1. Title page for the state's substance use disorder (SUD) demonstration or the SUD component of the broader demonstration

The state should complete this title page at the beginning of a demonstration and submit as the title page for all monitoring reports. The content of this table should stay consistent over time. Definitions for certain rows are below the table.

State	Ohio
Demonstration name	Ohio Section 1115 Substance Use Disorder Waiver Demonstration
Approval period for section 1115 demonstration	10/01/2019 - 09/30/2024
SUD demonstration start date ^a	10/01/2019
Implementation date of SUD demonstration, if different from SUD demonstration start date ^b	n.a.
SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD -related) demonstration goals and objectives	During the 1115 SUD Demonstration waiver period Ohio expects to achieve the following goals: 1. Increased rates of identification, initiation, and engagement in treatment for SUD; 2. Increased adherence to and retention in treatment; 3. Reductions in overdose deaths, particularly those due to opioids; 4. Reduced utilization of emergency departments and inpatient hospital settings for treatment where the utilization is preventable or medically inappropriate through improved access to other continuum of care services; 5. Fewer readmissions to the same or higher level of care where the readmission is preventable or medically inappropriate; and 6. Improved access to care for physical health conditions among beneficiaries with SUD.
SUD demonstration year and quarter	DY2Q2
Reporting period	01/01/2021 - 03/31/2021

^a SUD demonstration start date: For monitoring purposes, CMS defines the start date of the demonstration as the *effective date* listed in the state's STCs at time of SUD demonstration approval. For example, if the state's STCs at the time of SUD demonstration approval note that the SUD demonstration is effective January 1, 2020 – December 31, 2025, the state should consider January 1, 2020 to be the start date of the SUD demonstration. Note that the effective date is

considered to be the first day the state may begin its SUD demonstration. In many cases, the effective date is distinct from the approval date of a demonstration; that is, in certain cases, CMS may approve a section 1115 demonstration with an effective date that is in the future. For example, CMS may approve an extension request on 12/15/2020, with an effective date of 1/1/2021 for the new demonstration period. In many cases, the effective date also differs from the date a state begins implementing its demonstration.

b Implementation date of SUD demonstration: The date the state began claiming federal financial participation for services provided to individuals in institutions for mental disease.

2. Executive summary

The executive summary should be reported in the fillable box below. It is intended for summary-level information only. The recommended word count is 500 words or less.

Enter the executive summary text here.

The State reports monthly and quarterly metrics in this report for the DY2Q1 measurement period (covering 10/01/2020 - 12/31/2020). Although quarter-over-quarter trends exceeded + or -2% for some metrics and subpopulations, the state noted no unusual trends based on a comparison with the DY1Q1 measurement period (covering 10/01/2019 - 12/31/2019).

3. Narrative information on implementation, by milestone and reporting topic

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
1. Assessment of need and qualification for SUD services 1.1 Metric trends			
1.1.1. The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services		#3	Metric #3 counts continued to increase over time for the general demonstration population and the larger complementary subpopulations (non-dual, non-CJI, etc.). CJI and Youth decreased by just over 2% this quarter, with the Youth change continuing a gradual longer-term decline. The state notes that the CJI base population has declined in recent months which may contribute to the observed Metric #3 decline.
1.2 Implementation update			
1.2.1. Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 1.2.1.i. The target population(s) of the demonstration	X		
1.2.1.ii. The clinical criteria (e.g., SUD diagnoses) that qualify a beneficiary for the demonstration	X		
1.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response	
2. Access to Critical Levels of Care for OUD and other SUDs (Milestone 1)				
2.1 Metric trends				

2.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends,	#6-#12	Trends were generally mixed in the Milestone 1 metrics
including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related		for this reporting period. Many subpopulations have
to Milestone 1		relatively small counts which fluctuate monthly, and can
to minostone i		result in relatively large calculated changes. For the
		Demonstration population, quarterly averaged counts
		increased for Metrics #7 (+12%), #9 (+6.5%), and #12
		(+2.5%), and declined for Metrics #10 (-2.7%) and #11 (-
		2.1%).
		<u>2.170).</u>
		Overtably every and equate for Metrics #6 #9 #0 and #12
		Quarterly averaged counts for Metrics #6, #8, #9, and #12
		declined by 4-5% for the pregnant and CJI
		subpopulations. Duals also declined by 2% for Metric #6.
		Quarterly averaged counts for Metric #7 increased by 8-
		11% for large subpopulations (other subpopulations were
		too small to assess trends). Quarterly averaged counts for
		the OUD subpopulation of Metric #8 increased by 2.5%.
		O 4 1
		Quarterly averaged counts for Metric #9 and #12 tended
		to increase slightly most subpopulations except for
		pregnant and CJI (discussed above) and aged/duals (no
		change). The state notes that the counts of Youth under
		18 receiving MAT (#12) continued to decline post-
		pandemic, decreasing by over 12% this quarter. Metrics
		#10 and #11 exhibited a similar quarterly trend of slight
		decreases in counts across most subpopulations. Counts
		for Youth declined substantially in Metric #10 (-21%)
		and older adults in Metric #11 (-10%), but counts are low
		for both groups so they are more affected by clustering of
		discharge datesTrends were generally mixed in the
		Milestone 1 metrics for this reporting period. Many
		subpopulations have relatively small counts which
		fluctuate monthly, and can result in relatively large
		calculated changes. Most calculated trends slightly
		exceeded the 2% threshold, while individual monthly

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
			values remained within the bounds of the prior year's
			data.
			Quarterly averaged counts for Metrics #6, #8, #9, and #12
			declined by over 2% for the pregnant and CJI
			subpopulations. Duals also declined by over 2% for
			Metric #6. Quarterly averaged counts for Metric #7
			increased by over 2% for the Demonstration and other
			large subpopulations. Other subpopulations are too small
			to assess trends. Quarterly averaged counts for the OUD
			subpopulation of Metric #8 increased by over 2%.
			Quarterly averaged counts for Metrics #9 and #12 tended
			to increase slightly across most subpopulations except for
			pregnant and CJI (discussed above) and aged/duals (no
			change). The state notes that the counts of Youth under
			18 receiving MAT (#12) continue to decline post-
			pandemic, while the pregnant and CJI subpopulations
			remain steady, in contrast to the general long term
			increase in the number of beneficiaries receiving MAT
			observed in other groups. Metrics #10 and #11 exhibited
			similar quarterly trends: a slight decrease in counts across
			most subpopulations. Counts for Youth declined
			substantially in Metric #10 and older adults in Metric
			#11, but counts are low for both groups so they are more affected by clustering of discharge dates.
			arrected by clustering of discharge dates.
2.2 Implementation update			

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
2.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 2.2.1.i. Planned activities to improve access to SUD treatment services across the continuum of care for Medicaid beneficiaries (e.g. outpatient services, intensive outpatient services, medication-assisted treatment, services in intensive residential and inpatient settings, medically supervised withdrawal management)	X		
2.2.1.ii. SUD benefit coverage under the Medicaid state plan or the Expenditure Authority, particularly for residential treatment, medically supervised withdrawal management, and medication-assisted treatment services provided to individual IMDs	X		
2.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 1	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
3. Use of Evidence-based, SUD-specific Patient Placemer	nt Criteria (Miles	tone 2)	
3.1 Metric trends 3.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 2	X		
3.2. Implementation update			
 3.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 3.2.1.i. Planned activities to improve providers' use of evidence-based, SUD-specific placement criteria 			The Ohio Department of Medicaid and the Ohio Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services in collaboration with community stakeholders and substance use disorder treatment providers have embarked on a process to further refine the use of the American Society of Addiction Medicine substance use disorder treatment criteria in the Ohio administrative code.
3.2.1.ii. Implementation of a utilization management approach to ensure (a) beneficiaries have access to SUD services at the appropriate level of care, (b) interventions are appropriate for the diagnosis and level of care, or (c) use of independent process for reviewing placement in residential treatment settings			The state is finalizing a standardized utilization management authorization form developed for admissions to residential treatment facilities in coordination with providers and stakeholders from the Utilization Management Targeted Workgroup. The form will be made available to residential treatment providers for submission to Ohio Medicaid's Managed Care Plans.
3.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 2	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
4. Use of Nationally Recognized SUD-specific Program S	tandards to Set I	Provider Qualificatio	ons for Residential Treatment Facilities (Milestone 3)
4.1 Metric trends 4.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 3 Note: There are no CMS-provided metrics related to	X		
Milestone 3. If the state did not identify any metrics for reporting this milestone, the state should indicate it has no update to report.			
4.2 Implementation update			
 4.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 4.2.1.i. Implementation of residential treatment provider qualifications that meet the ASAM 	X		
Criteria or other nationally recognized, SUD- specific program standards			
4.2.1.ii. Review process for residential treatment providers' compliance with qualifications.	X		
4.2.1.iii. Availability of medication-assisted treatment at residential treatment facilities, either on-site or through facilitated access to services off site	X		
4.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 3	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response	
5. Sufficient Provider Capacity at Critical Levels of Care	·-	` '	-	
5.1 Metric trends		113313004 1	(1.2000000000000000000000000000000000000	
5.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 4	X			
5.2 Implementation update				
5.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:	X			
Planned activities to assess the availability of providers enrolled in Medicaid and accepting new patients in across the continuum of SUD care				
5.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 4				
6. Implementation of Comprehensive Treatment and Pre	vention Strategie	es to Address Opioid	Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)	
6.1 Metric trends				
6.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 5		#23	The state notes decreases of over 2% for the Demonstration population and all subpopulations in Metric #23, consistent with seasonally decreased utilization during the end-of-year holidays.	
6.2 Implementation update				
 6.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 6.2.1.i. Implementation of opioid prescribing guidelines and other interventions related to 	X			
guidelines and other interventions related to prevention of OUD				

State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
X		
n Levels of Care (Milestone 6)	
X		
X		
X		
	Q1, S3	The quarterly averaged number of Medicaid providers with an integrated EHR connection (S3) increased by more than 2% over the prior quarter, consistent with the long-term trend.
	to report (place an X) X En Levels of Care (X	to report (place an X) Related metric(s) (if any) X En Levels of Care (Milestone 6) X X Q1, S3

	Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
operations changes to	npared to the demonstration design and al details, the state expects to make the following o: How health IT is being used to slow down the rate of growth of individuals identified with	X		
How heal	SUD th IT is being used to treat effectively individuals			
identified	with SUD			
8.2.1.ii.	How health IT is being used to effectively monitor "recovery" supports and services for individuals identified with SUD	X		
8.2.1.iii.	Other aspects of the state's plan to develop the health IT infrastructure/capabilities at the state, delivery system, health plan/MCO, and individual provider levels			Currently, ODM does not have a contract for exchanging data with either HIE in Ohio, CliniSync and The Health Collaborative. ODM intends to work on the best strategy to obtain data related to electronic medical records, for which the HIEs may be a data source, through ODM's Interoperability strategy and timeline. ODM is currently gathering information to develop a full plan for implementation of Interoperability throughout 2021 and 2022.
8.2.1.iv.	Other aspects of the state's health IT implementation milestones	X		
8.2.1.v.	The timeline for achieving health IT implementation milestones	X		
8.2.1.vi.	Planned activities to increase use and functionality of the state's prescription drug monitoring program	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
8.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to health IT	X		
9. Other SUD-related metrics			
9.1 Metric trends			
9.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics		#24, S2	The state notes decreases of over 2% in rates for the Demonstration population and OUD and Adult subpopulations in Metric #24, consistent with seasonally decreased utilization during the end-of-year holidays. The Youth inpatient stay rate increased by over 2% since the prior quarter; stays for this group are relatively rare and vary greatly month to month. The state notes increases in the UDS rate (Metric S2) for the Demonstration and larger subpopulations, as well as the dual and older adult subpopulations. Rates are still below pre-pandemic levels. UDS rates among Youth declined by over 2% from the prior quarter.
9.2 Implementation update			
9.2.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics	X		

4. Narrative information on other reporting topics

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
10. Budget neutrality		

D	State has no update to report	
Prompts 10.1 Current status and analysis	(Place an X)	State response
10.1.1 If the SUD component is part of a broader demonstration, the state should provide an analysis of the SUD-related budget neutrality and an analysis of budget neutrality as a whole. Describe the current status of budget neutrality and an analysis of the budget neutrality to date.		Budget neutrality was exceeded beginning in DY1Q4 due to expenditures not directly related to SUD residential recipients and most of the services they received. In accordance with STC 66 that requires a corrective action plan, the state has submitted to CMS a draft version of an amendment to revise budget neutrality.
10.2 Implementation update		
10.2.1 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect budget neutrality	X	
11. SUD-related demonstration operations and policy		
11.1 Considerations		
11.1.1 The state should highlight significant SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD-related) demonstration operations or policy considerations that could positively or negatively affect beneficiary enrollment, access to services, timely provision of services, budget neutrality, or any other provision that has potential for beneficiary impacts. Also note any activity that may accelerate or create delays or impediments in achieving the SUD demonstration's approved goals or objectives, if not already reported elsewhere in this document. See report template instructions for more detail.	X	
11.2 Implementation update		
11.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 11.2.1.i. How the delivery system operates under the	X	
demonstration (e.g. through the managed care system or fee for service)		
11.2.1.ii. Delivery models affecting demonstration participants (e.g. Accountable Care Organizations, Patient Centered Medical Homes)	X	

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
11.2.1.iii. Partners involved in service delivery	X	
11.2.2 The state experienced challenges in partnering with entities contracted to help implement the demonstration (e.g., health plans, credentialing vendors, private sector providers) and/or noted any performance issues with contracted entities	X	
11.2.3 The state is working on other initiatives related to SUD or OUD	X	
11.2.4 The initiatives described above are related to the SUD or OUD demonstration (The state should note similarities and differences from the SUD demonstration)	X	
12. SUD demonstration evaluation update		
12.1 Narrative information		
12.1.1 Provide updates on SUD evaluation work and timeline. The appropriate content will depend on when this report is due to CMS and the timing for the demonstration. There are specific requirements per Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) for annual reports. See report template instructions for more details.		GRC, with the help of OSU faculty subcontractors, drafted the provider survey and focus group interview guide for the Mid-Point assessment. GRC and subcontractors conducted qualitative analysis of key informant interviews using Atlas TI software.
details.		GRC continued work on coding measures for the approved Evaluation design and completed setting up and testing the SQL claims database.
12.1.2 Provide status updates on deliverables related to the demonstration evaluation and indicate whether the expected timelines are being met and/or if there are any real or anticipated barriers in achieving the goals and timeframes agreed to in the STCs		All deliverables related to the demonstration evaluation are on track to be completed by the planned dates. At this point, there are no real or anticipated barriers to completing these deliverables in the given timeframes.

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
12.1.3 List anticipated evaluation-related deliverables related to this demonstration and their due dates		 GRC developed the waiver's evaluation design, which was completed and approved by CMS on November 10, 2020. GRC will submit an interim evaluation report due September 30, 2023. GRC will submit a summative evaluation report due within 18 months after the demonstration ends on September 30, 2024.
13. Other demonstration reporting		
13.1 General reporting requirements		
13.1.1 The state reports changes in its implementation of the demonstration that might necessitate a change to approved STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol	X	
13.1.2 The state anticipates the need to make future changes to the STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol, based on expected or upcoming implementation changes	X	
13.1.3 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:	X	
13.1.3.i. The schedule for completing and submitting monitoring reports		
13.1.3.ii. The content or completeness of submitted reports and/or future reports	X	
13.1.4 The state identified real or anticipated issues submitting timely post-approval demonstration deliverables, including a plan for remediation	X	

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
13.2 Post-award public forum		
13.2.2 If applicable within the timing of the demonstration, provide a summary of the annual post-award public forum held pursuant to 42 CFR § 431.420(c) indicating any resulting action items or issues. A summary of the post-award public forum must be included here for the period during which the forum was held and in the annual report.	X	
14. Notable state achievements and/or innovations		
14.1 Narrative information		
14.1.1 Provide any relevant summary of achievements and/or innovations in demonstration enrollment, benefits, operations, and policies pursuant to the hypotheses of the SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD related) demonstration or that served to provide better care for individuals, better health for populations, and/or reduce per capita cost. Achievements should focus on significant impacts to beneficiary outcomes. Whenever possible, the summary should describe the achievement or innovation in quantifiable terms, e.g., number of impacted beneficiaries.	X	

^{*}The state should remove all example text from the table prior to submission.

Note: Licensee and states must prominently display the following notice on any display of Measure rates:

Measures IET-AD, FUA-AD, FUM-AD, and AAP [Metrics #15, 17(1), 17(2), and 32] are Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) measures that are owned and copyrighted by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). HEDIS measures and specifications are not clinical guidelines, do not establish a standard of medical care and have not been tested for all potential applications. The measures and specifications are provided "as is" without warranty of any kind. NCQA makes no representations, warranties or endorsements about the quality of any product, test or protocol identified as numerator compliant or otherwise identified as meeting the requirements of a HEDIS measure or specification. NCQA makes no representations, warranties, or endorsement about the quality of any organization or clinician who uses or reports performance measures and NCQA has no liability to anyone who relies on HEDIS measures or specifications or data reflective of performance under such measures and specifications.

The measure specification methodology used by CMS is different from NCQA's methodology. NCQA has not validated the adjusted measure specifications but has granted CMS permission to adjust. A calculated measure result (a "rate") from a HEDIS measure that has not been certified via NCQA's Measure Certification Program, and is based on adjusted HEDIS specifications, may not be called a "HEDIS rate" until it is audited and designated reportable by an

Medicaid Section 1115 SUD Demonstrations Monitoring Report – Part B Version 3.0 Ohio Section 1115 Substance Use Disorder Waiver Demonstration

NCQA-Certified HEDIS Compliance Auditor. Until such time, such measure rates shall be designated or referred to as "Adjusted, Uncertified, Unaudited HEDIS rates."