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Webinar Logistics

• Phone lines are muted upon entry.

• For technical issues, select “Host” in the drop-down menu of the Q&A window.

• To submit audience questions, select “All Panelists” in the drop-down menu of the Q&A window.
Welcome and Overview of the Improving Behavioral Health Follow-up Care Learning Collaborative

Deirdra Stockmann, CMS
## Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Welcome and Overview of the Improving Behavioral Health Follow-up Care Learning Collaborative</td>
<td>Deirdra Stockmann, CMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why Behavioral Health Follow-up Care?</td>
<td>Michaela Vine, Mathematica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telehealth Follow-up Care in Kansas</td>
<td>Andrew Brown, Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services for Behavioral Health Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Care in Oklahoma</td>
<td>Malissa McEntire, Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questions and Discussion</td>
<td>Michaela Vine, Mathematica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrap-Up</td>
<td>Mira Wang, Mathematica</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CMCS’s Improving Behavioral Health Follow-up Care Learning Collaborative

Goal: Support state Medicaid agencies’ efforts to increase access to timely behavioral health follow-up care among Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries

Participating states teams will have the opportunity to:

• Expand their knowledge of evidence-based interventions to improve access to behavioral health follow-up care
• Develop, implement, and assess a data-driven quality improvement project
• Network with peers
• Advance their knowledge of and skills in quality improvement
Objectives for Today’s Webinar

• Provide national context for behavioral health follow-up care based on states’ performance on relevant Core Set measures

• Highlight state initiatives to improve the availability of follow-up care through use of telehealth and integrated care programs (including Health Home programs)

• Provide an opportunity for Q&As

• Review upcoming learning collaborative webinars and affinity group
Why Behavioral Health Follow-up Care?

Michaela Vine, Mathematica
Behavioral Health Follow-up Care Measures in the Adult, Child, and Health Home Core Sets

- Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness
  - FUH-CH: Ages 6 to 17
  - FUH-AD: Age 18 and older
  - FUH-HH: Health Home members

- Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence (FUA-AD)

- Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (FUM-AD)
Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness Within 30 Days After Discharge (FUH-CH)

Geographic Variation in the Percentage of Discharges for Children Ages 6 to 17 Hospitalized for Treatment of Mental Illness or Intentional Self-Harm with a Follow-Up Visit with a Mental Health Practitioner within 30 Days After Discharge FFY 2019 (n = 44 states)


Notes: This chart excludes New York and Oregon, which reported the measure but did not provide data for the 30-Day Follow-Up rate. This chart also excludes Delaware, which had a denominator less than 30. When a state reported separate rates for its Medicaid and CHIP populations, the rate for the larger measure-eligible population was used.
Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness Within 30 Days After Discharge (FUH-AD)

Geographic Variation in the Percentage of Discharges for Adults Hospitalized for Treatment of Mental Illness or Intentional Self-Harm with a Follow-Up Visit with a Mental Health Practitioner within 30 Days After Discharge, FFY 2019 (n = 42 states)


Note: This chart excludes New York and Oregon, which calculated the measure but did not use Adult Core Set specifications.
Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness (FUH-HH)

Percentage of Discharges for Health Home Enrollees Age 6 and Older Hospitalized for Treatment of Mental Illness or Intentional Self-Harm with a Follow-Up Visit with a Mental Health Practitioner within 7 and 30 Days After Discharge, FFY 2019

A median of 45 percent of Health Home enrollees age 6 and older who were hospitalized for mental illness or intentional self-harm had a follow-up visit within 7 days after discharge (20 SPAs), and 63 percent had a follow-up visit within 30 days after discharge (19 SPAs).

Notes:
- This measure shows the percentage of discharges for Health Home enrollees age 6 and older who were hospitalized for treatment of selected mental illness or intentional self-harm diagnoses who had a follow-up visit with a mental health practitioner. Two rates are reported: (1) the percentage of discharges for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 7 days after discharge; and (2) the percentage of discharges for which the beneficiary received follow-up within 30 days after discharge. Specifications for this measure changed substantially for FFY 2019 and rates are not comparable with rates reported for previous years. Rates for Ages 0 to 17 and Age 65 and Older 7-Day Follow-Up and 30-Day Follow-Up rates are not shown because fewer than 15 SPAs reported these rates for FFY 2019. This chart excludes the District of Columbia Chronic Conditions SPA, New York Health Home Services SPA, and New York I/DD Health Home Services SPA, which reported the measure but did not use Health Home Core Set specifications. This chart also excludes Michigan’s Chronic Care Model SPA, which had a denominator less than 30.
Follow-up After Emergency Department (ED) Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence Within 30 Days of the ED Visit (FUA-AD)

Geographic Variation in the Percentage of Emergency Department (ED) Visits for Adults* Age 18 and Older who had a Principal Diagnosis of Alcohol and Other Drug (AOD) Abuse or Dependence with a Follow-Up Visit within 30 Days of the ED Visit, FFY 2019 (n = 36 states)


*Data displayed in this chart include adults ages 18 to 64 for 24 states and age 18 and older for 12 states.
Follow-up After Emergency Department (ED) Visit for Mental Illness Within 30 Days of the ED Visit (FUM-AD)

Geographic Variation in the Percentage of Emergency Department (ED) Visits for Adults* Age 18 and Older who had a Principal Diagnosis of Mental Illness or Intentional Self-Harm with a Follow-Up Visit within 30 Days of the ED Visit, FFY 2019 (n = 36 states)


*Data displayed in this chart include adults ages 18 to 64 for 32 states, age 18 and older for 2 states, and age 6 and older for 2 states.
Outpatient mental health services and services delivered via telehealth among children dropped from 134 per 1,000 in February to about 59 per 1,000 beneficiaries in July.

Notes: These data are preliminary. Data are sourced from the T-MSIS Analytic Files v4 in AREMAC, using final action claims. They are based on September T-MSIS submissions with services through the end of August. Recent dates of service have very little time for claims runout and we expect large changes in the results after each monthly update. Because data for August are incomplete, results are only presented through July 31, 2020. Data for recent months are likely to be adjusted upward due to claims lag.

About 35 percent fewer (8.4 million) outpatient mental health services and those delivered via telehealth between March through July 2020, compared to March through July 2019.
Behavioral Health Services During COVID-19 Pandemic (Adults)

Outpatient mental health services and services delivered via telehealth among adults dropped from 159 per 1,000 beneficiaries in February to about 92 services per 1,000 beneficiaries in July.

Notes: These data are preliminary. Data are sourced from the T-MSIS Analytic Files v4 in AREMAC, using final action claims. They are based on September T-MSIS submissions with services through the end of August. Recent dates of service have very little time for claims runout and we expect large changes in the results after each monthly update. Because data for August are incomplete, results are only presented through July 31, 2020.

Data for recent months are likely to be adjusted upward due to claims lag.

About 25 percent fewer (7.8 million) outpatient mental health services for adults between March through July 2020, compared to March through July 2019 after accounting for the increase in services delivered via telehealth.
Telehealth Follow-up Care in Kansas

Andrew Brown, Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services
for Behavioral Health Services
The Kansas Department for Health & Environment's Division of Health Care Finance (DHCF) is responsible for Medicaid KanCare program, CHIP, and the state-funded MediKan program.

On average, about 360,000 Kansans are enrolled in these programs each month, representing approximately 12% of the population.

Current 1115 Waiver 2019-2023

Managed Care: 3 MCOs (Aetna, United, Centene)

Largely rural and frontier state
Background on Kansas Medicaid (continued)
Telemedicine in Kansas

• Assumptions from our 1115 Waiver
  – Telemedicine will enhance access to care
  – Telemonitoring will improve outcomes
  – Telementoring will increase provider capacity

• The goal of follow-up telehealth after a psychiatric hospitalization is to facilitate more successful community reintegration, especially in rural and frontier communities

The Public Health Emergency prompted KDHE/KDADS to greatly expand telemedicine beyond original intention
Impact of Telemedicine Follow-up Programs

Kansas has ~10-15% higher psychiatric hospital readmission rates than the national rate

While Kansas' state and private psychiatric hospitals are exempt from readmission penalties, readmission increases cost of care

In rural & frontier areas with telemedicine follow-up programs:

- Kansas has seen decreases in psych hospital readmissions for those catchment areas of about 17%
- Providers report increased capacity and fewer missed appointments
- Patients report reduced symptoms and increased access to care
Lessons Learned

• If the technology infrastructure exists and providers are willing, rural residents have demonstrated high rates of use

• Provider interest in offering telemedicine services can be hampered by high start-up costs, level of reimbursement, lack of telehealth training, and fears about quality of care

• Most utilization has been for behavioral health services, which includes mental health services and substance use disorder (SUD) services

• Patients without adequate devices or broadband may be unable to access telehealth services, telephonic services can help overcome this barrier
Next Steps

• Impact of 988 on telemedicine follow-up opportunities
• Maintaining telemedicine expansion under COVID-19 national public health emergency
• Follow-up telemedicine for Mobile Crisis Response Teams
• ARPA opportunities to help provide needed infrastructure
• State legislation to support telehealth expansion
Contact Information

Andy Brown, Commissioner
Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services
Behavioral Health Services
503 S Kansas Ave
Topeka, KS 66603

andrew.brown@ks.gov
785-291-3359
Integrated Care in Oklahoma

Malissa McEntire

Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services
History of Integrated Care in Oklahoma

2015 OK Health Homes

2016 CCBHC Demonstration Grant

2017 CCBHC 2-year Planning Grant

2019 Oklahoma State Plan Amendment for CCBHC
Innovations

• **Aim to serve beneficiaries most in need**
  – Have had two or more psychiatric inpatient episodes in the past 12 months; OR
  – Have had three or more community based structured crisis episodes in the past 12 months; OR
  – Had have 12 or more emergency department visits with a mental health or substance abuse diagnosis; OR
  – Have had two or more substance abuse residential treatment episodes in the last 12 months (but will not be shown until admission due to confidentiality laws); OR
  – Has been discharged from a psychiatric inpatient episode in the last 90 days
Innovations

• Clinical
  – Dedicated Outreach Workers
  – Clinical Care Pathways
    ▪ Emergency Department follow up
    ▪ Hospital Follow Up
    ▪ Suicide Risk Protocols
  – Care Coordination

• Technology
  – Telehealth
  – iPad
  – CHESS Health
  – Certified Electronic Health Record
  – Health Information Exchange
  – Population Health Care Management tool
Evidence-Based Practices

- Critical Time Intervention (CTI)
- Individual Placement & Supports (IPS)
- Assertive Community Treatment (ACT)
- Flexible ACT (F-ACT)
- Motivational Interviewing
- Chronic Care Model
- Team Based Care
Quality Measures

30-Day Follow-Up After Hospitalization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DY1</td>
<td>94.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DY2</td>
<td>92.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DY3</td>
<td>94.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DY2</td>
<td>77.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DY3</td>
<td>92.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DY3</td>
<td>91.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness - Adult (FUH-BH-A)
Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness - Child (FUH-BH-C)
Quality Measures

Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness

- **DY1**: 62.9% (7-Day Follow-Up), 82.5% (30-Day Follow-Up)
- **DY2**: 60.7% (7-Day Follow-Up), 84.5% (30-Day Follow-Up)
- **DY3**: 63% (7-Day Follow-Up), 82.7% (30-Day Follow-Up)

3-Day Follow-Up: 60.7% (DY1), 60.7% (DY2), 60.7% (DY3)

7-Day Follow-Up: 82.5% (DY1), 84.5% (DY2), 82.7% (DY3)

30-Day Follow-Up: 82.5% (DY1), 84.5% (DY2), 82.7% (DY3)
Data Dashboard

% of Clients Admitted to Crisis Care

% Crisis

PreCCBHC: 0.6%
CCBHCYr1: 0.8%
CCBHCYr2: 0.8%
CCBHCYr3: 0.6%
CCBHCYr4: 0.2%

1.4%
1.3%
0.8%
0.8%
0.8%
0.6%
0.2%
0%

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
Medicaid & CHIP
Health Care Quality Measurement & Improvement
Data Dashboard

% of Clients Treated at Emergency Dept

% to ER

PreCCBHC  CCBHCYr1  CCBHCYr2  CCBHCYr3  CCBHCYr4

26.7%  23.7%  21.5%  20%  18.2%

16.5%  13.1%  12.2%  11.6%  10.7%

5.3%  4.4%  3.6%  2.8%  2%

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
Medicaid & CHIP
Health Care Quality Measurement & Improvement
Questions?

Malissa McEntire
Mmcentire@odmhsas.org
Questions & Answers

Michaela Vine, Mathematica
How to Submit a Question

• Use the Q&A function to submit questions or comments:
  – To submit a question or comment, click the Q&A window and select All Panelists in the “Ask” field.
  – Type your question in the text box and click Send.
  – Only the presentation team will be able to see your comments.
Announcements and Next Steps

Mira Wang, Mathematica
Announcements and Next Steps

• Upcoming webinars
  – June 15, 2021, 12:00 p.m. ET Affinity Group Information Session and Q&A
  – June 29, 2021, 12:00 p.m. ET Webinar #2: Leveraging Key Relationships in Improving Behavioral Health Follow-up Care
  – July 15, 2021, 3:00 p.m. ET Webinar #3: Using Data to Improve Access to Behavioral Health Follow-up Care

• Register and view webinar recording and slides
Announcements and Next Steps (continued)


• Behavioral Health Follow-up Care Affinity Group EOI forms are due by 8:00 p.m. ET on Thursday, July 15, 2021

Thank you for participating!

• Please **complete the evaluation as you exit the webinar**

• If you have any **questions**, or we didn’t have time to get to your question, **please email**

  MACQualityImprovement@mathematica-mpr.com