DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services 7500 Security Boulevard, Mail Stop S2-25-26 Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850



State Demonstrations Group

July 31, 2025

Emily Ricci Deputy Commissioner Alaska Department of Health 3601 C Street Suite 902 Anchorage, Alaksa 99503

Dear Deputy Commissioner Ricci:

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) accepts the Substance Use Disorder (SUD) Monitoring Protocol, which was required by the Special Terms and Conditions (STC), specifically, STC 8.5 "Monitoring Protocol" of Alaska's section 1115 demonstration, Alaska Behavioral Health Reform (Project No: 11-W-00318/0), effective through December 31, 2028. As noted in CMS's letter to the state on June 25, 2025, CMS is redesigning its demonstration monitoring approach to reduce state burden, promote effective and efficient information sharing, and enhance CMS's oversight of program integrity by reducing variation in information reported to CMS. As part of this redesign, CMS no longer requires the submission of Monitoring Protocols, as the relevant information will now be submitted as part of the Annual Monitoring Report. Therefore, CMS accepts the state's submission from November 29, 2024. CMS appreciates the state's commitment to monitoring expectations outlined in the STCs and will use the submitted Monitoring Protocol to support the state's transition to Monitoring Redesign.

The Monitoring Protocol will be posted to Medicaid.gov under the demonstration's administrative record. In accordance with STC 11.11, the approved SUD Monitoring Protocol may now be posted to your state's Medicaid website.

We look forward to our continued partnership on the Alaska Behavioral Health Reform section 1115 demonstration. If you have any questions, please contact your CMS demonstration team.

Sincerely,

Danielle Daly Director Division of Demonstration Monitoring and Evaluation

cc: Maria Garza, State Monitoring Lead, CMS Medicaid and CHIP Operations Group

Medicaid Section 1115 Substance Use Disorder Demonstrations Monitoring Protocol Template

Note: PRA Disclosure Statement to be added here

1. Title page for the state's substance use disorder (SUD) demonstration or the SUD component of the broader demonstration

The state should complete this title page as part of its SUD monitoring protocol. Definitions for certain rows are provided below the table. The Performance Metrics Database and Analytics (PMDA) system will populate some rows of the table. The state should complete the rest of the table. The state can revise the demonstration goals and objectives if needed. PMDA will use this information to populate part of the title page of the state's monitoring reports.

State	Alaska
Demonstration name	Alaska Substance Use Disorder and Behavioral Health Program (SUD-BHP).
Approval period for section 1115 demonstration	Enter the current approval period for the section 1115 demonstration as listed in the current special terms and conditions (STC), including the start date and end date (MM/DD/YYYY – MM/DD/YYYY).
SUD demonstration start date ^a	01/01/2019
Implementation date of SUD demonstration, if different from SUD demonstration start date ^b	07/01/2019
SUD (or if broader demonstration, then SUD-related) demonstration goals and objectives	Increased rates of identification, initiation, and engagement in treatment Increased adherence to and retention in treatment Reduced overdose deaths, particularly those due to opioids Reduced utilization of emergency departments and inpatient hospital settings for treatment where the utilization is preventable or medically inappropriate through improved access to other more appropriate and focused SUD use/misuse/abuse- related services Fewer readmissions to the same or higher level of care where the readmission is preventable or medically
	 Improved access to care for physical health conditions among beneficiaries.

^a **SUD demonstration start date:** For monitoring purposes, CMS defines the start date of the demonstration as the *effective date* listed in the state's STCs at time of SUD demonstration approval. For example, if the state's STCs at the time of SUD demonstration approval note that the SUD demonstration is effective January 1, 2020 – December 31, 2025, the state should consider January 1, 2020 to be the start date of the SUD demonstration. Note that the effective date is considered to be the first day the state may begin its SUD demonstration. In many cases, the effective date is distinct from the approval date of a demonstration; that is, in certain cases, CMS may approve a section 1115 demonstration with an effective date that is in the future. For example, CMS may approve an extension request on December 15, 2020, with an effective date of January 1, 2021 for the new demonstration period. In many cases, the effective date also differs from the date a state begins implementing its demonstration.

^b **Implementation date of SUD demonstration:** The date the state began claiming or will begin claiming federal financial participation for services provided to individuals in institutions for mental disease.

2. Acknowledgement of narrative reporting requirements

☑ The state has reviewed the narrative questions in the <u>Monitoring Report Template</u> provided by CMS and understands the expectations for quarterly and annual monitoring reports. The state will provide the requested narrative information (with no modifications).

3. Acknowledgement of budget neutrality reporting requirements

✓ The state has reviewed the Budget Neutrality Workbook (which can be accessed via PMDA – see Monitoring Protocol Instructions for more details) and understands the expectations for quarterly and annual monitoring reports. The state will provide the requested budget neutrality information (with no modifications).

4. Retrospective reporting

The state is not expected to submit metrics data until after monitoring protocol approval, to ensure that data reflects the monitoring plans agreed upon by CMS and the state. Prior to monitoring protocol approval, the state should submit quarterly and annual monitoring reports with narrative updates on implementation progress and other information that may be applicable, according to the requirements in its STCs.

For a state that has monitoring protocols approved after one or more initial quarterly monitoring report submissions, it should report metrics data to CMS retrospectively for any prior quarters (Qs) of the section 1115 SUD demonstration that precede the monitoring protocol approval date. A state is expected to submit retrospective metrics data—provided there is adequate time for preparation of these data— in its second monitoring report submission that contains metrics. The retrospective monitoring report for a state with a first SUD demonstration year (DY) of less than 12 months, should include data for any baseline period Qs preceding the demonstration, as described in Part A of the state's monitoring protocols. (See Appendix B of the Monitoring Protocol Instructions for further instructions on determining baseline periods for first SUD DYs that are less than 12 months.) If a state needs additional time for preparation of these data, it should propose an alternative plan (i.e., specify the monitoring report that would capture the data) for reporting retrospectively on its section 1115 SUD demonstration.

In the monitoring report submission containing retrospective metrics data, the state should also provide a general assessment of metrics trends from the start of its demonstration through the end of the current reporting period. The state should report this information in Part B of its monitoring report submission (Section 3: Narrative information on implementation, by milestone and reporting topic). This general assessment is not intended to be a comprehensive description of every trend observed in the metrics data. Unlike other monitoring report submissions, for instance, the state is not required to describe all metric changes (+ or - greater than 2 percent). Rather, the assessment is an opportunity for a state to provide context on its retrospective metrics

data and to support CMS's review and interpretation of these data. For example, consider a state that submits data showing an increase in the number of medication-assisted treatment (MAT) providers (Metric #14) over the course of the retrospective reporting period. This state may decide to highlight this trend for CMS in Part B of its monitoring report (under Milestone 4) by briefly summarizing the trend and explaining that during this period, a grant supporting training for new MAT providers throughout its state was implemented.

For further information on how to compile and submit a retrospective monitoring report, the state should review Section B of the Monitoring Report Instructions document.

\boxtimes	The state will report retrospectively for any Qs prior to monitoring protocol approval as
	described above, in the state's second monitoring report submission that contains metrics
	after monitoring protocol approval.
	The state proposes an alternative plan to report retrospectively for any Qs prior to
	monitoring protocol approval: