
- b. Costs for services furnished to beneficiaries who are residents in a nursing facility as defined in section 1919 of the Act that qualifies as an IMD.
- c. Costs for services furnished to beneficiaries who are involuntarily residing in a psychiatric hospital or residential treatment facility by operation of criminal law.
- d. Costs for services provided to beneficiaries under age 21 residing in an IMD unless the IMD meets the requirements for the "inpatient psychiatric services for individuals under age 21" benefit under 42 CFR 440.160, 441 Subpart D, and 483 Subpart G.

XIII. GENERAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

84. Deferral for Failure to Submit Timely Demonstration Deliverables. CMS may issue deferrals in accordance with 42 CFR part 430 subpart C, in the amount of \$5,000,000 (\$5M) per deliverable (federal share) when items required by these STCs (e.g., required data elements, analyses, reports, design documents, presentations, and other items specified in these STCs (hereafter singly or collectively referred to as “deliverable(s)”) are not submitted timely to CMS or found to not be consistent with the requirements approved by CMS. A deferral shall not exceed the value of the federal amount for the demonstration. The state does not relinquish its rights provided under 42 CFR part 430 subpart C to challenge any CMS finding that the state materially failed to comply with the terms of this agreement. The following process will be used: 1) Thirty (30) days after the deliverable was due if the state has not submitted a written request to CMS for approval of an extension as described in subsection (b) below; or 2) Thirty days after CMS has notified the state in writing that the deliverable was not accepted for being inconsistent with the requirements of this agreement and the information needed to bring the deliverable into alignment with CMS requirements:

- a. CMS will issue a written notification to the state providing advance notification of a pending deferral for late or non-compliant submissions of required deliverables.
- b. For each deliverable, the state may submit a written request for an extension to submit the required deliverable that includes a supporting rationale for the cause(s) of the delay and the state’s anticipated date of submission. Should CMS agree to the state’s request, a corresponding extension of the deferral process can be provided. CMS may agree to a corrective action as an interim step before applying the deferral, if corrective action is proposed in the state’s written extension request.
- c. If CMS agrees to an interim corrective process in accordance with subsection (b), and the state fails to comply with the corrective action steps or still fails to submit the overdue deliverable(s) that meets the terms of this agreement, CMS may proceed with the issuance of a deferral against the next Quarterly Statement of Expenditures reported in Medicaid Budget and Expenditure System/State Children’s Health Insurance Program Budget and Expenditure System (MBES/CBES) following a written deferral notification to the state.
- d. If the CMS deferral process has been initiated for state non-compliance with the terms of this agreement for submitting deliverable(s), and the state submits the overdue deliverable(s), and such deliverable(s) are accepted by CMS as meeting the standards outlined in these STCs, the deferral(s) will be released.

As the purpose of a section 1115 demonstration is to test new methods of operation or service delivery, a state’s failure to submit all required reports, evaluations, and other deliverables will be considered by CMS in reviewing any application for an extension, amendment, or for a new demonstration.

- 85. Deferral of Federal Financial Participation (FFP) from IMD claiming for Insufficient Progress Toward Milestones.** Up to \$5,000,000 in FFP for services in IMDs may be deferred if the state is not making adequate progress on meeting the milestones and goals as evidenced by reporting on the milestones in implementation protocol and the required performance measures in the monitoring protocol agreed upon by the state and CMS. Once CMS determines the state has not made adequate progress, up to \$5,000,000 will be deferred in the next calendar quarter and each calendar quarter thereafter until CMS has determined sufficient progress has been made. The state is expected to meet the milestones by the end of the first two years of the SMI demonstration.
- 86. Submission of Post-Approval Deliverables.** The state must submit all deliverables using the process stipulated by CMS and within the timeframes outlined within these STCs.
- 87. General Financial Requirements.** The state must comply with all general financial requirements, including reporting requirements related to monitoring budget neutrality, set forth in Section XV. The state must submit any corrected budget and/or allotment neutrality data upon request.
- 88. Compliance with Managed Care Reporting Requirements.** The state must comply with all managed care reporting regulations at 42 CFR Part 438,
- 89. Reporting Requirements Related to Budget Neutrality.** The state shall comply with all reporting requirements for monitoring budget neutrality set forth in Section XVII of these STCs.
- 90. Compliance with Federal Systems Updates.** As federal systems continue to evolve and incorporate additional 1115 reporting and analytics functions, the state will work with CMS to:
- a. Revise the reporting templates and submission processes to accommodate timely compliance with the requirements of the new systems;
 - b. Ensure all 1115, T-MSIS, and other data elements that have been agreed to for reporting and analytics are provided by the state; and
 - c. Submit deliverables to the appropriate system as directed by CMS.
- 91. Implementation Plan.** The state must submit an Implementation Plan to CMS no later than 90 calendar days after approval of the demonstration. The Implementation Plan must cover at least the key policies being tested under this demonstration, as amended. Once determined complete by CMS, the Implementation Plan will be incorporated into the STCs, as Attachment E. At a minimum, the Implementation Plan must include definitions and parameters of key policies, and describe the state's strategic approach to implementing the policies, including timelines for meeting milestones associated with these key policies. Other topics to be discussed in the Implementation Plan include application assistance, reporting, and processing; notices; coordinated agency responsibilities; coordination with other insurance affordability programs; appeals;

renewals; coordination with other state agencies; beneficiary protections; and outreach.

- 92. Monitoring Protocol.** The state must submit to CMS a Monitoring Protocol no later than 150 calendar days after approval of the demonstration. Once approved, the Monitoring Protocol will be incorporated into the STCs, as Attachment F.

At a minimum, the Monitoring Protocol will affirm the state's commitment to conduct quarterly and annual monitoring in accordance with CMS' template. Any proposed deviations from CMS' template should be documented in the Monitoring Protocol. The Monitoring Protocol will describe the quantitative and qualitative elements on which the state will report through quarterly and annual monitoring reports. For quantitative metrics (e.g., performance metrics as described in STC 103 below), CMS will provide the state with a set of required metrics, and technical specifications for data collection and analysis covering the key policies being tested under this demonstration. The Monitoring Protocol will specify the methods of data collection and timeframes for reporting on the state's progress as part of the quarterly and annual monitoring reports. For the qualitative elements (e.g., operational updates as described in STC 103 below), CMS will provide the state with guidance on narrative and descriptive information which will supplement the quantitative metrics on key aspects of the demonstration policies. The quantitative and qualitative elements will comprise the state's quarterly and annual monitoring reports.

- 93. Monitoring Reports.** The state must submit three (3) Quarterly Reports and one (1) compiled Annual Report each DY. The fourth-quarter information that would ordinarily be provided in a separate quarterly report should be reported as distinct information within the Annual Report. The Quarterly Reports are due no later than sixty (60 days) following the end of each demonstration quarter. The Annual Report (including the fourth-quarter information) is due no later than ninety (90 days) following the end of the DY. The reports will include all required elements as per 42 CFR 431.428, and should not direct readers to links outside the report. Additional links not referenced in the document may be listed in a Reference/Bibliography section. The Monitoring Reports must follow the framework to be provided by CMS, which will be organized by milestones. The framework is subject to change as monitoring systems are developed/evolve, and will be provided in a structured manner that supports federal tracking and analysis.

- a. Operational Updates – The operational updates will focus on progress towards meeting the milestones identified in CMS' framework. Additionally, per 42 CFR 431.428, the Monitoring Reports must document any policy or administrative difficulties in operating the demonstration. The reports shall provide sufficient information to document key challenges, underlying causes of challenges, how challenges are being addressed, as well as key achievements and to what conditions and efforts successes can be attributed. The discussion should also include any issues or complaints identified by beneficiaries; lawsuits or legal actions; unusual or unanticipated trends; legislative updates; and descriptions of any public forums held. The Monitoring Report should also include a summary of all public comments received through post-award public forums regarding the progress of the demonstration.
- b. Performance Metrics – The performance metrics will provide data to demonstrate how the

state is progressing towards meeting the milestones identified in CMS's framework for monitoring components of the state's demonstration. For example, these metrics will cover enrollment, disenrollment or termination by specific demographics and reason, , access to care, and health outcomes.

Per 42 CFR 431.428, the Monitoring Reports must document the impact of the demonstration in providing insurance coverage to beneficiaries and the uninsured population, as well as outcomes of care, quality and cost of care, and access to care. This may also include the results of beneficiary satisfaction surveys, if conducted, grievances and appeals.

The required monitoring and performance metrics must be included in writing in the Monitoring Reports, and will follow the framework provided by CMS to support federal tracking and analysis.

- c. Budget Neutrality and Financial Reporting Requirements – Per 42 CFR 431.428, the Monitoring Reports must document the financial performance of the demonstration. The state must provide an updated budget neutrality workbook with every Monitoring Report that meets all the reporting requirements for monitoring budget neutrality, including baseline cost and member months, set forth in the General Financial Requirements section of these STCs, including the submission of corrected budget neutrality data upon request. In addition, the state must report quarterly and annual expenditures associated with the populations affected by this demonstration on the Form CMS-64. Administrative costs should be reported separately.
- d. Evaluation Activities and Interim Findings – Per 42 CFR 431.428, the Monitoring Reports must document any results of the demonstration to date per the evaluation hypotheses. Additionally, the state shall include a summary of the progress of evaluation activities, including key milestones accomplished, as well as challenges encountered and how they were addressed.
- e. Managed Care Delivery System – An important purpose of these reports is to present the state's analysis and the status of access to care and provider network adequacy for beneficiaries receiving physical and behavioral health services through the MCOs, ACOs, and PMHPs.
 - i. Implementation Report. The state must submit an implementation report no later than 90 days after the initial program implementation.
 - ii. Quarterly Report. As part of the quarterly progress required under this STC, the state must have a section of the report that discusses the MCO, ACO, and PMHP programs.
 - iii. Annual Report. As part of the annual report required under this STC, the state must have a section of the report that discusses the MCO, ACO, and PMHP programs.

94. Program Integrity. As part of the expansion of coverage to the Adult Expansion Population with incomes up to and including 133 percent of the FPL, Utah will provide CMS with responses to

program integrity questions that CMS has transmitted to the state about how the state will operationalize the expansion. The responses to these questions should demonstrate how the state plans to ensure that eligibility determinations are accurate and FFP is claimed at the appropriate matching rate. The state should discuss in detail the actions that will be taken prior to and post expansion to cover the Adult Expansion Population up to 133 percent of the FPL, as well as planned oversight activities to ensure ongoing compliance with federal and state requirements. This deliverable is due to CMS 60 days after the December 23, 2019 approval.

95. Corrective Action. If monitoring indicates that demonstration features are not likely to assist in promoting the objectives of Medicaid, CMS reserves the right to require the state to submit a corrective action plan to CMS for approval. This may be an interim step to withdrawing waivers or expenditure authorities, as outlined in STC 10. A state corrective action plan could include a temporary suspension of implementation of demonstration programs, in circumstances where monitoring data indicate substantial sustained directional change, inconsistent with demonstration targets, such as substantial, sustained trends indicating increases in disenrollment. A corrective action plan may be an interim step to withdrawing waivers or expenditure authorities, as outlined in STC 10, CMS will withdraw an authority, as described in STC 10, when metrics indicate substantial, sustained directional change, inconsistent with demonstration targets, and the state has not implemented appropriate corrective action. CMS would further have the ability to suspend implementation of the demonstration should corrective actions not effectively resolve these concerns in a timely manner.

96. Close out Report. Within 120 days prior to the expiration of the demonstration, the state must submit a draft Closeout Report to CMS for comments.

- a. The draft final report must comply with the most current Guidance from CMS.
- b. The state will present to and participate in a discussion with CMS on the Closeout report.
- c. The state must take into consideration CMS' comments for incorporation into the final Closeout Report.
- d. The final Closeout Report is due to CMS no later than thirty (30) days after receipt of CMS' comments.
- e. A delay in submitting the draft or final version of the Closeout Report may subject the state to penalties described in STC 94.

97. Monitoring Calls. CMS will convene periodic conference calls with the state.

- a. The purpose of these calls is to discuss ongoing demonstration operation, to include (but not limited to) any significant actual or anticipated developments affecting the demonstration. Examples include implementation activities, enrollment and access, budget neutrality, and progress on evaluation activities.

- b. CMS will provide updates on any pending actions, as well as federal policies and issues that may affect any aspect of the demonstration.
- c. The state and CMS will jointly develop the agenda for the calls.

98. Post Award Forum. Pursuant to 42 CFR 431.420(c), within six (6) months of the demonstration's implementation, and annually thereafter, the state shall afford the public with an opportunity to provide meaningful comment on the progress of the demonstration. At least thirty (30) days prior to the date of the planned public forum, the state must publish the date, time and location of the forum in a prominent location on its website. The state must also post the most recent annual report on its website with the public forum announcement. Pursuant to 42 CFR 431.420(c), the state must include a summary of the comments in the Monitoring Report associated with the quarter in which the forum was held, as well as in its compiled Annual Report.

XIV. GENERAL FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS UNDER TITLE XIX

99. Reporting Expenditures under the Demonstration. The state will provide quarterly expenditure reports using the Form CMS-64 to report total expenditures for services provided under the Medicaid program, including those provided through the demonstration under section 1115 authority. This project is approved for expenditures applicable to services rendered during the demonstration period. The CMS will provide FFP for allowable demonstration expenditures only so long as they do not exceed the pre-defined limits as specified in these STCs. FFP will be provided for expenditures net of collections in the form of pharmacy rebates, enrollment fees, or third party liability.

- a. In order to track expenditures under this demonstration, the state will report demonstration expenditures through the Medicaid and State Children's Health Insurance Program Budget and Expenditure System (MBES/CBES), following routine CMS-64 reporting instructions outlined in section 2500 of the State Medicaid Manual. All expenditures subject to the budget neutrality limit will be reported on separate Forms CMS-64.9 WAIVER and/or 64.9P WAIVER, identified by the demonstration project number assigned by CMS (including the project number extension, which indicates the DY in which services were rendered or for which capitation payments were made). For monitoring purposes, cost settlements must be recorded on Line 10.b, in lieu of Lines 9 or 10.c. For any other cost settlements (i.e., those not attributable to this demonstration), the adjustments should be reported on lines 9 or 10.c, as instructed in the State Medicaid Manual. The term, "expenditures subject to the budget neutrality limit," is defined below in STC 105. DY1 is the year beginning July 1, 2002 and ending June 30, 2003, and subsequent DYs are defined accordingly.
- b. Premium offsets and enrollment fees that are collected by the state for enrollees under this demonstration shall be reported to CMS on the CMS-64 summary sheet. Enrollment fees shall be reported as an administrative offset on Line 9.d., columns c and d. Premium offsets shall be

reported as a services offset on Line 9.d., columns a. and b. In order to assure that the demonstration is properly credited with these collections, please provide the appropriate information on the CMS-64 narrative.

- c. For each DY, separate Forms CMS-64.9 Waiver and/or 64.9P Waiver shall be submitted reporting expenditures for individuals enrolled in the demonstration, subject to the budget neutrality limit found in section XVII. Utah must complete separate waiver forms for the following eligibility groups/waiver names:

- i. Current Eligible
- ii. PCN Adults w/Children (1)
- iii. PCN Childless Adults (1)
- iv. ESI Adults w/Children (3)/ ESI Adult Children (3)/COBRA Adults with Children (5)
- v. ESI Childless Adults (3)/ COBRA Childless Adults (5)
- vi. Current Eligible CHIP Children (4) and COBRA Children (6) are reported on the applicable CMS-21 form.
- vii. Dental Services for Section 1902(a)(1)(C)/42 CFR 435.322 & 435.330 Blind and Disabled Adults (“BD Dental”
- viii. Targeted Adult
- ix. Former Foster Care Youth From Another State ("FFCY")
- x. SUD
- xi. Targeted Adults Dental (“TAD”)
- xii. Adult Expansion Population
- xiii. Employer Sponsored Insurance
- xiv. Withdrawal Management
- xv. Intensive Support Services (ISS)
- xvi. Dental Services – Aged (Aged)
- xvii. SMI

- d. Mandated Increase in Physician Payment Rates in 2013 and 2014. Section 1202 of the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (Pub. Law 110-152) requires state Medicaid programs to pay physicians for primary care services at rates that are no less than what Medicare pays, for services furnished in 2013 and 2014. The federal government provides a FMAP of 100 percent for the claimed amount by which the minimum payment exceeds the rates paid for those services as of July 1, 2009. The state will exclude from the budget neutrality test for this demonstration the portion of the mandated increase for which the federal government pays 100 percent.

100. Expenditures Subject to the Budget Agreement. For the purpose of this section, the term "expenditures subject to the budget neutrality limit" will include all Medicaid expenditures on behalf of all demonstration participants as defined in STC 109(c)(i-xvii.) of the STCs.

101. Administrative Costs. Administrative costs will not be included in the budget neutrality limit, but the state must separately track and report additional administrative costs that are

directly attributable to the demonstration, using separate CMS-64.10 waiver and 64.10 waiver forms, with waiver name “ADM”.

102. Claiming Period. All claims for expenditures subject to the budget neutrality limit (including any cost settlements) must be made within two years after the calendar quarter in which the state made the expenditures. Furthermore, all claims for services during the demonstration period (including any cost settlements) must be made within two years after the conclusion or termination of the demonstration. During the latter two year period, the state must continue to identify separately net expenditures related to dates of service during the operation of the section 1115 demonstration on the Form CMS-64 in order to properly account for these expenditures in determining budget neutrality.

103. Reporting Member Months. For the purpose of calculating the budget neutrality expenditure limit and other purposes, the state must provide to CMS on a quarterly basis the actual number of eligible member/months for the eligibility groups (EG) as defined in STC 20. Enrollment information should be provided to CMS in conjunction with the quarterly reports referred to in section XIV. If a quarter overlaps the end of one DY and the beginning of another DY, member/months pertaining to the first DY must be distinguished from those pertaining to the second.

- a. The term "eligible member/months" refers to the number of months in which persons are eligible to receive services. For example, a person who is eligible for three months contributes three eligible member/months to the total. Two individuals who are eligible for two months each contribute two eligible member months to the total, for a total of four eligible member/months.
- b. There will be fifteen demonstration populations that will be reported for the purpose of calculating the without waiver baseline (budget neutrality expenditure limit) using the following waiver names. The groups used for calculating the budget neutrality expenditure limit are described below:
 - i. "PCN Current Eligibles," as defined in section IV of these STCs.
 - ii. "PCN Adults with Children(1)" is a hypothetical group under “PCN Adults with Children” and members of the Demonstration Population I, as defined in section IV of these STCs, who could be eligible for Medicaid under section 1931 of the Act if the state further liberalized its eligibility criteria in its state plan. PCN Adults w/Children(1)" does not include members of Demonstration Population I who are childless adults/noncustodial parents, or members of Demonstration Population III.
 - iii. “ESI Adults with Children(3)”is a hypothetical group under "ESI Adults with Children" and are members of the Demonstration Population III, as defined in section IV of these STCs, who could be eligible for Medicaid under section 1931 of the Act if the state further liberalized its eligibility criteria in its state plan. "ESI Adults w/Children(3)" does not include members of Demonstration Population III who are childless

adults/noncustodial parents, or members of Demonstration Populations I.

- iv. “COBRA Adults with children(5)” is a hypothetical group under “COBRA Adults with Children” and are members of the Demonstration Population V, as defined in section IV of these STCs, who could be eligible for Medicaid under section 1931 of the Act if the state further liberalized its eligibility criteria in its state plan. "COBRA Adults w/Children(X)" does not include members of Demonstration Population III, or members of Demonstration Populations I.
- v. Current Eligible CHIP Children of Title XXI CHIP ESI Children (reported as "ESI Children") and Demonstration Population VI of Title XXI (CHIP COBRA Children reported as “COBRA Children”) reported as Non-Group Children will be reported separately. Expenditures for Title XXI ESI Children and COBRA Children are reported on the CMS-21.
- vi. “Blind and Disabled Adults” is a group as defined in section IV of these STCs whose enrollees receive hypothetical dental services.
- vii. “Former Foster Care Youth from Another State” ("FFCY") is a hypothetical budget neutrality coverage group as defined in section IV of these STCs.
- viii. “SUD” is a group as defined in section IV of these STCs whose beneficiaries receive hypothetical services.
- ix. “Adult Expansion Population” is a group as defined in section IV of these STCs whose beneficiaries receive hypothetical services.
- x. “Employer Sponsored Insurance” is a group from the Adult Expansion Population that is mandatorily enrolled into ESI as defined in section IV of these STCs whose beneficiaries receive hypothetical services.
- xi. “Withdrawal Management” is a group as defined in section IV of these STCs whose beneficiaries receive hypothetical services.
- xii. “Intensive Support Services” is a group as defined in section IV of these STCs whose beneficiaries receive hypothetical services.
- xiii. “Aged Adults” is a group as defined in section IV of these STCs whose enrollees receive hypothetical dental services.
- xiv. “Targeted Adults” is a group as defined in section IV of these STCs whose beneficiaries receive hypothetical services
- xv. “Targeted Adults Dental” is a group as defined in section IV of these STCs whose enrollees receive hypothetical dental services.

xvi. “Aged Adults” is a group as defined in section IV of these STCs whose enrollees receive hypothetical dental services.

xvii. “SUD” is a group as defined in section IV of these STCs whose beneficiaries receive hypothetical services.

104. Standard Medicaid Funding Process. The standard Medicaid funding process will be used during the demonstration. The state must estimate matchable Medicaid expenditures on the quarterly Form CMS-37. As a supplement to the Form CMS-37, the state will provide updated estimates of expenditures subject to the budget neutrality limit. CMS will make federal funds available based upon the state's estimate, as approved by CMS. Within 30 days after the end of each quarter, the state must submit the Form CMS-64 quarterly Medicaid expenditure report, showing Medicaid expenditures made in the quarter just ended. The CMS will reconcile expenditures reported on the Form CMS-64 quarterly with federal funding previously made available to the state, and include the reconciling adjustment in the finalization of the grant award to the state.

105. Extent of FFP for the Demonstration. The CMS will provide FFP at the applicable federal matching rate for the following, subject to the limits described in the Budget Neutrality Monitoring For the Demonstration, Section XVIII:

- a. Administrative costs, including those associated with the administration of the demonstration.
- b. Net expenditures and prior period adjustments of the Medicaid program that are paid in accordance with the approved state plan.
- c. Medical Assistance expenditures made under section 1115 demonstration authority, including those made in conjunction with the demonstration, net of enrollment fees, cost sharing, pharmacy rebates, and all other types of third party liability.

106. Sources of Non-Federal Share. The state certifies that the matching non-federal share of funds for the demonstration is state/local monies. The state further certifies that such funds shall not be used as the match for any other federal grant or contract, except as permitted by law. All sources of non-federal funding must be compliant with section 1903(w) of the Act and applicable regulations. In addition, all sources of the non-federal share of funding are subject to CMS approval.

- a. CMS may review the sources of the non-federal share of funding for the demonstration at any time. The state agrees that all funding sources deemed unacceptable by CMS shall be addressed within the time frames set by CMS.
- b. Any amendments that impact the financial status of the program shall require the state to

provide information to CMS regarding all sources of the non-federal share of funding.

107. State Certification of Funding Conditions. Under all circumstances, health care providers must retain 100 percent of the reimbursement amounts claimed by the state as demonstration expenditures. Moreover, no pre-arranged agreements (contractual or otherwise) may exist between the health care providers and the state government to return and/or redirect any portion of the Medicaid payments. This confirmation of Medicaid payment retention is made with the understanding that payments that are the normal operating expenses of conducting business (such as payments related to taxes — including health care provider-related taxes — fees, and business relationships with governments that are unrelated to Medicaid and in which there is no connection to Medicaid payments) are not considered returning and/or redirecting a Medicaid payment.

108. State Assurances.

- a. The acceptance of these STCs is Utah’s confirmation that its information technology systems and administrative processes (including internal controls) are able to report reliably and accurately expenditures related to the 1115 demonstration to the CMS-64 system.
- b. Implementing Changes Based on the Independent Audit. The state assures to CMS and the federal review team (FRT) that the budget neutrality of contemporary DYs is measurable and verifiable. This assurance will be verified in part through the Phase II audit findings. Should the Phase II audit find that the state’s current information technology systems and administrative processes (including internal controls) are not sufficient to report expenditures related to the 1115 demonstration to the CMS-64 report reliably and accurately, CMS will require further corrective action until such assurances can be made.
- c. The state must assure CMS at all times of the integrity and accuracy of its claims processing systems and for the administrative processes associated with claiming FFP. In order to support the continuation of this demonstration, future amendments, or extension requests, Utah must maintain the state’s information technology systems and administrative processes (including internal controls) so that expenditures related to the 1115 demonstration are reliably and accurately reported on the CMS-64.

XV. GENERAL FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS

109. Expenditures Subject to the Allotment Neutrality Limit. The state shall provide quarterly expenditure reports using the Form CMS-21 to report total expenditures for services provided under the approved CHIP plan and those provided through the Utah HIFA-ESI demonstration under section 1115 authority. This project is approved for expenditures applicable to services rendered during the demonstration period. CMS will provide FFP only for allowable Utah demonstration expenditures that do not exceed the state’s available Title XXI allotment. Expenditures for Current Eligible CHIP Children and Demonstration Population VI are subject

to the allotment neutrality limit.

- 110. Quarterly Expenditure Reporting through the MBES/CBES.** In order to track expenditures under this demonstration, the state will report demonstration expenditures through the MBES/CBES, as part of the routine quarterly CMS-21 reporting process. Title XXI demonstration expenditures will be reported on separate Forms CMS-21 Waiver/CMS- 21P Waiver, identified by the demonstration project number assigned by CMS (including project number extension, which indicates the DY in which services were rendered or for which capitation payments were made).
- 111. Claiming Period.** All claims for expenditures related to the demonstration (including any cost settlements) must be made within two years after the calendar quarter in which the state made the expenditures. Furthermore, all claims for services during the demonstration period (including cost settlements) must be made within two years after the conclusion or termination of the demonstration. During the latter 2-year period, the state must continue to identify separately net expenditures related to dates of service during the operation of the demonstration on the Form CMS-21.
- 112. Standard Medicaid Funding Process.** The standard CHIP funding process will be used during the demonstration. Utah must estimate matchable CHIP expenditures on the quarterly Form CMS-21B. On a separate CMS-21B, the state shall provide updated estimates of expenditures for the demonstration populations. CMS will make federal funds available based upon the state's estimate, as approved by CMS. Within 30 days after the end of each quarter, the state must submit the Form CMS-21 quarterly CHIP expenditure report. CMS will reconcile expenditures reported on the Form CMS-21 with federal funding previously made available to the state, and include the reconciling adjustment in the finalization of the grant award to the state.
- 113. State Certification of Funding Conditions.** The state will certify state/local monies used as matching funds for the demonstration and will further certify that such funds will not be used as matching funds for any other federal grant or contract, except as permitted by federal law.
- 114. Limitation Title XXI Funding.** Utah will be subject to a limit on the amount of federal Title XXI funding that the state may receive on Current Eligible CHIP Children and Demonstration Population VI expenditures during the waiver period. Federal Title XXI funding available for demonstration expenditures is limited to the state's available allotment, including currently available reallocated funds. Should the state expend its available Title XXI federal funds for the claiming period, no further enhanced federal matching funds will be available for costs of the separate child health program or demonstration until the next allotment becomes available. Total federal title XXI funds for the state's CHIP program (i.e., the approved Title XXI state plan and this demonstration) are restricted to the state's available allotment and reallocated funds. Title XXI funds (i.e., the allotment or reallocated funds) must first be used to fully fund costs associated with the state plan population. Demonstration expenditures are limited to remaining funds.
- 115. Administrative Costs.** Total expenditures for outreach and other reasonable costs to administer

the Title XXI state plan and the demonstration that are applied against the state's Title XXI allotment may not exceed 10 percent of total Title XXI net expenditures.

- 116. Exhaustion of Title XXI Funds.** If the state exhausts the available Title XXI federal funds in a federal fiscal year during the period of the demonstration, the state may continue to provide coverage to the approved Title XXI state plan separate child health program population, the Current Eligible CHIP Children, and Demonstration Population VI with state funds.
- 117. Exhaustion of Title XXI Funds Notification.** All federal rules shall continue to apply during the period of the demonstration that Title XXI federal funds are not available. The state is not precluded from closing enrollment or instituting a waiting list with respect to the Current Eligible CHIP Children and Demonstration Population VI. Before closing enrollment or instituting a waiting list, the state will provide prior notice to CMS.

XVI. MONITORING BUDGET NEUTRALITY FOR THE DEMONSTRATION

- 118. Limit on Title XIX Funding.** The state will be subject to a limit on the amount of federal Title XIX funding that the state may receive on selected Medicaid expenditures during the period of approval of the demonstration. The limit is determined by using a per capita cost method, and budget neutrality expenditure limits are set on a yearly basis with a cumulative budget neutrality expenditure limit for the length of the entire demonstration. The data supplied by the state to CMS to set the annual caps is subject to review and audit, and if found to be inaccurate, will result in a modified budget neutrality expenditure limit. CMS' assessment of the state's compliance with these annual limits will be done using the Schedule C report from the CMS-64.
- 119. Risk.** The state will be at risk for the per capita cost (as determined by the method described below) for Medicaid eligibles, but not at risk for the number of Medicaid eligibles. By providing FFP for all eligibles, CMS will not place the state at risk for changing economic conditions. However, by placing the state at risk for the per capita costs of Medicaid eligibles, CMS assures that the demonstration expenditures do not exceed the levels that would have been realized had there been no demonstration.
- 120. Calculation of the Budget Neutrality Limit: General.** For the purpose of calculating the overall budget neutrality limit for the demonstration, separate annual budget limits will be calculated for each DY on a total computable basis, as described in STC 130. The annual limits will then be added together to obtain a budget neutrality limit for the entire demonstration period. The federal share of this limit will represent the maximum amount of FFP that the state may receive during the demonstration period for the types of Medicaid expenditures described below. The federal share will be calculated by multiplying the total computable budget neutrality limit by the Composite Federal Share, which is defined in STC 135.
- 121. Impermissible DSH, Taxes, or Donations.** CMS reserves the right to adjust the budget neutrality ceiling to be consistent with enforcement of laws and policy statements, including

regulations and letters regarding impermissible provider payments, health care related taxes, or other payments (if necessary adjustments must be made). CMS reserves the right to make adjustments to the budget neutrality limit if any health care related tax that was in effect during the base year, or provider-related donation that occurred during the base year, is determined by CMS to be in violation of the provider donation and health care related tax provisions of section 1903(w) of the Social Security Act. Adjustments to annual budget targets will reflect the phase out of impermissible provider payments by law or regulation, where applicable.

- 122. “Hypothetical” Eligibility Groups.** Budget neutrality agreements may include optional Medicaid populations that could be added under the state plan but were not included in current expenditures. However, the agreement will not permit access to budget neutrality "savings" from the addition of the groups. A prospective per capita cap on federal financial risk is established for these groups based on the costs that the population is expected to incur under the demonstration.
- 123. Supplemental Budget Neutrality Test: Substance Use Disorder Expenditures.** As part of the SUD initiative, the state may receive FFP (once the Implementation Protocol is approved) for the continuum of services to treat opioid use disorders (OUD) and other SUDs, provided to Medicaid enrollees in an IMD. These are state plan services that would be eligible for reimbursement if not for the IMD exclusion. Therefore, they are being treated as hypothetical. The state may only claim FFP via demonstration authority for the SUD services listed in Table 3 in STC 68 that will be provided in an IMD. However, the state will not be allowed to obtain budget neutrality “savings” from these services. Therefore, a separate expenditure cap is established for SUD services. The SUD MEG listed in the table in STC 133 is included in the SUD Supplemental Budget Neutrality Test.
- a. The SUD expenditures cap is calculated by multiplying the projected PMPM for the SUD MEG, each DY, by the number of actual eligible SUD member months for the same MEG/DY—and summing the products together across all DYs. The federal share of the SUD expenditure cap(s) is/are obtained by multiplying those caps by the Composite Federal Share (see STC 130).
 - b. SUD Supplemental Budget Neutrality Test is a comparison between the federal share of SUD expenditure cap(s) and total FFP reported by the state for the SUD MEG.

- 124. Demonstration Populations Used to Calculate the Budget Neutrality Limit.** For each DY, separate annual budget limits of Medicaid service expenditures will be calculated as the product of the trended monthly per person cost times the actual number of eligible/member months as reported to CMS by the state under the guidelines set forth in section XVII. The trend rates and per capita cost estimates for each EG for each year of the demonstration are listed in the table below. The base year per capita amounts for “PCN,” “ESI,” and “COBRA” are designated by the initials “BY.” The trend rate of 5.3 percent for DY 16 is based on the FY2017 President’s Budget for the adult category. The per capita amounts shown below reflect rounding to the nearest cent at each step of the calculation.

Eligibility Group	Trend Rate	DY 16 PMPM	DY 17 PMPM	DY 18 PMPM	DY 19 PMPM	DY 20 PMPM
Current Eligibles	5.3%	\$999.33	\$1,052.29	\$1,108.07	\$1,166.79	\$1,228.63
Demo Pop I – Adults with Children	5.3%	\$48.63	\$51.21	\$53.92	\$56.78	\$59.79
Demo Pops III & V – Adults with Children	5.3%	\$158.03	\$166.41	\$175.23	\$184.51	\$388.58
Dental Services – Blind and Disabled	3.0%	\$18.42	\$18.97	\$19.54	32.40	\$34.10
Former Foster Care Youth	4.8%	\$990.87	\$1,038.43	\$1,088.28	\$1,140.51	\$1,195.26
SUD Services	5.0%	\$3,321.96	\$3,488.06	\$3,662.46	\$3,845.58	\$4,037.86
Dental Services – Targeted Adults	5.3%	n/a	\$33.33	\$34.75	\$36.59	\$38.53
Adult Expansion Population	4.7%	n/a	\$542.08	\$567.56	\$594.23	\$622.16
Employer Sponsored Insurance	4.7%	n/a	n/a	\$230.63	\$241.47	\$252.82
Withdrawal Management	4.5%	n/a	\$700.00	\$731.50	\$764.42	n/a

Intensive Support Services (ISS)	4.2%	n/a	n/a	\$2,211.30	\$2,304.17	\$2,400.95
Dental Services - Aged	3.4%	n/a	n/a	\$30.75	\$31.80	\$32.88
SMI Services	5.3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$13,527	\$14,244

125. Composite Federal Share Ratio. The Composite Federal Share is the ratio calculated by dividing the sum total of FFP received by the state on actual demonstration expenditures during the approval period, as reported through the MBES/CBES and summarized on Schedule C (with consideration of additional allowable demonstration offsets such as, but not limited to, premium collections) by total computable demonstration expenditures for the same period as reported on the same forms. For the purpose of interim monitoring of budget neutrality, a reasonable estimate of Composite Federal Share may be developed and used through the same process or through an alternative mutually agreed upon method.

126. Exceeding Budget Neutrality. The budget neutrality limit calculated in STC 130 will apply to actual expenditures for demonstration services as reported by the state under Section XVI. If at the end of the demonstration period the budget neutrality limit has been exceeded, the excess federal funds will be returned to CMS. If the demonstration is terminated prior to the end of the demonstration period, the budget neutrality test will be based on the time period through the termination date.

127. New Funding. If the state seeks to reallocate Title XXI or Disproportionate Share Hospital funds to fund this demonstration, the state must request a demonstration amendment. These funds are only available on a prospective basis. In order to provide for a seamless continuation of 1115 waiver authority for the beneficiaries eligible under Title XIX, the state should provide CMS with adequate notification of the state's intent.

128. Enforcement of Budget Neutrality. CMS shall enforce the budget neutrality agreement over the life of the demonstration extension, which for this purpose will be from July 1, 2017– June 30, 2022. The budget neutrality test for the demonstration extension may incorporate net savings from the immediately prior demonstration periods of July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2017, but not from any earlier approval period.

Year Cumulative target definition Percentage

DY 16	DYs 1 through 16 combined budget neutrality limit	0 percent
DY 17	DYs 1 through 17 combined budget neutrality limit	0 percent
DY 18	DYs 1 through 18 combined budget neutrality limit	0 percent
DY 19	DYs 1 through 19 combined budget neutrality limit	0 percent
DY 20	DYs 1 through 20 combined budget neutrality limit	0 percent

129. Budget Neutrality Savings Phase-Down. Beginning with the demonstration period that begins on July 1, 2017, the net variance between the without-waiver and actual with-waiver costs will be reduced. The reduced variance, calculated as a percentage of the total variance, is used in place of the total variance to determine overall budget neutrality of the demonstration. The formula for calculating the reduced variance is, reduced variance equals total variance times applicable percentage. The percentages are determined based on how long Medicaid populations have been subject to the demonstration. In the case of Utah, the program will retain 25 percent of the total variance as future savings for the demonstration. Should the state request an extension of its demonstration beyond June 30, 2022, budget neutrality will be adjusted again to reflect revised PMPMs based on the data from the current extension.

XVII. EVALUATION OF THE DEMONSTRATION

130. Cooperation with Federal Evaluators. As required under 42 CFR 431.420(f), the state shall cooperate fully and timely with CMS and its contractors in any federal evaluation of the demonstration or any component of the demonstration. This includes, but is not limited to, commenting on design and other federal evaluation documents and providing data and analytic files to CMS, including entering into a data use agreement that explains how the data and data files will be exchanged, and providing a technical point of contact to support specification of the data and files to be disclosed, as well as relevant data dictionaries and record layouts. The state shall include in its contracts with entities who collect, produce or maintain data and files for the demonstration, that they shall make such data available for the federal evaluation as is required under 42 CFR 431.420(f) to support federal evaluation. The state may claim administrative match for these activities. Failure to comply with this STC may result in a deferral being issued as outlined in STC 94.

131. Independent Evaluator. Upon approval of the demonstration, the state must begin arrange with an independent party to conduct an evaluation of the demonstration to ensure that the necessary data is collected at the level of detail needed to research the approved hypotheses. The independent party must sign an agreement to conduct the demonstration evaluation in an independent manner in accord with the CMS-approved, draft Evaluation Design. When conducting analyses and developing the evaluation reports, every effort should be made to follow the approved methodology. However, the state may request, and CMS may agree to, changes in the methodology in appropriate circumstances.

- 132. Evaluation Budget.** A budget for the evaluation shall be provided with the draft Evaluation Design. It will include the total estimated cost, as well as a breakdown of estimated staff, administrative and other costs for all aspects of the evaluation such as any survey and measurement development, quantitative and qualitative data collection and cleaning, analyses and report generation. A justification of the costs may be required by CMS if the estimates provided do not appear to sufficiently cover the costs of the design or if CMS finds that the design is not sufficiently developed, or if the estimates appear to be excessive.
- 133. Draft Evaluation Design.** The state must submit, for CMS comment and approval, a draft Evaluation Design, no later than 180 calendar days after approval of the demonstration.

The draft Evaluation Design must be developed in accordance with the following CMS guidance (including but not limited to):

All applicable Evaluation Design guidance. Hand Medicaid program sustainability.

- a. Attachment A (Developing the Evaluation Design) of these STCs, technical assistance for developing SUD and SMI/SED Evaluation Designs (as applicable, and as provided by CMS), and all applicable technical assistance on how to establish comparison groups to develop a Draft Evaluation Design.

134. Evaluation Design Approval and Updates. The state must submit a revised draft Evaluation Design within sixty (60) days after receipt of CMS' comments. Upon CMS approval of the draft Evaluation Design, the document will be included as an attachment to these STCs. Per 42 CFR 431.424(c), the state will publish the approved Evaluation Design within thirty (30) days of CMS approval. The state must implement the evaluation design and submit a description of its evaluation implementation progress in each of the Monitoring Reports, including any required Rapid Cycle Assessments specified in these STCs. Once CMS approves the evaluation design, if the state wishes to make changes, the state must submit a revised evaluation design to CMS for approval.

135. Evaluation Questions and Hypotheses. Consistent with Attachments A and B (Developing the Evaluation Design and Preparing the Evaluation Report) of these STCs, the evaluation documents must include a discussion of the evaluation questions and hypotheses that the state intends to test. Each demonstration component should have at least one evaluation question and hypothesis. The hypothesis testing should include, where possible, assessment of both process and outcome measures. Proposed measures should be selected from nationally-recognized sources and national measures sets, where possible. Measures sets could include CMS's Core Set of Health Care Quality Measures for Children in Medicaid and CHIP, Consumer Assessment of Health Care Providers and Systems (CAHPS), the Initial Core Set of Health Care Quality Measures for Medicaid-Eligible Adults and/or

measures endorsed by National Quality Forum (NQF).

136. Interim Evaluation Report. The state must submit an Interim Evaluation Report for the completed years of the demonstration, and for each subsequent renewal or extension of the demonstration, as outlined in 42 CFR 431.412(c)(2)(vi). When submitting an application for renewal, the Evaluation Report should be posted to the state's website with the application for public comment.

- a. The interim evaluation report will discuss evaluation progress and present findings to date as per the approved evaluation design.
- b. For demonstration authority that expires prior to the overall demonstration's expiration date, the Interim Evaluation Report must include an evaluation of the authority as approved by CMS.
- c. If the state is seeking to renew or extend the demonstration, the draft Interim Evaluation Report is due when the application for renewal is submitted. If the state made changes to the demonstration in its application for renewal, the research questions and hypotheses, and how the design was adapted should be included. If the state is not requesting a renewal for a demonstration, an Interim Evaluation report is due one (1) year prior to the end of the demonstration. For demonstration phase outs prior to the expiration of the approval period, the draft Interim Evaluation Report is due to CMS on the date that will be specified in the notice of termination or suspension.
- d. The state must submit the revised Interim Evaluation Report 60 calendar days after receiving CMS's comments on the draft Interim Evaluation Report. Once approved by CMS, the state must post the final Interim Evaluation Report to the state's website.
- e. The Interim Evaluation Report must comply with Attachment B (Preparing the Evaluation Report) of these STCs.

137. Summative Evaluation Report. The draft Summative Evaluation Report must be developed in accordance with Attachment B (Preparing the Evaluation Report) of these STCs. The state must submit a draft Summative Evaluation Report for the demonstration's current approval period within 18 months of the end of the approval period represented by these STCs. The Summative Evaluation Report must include the information in the approved Evaluation Design.

- a. Unless otherwise agreed upon in writing by CMS, the state shall submit a revised Summative Evaluation Report within 60 calendar days of receiving comments from CMS on the draft.
- b. Upon approval from CMS, the final Summative Evaluation Report must be posted to the state's Medicaid website within 30 calendar days of approval by CMS.

- 138. Corrective Action Plan Related to Evaluation.** If evaluation findings indicate that demonstration features are not likely to assist in promoting the objectives of Medicaid, CMS reserves the right to require the state to submit a corrective action plan to CMS for approval. A state corrective action plan could include a temporary suspension of implementation of demonstration programs, in circumstances where evaluation findings indicate substantial, sustained directional change, inconsistent with state targets, such as substantial, sustained trends indicating increases in disenrollment. A corrective action plan may be an interim step to withdrawing waivers or expenditure authorities, as outlined in STC 10. CMS would further have the ability to suspend implementation of the demonstration should corrective actions not effectively resolve these concerns in a timely manner.
- 139. State Presentations for CMS.** CMS reserves the right to request that the state present and participate in a discussion with CMS on the Evaluation Design, the interim evaluation, and/or the summative evaluation.
- 140. Public Access.** The state shall post the final documents (e.g., Monitoring Reports, Close Out Report, approved Evaluation Design, Interim Evaluation Report, and Summative Evaluation Report) on the state’s Medicaid website within 30 days of approval by CMS.
- 141. Additional Publications and Presentations.** For a period of twenty-four (24) months following CMS approval of the final reports, CMS will be notified prior to presentation of these reports or their findings, including in related publications (including, for example, journal articles), by the state, contractor, or any other third party directly connected to the demonstration. Prior to release of these reports, articles or other publications, CMS will be provided a copy including any associated press materials. CMS will be given thirty (30) days to review and comment on publications before they are released. CMS may choose to decline to comment or review some or all of these notifications and reviews.

Attachment A: Developing the Evaluation Design

Introduction

For states that are testing new approaches and flexibilities in their Medicaid programs through section 1115 demonstrations, evaluations are crucial to understand and disseminate what is or is not working and why. The evaluations of new initiatives seek to produce new knowledge and direction for programs and inform Medicaid policy for the future. While a narrative about what happened during a demonstration provides important information, the principal focus of the evaluation of a section 1115 demonstration should be obtaining and analyzing data on the process (e.g., whether the demonstration is being implemented as intended), outcomes (e.g., whether the demonstration is having the intended effects on the target population), and impacts of the demonstration (e.g., whether the outcomes observed in the targeted population differ from outcomes in similar populations not affected by the demonstration). Both state and federal governments need rigorous quantitative and qualitative evidence to inform policy decisions.

Expectations for Evaluation Designs


All states with Medicaid section 1115 demonstrations are required to conduct an evaluation, and the Evaluation Design is the roadmap for conducting the evaluation. The roadmap begins with the stated goals for the demonstration followed by the measurable evaluation questions and quantifiable hypotheses, all to support a determination of the extent to which the demonstration has achieved its goals. When conducting analyses and developing the evaluation reports, every effort should be made to follow the approved methodology. However, the state may request, and CMS may agree to, changes in the methodology in appropriate circumstances.

The format for the Evaluation Design is as follows:

- A. General Background Information;
- B. Evaluation Questions and Hypotheses;
- C. Methodology;
- D. Methodological Limitations;
- E. Attachments.

Submission Timelines

There is a specified timeline for the state's submission of Evaluation Design and Reports. (The graphic below depicts an example of this timeline). In addition, the state should be aware that section 1115 evaluation documents are public records. The state is required to publish the Evaluation Design to the state's website within 30 days of CMS approval, as per 42 CFR 431.424(e). CMS will also publish a copy to the Medicaid.gov website.



Required Core Components of All Evaluation Designs

The Evaluation Design sets the stage for the Interim and Summative Evaluation Reports. It is important that the Evaluation Design explain the goals and objectives of the demonstration, the hypotheses related to the demonstration, and the methodology (and limitations) for the evaluation. A copy of the state’s Driver Diagram (described in more detail in paragraph B2 below) should be included with an explanation of the depicted information.

A. General Background Information – In this section, the state should include basic information about the demonstration, such as:

- 1) The issue/s that the state is trying to address with its section 1115 demonstration and/or expenditure authorities, the potential magnitude of the issue/s, and why the state selected this course of action to address the issue/s (e.g., a narrative on why the state submitted an 1115 demonstration proposal).
- 2) The name of the demonstration, approval date of the demonstration, and period of time covered by the evaluation;
- 3) A brief description of the demonstration and history of the implementation, and whether the draft Evaluation Design applies to an amendment, extension, renewal, or expansion of, the demonstration;
- 4) For renewals, amendments, and major operational changes: A description of any changes to the demonstration during the approval period; the primary reason or reasons for the change; and how the Evaluation Design was altered or augmented to address these changes.
- 5) Describe the population groups impacted by the demonstration.

B. Evaluation Questions and Hypotheses – In this section, the state should:

- 1) Describe how the state’s demonstration goals are translated into quantifiable targets for improvement, so that the performance of the demonstration in achieving these targets could be measured.
- 2) Include a Driver Diagram to visually aid readers in understanding the rationale behind the cause and effect of the variants behind the demonstration features and

intended outcomes. A driver diagram is a particularly effective modeling tool when working to improve health and health care through specific interventions. The diagram includes information about the goal of the demonstration, and the features of the demonstration. A driver diagram depicts the relationship between the aim, the primary drivers that contribute directly to achieving the aim, and the secondary drivers that are necessary to achieve the primary drivers for the demonstration. For an example and more information on driver diagrams: <https://innovation.cms.gov/files/x/hciatwoaimsdrvrs.pdf>

- 3) Identify the state's hypotheses about the outcomes of the demonstration:
 - a. Discuss how the evaluation questions align with the hypotheses and the goals of the demonstration;
 - b. Address how the research questions / hypotheses of this demonstration promote the objectives of Titles XIX and/or XXI.

C. Methodology – In this section, the state is to describe in detail the proposed research methodology. The focus is on showing that the evaluation meets the prevailing standards of scientific and academic rigor, and the results are statistically valid and reliable, and that where appropriate it builds upon other published research (use references).

This section provides the evidence that the demonstration evaluation will use the best available data; reports on, controls for, and makes appropriate adjustments for the limitations of the data and their effects on results; and discusses the generalizability of results. This section should provide enough transparency to explain what will be measured and how. Specifically, this section establishes:

- 1) *Evaluation Design* – Provide information on how the evaluation will be designed. For example, will the evaluation utilize a pre/post comparison? A post-only assessment? Will a comparison group be included?
- 2) *Target and Comparison Populations* – Describe the characteristics of the target and comparison populations, to include the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Include information about the level of analysis (beneficiary, provider, or program level), and if populations will be stratified into subgroups. Additionally discuss the sampling methodology for the populations, as well as support that a statistically reliable sample size is available.
- 3) *Evaluation Period* – Describe the time periods for which data will be included.
- 4) *Evaluation Measures* – List all measures that will be calculated to evaluate the demonstration. Include the measure stewards (i.e., the organization(s) responsible for the evaluation data elements/sets by “owning”, defining, validating; securing; and submitting for endorsement, etc.) Include numerator and denominator information. Additional items to ensure:

- a. The measures contain assessments of both process and outcomes to evaluate the effects of the demonstration during the period of approval.
 - b. Qualitative analysis methods may be used, and must be described in detail.
 - c. Benchmarking and comparisons to national and state standards, should be used, where appropriate.
 - d. Proposed health measures could include CMS’s Core Set of Health Care Quality Measures for Children in Medicaid and CHIP, Consumer Assessment of Health Care Providers and Systems (CAHPS), the Initial Core Set of Health Care Quality Measures for Medicaid-Eligible Adults and/or measures endorsed by National Quality Forum (NQF).
 - e. Proposed performance metrics can be selected from nationally recognized metrics, for example from sets developed by the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation or for meaningful use under Health Information Technology (HIT).
 - f. Among considerations in selecting the metrics shall be opportunities identified by the state for improving quality of care and health outcomes, and controlling cost of care.
- 5) *Data Sources* – Explain where the data will be obtained, and efforts to validate and clean the data. Discuss the quality and limitations of the data sources.

If primary data (data collected specifically for the evaluation) – The methods by which the data will be collected, the source of the proposed question/responses, the frequency and timing of data collection, and the method of data collection. (Copies of any proposed surveys must be reviewed with CMS for approval before implementation).

- 6) *Analytic Methods* – This section includes the details of the selected quantitative and/or qualitative measures to adequately assess the effectiveness of the demonstration. This section should:
- a. Identify the specific statistical testing which will be undertaken for each measure (e.g., t-tests, chi-square, odds ratio, ANOVA, regression). Table A is an example of how the state might want to articulate the analytic methods for each research question and measure.
 - b. Explain how the state will isolate the effects of the demonstration (from other initiatives occurring in the state at the same time) through the use of comparison groups.
 - c. A discussion of how propensity score matching and difference in differences design may be used to adjust for differences in comparison populations over time (if applicable).
 - d. The application of sensitivity analyses, as appropriate, should be considered.
- 7) *Other Additions* – The state may provide any other information pertinent to the Evaluation Design of the demonstration.

Table A. Example Design Table for the Evaluation of the Demonstration

Research Question	Outcome measures used to address the research	Sample or population subgroups to be compared	Data Sources	Analytic Methods
Hypothesis 1				
Research question 1a	-Measure 1 -Measure 2 -Measure 3	-Sample e.g. All attributed Medicaid beneficiaries -Beneficiaries with diabetes diagnosis	-Medicaid fee- for- service and encounter claims records	-Interrupted time series
Research question 1b	-Measure 1 -Measure 2 -Measure 3 -Measure 4	-sample, e.g., PPS patients who meet survey selection requirements (used services within the last 6 months)	-Patient survey	Descriptive statistics
Hypothesis 2				
Research question 2a	-Measure 1 -Measure 2	-Sample, e.g., PPS administrators	-Key informants	Qualitative analysis of interview material

D. Methodological Limitations – This section provides detailed information on the limitations of the evaluation. This could include the design, the data sources or collection process, or analytic methods. The state should also identify any efforts to minimize the limitations. Additionally, this section should include any information about features of the demonstration that effectively present methodological constraints that the state would like CMS to take into consideration in its review.

E. Special Methodological Considerations- CMS recognizes that there may be certain instances where a state cannot meet the rigor of an evaluation as expected by CMS. In these instances, the state should document for CMS why it is not able to incorporate key components of a rigorous evaluation, including comparison groups and baseline data analyses. Examples of considerations include:

- 1) When the state demonstration is:
 - a. Long-standing, non-complex, unchanged, or
 - b. Has previously been rigorously evaluated and found to be successful, or
 - c. Could now be considered standard Medicaid policy (CMS published regulations or guidance)
- 2) When the demonstration is also considered successful without issues or concerns that would require more regular reporting, such as:
 - a. Operating smoothly without administrative changes; and
 - b. No or minimal appeals and grievances; and
 - c. No state issues with CMS 64 reporting or budget neutrality; and
 - d. No Corrective Action Plans (CAP) for the demonstration.

F. Attachments

- A. Independent Evaluator.** This includes a discussion of the state’s process for obtaining an independent entity to conduct the evaluation, including a description of the qualifications that the selected entity must possess, and how the state will assure no conflict of interest. Explain how the state will assure that the Independent Evaluator will conduct a fair and impartial evaluation, prepare an objective Evaluation Report, and that there would be no conflict of interest. This includes “No Conflict of Interest” signed conformation statements.
- B. Evaluation Budget.** A budget for implementing the evaluation shall be provided with the draft Evaluation Design. It will include the total estimated cost, as well as a breakdown of estimated staff, administrative, and other costs for all aspects of the evaluation. Examples include, but are not limited to: the development of all survey and measurement instruments; quantitative and qualitative data collection; data cleaning and analyses; and reports generation. A justification of the costs may be required by CMS if the estimates provided do not appear to sufficiently cover the costs of the draft Evaluation Design or if CMS finds that the draft Evaluation Design is not sufficiently developed.
- C. Timeline and Major Milestones.** Describe the timeline for conducting the various evaluation activities, including dates for evaluation-related milestones, including those related to procurement of an outside contractor, if applicable, and deliverables. The Final Evaluation Design shall incorporate an Interim and Summative Evaluation. Pursuant to 42 CFR 431.424(c)(v), this timeline should also include the date by which the Final Summative Evaluation report is due.

Attachment B: Preparing the Interim and Summative Evaluation Reports

Introduction

For states that are testing new approaches and flexibilities in their Medicaid programs through section 1115 demonstrations, evaluations are crucial to understand and disseminate what is or is not working and why. The evaluations of new initiatives seek to produce new knowledge and direction for programs and inform Medicaid policy for the future. While a narrative about what happened during a demonstration provide important information, the principal focus of the evaluation of a section 1115 demonstration should be obtaining and analyzing data on the process (e.g., whether the demonstration is being implemented as intended), outcomes (e.g., whether the demonstration is having the intended effects on the target population), and impacts of the demonstration (e.g., whether the outcomes observed in the targeted population differ from outcomes in similar populations not affected by the demonstration). Both state and federal governments could benefit from improved quantitative and qualitative evidence to inform policy decisions.

Expectations for Evaluation Reports

Medicaid section 1115 demonstrations are required to conduct an evaluation that is valid (the extent to which the evaluation measures what it is intended to measure), and reliable (the extent to which the evaluation could produce the same results when used repeatedly). To this end, the already approved Evaluation Design is a map that begins with the demonstration goals, then transitions to the evaluation questions, and to the specific hypotheses, which will be used to investigate whether the demonstration has achieved its goals. States should have a well-structured analysis plan for their evaluation. With the following kind of information, states and CMS are best poised to inform and shape Medicaid policy in order to improve the health and welfare of Medicaid beneficiaries for decades to come. When conducting analyses and developing the evaluation reports, every effort should be made to follow the approved methodology. However, the state may request, and CMS may agree to, changes in the methodology in appropriate circumstances. When submitting an application for renewal, the interim evaluation report should be posted on the state's website with the application for public comment. Additionally, the interim evaluation report must be included in its entirety with the application submitted to CMS.

Intent of this Attachment


Title XIX of the Social Security Act (the Act) requires an evaluation of every section 1115 demonstration. In order to fulfill this requirement, the state's submission must provide a comprehensive written presentation of all key components of the demonstration, and include all required elements specified in the approved Evaluation Design. This Attachment is intended to assist states with organizing the required information in a standardized format and understanding the criteria that CMS will use in reviewing the submitted Interim and Summative Evaluation Reports.

The format for the Interim and Summative Evaluation reports are as follows:

- A. Executive Summary;
- B. General Background Information;
- C. Evaluation Questions and Hypotheses;
- D. Methodology;
- E. Methodological Limitations;
- F. Results;
- G. Conclusions;
- H. Interpretations, and Policy Implications and Interactions with Other State Initiatives;
- I. Lessons Learned and Recommendations; and
- J. Attachment(s).

Submission Timelines

There is a specified timeline for the state’s submission of Evaluation Designs and Evaluation Reports. These dates are specified in the demonstration Special Terms and Conditions (STCs). (The graphic below depicts an example of this timeline). In addition, the state should be aware that section 1115 evaluation documents are public records. In order to assure the dissemination of the evaluation findings, lessons learned, and recommendations, the state is required to publish the evaluation design and reports to the state’s website within 30 days of CMS approval, as per 42 CFR 431.424(d). CMS will also publish a copy to the Medicaid.gov website.



Required Core Components of Interim and Summative Evaluation Reports

The section 1115 Evaluation Report presents the research about the section 1115 Demonstration. It is important that the report incorporate a discussion about the structure of the Evaluation Design to explain the goals and objectives of the demonstration, the hypotheses related to the demonstration, and the methodology for the evaluation. A copy of the state’s Driver Diagram (described in the Evaluation Design Attachment) must be included with an explanation of the depicted information. The Evaluation Report should present the relevant data and an interpretation of the findings; assess the outcomes (what worked and what did not work); explain the limitations of the design, data, and analyses; offer recommendations regarding what (in hindsight) the state would further advance, or do differently, and why; and discuss the

implications on future Medicaid policy. Therefore, the state's submission must include:

- A. Executive Summary** – A summary of the demonstration, the principal results, interpretations, and recommendations of the evaluation.
- B. General Background Information about the Demonstration** – In this section, the state should include basic information about the demonstration, such as:
 - i. The issues that the state is trying to address with its section 1115 demonstration and/or expenditure authorities, how the state became aware of the issue, the potential magnitude of the issue, and why the state selected this course of action to address the issues.
 - ii. The name of the demonstration, approval date of the demonstration, and period of time covered by the evaluation;
 - iii. A brief description of the demonstration and history of the implementation, and if the evaluation is for an amendment, extension, renewal, or expansion of, the demonstration;
 - iv. For renewals, amendments, and major operational changes: A description of any changes to the demonstration during the approval period; whether the motivation for change was due to political, economic, and fiscal factors at the state and/or federal level; whether the programmatic changes were implemented to improve beneficiary health, provider/health plan performance, or administrative efficiency; and how the Evaluation Design was altered or augmented to address these changes.
 - v. Describe the population groups impacted by the demonstration.
- C. Evaluation Questions and Hypotheses** – In this section, the state should:
 - 1. Describe how the state's demonstration goals were translated into quantifiable targets for improvement, so that the performance of the demonstration in achieving these targets could be measured. The inclusion of a Driver Diagram in the Evaluation Report is highly encouraged, as the visual can aid readers in understanding the rationale behind the demonstration features and intended outcomes.
 - 2. Identify the state's hypotheses about the outcomes of the demonstration;
 - a. Discuss how the goals of the demonstration align with the evaluation questions and hypotheses;
 - b. Explain how this Evaluation Report builds upon and expands earlier demonstration evaluation findings (if applicable); and
 - c. Address how the research questions / hypotheses of this demonstration promote the objectives of Titles XIX and XXI.
- D. Methodology** – In this section, the state is to provide an overview of the research that was conducted to evaluate the section 1115 demonstration consistent with the approved Evaluation Design. The evaluation Design should also be included as an attachment to the report. The focus is on showing that the evaluation builds upon other published research (use references), and meets the prevailing standards of

scientific and academic rigor, and the results are statistically valid and reliable.

An interim report should provide any available data to date, including both quantitative and qualitative assessments. The Evaluation Design should assure there is appropriate data development and collection in a timely manner to support developing an interim evaluation.

This section provides the evidence that the demonstration evaluation used the best available data and describes why potential alternative data sources were not used; reported on, controlled for, and made appropriate adjustments for the limitations of the data and their effects on results; and discusses the generalizability of results. This section should provide enough transparency to explain what was measured and how. Specifically, this section establishes that the approved Evaluation Design was followed by describing:

1. *Evaluation Design*—Will the evaluation be an assessment of: pre/post, post-only, with or without comparison groups, etc?
2. *Target and Comparison Populations*—Describe the target and comparison populations; include inclusion and exclusion criteria.
3. *Evaluation Period*—Describe the time periods for which data will be collected
4. *Evaluation Measures*—What measures are used to evaluate the demonstration, and who are the measure stewards?
5. *Data Sources*—Explain where the data will be obtained, and efforts to validate and clean the data.
6. *Analytic methods*—Identify specific statistical testing which will be undertaken for each measure (t-tests, chi-square, odds ratio, ANOVA, regression, etc.).
7. *Other Additions* – The state may provide any other information pertinent to the evaluation of the demonstration.

E. Methodological Limitations

This section provides sufficient information for discerning the strengths and weaknesses of the study design, data sources/collection, and analyses.

F. Results – In this section, the state presents and uses the quantitative and qualitative data to show to whether and to what degree the evaluation questions and hypotheses of the demonstration were achieved. The findings should visually depict the demonstration results (tables, charts, graphs). This section should include information on the statistical tests conducted.

G. Conclusions – In this section, the state will present the conclusions about the evaluation results.

1. In general, did the results show that the demonstration was/was not effective in achieving the goals and objectives established at the beginning of the demonstration?
2. Based on the findings, discuss the outcomes and impacts of the demonstration and identify the opportunities for improvements. Specifically:

- a. If the state did not fully achieve its intended goals, why not? What could be done in the future that would better enable such an effort to more fully achieve those purposes, aims, objectives, and goals?

H. Interpretations, Policy Implications and Interactions with Other State Initiatives –

In this section, the state will discuss the section 1115 demonstration within an overall Medicaid context and long range planning. This should include interrelations of the demonstration with other aspects of the state’s Medicaid program, interactions with other Medicaid demonstrations, and other federal awards affecting service delivery, health outcomes and the cost of care under Medicaid. This section provides the state with an opportunity to provide interpretation of the data using evaluative reasoning to make judgments about the demonstration. This section should also include a discussion of the implications of the findings at both the state and national levels.

I. Lessons Learned and Recommendations – This section of the Evaluation Report involves the transfer of knowledge. Specifically, the “opportunities” for future or revised demonstrations to inform Medicaid policymakers, advocates, and stakeholders is just as significant as identifying current successful strategies. Based on the evaluation results:

1. What lessons were learned as a result of the demonstration?
2. What would you recommend to other states which may be interested in implementing a similar approach?

J. Attachment

1. Evaluation Design: Provide the CMS-approved Evaluation Design

Attachment C: SUD Implementation Protocol

**State of Utah
SUD 1115 Waiver
Implementation Plan**

**Division of Medicaid and Health Financing
Utah Department of Health**



Table of Contents

Overview	4
Milestone 1- Access to Critical Levels of Care for SUDs.....	5
Milestone 2- Use of Evidence –based SUD Specific Patient Placement Criteria	14
Milestone 3- Use of Nationally Recognized SUD Specific Program..... Standards to set Provider Qualifications for Residential Facilities	17
Milestone 4- Sufficient Provider Capacity at Critical Levels of Care including MAT	19
Milestone 5- Implementation of Comprehensive Strategies to Address Prescription Drug Abuse and Opioid Use Disorders	23
Milestone 6- Improved Care and Coordination between Levels of Care	29
Grievance and Appeals	33

Overview

The Utah Department of Health (DOH) was created in 1981 to protect the public’s health by preventing avoidable illness, injury, disability and premature death; assuring access to affordable, quality health care; promoting healthy lifestyles; and monitoring health trends and events. The Utah Department of Health is the designated Medicaid single state agency pursuant to Title 26, Chapter 1 of the Utah Code Annotated. The Division of Medicaid and Health Financing (DMHF) is the agency authorized to administer Utah’s Medicaid program.

The Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health (DSAMH) is authorized under Utah Code Annotated (UCA) §62A-15-103 as the single state authority in Utah. It is charged with ensuring a comprehensive continuum of substance use and mental health disorder services are available throughout the state. In addition, DSAMH is tasked with ensuring that public funds are spent appropriately.

According to the annual report from the Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health, Department of Human Services, State of Utah, 134,764 adults in the state were classified as needing treatment for alcohol and/or drug dependence or abuse in 2015. For youth in

grades 6 through 12, 11,804 are in need of treatment for drug and/or alcohol dependence or abuse. Seventy four percent (74%) of all adults treated by the public system are Medicaid eligible. If amendment # 15 (Attachment 9) is approved by CMS the percentage of adults needing SUD services who are Medicaid eligible will increase. At the same time 46% of all youth receiving treatment in the public system are Medicaid eligible.

Utah, like other states, is trying to address a significant increase in opioid use. According to a report recently published by the Utah Department of Health, from 2012-2014 Utah ranked 4th in the U.S. for drug poisoning deaths. Every month, 49 Utahans die as a result of a drug overdose.

In 2014, 32.3% of Utah adults reported using at least one prescribed opioid pain medication during the preceding 12 months, an increase of 55.3% since 2008. Furthermore, the prevalence of Utah adults who reported using prescription opioids that had not been prescribed to them increased 77.8% from 2008 (1.8%) to 2014 (3.2%). In 2012, Utah ranked 15th highest in the nation for high-dose opioid prescribing. A number of factors have contributed to the increase and widespread availability of prescription opioids. In the early 1990s, physicians were urged to be more attentive in identifying and aggressively treating pain. In addition, the pharmaceutical industry aggressively marketed the use of prescription opioids to providers. Consequently, opioid pain relievers, such as oxycodone and hydrocodone, gained widespread acceptance. Health care professionals prescribed opioid pain relievers more frequently as part of patient care. The increase in prescription pain medication prescribing resulted in these medicines being kept in home medicine cabinets, providing in an increased opportunity for theft or misuse. Utah needs to use all available options in a continuum of care to treat this health care crisis in our state.

MILESTONE 1: Access to Critical Levels of Care for SUD

Substance Use Disorder Delivery System

The Utah public mental health and substance abuse system provides an array of services that assure an effective continuum of care. Under the administrative direction of DSAMH, the counties and their local mental health authority (LMHA) are given the responsibility to provide mental health and substance use disorder services to its citizens. Counties set the priorities to meet local needs and submit an annual local area plan to DSAMH describing what services they will provide with State, Federal, and County money. State and Federal funds are allocated to a county or group of counties based on a formula established by DSAMH.

In Utah, a continuum of services has been designed to address the full spectrum of substance use problems. Treatment services are based on the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) Patient Placement Criteria.

Comprehensive Benefit Design

Utah administers a comprehensive evidence-based MH/SUD benefit that offers a full continuum of care. Treatment services are based on the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) Patient Placement Criteria. Effective July 1, 2017, Utah added coverage for SBIRT (Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral to Treatment) as a state plan covered service.

The following table provides an overview of each ASAM level of care with current Utah Medicaid coverage along with proposed changes:

ASAM Level of Care	Title	Description	Provider	Existing Medicaid Service Y/N	New Medicaid Service Y/N
0.5	Early Intervention	Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral for Treatment (SBIRT)	Managed care or Fee for Services provider	Y as of July 1, 2017	
1	Outpatient Services	Less than 9 hours of services /week (adults); Less than 6 hours /week adolescents) for recovery or motivational enhancement therapies/strategies, MAT, TCM	DHS/OL Certified Outpatient Facilities	Y	
2.1	Intensive Outpatient Services	9 or more hours of service/week (adults); 6 or more hours /week (adolescents) to treat multi-dimensional instability, MAT, TCM	DHS/OL Certified Outpatient Facilities	Y	
2.5	Day Treatment/ Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services	20 or more hours of service/week for multi-dimensional instability, not requiring 24 hour care, MAT, TCM	DHS/OL Certified Outpatient Facilities	Y	

3.1	Clinically Managed Low-Intensity Residential Services	24 hour structure with trained personnel; at least 5 hours of clinical service/week and prepare for outpatient treatment, MAT, TCM	DHS/OL Licensed and DHS/ASAM Designated Residential Providers	Y	
3.3	Clinically Managed Population Specific High Intensity Residential Services	24 hour structure with trained counselors to stabilize multi-dimensional imminent danger; Less intense milieu; and group treatment for those with cognitive or other impairments unable to use fill active milieu or therapeutic community and prepare for outpatient treatment, MAT, TCM	DHS/OL Licensed and DHS/ASAM Designated Residential Providers	Y	
3.5	Clinically Managed High Intensity Residential Services	24 hour care with trained counselors to stabilize multi-dimensional imminent danger and prepare for outpatient treatment, MAT, TCM	DHS/OL Licensed and DHS/ASAM Designated Residential Providers	Y	
3.7	Medically Monitored Intensive Inpatient Services	24 hour nursing care with physician availability for significant problems in Dimensions 1, 2 or 3. 16 hour/day counselor availability, MAT, TCM	Chemical Dependency Recovery Hospitals; Hospital, Free Standing Psychiatric Hospitals	Y	

4	Medically Managed Intensive Inpatient	24 hour nursing care and daily physician care for severe unstable problems in Dimensions 1, 2 or 3. Counseling available to engage patient in treatment	Chemical Dependency Recovery Hospitals; Hospital, Free Standing Psychiatric Hospitals	Y	
OTP	Opioid Treatment Program	Daily or several times weekly opioid agonist medication and counseling to maintain multidimensional stability for those with severe opioid use. MAT includes methadone, Suboxone, Naltrexone	DHS/OL Licensed OTP Maintenance Providers, Licensed Prescribers	Y	

Table Two- ASAM Criteria for Withdrawal Services

Level of Withdrawal Management	<u>Level</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Provider</u>	Existing Medicaid Service Y/N	New Medicaid Service Y/N
Ambulatory Withdrawal Management Without Extended on-Site Monitoring	1-WM	Mild withdrawal with daily or less than daily outpatient supervision	DHS/OL Certified Outpatient Facility w/ Detox Certification; Physician, licensed prescriber; or OTP for opioids	N	Y
Ambulatory Withdrawal Management with Extended On-site Monitoring	2-WM	Moderate withdrawal management and support and supervision; at night has supportive family or living situation	DHS/OL Certified Outpatient Facility w/ Detox Certification; Licensed Prescriber; or OTP for Opioids	Y	
Clinically Managed Residential Withdrawal Management	3.2-WM	Moderate withdrawal, but needs 24 hour support to complete withdrawal management and increase likelihood of continuing treatment or recovery	DHS/OL Licensed Residential Facility w/ Detox Certification; Physician, Licensed Prescriber; Ability to Promptly Receive Step-downs	N	Y

Utah currently covers the discrete individual services if an individual is eligible for Medicaid and is in residential treatment for ASAM level 3.1, 3.3, 3.5 and 3.7 levels of

care. Utah's waiver allows Medicaid to cover services provided for ASAM level 3.1, 3.3, 3.5 and 3.7 on a per diem basis for all Medicaid eligible populations in facilities with 17 or more beds. Each of the ASAM levels of care will be addressed in more detail to describe current coverage, future coverage, and a timeline for implementation of any proposed changes. In addition, the Utah Medicaid Provider Manual, Rehabilitative Mental Health and Substance Abuse Disorder Services will be updated to reflect each ASAM level of care covered by Utah Medicaid. This update will be completed by July 1, 2018.

Residential treatment

Services for Adolescents and Youth with an SUD

Access to substance abuse treatment is especially important for the millions of children who live with at least one parent who is dependent on alcohol or an illicit drug. Utah provides coverage to all children under the age of 21 for screening, vision, dental, hearing, and other medically necessary health care services to treat, correct, or ameliorate illnesses and conditions discovered, regardless of whether the service is covered in the Utah Medicaid State Plan, as required by Early and Periodic screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (EPSDT). This benefit extends to all substance abuse treatment identified through the ASAM continuum of care, including residential and inpatient treatment.

Level of Care: 0.5 (Early Intervention)

Current State:

Utah Medicaid provides coverage for several individual services around early intervention, including smoking cessation counseling and screening, brief intervention, and referral to treatment (SBIRT). These services are available to all Utah Medicaid members without prior authorization.

Future State:

No changes are expected.

Summary of Actions Needed:

None

Level of Care: 1.0 (Outpatient Services)

Current State:

Utah Medicaid reimburses for outpatient treatment (OT) as a service available through on a fee for services basis and through Utah's Prepaid Mental Health Plans. Coverage, code

and billing details can be found in the Utah Medicaid Provider Manual for Rehabilitative Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder Services.

<https://medicaid.utah.gov/Documents/manuals/pdfs/Medicaid%20Provider%20Manuals/Rehabilitative%20Mental%20Health%20And%20Substance%20Use%20Disorder%20Services/RehabMentalHealthSubAbuse7-17.pdf>

Future State:

No changes are expected

Summary of Actions Needed:

None

Level of Care: 2.1 (Intensive Outpatient Services)

Current State:

Utah Medicaid reimburses for intensive outpatient treatment (IOT) as a service available through on a fee for services basis and through Utah’s Prepaid Mental Health Plans. Coverage, code and billing details can be found in the Utah Medicaid Provider Manual for Rehabilitative Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder Services.

<https://medicaid.utah.gov/Documents/manuals/pdfs/Medicaid%20Provider%20Manuals/Rehabilitative%20Mental%20Health%20And%20Substance%20Use%20Disorder%20Services/RehabMentalHealthSubAbuse7-17.pdf>

Future State:

No changes are expected

Summary of Actions Needed:

None

Level of Care: 2.5 (Day Treatment/Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services/ Partial Hospitalization)

Current State:

Utah Medicaid covers Day Treatment/Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services for all members as a service available through on a fee for services basis and through Utah’s Prepaid Mental Health Plans. Coverage, code and billing details can be found in the Utah Medicaid Provider Manual for Rehabilitative Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder Services.

<https://medicaid.utah.gov/Documents/manuals/pdfs/Medicaid%20Provider%20Manuals/Rehabilitative%20Mental%20Health%20And%20Substance%20Use%20Disorder%20Services/RehabMentalHealthSubAbuse7-17.pdf>

Future State:

No immediate changes are expected.

Summary of Actions Needed:

None

Level of Care: 3.1 / 3.5 (Clinically Managed Low-Intensity Residential / Clinically Managed High-Intensity Residential)

Current State:

Residential treatment for substance abuse disorders can be provided within institutions for mental disease (IMDs). An IMD is defined as a hospital, nursing facility, or other institution of more than 16 beds that is primarily engaged in providing diagnosis, treatment, or care of persons with mental diseases, including medical attention, nursing care, and related services. Federal law prohibits federal financial participation (FFP) from going to IMDs for individuals aged 21 through 64. One of the primary goals of the 1115 SUD waiver is to waive this restriction and allow IMDs to provide treatment to all Utah Medicaid members, including inpatient and residential treatment.

Utah Medicaid currently covers the discrete individuals services provided to Medicaid members who are in a residential treatment facility at ASAM level 3.1 or 3.5 with no more than 16 beds.

Future State:

Utah Medicaid determined a per diem rate to pay for residential treatment for substance use disorder. Therefore upon approval of Utah’s amendment to its 1115 waiver and Utah’s SUD Implementation Plan, Level 3.1 (clinically managed low-intensity residential) and Level 3.5 (clinically managed high-intensity residential) will be reimbursable in a facility with 17 or more beds (IMD) for all Utah Medicaid populations (fee-for-service and managed care).

The State will reimburse residential programs based on a bundled per diem payment. The bundled rate methodology for both Level 3.1 and 3.5 residential services will initially be based around a mix of current discrete services Medicaid eligible individuals receive while in a residential treatment setting.

Only facilities that have been designated by the Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health (DSAMH) as a Level 3.1 or Level 3.5 residential facility will receive

reimbursement from Utah Medicaid. The development of improved certification requirements and ASAM designation for these facilities will be addressed under a later section of the implementation plan.

Summary of Action Items:

- MMIS system modifications (including finalizing coding)
- Update the Utah provider manual, “Rehabilitative Mental Health and Substance Abuse Disorder Services” to reflect coverage based on ASAM Levels of care for 3.1, 3.3, 3.5 and 3.7.
- Provider notification and training

Action Implementation Timeline

- Develop rate methodology for residential treatment- COMPLETE
- MMIS system modifications (including finalizing coding)- November 1, 2017
- Provider notification and training- Beginning November 2, 2017
- Coverage and Reimbursement for ASAM levels of care 3.1/3.5 on a per diem basis in a facility with 17 or more beds (IMD) will be available immediately upon approval the Utah’s SUD Implementation Plan.
- Update the Utah provider manual, “Rehabilitative Mental Health and Substance Abuse Disorder Services” to reflect coverage based on ASAM Levels of care for 3.1, 3.3, 3.5 and 3.7 by March 31, 2018.

Level of Care: 3.7 (Medically Monitored Intensive Inpatient / Medically Managed Intensive Inpatient) Withdrawal Management Services (Inpatient Detoxification)

Current State

Utah Medicaid currently covers the discrete individual services provided to Medicaid members who are in a residential treatment facility at ASAM level 3.7 with no more than 16 beds.

Utah Medicaid has established a methodology to pay for residential treatment for substance use disorder. Therefore upon approval of Utah’s amendment to its 1115 waiver Level 3.7 (Medically Monitored Intensive Inpatient) will be reimbursable for all populations (fee-for-service and managed care).

The State will reimburse residential programs based on a bundled per diem payment. The bundled rate methodology for Level 3.7 will initially be based around a mix of current

discrete services Medicaid eligible individuals receive while in a residential treatment setting.

Only facilities that have been designated by the Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health (DSAMH) as a Level 3.7 residential facility will receive reimbursement from Utah Medicaid. The development of improved certification requirements and ASAM designation for these facilities will be addressed under a later section of the implementation plan.

Summary of Action Items:

- MMIS system modifications (including finalizing coding)
- Update provider manuals
- Provider notification and training

Action Implementation Timeline

- Develop rate methodology for residential treatment- COMPLETE
- MMIS system modifications (including finalizing coding)- November 1, 2017
- Provider notification and training- Beginning November 2, 2017
- Coverage and Reimbursement for ASAM levels of care 3.7 on a per diem basis will be available immediately upon approval the Utah’s SUD Implementation Plan.
- Update the Utah provider manual, “Rehabilitative Mental Health and Substance Abuse Disorder Services” to reflect coverage based on ASAM Levels of care for 3.1, 3.3, 3.5 and 3.7 by March 31, 2018.

Future State:

No changes are expected

Summary of Actions Needed:

None

Sub Support Service – Addiction Recovery Management Services

Current State:

Utah currently covers addiction recovery management services. Please see the Utah Medicaid Provider Manual for Rehabilitative Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder Services.

<https://medicaid.utah.gov/Documents/manuals/pdfs/Medicaid%20Provider%20Manuals/Rehabilitative%20Mental%20Health%20And%20Substance%20Use%20Disorder%20S>

[ervices/RehabMentalHealthSubAbuse7-17.pdf](#)

Future State:

No changes are expected

Summary of Actions Needed:

None

MILESTONE 2. Use of Evidence –based SUD Specific Patient Placement Criteria

Patient Assessments

The Utah State Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health (DSAMH) requires that the Local Authority Substance Use and Mental Health Providers complete the following (1) Biopsychosocial Assessment (2) ASAM Patient Placement Criteria and (3) Screening for substance use disorder, mental health and suicide risk. However, DSAMH does not require one specific multi-dimensional tool. The assessment should be ongoing, strength based, and comprehensive to identify individual strengths and needs. These requirements are found in the DSAMH Division

Directives: [https://dsamh.utah.gov/pdf/contracts_and_monitoring/Divison Directives FY 17_Final.pdf](https://dsamh.utah.gov/pdf/contracts_and_monitoring/Divison_Directives_FY_17_Final.pdf).

In addition, Utah Administrative Rule R523-4 requires: “Assessments shall identify the individual's level of motivation for treatment and implement strategies to increase engagement and need for clinically appropriate Mental Health Disorder services and/or Substance Use Disorder services in the following modified ASAM Patient Placement Criteria dimensions:

- (a) Risk of acute psychosis, intoxication/withdrawal;
 - (b) Biomedical conditions or complications;
 - (c) Emotional, behavioral, or cognitive conditions;
 - (d) Readiness to change;
 - (e) Relapse, continued use or continued problem potential; and
 - (f) Recovery environment.
- (3) The assessment shall include relevant information on:
- (a) The individual's psychosocial function, substance use including tobacco/nicotine,

mental and physical health, and other factors, such as educational experiences, trauma history, cultural issues, legal involvement, and family relationships that are relevant to the purpose of the assessment;

(b) Strengths, resiliencies, natural supports, interests of the individual, and an evaluation of the individual's unique abilities;

(c) Developmental and functional levels, social, emotional, communication abilities and strengths, and independent living skills;

(d) Cognitive, social, and affective development; family, peer, and intimate relationships; trauma; current or past emotional, physical or sexual abuse; suicidality; and safety;

(e) Collateral information from other sources that are relevant to the individual's situation and provides insight into the issues in Subsection R523-4-6(2)(a) through (2)(d).

(4) The assessment shall include a diagnosis when clinically indicated.

(5) Based on the screening and the assessment, the assessor shall make recommendations regarding the needed level of care and services to address the identified clinical needs.

(6) The levels of care and array of services shall be based on the ASAM.”

DSAMH conducts annual monitoring site visits to all county local authority treatment programs in which clinical records and client placement is reviewed. Our monitoring tools and reports are online at: <https://dsamh.utah.gov/provider-information/contracts-monitoring/>.

Retention in treatment is the factor most consistently associated with positive client outcomes. The appropriate length of a treatment varies based on the needs of the individual. However, the National Institute of Drug Addiction (NIDA) states: “Participation in residential or outpatient treatment for less than 90 days is of limited effectiveness and treatment lasting significantly longer is recommended for maintaining positive outcomes. For methadone maintenance, 12 months is considered a minimum, and some individuals with opioid use disorders continue to benefit from methadone maintenance for many years.” Just like treatment for any other chronic disease, addiction treatment must be of sufficient duration to succeed. Client progress over a short period of time should not be seen as a “cure.” Likewise, relapse should not be a reason to discontinue care. Programs should employ multiple strategies to engage and retain clients. Successful programs offer continuing care, and use techniques that have been proven to enhance client motivation. It is also important to recognize that multiple episodes of treatment may be necessary.

Future State:

All providers will be trained on ASAM criteria

Summary of Actions Needed:

Ongoing provider training on ASAM criteria

Action Implementation Timeline

- Provider education will continue to be provided on ASAM Criteria by the Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health throughout 2017 and 2018

Independent Third Party

Once an eligible licensed professional completes a psychosocial assessment for individuals needing substance abuse treatment, those findings must be reviewed by an independent third party that has the necessary competencies to use the ASAM Patient Placement Criteria to assure the findings were correct.

The Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health is responsible for monitoring and oversight of the public behavioral health system. DSAMH conducts annual, on-site monitoring of each Local Authority in the public behavioral health system. The monitoring visits are required by Utah Code and are intended to measure contract compliance, use of evidence-based practices, as well as ensure a cohesive, strategic direction for the state and to assure individuals are receiving services at the appropriate level of care.

In addition, if a Medicaid member is enrolled in a PMHP for their SUD services, the PMHP is responsible to assure the findings from a psychosocial assessment is correct for their enrollee. PMHPs may also implement utilization review in the form of prior authorization of services.

Future State:

Utah Medicaid does not currently require prior authorization for residential treatment based on ASAM Levels of Care for fee for service members. Utah Medicaid will need to establish a utilization review process based on ASAM criteria to assure that all residential placement for fee for service members are appropriate. In addition, Utah Medicaid needs to review PMHP contract language to assure this requirement is clear. Each entity will be allowed to utilize any evidence-based system for clinical guidelines that incorporates the medical criteria required for an individual to meet an ASAM level of care.

Summary of Actions Needed:

This requirement will be formalized in Medicaid policy and Managed Care contracts. Procedures need to be established and implemented for fee for service members.

Action Implementation Timeline:

- Medicaid policy will be clarified by July, 1, 2018
- PMHP contracts clarified no later than July 1, 2018.
- Utah Medicaid will establish and implement procedures to review placements for appropriate ASAM level of care for fee for service members by July 1, 2018

Milestone 3: Use of Nationally Recognized SUD-specific Program Standard to Set Provider Qualifications for Residential Treatment Facilities

Certification of Residential Facilities

Utah through the Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health established provider qualification requirements for residential treatment providers in their licensure standards, or other guidance that mirror the description of good quality residential treatment services in the ASAM Criteria or other nationally recognized SUD-specific program standards, <https://rules.utah.gov/publicat/code/r501/r501-19.htm>. In addition, counties that contract for residential services have detailed contracts with providers based on ASAM Criteria.

The Office of Licensing audits to these guidelines. DSAMH conducts annual monitoring site visits to Local Authorities reviewing Policy and Procedures, licensures, schedules, clinical documents. Copies of DSAMH monitoring tools and reports can be found at: <https://dsamh.utah.gov/provider-information/contracts-monitoring/>.

Future State:

Utah Medicaid will have a process established to certify private residential treatment facilities based on ASAM criteria who may provide services to Medicaid fee for service members.

Summary of Actions Needed:

Utah Medicaid will need to establish and implement a process to certify private residential treatment facilities based on ASAM criteria who provide services to Medicaid fee for service members. In addition, PMHP contracts language regarding this requirement should be reviewed to determine if changes to the contract to support this milestone are necessary.

Action Implementation Timeline

- Utah Medicaid will establish and implement a process to certify private residential treatment facilities based on ASAM criteria who provide services to Medicaid fee for service members no later than July 1, 2018.
- The Utah Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health and the Office of Licensing will implement a process to certify public and private non-profit residential treatment facilities based on ASAM criteria who provide services to Medicaid fee for service members no later than December 31, 2018.
- PMHP contracts language regarding this requirement will be reviewed and modified if appropriate by July 1, 2018.
- Administrative rule making will be promulgated to support this milestone with an effective date of July 1, 2018.
- An addendum to the Utah Medicaid Provider Agreement will be implemented to gather information on ASAM levels of care provided by private residential treatment providers by March 31, 2018.

MILESTONE 4- Sufficient Provider Capacity at Critical Levels of Care including for Medication Assisted Treatment

Network Development Plan

Overall Strategy- Addiction Treatment Services Providers

Network adequacy is a critical concern for the success of the 1115 SUD waiver. DSAMH certifies all mental health and addiction providers in Utah. In addition, SUD professionals are licensed by the Utah Division of Occupational and Professional Licensing. Finally residential treatment programs are licensed by the Division of Licensing, Utah Department of Human Services.

Local Substance Abuse authorities are responsible to provide SUD treatment to the residents of their county. Community mental health centers and their contracted providers are the core of public SUD services in Utah. The DSAMH monitors the Local authorities to assure appropriate access to care for county residents. In addition, the DMHF and DSMH are working with several private non-profit residential treatment

providers to expand their capacity to provide treatment to Medicaid members in need of residential treatment. The state anticipates there will be at least 240 residential treatment beds available by July 1, 2018. DSAMH also prepared an inventory of additional residential treatment providers across the state who can provide treatment if the need arises.

The DSAMH works closely with the Local Mental Health and Substance Abuse Authorities to ensure there are a sufficient number of providers in the community to provide access to outpatient services. In addition, HSAG, Utah Medicaid contracted external quality review organization (EQRO) also conducts an assessment of the adequacy of provider networks for Medicaid contracted managed care entities. The Local MH/SA Authorities contract with Utah Medicaid as PIHPs or PAHPs pursuant to Utah's 1915(b) Prepaid Mental Health Waiver.

Future State:

The inventory of providers prepared by DSAMH does not identify providers by ASAM level of care nor identify if the provider is accepting new patients. The State may have a total of 240 residential treatment beds from private non-profit providers by July 1, 2018.

Summary of Actions Needed:

The DSAMH provider inventory needs to be updated to identify providers by ASAM level of care and whether or not providers are accepting new patients. DMHF and DSAMH will continue to work together to assure Medicaid members in need of SUD treatment services have access to care.

Action Implementation Timeline:

- DSAMH will update their provider inventory referred to above to include information on the providers at each ASAM level of care and whether or not the provider is accepting new patients by September 2018.
- DMHF and DSAMH will meet on an annual basis to evaluate the adequacy of access to SUD providers for the entire continuum of care on an annual basis beginning May 2018.

Program Integrity Safeguards

Utah Medicaid complies with all required provider screening and enrollment requirements as outlined in *42 CFR 455, Subpart E*.

Risk-Based Screening

Each provider is subject to pre-enrollment screening. Providers are categorized by risk level - limited, moderate, or high - using the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidelines for risk determination. The risk level assignment of an individual provider may be increased at any time as a result of a payment suspension, an overpayment, Office of Inspector General (OIG) exclusion within the past 10 years, or at the discretion of the State pursuant to Utah Administrative Code R. In these instances, the provider is notified by the State, and the new risk level will apply to processing enrollment-related transactions. Providers who are enrolling (including changes of ownership) or revalidating are screened according to their assigned risk levels. Providers assigned to the high-risk category are required to pass a national fingerprint-based criminal background check in order to enroll or remain enrolled with the Utah Medicaid. All individuals who have at least 5% ownership or controlling interest in the enrolling business entity are required to have criminal background checks. The requirement also applies to individual practitioners who have been assigned to the high-risk category.

The criminal background check requires affected individuals to submit to fingerprinting. When fingerprints are taken, a confirmation number is provided. Individuals being fingerprinted should be sure to record the confirmation number, as they will need this information when completing the IHCP provider enrollment application. Individuals who have had fingerprint-based federal criminal background checks for the IHCP within the last six months do not need to repeat the process for a new enrollment; the confirmation number of the prior fingerprinting is acceptable, as long as it was conducted within six months of submission. Individuals are responsible for the cost of the fingerprinting. It is important to follow instructions carefully, or it may be necessary to be fingerprinted.

Utah Medicaid may deny or terminate an individual's or entity's eligibility to participate as a Medicaid provider in the state of Utah if the agency finds that the provider or a person owning, directly or indirectly, at least 5% of the enrolling/enrolled entity has been convicted of any offense (including guilty pleas and adjudicated pretrial diversions) that the agency determines is inconsistent with the best interest of Utah Medicaid members or the Medicaid program. The following list includes examples of offenses that may demonstrate that a provider is not eligible for participation. This list is not exhaustive. Felony crimes against persons, such as murder, rape, assault, and other similar violent crimes.

- Financial crimes, such as extortion, embezzlement, income tax evasion, insurance fraud, and other crimes of criminal neglect, misconduct, or fraud
- A criminal offense that may subject members to an undue risk of harm
- Sexual misconduct that may subject members to an undue risk of harm
- A crime involving a controlled substance
- Abuse or neglect of a child or adult
- A crime involving the use of a firearm or other deadly weapon
- Crimes directly related to the provider's ability to provide services under the Medicaid Program

In addition, Utah Medicaid may implement administrative sanction against a provider who abuse or improperly apply the program pursuant to Utah Administrative Code R414-22.

Provider Revalidation

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) requires state Medicaid programs to revalidate provider enrollments at intervals not to exceed every five years. The CMS revalidation requirement for durable medical equipment (DME) and home medical equipment (HME) providers, including pharmacy providers with DME or HME specialty enrollments, is more frequent, at intervals not to exceed every three years.

Utah Medicaid providers receive notification letters when it is time to recredential their enrollments. Notification with instructions for revalidating are sent 90 and 60 days in advance of the revalidation deadline. Notices are mailed to the Service Location address indicated on the provider's service location profile. Providers with multiple service locations must revalidate the enrollment of each service location. Providers that fail to submit revalidation paperwork in a timely manner will be disenrolled from participation in Utah Medicaid.

After disenrollment, the provider will need to submit a new Utah Medicaid Provider Enrollment Application and all Documents to reenroll with Utah Medicaid. Disenrollment with subsequent re-enrollment may result in a gap in the provider's eligibility.

Provider Agreements

Before participating with Utah Medicaid, all substance abuse providers must have a signed Provider Agreement with Utah Medicaid pursuant to *42 CFR 431.107*. All providers on a PMHPs provider panel must also be enrolled directly with the Utah Medicaid program. In addition the provider is credentialed by the plan and enter into a contract with the PMHP.

Billing and Compliance Issues

As part of the Provider Agreement, providers agree to disclose information on ownership and control, information related to business transactions, information on changes in ownership, and information on persons convicted of crimes. In addition to DMHF, the Utah Office of Inspector General for Medicaid Services has responsibility for overseeing the integrity of all Medicaid payments issued by the State for services on behalf of all Medicaid-eligible beneficiaries as well as referring cases of suspected fraud to the Utah Office of the Attorney General, Medicaid Fraud Control Unit. Additionally, each of Utah Medicaid MCEs are contractually obligated to have administrative procedures that detail the manner in which each will detect fraud and abuse, including

the operation of special investigation units (SIUs). The MCE SIUs meet regularly with the OIG and MFCU address program integrity issues. The MCEs are also contractually obligated to provide reports to Utah Medicaid on their activities.

Providers can find out how to enroll with Utah Medicaid at <https://medicaid.utah.gov/become-medicaid-provider>

Benefit Management

All Utah ACOs and PMHPs are required by contract to provide the same benefits as Utah's fee for service Medicaid program in accordance with Article 4 of the contract.

Future State:

No changes are expected.

Summary of Actions Needed:

None

MILESTONE 5: Implementation of Comprehensive Strategies to Address Prescription Drug Abuse and Opioid Use Disorders

Level of Care: OTS (Opioid Treatment Services)

Current State:

Utah Medicaid currently provides coverage for opioid treatment program (OTP) services, including the daily administration of methadone. Methadone programs are licensed by the Department of Human Services. Methadone is only administered by licensed clinics, which bill Utah Medicaid directly on a fee for service basis for any Medicaid member, even those enrolled in managed care. Methadone is a carved out service for managed care.

Methadone providers are enrolled as Utah Medicaid Providers or as an ordering, prescribing, or referring provider in accordance with Section 6401 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

Utah Administrative Rule R523-4 requires that "All individuals with alcohol and/or opioid disorders shall be educated and screened for the potential use of medication-assisted treatment." In addition, the DSAMH Directives require that, "Local Substance Abuse Authority treatment programs . . .

ii. Evaluate all clients who are opioid or alcohol dependent for the use of Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT) within the first 10 days of services and document the results of the assessment. Educate the client about MAT options; when clinically indicated and the client is amenable:

- a. Include the use of MAT in the treatment plan, and
- b. Either provide MAT as part of the treatment, or
- c. Refer the individual for MAT.

Some Local Authority Residential Providers have a physician in their program that can provide MAT (Buprenorphine) to contracted residential treatment providers. In addition, they coordinate closely with the Utah State Opioid Treatment Providers who provide MAT to residential programs on or off site.

In Utah, the illegal use of prescription drugs has reached epidemic proportions.

- An average of 21 Utahns die as a result of prescription opioids (pain killers) each month
- Opioids contribute to approximately three out of four drug overdose deaths
- The number of prescription opioid deaths decreased from 301 in 2014 to 278 in 2015

Over the last decade, prescription pain medications have been responsible for more drug deaths in Utah than all other drugs combined. However, coordinating with multiple partners and focusing prevention and intervention efforts has resulted in Utah seeing a decrease in opioid related deaths by 7.6% in one year <https://www.health.utah.gov/vipp/pdf/RxDrugs/PrescribingPracticeInUtah.pdf>. DSAMH collaborates with the Department of Health to increase access to naloxone, a drug that reverses opiate overdose, and to increase efforts to prevent abuse and misuse. Following the Strategic Prevention Framework, prevention efforts include coalition work, changing laws, and strategic use of evidence-based prevention programs. DSAMH has been actively involved in numerous state initiatives designed to reduce the impact of opioid abuse:

- Use Only As Directed (UOAD) began in 2007 in collaboration with the Utah Department of Health, Department of Human Services, Law Enforcement, and private industry. This statewide campaign focuses on safe use, storage, and disposal of prescription medications. Since 2013, Intermountain Healthcare has been an active partner. In August 2016, Intermountain Healthcare and UOAD launched a new campaign at McKay Dee Hospital, showing that every day, 7,000 prescriptions are filled in Utah.

- The Center for Disease Control released a revised set of Prescriber Guidelines in 2016. The guidelines outline appropriate prescribing protocols in an effort to decrease the over prescribing of opioids for non-cancer incidences.
- Take Back Events—semi-annual event collecting thousands of pounds of unused and expired medications.

Successful treatment may include:

- Detoxification (the process by which the body rids itself of a drug)
- Behavioral counseling, medication (for opioid, tobacco, or alcohol addiction)
 - Evaluation and treatment for co-occurring mental health issues such as depression and anxiety with long-term follow-up to prevent relapse.

In 2016 Utah published a comprehensive report, “Opioid Prescribing Practices in Utah.” This report was a partner publication of the Utah Department of Health and Utah Department of Commerce, Division of Occupational and Professional Licensing. The following Utah Department of Health programs contributed to this report: Center for Health Data and Informatics, Department of Technology Services, Executive Director’s Office, Health Informatics Program, Office of Health Care Statistics, and Violence and Injury Prevention Program. The report outlines Utah’s efforts to establish prescribing guidelines consistent with the CDC Guidelines. The report can be found at:

<https://www.health.utah.gov/vipp/pdf/RxDrugs/PrescribingPracticeInUtah.pdf>

A range of care with a tailored treatment program and follow-up options can be crucial to success. Treatment should include both medical and mental health services as needed. Follow-up care may include community- or family-based recovery support systems. Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT) is a safe and effective strategy for reducing opioid use and the risk of overdose. Currently, there are three MAT medications approved by the FDA for the treatment of opioid dependence: methadone, buprenorphine and naltrexone. These medications are used in combination with counseling and behavioral therapies, to provide a “whole-patient” approach. People may safely take medications used in MAT for months, years, several years, or even a lifetime. Plans to stop a medication must always be discussed with a doctor. Methadone works by changing how the brain and nervous system respond to pain. It lessens the painful symptoms of opiate withdrawal and blocks the euphoric effects of opioids. By law, methadone used to treat opiate-use disorder can only be dispensed through an Opioid Treatment Programs (OTP) certified by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), regulated by the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA), Licensed by Department of Human Services and accredited by one of the major healthcare accreditation entities. There are 14 OTP providers in the State of Utah. Utah’s OTP’s provide safe and effective treatment that includes regular counseling sessions, drug testing, and medication assisted treatment and recovery support. In 2015, 3,495 individuals sought assistance at the OTP clinics in Utah.

Buprenorphine is the first medication to treat opioid dependency that is permitted to be

prescribed or dispensed in physician offices, significantly increasing treatment access. Like methadone, buprenorphine suppresses and reduces cravings for the abused drug. Buprenorphine is prescribed as part of a comprehensive treatment plan that includes counseling and participation in social support programs. SAMHSA has developed an online prescriber locator: samhsa.gov/medication-assistedtreatment/physician-program-data/treatmentphysician-locator.

Strategies to Address Prescription Drug Abuse / Opioid Use Disorder

DSAMH assisted in passing Legislation related to Naloxone education and distribution. DSAMH also works closely with the Utah Department of Health (UDOH), Utah Naloxone and other stakeholders to increase access to Naloxone. DSAMH has provided funding to the Department of Public Safety, the Utah Department of Corrections and the Utah Department of Health for projects related to naloxone training, purchase and distribution.

DSAMH will also provide funding to the University of Utah's Utah Naloxone Project. Information about this project can be found at: <http://www.utahnaloxone.org/>. In addition, DSAMH will provide funds to support 13 local Naloxone training and distribution entities contracted with UDOH. In addition, the 2018 DSAMH Directives includes the following requirement: "Local Substance Abuse Authority treatment programs shall provide Naloxone education, training and assistance to individuals with opioid use disorders and when possible to their families, friends, and significant others." DSAMH will monitor to ensure this requirement is met during annual site visits.

Prior Authorization Criteria

Utah Medicaid's prior authorization criteria for pharmacy can be found on the Utah Medicaid website at <https://medicaid.utah.gov/pharmacy/prior-authorization>

Prescribing Guidelines

DSAMH participated with the UDOH and the Utah Medical Association (UMA) in the development of the Utah Clinical Guidelines on Prescribing Opioids published in 2008. DSAMH worked again with UDOH and the UMA to update these guidelines in 2016.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Weber Human Services (WHS) and Davis

Behavioral Health received funding from Intermountain Healthcare to provide medication assisted treatment and counseling for individuals with opioid dependence

from prescription drugs that may have also led to current heroin use. Since its beginning, 120 clients have been served in the Opioid Community Collaborative. Currently, in Salt Lake County, a pilot project was legislatively funded in FY15 offering clients coming out of jail or prison with the option of using Vivitrol in coordination with treatment. Salt Lake County Behavioral Health Services launched this project in September 2015 and has served 205 clients to date. The average length of stay in the program is 3-4 months. Salt Lake County anticipates ongoing growth and increased participation and length of stay in the program. Syringe Exchange Programs (SEP) also known as syringe services programs (SSPs), needle exchange programs (NEPs), and needle-syringe programs (NSPs), are community-based programs that provide access to sterile needles and syringes free of charge. The programs also facilitate safe disposal of used needles and syringes. SEPs are an effective component of a comprehensive, integrated approach to HIV and hepatitis C prevention among people who inject drugs. Most SEPs offer other prevention materials and services, such as HIV/HCV education; overdose prevention, including Naloxone distribution; referral to substance abuse treatment programs; and counseling and testing for HIV and hepatitis C.

Syringe exchange programs became legal in Utah in 2016, the day Utah Governor Gary Herbert signed House Bill 308 into law. The bill went into effect May 2016, and states that agencies in Utah “may operate a syringe exchange program in the state to prevent the transmission of disease and reduce morbidity and mortality among individuals who inject drugs and those individuals’ contacts.” HB 308 does not fund syringe exchange programs in Utah, it only provides guidelines and reporting requirements and follows the restrictions of federal funding.

Naloxone (Narcan®) is a life-saving prescription medication used as an antidote to opioid overdose. Naloxone has mainly been used in the past in the hospital or by emergency medical personnel. However, Naloxone kits are now available for patients to use for emergency treatment of overdoses at home. In 2016, the executive director of the Utah Department of Health signed a statewide standing order allowing to dispense Naloxone, without a prior prescription, to anyone at increased risk of experiencing or witnessing an overdose. Through this standing order, anyone can purchase Naloxone without a prescription. DSAMH has worked to provide Naloxone kits and training to first responders, as well as all Adult Probation & Parole agents, and individuals in the community.

Drug Courts

Individuals with a substance use disorder are disproportionately represented in our criminal justice system. Evidence indicates that approximately 80% of individuals in the criminal justice system meet the definition of substance use involvement and between one-half to two-thirds meet diagnostic criteria for substance abuse or dependence.

Drug courts are special court dockets designed to treat individuals with substance use disorders and provide them the tools they need to change their lives. The drug court judge serves as the leader of a multidisciplinary team of professionals, which commonly includes a program coordinator, prosecuting attorney, defense attorney, probation or community supervision officer, and treatment representatives.

Drug Courts provide an alternative to incarceration. Eligible participants for these programs have a moderate-to-severe substance use disorder, are charged with non-violent, drug-related offenses, such as possession or sale of a controlled substance, or another offense caused or influenced by drug use, such as theft or forgery to support a drug addiction, and who are at substantial risk for reoffending, commonly referred to as high-risk and high-need offenders. To effectively work with this population, Drug Courts provide intensive supervision and treatment services in a community environment.

Successful completion of the program results in expunged charges, vacated or reduced sentences, or rescinded probation.

DSAMH funds 45 drug courts throughout the state of Utah; 25 adult felony drug courts, 15 family dependency drug courts, and 5 juvenile drug courts. In fiscal year 2016, Utah's drug court program served 2084 individuals, the majority of whom participated in the adult felony drug court program.

DSAMH and partner agencies (the Administrative Office of the Courts and the Department of Corrections) work to improve quality assurance and monitoring processes of the program. In addition to conducting annual site visits and biennial certifications of the courts, DSAMH has partnered with the National Center of State Courts to conduct process and outcome evaluations at select Utah Drug Courts, once completed new performance measurements will be developed and implemented throughout the state to help insure best practice standards are followed.

Future State:

Utah Medicaid will implement a coverage policy to limit opioid prescriptions for dental procedures to 3 days without prior authorization

Summary of Actions Needed:

Draft policy and administrative rule
Submit rule for public comment
Publish policy and notify providers and pharmacies

Action Implementation Timeline

- Draft policy and rule by March 1, 2018
- Notify providers and pharmacies in June and July 2018 Medicaid Information Bulletin
- Implement coverage policy that limits opioid prescriptions for dental procedures to three (3) days by July 1, 2018.

Milestone 6 Improved Care Coordination and Transitions between Levels of Care

Transitions of Care

Current State

Appropriate management of transition of care is critical to the success of the individual in overcoming their SUD. Several of Utah's residential treatment providers also provide a full continuum of outpatient SUD services.

Future State:

Utah will add an addendum to the Utah Provider agreement for enrolled residential treatment providers that outlines a specific requirement that the provider is responsible to assure appropriate transitions of care either by providing this service directly or coordinating the provision of this service with another provider.

Utah plans to amend the Utah Provider Manuals for, Targeted Case Management for Individuals with Serious Mental Illness, to include Substance Use Disorder. In addition, Utah will amend the Utah Provider Manual for Hospital services. Both manuals will clearly state the requirement for residential and inpatient treatment facilities to coordinate and facilitate transition of Medicaid member to community based services and supports following a stay at a facility.

In addition, Utah will modify the language in its Prepaid Mental Health Plan (PMHP) contracts in section 10.3 Coordination and Continuity of Care to specify the same requirements as stated in revised policy.

Summary of Actions Needed:

Utah will amend provider manuals and managed care contracts. Providers and Managed Care Contractors will need to be notified and trained regarding the state's transitions of care requirement.

Action Implementation Timeline

- Utah will amend provider manuals and the PMHP contracts by July 1, 2018

- Providers will be notified of this change in the May, June and July 2018 Medicaid information Bulletin.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Case Management

Case management is a central highlight of community mental health work, both in teams and individually working with people with mental illness and/or substance use disorders to help achieve their goals. Case Management is a mandated service in Utah, and the Local Mental Health and Substance Use Authorities are responsible to provide case management in their local areas. Case management provides four critical functions often referred to using the acronym CALM (Connecting, Advocating, Linking and Monitoring): connecting with the individual, advocating for the individual, linking and planning for services, and monitoring service provision.

Providers of case management services also provide skill development services, personal services, as well as psychosocial rehab groups. DSAMH has improved the quality of case managers through a certification process that has proven to be successful. DSAMH is also working with the local homeless service providers to develop a certification program with basic standards for all providers serving individuals that are homeless.

DSAMH developed preferred practices for case management, including a training manual, and an exam with standards to promote, train, and support the practice of case management and service coordination in behavioral healthcare. In SFY 2016, DSAMH has certified 184 case managers compared to 176 in SFY 15, for a total of 650 certified case managers.

Crisis Intervention Team (CIT)

The Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) Program is an innovative model of community policing that involves partnerships between law enforcement, the mental health system, and advocacy groups.

CIT provides law enforcement personnel with specialized crisis intervention training to assist a person experiencing a mental health or SUD crisis, which improves officer and consumer safety, and redirects individuals with mental illness from the judicial system to the health care system. This training includes a 40-hour course that is completed in a one-week session. DSAMH has partnered with CIT Utah Inc. and its board of directors to provide statewide law enforcement training and support. In this partnership, law enforcement personnel who take the 40 hour training and pass a state test will achieve the CIT certification. A total of 127 law enforcement agencies have sent representatives to the CIT Academies. For more information, visit the CIT website: CIT-Utah.com.

Certified Peer Support Specialists (CPSS)

Peer Support Specialists are adults in recovery from a substance use or mental health disorder that are fully integrated members of a treatment team. They provide highly individualized services in the community and promote client self-determination and decision-making.

CPSS also provide essential expertise and consultation to the entire treatment team to promote a culture in which each client's point of view and preferences are recognized, understood, respected, and integrated into treatment, rehabilitation, and community self-help activities. Since the program's inception, 488 individuals have been certified by DSAMH as CPSS. DSAMH currently contracts with Utah State University, Optum Health and the Salt Lake City Veteran Affairs Medical Center to provide standardized training across the state. Utah State University has developed or is developing additional special population peer support training modules for Youth- In-Transition (age 16-25), Refugee, Native American and Hispanic populations. To date, 122 CPSS have received Youth-In-Transition Training.

Trauma-informed Approach

Most individuals with substance use disorders and mental illness are also dealing with trauma. Between 34% and 53% of people with a severe mental illness report childhood physical/sexual abuse. A Center for Substance Abuse Treatment publication states that as many as two-thirds of women and men in treatment for substance abuse report experiencing childhood abuse or neglect. Child abuse, sexual assault, military combat, domestic violence, and a host of other violent incidents help shape the response of the people we serve. Adverse childhood experiences are strongly related to development and prevalence of a wide range of health problems, including substance abuse and mental illness. Over time people exposed to trauma adopt unhealthy coping strategies that lead to substance use, disease, disability and social problems, and premature mortality.

Since 2012, DSAMH embarked on several statewide efforts to implement the Trauma-Informed Approach in public and private programs, by providing training; organizational evaluation and consultation; policy implementation and partnering with local and national organizations. Some of these initiatives and training events are listed below:

1. Ongoing Organizational Evaluation, Consultation, Training and Technical Assistance on the Trauma-Informed Approach, provided by Gabriella Grant, M.A., Director for the California Center of Excellence for Trauma-Informed Care for CABHI Grantees, Volunteers of America, DSAMH and other groups.

2. Utah Trauma Academy: October 31, November 4, 2016 for 110 public and provide providers. The Utah Trauma Academy was developed and provided by Gabriella Grant and several local trauma experts. The Utah Trauma Academy was based on the Victim Academy developed by the Office of Victims of Crimes at the Department of Justice.

3. Implementation of the Trauma-Informed Approach: DHS, DSAMH and several public and private providers have started the process for implementing a Trauma-Informed Approach in their practices.

Future State:

No changes are expected.

Summary of Actions Needed:

None

Grievances and Appeals

Utah Medicaid members and providers receive notice of any adverse action pursuant to 42 CFR 341 Part E. In addition, all managed care entities contracted with the Utah Medicaid program must comply with the grievance and appeals provisions of 42 CFR 438 Part F. Finally all state Medicaid fair hearings are conducted in accordance with Title 63G Chapter 4 Utah Code Annotated, Utah Administrative Procedures Act and Utah Administrative Code R414-4, Administrative Hearing Procedure.

https://le.utah.gov/xcode/Title63G/Chapter4/63G-4.html?v=C63G-4_1800010118000101.

<https://rules.utah.gov/publicat/code/r410/r410-014.htm>.

Future State:

Utah Administrative Code and internal procedures are consistent with recent changes to federal regulations.

Summary of Actions Needed:

Utah Medicaid will review 42 CFR 431 Part E and 42 CFR 438 Part F once again to assure Utah Code reflects the requirements of current federal regulation.

Action Implementation Timeline

- Utah Medicaid will conduct a review of current administrative code and federal regulations to determine any needed updates by November 30, 2017.
- Utah Medicaid will implement any necessary changes to administrative code and internal procedures by March 31, 2018

Attachment D: SUD Monitoring Protocol.
[To be incorporated after CMS approval]

Attachment E: Implementation Plan
[To be incorporated after CMS approval]

Attachment F:

**UTAH 1115 PRIMARY CARE
NETWORK DEMONSTRATION
WAIVER**

**SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER
EVALUATION DESIGN**

Prepared by: Rodney W. Hopkins, M.S.
Kristen West, MPA

INTRODUCTION

In October 2017, the Utah Department of Health (UDOH), Division of Medicaid and Health Financing (DMHF) received a five-year extension to its 1115 Primary Care Network (PCN) Demonstration Waiver. This extension adds covered benefits and continues providing health coverage to eight vulnerable population groups, some of whom are not eligible for Medicaid under the state plan.

This proposal will both track the general performance of the 1115 waiver and evaluate demonstration impacts and outcomes. Results of the evaluation will be presented in a series of annual reports, as well as interim and final evaluation reports. This draft proposal identifies the general design and approach of the evaluation in response to the required Special Terms and Conditions (STC's).

A. GENERAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Utah's 1115 PCN Demonstration Waiver (hereinafter referred to as "Demonstration") is a statewide waiver that was originally approved on February 8, 2002 and implemented on July 1, 2002. Since that time, the Demonstration has been extended and amended several times to add additional benefits and Medical programs. Most recently, the Demonstration was amended and approved on October 31, 2017 with an approval period through June 30, 2022. The evaluation will cover the Demonstration approval period.

Waiver Population Groups

The Demonstration authorizes the State of Utah to administer the following medical programs and benefits:

- PCN Program (Demonstration Population I) - Provides a limited package of preventive and primary care benefits to adults age 19-64.
- Current Eligibles - Provides a slightly reduced benefit package for adults receiving Parent/Caretaker Relative (PCR) Medicaid.
- Utah's Premium Partnership Program (UPP) (Demonstration Populations III, V & VI) - Provides premium assistance to pay the individual's or family's share of monthly premium costs of employer sponsored insurance or COBRA.
- Targeted Adult Medicaid- Provides state plan Medicaid benefits to a targeted group of adults without dependent children.
- Former Foster Care Youth from Another State- Provides state plan Medicaid benefits to former foster care youth from another state up to age 26.
- Dental Benefits for Individuals who are Blind or Disabled- Provides dental benefits to individuals age 18 and older with blindness or disabilities.



- Substance Use Disorder (SUD) Residential Treatment- Allows the State to provide a broad continuum of care which includes SUD residential treatment in an Institution for Mental Disease (IMD) for all Medicaid eligible individuals.

This Evaluation Design will focus on the SUD component of the Demonstration, which provides a broad continuum of care for all Medicaid eligible individuals. This is an important Medicaid addition due to the significant impact substance use disorders have on the health and well-being of Utahans.

Prior to the approval of this demonstration, individuals who were receiving SUD residential treatment in an IMD were not eligible to receive Medicaid. SUD services provided in residential and inpatient treatment settings that qualified as an IMD, were not otherwise matchable expenditures under section 1903 of the Act. Individuals needing treatment waited months to receive residential treatment due to the low number of treatment beds available in smaller facilities. Prior to implementation of the demonstration, there were approximately 50 treatment beds available. Since implementation, approximately 490 additional treatment beds have been added Statewide. The State currently has seven SUD treatment facilities that meet the definition of a SUD IMD facility.

Substance Use Disorders in the United States

Behavioral health disorders, which include substance use and mental health disorders, affect millions of adolescents and adults in the United States and contribute heavily to the burden of disease.^{1,2,3} Illicit drug use, including the misuse of prescription medications, affects the health and well-being of millions of Americans. Cardiovascular disease, stroke, cancer, infection with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis, and lung disease can all be affected by drug use. Some of these effects occur when drugs are used at high doses or after prolonged use. However, other adverse effects can occur after only one or a few occasions of use.⁴ Addressing the impact of substance use alone is estimated to cost Americans more than \$600 billion each year.⁵

Reducing SUD and related problems is critical to Americans' mental and physical health, safety, and quality of life. SUDs occur when the recurrent use of alcohol or other drugs (or both) causes clinically significant impairment, including health problems, disability, and failure to meet major responsibilities at work, school, or home. These disorders contribute heavily to the burden of disease in the United States. Excessive substance use and SUDs are costly to our nation due to lost productivity, health care, and crime.^{6,7,8} Approximately 23.3 million people aged 12 or older in 2016 had SUDs in the past year, including 15.6 million people with an alcohol use disorder and 7.4 million people with an illicit drug use disorder.⁹

Among those dealing with SUDs, opioid misuse, overdose and addiction, occurs in only a subset of individuals prescribed opioid medications for pain relief. However, because many individuals take opioids, the number of Americans affected is significant. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), deaths due to prescription opioid pain medication

overdose in the US have more than quadrupled from 1999 to 2011.¹⁰ In addition to the increase in drug-related deaths, the rise in opioid prescribing has led to increases in the prevalence of opioid use disorder.¹¹ Other research has demonstrated that the so-called opioid epidemic has a disproportionate impact on Medicaid beneficiaries. Medicaid beneficiaries are prescribed painkillers at twice the rate of non-Medicaid patients and are at three-to-six times the risk of prescription painkillers overdose.^{12, 13} North Carolina found that while the Medicaid population represented approximately 20 percent of the overall state population, it accounted for one-third of drug overdose deaths, the majority of which were caused by prescription opioids.¹⁴ One study from the state of Washington found that 45 percent of people who died from prescription opioid overdoses were Medicaid enrollees.¹⁵

Substance Use Disorders in Utah

According to the 2016 National Survey of Drug Use and Health, in Utah there were an estimated 134,764 adults in need of treatment for alcohol and/or drug dependence or abuse.¹⁶ For youth in grades 6 through 12 in 2017 there were 11,804 in need of treatment. However, only 13,780 adults and 1,179 youth received SUD treatment services in FY 2017.¹⁷ Of those in treatment, 46% received outpatient, 21% received intensive outpatient, 21% participated in detox, and 12% participated in residential treatment. Seventy-one percent of those in treatment were retained for 60 or more days. In 2017, Opioids were the top drug of choice at admission (32%).¹⁸

Utah has experienced a sharp increase in opioid related deaths since 2000. Recent data suggests that the number of deaths due to opioids peaked initially in 2007, then showed a promising decreasing trend through 2010, before increasing dramatically once more from 2011 through 2015. Emergency department encounters data over the same timeframe shows a steady increase through 2012, with a small decrease observed from 2012 to 2014. Males accounted for approximately 60% of opioid deaths in 2013, but the gap between males and females has shrunk so that by 2015 males accounted for only 54% of deaths. For emergency department encounters, the opposite has been true. In the past, females have traditionally accounted for more visits than males. However, similar to the death data, the gap between females and males has been closing. In 2014, the percentage of emergency department encounters for males and females was essentially even (50.3% vs. 49.7% for females and males, respectively).¹⁹

However, SUDs are preventable and treatable. The Utah State Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health (DSAMH) has statutory oversight of substance abuse and mental health treatment services statewide through local county authority programs. SUD services are available to all Medicaid members statewide. A full continuum of SUD services becomes even more critical in an effort to address the needs of Medicaid members.²⁰

B. EVALUATION QUESTIONS & HYPOTHESES

The primary goals of the waiver are to increase access, improve quality, and expand coverage to eligible Utahans. To accomplish these goals, the Demonstration includes several key activities including enrollment of new populations, quality improvement, and benefit additions or changes. This evaluation plan will describe how the University of Utah's Social Research Institute (SRI) will document the implementation of the key goals of the Demonstration, the changes associated

with the waiver including the service outputs, and most importantly, the outcomes achieved over the course of the Demonstration.

Evaluation Purpose

SRI will conduct an evaluation of the Utah 1115 PCN Demonstration Waiver by establishing research questions and a study design that is responsive to the hypotheses identified by UDOH. SRI will collaborate with UDOH and DSAMH to obtain the appropriate data to conduct the analysis needed to complete the required evaluation reports on an annual basis, and at each subsequent renewal or extension of the demonstration waiver. This includes an evaluation of the overall waiver and the SUD component. The SUD evaluation is addressed in this document.

Driver Diagram

**Aim: 1115 Demonstration
Waiver SUD treatment will
improve access, utilization,
and health for members**

Outcome Measures:

1. Increased access to SUD treatment
2. Increased utilization of SUD treatment
3. Improved health outcomes in SUD members
4. Reduce opioid-related overdose deaths
5. Slow the rate of growth of total cost of care for SUD members

Primary Drivers

Improve access to health care for members with SUD

Increase initiation & engagement for SUD treatment

Improve adherence to treatment for SUD treatment

Reduced utilization of emergency department and inpatient hospital settings for SUD treatment

Secondary Drivers

Enhanced benefit plan for members that increases available treatment services


Increase access to (outpatient, IOP, and residential) SUD treatment

Enhanced provider capacity to screen / identify patients

Ensure patients are satisfied with services.

Improved provider capacity and screening for physical health at critical levels of care including MAT.

Integrate both physical and behavioral health care for members




C. METHODOLOGY

Evaluation Approach

To evaluate the different components of the waiver demonstration, we envision three main phases of work: (1) data assessment and collection, (2) analysis, and (3) reporting. The last phase will include both reporting of waiver findings to UDOH in response to the STC’s and also providing written summary reports for submission to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). The first key task—development of the evaluation design plan—appears at the top of Figure 1. This plan will specify the key research questions the evaluation will address for each demonstration component, as well as the primary data sources and methodologies that will be used. This plan will guide decision making at all levels of the study and drive the content of the reporting tasks.

Figure 1. Project vision



1. Evaluation Design

Due to the unique target population groups included in the Demonstration evaluation, a combination of design approaches will be implemented. First, for several of the SUD hypotheses demonstration components pre / post comparison will be conducted. Second, other SUD hypotheses will consist of a pre / post comparison where the target population will serve as its own control group. A time series design will be employed for most of the individual analysis using pre-Demonstration as a baseline and then using the first year as baseline where no pre-Demonstration data are available due to the nature of the individual target population. A quasi-experimental design (difference-in-difference, DiD) approach will be used to estimate the effect by comparing the SUD (IMD) residential treatment service expansion in Salt Lake and Utah Counties with other counties (Davis, Weber, and Washington). The use of both quantitative and qualitative data will be important to this design. Quantitative data will come from Utah Medicaid claims. Qualitative data will come from a SUD beneficiary survey.

The specific evaluation questions to be addressed are based on the following criteria:

- 1) Potential for improvement, consistent with the key goals of the Demonstration;
 - 2) Potential for measurement, including (where possible and relevant) baseline measures that can help to isolate the effects of Demonstration initiatives and activities over time; and
 - 3) Potential to coordinate with the UDOH’s ongoing performance evaluation and monitoring efforts.
- Once research questions are selected to address the Demonstration’s major program goals and activities, specific variables and measures will then be identified to correspond to each research question. Finally, a process for identifying data sources that are most appropriate and efficient in answering each of the evaluation questions will be identified. The evaluation team will use all available data sources. The timing of data collection periods will vary depending on the data source, and on the specific Demonstration activity.

2. Target and Comparison Populations

The target population includes any Medicaid beneficiary with a substance abuse disorder (SUD) diagnosis. Several comparison population groups will be used in this evaluation. The first will be comprised of the target population, which will serve as its own comparison group longitudinally, where the research question will compare service utilization differences across the demonstration period. The second group that will be used as a comparison population for some of the SUD components will be members who previously received SUD treatment services in counties without access to an IMD. A difference-in-difference (DiD) approach will be used to estimate the effect by comparing the SUD (IMD) residential treatment service expansion in Salt Lake and Utah Counties with counties (Davis, Weber, and Washington) where there was no residential expansion. At the present time, these three counties have elected not to establish an IMD residential facility. Table 1 below summarizes the residential population and those that have received SUD treatment in the counties through publicly funded treatment programs. The source of these data is DSAMH Treatment Episode DataSet (TEDS). These five counties will be included in the DiD design comparison.

Table 1: Summary of target populations in SUD DiD design counties in Utah.

Counties w / IMD Expansion	County Population	# of clients served	Percent of Admissions in		
			Outpatient / IOP/ Residential / Detox		
			2016	2017	2018
Salt Lake	1,152,633	7,497	36/21/10/33	35/19/13/33	30/17/17/36
Utah	622,213	1,229	29/29/27/15	29/29/28/14	33/27/21/18

Counties w / No Expansion					
Davis	351,713	1,548	55/31/14/0	58/29/13/0	75/19/6/0
Washington	171,700	596	44/35/21/0	48/31/21/0	53/28/19/0
Weber	256,359	1,757	81/14/5/0	77/18/5/0	73/22/5/0

The third comparison population will include patients in publicly funded treatment programs receiving substance services who complete annual MSHIP survey which will serve as a comparison group for the consumer survey that will be administered to SUD beneficiaries.

3. Evaluation Period

The SUD waiver evaluation components will use pre-demonstration data from January 2016 to October 2017 to understand trends in treatment services and for state-level benchmarking of treatment outcomes. The State is aware that many measures with an established measure steward require reporting according to calendar year. This includes:

- Initiation and Engagement of Alcohol and Other Drug Dependence Treatment;
- Continuity of Pharmacotherapy for OUD; and
- Follow-up after Emergency department visit for alcohol and other drug abuse or dependence

For these measures, the State will use a pre-post approach. Calendar year 2016 will serve as the pre-demonstration year. Calendar year 2017 will be reported and observed for trend, however it will be a partial-demonstration year due to the demonstration begin date of November 1, 2017. Calendar year 2018 will serve as the first full post-demonstration year.

The 1st year of the waiver will serve as the baseline using a post-only approach for some State-created measures as noted in Table 2 below. The post-only approach will be used due to the lack of a national benchmark in these measures that may inform the State on relevant performance. Data to be used for the evaluation will span the entire Demonstration period (11/1/2017 – 6/30/2022) for the targeted population groups and for the comparison groups identified.

4. Evaluation Measures

The measures to be used in the SUD evaluation include nationally standardized data collection protocols such as NFQ #0004, Initiation and Engagement of Alcohol and Other Drug Dependence Treatment, Continuity of Pharmacotherapy for OUD (NQF #3175), and qualitative data from a beneficiary survey that focuses on health care satisfaction, access, and quality. The specific measures are listed in Table 2 below.

5. Data Sources

The State will use four data sources to conduct the evaluation plan. First, UDOH's Medicaid HIPAA transaction set consisting of all Utah claims and encounters data. Data from this source is available prior to the November 2017 waiver approval and throughout the demonstration. Second, the DSAMH TEDS Admission and Discharge record is an electronic client data file that includes data from all publicly funded SUD treatment service providers in Utah. This data file includes required standardized variables that are submitted to the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration (SAMHSA) for its State Outcomes Measurement and Management System (SOMMS) as well as variables that are required for the National Outcome Measures (NOMS). The file includes more than 100 variables ranging from most current diagnosis (ASAM levels), Drug Court Submissions, referral sources, waiting time to enter

treatment, to criminogenic risk level. TEDS data is also available prior to the waiver and annually moving forward. Third, the State will conduct a SUD beneficiary survey annually. Fourth, the State's Vital Records dataset will be used to identify overdose deaths.

6. Analytic Methods

A combination of quantitative statistical methods will be used for the analysis. Specific measures will be utilized for each demonstration as detailed in Table 2. While the Demonstration seeks to increase service provision and promote quality care, observed changes may be attributed to the Demonstration itself and/or external factors, including other State- or national-level policy or market changes or trends. For each Demonstration activity, a conceptual framework will be developed depicting how specific Demonstration goals, tasks, activities, and outcomes are causally connected to serve as the basis for the evaluation methodology. Methods chosen will attempt to account for any known or possible external influences and their potential interactions with the Demonstration's goals and activities. The evaluation will seek to isolate the effects of the Demonstration on the observed outcomes in several ways:

First, the evaluation will incorporate baseline measures and account for trends for each of the selected variables included in the evaluation. Medicaid data for each of the targeted variables and measures will be analyzed annually so that outcome measures and variables can be monitored on a regular basis. The hypotheses in Table 2 involving the DiD design compare SUD residential expansion counties with SUD residential services in non-expansion counties.

Second, the evaluation will use known state benchmarks for publicly funded SUD treatment annually to measure Demonstration outcomes related to domains of consumer experience with treatment services. Specifically, those seven domains are: Satisfaction, Access, Quality, Participation, Outcomes, Social Connectedness, and Functioning.²¹ These variables are collected by the DSAMH annually among publicly funded SUD service providers. This DSAMH data cannot be linked to specific Medicaid enrollees, therefore, the waiver evaluation will conduct its own SUD beneficiary survey. The Utah MHSIP data collected during State fiscal year 2020-2022 will be used as a state benchmark for comparison to the SUD beneficiary survey results. Since the MHSIP survey has demonstrated modest correlations in magnitude in the predicted directions, with greater patient satisfaction being associated with lower symptoms and more positive outcomes,²² the same questions will be used in the Demonstration survey. This data will be analyzed with descriptive statistics such as frequencies, percentages, and t-tests.

Table 2: Summary of Demonstration Populations, Hypotheses, Evaluation Questions, Data Sources, and Analytic Approaches.

Evaluation Question: Does the demonstration increase access to and utilization of SUD treatment services?						
Demonstration Goal: Increased rates of identification, initiation, and engagement in treatment for SUDs.						
Evaluation Hypothesis: The demonstration will increase the percentage of members who are referred and engage in treatment for SUDs.						
Driver	Measure Description	Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Evaluation Period	Analytic Approach /Target or Comparison Population
<p>Primary Driver <i>(Increase the rates of initiation and engagement in treatment for SUDs)</i></p>	<p>Initiation and Engagement of Alcohol and Other Drug Dependence Treatment</p>	<p>NQF #0004</p>	<p>Initiation: number of patients who began initiation of treatment through an inpatient admission, outpatient visits, intensive outpatient encounter or partial hospitalization within 14 days of the index episode start date</p>	<p>Patients who were diagnosed with a new episode of alcohol or drug dependency during the first 10 and ½ months of the measurement year</p>	<p>Calendar years 2016(Pre) 2017(Interim) 2018-2022(Post)</p>	<p>Descriptive statistics (frequencies and percentages); Linear regression. Comparison population. SUD expansion (IMD) in Salt Lake and Utah Counties compared to Davis, Washington, and Weber Counties (DiD design). Control variables for age and gender will be used.</p>
			<p>Engagement: Initiation of treatment and two or more inpatient admissions, outpatient visits, intensive outpatient encounters or partial hospitalizations with any alcohol or drug diagnosis within 30 days after the date of the initiation encounter</p>	<p>Patients who were diagnosed with a new episode of alcohol or drug dependency during the first 10 and ½ months of the measurement year</p>		
<p>Secondary Drivers <i>(Enhance provider and plan capabilities to screen/identify patients for engagement and intervention; Improve community knowledge of available treatment and services)</i></p>	<p>Community knowledge of available treatment and services</p>	<p>University of Utah / SRI</p>	<p>Beneficiary survey Adult SUD consumer satisfaction survey</p>		<p>State fiscal year 2020-2022</p>	<p>Descriptive statistics (Frequencies and percentages); t-test. Target population: SUD members. Comparison population. Patients in publicly funded programs receiving SUD services who complete annual MSHIP survey.</p>
Demonstration Goal: Increased adherence to and retention in treatment for SUDs.						
Evaluation Hypothesis: The demonstration will increase the percentage of members who adhere to treatment of SUDs.						

<p>Primary Drivers <i>(Increase the rates of initiation and engagement in treatment for OUD and SUDs; Improve adherence to treatment for SUDs)</i></p>	<p>Continuity of Pharmacotherapy for OUD</p>	<p>NQF #3175</p>	<p>Number of members who have at least 180 days of continuous pharmacotherapy with a medication prescribed for OUD without a gap of more than seven days</p>	<p>Members who had a diagnosis of OUD and at least one claim for an OUD medication</p>	<p>Calendar years 2016(Pre) 2017(Interim) 2018-2022(Post)</p>	<p>Descriptive statistics (Frequencies and percentages); Linear regression.</p> <p>Target population: SUD members receiving MAT</p>
	<p>Percentage of members with a SUD diagnosis including those with OUD who used services per month</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Number of members who receive a service during the measurement period by service type</p>	<p>Number of members</p>	<p>First year of waiver is baseline compared to years 2 through 5 of the waiver.</p>	
<p>Secondary Drivers <i>(Increase access to outpatient, intensive outpatient, and residential treatment for SUD; Improve care coordination and transitions between levels of care)</i></p>	<p>Length of engagement in treatment</p>	<p>NBHQF Goal 1</p>	<p>Number of members completing 4th treatment session within 30 days</p>	<p>Number of members receiving treatment</p>	<p>First year of waiver is baseline compared to years 2 through 5 of the waiver.</p>	
<p>Secondary Driver <i>(Ensure patients are satisfied with services)</i></p>	<p>Patient experience of care</p>	<p>University of Utah / SRI</p>	<p>Adult SUD beneficiary satisfaction survey</p>		<p>State fiscal year 2020-2022</p>	<p>Descriptive statistics (Frequencies and percentages); t-test. Target population: SUD members. Comparison population. Patients in publicly funded programs receiving SUD services who complete annual MSHIP survey.</p>
<p>Demonstration Goal: Reduced utilization of emergency department and inpatient hospital settings for treatment where the utilization is preventable or medically inappropriate through improved access to other continuum of care services.</p> <p>Evaluation Hypothesis: The demonstration will decrease the rate of emergency department and inpatient visits within the beneficiary population for SUD.</p>						

Primary Drivers (<i>Reduced utilization of emergency department and inpatient hospital settings for SUD treatment</i>)	Follow-up after emergency department visit for alcohol and other drug abuse or dependence	NQF 2605	An outpatient visit, intensive outpatient encounter or partial hospitalization with any provider with a primary diagnosis of alcohol or other drug dependence within 7/30 days after emergency department discharge	Members treated and discharged from an emergency department with a primary diagnosis of alcohol or other drug dependence in the measurement year/1000 member months	Calendar years 2016(Pre) 2017(Interim) 2018-2022(Post)	Descriptive statistics (frequencies and percentages); Linear regression. Target population: SUD members with OUD diagnosis. Comparison population SUD expansion (IMD) in Salt Lake and Utah Counties compared to Davis, Washington, and Weber Counties (DiD design). Control variables for age and gender will be used.
	Inpatient admissions for SUD and specifically OUD	N/A	Number of members with an inpatient admission for SUD and specifically for OUD	Total number of members/1000 member months	First year of waiver is baseline compared to years 2 through 5 of the waiver.	

Evaluation Question: Do members receiving SUD services experience improved health outcomes?

Demonstration Goal: Improved access to care for co-morbid physical health conditions commonly associated with SUD among members.

Evaluation Hypothesis: The demonstration will increase the percentage of members with SUD who experience care for comorbid conditions.

Primary Drivers (<i>Improve access to care for co-morbid physical health conditions among beneficiaries with SUD</i>)	Number of routine office visits by people with SUD	N/A	Number of members with an SUD diagnosis, and specifically those with OUD, who access physical health care.	Total number of members	First year of waiver is baseline compared to years 2 through 5 of the waiver.	Descriptive statistics (frequencies and percentages); Linear regression. Target population: SUD members with OUD diagnosis. Comparison population SUD expansion (IMD) in Salt Lake and Utah Counties compared to Davis, Washington, and Weber Counties (DiD design). Control variables for age and gender will be used.
--	--	-----	--	-------------------------	---	--

Evaluation Question: Are rates of opioid-related overdose deaths impacted by the demonstration?

Demonstration Goal: Reduction in overdose deaths, particularly those due to opioids.

Evaluation Hypothesis: The demonstration will decrease the rate of overdose deaths due to opioids.

Primary Driver (<i>Reduce opioid-related opioid overdose deaths</i>)	Rate of overdose deaths, specifically overdose deaths due to any opioid	UDOH	Number of overdose deaths per month and per year	Number of members/1000	First year of waiver is baseline compared to years 2 through 5 of the waiver.	Descriptive statistics (Frequencies and percentages); t-test. Target population: SUD members.
--	---	------	--	------------------------	---	--

						Comparison population. State General Population.
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

D.METHODOLOGICAL LIMITATIONS

The first potential limitation is ensuring each individual analysis is based on unduplicated data. SRI staff will work closely with Utah Medicaid data personnel and DSAMH to ensure the data used for final analysis is as accurate as possible and that error in matching the TEDS Admission and Discharge data set to Medicaid claims data has been minimized to avoid duplication. There are also limitations of conducting a time series analysis without a comparison group. For example, data collected at different times are not mutually independent, which means a single chance event may affect all later data points. As a result, the true pattern or trend underlying time series data can be difficult to discern.

E. ATTACHMENTS

A. Independent Evaluator

The Social Research Institute (SRI) will conduct all activities related to this proposal to fulfill the evaluation requirements of Utah’s 1115 PCN Waiver with specific emphasis on conducting data analysis to ensure timely reporting. SRI was established in 1982 as the research arm of the College of Social Work. Its goal is to be responsive to the needs of community, state, national and international service systems and the people these systems serve. Through collaborative efforts, SRI facilitates innovative research, training and demonstration projects. SRI provides technical assistance and research services in the following functional areas: conducting quantitative and qualitative research; designing and administering surveys; analyzing and reporting data analysis; designing and conducting needs assessments of public health and social service problems and service systems; planning and implementing service delivery programs; evaluating program and policy impacts; training in research methods and data analysis; providing technical assistance.

SRI staff are experienced in complying with state and federal laws regarding protecting human subjects and assuring confidentiality of data. SRI will complete the required IRB applications for this project including any data sharing agreements that may be necessary. SRI staff comply with generally accepted procedures to safeguard data by ensuring all data is stored on password protected and encrypted computers. Specifically, we use two-factor authentication (2FA) verification as an extra layer of security. All data collection and analysis SRI is responsible for will be based on the agreed upon data collection plan and in accordance with HIPAA-compliant data management systems available to University of Utah researchers.

SRI will store UDOH's Medicaid (HIPAA transaction set) in the University's REDCap application. REDCap is a secure database with the ability to create web-accessible forms, continuous auditing, and a flexible reporting system. Controls within REDCap allow researchers to specify differential levels of data access to individuals involved with a REDCap project, including restrictions to HIPAA-sensitive identifiers. REDCap is located on a secure, 21 CFR Part 11 compliant server farm within the Center for High Performance Computing (CHPC) at University of Utah. Data are backed up every hour with the hourly backups being incorporated into the regular backup-recovery data process (nightly, weekly, and monthly), which includes off-site storage. Routine data recovery and disaster recovery plans are in place for all research data. During analysis, de-identified data may be maintained on University of Utah-encrypted computers or hard-drives in compliance with University policy.

Independent Evaluator Selection Process

SRI staff have contracted with the Utah Department of Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS) to evaluate their IV-E waiver demonstration project for the past 4 years. Simultaneously, SRI also served as the independent evaluator for the State of Idaho's IV-E waiver demonstration for two years. Within the past year, key research staff from DCFS who were familiar with the work performed by SRI staff changed jobs and now work for UDOH Office of Health Care Statistics. As a result, when UDOH was trying to locate an independent evaluator a referral was provided and several preliminary meetings and discussions were held. This led to SRI developing a proposal for UDOH to conduct the Demonstration evaluation.

The research team will consist of Rodney W. Hopkins, M.S., Research Assistant Professor, Kristen West, MPA., Senior Research Analyst, and Jennifer Zenger, BA, Project Administrator.

Mr. Hopkins is an Assistant Research Professor and has 25 years' experience in conducting program evaluations for local, state, and federal agencies. He has an M.S. and will be the project lead, with responsibility for evaluation design and implementation, data collection, and reporting. He will be .45 FTE.

Kristen West, MPA (.25 FTE) is a Senior Research Analyst with experience conducting multi-year program evaluations for DCFS and JJS. She has expertise with a variety of statistical software programs to analyze data including multi-level regression models, linear regression, and descriptive statistics (SPSS and R). She also has experience developing and data visualization dashboards. Jennifer Zenger (.05 FTE) is SRI's Project Administrator and has 25 years' experience in budgeting, accounts payable, and working with state and federal agencies. She will be responsible for contract setup, monitoring, and accounting services.

An interdepartmental consortium has been established between SRI and the University of Utah's Department of Economics and the Department of Family and Consumer Studies. The Department of Economics, Economic Evaluation Unit led by Department Chair, Norm Waitzman, Ph.D., (.03 FTE) a Health Economist who has extensive health care utilization and cost analysis experience will lead this effort. The other principal researcher is Jaewhan Kim, Ph.D. (.21 FTE) a Health Economist and Statistician with a broad background in health care utilization and cost analysis, statistical design and data analysis including cohort studies and cross-sectional studies. He currently co-directs the Health Economics Core, Center for Clinical & Transitional Science (CCTS) at the University of Utah School of Medicine. He has expertise in analyzing claims databases for health care utilization and costs and has worked on multiple federal studies of health care utilization using diverse claims data such as Medicare, Medicare-SEER, Medicaid, MarketScan, PHARMetrics, University of Utah Health Plan's claims data and Utah's All Payers Claims Database (APCD). He was one of the original developers of the APCD, published the first paper with Utah's APCD data, and has worked collaboratively with other researchers to successfully conduct more than 20 studies using the APCD. They will also be supported by a to-be-named Graduate Research Assistant (1.0 FTE).

Conflict of interest document attached.

B. Evaluation Budget

The initially proposed budget (3/2018) of projected costs for the 1115 Demonstration evaluation are detailed below. Costs include all personnel (salary + benefits), study related costs (mileage), and university indirect (reduced from 49.9% to 14.8% state rate). Year 1 budget begins April 1, 2018 and ends June 30, 2018. Year 2-5 are based on the state fiscal year. An additional 90-day period has also been included, during which SRI will complete the Year 5 Annual Report, Waiver Final Report, and SUD Final Report.

Table 1. Proposed budget

	ABA	FTE	SALARY	BENEFITS	YEAR I	YEAR II	YEAR III	YEAR IV	YEAR V	90-DAY	
Salaries											
Faculty											
Matt Davis	\$102,000	5%	\$ 5,100	\$ 2,059	\$ 1,785	\$ 7,283	\$ 7,428	\$ 7,577	\$ 7,729	\$ 1,971	
Rod Hopkins	\$ 91,997	15%	\$ 13,800	\$ 5,877	\$ 4,919	\$ 20,170	\$ 20,471	\$ 20,880	\$ 21,298	\$ 5,431	
			\$ 18,900	\$ 7,936	\$ 6,704	\$ 27,453	\$ 27,899	\$ 28,457	\$ 29,027	\$ 7,402	
Staff											
Kristen West	\$ 57,222	15%	\$ 8,583	\$ 3,433	\$ 3,004	\$ 12,257	\$ 12,502	\$ 12,752	\$ 13,007	\$ 3,318	
Jennifer Zenger	\$ 85,435	5%	\$ 4,272	\$ 1,709	\$ 1,495	\$ 6,100	\$ 6,222	\$ 6,347	\$ 6,473	\$ 1,650	
			\$ 12,855	\$ 5,142	\$ 4,499	\$ 18,357	\$ 18,724	\$ 19,099	\$ 19,481	\$ 4,968	
Total Staff					\$4,499	\$18,357	\$ 18,724	\$ 19,099	\$ 19,481	\$ 4,968	
Total Faculty Salaries					\$6,704	\$27,453	\$ 27,899	\$ 28,457	\$ 29,027	\$ 7,402	
Total Fringe Benefits					added in above	added in above	added in above	added in above	added in above		
Travel (1 trip per month to UDOH & DSAMH)					\$65	\$250	\$250	\$250	\$ 250	\$ 65	
Total Direct					\$11,268	\$46,060	\$ 46,874	\$ 47,806	\$ 48,757	\$ 12,435	
Indirect (F&A) Cost				14.80%	\$1,668	\$ 6,817	\$ 6,937	\$ 7,075	\$ 7,216	\$ 1,840	
Grand Total					\$12,936	\$52,877	\$ 53,811	\$ 54,881	\$ 55,973	\$ 14,275	\$244,754

Budget Narrative

Rodney Hopkins, M.S., Assistant Research Professor will be the lead on this project and will be responsible for day-to-day activities. He will work (.15 FTE) closely with UDOH and DSAMH staff to ensure appropriate data is available to answer the research questions and execute the data analysis and reporting. Dr. Davis (.05 FTE) will bring his considerable experience with quantitative analysis to this project. Kristen West, MPA, Senior Research Analyst (.15 FTE) will assist with data analysis and reporting, including data visualization. Jennifer Zenger (.05 FT) is SRI's Project Administrator. She oversees contract monitoring and the budget.


A strength this team brings to the project will be its ability to conduct a thorough and accurate data analysis and provide a professional report that will address each component of the waiver demonstration. Salaries calculated include a 2% increase as of July 1 of each year. University of Utah benefits are calculated at 40%. Year 1 is only a 6-month budget (April 1, 2018 – Sept. 30, 2018).

Local travel will be needed for SRI faculty and staff to attend meetings with UDOH and DSAMH staff. We anticipate one meeting per month.

UDOH state agency to state agency indirect costs calculated at 14.8%.

C. Timeline and Major Milestones

Figure 2. Waiver Evaluation Timeline



D. References

1. World Health Organization. (2013). Mental health action plan 2013–2020. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/mental_health/publications/action_plan/en/
2. Reeves, W. C., Strine, T. W., Pratt, L. A., Thompson, W., Ahluwalia, I., Dhingra, S. S., McKnight-Eily, L. R., Harrison, L., D'Angelo, D. V., Williams, L., Morrow, B., Gould, D., & Safran, M. A. (2011). Mental illness surveillance among adults in the United States. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report CDC Surveillance Summaries*, 60(Suppl. 3), 1-29.
3. Murray, C. J. L., & Lopez, A. D. (2013). Measuring the global burden of disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 369, 448-457. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1201534
4. National Institute on Drug Abuse. (2012, November). Medical consequences of drug abuse. Retrieved from <http://www.drugabuse.gov/related-topics/medical-consequences-drug-abuse>
5. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2014, October 3). Prevention of substance abuse and mental illness. Retrieved from <http://www.samhsa.gov/prevention>
6. Bouchery, E. E., Harwood, H. J., Sacks, J. J., Simon, C. J., & Brewer, R. D. (2011). Economic costs of excessive alcohol consumption in the U.S., 2006. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 41, 516-524. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2011.06.045
7. Office of National Drug Control Policy, Executive Office of the President. (2011). How illicit drug use affects business and the economy. Retrieved from http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/ondcp/Fact_Sheets/effects_of_drugs_on_economy_jw_5-24-11_0.pdf
8. National Drug Intelligence Center. (2011). National Drug Threat Assessment 2011 (Product No. 2011-Q0317-001). Retrieved from <http://www.justice.gov/archive/ndic/pubs44/44849/44849p.pdf>
9. 2016 National Survey of Drug Use and Health (NSDUH)
10. Chen LH, Hedegaard H, Warner M. Drug-poisoning deaths involving opioid analgesics: United States, 1999–2011. NCHS data brief, no 166. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2014.
11. Paulozzi LJ, Jones CM, Mack KA, Rudd RA. Vital Signs: Overdoses of Prescription Opioid Pain Relievers --- United States, 1999—2008. *MMWR* 60(43); 1487-1492.
12. Sharp MJ, Melnik TA. Poisoning deaths involving opioid analgesics-New York State, 2003-2012. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2015; 64:377-380.
13. Coolen P, Lima A, Savel J, et al. Overdose deaths involving prescription opioids among Medicaid enrollees—Washington, 2004-2007. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2009; 58:1171-1175.
14. Whitemire JT, Adams, GW. Unintentional overdose deaths in the North Carolina Medicaid population: prevalence, prescription drug use, and medical care services. *State Center for Health Studies*. August 2010(162):1-11.

15. Coolen P, Lima A, Savel J, et al. Overdose deaths involving prescription opioids among Medicaid enrollees—Washington, 2004-2007. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2009; 58:1171-1175.
16. National Survey on Drug Use and Health. (2016). Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Reports and Detailed Tables.
17. FY2017 Utah Substance Abuse Treatment Outcome Measures Scorecard for all clients. (2017). Utah Department of Human Services, Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health.
18. FY2017 Utah Substance Abuse Treatment Outcome Measures Scorecard for all clients. (2017). Utah Department of Human Services, Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health.
19. Utah's Opioid Crisis Consequence and Resource Assessment. (2017). Utah Department of Human Services, Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health.
20. Utah 1115 Demonstration Waiver Renewal Application. (2016). Utah Department of Health. 96-99.
21. http://www.nri-inc.org/projects/SDICC/tech_assist.cfm
22. Shafer, A. and Ang, R. The Mental Health Statistics Improvement Plan (MSHIP) Adult Consumer Satisfaction Survey Factor Structure and Relation to External Criteria. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research.* October 2018 (45) 565-578.

Attachment H: Intensive Stabilization Services (ISS) for Children/Youth Claiming Methodology Protocol

Introduction

The Special Terms and Conditions (STCs) of Utah's Section 1115(a) Demonstration #11-W-00145/8 approved by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) on November 25, 2019, include expenditure authority for Utah's ISS Medicaid Eligible Children/Youth Program. The ISS Program is a specific set of state plan and home and community based services provided during the first eight-weeks of the intensive program to support a customized service approach to keep families together while effectively helping children with emotional and/or behavioral needs thrive in their homes, schools, and communities resulting in reduced visits to the emergency room, psychiatric hospitalizations, and residential treatment services. These services are provided on a FFS basis using a daily bundled rate. Accordingly, Utah Medicaid established the protocols herein to define the claimable expenditures.

Intensive Stabilization Services (ISS) for Children/Youth Bundled Rate

Only those providers that meet the criteria set forth in STC 74 may be reimbursed for ISS. A description of the services included in the bundled rate is located at Table 2c. A provider may not receive separate reimbursement for ISS for the same individual for which the bundled rate was claimed. Medicaid providers delivering other Medicaid-covered services outside of the service bundle may bill in accordance with the state's Medicaid billing procedures. A provider must provide at least one of the services included in the bundle within the service payment unit in order to bill the daily bundled rate. The following provides a description of how the rate methodology was developed.

The ISS bundled rate is based on a similar Department of Human Services program, Families First, which is an intensive in-home services program. The Families First rate is \$100 per hour. The Department of Human Services conducted an in depth review of the Family First Rate in 2015. The cost inputs included: number of families served; average number of hours of services provided per family; actual face to face time, and indirect staff time. Families First had calculated the anticipated number of hours of service per week per family and then adjusted the number of service hours based on the percentage of families anticipated to complete the program. A sample of 20 cases from the Division of Child and Family Services, Juvenile Court and the Division of Juvenile Justice Services was used. Based on the sampling, the state calculated the average number of hours provided per family per week. The assumption was that a family would receive 48-52 face-to-face hours to complete the Families First program. The state then reviewed the billable hours per family and took the total costs divided by billable hours to calculate the cost of providing services, which was \$80 per hour in 2015 and \$90 per hour in 2016. Given the single year projected jump of \$10, the state felt a rate of \$100 was reasonable. The general breakdown of calculations:

For FYE 2015, \$80 per hour (\$1,802,045 in total costs divided by 22,454 hours) Hours were calculated at 436 families served x 51.5 billable hours per family = 22,454 hours.

For FYE 2016, \$90 per hour (\$2,374,701 in total program costs divided by 26,368 hours) Hours were calculated at 512 families served x 51.5 billable hours per family = 26,368 hours.

Since ISS are also intensive in-home services, the Families First rate is being used as a proxy for \$100 per hour. The ISS bundle rate was based on the assumption that a family would receive an average of 42 face-to-face hours to complete the Stabilization Services program. The state examined and considered provider costs, which included: employee's level of education, training and experience; fringe benefits; administrative costs; and on-

going training. The eight weeks of the ISS program include:

- Week 1: 7.5 hours @\$100
- Week 2: 7.5 hours @\$100
- Week 3: 6 hours @\$100
- Week 4: 6 hours @\$100
- Week 5: 4.5 hours @\$100
- Week 6: 4.5 hours @\$100
- Week 7: 3 hours @\$100
- Week 8: 3 hours @\$100
- Grand Total \$4,200

Providers will submit an invoice to SMR Administrator for services provided. The SMR administrator will make appropriate payment to the provider. Any discrepancies will be resolved before payment is issued to the provider and payment is received from the Medicaid agency to the sister agency, Department of Human Services. The SMR administrator will audit the service provider(s) quarterly to ensure compliance with all stabilization service requirements and reconcile billings with documentation of services. States can only report expenditures for which all supporting documentation is available (i.e. date of service, name of recipient, Medicaid identification number), in readily reviewable form, which has been compiled and is immediately available when the claim for expenditures is filed on the CMS-64.

The state will conduct an annual review of the actual provision of services paid under the bundled rate to ensure that beneficiaries receive the types, quantity, and intensity of services required to meet their medical needs and ensure the rates remains economic and efficient based on the services that are actually provided as part of the bundle. The rate does not include costs related to room and board or any other unallowable facility cost, or other non-covered Medicaid services.

Attachment I: Non-Traditional Benefit Package

State Name: Attachment 3.1-L-

OMB Control Number: Transmittal Number:

Benefits Description	ABP5
The state/territory proposes a "Benchmark-Equivalent" benefit package. <input type="text" value="No"/>	
Benefits Included in Alternative Benefit Plan	
Enter the specific name of the base benchmark plan selected:	
<input type="text" value="PEHP Utah Basic Plus
Adult Medicaid Expansion"/>	
Enter the specific name of the section 1937 coverage option selected, if other than Secretary-Approved. Otherwise, enter "Secretary-Approved."	
<input type="text" value="Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver"/>	

1. Essential Health Benefit: Ambulatory patient services

Collapse All

Benefit Provided: Outpatient Hospital Services	Source: Secretary-Approved Other	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Authorization: Prior Authorization	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: None	Duration Limit: None	
Scope Limit: None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan: Some services require prior authorization		

Benefit Provided: Clinic Services	Source: Secretary-Approved Other	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Authorization: Prior Authorization	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: None	Duration Limit: None	
Scope Limit: None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan: Includes ambulatory surgical centers and dialysis		

Benefit Provided: Family Planning Services	Source: Secretary-Approved Other	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Authorization: None	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: None	Duration Limit: None	
Scope Limit: None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Physician Services

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Medical and Surgical Services by a Dentist

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Podiatry

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

Varies

Duration Limit:

Varies

Scope Limit:

For residents of long term care facilities: footcare performed by an employee of the facility is not covered, visits are limited to one visit every 60 days, debridement of mycotic toenails is limited to one every 60 days

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Optometry Services

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

1 exam

Duration Limit:

12 months

Scope Limit:

Eyeglasses are not covered

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Services Provided by Licensed Nurse Practitioners

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Home Health Services

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit: Duration Limit:

Scope Limit:

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided: Source:

Remove

Authorization: Provider Qualifications:

Amount Limit: Duration Limit:

Scope Limit:

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided: Source:

Remove

Authorization: Provider Qualifications:

Amount Limit: Duration Limit:

Scope Limit:

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided: Source:

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

60 hours

Duration Limit:

30 days

Scope Limit:

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Personal care services in recipient's home, prescribed in accordance with a plan of treatment and provided by a qualified person under supervision of a registered nurse.

Add

■ 2. Essential Health Benefit: Emergency services

Collapse All

Benefit Provided: Emergency Hospital Services	Source: Secretary-Approved Other	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Authorization: None	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: None	Duration Limit: None	
Scope Limit: None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan: 		

Benefit Provided: Ambulance Transportation	Source: Secretary-Approved Other	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Authorization: None	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: None	Duration Limit: None	
Scope Limit: None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan: Ambulance services (ground, air or water) are covered for transportation in the following circumstances: <input type="checkbox"/> Life of the member is in immediate danger <input type="checkbox"/> Life support equipment or medical care is required during travel <input type="checkbox"/> Other means of transportation would endanger the member's health or be medically contraindicated		

3. Essential Health Benefit: Hospitalization

Collapse All

Benefit Provided:

Inpatient Hospital Services

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

1. The lower of the Western Region Professional Activities Study at the 50th percentile or the State of Utah's 50th percentile will be established as the upper limit of length of stay as a utilization control for the most frequent single cause of admission. These criteria will be used to evaluate the length of stay in hospitals that are not under the DRG payment system.
2. Need for an extension of length of stay must be justified by a physician, and reauthorization must be obtained from the Medicaid Agency for hospitals that are not under the DRG payment system.
3. Inpatient hospital psychiatric counseling services provided under personal supervision, rather than directly by the physician, are not provided in all hospitals in the state, and therefore, are non-covered services.
4. Inpatient hospital care for treatment of alcoholism and/or drug dependency is not a service provided in all hospitals in the state, and therefore, the service is limited to acute care for detoxification only.
5. Procedures determined to be cosmetic, experimental, or of unproven medical value, are non-covered services.
6. Organ transplant services are limited to those procedures for which selection criteria have been approved and documented in ATTACHMENT 3.1-E.
7. Abortion services, except as covered under ATTACHMENT 3.1-A, (Attachment#5a).
8. Selected medical and surgical procedures are limited by federal regulation and require review, special consent, and approval.

Add

4. Essential Health Benefit: Maternity and newborn care

Collapse All

Benefit Provided: Extended Services to Pregnant Women	Source: Secretary-Approved Other	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Authorization: Prior Authorization	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: None	Duration Limit: None	
Scope Limit: None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan: Includes Inpatient Hospital Services as defined in EHB3; Outpatient Hospital Services, Family Planning Services, Physician Services, Home Health Services, Services provided by a Pediatric and Family Nurse Practitioners as defined in EHB3; Medical Supplies and Equipment as defined in EHB7.		

Benefit Provided: Perinatal Care Coordination	Source: Secretary-Approved Other	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Authorization: None	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: None	Duration Limit: None	
Scope Limit: None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan: This services is provided through certified registered nurse midwife services and provided only for pregnant women throughout pregnancy and up to the end of the month in which the 60 days following pregnancy ends.		

Benefit Provided: Prenatal and Postnatal Home Visits	Source: Secretary-Approved Other	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Authorization: Prior Authorization	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: 6 Visits	Duration Limit: 12-month period	
Scope Limit: 		

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

This services is provided through certified registered nurse midwife services and provided only for pregnant women throughout pregnancy and up to the end of the month in which the 60 days following pregnancy ends.

Benefit Provided:

Group Prenatal/Postnatal Education

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

8 Units

Duration Limit:

12-month period

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

This services is provided through certified registered nurse midwife services and provided only for pregnant women throughout pregnancy and up to the end of the month in which the 60 days following pregnancy ends.

Benefit Provided:

Prenatal and Postnatal Psychosocial Counseling

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

12 Visits

Duration Limit:

12-month period

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

These services will be limited only to pregnant women throughout pregnancy and up to the end of the month in which the 60 days following the pregnancy occur.

Benefit Provided:

Nutritional Assessment Counseling

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

14 Visits

Duration Limit:

12-month period

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

These services will be limited only to pregnant women throughout pregnancy and up to the end of the month in which the 60 days following the pregnancy occur.

Benefit Provided:

Freestanding Birthing Clinics

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Birthing center maternal patients shall be limited to women initially determined to be at low maternity risk and evaluated regularly throughout pregnancy to ensure they remain at low risk for a poor pregnancy outcome.

Benefit Provided:

Extended Services for Pregnant Women-Other Service

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

In accordance with 42 CFR 440.250, pregnant women may receive pregnancy related services and services for other conditions that might complicate the pregnancy.

Add

5. Essential Health Benefit: Mental health and substance use disorder services including behavioral health treatment

Collapse All

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Psychiatric Diagnostic Evaluation	Secretary-Approved Other	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
None	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Mental Health Assessment	Secretary-Approved Other	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
None	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Psychological Testing	Secretary-Approved Other	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
None	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Psychotherapy

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Pharmacologic Management-Rehabilitative Mental Hea

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Nurse Medication Management

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Therapeutic Behavioral Services

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Psychosocial Rehabilitative Services

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Peer Support Services

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit: Duration Limit:

Scope Limit:

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided: Source:

Remove

Authorization: Provider Qualifications:

Amount Limit: Duration Limit:

Scope Limit:

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided: Source:

Remove

Authorization: Provider Qualifications:

Amount Limit: Duration Limit:

Scope Limit:

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Utah Medicaid's 1115 Primary Care Network Demonstration Waiver waives federal Institution for Mental Disease (IMD) exclusions for licensed SUD residential treatment programs with 17 or more beds. This means that licensed SUD residential treatment programs with 17 or more beds are eligible for Medicaid reimbursement. This also means that Medicaid members age 22 through 64 in these larger programs are now eligible for Medicaid reimbursement. SUD residential treatment in these programs means face-to-face services that are a combination of Medically Necessary Services. Services are provided according to each Medicaid member's ASAM assessment and treatment plan and are provided to treat the individual's documented SUD.

These programs are responsible to ensure appropriate transitions to other levels of outpatient SUD services either by directly providing the level of care needed or by coordinating the transition to the needed level of care with another provider.

Add

6. Essential Health Benefit: Prescription drugs

Benefit Provided:

Coverage is at least the greater of one drug in each U.S. Pharmacopeia (USP) category and class or the same number of prescription drugs in each category and class as the base benchmark.

Prescription Drug Limits (Check all that apply.):

- Limit on days supply
- Limit on number of prescriptions
- Limit on brand drugs
- Other coverage limits
- Preferred drug list

Authorization:

Yes

Provider Qualifications:

State licensed

Coverage that exceeds the minimum requirements or other:

7. Essential Health Benefit: Rehabilitative and habilitative services and devices

Collapse All

Benefit Provided:

Physical Therapy and Occupational Therapy

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

Authorization required in excess of limitation

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

16

Duration Limit:

12 months

Scope Limit:

Limitations are combined for physical therapy and occupational therapy visits

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Prior authorization may be obtained if the limit of 16 visits combined needs to be exceeded due to medical necessity .

Benefit Provided:

Prosthetic Devices

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Durable Medical Equipment and Supplies

Source:

Secretary-Approved Other

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

Varies

Duration Limit:

Varies

Scope Limit:

Varies

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

The following items are excluded from coverage as benefits of the Medicaid program:

1. First aid supplies with the exception of supplies used for post- surgical need, accidents, decubitus treatment, and long-term dressing.
2. Surgical stocking if ordered by a non-physician.
3. Syringes in excess of 100 per month.
4. Beds, when the recipient is not bed-confined.
5. Variable height beds.
6. Two oxygen systems unless the physician has specifically ordered portable oxygen for travel to practitioners.
7. Oxygen systems provided more frequently than monthly.
8. Spring-loaded traction equipment.
9. Wheelchairs, unless the recipient would be bed or chair confined without the equipment.
 - a. Wheelchairs, attachments, and other adaptive equipment for addition to wheelchairs require prior authorization and review.

Add

8. Essential Health Benefit: Laboratory services

Collapse All

Benefit Provided: Other Laboratory and X-Ray Services	Source: Secretary-Approved Other	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Authorization: None	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: None	Duration Limit: None	
Scope Limit: None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan: <input type="text"/>		

9. Essential Health Benefit: Preventive and wellness services and chronic disease management

Collapse All

The state/territory must provide, at a minimum, a broad range of preventive services including: “A” and “B” services recommended by the United States Preventive Services Task Force; Advisory Committee for Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommended vaccines; preventive care and screening for infants, children and adults recommended by HRSA’s Bright Futures program/project; and additional preventive services for women recommended by the Institute of Medicine (IOM).

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Diabetes Self-Management Training	Secretary-Approved Other	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
Authorization required in excess of limitation	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
10 hours	12-month period	
Scope Limit: Instructors eligible to provide diabetes self-management training will include registered nurses, registered pharmacists and certified dieticians licensed by the state who are eligible under their scope of practice to provide counseling for patients.		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan: Diabetes self-management is limited to that certified by the physician, under a comprehensive plan, as essential to ensure successful diabetes management by the individual patient.		
Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Tobacco Cessation	Secretary-Approved Other	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
None	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
7	12 months	
Scope Limit:		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan: Within the State Plan this benefit is entitled "Face-to-face Tobacco Cessation Counseling Services for Pregnant Women." Tobacco cessation services are not only covered for pregnant women. The State provides tobacco cessation services under the State Plan benefits including Physician Services, Outpatient Hospital Services, Prescribed Drugs, and Clinic Services. Utah Medicaid offers a total of 7 sessions in a 12-month period.		

Add

10. Essential Health Benefit: Pediatric services including oral and vision care

Collapse All

Benefit Provided: Medicaid State Plan EPSDT Benefits	Source: State Plan 1905(a)	Remove
Authorization: None	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: None	Duration Limit: None	
Scope Limit: Through age 20		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan: 		

Add

11. Other Covered Benefits from Base Benchmark

Collapse All

12. Base Benchmark Benefits Not Covered due to Substitution or Duplication

Collapse All

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Adoption: Substitution

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Adoption was removed and replaced in EHB 1 by substitution with the actuarial value of personal care services which are not covered in the Base Benchmark.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Primary Care Visit to Treat an Injury: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Physician Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Specialist Visit: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Physician Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Other Practitioner Office Visit: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Physician Services (for Physician Assistants working under supervision) and Services Provided by Licensed Nurse Practitioners, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Outpatient Facility Fee: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Clinic Services including ambulatory surgical centers, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Outpatient Surgery Physician/Surgical Services: Du

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Outpatient Hospital Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Hospice Services: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Hospice Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: Limitation of 6 months per 3 years

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Urgent Care Centers: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Clinic Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Home Health Care: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Home Health Services including ambulatory surgical centers, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: Limitation 30 visits per benefit period

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Skilled Nursing Facility: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Skilled Nursing Facility Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: Limitation 30 visits per benefit period

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Dialysis: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Clinic Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Chemotherapy and Radiation: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Inpatient Hospital Services, Outpatient Hospital Services, and Physician Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Infusion Therapy: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Outpatient Hospital Services, Clinic Services, and Home Health Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Reconstructive Surgery: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Inpatient Hospital Services, Outpatient Hospital Services, and Physician Services, under EHB 1. Medicaid Limits: Covered when performed to correct deformity resulting from disease, trauma, congenital anomaly, or previous therapeutic intervention. Base Benchmark Plan: Covered when performed on abnormal structures of the body caused by congenital defects, developmental abnormalities, trauma, infection, tumors, or disease, which restores bodily function.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Emergency Room Services: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Emergency Hospital Services , under EHB 2. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Emergency Transportation/Ambulance: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Ambulance Transportation, under EHB 2. Medicaid Limitation: Medical emergencies only as defined by Utah Medicaid. Base Benchmark Plan: Limitation medical emergencies only, as determined by PEHP

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Outpatient Rehabilitation Services: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Physical Therapy and Occupational Therapy under EHB7. Medicaid Limitations: Physical and Occupational Therapies limited to 16 visits each per 12 months. Prior authorization required for additional visits. Base Benchmark Plan: Limited to 10 visits per plan year for all therapy types combined. Speech therapy requires preauthorization.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Habilitation Services: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Physical Therapy and Occupational Therapy under EHB7. Medicaid Limitations: Physical and Occupational Therapies limited to 16 visits each per 12 months. Prior authorization required for additional visits. Base Benchmark Plan: Limited to 10 visits per plan year for all therapy types combined. Speech therapy requires preauthorization.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Cardiac Rehabilitation: Substitution

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Cardiac rehabilitation was removed and replaced in EHB 7 by substitution with the actuarial value of additional Physical Therapy and Occupational Therapy visits and unlimited Physical Therapy in home health with prior authorization which are not covered in the Base Benchmark Plan. Base Benchmark Plan: Cardiac Rehabilitation, Phase 2, following heart attack, cardiac surgery, severe angina (chest pain), and Pulmonary Rehabilitation, Phase 2, resulting from chronic pulmonary disease or Surgery, are payable up to 5 visits combined per plan year.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Durable Medical Equipment/Supply: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Durable Medical Equipment and Medical Supplies in EHB7. Medicaid Limitations: The following items are excluded from coverage as benefits of the Medicaid program:

1. First aid supplies with the exception of supplies used for post- surgical need, accidents, decubitus treatment, and long-term dressing.
2. Surgical stocking if ordered by a non-physician.
3. Syringes in excess of 100 per month.
4. Beds, when the recipient is not bed-confined.
5. Variable height beds.
6. Two oxygen systems unless the physician has specifically ordered portable oxygen for travel to practitioners.
7. Oxygen systems provided more frequently than monthly.
8. Spring-loaded traction equipment.

9. Wheelchairs, unless the recipient would be bed or chair confined without the equipment.
 a. Wheelchairs, attachments, and other adaptive equipment for addition to wheelchairs require prior authorization and review.
 Base Benchmark: Except for oxygen, DME over \$750, rentals, that exceed 60 days, or as indicated in Appendix A of the Master Policy require preauthorization. Maximum limits apply on many items. Sleep Disorder equipment is not covered. TENS units, Neuromuscular stimulator, H-Wave electronic devices, Sympathetic therapy stimulators are not covered.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Skilled Nursing Facility/Rehabilitation:See Notes

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Base Benchmark Plan: Non-custodial. Up to 30 combined days per plan year. Requires preauthorization. This services is not detailed as a covered service for this benefit package.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Inpatient Hospitalization: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Inpatient Hospital Services in EHB3. Medicaid Limitations: 1. The lower of the Western Region Professional Activities Study at the 50th percentile or the State

of Utah's 50th percentile will be established as the upper limit of length of stay as a utilization control for the most frequent single cause of admission. These criteria will be used to evaluate the length of stay in hospitals that are not under the DRG payment system.

2. Need for an extension of length of stay must be justified by a physician, and reauthorization must be obtained from the Medicaid Agency for hospitals that are not under the DRG payment system.

3. Inpatient hospital psychiatric counseling services provided under personal supervision, rather than directly by the physician, are not provided in all hospitals in the state, and therefore, are non-covered services.

4. Inpatient hospital care for treatment of alcoholism and/or drug dependency is not a service provided in all hospitals in the state, and therefore, the service is limited to acute care for detoxification only.

5. Procedures determined to be cosmetic, experimental, or of unproven medical value, are non-covered services.

6. Organ transplant services are limited to those procedures for which selection criteria have been approved and documented in ATTACHMENT 3.1-E.

7. Abortion services, except as covered under ATTACHMENT 3.1-A, (Attachment#5a).

8. Selected medical and surgical procedures are limited by federal regulation and require review, special consent, and approval.

Base Benchmark: The following are Exclusions of the policy:

1. Ineligible Surgical Procedures or related Complications.

2. Treatment programs for enuresis or encopresis.

3. Services or items primarily for convenience, contentment, or other non-therapeutic purpose, such as: guest trays, cots, telephone calls, shampoo, toothbrush, or other personal items.

4. Occupational therapy or other therapies for activities of daily living, academic learning, vocational or life skills, developmental delay, unless authorized by PEHP for the treatment of Autism.

5. Care, confinement or services in a nursing home, rest home or a transitional living facility, community

- reintegration program, vocational rehabilitation, services to re-train self care, or activities of daily living.
6. Recreational therapy.
 7. Autologous (self) blood storage for future use.
 8. Organ or tissue donor charges, except when the recipient is an eligible Member covered under a PEHP plan, and the transplant is eligible.
 9. Nutritional analysis or counseling, except in conjunction with diabetes education, anorexia, bulimia, or as covered under the Affordable Care Act Preventive Services.
 10. Custodial Care and/or maintenance therapy.
 11. Take-home medications., unless legally required and approved by PEHP.
 12. Mastectomy for gynecomastia.
 13. Any eligible Surgical Procedure when performed in conjunction with other ineligible Surgery.
 14. Breast reduction.
 15. Tests and treatment for infertility.
 16. Blepharoplasty (or other eyelid Surgery).
 17. All facility claims related to a Hospital stay when the Member is discharged against medical advice.
 18. Sclerotherapy of varicose veins.
 19. Microphlebectomy (stab phlebectomy).
 20. Blood clotting factor.
 21. Inpatient or outpatient dental hospitalization.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

MH-Substance Facility and Hospital Services-Duplic

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Psychiatric Diagnostic Evaluation, Mental Health Assessment, Psychological Testing, Psychotherapy, Inpatient Hospital-Mental Health, Pharmacological Management, Nurse Medication Management, Therapeutic Behavioral Services, Psychosocial Rehabilitative Services, and Peer Support Services in EHB5. Base Benchmark Plan: Preauthorization required for many services. Inpatient Provider visits are payable only in conjunction with authorized inpatient days, and will apply to benefits in effect under the plan year on the actual date of service billed. Day treatment or intensive outpatient programs require Preauthorization. If approved, Benefit applied is the same as inpatient.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

MH-Substance Inpatient Provider Visits-Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Psychiatric Diagnostic Evaluation, Mental Health Assessment, Psychological Testing, Psychotherapy, Pharmacological Management, Nurse Medication Management, Therapeutic Behavioral Services, Psychosocial Rehabilitative Services, and Peer Support Services in EHB5. Base Benchmark Plan: Only one visit per Provider of the same specialty per day is payable.

1. Inpatient treatment for Mental Health without Preauthorization, if required by the Member's plan.
2. Milieu therapy, marriage counseling, encounter groups, hypnosis, biofeedback, parental counseling, stress management or relaxation therapy, conduct disorders, oppositional disorders, learning disabilities, and situational disturbances.
3. Mental or emotional conditions without manifest psychiatric disorder or non-specific conditions.
4. Wilderness programs.

5. Inpatient treatment for behavior modification, enuresis, or encopresis.
6. Psychological evaluations or testing for legal purposes such as custodial rights, etc., or for insurance or employment examinations.
7. Occupational or Recreational Therapy.
8. Hospital leave of absence charges.
9. Sodium amobarbital interviews.
10. Unless Provider meets PEHP's defined network needs and meets the PEHP specific credentialing and quality standards, services, procedures, medications, or Devices received at or from a residential treatment center which is not providing in-patient services, including but not limited to, services for residential treatment, day treatment and/or intensive outpatient treatment.
11. Tobacco abuse.
12. Routine drug screening, except when ordered by a treating physician and done for a medical purpose, as determined by PEHP, or unless otherwise allowed by the Master Policy.
13. Drug screening in conjunction with PEHP authorized treatment are considered inclusive to the treatment and are not payable separately.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

MH-Substance Outpatient Provider Visits-Duplicatio

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Psychiatric Diagnostic Evaluation, Mental Health Assessment, Psychological Testing, Psychotherapy, Pharmacological Management, Nurse Medication Management, Therapeutic Behavioral Services, Psychosocial Rehabilitative Services, and Peer Support Services in EHB5. Base Benchmark Plan: Outpatient treatment by a licensed psychologist, licensed clinical social worker, medical Provider or licensed psychiatric nurse specialist is eligible. Only one visit per Provider of the same specialty per day is payable.

1. Milieu therapy, marriage counseling, encounter groups, hypnosis, biofeedback, parental counseling, stress management or relaxation therapy, conduct disorders, oppositional disorders, learning disabilities, and situational disturbances.
2. Mental or emotional conditions without manifest psychiatric disorder or non-specific conditions.
3. Wilderness programs.
4. Inpatient treatment for behavior modification, enuresis, or encopresis.
5. Psychological evaluations or testing for legal purposes such as custodial rights, etc., or for insurance or employment examinations.
6. Occupational or Recreational Therapy.
7. Sodium amobarbital interviews.
8. Unless Provider meets PEHP's defined network needs and meets the PEHP specific credentialing and quality standards, services, procedures, medications, or Devices received at or from a residential treatment center which is not providing in-patient services, including but not limited to, services for residential treatment, day treatment and/or intensive outpatient treatment.
9. Tobacco abuse.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Lab, X-Ray, and Diagnostic Imaging: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Other Laboratory and X-Ray Services in EHB8. Base Benchmark:

1. Lab and x-rays are only eligible for diagnosing or treating symptomatic illness and must be specific to

the potential diagnosis.

2. Laboratory typing/testing for organ transplant donors is eligible only when recipient is an eligible Member, covered under a PEHP plan, and the transplant is eligible.
3. Drug screening, up to 2 times in a 30-day period.
4. Drug confirmatory laboratory tests, up to 2 codes in a 30-day period.

The following are Exclusions of the policy:

1. Charges in conjunction with ineligible procedures, including pre- or post- operative evaluations.
2. Routine drug screening, except when ordered by a treating physician and done for a medical purpose, as determined by PEHP, or unless otherwise allowed by the Master Policy.
3. Sublingual or colorimetric allergy testing.
4. Charges in conjunction with weight loss programs regardless of Medical Necessity.
5. Epidemiological counseling and testing.
6. Probability and predictive analysis and testing.
7. Unbundling of lab charges or panels.
8. Medical or psychological evaluations or testing for legal purposes such as paternity suits, custodial rights, etc., or for insurance or employment examinations.
9. Hair analysis, trace elements, or dental filling toxicity.
10. Assisted reproductive technologies, including but not limited to: invitro fertilization; gamete intra fallopian tube transfer; embryo transfer; zygote intra fallopian transfer; pre-embryo cryopreservation techniques; and/or any conception that occurs outside the woman's body. Any related services performed in conjunction with these procedures are also excluded.
11. Sleep Studies for sleep disorders.
12. Services in conjunction with diagnosing infertility.
13. Amniocentesis or chorionic villi sampling, except for high risk pregnancy or as allowed under the Affordable Care Act Preventive Services.
14. Drug screening in conjunction with PEHP authorized treatment are considered inclusive to the treatment and are not payable separately.
15. Whole exome and whole genome sequencing for the diagnosis of genetic disorders.
16. Chromosomal Microarray Analysis (CMA) for Autism Spectrum Disorder.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Preventive Services: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Preventive Services, under EHB9. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Prenatal and Postnatal Care: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Extended Services for Pregnant Women and Prenatal and Postnatal Home Visits in EHB4. Base Benchmark Plan: No Limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Delivery and All Inpatient for Maternity: Duplicat

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Inpatient Hospital Services in EHB3. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Allergy Testing: Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Physician Services in EHB1. Base Benchmark: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Diabetes Education-Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Diabetes Self-Management Education in EHB9. Base Benchmark: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Transplant-Duplication

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Secretary Approved 1115 Waiver as Inpatient Hospital Services in EHB3, Outpatient Hospital Services and Physician Services in EHB1. Base Benchmark: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Speech Language Pathology-Substitution

Source:

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Speech Language Pathology Services was removed and replaced in EHB 7 by substitution with the actuarial value of additional Physical Therapy and Occupational Therapy visits and unlimited Physical Therapy in home health with prior authorization which are not covered in the Base Benchmark Plan. Base Benchmark Plan: Physical, Occupational, and Speech Therapy limited to 10 visits per plan year for all therapy types combined. Speech therapy requires preauthorization.

Add

13. Other Base Benchmark Benefits Not Covered Collapse All

14. Other 1937 Covered Benefits that are not Essential Health Benefits Collapse All

Other 1937 Benefit Provided: Optometry Services	Source: Section 1937 Coverage Option Benchmark Benefit Package	Remove
Authorization: Other	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: None	Duration Limit: None	
Scope Limit: 		
Other: Prior authorization is not required.		
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------

<input type="text"/>

Other 1937 Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Targeted Case Management for Tuberculosis	Section 1937 Coverage Option Benchmark Benefit Package	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
Other	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
<p>Other:</p> <p>Directly Observed Therapy (DOT)/Behavior Modification services will provide for directly observed administration of tuberculosis medication, which means the direct observation of patients swallowing anti-tuberculosis medication. Recipients must be assessed as medically appropriate for DOT based upon the recipient's risk of non-adherence to medication regimen necessary to cure and prevent the spread of an infectious, potentially fatal disease which may not respond to conventional therapies. Services shall be furnished five or more days per week, unless otherwise ordered by the physician in the recipient's plan of care. This service is provided in accordance with a therapeutic goal in the plan of care. The plan of care will include a behavior modification program to aid in establishing a pattern of adherence to treatment. The behavior modification program will be developed on an individual basis based on the patients history of non-compliance. Daily monitoring of adherence and behavior modification is necessary to ensure completion of the prescribed drug therapy, since inconsistent or incomplete treatment is likely to lead to drug resistance or reactivation, posing a major threat to the public health. DOT includes security services designed to encourage completion of medically necessary regimens of prescribed drugs by certain non-compliant TB infected individuals on an outpatient basis.</p>		

Add

15. Additional Covered Benefits (This category of benefits is not applicable to the adult group under section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII) of the Act.)

Collapse All

PRA Disclosure Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0938-1148. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 5 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: CMS, 7500 Security Boulevard, Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

V.20160722

Attachment J: Traditional Benefit Package

State Name: Attachment 3.1-L- OMB Control Number: Transmittal Number:

Benefits Description	ABP5
<p>The state/territory proposes a “Benchmark-Equivalent” benefit package. <input type="text" value="No"/></p>	
<p>Benefits Included in Alternative Benefit Plan</p> <p>Enter the specific name of the base benchmark plan selected:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; min-height: 40px;"><p>PEHP Utah Basic Plus Adult Medicaid Expansion</p></div>	
<p>Enter the specific name of the section 1937 coverage option selected, if other than Secretary-Approved. Otherwise, enter “Secretary-Approved.”</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; min-height: 40px;"><p>Secretary - Approved</p></div>	

1. Essential Health Benefit: Ambulatory patient services

Collapse All

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Outpatient Hospital Services	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
Prior Authorization	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		
Some services require prior authorization		

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Clinic Services	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
Prior Authorization	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		
Includes ambulatory surgical centers and dialysis		

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Family Planning Services	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
None	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Physician Services

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Medical and Surgical Services by a Dentist

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Podiatry

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Optometry Services

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Services Provided by Licensed Nurse Practitioners

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Home Health Nursing

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit: Duration Limit:

Scope Limit:

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided: Source:

Remove

Authorization: Provider Qualifications:

Amount Limit: Duration Limit:

Scope Limit:

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided: Source:

Remove

Authorization: Provider Qualifications:

Amount Limit: Duration Limit:

Scope Limit:

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided: Source:

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Add

■ 2. Essential Health Benefit: Emergency services

Collapse All

Benefit Provided:	Source:	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
<input type="text" value="Emergency Hospital Services"/>	<input type="text" value="State Plan 1905(a)"/>	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
<input type="text" value="None"/>	<input type="text" value="Medicaid State Plan"/>	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
<input type="text" value="None"/>	<input type="text" value="None"/>	
Scope Limit:		
<input type="text" value="None"/>		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		
<input type="text"/>		

Benefit Provided:	Source:	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
<input type="text" value="Ambulance Transportation"/>	<input type="text" value="State Plan 1905(a)"/>	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
<input type="text" value="None"/>	<input type="text" value="Medicaid State Plan"/>	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
<input type="text" value="None"/>	<input type="text" value="None"/>	
Scope Limit:		
<input type="text" value="None"/>		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		
<input type="text" value="Ambulance services (ground, air or water) are covered for transportation in the following circumstances:"/>		
<input type="text" value="1. Life of the member is in immediate danger"/>		
<input type="text" value="2. Life support equipment or medical care is required during travel"/>		
<input type="text" value="3. Other means of transportation would endanger the member's health or be medically contraindicated"/>		

3. Essential Health Benefit: Hospitalization

Collapse All

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Inpatient Hospital Services	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
Prior Authorization	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		
Need for an extension of length of stay must be justified by a physician, and reauthorization must be obtained from the Medicaid Agency for hospitals that are not under the DRG payment system.		

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Inpatient Physician Services	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
None	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Transplant	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
Prior Authorization	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Add

4. Essential Health Benefit: Maternity and newborn care

Collapse All

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Extended Services to Pregnant Women	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
Prior Authorization	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		
Includes Inpatient Hospital Services as defined in EHB3; Outpatient Hospital Services, Family Planning Services, Physician Services, Home Health Services, Services provided by a Pediatric and Family Nurse Practitioners as defined in EHB3; Medical Supplies and Equipment as defined in EHB7.		

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Freestanding Birthing Clinics	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
None	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		
Birthing center maternal patients shall be limited to women initially determined to be at low maternity risk and evaluated regularly throughout pregnancy to ensure they remain at low risk for a poor pregnancy outcome.		

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Inpatient Care for Maternity and Newborn		
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
None	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Physician Services for Maternity and Newborn

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Add

5. Essential Health Benefit: Mental health and substance use disorder services including behavioral health treatment

Collapse All

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Psychiatric Diagnostic Evaluation	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
None	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		
<input type="text"/>		

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Mental Health Assessment	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
None	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		
<input type="text"/>		

Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Psychological Testing	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
None	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Psychotherapy

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Pharmacologic Management-Rehabilitative Mental Hea

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Nurse Medication Management

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Therapeutic Behavioral Services

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Psychosocial Rehabilitative Services

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Peer Support Services

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

None

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit: Duration Limit:

Scope Limit:

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided: Source:

Remove

Authorization: Provider Qualifications:

Amount Limit: Duration Limit:

Scope Limit:

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided: Source:

Remove

Authorization: Provider Qualifications:

Amount Limit: Duration Limit:

Scope Limit:

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

These programs are responsible to ensure appropriate transitions to other levels of outpatient SUD services either by directly providing the level of care needed or by coordinating the transition to the needed level of care with another provider.

Add

■ 6. Essential Health Benefit: Prescription drugs

Benefit Provided:

Coverage is at least the greater of one drug in each U.S. Pharmacopeia (USP) category and class or the same number of prescription drugs in each category and class as the base benchmark.

Prescription Drug Limits (Check all that apply.):

- Limit on days supply
- Limit on number of prescriptions
- Limit on brand drugs
- Other coverage limits
- Preferred drug list

Authorization:

Yes

Provider Qualifications:

State licensed

Coverage that exceeds the minimum requirements or other:

The State of Utah ABP prescription drug benefit plan is the same as under the approved Medicaid State Plan for prescribed drugs.

7. Essential Health Benefit: Rehabilitative and habilitative services and devices

Collapse All

Benefit Provided:	Source:	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Skilled Nursing Facility Services-Acute	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
Prior Authorization	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		
<input type="text"/>		

Benefit Provided:	Source:	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Long Term Acute Care-Rehabilitative	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
Prior Authorization	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		
<input type="text"/>		

Benefit Provided:	Source:	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Physical Therapy-Rehabilitative and Habilitative	State Plan 1905(a)	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
Authorization required in excess of limitation	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:		
<input type="text" value="Prior authorization may be obtained if the limit of 20 visits needs to be exceeded due to medical necessity ."/>		

Benefit Provided:

Prosthetic Devices

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Durable Medical Equipment and Supplies

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Benefit Provided:

Occupational Therapy-Rehabilitative and Habilitati

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

Authorization required in excess of limitation

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Prior authorization may be obtained if the limit of 20 visits needs to be exceeded due to medical necessity.

Benefit Provided:

Speech Language Pathology-Rehab and Habilitative

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

Provider Qualifications:

Authorization required in excess of limitation

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

Duration Limit:

Varies

Varies

Scope Limit:

Varies

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Add

8. Essential Health Benefit: Laboratory services

Collapse All

Benefit Provided:

Other Laboratory and X-Ray Services

Source:

State Plan 1905(a)

Remove

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

None

Duration Limit:

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan:

Add

9. Essential Health Benefit: Preventive and wellness services and chronic disease management

Collapse All

The state/territory must provide, at a minimum, a broad range of preventive services including: “A” and “B” services recommended by the United States Preventive Services Task Force; Advisory Committee for Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommended vaccines; preventive care and screening for infants, children and adults recommended by HRSA’s Bright Futures program/project; and additional preventive services for women recommended by the Institute of Medicine (IOM).

Benefit Provided: Diabetes Self-Management Training	Source: State Plan 1905(a)	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Authorization: Authorization required in excess of limitation	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: 10 hours	Duration Limit: 12-month period	
Scope Limit: Instructors eligible to provide diabetes self-management training will include registered nurses, registered pharmacists and certified dieticians licensed by the state who are eligible under their scope of practice to provide counseling for patients.		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan: Diabetes self-management is limited to that certified by the physician, under a comprehensive plan, as essential to ensure successful diabetes management by the individual patient.		
Benefit Provided: Tobacco Cessation	Source: State Plan 1905(a)	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Authorization: None	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: None	Duration Limit: None	
Scope Limit: None		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan: <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div>		

10. Essential Health Benefit: Pediatric services including oral and vision care Collapse All

Benefit Provided: Medicaid State Plan EPSDT Benefits	Source: State Plan 1905(a)	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
Authorization: None	Provider Qualifications: Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit: None	Duration Limit: None	
Scope Limit: Through age 20		
Other information regarding this benefit, including the specific name of the source plan if it is not the base benchmark plan: <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 30px; width: 100%;"></div>		

11. Other Covered Benefits from Base Benchmark Collapse All

12. Base Benchmark Benefits Not Covered due to Substitution or Duplication

Collapse All

<p>Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:</p> <p>Inpatient Physician and Surgical Services: Duplica</p>	<p>Source:</p> <p>Base Benchmark</p>	<p>Remove</p>
<p>Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:</p> <p>Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Inpatient Hospital Services in EHB3 and Physician Services in EHB1. Base Benchmark: No limitations</p>		
<p>Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:</p> <p>Primary Care Visit to Treat an Injury: Duplication</p>	<p>Source:</p> <p>Base Benchmark</p>	<p>Remove</p>
<p>Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:</p> <p>Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Physician Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations</p>		
<p>Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:</p> <p>Specialist Visit: Duplication</p>	<p>Source:</p> <p>Base Benchmark</p>	<p>Remove</p>
<p>Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:</p> <p>Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Physician Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations</p>		
<p>Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:</p> <p>Other Practitioner Office Visit: Duplication</p>	<p>Source:</p> <p>Base Benchmark</p>	<p>Remove</p>
<p>Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:</p> <p>Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Physician Services (for Physician Assistants working under supervision) and Services Provided by Licensed Nurse Practitioners, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations</p>		
<p>Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:</p> <p>Outpatient Facility Fee: Duplication</p>	<p>Source:</p> <p>Base Benchmark</p>	<p>Remove</p>
<p>Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:</p> <p>Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Clinic Services including ambulatory surgical centers, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations</p>		
<p>Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:</p> <p>Outpatient Surgery Physician/Surgical Services: Du</p>	<p>Source:</p> <p>Base Benchmark</p>	<p>Remove</p>

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Duplication: Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Outpatient Hospital Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Hospice Services: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Hospice Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: Limitation of 6 months per 3 years

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Urgent Care Centers: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Clinic Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Home Health Care: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Home Health Services including ambulatory surgical centers, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: Limitation 30 visits per benefit period

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Skilled Nursing Facility: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Skilled Nursing Facility Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: Limitation 30 days per plan year

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Dialysis: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Clinic Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Chemotherapy and Radiation: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Inpatient Hospital Services, Outpatient Hospital Services, and Physician Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Infusion Therapy: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Outpatient Hospital Services, Clinic Services, and Home Health Services, under EHB 1. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Reconstructive Surgery: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Inpatient Hospital Services, Outpatient Hospital Services, and Physician Services, under EHB 1. Medicaid Limits: Covered when performed to correct deformity resulting from disease, trauma, congenital anomaly, or previous therapeutic intervention. Base Benchmark Plan: Covered when performed on abnormal structures of the body caused by congenital defects, developmental abnormalities, trauma, infection, tumors, or disease, which restores bodily function.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Emergency Room Services: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Emergency Hospital Services, under EHB 2. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Emergency Transportation/Ambulance: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Ambulance Transportation, under EHB 2. Medicaid Limitation: Medical emergencies only as defined by Utah Medicaid. Base Benchmark Plan: Limitation medical emergencies only, as determined by PEHP

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Outpatient Rehabilitation Services: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, and Speech Therapy, under EHB7. Medicaid Limitations: Physical and Occupational Therapies limited to 20 visits each per 12 months, Speech Therapy limited based on diagnoses. Prior authorization required for additional visits. Base Benchmark Plan: Limited to 10 visits per plan year for all therapy types combined. Speech therapy requires preauthorization.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Remove

Habilitation Services: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, and Speech Therapy, under EHB7. Medicaid Limitations: Physical and Occupational Therapies limited to 20 visits each per 12 months, Speech Therapy limited based on diagnoses. Prior authorization required for additional visits. Base Benchmark Plan: Limited to 10 visits per plan year for all therapy types combined. Speech therapy requires preauthorization.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Remove

Cardiac Rehabilitation: Substitution

Base Benchmark

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Cardiac rehabilitation was removed and replaced in EHB 7 by substitution with the actuarial value of additional Physical Therapy and Occupational Therapy visits and unlimited Physical Therapy in home health with prior authorization which are not covered in the Base Benchmark Plan. Base Benchmark Plan: Cardiac Rehabilitation, Phase 2, following heart attack, cardiac surgery, severe angina (chest pain), and Pulmonary Rehabilitation, Phase 2, resulting from chronic pulmonary disease or Surgery, are payable up to 5 visits combined per plan year.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Remove

Durable Medical Equipment/Supply: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Durable Medical Equipment and Medical Supplies in EHB7. Base Benchmark: Exclusions include

1. Training and testing in conjunction with Durable Medical Equipment or prosthetics;
2. More than one lens for each affected eye following Surgery for corneal transplant;
3. Durable Medical Equipment that is inappropriate for the patient's medical condition;
4. Diabetic supplies, i.e. insulin, syringes, needles, etc., are a pharmacy benefit;
5. Equipment purchased from non-licensed Providers;
6. Used Durable Medical Equipment;
7. TENS Unit;
8. Neuromuscular Stimulator;
9. H-wave Electronic Device;
10. Sympathetic Therapy Stimulator (STS);
11. Limb prosthetics;

12. Machine rental or purchase for the treatment of sleep disorders;
13. Support hose for phlebitis or other diagnosis.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Skilled Nursing Facility and Rehabilitation: Dupli

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Utah Medicaid State Plan as Skilled Nursing Facility Services in EHB7. Base Benchmark Plan: Non-custodial. Up to 30 combined days per plan year. Requires preauthorization.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Inpatient Hospitalization: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Utah Medicaid State Plan as Inpatient Hospital Services in EHB3. Medicaid Limitations: Need for an extension of length of stay must be justified by a physician, and reauthorization must be obtained from the Medicaid Agency for hospitals that are not under the DRG payment system.

Base Benchmark: The following are Exclusions of the policy:

When an inpatient hospital stay can be shortened or charges reduced by transfer to a transitional care unit or Skilled Nursing Facility, PEHP may require the patient to be transferred for Coverage to continue. This benefit is only available through concurrent Medical Case Management and approval by PEHP; Inpatient benefits for Mental Health require Preauthorization; Only acute Emergency Care for Life-threatening injury or illness is covered in conjunction with attempted suicide or anorexia/bulimia. Other services require Pre-authorization through the inpatient Mental Health benefits; Inpatient Rehabilitation and Skilled Nursing Facility stays are limited to 30 days per plan year combined.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Substance Abuse Disorder Outpatient-Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Utah Medicaid State Plan as Psychiatric Diagnostic Evaluation, Mental Health Assessment, Psychological Testing, Psychotherapy, Inpatient Hospital-Mental Health, Pharmacological Management, Nurse Medication Management, Therapeutic Behavioral Services, Psychosocial Rehabilitative Services, and Peer Support Services in EHB5. Base Benchmark Plan: 8 visits per plan year combined with mental health outpatient services.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Mental Health Inpatient Services-Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Utah Medicaid State Plan as Psychiatric Diagnostic Evaluation, Mental Health Assessment, Psychological Testing, Psychotherapy, Pharmacological Management, Nurse Medication Management,

Therapeutic Behavioral Services, Psychosocial Rehabilitative Services, and Peer Support Services in EHB5. Base Benchmark Plan: 30 days per plan year combined with Substance Abuse outpatient

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Mental Health Outpatient Services-Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Utah Medicaid State Plan as Psychiatric Diagnostic Evaluation, Mental Health Assessment, Psychological Testing, Psychotherapy, Pharmacological Management, Nurse Medication Management, Therapeutic Behavioral Services, Psychosocial Rehabilitative Services, and Peer Support Services in EHB5. Base Benchmark Plan: 8 visits per plan year combined with Substance Abuse outpatient

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Diagnostic Test (X-Ray and Lab): Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Utah Medicaid State Plan as Other Laboratory and X-Ray Services in EHB8. Base Benchmark: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Preventive Services: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Preventive Services, under EHB9. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Prenatal and Postnatal Care: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Extended Services for Pregnant Women and Prenatal and Postnatal Home Visits in EHB4. Base Benchmark Plan: No Limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Delivery and All Inpatient for Maternity: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Remove

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Inpatient Hospital Services in EHB3 and Inpatient Care for Maternity and Newborn in EHB4. Base Benchmark Plan: No limitations

<p>Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:</p> <input type="text" value="Allergy Testing: Duplication"/>	<p>Source:</p> <input type="text" value="Base Benchmark"/>	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
<p>Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:</p> <input type="text" value="Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Physician Services in EHB1. Base Benchmark: No limitations"/>		
<p>Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:</p> <input type="text" value="Diabetes Education-Duplication"/>	<p>Source:</p> <input type="text" value="Base Benchmark"/>	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
<p>Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:</p> <input type="text" value="Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Diabetes Self-Management Education in EHB9. Base Benchmark: No limitations"/>		
<p>Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:</p> <input type="text" value="Transplant-Duplication"/>	<p>Source:</p> <input type="text" value="Base Benchmark"/>	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
<p>Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:</p> <input type="text" value="Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Transplant Services in EHB3, Outpatient Hospital Services and Physician Services in EHB1. Base Benchmark: No limitations"/>		
<p>Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:</p> <input type="text" value="Substance Abuse Disorder Inpatient-Duplication"/>	<p>Source:</p> <input type="text" value="Base Benchmark"/>	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
<p>Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:</p> <input type="text" value="Covered under Residential and Inpatient Treatment for SUD in EHB5. Base Benchmark: 30 days per plan year combined with mental health inpatient services."/>		
<p>Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:</p> <input type="text" value="Outpatient Rehabilitation Services-Duplication"/>	<p>Source:</p> <input type="text" value="Base Benchmark"/>	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>
<p>Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:</p> <input type="text" value="Covered under the Utah Medicaid State Plan as Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, and Speech Therapy, under EHB7. Base Benchmark Plan: Limited to 20 visits per plan year for all therapy types combined."/>		
<p>Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:</p> <input type="text" value="Imaging (CT/PET Scans, MRIs)"/>	<p>Source:</p> <input type="text" value="Base Benchmark"/>	<input type="button" value="Remove"/>

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Utah Medicaid State Plan as Other Laboratory and X-Ray Services in EHB8. Base Benchmark: No limitations

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Remove

Nutritional Counseling: Duplication

Base Benchmark

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Utah Medicaid State Plan as Physician Services and Services Provided by Licensed Nurse Practitioners in EHB1. Base Benchmark: No limitations.

Base Benchmark Benefit that was Substituted:

Source:

Remove

Inherited Metabolic Disorder-Duplication

Base Benchmark

Explain the substitution or duplication, including indicating the substituted benefit(s) or the duplicate section 1937 benchmark benefit(s) included above under Essential Health Benefits:

Covered under Physician Services and Outpatient Hospital Services in EHB1 and Inpatient Hospital Services in EHB3. Base Benchmark: No limitations.

Add

13. Other Base Benchmark Benefits Not Covered

Collapse All

14. Other 1937 Covered Benefits that are not Essential Health Benefits

Collapse All

Other 1937 Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Personal Care Services	Section 1937 Coverage Option Benchmark Benefit Package	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
Prior Authorization	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other:		
Personal care services in recipient's home, prescribed in accordance with a plan of treatment and provided by a qualified person under supervision of a registered nurse.		

Other 1937 Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Targeted Case Mgmt - Chronically Mentally II	Section 1937 Coverage Option Benchmark Benefit Package	
Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:	
Other	Medicaid State Plan	
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:	
None	None	
Scope Limit:		
None		
Other:		
Specialized services for mentally ill individuals means the services from an individualized plan of care that: a. Are prescribed only for persons experiencing an acute episode of serious mental illness, which necessitates supervision of trained mental health personnel; b. Are developed and supervised by an interdisciplinary team, which includes a physician and qualified mental health professionals; c. Are directed toward reducing behavioral symptoms and improving his or her level of independent functioning level that permits reduction in the intensity of mental health services; and d. Are usually limited to inpatient psychiatric hospital care and care in an institution for mental diseases. Certain individuals, as applicable, are not precluded from receiving such services in a nursing facility		

Other 1937 Benefit Provided:	Source:	Remove
Nursing Facility Services	Section 1937 Coverage Option Benchmark Benefit Package	

Authorization:

Prior Authorization

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

Duration Limit:

Scope Limit:

Long term custodial care

Other:

Must meet institutional level of care

Other 1937 Benefit Provided:

Targeted Case Management for Tuberculosis

Source:

Section 1937 Coverage Option Benchmark Benefit Package

Remove

Authorization:

Other

Provider Qualifications:

Medicaid State Plan

Amount Limit:

Duration Limit:

None

None

Scope Limit:

None

Other:

Directly Observed Therapy (DOT)/Behavior Modification services will provide for directly observed administration of tuberculosis medication, which means the direct observation of patients swallowing anti-tuberculosis medication. Recipients must be assessed as medically appropriate for DOT based upon the recipient's risk of non-adherence to medication regimen necessary to cure and prevent the spread of an infectious, potentially fatal disease which may not respond to conventional therapies. Services shall be furnished five or more days per week, unless otherwise ordered by the physician in the recipient's plan of care. This service is provided in accordance with a therapeutic goal in the plan of care. The plan of care will include a behavior modification program to aid in establishing a pattern of adherence to treatment. The behavior modification program will be developed on an individual basis based on the patients history of non-compliance. Daily monitoring of adherence and behavior modification is necessary to ensure completion of the prescribed drug therapy, since inconsistent or incomplete treatment is likely to lead to drug resistance or reactivation, posing a major threat to the public health. DOT includes security services designed to encourage completion of medically necessary regimens of prescribed drugs by certain non-compliant TB infected individuals on an outpatient basis.

Other 1937 Benefit Provided:

Optometry Services

Source:

Section 1937 Coverage Option Benchmark Benefit Package

Remove

Authorization:	Provider Qualifications:
Other	Medicaid State Plan
Amount Limit:	Duration Limit:
None	None
Scope Limit:	
Other:	
Prior authorization is not required.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Add	

<input type="checkbox"/> 15. Additional Covered Benefits (This category of benefits is not applicable to the adult group under section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII) of the Act.)	Collapse All <input type="checkbox"/>
---	---------------------------------------

PRA Disclosure Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0938-1148. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 5 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: CMS, 7500 Security Boulevard, Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

**Attachment K: Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI)
Conversion Table**

	Population Group	SIPP results used? (Yes/No)	Time Period selected	Sampling (Yes/No)	Net Income Standard	Income band used in conversion*	Converted Standard
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Conversions for FMAP Claiming							
1	Parents/Caretaker Relatives (Expand number of rows for family size as needed for larger family size standards defined by the state)	NO	<u>Converted in Part 1 and described there.</u>	NO	% FPL _____ or Fixed dollar standards Family size 1 <u>\$382</u> 2 <u>\$468</u> 3 <u>\$583</u> 4 <u>\$682</u> 5 <u>\$777</u> 6 <u>\$857</u> 7 <u>\$897</u> 8 <u>\$938</u> 9 <u>\$982</u> 10 <u>\$1023</u> 11 <u>\$1066</u> 12 <u>\$1108</u> 13 <u>\$1150</u> 14 <u>\$1192</u> 15 <u>\$1236</u> 16 <u>\$1277</u> Add-on for additional family members if relevant <u>\$42</u>	% FPL or FPL% by family size (Fixed dollar standards) 1 – 16.0-41.0% 2 – 12.1-37.1% 3 – 11.6-36.6% 4 – 10.5-35.5% 5 – 9.5-34.5% 6 – 8.2-33.2% 7 – 5.8-30.8% 8 – 3.9-28.9% 9 – 2.5-27.5% 10 – 1.2-26.2% 11 – 0.2-25.2% 12 – 0-24.3% 13 – 0-23.5% 14 - 0-22.8% 15 - 0-22.3% 16 - 0-21.7% Add-on for additional family members if relevant _____	% FPL _____ or Fixed dollar standards Family size 1 <u>\$438</u> 2 <u>\$544</u> 3 <u>\$678</u> 4 <u>\$797</u> 5 <u>\$912</u> 6 <u>\$1012</u> 7 <u>\$1072</u> 8 <u>\$1132</u> 9 <u>\$1196</u> 10 <u>\$1257</u> 11 <u>\$1320</u> 12 <u>\$1382</u> 13 <u>\$1443</u> 14 <u>\$1505</u> 15 <u>\$1569</u> 16 <u>\$1630</u> Add-on for additional family members if relevant <u>\$62</u>

	Population Group	SIPP results used? (Yes/No)	Time Period selected	Sampling (Yes/No)	Net Income Standard	Income band used in conversion*	Converted Standard
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
2	Non-institutionalized disabled adults	<u>YES</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	% FPL <u>100%</u> % SSI FBR <u> </u> <u>or</u> Dollar Standards Single <u> </u> - Couple <u> </u> -	% FPL <u> </u> % SSI FBR <u> </u> <u>or</u> Dollar Standards Single <u> </u> - Couple <u> </u> -	% FPL <u>102%</u> % SSI FBR <u> </u> <u>or</u> Dollar Standards Single <u> </u> - Couple <u> </u> - Conversion based on: __ Average disregard __ Median disregard
3	Institutionalized disabled adults (This is a gross income category: fill in column G only)						% FPL <u> </u> % SSI FBR <u>300%</u> <u>or</u> Dollar Standards Single <u> </u> - Couple <u> </u> -

	Population Group	SIPP results used? (Yes/No)	Time Period selected	Sampling (Yes/No)	Net Income Standard	Income band used in conversion*	Converted Standard
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
4	Children age 19 and/or 20 Specify age limit as of 12/1/09 (19 or 20): _____ _____	<u>N/A</u>			% FPL _____ or Fixed dollar standards Family size 1 _____ 2 _____ 3 _____ 4 _____ 5 _____ 6 _____ 7 _____ _____ Add-on for additional family members if relevant _____	% FPL _____ or Fixed dollar standards Family size 1 _____ 2 _____ 3 _____ 4 _____ 5 _____ 6 _____ 7 _____ _____ Add-on for additional family members if relevant _____	% FPL _____ or Fixed dollar standards Family size 1 _____ 2 _____ 3 _____ 4 _____ 5 _____ 6 _____ 7 _____ _____ Add-on for additional family members if relevant _____
5	Childless Adults	<u>N/A</u>			% FPL _____	% FPL _____	% FPL _____

Attachment L: Claiming Methodologies
[To be incorporated after approval]

ATTACHMENT M: SMI Evaluation Design

[To be incorporated after CMS approval]

Attachment N: SMI Implementation Plan
[To be incorporated after CMS approval]

Attachment O: PCN Evaluation Designs
Targeted Adult Medicaid Program for Dental Services
Adult Expansion
Employer Sponsored Insurance