DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services 7500 Security Boulevard, Mail Stop S2-25-26 Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850



State Demonstrations Group

July 27, 2022

Mr. Stephen Smith Director of TennCare Tennessee Department of Finance and Administration 310 Great Circle Road Nashville, TN 37243

Dear Mr. Smith:

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) has approved the Evaluation Design for Tennessee's Managed Care Risk Mitigation COVID-19 Public Health Emergency (PHE) amendment to the section 1115 demonstration entitled, "TennCare III" (Project Number 11-W-00369/4). We sincerely appreciate the state's commitment to efficiently meeting the requirement for an Evaluation Design stated in the demonstration's Special Terms and Conditions (STCs) for this amendment, especially under these extraordinary circumstances.

The approved Evaluation Design may now be posted to the state's Medicaid website within thirty days, per 42 CFR 431.424(c). CMS will also post the approved Evaluation Design on Medicaid.gov.

Please note that, consistent with the approved Evaluation Design, the draft Final Report will be due to CMS 18 months after either the expiration of the demonstration approval period or the end of the latest rating period covered under the state's approved expenditure authority, whichever comes later.

We look forward to our continued partnership with you and your staff on the TennCare III Demonstration. If you have any questions, please contact your CMS project officer, April Wiley, who may be reached by email at April.Wiley@cms.hhs.gov.

Sincerely,

Danielle Daly Danielle Daly Spanielle Daly Spanielle Daly Spanielle Daly Spate: 2022.07.27 14:39:17 -04'00'

Danielle Daly Director Division of Demonstration Monitoring and Evaluation

cc: Tandra Hodges, State Monitoring Lead, CMS Medicaid and CHIP Operations Group



Division of TennCare

TennCare III Demonstration

Project No. 11-W-00369/4

Emergency Demonstration Amendment – Managed Care Risk Mitigation Strategies

Evaluation Design

June 20, 2022

Table of Contents

A.	General Background Information				
В.	Evaluation Questions and Hypotheses				
C.	Methodology				
	1. Data Sources				
		Document Review			
		Staff Interviews	2		
		MCO Medical Loss Ratio (MLR) Reports	2		
		TennCare Claims Data	2		
		CY 2020 Rate Development Exhibits	2		
	2.	Analytic Methods	2		
		Qualitative Analysis	3		
		Descriptive Analyses	3		
	3.	Analytic Table	3		
D.	Me	thodological Limitations	4		
E.	Preparing the Final Report				

A. General Background Information

On March 13, 2020, pursuant to Section 1135(b) of the Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services invoked his authority to waive or modify certain requirements of Titles XVIII, XIX, and XXI of the Act as a result of the consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic. As a result, on March 22, 2020, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) announced a Section 1115 demonstration opportunity available to states under title XIX (Medicaid) of the Act. In response, Tennessee submitted a Managed Care Risk Mitigation COVID-19 Public Health Emergency (PHE) Section 1115 demonstration application on January 7, 2022. On January 28, 2022, CMS approved the application as an amendment under the "TennCare III" section 1115(a) demonstration (Project Number 11-W-00369/4).

Tennessee's goal during the Managed Care Risk Mitigation COVID-19 PHE demonstration period is to add a risk-sharing arrangement, specifically a risk corridor, to support making appropriate, equitable payments to managed care organizations during the course of the COVID-19 PHE to help maintain beneficiary access to care.

As part of the demonstration's Monitoring and Evaluation Requirements, CMS requires Tennessee to develop a "simplified" Evaluation Design to understand the successes, challenges, and lessons learned in implementing the demonstration. This Evaluation Design addresses CMS' Managed Care Risk Mitigation COVID-19 Public Health Emergency (PHE) Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration: Guidance for Monitoring and Evaluation Requirements.

This Evaluation Design will guide the federally required Final Report and is organized as follows:

- Section A. General Background Information
- Section B. Evaluation Questions and Hypotheses
- Section C. Methodology
- Section D. Methodological Limitations
- Section E. Preparing the Final Report

B. Evaluation Questions and Hypotheses

Figure 1 outlines the hypotheses and research questions (RQs) related to understanding the successes, challenges, and lessons learned in implementing the demonstration.

Figure 1. Hypotheses and Research Questions

Research Question (RQ)						
Hypothesis 1 – The demonstration will facilitate attaining the objectives of Medicaid.						
RQ 1.1	What retroactive risk sharing agreements did the state ultimately negotiate with the managed care plans under the demonstration authority?					
RQ 1.2 In what ways during the PHE did the demonstration support adding or modifying one or more risk shape mechanisms after the start of the rating period?						
RQ 1.3	What problems may have been caused by the application of section 438.6(b)(1) during the PHE that would have undermined the objectives of Medicaid, and how did the exemption address or prevent these problems?					
RQ 1.4	What were the principal challenges associated with implementing the retroactive risk mitigation strategies from the perspectives of the state Medicaid agency and Medicaid managed care plans?					
RQ 1.5 What actions did the state take to address challenges presented by the implementation of retroactive strategies? To what extent were those actions successful in the context of the PHE?						
RQ 1.6	What were the principal lessons learned for any future PHEs in implementing the demonstration flexibilities?					
Hypothesis 2 – The authority will support TennCare in making appropriate, equitable payments during the COVID-19 PHE to help with maintenance of beneficiary access to care that would have otherwise been challenging due to the prohibitions in Section 438.6(b)(1).						
RQ 2.1	To what extent did the retroactive risk sharing implemented under the demonstration authority result in more accurate payments to the managed care plans?					

C. Methodology

This section provides details on the proposed methodology for the Evaluation Design, including anticipated data sources, analytic methods, and evaluation reporting periods.

Section C.1 summarizes the types of data that will be used to prepare the Final Report.

Section C.2 outlines TennCare's proposed analytic methods for the Evaluation.

Section C.3 includes analytic tables that detail the evaluation approach for each hypothesis. The analytic tables outline the planned research questions, outcome measures, data sources, and analytic approaches.

1. Data Sources

The state will compile data for the Evaluation from qualitative and quantitative data sources including staff interviews and state and administrative data.

Document Review

To examine information related to the risk corridor negotiated with the managed care plans, the state will conduct a review of relevant documentation (e.g., managed care contracts).

Staff Interviews

The State will conduct TennCare staff interviews to evaluate if the demonstration facilitated attaining the objectives of Medicaid. Tennessee will identify TennCare interview participants based on involvement in the implementation of the risk corridor.

MCO Medical Loss Ratio (MLR) Reports

MCOs submit regular medical loss ratio (MLR) reports which provide aggregate revenue, claims costs, and other financial metrics for the purposes of calculating MLRs. TennCare will examine calendar year (CY) 2018, CY 2019, CY 2020 monthly MLR submissions to evaluate, in part, to what extent the risk sharing implemented under the demonstration authority resulted in more accurate payments to the MCOs.

TennCare Claims Data

The State will use claims cost data, collected and validated regularly by TennCare's actuaries, to estimate the unforeseeable impact of COVID-19 on utilization patterns. This forms the basis of the standard 'encounter data' the actuary relies on for rate development.

CY 2020 Rate Development Exhibits

TennCare's actuaries will provide CY 2020 Rate Development Exhibits containing target MLRs by program, as calculated during rate development. TennCare will examine these Exhibits to evaluate, in part, to what extent the risk sharing implemented under the demonstration authority resulted in more accurate payments to the MCOs.

2. Analytic Methods

As part of the 1115 demonstration approval, CMS required Tennessee to develop a "simplified" Evaluation Design that does not undertake evaluations that would prove overly burdensome and impractical for data collection or analyses, but rather focuses on using qualitative methods and descriptive statistics to understand how this flexibility helped Tennessee respond to the COVID-19

PHE. As such, Tennessee will use qualitative and descriptive statistics methods to conduct the Evaluation.

Qualitative Analysis

The state will collect qualitative data through methods such as staff interviews. Where applicable, the qualitative data will be categorized and coded systematically. The state will use thematic analysis, which is a systematic and iterative data coding and analysis process that will allow the state to identify themes or patterns within the responses.

Descriptive Analyses

For research questions assessing payments to managed care plans, the state will calculate standard summary statistics to report findings.

3. Analytic Table

Figure 2 outlines the hypotheses, research questions, outcome measures, data sources, and analytic approaches for this Evaluation Design.

Figure 2. Analytic Table

			Analytic
Research Question	Outcome Measure(s)	Data Source(s)	Approach
Hypothesis 1 – The demonstration will fa	cilitate attaining the objectives of Medicaid	l.	
RQ 1.1: What retroactive risk sharing	- Type(s) of risk sharing agreement(s)	- Document	- Qualitative
agreements did the state ultimately	negotiated with the managed care	review	analysis
negotiate with the managed care plans	plans		
under the demonstration authority?	Terms of negotiated risk sharing		
	agreement(s)		
RQ 1.2: In what ways during the PHE did	- Benefits/successes of adding a risk	 TennCare Staff 	- Qualitative
the demonstration support adding or	sharing mechanism that would not	Interview(s)	analysis
modifying one or more risk sharing	have been realized if the		
mechanisms after the start of the rating	demonstration authority were not in		
period?	place		
RQ 1.3: What problems may have been	- Description of how the	 TennCare Staff 	- Qualitative
caused by the application of section	demonstration authority addressed	Interview(s)	analysis
438.6(b)(1) during the PHE that would	or prevented problems related to		
have undermined the objectives of	the application of section 438.6(b)(1)		
Medicaid, and how did the exemption			
address or prevent these problems?			
RQ 1.4: What were the principal	- Description of challenges (if any)	 TennCare Staff 	- Qualitative
challenges associated with	related to implementing the risk	Interview(s)	analysis
implementing the retroactive risk	sharing agreement(s) with the		
mitigation strategies from the	managed care plans		
perspectives of the state Medicaid			
agency and Medicaid managed care			
plans?			
RQ 1.5: What actions did the state take	- Description of actions taken by	 TennCare Staff 	- Qualitative
to address challenges presented by the	Tennessee to address the challenges	Interview(s)	analysis
implementation of retroactive risk	identified (if any) in RQ 1.4		
mitigation strategies? To what extent	- Description of how these actions		
were those actions successful in the	were successful		
context of the PHE?			

Research Question	Outcome Measure(s)	Data Source(s)	Analytic Approach						
RQ 1.6: What were the principal lessons learned for any future PHEs in implementing the demonstration flexibilities?	Description of lessons learned for future PHEs in implementing the demonstration flexibilities	- TennCare Staff Interview(s)	- Qualitative analysis						
Hypothesis 2 – The authority will support TennCare in making appropriate, equitable payments during the COVID-19 PHE to help with maintenance of beneficiary access to care that would have otherwise been challenging due to the prohibitions in									
Section 438.6(b)(1).	less to care that would have otherwise seen	renancinging due to the	. promotions in						
RQ 2.1: To what extent did the retroactive risk sharing implemented under the demonstration authority result in more accurate payments to the managed care plans?	 MLRs by program prior to the application of the risk corridor, both at an aggregate-level as well as deidentified MCO-specific MLRs by program after application of the risk corridor, both at an aggregate-level as well as deidentified MCO-specific Target MLR by program as calculated during rate development TennCare utilization trend metrics Per Member Per Month (PMPM) Units per 1,000 members 	- Monthly MCO MLR Submissions - TennCare Claims Data - CY 2020 Rate Development Exhibits	- Descriptive analysis						

D. Methodological Limitations

Given the simplified nature of this Evaluation Design, Tennessee does not anticipate encountering extensive methodological limitations. However, there are a few limitations the state may encounter, which are described below.

- Qualitative Analysis. The main analytic approach TennCare will use in this Evaluation is qualitative analysis. There are a few widely known limitations to the qualitative analysis approach such as difficulty to demonstrate rigor, dependency of an individual's skills on research quality, and bias. TennCare will do its best to minimize these limitations, for example, by creating a scripted interview template.
- Staff Interviews. The State plans to conduct a limited number of TennCare staff interviews to evaluate RQs 1.2 1.6. The State will schedule interviews with the critical TennCare staff members that were involved in the development and implementation of the risk corridor. If any of the critical staff members involved in the development and implementation of the risk corridor depart TennCare prior to the interview, it may be difficult to fully evaluate RQs 1.2 1.6.

E. Preparing the Final Report

TennCare will submit to CMS a Final Report for this demonstration 18 months after either the expiration of the demonstration approval period or the end of the latest rating period covered under the state's approved expenditure authority, whichever comes later. The Final Report will include all applicable elements required by 42 CFR 431.428.