

This document serves as a template for Monitoring Leads to summarize and capture key content of section 1115 Demonstration Monitoring Reports (MRs) submitted by states for approved section 1115 Demonstrations on quarterly and annual basis. For additional guidance, please refer to Section 1115 Monitoring Report Review Guide.pdf.

**Instructions:** During your review of an 1115 quarterly/annual monitoring report, consider the following:

- (1) Engage the internal demonstration team in reviewing monitoring reports (especially for the first one or two reports submitted).
- (2) Discuss with the PO and others (where applicable) any issues or "high risk" areas identified during the initial review and approval of the 1115 demonstration or through previous monitoring reports (e.g. potential beneficiary access to care issues, financing arrangements, "grandfathered" IMD authority). This information will assist in identifying any issues that need to be monitored closely; documented in summary report; and/or entered into the Issue Register.
- (3) If the data provided in the report is unstructured, please work with your internal demonstration team to assess and ensure that any significant changes to enrollment, eligibility, grievances, appeals, and denial of services are identified and captured in the summary template.
- (4) If a Demonstration has different policy areas, clarify applicability of reported information (i.e. if information is applicable to the entire Demonstration or only a portion of the Demonstration, such as SUD, managed care, etc.).
- (5) If the MR does not include information for any of the elements below, state "Not included in MR" under the "Summary of Information" column below. Identify whether that particular element was a required MR element in the Demonstration Special Terms and Conditions (STCs) and include that information in the summary column.
- (6) For demonstration deliverables that include home and community-based (HCBS) and/or managed care authority, ensure that the DHCBSO and/or DCMO SME enters feedback in the sections at the end of the template.

#### Complete the following fields:

Monitoring Report Information	Summary of Information
State and Demonstration Name	Medicaid Redesign Team
Monitoring Lead reviewing MR	Melvina Harrison



MR Time Period (please specify	Quarterly Report
quarterly vs. annual report and time	Demonstration Year: 24 (4/1/2022-3/31/2023)
period covered by MR)	Federal Fiscal Quarter: 3 (4/1/2022-6/30/2022)
period covered 2, mm,	1 - Caciai 1 135ai Quaitei 1 3 (1/12/2022 5/35/2022)
Did the State submit the MR timely?	Yes
If not, please note length of delay	
and reasons for delay (if known)	
Please specify if there are any	No
required elements missing in the MR	
per STCs	
If this is an annual report, please	
review the list of required content in	
footnote 1 of the Monitoring Report	
Review Guide. Determine if any	
required content is missing,	
including the summary of the annual	
forum.	
Summary of key accomplishments	During the April 2022 through June 2022 quarter, 1 MAP plan
and activities during reporting	expanded service area operations.
period	Now Varida Farelline and Direktor NIVAC as industed the NALTC
	New York's Enrollment Broker, NYMC, conducts the MLTC
	Post Enrollment Outreach Survey which contains questions
	specifically designed to measure the degree to which
	consumers could maintain their relationship with the services they were receiving prior to mandatory transitions to MLTC.
	For the April 2022 through June 2022 quarter, post
	enrollment surveys were completed for 2 enrollees. Of the 2
	surveilled, 1 (50%) indicated that they continued to receive
	services from the same caregivers once they became
	members of an MLTC plan, the remaining enrollee had case
	specific reasons why they did not continue. The percentage of
	affirmative responses is lower than the previous quarter.
	animative responses is lower than the previous qualter.



Enrollment numbers for MR period	Enrollment: Total enrollment in MLTC Partial Capitation plans increased 2% from 243,193 the previous quarter to 247,942 during the April 2022 through June 2022 quarter. For that period, 13,187 individuals who were being transitioned into MLTC made an affirmative choice, a 63% increase from the previous quarter, and brings the 12- month total for affirmative choice to 38,782.  Monthly plan-specific enrollment for Partial Capitation plans, PACE plans, MAP plans, and FIDA IDD plans during the July 2021 through June 2022 annual period is submitted as an attachment.  1,109,558 (0.62% increase since previous quarter)
Emoliment numbers for lvik period	1,103,336 (0.02% increase since previous quarter)
Enrollment numbers for past MR	1,102,720
period (for quarterly MR please	
refer to previous quarter; for annual	
MR please refer to previous year)	
Did the state provide	Yes. Total enrollment in MLTC Partial Capitation plans
context/explanation for enrollment	increased 2% from 243,193 the previous quarter to 247,942
increases or decreases? If yes, please	during the April 2022 through June 2022 quarter. For that
provide detail here. If no, please	period, 13,187 individuals who were being transitioned into
consider whether to include as a	MLTC made an affirmative choice, a 63% increase from the
discussion item in an upcoming	previous quarter, and brings the 12- month total for
monitoring call.	affirmative choice to 38,782. Monthly plan-specific
	enrollment for Partial Capitation plans, PACE plans, MAP
	plans, and FIDA IDD plans during the July 2021 through June
	2022 annual period is submitted as an attachment.
For eligibility and coverage	Voluntary - 27,120 or an approximate 23.2% increase from
demonstrations, please enter	last Quarter
disenrollment numbers for report	Involuntary - 4,447 or an approximate 84.7% decrease from
period.	last Quarter
Did the state provide	24Q3 Grievances - 12,254 (11,611 in previous quarter) 5.5%
context/explanation for increases or	increase. Unless otherwise noted, changes from last quarter
decreases in grievances? If yes, please provide detail here. If no,	were presumed to be due to COVID-19 pandemic. For the
	April 2022 through June 2022 quarter, the top reasons for



please consider whether to include	complaints/appeals changed from last quarter:
as a discussion item in an upcoming	Dissatisfaction with Transportation, Dissatisfaction with
monitoring call agenda.	quality of other covered services, Dissatisfaction with
	Quality Home Care (Other than lateness or absences),
	Dissatisfaction with member services and plan operations,
	Home Care Aides Late or Absent.
Did the state provide	24Q3 Appeals – 8,803 (8,489 for previous quarter) 3.7%
context/explanation for increases or	increase. Unless otherwise noted, changes from last quarter
decreases in appeals? If yes, please	were presumed to be due to COVID-19 pandemic. For the
provide detail here. If no, please	April 2022 through June 2022 quarter, the top reasons for
consider whether to include as a	complaints/appeals changed from last quarter:
discussion item in an upcoming	Dissatisfaction with Transportation, Dissatisfaction with
monitoring call agenda.	quality of other covered services, Dissatisfaction with
	Quality Home Care (Other than lateness or absences),
	Dissatisfaction with member services and plan operations,
	Home Care Aides Late or Absent.
Did the state provide	24Q3 Denials – 238 (237 for previous quarter) 0.42%
context/explanation regarding	increase. NYS continues to monitor plan-specific data in the
increases or decreases in denial of	three key areas: inpatient denials, outpatient denials, and
services? If yes, please provide	claims payment. These activities assist with detecting system
detail here. If no, please consider	inadequacies as they occur and allow the State to initiate
whether to include as a discussion	steps in addressing identified issues as soon as possible.
item in an upcoming monitoring call	
agenda.	
Did number of providers for MR	
period increase or decrease	NYS did not report on a significant increase/decrease of
significantly from the previous MR	provider enrollment.
period? If yes, please enter reason if	p.oride. emoliment
identified in report. If no reason	
provided, please review with state	
in an upcoming Monitoring Call.	
in an apcoming Monitoring Can.	
Operational, implementation and	No significant issues reported
beneficiary Issues identified in MR	140 Significant issues reported
(Note: Discuss with team and	
(Note: Discuss with team and	



determine whether these should be entered in Monitoring Issue	
Register)  Any notable policy, operational and implementation updates or changes included in MR	Health Insurance Plan of New York dba Emblem Healthcare was approved to provide Integrated Benefits for the Dually Eligible population in the following counties: Bronx, Kings, Nassau, New York, Queens, Richmond, Suffolk, and Westchester.  HealthPlus HP was approved to provide Integrated Benefits for the Dually Eligible population in the following counties: Orange, Rockland, Suffolk, and Westchester.
	New York Quality Healthcare Corporation dba Fidelis Care was approved to offer Medicaid Advantage Plus in the following counites: Allegany, Broome, Cattaraugus, Cayuga, Chemung, Chenango, Clinton, Columbia, Cortland, Delaware, Dutchess, Erie, Essex, Franklin, Fulton, Greene, Hamilton, Lewis, Niagara, Oneida, Onondaga, Orange, Orleans, Oswego, Otsego, Putnam, Rockland, Saratoga, Schoharie, Schuyler, Seneca, St Lawrence, Steuben, Sullivan, Tioga, Ulster, Warren, Washington, Wyoming, Yates.
Were there any evaluation updates included in MR? If yes, please summarize here.	See Page 25, section VI. Evaluation of the Demonstration

# The following sections are only completed for demonstrations that include HCBS and/or managed care authority:

For 1115 Demonstrations authorizing managed care, the DMCO SME will complete the following fields (add as many rows as needed):



Monitoring Report/Issue/Requirement Information	Summary of Information
	No feedback to provide at this time

For 1115 Demonstrations authorizing HCBS services, the DHCBSO SME will complete the following fields (add as many rows as needed):

Monitoring Report/Issue/Requirement Information	Summary of Information
	The state does not provide quality data on the BH-HCBS that are rendered in the 1115 Demonstration, outside of the 1915(c) program. Per the approved STCs, the state is not required to report quality data of the BH-HCBS. CMS strongly suggest the state consider incorporating the BH-HCBS in its Quality Improvement Strategy to align with the 1915(c) program. The state should review the HCBS quality measures and reporting requirements outlined in the 2014 Center for Medicaid & CHIP Services (CMCS) Informational Bulletin (CIB), Modifications to Quality Measures and Reporting in §1915(c) Home and Community-Based Waivers, regarding Quality Improvement Strategy (QIS).