

**Medicaid Section 1115 Substance Use Disorder Demonstrations
Monitoring Report Template**

Note: PRA Disclosure Statement to be added here

1. Title page for the state’s substance use disorder (SUD) demonstration or the SUD component of the broader demonstration

The title page is a brief form that the state completed as part of its monitoring protocol. The title page will be populated with the information from the state’s approved monitoring protocol. The state should complete the remaining two rows. Definitions for certain rows are below the table.

State	Maryland
Demonstration name	HealthChoice
Approval period for section 1115 demonstration	01/01/2022 – 12/31/2026
SUD demonstration start date^a	01/01/2022
Implementation date of SUD demonstration, if different from SUD demonstration start date^b	07/01/2017
SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD -related) demonstration goals and objectives	<p>The coverage of residential treatment and withdrawal management services expands Maryland’s current SUD benefit package to cover the full continuum for care for SUD treatment as described in the national treatment guidelines published by the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM Criteria). SUD services approved through the state plan as well as residential treatment and withdrawal management services approved through this demonstration will be available to all Maryland Medicaid participants aged 21-64 with the exception of dual eligibles. ASAM levels 3.3-3.7WM will be covered beginning July 1, 2017. ASAM level 3.1 will be covered beginning January 1, 2019. Dual eligibles will be covered for SUD residential treatment services for ASAM levels 3.1-3.7WM beginning January 1, 2020. ASAM level 4.0 coverage for all Maryland Medicaid participants aged 21-64 with a primary diagnosis of SUD and a secondary mental health condition will begin July 1, 2019.</p> <p>An independent evaluation will assess whether the SUD program reforms and services delivered through this demonstration are effective in improving health outcomes and decreasing healthcare costs and utilization. The evaluation is designed to demonstrate achievement Maryland’s goals, objectives, and metrics for the demonstration. Thus, the specific aims of the evaluation, which align with the demonstration’s goals and objectives, are to capture the impact of the demonstration on increased access to clinically appropriate care; reduced substance use related deaths; and reduced emergency department visits. In addition, researchers will assess the impact of providing the full continuum of SUD services, especially residential treatment, on emergency department utilization, inpatient hospital utilization, and readmission rates to the same level of care or higher</p>
SUD demonstration year and quarter	SUD DY8Q3
Reporting period	07/01/2024-09/30/2024

^a **SUD demonstration start date:** For monitoring purposes, CMS defines the start date of the demonstration as the *effective date* listed in the state’s STCs at time of SUD demonstration approval. For example, if the state’s STCs at the time of SUD demonstration approval note that the SUD demonstration is effective January 1, 2020 – December

31, 2025, the state should consider January 1, 2020 to be the start date of the SUD demonstration. Note that the effective date is considered to be the first day the state may begin its SUD demonstration. In many cases, the effective date is distinct from the approval date of a demonstration; that is, in certain cases, CMS may approve a section 1115 demonstration with an effective date that is in the future. For example, CMS may approve an extension request on December 15, 2020, with an effective date of January 1, 2021 for the new demonstration period. In many cases, the effective date also differs from the date a state begins implementing its demonstration.

^b **Implementation date of SUD demonstration:** The date the state began claiming or will begin claiming federal financial participation for services provided to individuals in institutions for mental disease.

2. Executive summary

The executive summary should be reported in the fillable box below. It is intended for summary-level information only. The recommended word count is 500 words or less.

Enter the executive summary text here.

A major goal of the HealthChoice program is to expand coverage to residents with low incomes and to improve access to health care services for the Medicaid population. On January 1, 2015, Maryland combined mental health and SUD services in an integrated carve-out. Under the carve-out, an administrative services organization (ASO) administers and reimburses all specialty mental health and SUD services for Medicaid participants on an FFS basis, under the oversight of the Medicaid program and the Behavioral Health Administration (BHA).

In 2016, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) approved Maryland Medicaid to expand specialty SUD and mental health coverage to include SUD treatment in IMDs. Effective July 1, 2017, the approval permitted otherwise-covered services to be provided to all full-benefit Medicaid-eligible individuals aged 21 to 64 who reside in a non-public IMD for American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) residential levels 3.3, 3.5, 3.7, and 3.7-WM (licensed as 3.7D in Maryland) for up to two non-consecutive 30-day stays annually.

On January 1, 2019, the Department phased in coverage of ASAM level 3.1. The Department extended coverage to individuals dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid as of January 1, 2020.

In March 2019, the Department received approval for a waiver amendment to allow coverage for ASAM level 4.0 for beneficiaries with a primary SUD and a secondary mental health disease (MHD) in inpatient hospital settings only for up to 15 days per month. The Department implemented coverage effective July 1, 2019. Residential Treatment was again expanded in the current 2022 to 2026 waiver renewal, which (1) removed any caps on length of stays for SUD treatment and (2) included coverage for IMD services for individuals with SMI and serious emotional disturbance (SED). The current §1115 waiver special terms and conditions (STCs) require the State to aim for a statewide ALOS of 30 days or less in residential and inpatient treatment settings, to be monitored pursuant to the SUD and SMI/SED Monitoring Protocols as to ensure short-term residential stays.

Starting June 1, 2024, the State began covering Mobile crisis and crisis stabilization services for beneficiaries with SUD. Please see table below for complete list of covered services.

SUD SERVICES	ASAM Criteria
Substance Use Disorder Assessment (CSAA)	N/A
Group Outpatient Therapy	Level 1- Outpatient Service
Individual Outpatient Therapy	Level 1- Outpatient Service
Ambulatory Detoxification	Level 1- Outpatient Service
Intensive outpatient (IOP)	Level 2.1- Intensive Outpatient Service
Partial Hospitalization	Level 2.5- Partial Hospitalization
Clinically Managed Low-Intensity Residential Services	Level 3.1 - Residential/Inpatient Services
Clinically Managed Population-Specific High-Intensity Residential Services	Level 3.3 - Residential/Inpatient Services
Clinically Managed High-Intensity Residential Services	Level 3.5 - Residential/Inpatient Services
Medically Monitored Intensive Inpatient Services	Level 3.7 - Residential/Inpatient Services

Medically Monitored Intensive Inpatient Services	Level 3.7WM (Withdrawal Management) - Residential/Inpatient Services
Medically Managed Intensive Inpatient Services	Level 4.0 - Inpatient Services
Methadone/Buprenorphine: Induction and Maintenance	Level OMT- Opioid Maintenance Therapy
<p>Medicaid covers all FDA-covered pharmaceuticals. Additional medication- assisted treatment covered with clinical criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Buprenorphine/Naloxone combination therapies: Bunavail, Suboxone, Suboxone Film, and Zubsolv · Campral · Naltrexone · Subutex – Buprenorphine · Vivitrol 	N/A
ICF-A: Under 21	<p>Medically monitored intensive inpatient treatment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Level 3.7WM · Level 3.7 · Level 3.5
Intensive Inpatient Services	Level 4 – Inpatient Services and Level 4.0 WM

Certified Peer Recovery Specialists	N/A
Mobile Crisis Units	N/A
Crisis Stabilization	N/A

3. Narrative information on implementation, by milestone and reporting topic

Prompt		State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
1. Assessment of need and qualification for SUD services				
1.1 Metric trends				
1.1.1	The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services.	X		
1.2 Implementation update				
1.2.1	Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:	X		
1.2.1.a	The target population(s) of the demonstration			
1.2.1.b	The clinical criteria (e.g., SUD diagnoses) that qualify a beneficiary for the demonstration	X		
1.2.2	The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
2. Access to Critical Levels of Care for OUD and other SUDs (Milestone 1)			
2.1 Metric trends			
2.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 1.		<i>Metric #6: Medicaid beneficiaries who used any SUD Treatment</i>	<i>The percent difference for Metric #6: Medicaid beneficiaries who used any SUD Treatment from DY8Q2 to DY8Q3 was 3.3%. The state estimates that this was likely a result of expected quarterly variations in outcomes, the impact of Medicaid unwinding post COVID public health emergency on service utilization and Medicaid enrollment, and the opioid epidemic's impact on enrollees' health.</i>
		<i>Metric #7: Medicaid beneficiaries who used early intervention services</i>	<i>The percent difference for Metric #7: Medicaid beneficiaries who used early intervention services from DY8Q2 to DY8Q3 was 80.0%. The state estimates that this was likely a result of expected quarterly variations in outcomes, the impact of Medicaid unwinding post COVID public health emergency on service utilization and Medicaid enrollment, the opioid epidemic's impact on enrollees' health, and the small size of the cohort meeting the measure criteria.</i>
		<i>Metric #8: Medicaid beneficiaries who used outpatient services</i>	<i>The percent difference for Metric #8: Medicaid beneficiaries who used outpatient services from DY8Q2 to DY8Q3 was 4.6%. The state estimates that this was likely a result of expected quarterly variations in outcomes, the impact of Medicaid unwinding post COVID public health emergency on service utilization and Medicaid enrollment, and the opioid epidemic's impact on enrollees' health.</i>

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
		<i>Metric #9: Medicaid beneficiaries who used intensive outpatient and/or partial hospitalization services</i>	<i>The percent difference for Metric #9: Medicaid beneficiaries who used intensive outpatient and/or partial hospitalization services from DY8Q2 to DY8Q3 was 11.4%. The state estimates that this was likely a result of expected quarterly variations in outcomes, the impact of Medicaid unwinding post COVID public health emergency on service utilization and Medicaid enrollment, and the opioid epidemic's impact on enrollees' health.</i>
		<i>Metric #10: Medicaid beneficiaries who used residential and inpatient services</i>	<i>The percent difference for Metric #10: Medicaid beneficiaries who used residential and inpatient services from DY8Q2 to DY8Q3 was 14.8%. The state estimates that this was likely a result of expected quarterly variations in outcomes, the impact of Medicaid unwinding post COVID public health emergency on service utilization and Medicaid enrollment, the opioid epidemic's impact on enrollees' health, and state policy changes expanding access to residential treatment and withdrawal management.</i>
		<i>Metric #11: Medicaid beneficiaries who used withdrawal management services</i>	<i>The percent difference for Metric #11: Medicaid beneficiaries who used withdrawal management services from DY8Q2 to DY8Q3 was 5.0%. The state estimates that this was likely a result of expected quarterly variations in outcomes, the impact of Medicaid unwinding post COVID public health emergency on service utilization and Medicaid enrollment, the opioid epidemic's impact on enrollees' health, the small size of the cohort meeting the measure criteria, and state policy changes expanding access to residential treatment and withdrawal management.</i>

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
		<i>Metric #12: Medication-assisted treatment</i>	<i>The percent difference Metric #12: Medicaid beneficiaries who used Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) from DY8Q1 to DY8Q2 was +2%. The state estimates that reasons for the difference have been, expected quarterly variations in outcomes, the impact of Medicaid unwinding post COVID public health emergency on service utilization and Medicaid enrollment, and the opioid epidemic's impact on enrollees' health.</i>
2.2 Implementation update			
2.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 2.2.1.a Planned activities to improve access to SUD treatment services across the continuum of care for Medicaid beneficiaries (e.g., outpatient services, intensive outpatient services, medication-assisted treatment, services in intensive residential and inpatient settings, medically supervised withdrawal management)	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
2.2.1.b SUD benefit coverage under the Medicaid state plan or the Expenditure Authority, particularly for residential treatment, medically supervised withdrawal management, and medication-assisted treatment services provided to individual IMDs	X		
2.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 1.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
3. Use of Evidence-based, SUD-specific Patient Placement Criteria (Milestone 2)			
3.1 Metric trends			
3.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 2.	X		
	X		
3.2. Implementation update			
3.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 3.2.1.a Planned activities to improve providers' use of evidence-based, SUD-specific placement criteria	X		
3.2.1.b Implementation of a utilization management approach to ensure (a) beneficiaries have access to SUD services at the appropriate level of care, (b) interventions are appropriate for the diagnosis and level of care, or (c) use of independent process for reviewing placement in residential treatment settings	X		
3.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 2.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
4. Use of Nationally Recognized SUD-specific Program Standards to Set Provider Qualifications for Residential Treatment Facilities (Milestone 3)			
4.1 Metric trends			
<p>4.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 3.</p> <p>Note: There are no CMS-provided metrics related to Milestone 3. If the state did not identify any metrics for reporting this milestone, the state should indicate it has no update to report.</p>	X		
4.2 Implementation update			
<p>4.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:</p> <p>4.2.1.a Implementation of residential treatment provider qualifications that meet the ASAM Criteria or other nationally recognized, SUD-specific program standards</p>	X		
<p>4.2.1.b Review process for residential treatment providers' compliance with qualifications</p>	X		
<p>4.2.1.c Availability of medication-assisted treatment at residential treatment facilities, either on-site or through facilitated access to services off site</p>	X		
<p>4.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 3.</p>	X		

Prompt		State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
5. Sufficient Provider Capacity at Critical Levels of Care including for Medication Assisted Treatment for OUD (Milestone 4)				
5.1 Metric trends				
5.1.1	The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 4.	X		
5.2 Implementation update				
5.2.1	Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: Planned activities to assess the availability of providers enrolled in Medicaid and accepting new patients across the continuum of SUD care.	X		
5.2.2	The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 4.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
6. Implementation of Comprehensive Treatment and Prevention Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)			
6.1 Metric trends			
6.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 5.		<i>Metric #23: Total number of ED visits for SUD per 1,000 Medicaid beneficiaries</i>	<i>The percent difference for Metric #23: Total number of ED visits for SUD per 1,000 Medicaid beneficiaries from DY8Q1 to DY8Q2 was -2%. The state estimates that reasons for the difference have been, expected quarterly variations in outcomes, the impact of Medicaid unwinding post COVID public health emergency on service utilization and Medicaid enrollment, and the opioid epidemic's impact on enrollees' health.</i>
6.2 Implementation update			
6.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 6.2.1.a Implementation of opioid prescribing guidelines and other interventions related to prevention of OUD	X		
6.2.1.b Expansion of coverage for and access to naloxone	X		
6.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 5.	X		

Prompt		State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
7. Improved Care Coordination and Transitions between Levels of Care (Milestone 6)				
7.1 Metric trends				
7.1.1	The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 6.	X		
7.2 Implementation update				
7.2.1	Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: Implementation of policies supporting beneficiaries' transition from residential and inpatient facilities to community-based services and supports.	X		
7.2.2	The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 6.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
8. SUD health information technology (health IT)			
8.1 Metric trends			
8.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to its health IT metrics.		<i>Metric #Q2: SUD data sharing consent management (Part 2 permissions)</i>	<i>The percent difference for Metric #Q2: SUD data sharing consent management from DY8Q2 to DY8Q3 was -4.8%. The state estimates that this was likely a result of expected quarterly variations in outcomes, the impact of Medicaid unwinding post COVID public health emergency on service utilization and Medicaid enrollment, and the opioid epidemic's impact on enrollees' health.</i>
		<i>Metric #S1: Number of HealthChoice participants enrolled in corrective managed care program</i>	<i>The percent difference for Metric #S1: Number of HealthChoice participants enrolled in corrective managed care program from DY8Q2 to DY8Q3 was 10.0%. The state estimates that this was likely a result of expected quarterly variations in outcomes, the impact of Medicaid unwinding post COVID public health emergency on service utilization and Medicaid enrollment, the opioid epidemic's impact on enrollees' health, and the small size of the cohort meeting the measure criteria.</i>
8.2 Implementation update			
8.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 8.2.1.a How health IT is being used to slow down the rate of growth of individuals identified with SUD	X		

Prompt		State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
8.2.1.b	How health IT is being used to treat effectively individuals identified with SUD	X		
8.2.1.c	How health IT is being used to effectively monitor “recovery” supports and services for individuals identified with SUD	X		
8.2.1.d	Other aspects of the state’s plan to develop the health IT infrastructure/capabilities at the state, delivery system, health plan/MCO, and individual provider levels	X		
8.2.1.e	Other aspects of the state’s health IT implementation milestones	X		
8.2.1.f	The timeline for achieving health IT implementation milestones	X		
8.2.1.g	Planned activities to increase use and functionality of the state’s prescription drug monitoring program	X		
8.2.2	The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to health IT.	X		
9. Other SUD-related metrics				
9.1 Metric trends				

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
9.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics.		<i>Metric #24: Number of inpatient Stays for SUD per 1,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries</i>	<i>The percent difference for Metric #24: Number of inpatient Stays for SUD per 1,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries from DY8Q2 to DY8Q3 was -24.3%. The state estimates that this was likely a result of expected quarterly variations in outcomes, the impact of Medicaid unwinding post COVID public health emergency on service utilization and Medicaid enrollment, and the opioid epidemic's impact on enrollees' health.</i>
9.2 Implementation update			
9.2.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics.	X		

4. Narrative information on other reporting topics

Prompts	State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
10. Budget neutrality		
10.1 Current status and analysis		
10.1.1 If the SUD component is part of a broader demonstration, the state should provide an analysis of the SUD-related budget neutrality and an analysis of budget neutrality as a whole. Describe the current status of budget neutrality and an analysis of the budget neutrality to date.	X	
10.2 Implementation update		
10.2.1 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect budget neutrality.	X	

Prompts	State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
11. SUD-related demonstration operations and policy		
11.1 Considerations		
11.1.1 The state should highlight significant SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD-related) demonstration operations or policy considerations that could positively or negatively affect beneficiary enrollment, access to services, timely provision of services, budget neutrality, or any other provision that has potential for beneficiary impacts. Also note any activity that may accelerate or create delays or impediments in achieving the SUD demonstration’s approved goals or objectives, if not already reported elsewhere in this document. See Monitoring Report Instructions for more detail.	X	
11.2 Implementation update		
11.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 11.2.1.a How the delivery system operates under the demonstration (e.g., through the managed care system or fee for service)	X	
11.2.1.b Delivery models affecting demonstration participants (e.g., Accountable Care Organizations, Patient Centered Medical Homes)	X	
11.2.1.c Partners involved in service delivery	X	

Prompts	State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
11.2.2 The state experienced challenges in partnering with entities contracted to help implement the demonstration (e.g., health plans, credentialing vendors, private sector providers) and/or noted any performance issues with contracted entities.	X	
11.2.3 The state is working on other initiatives related to SUD or OUD.	X	
11.2.4 The initiatives described above are related to the SUD or OUD demonstration (The state should note similarities and differences from the SUD demonstration).	X	

Prompts	State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
12. SUD demonstration evaluation update		
12.1 Narrative information		
12.1.1 Provide updates on SUD evaluation work and timeline. The appropriate content will depend on when this monitoring report is due to CMS and the timing for the demonstration. There are specific requirements per 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 431.428a(10) for annual [monitoring] reports. See Monitoring Report Instructions for more details.	X	
12.1.2 Provide status updates on deliverables related to the demonstration evaluation and indicate whether the expected timelines are being met and/or if there are any real or anticipated barriers in achieving the goals and timeframes agreed to in the STCs.	X	
12.1.3 List anticipated evaluation-related deliverables related to this demonstration and their due dates.	X	

Prompts	State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
13. Other SUD demonstration reporting		
13.1 General reporting requirements		
13.1.1 The state reports changes in its implementation of the demonstration that might necessitate a change to approved STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol.	X	
13.1.2 The state anticipates the need to make future changes to the STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol, based on expected or upcoming implementation changes.	X	
13.1.3 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 13.1.3.a The schedule for completing and submitting monitoring reports	X	
13.1.3.b The content or completeness of submitted monitoring reports and/or future monitoring reports	X	
13.1.4 The state identified real or anticipated issues submitting timely post-approval demonstration deliverables, including a plan for remediation.	X	
13.1.5 Provide updates on the results of beneficiary satisfaction surveys, if conducted during the reporting year, including updates on grievances and appeals from beneficiaries, per 42 CFR § 431.428(a)5.	X	

Prompts		State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
13.2 Post-award public forum			
13.2.2	If applicable within the timing of the demonstration, provide a summary of the annual post-award public forum held pursuant to 42 CFR § 431.420(c) indicating any resulting action items or issues. A summary of the post-award public forum must be included here for the period during which the forum was held and in the annual monitoring report.	X	

Prompts	State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
14. Notable state achievements and/or innovations		
14.1 Narrative information		
14.1.1 Provide any relevant summary of achievements and/or innovations in demonstration enrollment, benefits, operations, and policies pursuant to the hypotheses of the SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD related) demonstration or that served to provide better care for individuals, better health for populations, and/or reduce per capita cost. Achievements should focus on significant impacts to beneficiary outcomes. Whenever possible, the summary should describe the achievement or innovation in quantifiable terms, e.g., number of impacted beneficiaries.	X	

*The state should remove all example text from the table prior to submission.

Note: Licensee and states must prominently display the following notice on any display of Measure rates:

Measures IET-AD, FUA-AD, FUM-AD, and AAP [Metrics #15, 17(1), 17(2), and 32] are Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) measures that are owned and copyrighted by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). HEDIS measures and specifications are not clinical guidelines, do not establish a standard of medical care and have not been tested for all potential applications. The measures and specifications are provided “as is” without warranty of any kind. NCQA makes no representations, warranties or endorsements about the quality of any product, test or protocol identified as numerator compliant or otherwise identified as meeting the requirements of a HEDIS measure or specification. NCQA makes no representations, warranties, or endorsement about the quality of any organization or clinician who uses or reports performance measures and NCQA has no liability to anyone who relies on HEDIS measures or specifications or data reflective of performance under such measures and specifications.

The measure specification methodology used by CMS is different from NCQA’s methodology. NCQA has not validated the adjusted measure specifications but has granted CMS permission to adjust. A calculated measure result (a “rate”) from a HEDIS measure that has not been certified via NCQA’s Measure Certification Program, and is based on adjusted HEDIS specifications, may not be called a “HEDIS rate” until it is audited and designated reportable by an NCQA-Certified HEDIS Compliance Auditor. Until such time, such measure rates shall be designated or referred to as “Adjusted, Uncertified, Unaudited HEDIS rates.”