# 1. Title page for the state's substance use disorder (SUD) demonstration or the SUD component of the broader demonstration

The state should complete this title page as part of its monitoring protocol. This form should be submitted as the title page for all monitoring reports. The content of this table should stay consistent over time. Definitions for certain rows are below the table.

State	Michigan.
Demonstration name	Michigan's 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration.
Approval period for section 1115 demonstration	04/05/2019 - 09/30/2024
SUD demonstration start date <sup>a</sup>	10/01/2019
Implementation date of SUD demonstration, if different from SUD demonstration start date <sup>b</sup>	N/A
SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD-related) demonstration goals and objectives	This demonstration will allow Michigan to broaden the crucial component of residential substance disorder services in the state's existing network of SUD providers and SUD benefits to provide a broader continuum of care for beneficiaries seeking help with a SUD, including withdrawal management services in residential treatment facilities that meet the definition of an IMD. The benefits will continue to be provided through a managed care delivery system. The state and CMS expect that offering a full continuum of SUD treatment and recovery supports based on American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) criteria or other nationally recognized, SUD-specific program standards, will result in improved health outcomes and sustained recovery for this population.

<sup>a</sup> SUD demonstration start date: For monitoring purposes, CMS defines the start date of the demonstration as the *effective date* listed in the state's STCs at time of SUD demonstration approval. For example, if the state's STCs at the time of SUD demonstration approval note that the SUD demonstration is effective January 1, 2020 – December 31, 2025, the state should consider January 1, 2020 to be the start date of the SUD demonstration. Note that the effective date is considered to be the first day the state may begin its SUD demonstration. In many cases, the effective date is distinct from the approval date of a demonstration; that is, in certain cases, CMS may approve a section 1115 demonstration with an effective date that is in the future. For example, CMS may approve an extension request on 12/15/2020, with an effective date of 1/1/2021 for the new demonstration period. In many cases, the effective date also differs from the date a state begins implementing its demonstration.

<sup>b</sup> Implementation date of SUD demonstration: The date the state began claiming federal financial participation for services provided to individuals in institutions for mental disease.

#### 2. Acknowledgement of narrative reporting requirements

 $\boxtimes$  The state has reviewed the narrative questions in the Monitoring Report Template provided by CMS and understands the expectations for quarterly and annual monitoring reports. The state will provide the requested narrative information (with no modifications).

### 3. Acknowledgement of budget neutrality reporting requirements

 $\boxtimes$  The state has reviewed the Budget Neutrality Workbook provided by the CMS demonstration team and understands the expectations for quarterly and annual monitoring reports. The state will provide the requested budget neutrality information (with no modifications).

### 4. Retrospective reporting

The state is not expected to submit metrics data until after protocol approval, to ensure that data reflects the monitoring plans agreed upon by CMS and the state. Prior to monitoring protocol approval, the state should submit quarterly and annual monitoring reports with narrative updates on implementation progress and other information that may be applicable, according to the requirements in its STCs.

For a state that has monitoring protocols approved after one or more initial quarterly monitoring report submissions, it should report metrics data to CMS retrospectively for any prior quarters of the section 1115 SUD demonstration that precede the monitoring protocol approval date. A state is expected to submit retrospective metrics data—provided there is adequate time for preparation of these data— in its second monitoring report submission that contains metrics. The retrospective report for a state with a first SUD DY of less than 12 months, should include data for any baseline period quarters preceding the demonstration, as described in Part A of the state's monitoring protocols (see Appendix B of the instruction for further guidance determining baseline periods for first SUD DYs that are less than 12 months.) If a state needs additional time for preparation of these data, it should propose an alternative plan (i.e., specify the monitoring report that would capture the data) for reporting retrospectively on its section 1115 SUD demonstration.

In the monitoring report submission containing retrospective metrics data, the state should also provide a general assessment of metrics trends from the start of its demonstration through the end of the current reporting period. The state should report this information in Part B of its report submission (Section 3: Narrative information on implementation, by milestone and reporting topic). This general assessment is not intended to be a comprehensive description of every trend observed in the metrics data. Unlike other

monitoring report submissions, for instance, the state is not required to describe all metric changes (+ or - greater than 2 percent). Rather, the assessment is an opportunity for a state to provide context on its retrospective metrics data and to support CMS's review and interpretation of these data. For example, consider a state that submits data showing an increase in the number of medication-assisted treatment (MAT) providers (Metric #14) over the course of the retrospective reporting period. This state may decide to highlight this trend for CMS in Part B of its report (under Milestone 4) by briefly summarizing the trend and explaining that during this period, a grant supporting training for new MAT providers throughout its state was implemented.

For further information on how to compile and submit a retrospective report, the state should review Section B of the Monitoring Report Instructions document.

 $\boxtimes$  The state will report retrospectively for any quarters prior to monitoring protocol approval as described above, in the state's second monitoring report submission that contains metrics after protocol approval.

□ The state proposes an alternative plan to report retrospectively for any quarters prior to monitoring protocol approval:

# 1. Title page for the state's substance use disorder (SUD) demonstration or the SUD component of the broader demonstration

The state should complete this title page at the beginning of a demonstration and submit as the title page for all monitoring reports. The content of this table should stay consistent over time. Definitions for certain rows are below the table.

State	Michigan
Demonstration name	Michigan's 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration
Approval period for section 1115 demonstration	04/05/2019 - 9/30/2024
SUD demonstration start date <sup>a</sup>	10/01/2019
Implementation date of SUD demonstration, if different from SUD demonstration start date <sup>b</sup>	N/A
SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD -related) demonstration goals and objectives	This demonstration will allow Michigan to broaden the crucial component of residential substance disorder services in the state's existing network of SUD providers and SUD benefits to provide a broader continuum of care for beneficiaries seeking help with a SUD, including withdrawal management services in residential treatment facilities that meet the definition of an IMD. The benefits will continue to be provided through a managed care delivery system. The state and CMS expect that offering a full continuum of SUD treatment and recovery supports based on American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) criteria or other nationally recognized, SUD-specific program standards, will result in improved health outcomes and sustained recovery for this population
SUD demonstration year and quarter	DY2Q3
Reporting period	04/01/2021 - 06/30/2021

<sup>a</sup> SUD demonstration start date: For monitoring purposes, CMS defines the start date of the demonstration as the *effective date* listed in the state's STCs at time of SUD demonstration approval. For example, if the state's STCs at the time of SUD demonstration approval note that the SUD demonstration is effective January 1, 2020 – December 31, 2025, the state should consider January 1, 2020 to be the start date of the SUD demonstration. Note that the effective date is considered to be the first day the state may begin its SUD demonstration. In many cases, the effective date is distinct from the approval date of a demonstration; that is, in certain cases, CMS may approve a section 1115 demonstration with an effective date that is in the future. For example, CMS may approve an

extension request on 12/15/2020, with an effective date of 1/1/2021 for the new demonstration period. In many cases, the effective date also differs from the date a state begins implementing its demonstration.

<sup>b</sup> Implementation date of SUD demonstration: The date the state began claiming federal financial participation for services provided to individuals in institutions for mental disease.

#### 2. Executive summary

The executive summary should be reported in the fillable box below. It is intended for summary-level information only. The recommended word count is 500 words or less.

*Implemented on October 1, 2019, this executive summary and iteration of the monitoring report reflects demonstration year 2, quarter 3 report for Michigan's 1115 Behavioral Health Demonstration for the period 04/1/2021 – 06/30/21.* 

With regards to the SUD Implementation Plan, MDHHS has finalized training dates for the ASAM Continuum Assessment. Full implementation of the ASAM Continuum is expected in FY22. MDHHS is working with three Prepaid Inpatient Health Plans (PIHP) regions to test and provide feedback for the new eConsent management implementation expected in in FY22. MDHHS is working with its partners to continue to streamline systems to support providers enrolling beneficiaries into services. The state is continuing to move forward with all identified activities per recognized timelines. Michigan has continued to see high Covid cases and has continued to work with telehealth services to ensure beneficiaries are getting services. Providers are continuing to serve beneficiaries using Covid guidelines.

### 3. Narrative information on implementation, by milestone and reporting topic

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
1. Assessment of need and qualification for SUD services			
1.1 Metric trends			
1.1.1. The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services	Х		Medicaid Beneficiaries continue to be served at the same level, no change in the assessment of need for SUD services.
1.2 Implementation update			
<ul><li>1.2.1. Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:</li><li>1.2.1.i. The target population(s) of the demonstration</li></ul>	Х		The state is not expanding the clinical criteria beyond the established SUD diagnoses.
1.2.1.ii. The clinical criteria (e.g., SUD diagnoses) that qualify a beneficiary for the demonstration	Х		Providers continue to use the ASAM criteria to determine the appropriate level of care per beneficiary.
1.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services	Х		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
2. Access to Critical Levels of Care for OUD and other S 2.1 Metric trends	UDs (Milestone 1	)	
<ul> <li>2.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 1</li> </ul>		6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11,12, 36	MI saw an increase in Milestone 1 metrics compared to Q1. Months 1-3 MI saw an increase in beneficiaries served compared to early onset of Covid cases in MI. Due to the need to further enforce social distancing practices MI doesn't expect to see pre pandemic numbers until the pandemic is over. MI is unable to report on Metric 11, <18 subpopulation due to the how claims are submitted for these beneficiaries.
2.2 Implementation update			
<ul> <li>2.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:</li> <li>2.2.1.i. Planned activities to improve access to SUD treatment services across the continuum of care for Medicaid beneficiaries (e.g. outpatient services, medication-assisted treatment, services in intensive residential and inpatient settings, medically supervised withdrawal management)</li> </ul>	Χ		The statewide assessment training dates have been finalized. Trainings will run from July 2021 through September 2021 for adult treatment providers. Virtual trainings will be held after September 2021 for any providers that still need to be trained.
2.2.1.ii. SUD benefit coverage under the Medicaid state plan or the Expenditure Authority, particularly for residential treatment, medically supervised withdrawal management, and medication- assisted treatment services provided to individual IMDs	X		
2.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 1	Х		

	Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
3. Use of Evid	dence-based, SUD-specific Patient Placemen	t Criteria (Milest	tone 2)	
3.1 Metric tro	ends			
	e reports the following metric trends, changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related 2	Х		No Update to Report.
3.2. Impleme	entation update			
operational de changes to: 3.2.1.i. Pla evi	ed to the demonstration design and etails, the state expects to make the following anned activities to improve providers' use of idence-based, SUD-specific placement teria	Х		MI will train providers statewide on the ASAM Continuum assessment.
apj to ( (b) dia ind	plementation of a utilization management proach to ensure (a) beneficiaries have access SUD services at the appropriate level of care, interventions are appropriate for the agnosis and level of care, or (c) use of dependent process for reviewing placement in sidential treatment settings	Х		MI is planning for state level utilization management approach.
	e expects to make other program changes ct metrics related to Milestone 2	Х		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
4. Use of Nationally Recognized SUD-specific Program S 4.1 Metric trends	tandards to Set <b>F</b>	Provider Qualificatio	ns for Residential Treatment Facilities (Milestone 3)
4.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 3	Х		No update to report.
Note: There are no CMS-provided metrics related to Milestone 3. If the state did not identify any metrics for reporting this milestone, the state should indicate it has no update to report.			
4.2 Implementation update			
<ul> <li>4.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:</li> <li>4.2.1.i. Implementation of residential treatment provider qualifications that meet the ASAM Criteria or other nationally recognized, SUD-specific program standards</li> </ul>	Х		MI continues to reinforce ASAM standards for residential treatment providers. The state does not expect to make changes.
4.2.1.ii. Review process for residential treatment providers' compliance with qualifications.	X		
4.2.1.iii. Availability of medication-assisted treatment at residential treatment facilities, either on-site or through facilitated access to services off site	Х		
4.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 3	Х		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
5. Sufficient Provider Capacity at Critical Levels of Care 5.1 Metric trends	e including for Mo	edication Assisted T	reatment for OUD (Milestone 4)
5.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 4	Х	13, 14	Annual Metrics
5.2 Implementation update			
5.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:	Х		
Planned activities to assess the availability of providers enrolled in Medicaid and accepting new patients in across the continuum of SUD care			
5.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 4	Х		
6. Implementation of Comprehensive Treatment and Pre	evention Strategie	es to Address Opioid	Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)
6.1 Metric trends			
6.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 5	Х	15, 18, 19, 20, 21,22	Annual Metrics
6.2 Implementation update			
<ul> <li>6.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:</li> <li>6.2.1.i. Implementation of opioid prescribing guidelines and other interventions related to prevention of OUD</li> </ul>	Х		
6.2.1.ii. Expansion of coverage for and access to naloxone	Х		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
6.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 5	X	(1 411)	
7. Improved Care Coordination and Transitions between	Levels of Care (	Milestone 6)	
7.1 Metric trends			
7.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 6	Х	17	Annual Metric
7.2 Implementation update			
7.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: Implementation of policies supporting beneficiaries' transition from residential and inpatient facilities to community-based services and supports	Х		
7.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 6	X		The state initiated a hub and spoke model for OUD beneficiaries that will increase care coordination support for Medicaid beneficiaries within PIHP Region 1, 2, two counties in Region 4 and Region 9. The state is submitting a revised SPA to increase OHH eligibility in three more PIHP regions 6, 7 and 10 with an anticipated start date of October 1, 2021.
8. SUD health information technology (health IT)			
8.1 Metric trends			
8.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to its health IT metrics	Х		
8.2 Implementation update			

	Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
operation changes t	npared to the demonstration design and al details, the state expects to make the following o: How health IT is being used to slow down the rate of growth of individuals identified with SUD	X		The state is not expecting to make any changes to the Health IT plan.
	th IT is being used to treat effectively individuals with SUD			
8.2.1.ii.	How health IT is being used to effectively monitor "recovery" supports and services for individuals identified with SUD	Х		MI providers use the state's consent form to ensure information is shared to appropriate parties related to the beneficiaries needs and care management.
8.2.1.iii.	Other aspects of the state's plan to develop the health IT infrastructure/capabilities at the state, delivery system, health plan/MCO, and individual provider levels	Χ		MI is working on the development of a SUD user role within a statewide platform that will assist in care coordination for relevant providers. Providers with the SUD User Role access will be able to see beneficiary SUD information that has previously been hidden. The state believes access to this information for relevant and vetted individuals will bridge barriers of care coordination and access to resources.
8.2.1.iv.	Other aspects of the state's health IT implementation milestones	Χ		MI has worked with contractors to develop the E-consent platform. MI held workgroup meetings to discuss how to make the system more functional for PIHPs and Providers. Three PIHP regions will start implementing the pilot end of FY21. The state has worked with its LARA partners in the creation of the SUD residential bed registry. Due to Covid the pilot sites were delayed but work has started again in PIHP Region 9 and will continue statewide by the end of FY21 within the anticipated timeline.

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
8.2.1.v. The timeline for achieving health IT implementation milestones	X		October 1, 2022-Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) Functionalities. October 1, 2022- Current and Future PDMP Query Capabilities. Increase in all state fiscal years from baseline- Use of
			PDMP-Supporting Clinicians with Changing Office Workflows/Business Process.
			October 1, 2022- Master Patient Index/Identity Management.
8.2.1.vi. Planned activities to increase use and functionality of the state's prescription drug monitoring program	Х		The state is on-track to enhance the connectivity between the state's PDMP and any statewide, regional, or local health information for October 1, 2022.
8.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to health IT	Х		The state does not expect to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to health IT.
9. Other SUD-related metrics			
9.1 Metric trends			
9.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics	Х		
9.2 Implementation update			
9.2.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics	Х		

## 4. Narrative information on other reporting topics

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
10. Budget neutrality10.1 Current status and analysis		
10.1.1 If the SUD component is part of a broader demonstration, the state should provide an analysis of the SUD-related budget neutrality and an analysis of budget neutrality as a whole. Describe the current status of budget neutrality and an analysis of the budget neutrality to date.		The state submits expenditure reports to CMS that will automatically populate Budget Neutrality reports per quarter.
10.2 Implementation update		
10.2.1 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect budget neutrality		The state does not expect to make other program changes that would affect budget neutrality.
11. SUD-related demonstration operations and policy		
11.1 Considerations		
11.1.1 The state should highlight significant SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD-related) demonstration operations or policy considerations that could positively or negatively affect beneficiary enrollment, access to services, timely provision of services, budget neutrality, or any other provision that has potential for beneficiary impacts. Also note any activity that may accelerate or create delays or impediments in achieving the SUD demonstration's approved goals or objectives, if not already reported elsewhere in this document. See report template instructions for more detail.	X	
11.2 Implementation update		
11.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:	Х	
11.2.1.i. How the delivery system operates under the demonstration (e.g. through the managed care system or fee for service)		

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
11.2.1.ii. Delivery models affecting demonstration participants (e.g. Accountable Care Organizations, Patient Centered Medical Homes)	Х	
11.2.1.iii. Partners involved in service delivery	Х	
11.2.2 The state experienced challenges in partnering with entities contracted to help implement the demonstration (e.g., health plans, credentialing vendors, private sector providers) and/or noted any performance issues with contracted entities	Х	
11.2.3 The state is working on other initiatives related to SUD or OUD	Х	
11.2.4 The initiatives described above are related to the SUD or OUD demonstration (The state should note similarities and differences from the SUD demonstration)	Х	
12. SUD demonstration evaluation update		
12.1 Narrative information		
12.1.1 Provide updates on SUD evaluation work and timeline. The appropriate content will depend on when this report is due to CMS and the timing for the demonstration. There are specific requirements per Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) for annual reports. See report template instructions for more details.	X	Beneficiary surveys began during Q3. Participants of the ASAM training were asked to complete a survey preceding training. The survey intends to find how the participants feels regarding the training and barriers to completing the assessment with beneficiaries. Survey feedback will be evaluated at the conclusion of the ASAM Continuum trainings and reported in the next quarter's report. The evaluation team also identified the appropriate codes for the base analysis for the key informant interview questions for the Covid19-addendum.

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
12.1.2 Provide status updates on deliverables related to the demonstration evaluation and indicate whether the expected timelines are being met and/or if there are any real or anticipated barriers in achieving the goals and timeframes agreed to in the STCs		The evaluation is on schedule to meet the deliverables outlined in the revised Covid-19 evaluation proposal. The evaluation team conducted over 1,000 phone interviews with beneficiaries. Key informant interviews with OHH site officials in regions 2 and 9 were conducted. The interviews have been transcribed and the team has begun summarizing findings. The evaluation team has begun drafting the interview guide for the key information interviews under the Covid-19 addendum.
12.1.3 List anticipated evaluation-related deliverables related to this demonstration and their due dates		December 2021- Midpoint assessment (will include baseline and midpoint key informant interviews, and baseline administrative and beneficiary survey data)
		September 2022- Interim Report (will include baseline and midpoint key informant interviews, and baseline administrative and beneficiary survey data)
		March 2025- Final Report (will include all evaluation results)
13. Other demonstration reporting		
13.1 General reporting requirements		
13.1.1 The state reports changes in its implementation of the demonstration that might necessitate a change to approved STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol		The state will not report on Metric 11 for the subpopulation of <18. The state reimbursement structure does not permit reporting for this population. The state is confident all other subpopulations for this metric is accounted for in the monitoring reports.
13.1.2 The state anticipates the need to make future changes to the STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol, based on expected or upcoming implementation changes	Х	
<ul><li>13.1.3 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:</li><li>13.1.3.i. The schedule for completing and submitting monitoring reports</li></ul>	Х	

Prompts	State has no update to report (Place an X)	State response
13.1.3.ii. The content or completeness of submitted reports and/or future reports	X	
13.1.4 The state identified real or anticipated issues submitting timely post-approval demonstration deliverables, including a plan for remediation	Х	
13.2 Post-award public forum		
13.2.2 If applicable within the timing of the demonstration, provide a summary of the annual post-award public forum held pursuant to 42 CFR § 431.420(c) indicating any resulting action items or issues. A summary of the post-award public forum must be included here for the period during which the forum was held and in the annual report.		
14. Notable state achievements and/or innovations		
14.1 Narrative information		
14.1.1 Provide any relevant summary of achievements and/or innovations in demonstration enrollment, benefits, operations, and policies pursuant to the hypotheses of the SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD related) demonstration or that served to provide better care for individuals, better health for populations, and/or reduce per capita cost. Achievements should focus on significant impacts to beneficiary outcomes. Whenever possible, the summary should describe the achievement or innovation in quantifiable terms, e.g., number of impacted beneficiaries.		Telehealth policies are being utilized and SUD admissions have continued to stabilize. Admissions into services have not reached pre- pandemic levels but providers have process in place to serve those needing care. MI is still experiencing high cases of Covid-19 and Covid-19 variants.

\*The state should remove all example text from the table prior to submission.

Note: Licensee and states must prominently display the following notice on any display of Measure rates:

Measures IET-AD, FUA-AD, FUM-AD, and AAP [Metrics #15, 17(1), 17(2), and 32] are Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) measures that are owned and copyrighted by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). HEDIS measures and specifications are not clinical guidelines, do not establish a standard of medical care and have not been tested for all potential applications. The measures and specifications are provided "as is" without warranty of any kind. NCQA makes no representations, warranties or endorsements about the quality of any product, test or protocol identified as

numerator compliant or otherwise identified as meeting the requirements of a HEDIS measure or specification. NCQA makes no representations, warranties, or endorsement about the quality of any organization or clinician who uses or reports performance measures and NCQA has no liability to anyone who relies on HEDIS measures or specifications or data reflective of performance under such measures and specifications.

The measure specification methodology used by CMS is different from NCQA's methodology. NCQA has not validated the adjusted measure specifications but has granted CMS permission to adjust. A calculated measure result (a "rate") from a HEDIS measure that has not been certified via NCQA's Measure Certification Program, and is based on adjusted HEDIS specifications, may not be called a "HEDIS rate" until it is audited and designated reportable by an NCQA-Certified HEDIS Compliance Auditor. Until such time, such measure rates shall be designated or referred to as "Adjusted, Uncertified, Unaudited HEDIS rates."