1. Title page for the state's substance use disorder (SUD) demonstration or the SUD component of the broader demonstration

The state should complete this title page at the beginning of a demonstration and submit as the title page for all monitoring reports. The content of this table should stay consistent over time. Definitions for certain rows are below the table.

State	Oklahoma
Demonstration name	Institutions for Mental Diseases Waiver for Serious Mental Illness/Substance Use Disorder
Approval period for section 1115 demonstration	12/22/2020-12/31/2025
SUD demonstration start date ^a	12/22/2020
Implementation date of SUD demonstration, if different from SUD demonstration start date ^b	01/18/2021
SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD -related) demonstration goals and objectives	Increased rates of identification, initiation and engagement in treatment for SUD/OUD; Increased adherence to and retention in treatment for SUD/OUD; Reduced utilization of emergency department and inpatient hospital settings where utilization is preventable or medically inappropriate; Improved access to care for physical health conditions; Fewer preventable/medically inappropriate readmissions to the same or higher level of care; Reduction in overdose death, particularly those due to opioids.
SUD demonstration year and quarter	DYI Q4
Reporting period	10/01/2021-12/31/2021

^a SUD demonstration start date: For monitoring purposes, CMS defines the start date of the demonstration as the *effective date* listed in the state's STCs at time of SUD demonstration approval. For example, if the state's STCs at the time of SUD demonstration approval note that the SUD demonstration is effective January 1, 2020 – December 31, 2025, the state should consider January 1, 2020 to be the start date of the SUD demonstration. Note that the effective date is considered to be the first day the state may begin its SUD demonstration. In many cases, the effective date is distinct from the approval date of a demonstration; that is, in certain cases, CMS may approve a section 1115 demonstration with an effective date that is in the future. For example, CMS may approve an extension request on 12/15/2020, with an effective date of 1/1/2021 for the new demonstration period. In many cases, the effective date also differs from the date a state begins implementing its demonstration.

2. Executive summary

The executive summary should be reported in the fillable box below. It is intended for summary-level information only. The recommended word count is 500 words or less.

With the approval of the demonstration on December 22, 2020 the State began implementation of the SUD portion of the demonstration on January 18, 2021.

Medicaid expansion was implemented in the state on July 1, 2021 and has added approximately 230,000 newly eligible adults to the Medicaid program as of the end of this reporting quarter. This change allows newly eligible expansion adults access to Medicaid physical and mental health services and providers previously unavailable to them.

The State was anticipating the implementation of managed care on October 1, 2021. However, on June 1, 2021 the State Supreme Court ruled that managed care could not be implemented without additional legislative authority. Implementation continues to remain on hold and is not moving forward as of this reporting period.

The State continues to provide technical assistance and training on the State's improved ASAM level of care determination tool, as well as best practices for the provision of Medication Assisted Treatment. Additionally, ODMHSAS is leading a statewide planning effort to support a comprehensive, statewide crisis response system in coordination with the new national 988 number coming in 2022. This effort includes expansion of crisis services provided within Urgent Recovery Clinics.

b Implementation date of SUD demonstration: The date the state began claiming federal financial participation for services provided to individuals in institutions for mental disease.

3. Narrative information on implementation, by milestone and reporting topic

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
1. Assessment of need and qualification for SUD services			
1.1 Metric trends			
1.1.1. The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services	X		
1.2 Implementation update			
1.2.1. Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:	X		
1.2.1.i. The target population(s) of the demonstration			
1.2.1.ii. The clinical criteria (e.g., SUD diagnoses) that qualify a beneficiary for the demonstration	X		
1.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
2. Access to Critical Levels of Care for OUD and other S	UDs (Milestone 1)	
2.1 Metric trends 2.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 1	X		
2.2 Implementation update			
2.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 2.2.1.i. Planned activities to improve access to SUD treatment services across the continuum of care for Medicaid beneficiaries (e.g. outpatient services, intensive outpatient services, medication-assisted treatment, services in intensive residential and inpatient settings, medically supervised withdrawal management)			The State continues to provide technical assistance to OTPs and other MAT/SUD providers regarding billing, enrollment, and service coordination. The State has drafted its SPA to add partial hospitalization for adults as a Medicaid benefit. Tribal consultation began on December 21, 2021. The State plans to obtain an effective date of no later than October 2022 as indicated in the implementation plan.
2.2.1.ii. SUD benefit coverage under the Medicaid state plan or the Expenditure Authority, particularly for residential treatment, medically supervised withdrawal management, and medication-assisted treatment services provided to individual IMDs	X		
2.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 1	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
3. Use of Evidence-based, SUD-specific Patient Placemen	nt Criteria (Miles	tone 2)	
3.1 Metric trends			
3.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 2	X		
3.2. Implementation update			
3.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 3.2.1.i. Planned activities to improve providers' use of evidence-based, SUD-specific placement criteria	X		
3.2.1.ii. Implementation of a utilization management approach to ensure (a) beneficiaries have access to SUD services at the appropriate level of care, (b) interventions are appropriate for the diagnosis and level of care, or (c) use of independent process for reviewing placement in residential treatment settings			The State has begun targeted technical assistance and education with residential SUD providers to ensure compliance with SoonerCare rules and guidelines.
3.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 2	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
4. Use of Nationally Recognized SUD-specific Program S 4.1 Metric trends	tandards to Set F	Provider Qualifications for	· Residential Treatment Facilities (Milestone 3)
4.1 Wetric trends 4.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 3 Note: There are no CMS-provided metrics related to Milestone 3. If the state did not identify any metrics for	X		
reporting this milestone, the state should indicate it has no update to report.			
4.2 Implementation update			
4.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:	X		
4.2.1.i. Implementation of residential treatment provider qualifications that meet the ASAM Criteria or other nationally recognized, SUD-specific program standards			
4.2.1.ii. Review process for residential treatment providers' compliance with qualifications.	X		
4.2.1.iii. Availability of medication-assisted treatment at residential treatment facilities, either on-site or through facilitated access to services off site			The State continues to provide technical assistance to OTPs and other MAT/SUD providers regarding billing, enrollment, and service coordination.
4.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 3	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
5. Sufficient Provider Capacity at Critical Levels of Care	including for M	edication Assisted Treatm	ent for OUD (Milestone 4)
5.1 Metric trends			
5.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 4	X		
5.2 Implementation update			
5.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:	X		
Planned activities to assess the availability of providers enrolled in Medicaid and accepting new patients in across the continuum of SUD care			
5.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 4	X		
6. Implementation of Comprehensive Treatment and Pro	evention Strategie	es to Address Opioid Abus	se and OUD (Milestone 5)
6.1 Metric trends			
6.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 5	X		
6.2 Implementation update			
 6.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 6.2.1.i. Implementation of opioid prescribing guidelines and other interventions related to prevention of OUD 	X		
6.2.1.ii. Expansion of coverage for and access to naloxone	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
6.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 5	X		
7. Improved Care Coordination and Transitions between 7.1 Metric trends	Levels of Care (Milestone 6)	
7.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 6	X		
7.2 Implementation update			
7.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: Implementation of policies supporting beneficiaries' transition from residential and inpatient facilities to community-based services and supports	X		
7.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 6	X		
8. SUD health information technology (health IT)			
8.1 Metric trends			
8.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to its health IT metrics	X		
8.2 Implementation update			
 8.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 8.2.1.i. How health IT is being used to slow down the rate of growth of individuals identified with SUD 	X		This is not an objective in the State's approved implementation plan for SUD.

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
How health IT is being used to treat effectively individuals identified with SUD			
8.2.1.ii. How health IT is being used to effectively monitor "recovery" supports and services for individuals identified with SUD	X		This is not an objective in the State's approved implementation plan for SUD.
8.2.1.iii. Other aspects of the state's plan to develop the health IT infrastructure/capabilities at the state, delivery system, health plan/MCO, and individual provider levels			The implementation of the statewide HIE is in progress. Operationalization of the State HIE continues. Outreach has commenced and most deliverables are complete. The HIE's OAPD and sustainability plan have been submitted and approved by CMS. The OAPD will provide access through FFY 2023. The State is currently in contract negotiations with a regional private 501c3 HIE for the purpose of operational maintenance of the statewide HIE. The contract should be in place by March of 2022 with the merge / transition complete by the fall of 2022.
8.2.1.iv. Other aspects of the state's health IT implementation milestones			See response to 8.2.1.iii
8.2.1.v. The timeline for achieving health IT implementation milestones			See response to 8.2.1.iii
8.2.1.vi. Planned activities to increase use and functionality of the state's prescription drug monitoring program			See response to 8.2.1.iii
8.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to health IT	X		
9. Other SUD-related metrics 9.1 Metric trends			

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
9.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics	X		
9.2 Implementation update			
9.2.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics	X		

4. Narrative information on other reporting topics

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
10. Budget neutrality		
10.1 Current status and analysis		
10.1.1 If the SUD component is part of a broader demonstration, the state should provide an analysis of the SUD-related budget neutrality and an analysis of budget neutrality as a whole. Describe the current status of budget neutrality and an analysis of the budget neutrality to date.		State staff worked with its third-party/independent evaluator (PHPG) on the budget neutrality design for the separate 1115 SMI/SUD waiver. The budget neutrality design for this 1115 waiver demonstration has been submitted and State staff continue to support the independent evaluator to ensure necessary data is included for the upcoming budget neutrality quarterly report.
10.2 Implementation update		
10.2.1 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect budget neutrality		The State implemented Medicaid expansion on July 1, 2021, bringing approximately 230,000 newly eligible adults into the program as of this reporting period.

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
11. SUD-related demonstration operations and policy		
11.1 Considerations		
11.1.1 The state should highlight significant SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD-related) demonstration operations or policy considerations that could positively or negatively affect beneficiary enrollment, access to services, timely provision of services, budget neutrality, or any other provision that has potential for beneficiary impacts. Also note any activity that may accelerate or create delays or impediments in achieving the SUD demonstration's approved goals or objectives, if not already reported elsewhere in this document. See report template instructions for more detail.		The State implemented Medicaid expansion on July 1, 2021, bringing approximately 230,000 newly eligible adults into the program as of this reporting period.
11.2 Implementation update		
11.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 11.2.1.i. How the delivery system operates under the demonstration (e.g. through the managed care system or fee for service)		The State implemented Medicaid expansion on July 1, 2021, bringing approximately 230,000 newly eligible adults into the program as of this reporting period.
11.2.1.ii. Delivery models affecting demonstration participants (e.g. Accountable Care Organizations, Patient Centered Medical Homes)		The State sunset the Behavioral Health Home (BHH) program on September 30, 2021. Consumers will continue to receive services through expanded access to CCBHCs, which are available statewide as of this reporting period.
11.2.1.iii. Partners involved in service delivery	X	
11.2.2 The state experienced challenges in partnering with entities contracted to help implement the demonstration (e.g., health plans, credentialing vendors, private sector providers) and/or noted any performance issues with contracted entities	X	

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
11.2.3 The state is working on other initiatives related to SUD or OUD		With the approaching conversion to the national 988 crisis number, the ODMHSAS is serving as the central organizing body for planning and readiness toward a comprehensive, statewide crisis response system in Oklahoma. The goal of the project is to integrate the national 988 number with the statewide crisis call center system, which will allow for triage and referral for all adult callers. Another goal of this system is to assist law enforcement to appropriately refer and manage crisis situations.
11.2.4 The initiatives described above are related to the SUD or OUD demonstration (The state should note similarities and differences from the SUD demonstration)		The initiative above supports the State's efforts related to Milestone 6: Improved Care Coordination and Transitions between Levels of Care.
12. SUD demonstration evaluation update 12.1 Narrative information		
12.1.1 Provide updates on SUD evaluation work and timeline. The appropriate content will depend on when this report is due to CMS and the timing for the demonstration. There are specific requirements per Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) for annual reports. See report template instructions for more details.		The State submitted the final evaluation design on August 25, 2021 and is currently awaiting CMS approval.
12.1.2 Provide status updates on deliverables related to the demonstration evaluation and indicate whether the expected timelines are being met and/or if there are any real or anticipated barriers in achieving the goals and timeframes agreed to in the STCs		The State submitted the final evaluation design on August 25, 2021 and is currently awaiting CMS approval.
12.1.3 List anticipated evaluation-related deliverables related to this demonstration and their due dates		The evaluation design was due on June 20, 2021. The mid-point assessment is due on August 15, 2023. The interim evaluation report is due on June 30, 2024 or with renewal application. The summative evaluation report is due on December 31, 2026.

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response	
13. Other demonstration reporting	(I lace all A)	State response	
13.1 General reporting requirements			
13.1.1 The state reports changes in its implementation of the demonstration that might necessitate a change to approved STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol	X		
13.1.2 The state anticipates the need to make future changes to the STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol, based on expected or upcoming implementation changes	X		
13.1.3 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 13.1.3.i. The schedule for completing and submitting monitoring reports	X		
13.1.3.ii. The content or completeness of submitted reports and/or future reports	X		
13.1.4 The state identified real or anticipated issues submitting timely post-approval demonstration deliverables, including a plan for remediation	X		
13.2 Post-award public forum			
13.2.2 If applicable within the timing of the demonstration, provide a summary of the annual post-award public forum held pursuant to 42 CFR § 431.420(c) indicating any resulting action items or issues. A summary of the post-award public forum must be included here for the period during which the forum was held and in the annual report.		Within the annual post-award public forum, the following topics were discussed: the purpose of IMD waivers, an overview of Oklahoma's IMD waiver, included populations and services, challenges, and achievements. No complaints nor concerns were received from beneficiaries during the reporting period.	

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
14. Notable state achievements and/or innovations		
14.1 Narrative information		
14.1.1 Provide any relevant summary of achievements and/or innovations in demonstration enrollment, benefits, operations, and policies pursuant to the hypotheses of the SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD related) demonstration or that served to provide better care for individuals, better health for populations, and/or reduce per capita cost. Achievements should focus on significant impacts to beneficiary outcomes. Whenever possible, the summary should describe the achievement or innovation in quantifiable terms, e.g., number of impacted beneficiaries.		All Community Mental Health Centers in the state are now also Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinics, which focus on care coordination and integrated care for mental health and substance abuse.

^{*}The state should remove all example text from the table prior to submission.

Note: Licensee and states must prominently display the following notice on any display of Measure rates:

Measures IET-AD, FUA-AD, FUM-AD, and AAP [Metrics #15, 17(1), 17(2), and 32] are Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) measures that are owned and copyrighted by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). HEDIS measures and specifications are not clinical guidelines, do not establish a standard of medical care and have not been tested for all potential applications. The measures and specifications are provided "as is" without warranty of any kind. NCQA makes no representations, warranties or endorsements about the quality of any product, test or protocol identified as numerator compliant or otherwise identified as meeting the requirements of a HEDIS measure or specification. NCQA makes no representations, warranties, or endorsement about the quality of any organization or clinician who uses or reports performance measures and NCQA has no liability to anyone who relies on HEDIS measures or specifications or data reflective of performance under such measures and specifications.

The measure specification methodology used by CMS is different from NCQA's methodology. NCQA has not validated the adjusted measure specifications but has granted CMS permission to adjust. A calculated measure result (a "rate") from a HEDIS measure that has not been certified via NCQA's Measure Certification Program, and is based on adjusted HEDIS specifications, may not be called a "HEDIS rate" until it is audited and designated reportable by an NCQA-Certified HEDIS Compliance Auditor. Until such time, such measure rates shall be designated or referred to as "Adjusted, Uncertified, Unaudited HEDIS rates."