

**Medicaid Section 1115 Substance Use Disorder & Serious Mental Illness and Serious  
Emotional Disturbance Demonstrations  
Monitoring Report Template**

*Note: PRA Disclosure Statement to be added here*

**1. Title page for the state’s substance use disorder (SUD) and serious mental illness and serious emotional disturbance (SMI/SED) demonstrations or the SUD and SMI/SED components of the broader demonstration**

*This section collects information on the approval features of the state’s section 1115 demonstration overall, followed by information for the SUD and SMI/SED components. The state completed this title page as part of its SUD and SMI/SED monitoring protocol(s). The state should complete this table using the corresponding information from its CMS-approved monitoring protocol(s) and submit this as the title page of all monitoring reports. The content of this table should stay consistent over time. Definitions for certain rows are below the table.*

Overall section 1115 demonstration	
State	District of Columbia
Demonstration name	Behavioral Health Transformation
Approval period for section 1115 demonstration	01/01/2020 – 06/30/2025
Reporting period	01/01/2025 – 03/31/2025
SUD demonstration	
SUD component start date <sup>a</sup>	01/01/2020
Implementation date of SUD component, if different from SUD component start date <sup>b</sup>	
SUD-related demonstration goals and objectives	The goal of the demonstration is for the District to maintain and enhance access to opioid use disorder (OUD) and other substance use disorder (SUD) services; and continue delivery system improvements to provide more coordinated and comprehensive treatment for Medicaid beneficiaries with SUD. This demonstration authorizes the District to receive federal financial participation (FFP) for delivering high-quality, clinically appropriate treatment to beneficiaries diagnosed with SUD and receiving treatment while they are short-term residents in settings that qualify as Institutions for Mental Diseases (IMDs). This demonstration also complements the District’s efforts to implement models of care that are focused on increasing supports for individuals outside of institutions, in home and community-based settings (HCBS), to improve their access to SUD services at varied levels of intensity, and to combat OUD and other SUDs among District residents.
SUD demonstration year and quarter	SUD DY6Q1

SMI/SED demonstration	
SMI/SED component demonstration start date <sup>a</sup>	01/01/2020
Implementation date of SMI/SED component, if different from SMI/SED component start date <sup>b</sup>	
SMI/SED-related demonstration goals and objectives	The goal of this demonstration is for the District to maintain and enhance access to mental health services and continue delivery system improvements to provide more coordinated and comprehensive treatment for Medicaid beneficiaries with serious mental illness (SMI) and serious emotional disturbance (SED). This demonstration authorizes the District to receive federal financial participation (FFP) for delivering high-quality, clinically appropriate treatment to beneficiaries diagnosed with SMI and receiving treatment while they are short-term residents in settings that qualify as Institutions for Mental Diseases (IMD). This demonstration also complements the District's efforts to implement models of care that are focused on increasing supports for individuals outside of institutions, in home and community-based settings (HCBS) to improve their access to SMI/SED services at varied levels of intensity.
SMI/SED demonstration year and quarter	SMI/SED DY6Q1

<sup>a</sup> **SUD and SMI/SED demonstration components start dates:** For monitoring purposes, CMS defines the start date of the demonstration as the *effective date* listed in the state's STCs at time of SUD and SMI/SED demonstration component approvals. For example, if the state's STCs at the time of SUD demonstration approval note that the SUD demonstration is effective January 1, 2020 – December 31, 2025, the state should consider January 1, 2020 to be the start date of the SUD demonstration. Note that the effective date is considered to be the first day the state may begin its SUD or SMI/SED demonstration component. In many cases, the effective date is distinct from the approval date of a demonstration; that is, in certain cases, CMS may approve a section 1115 demonstration with an effective date that is in the future. For example, CMS may approve an extension request on December 15, 2020, with an effective date of January 1, 2021 for the new demonstration period. In many cases, the effective date also differs from the date a state begins implementing its demonstration.

<sup>b</sup> **Implementation date of SUD and SMI/SED demonstration components:** The date the state began claiming or will begin claiming federal financial participation for services provided to individuals in institutions for mental disease.

## **2. Executive summary**

There were some significant changes in the SUD and SMI/SED metrics, as described below.  
This quarter, the District is budget neutral in all MEGs.

### 3. Narrative information on implementation, by milestone and reporting topic

#### A. SUD component

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>1. Assessment of need and qualification for SUD services</b>			
<b>1.1 Metric trends</b>			
1.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services.	X		
<b>1.2 Implementation update</b>			
1.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:			
1.2.1.a The target population(s) of the demonstration	X		
1.2.1.b The clinical criteria (e.g., SUD diagnoses) that qualify a beneficiary for the demonstration	X		
1.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to assessment of need and qualification for SUD services.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
2.	Access to Critical Levels of Care for OUD and other SUDs (Milestone 1)		
2.1	Metric trends		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
2.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 1.		#6 Any SUD treatment  #7 Early Intervention  #8 Outpatient Services  #9 Intensive Outpatient and Partial Hospitalization  #10 Residential and Inpatient Services  #11 Withdrawal Management  #12 MAT	The District calculates the following changes that were less or more than 2% between DY5 Q3 (7/1/24-9/30/24) and DY5 Q4 (10/1/24-12/31/24): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There was a 5% increase in the number of beneficiaries receiving any SUD treatment and a 9% increase in the number receiving an outpatient service. We attribute this change to increases in billing among many of the providers that provide outpatient services.</li> <li>• There was a 4% increase in the number of beneficiaries receiving early intervention services. There was a 3% decrease in the number receiving intensive outpatient and partial hospitalization services. We attribute these changes to small numbers and to a single provider delivering more of these services.</li> <li>• There was an 18% increase in the number receiving residential and inpatient services and an 8% increase in the number receiving withdrawal management services. We attribute this to change to smaller providers seeing more patients this quarter.</li> <li>• There was a 4% increase in the number of beneficiaries receiving MAT. We attribute the increase to several large providers who experienced delays in billing during prior quarters. Providers experienced challenges with claims submission and payment. (Prior reports described new policies on NPI and EHR that may be contributing to these challenges.)</li> </ul>

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>2.2 Implementation update</b>			
2.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 2.2.1.a Planned activities to improve access to SUD treatment services across the continuum of care for Medicaid beneficiaries (e.g., outpatient services, intensive outpatient services, medication-assisted treatment, services in intensive residential and inpatient settings, medically supervised withdrawal management)	X		
2.2.1.b SUD benefit coverage under the Medicaid state plan or the Expenditure Authority, particularly for residential treatment, medically supervised withdrawal management, and medication-assisted treatment services provided to individual IMDs	X		
2.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 1.	X		



Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>3. Use of Evidence-based, SUD-specific Patient Placement Criteria (Milestone 2)</b>			
<b>3.1 Metric trends</b>			
3.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 2.		#5 Medicaid Beneficiaries Treated in an IMD for SUD  #36 Average Length of Stay in IMDs	The District calculates the following changes that were less or more than 2% between DY4 (2023) and DY5 (2024): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There was an 18% increase in the number of beneficiaries treated in an IMD for SUD. Increases were observed across all providers.</li> <li>• There was a 19% decrease in the average length of stay in IMDs. We attribute the change in ALOS to the change in the population being treated in an IMD, reflected by the increase above.</li> </ul>
<b>3.2 Implementation update</b>			
3.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:  3.2.1.a Planned activities to improve providers' use of evidence-based, SUD-specific placement criteria	X		
3.2.1.b Implementation of a utilization management approach to ensure (a) beneficiaries have access to SUD services at the appropriate level of care, (b) interventions are appropriate for the diagnosis and level of care, or (c) use of independent process for reviewing placement in residential treatment settings	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
3.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 2.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>4. Use of Nationally Recognized SUD-specific Program Standards to Set Provider Qualifications for Residential Treatment Facilities (Milestone 3)</b>			
<b>4.1 Metric trends</b>			
4.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 3.  Note: There are no CMS-provided metrics related to Milestone 3. If the state did not identify any metrics for reporting this milestone, the state should indicate it has no update to report.	X		
<b>4.2 Implementation update</b>			
4.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:  4.2.1.a Implementation of residential treatment provider qualifications that meet the ASAM Criteria or other nationally recognized, SUD-specific program standards	X		
4.2.1.b Review process for residential treatment providers' compliance with qualifications.	X		
4.2.1.c Availability of medication-assisted treatment at residential treatment facilities, either on-site or through facilitated access to services off site	X		
4.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 3.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>5. Sufficient Provider Capacity at Critical Levels of Care including for Medication Assisted Treatment for OUD (Milestone 4)</b>			
<b>5.1 Metric trends</b>			
5.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 4.	X		
<b>5.2 Implementation update</b>			
5.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: Planned activities to assess the availability of providers enrolled in Medicaid and accepting new patients across the continuum of SUD care.	X		
5.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 4.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>6. Implementation of Comprehensive Treatment and Prevention Strategies to Address Opioid Abuse and OUD (Milestone 5)</b>			
<b>6.1 Metric trends</b>			
6.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 5.	X		
<b>6.2 Implementation update</b>			
6.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 6.2.1.a Implementation of opioid prescribing guidelines and other interventions related to prevention of OUD	X		
6.2.1.b Expansion of coverage for and access to naloxone	X		
6.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 5.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>7. Improved Care Coordination and Transitions between Levels of Care (Milestone 6)</b>			
<b>7.1 Metric trends</b>			
7.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 6.		#25 Readmissions Among Beneficiaries with SUD	DHCF calculated a 16% decrease in readmissions among beneficiaries with SUD between DY4 (2023) and DY5 (2024). We attribute this decrease to small numbers, as the rate decreased from 16.5% to 13.8%.
<b>7.2 Implementation update</b>			
7.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: Implementation of policies supporting beneficiaries' transition from residential and inpatient facilities to community-based services and supports.	X		
7.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 6.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>8. SUD health information technology (health IT)</b>			
<b>8.1 Metric trends</b>			
8.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to its SUD health IT metrics.		Q1: Number of active DC HIE behavioral health provider users  S1: Number of DC Medicaid-enrolled behavioral health care facilities/providers receiving data from the HIE  Q3: Number of DC HIE behavioral health users who performed a patient care snapshot or access the clinical information tab in the past 30 days	Q1: The number of active DC HIE behavioral health provider users increased by 6% as the vendor continues to ensure all users are correctly categorized after implementing new methodology.  S1: The number of DC Medicaid-enrolled behavioral health care facilities/providers receiving data from the HIE increased by 3% corresponding to the increase in behavioral health provider users described above.  Q3: The 4% increase in this measure is due to additional communications and trainings by the DC HIE vendor to educate users about using the patient care snapshot and clinical information tab tools.
<b>8.2 Implementation update</b>			

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
8.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:			
8.2.1.a How health IT is being used to slow down the rate of growth of individuals identified with SUD	X		
8.2.1.b How health IT is being used to treat effectively individuals identified with SUD	X		
8.2.1.c How health IT is being used to effectively monitor “recovery” supports and services for individuals identified with SUD	X		
8.2.1.d Other aspects of the state’s plan to develop the health IT infrastructure/capabilities at the state, delivery system, health plan/MCO, and individual provider levels	X		
8.2.1.e Other aspects of the state’s health IT implementation milestones	X		
8.2.1.f The timeline for achieving health IT implementation milestones	X		
8.2.1.g Planned activities to increase use and functionality of the state’s prescription drug monitoring program	X		
8.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect SUD metrics related to health IT.	X		
<b>9. Other SUD-related metrics</b>			



Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
9.1	Metric trends		

<p>9.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SUD-related metrics.</p>		<p>#23 Emergency Department Utilization for SUD per 1,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries</p> <p>#24 Inpatient Stays for SUD per 1,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries</p> <p>#28 SUD Spending</p> <p>#29 SUD Spending within IMDs</p> <p>#30 Per Capita SUD Spending</p> <p>#31 Per Capita SUD Spending within IMDs</p> <p>#33 Grievances Related to SUD Treatment Services</p> <p>#34 Appeals Related to SUD</p>	<p>The District calculates the following changes that were less or more than 2% between DY5 Q3 (7/1/24-9/30/24) and DY5 Q4 (10/1/24-12/31/24):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There was a 5% increase in ED utilization and a 6% decrease in inpatient stays for SUD per 1,000 Medicaid Beneficiaries. We attribute the decrease to changes in utilization rather than enrollment.</li> </ul> <p>The District calculates the following changes that were less or more than 2% between DY4 (2023) and DY5 (2024):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There was a 4% decrease in SUD spending. There was a 3% decrease in per capita SUD spending. This was a relatively small decline that was similar in the total and per capita.</li> <li>There was an 8% increase in IMD spending. There was a 9% decrease in per capita IMD spending. This was driven by a change in the population receiving care as the count receiving IMD care increased, but the ALOS and per capita cost decreased.</li> </ul> <p>#33: The number of grievances related to SUD treatment services decreased 75%; the District attributes this change to natural variation.</p> <p>#34: The number of appeals related to SUD treatment services decreased 80%; the District attributes this change to natural variation.</p> <p>#35: The number of critical incidents related to SUD treatment services decreased by 53%; the District attributes this change to natural variation.</p>
---	--	--	--

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
		Treatment Services  #35 Critical Incidents Related to SUD Treatment Services	
<b>9.2 Implementation update</b>			
9.2.1 The state expects to make the following program changes that may affect other SUD-related metrics.	X		

## B. SMI/SED component

Prompt		State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>1. Ensuring Quality of Care in Psychiatric Hospitals and Residential Settings (Milestone 1)</b>				
<b>1.1 Metric trends</b>				
1.1.1	The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 1.	X		
<b>1.2 Implementation update</b>				
1.2.1	Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:			
1.2.1.a	The licensure or accreditation processes for participating hospitals and residential settings	X		
1.2.1.b	The oversight process (including unannounced visits) to ensure participating hospital and residential settings meet state's licensing or certification and accreditation requirements	X		
1.2.1.c	The utilization review process to ensure beneficiaries have access to the appropriate levels and types of care and to provide oversight on lengths of stay	X		
1.2.1.d	The program integrity requirements and compliance assurance process	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
1.2.1.e The state requirement that psychiatric hospitals and residential settings screen beneficiaries for co-morbid physical health conditions, SUDs, and suicidal ideation, and facilitate access to treatment for those conditions	X		
1.2.1.f Other state requirements/policies to ensure good quality of care in inpatient and residential treatment settings	X		
1.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 1.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>2. Improving Care Coordination and Transitions to Community-Based Care (Milestone 2)</b>			
<b>2.1 Metric trends</b>			
2.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 2.	X		
<b>2.2 Implementation update</b>			
2.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 2.2.1.a Actions to ensure that psychiatric hospitals and residential treatment settings carry out intensive pre-discharge planning, and include community-based providers in care transitions	X		
2.2.1.b Actions to ensure psychiatric hospitals and residential settings assess beneficiaries' housing situations and coordinate with housing services providers	X		
2.2.1.c State requirement to ensure psychiatric hospitals and residential settings contact beneficiaries and community-based providers within 72 hours post discharge	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
2.2.1.d Strategies to prevent or decrease the lengths of stay in EDs among beneficiaries with SMI or SED (e.g., through the use of peers and psychiatric consultants in EDs to help with discharge and referral to treatment providers)	X		
2.2.1.e Other state requirements/policies to improve care coordination and connections to community-based care)	X		
2.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 2.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>3. Access to Continuum of Care, Including Crisis Stabilization (Milestone 3)</b>			
<b>3.1 Metric trends</b>			
3.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 3.		#15 Mental Health Services Utilization - Outpatient  #17 Mental Health Services Utilization – Telehealth  #18 Mental Health Services Utilization – Any Services  #19a-b Average Length of Stay in IMDs  #20 Beneficiaries With SMI/SED Treated in an IMD for Mental Health	<p>The District calculates the following changes that were less or more than 2% between DY5 Q3 (7/1/24-9/30/24) and DY5 Q4 (10/1/24-12/31/24):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There was a 5% increase in the number of beneficiaries receiving outpatient services and a 4% increase in the number of beneficiaries receiving any service. We attribute the increases to additional utilization at multiple large providers.</li> <li>There was a 3% increase in the number of beneficiaries receiving telehealth services. This was a small increase after a larger decrease in the prior quarter.</li> </ul> <p>The District calculates the following changes that were less or more than 2% between DY4 (2023) and DY5 (2024):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There was a 17% decrease in the ALOS for all IMDs and populations, driven by a 9% decrease in the ALOS of short-term stays. The ALOS of long-term stays increased by 16% and the number of beneficiaries with a long-term stay declined by almost half.</li> <li>There was a 14% increase in the number of beneficiaries with SMI/SED treated in an IMD for mental health.</li> </ul>
<b>3.2 Implementation update</b>			



Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
3.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 3.2.1.a State requirement that providers use an evidenced-based, publicly available patient assessment tool to determine appropriate level of care and length of stay	X		
3.2.1.b Other state requirements/policies to improve access to a full continuum of care including crisis stabilization	X		
3.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 3.	X		

Prompt		State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>4. Earlier Identification and Engagement in Treatment, Including Through Increased Integration (Milestone 4)</b>				
<b>4.1 Metric trends</b>				
4.1.1	The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to Milestone 4.	X	#22 Count of Beneficiaries With SMI/SED (annually)	There was a 3% increase in the count of beneficiaries with SMI/SED between DY4 (2023) and DY5 (2024). This was a small increase, but notable given overall enrollment was declining during 2024 due to the Medicaid unwinding.
<b>4.2 Implementation update</b>				
4.2.1	Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:			
4.2.1.a	Strategies for identifying and engaging beneficiaries in treatment sooner (e.g., with supported education and employment)	X		
4.2.1.b	Plan for increasing integration of behavioral health care in non-specialty settings to improve early identification of SED/SMI and linkages to treatment	X		
4.2.1.c	Establishment of specialized settings and services, including crisis stabilization services, focused on the needs of young people experiencing SMI or SED	X		
4.2.1.d	Other state strategies to increase earlier identification/engagement, integration, and specialized programs for young people	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
4.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect metrics related to Milestone 4.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>5. SMI/SED health information technology (health IT)</b>			
<b>5.1 Metric trends</b>			
5.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to its SMI/SED health IT metrics.		<p>Q1: Number of active DC HIE behavioral health provider users</p> <p>S1: Number of DC Medicaid-enrolled behavioral health care facilities/providers receiving data from the HIE</p> <p>Q3: Number of DC HIE behavioral health users who performed a patient care snapshot or access the clinical information tab in the past 30 days</p>	<p>Q1: The number of active DC HIE behavioral health provider users increased by 6% as the vendor continues to ensure all users are correctly categorized after implementing new methodology.</p> <p>S1: The number of DC Medicaid-enrolled behavioral health care facilities/providers receiving data from the HIE increased by 3% corresponding to the increase in behavioral health provider users described above.</p> <p>Q3: The 4% increase in this measure is due to additional communications and trainings by the DC HIE vendor to educate users about using the patient care snapshot and clinical information tab tools.</p>
<b>5.2 Implementation update</b>			

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
5.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:			
5.2.1.a The three statements of assurance made in the state's health IT plan	X		
5.2.1.b Closed loop referrals and e-referrals from physician/mental health provider to physician/mental health provider and/or physician/mental health provider to community-based supports	X		
5.2.1.c Electronic care plans and medical records	X		
5.2.1.d Individual consent being electronically captured and made accessible to patients and all members of the care team	X		
5.2.1.e Intake, assessment and screening tools being part of a structured data capture process so that this information is interoperable with the rest of the health IT ecosystem	X		
5.2.1.f Telehealth technologies supporting collaborative care by facilitating broader availability of integrated mental health care and primary care	X		
5.2.1.g Alerting/analytics	X		
5.2.1.h Identity management	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
5.2.2 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect SMI/SED metrics related to health IT.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
6. Other SMI/SED-related metrics			
6.1 Metric trends			

<p>6.1.1 The state reports the following metric trends, including all changes (+ or -) greater than 2 percent related to other SMI/SED-related metrics.</p>		<p>#32 Total Costs Associated With Mental Health Services Among Beneficiaries With SMI/SED - Not Inpatient or Residential</p> <p>#33 Total Costs Associated With Mental Health Services Among Beneficiaries With SMI/SED - Inpatient or Residential</p> <p>#34 Per Capita Costs Associated With Mental Health Services Among Beneficiaries With SMI/SED - Not Inpatient or Residential</p> <p>#35 Per Capita Costs Associated With Mental Health Services Among Beneficiaries</p>	<p>The District calculates the following changes that were less or more than 2% between DY4 (2023) and DY5 (2024):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There was a 14% increase in total SMI/SED costs that are not inpatient or residential and a 10% increase in per capita SMI/SED costs that are not inpatient or residential. We attribute this change to an increase in outpatient utilization, as reflected in the quarterly metrics throughout the year.</li> <li>• There was a 6% decrease in total SMI/SED costs that are inpatient or residential and a 9% decrease in per capita SMI/SED costs that are inpatient or residential.</li> <li>• There was a 16% decrease in total IMD costs for beneficiaries with SMI/SED and a 27% decrease in per capita costs. We attribute this to a change in the “new” population receiving IMD services in 2024: the beneficiary count increased, while the costs and ALOS declined.</li> </ul> <p>#36: The number of grievances related to services for SMI/SED declined 100%; the District attributes this change to natural variation.</p> <p>#37: The number of appeals related to services for SMI/SED declined 20%; the District attributes this change to natural variation.</p> <p>#38: The number of critical incidents related to services for SMI/SED increased by 15%; the District attributes this change to natural variation.</p>
---	--	---	--



		<div>With SMI/SED - Inpatient or Residential</div> <div>#36 Grievances Related to Services for SMI/SED</div> <div>#37 Appeals Related to Services for SMI/SED</div> <div>#38 Critical Incidents Related to Services for SMI/SED</div> <div>#39 Total Costs Associated With Treatment for Mental Health in an IMD Among Beneficiaries With SMI/SED</div> <div>#40 Per Capita Costs Associated With Treatment for Mental Health in an IMD Among</div>	
--	--	---	--

Prompt		State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
			Beneficiaries With SMI/SED	
<b>6.2 Implementation update</b>				
6.2.1	The state expects to make the following program changes that may affect other SMI/SED-related metrics.	X		
<b>7. Annual Assessment of Availability of Mental Health Services (Annual Availability Assessment)</b>				
<b>7.1 Description of changes to baseline conditions and practices</b>				
7.1.1	Describe and explain any changes in the mental health service needs of Medicaid beneficiaries with SMI/SED compared to those described in the Initial Assessment of the Availability of Mental Health Services (for example, prevalence and distribution of SMI/SED). Recommended word count is 500 words or less.	X		
7.1.2	Describe and explain any changes to the organization of the state's Medicaid behavioral health service delivery system compared to those described in the Initial Assessment of the Availability of Mental Health Services. Recommended word count is 500 words or less.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
7.1.3 Describe and explain any changes in the availability of mental health services for Medicaid beneficiaries with SMI/SED in the state compared to those described in the Initial Assessment of the Availability of Mental Health Services. At minimum, explain any changes across the state in the availability of the following services: inpatient mental health services; outpatient and community-based services; crisis behavioral health services; and care coordination and care transition planning. Recommended word count is 500 words or less.	X		
7.1.4 Describe and explain any changes in gaps the state identified in the availability of mental health services or service capacity while completing the Annual Availability Assessment compared to those described in the Initial Assessment of the Availability of Mental Health Services. Recommended word count is 500 words or less.	X		
7.1.5 Describe and explain whether any changes in the availability of mental health services have impacted the state’s maintenance of effort (MOE) on funding outpatient community-based mental health services. Recommended word count is 500 words or less.	X		

Prompt	State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>7.2 Implementation update</b>			
7.2.1 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 7.2.1.a The state’s strategy to conduct annual assessments of the availability of mental health services across the state and updates on steps taken to increase availability	X		
7.2.1.b Strategies to improve state tracking of availability of inpatient and crisis stabilization beds	X		

Prompt		State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>8. Maintenance of effort (MOE) on funding outpatient community-based mental health services</b>				
<b>8.1 MOE dollar amount</b>				
8.1.1	Provide as a dollar amount the level of state appropriations and local funding for outpatient community-based mental health services for the most recently completed state fiscal year.	X		
<b>8.2 Narrative information</b>				
8.2.1	Describe and explain any reductions in the MOE dollar amount below the amount provided in the state's application materials. The state should confirm that it did not move resources to increase access to treatment in inpatient or residential settings at the expense of community-based services.	X		

Prompt		State has no trends/update to report (place an X)	Related metric(s) (if any)	State response
<b>9. SMI/SED financing plan</b>				
<b>9.1 Implementation update</b>				
9.1.1	Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:			
9.1.1.a	Increase availability of non-hospital, non-residential crisis stabilization services, including services made available through crisis call centers, mobile crisis units, and observation/assessment centers, with a coordinated community crisis response that involves law enforcement and other first responders	X		
9.1.1.b	Increase availability of on-going community-based services, e.g., outpatient, community mental health centers, partial hospitalization/day treatment, assertive community treatment, and services in integrated care settings such as the Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic model	X		

#### 4. Narrative information on other reporting topics applicable to both SUD and SMI/SED components

Prompts	State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
<b>10. Budget neutrality</b>		
<b>10.1 Current status and analysis</b>		
10.1.1 Describe the current status of budget neutrality and an analysis of the budget neutrality to date. If the SUD and SMI/SED components are part of a broader demonstration, the state should provide an analysis of the SUD- and SMI/SED-related budget neutrality and an analysis of budget neutrality as a whole.		In DY6Q1 the District is budget neutral in all MEGs.
<b>10.2 Implementation update</b>		
10.2.1 The state expects to make other program changes that may affect budget neutrality.	X	

Prompts	State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
<b>11. SUD- and SMI/SED-related demonstration operations and policy</b>		
<b>11.1 Considerations</b>		
11.1.1 The state should highlight significant SUD and SMI/SED (or if broader demonstration, then SUD- and SMI/SED-related) demonstration components' operations or policy considerations that could positively or negatively affect beneficiary enrollment, access to services, timely provision of services, budget neutrality, or any other provision that has potential for beneficiary impacts. Also note any activity that may accelerate or create delays or impediments in achieving the SUD and SMI/SED demonstration components approved goals or objectives, if not already reported elsewhere in this document. See Monitoring Report Instructions for more detail.	X	
<b>11.2 Implementation update</b>		
11.2.1 The state experienced challenges in partnering with entities contracted to help implement the demonstration (e.g., health plans, credentialing vendors, private sector providers) and/or noted any performance issues with contracted entities.	X	
11.2.2 The state is working on other initiatives related to SUD, OUD and/or SMI/SED.		In September 2024, the District received a fourth State Opioid Response (SOR) grant.



Prompts	State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
11.2.3 The initiatives described above are related to the SUD and/or SMI/SED demonstration components. (The state should note similarities and differences from the SUD and SMI/SED demonstration components).		<p>The SOR 4 grant complements the 1115 SUD demonstration. The funding allows the District to continue to support behavioral health transformation in several ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase entry points into the system of care (e.g., stabilization center)</li> <li>• Improve the coordination of care for individuals as they move through the system by expanding care management initiatives in the community and at the DC Jail</li> <li>• Provide training, technical assistance, coaching, and consultation to SUD providers/health care professionals to increase their ability to address an individual's whole-person needs</li> <li>• Implement a coordinated approach at the community level by facilitating key stakeholders in each Ward to work collaboratively around harm reduction, prevention, community outreach and education initiatives, and sustainability planning</li> </ul>
11.2.4 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to:		
11.2.4.a How the delivery system operates under the demonstration (e.g., through the managed care system or fee for service)	X	
11.2.4.b Delivery models affecting demonstration participants (e.g., Accountable Care Organizations, Patient Centered Medical Homes)	X	
11.2.4.c Partners involved in service delivery	X	
11.2.4.d <b>SMI/SED-specific:</b> The state Medicaid agency's Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) or other agreement with its mental health services agency	X	

Prompts	State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
<b>12. SUD and SMI/SED demonstration evaluation update</b>		
<b>12.1 Narrative information</b>		
12.1.1 Provide updates on SUD and SMI/SED evaluation work and timeline. The appropriate content will depend on when this monitoring report is due to CMS and the timing for the demonstration. There are specific requirements per 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 431.428a(10) for annual reports. See Monitoring Report Instructions for more details.		In accordance with the District’s approved evaluation design: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AIR edited, finalized, and delivered the draft initial summative evaluation report.</li> <li>• AIR worked on the quantitative and qualitative results for the summative evaluation report.</li> <li>• AIR worked on a memo to inform future evaluation requirements.</li> </ul>
12.1.2 Provide status updates on deliverables related to the demonstration evaluation and indicate whether the expected timelines are being met and/or if there are any real or anticipated barriers in achieving the goals and timeframes agreed to in the STCs.	X	
12.1.3 List anticipated evaluation-related deliverables related to this demonstration and their due dates.		The Draft Summative Evaluation Report will be submitted to CMS by December 30, 2025.

Prompts	State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
<b>13. Other demonstration reporting</b>		
<b>13.1 General reporting requirements</b>		
13.1.1 The state reports changes in its implementation of the demonstration that might necessitate a change to approved STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol.	X	
13.1.2 The state anticipates the need to make future changes to the STCs, implementation plan, or monitoring protocol, based on expected or upcoming implementation changes.	X	
13.1.3 Compared to the demonstration design and operational details, the state expects to make the following changes to: 13.1.3.a The schedule for completing and submitting monitoring reports	X	
13.1.3.b The content or completeness of submitted monitoring reports and/or future monitoring reports	X	
13.1.4 The state identified current or anticipated issues submitting timely post-approval demonstration deliverables, including a plan for remediation.	X	
13.1.5 Provide updates on the results of beneficiary satisfaction surveys, if conducted during the reporting year, including updates on grievances and appeals from beneficiaries, per 42 CFR § 431.428(a)5.	X	

Prompts	State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
<b>13.2 Post-award public forum</b>		
13.2.2 If applicable within the timing of the demonstration, provide a summary of the annual post-award public forum held pursuant to 42 CFR § 431.420(c) indicating any resulting action items or issues. A summary of the post-award public forum must be included here for the period during which the forum was held and in the annual monitoring report.	X	

Prompts	State has no update to report (place an X)	State response
<b>14. Notable state achievements and/or innovations</b>		
<b>14.1 Narrative information</b>		
14.1.1 Provide any relevant summary of achievements and/or innovations in demonstration enrollment, benefits, operations, and policies pursuant to the hypotheses of the SUD and SMI/SED (or if broader demonstration, then SUD- or SMI/SED-related) demonstration components or that served to provide better care for individuals, better health for populations, and/or reduce per capita cost. Achievements should focus on significant impacts to beneficiary outcomes. Whenever possible, the summary should describe the achievement or innovation in quantifiable terms (e.g., number of impacted beneficiaries).	X	

\*The state should remove all example text from the table prior to submission.

Note: Licensee and states must prominently display the following notice on any display of Measure rates:

*SUD measures IET-AD, FUA-AD, FUM-AD, and AAP [Metrics #15, 17(1), 17(2), and 32] and SMI/SED measures MPT, FUH-CH, FUH-AD, FUA-AD, FUM-AD, AAP, and APM measures (#13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 7, 8, 9, 10, 26, 29) are Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) measures that are owned and copyrighted by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). HEDIS measures and specifications are not clinical guidelines, do not establish a standard of medical care and have not been tested for all potential applications. The measures and specifications are provided “as is” without warranty of any kind. NCQA makes no representations, warranties or endorsements about the quality of any product, test or protocol identified as numerator compliant or otherwise identified as meeting the requirements of a HEDIS measure or specification. NCQA makes no representations, warranties, or endorsement about the quality of any organization or clinician who uses or reports performance measures and NCQA has no liability to anyone who relies on HEDIS measures or specifications or data reflective of performance under such measures and specifications.*

*The measure specification methodology used by CMS is different from NCQA’s methodology. NCQA has not validated the adjusted measure specifications but has granted CMS permission to adjust. A calculated measure result (a “rate”) from a HEDIS measure that has not been certified via NCQA’s Measure Certification Program, and is based on adjusted HEDIS specifications, may not be called a “HEDIS rate” until it is audited and designated reportable by an NCQA-Certified HEDIS Compliance Auditor. Until such time, such measure rates shall be designated or referred to as “Adjusted, Uncertified, Unaudited HEDIS rates.”*