DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services 7500 Security Boulevard, Mail Stop S2-26-12 Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850



September 5, 2025

Ms. Emily Zalkovsky Chief Medicaid and CHIP Services Officer State of Texas, Health and Human Services Commission 4601 W Guadalupe Street MC H100 Austin, TX 78751

Dear Ms. Emily Zalkovsky:

In accordance with 42 CFR 438.6(c), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) has reviewed and is approving Texas's submission of a proposal for delivery system and provider payment initiatives (state directed payments or SDPs) under Medicaid managed care plan contracts. The proposal was received by CMS on May 5, 2025 and a final revised preprint was received July 22, 2025. The proposal has a control name of TX_Fee_Oth_Renewal_20250901-20260831.

CMS has completed our review of the following Medicaid managed care SDP:

• Behavioral Health Services (BHS) directed payment program, which is a uniform increase for Community Mental Health Centers and Local Behavioral Health Authorities, for the rating period covering September 1, 2025 through August 31, 2026, incorporated in the capitation rates through a risk-based rate adjustment.

This letter satisfies the regulatory requirement in 42 CFR 438.6(c)(2) for SDPs described in 42 CFR 438.6(c)(1). This letter pertains only to the actions identified above and does not apply to other actions currently under CMS's review. This letter does not constitute approval of any specific Medicaid financing mechanism used to support the non-federal share of expenditures associated with these actions. All relevant federal laws and regulations apply. CMS reserves its authority to enforce requirements in the Social Security Act and the applicable implementing regulations. CMS is actively assessing the impact of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act (OBBB, P.L. 119-21), which was signed into law on July 4, 2025, on state directed payments authorized under 42 CFR 438.6(c). Our approval of this state directed payment does not constitute a determination that this payment arrangement would be approved under section 71116 of the OBBB. CMS expects to provide additional information on state directed payments under OBBB in the coming months. The state is required to submit contract action(s) and related capitation rates that include all state directed payments.

The state is required to submit contract action(s) and related capitation rates that include all SDPs, including those that do not require written prior approval as specified in 42 CFR 438.6(c)(2)(i). Additionally, all SDPs must be addressed in the applicable rate certifications. CMS recommends that states share this letter and the preprint(s) with the certifying actuary. Documentation of all SDPs must be included in the initial rate certification as outlined in Section I, Item 4, Subsection D, of the Medicaid Managed Care Rate Development Guide. The state and its actuary must ensure all documentation outlined in the Medicaid Managed Care Rate Development Guide is included in the initial rate certification. Failure to provide all required documentation in the rate certification will cause delays in CMS review.

Approval of this SDP proposal for the applicable rating period does not preclude CMS from requesting additional materials from the state, revision to the SDP proposal design or any other modifications to the proposal for this rating period or future rating periods, if CMS determines that such modifications are required for the state to meet relevant federal requirements.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact StateDirectedPayment@cms.hhs.gov.

Sincerely,

Laura Snyder Director, Division of Managed Care Policy Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services

Section 438.6(c) Preprint

42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c) provides States with the flexibility to implement delivery system and provider payment initiatives under MCO, PIHP, or PAHP Medicaid managed care contracts (i.e., state directed payments). 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1) describes types of payment arrangements that States may use to direct expenditures under the managed care contract. Under 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(2)(ii), contract arrangements that direct an MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's expenditures under paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(ii) and (c)(1)(iii)(B) through (D) must have written approval from CMS prior to implementation and before approval of the corresponding managed care contract(s) and rate certification(s). This preprint implements the prior approval process and must be completed, submitted, and approved by CMS before implementing any of the specific payment arrangements described in 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(ii) and (c)(1)(iii)(B) through (D). Please note, per the 2020 Medicaid and CHIP final rule at 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(A), States no longer need to submit a preprint for prior approval to adopt minimum fee schedules using State plan approved rates as defined in 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(a).

Submit all state directed payment preprints for prior approval to: StateDirectedPayment@cms.hhs.gov.

SECTION I: DATE AND TIMING INFORMATION

- 1. Identify the State's managed care contract rating period(s) for which this payment arrangement will apply (for example, July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021):
- 2. Identify the State's requested start date for this payment arrangement (for example, January 1, 2021). *Note, this should be the start of the contract rating period unless this payment arrangement will begin during the rating period.*
- **3.** Identify the managed care program(s) to which this payment arrangement will apply:
- **4.** Identify the estimated **total dollar amount** (federal and non-federal dollars) of this state directed payment:
 - **a.** Identify the estimated federal share of this state directed payment:
 - **b.** Identify the estimated non-federal share of this state directed payment:

Please note, the estimated total dollar amount and the estimated federal share should be described for the rating period in Question 1. If the State is seeking a multi-year approval (which is only an option for VBP/DSR payment arrangements (42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(i)-(ii))), States should provide the estimates per rating period. For amendments, states should include the change from the total and federal share estimated in the previously approved preprint.

5.	Is this the initial submission the State is se	eking app	proval under	42 C.F.R. §	§ 438.6(c) for
	this state directed payment arrangement?	Yes	☐ No		

6.	If th	is is not the initial submission for this state directed payment, please indicate if:
	a.	☐ The State is seeking approval of an amendment to an already approved state directed payment.
	b.	☐ The State is seeking approval for a renewal of a state directed payment for a new rating period.
		i. If the State is seeking approval of a renewal, please indicate the rating periods for which previous approvals have been granted:
	c.	Please identify the types of changes in this state directed payment that differ from what was previously approved.
		Payment Type Change
		☐ Provider Type Change
		Uguality Metric(s) / Benchmark(s) Change
		Under: Describe:
		☐ No changes from previously approved preprint other than rating period(s).
7.		Please use the checkbox to provide an assurance that, in accordance with 42 C.F.R. § .6(c)(2)(ii)(F), the payment arrangement is not renewed automatically.
SECT	ION	II: TYPE OF STATE DIRECTED PAYMENT
8.	arra und prov	ccordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(2)(ii)(A), describe in detail how the payment ngement is based on the utilization and delivery of services for enrollees covered er the contract. The State should specifically discuss what must occur in order for the vider to receive the payment (e.g., utilization of services by managed care enrollees, et or exceed a performance benchmark on provider quality metrics).
	a.	☐ Please use the checkbox to provide an assurance that CMS has approved the
		federal authority for the Medicaid services linked to the services associated with the SDP (i.e., Medicaid State plan, 1115(a) demonstration, 1915(c) waiver, etc.).
	b.	Please also provide a link to, or submit a copy of, the authority document(s) with initial submissions and at any time the authority document(s) has been renewed/revised/updated.

9.	Please select the general type of state directed payment arrangement the State is seeking prior approval to implement. (Check all that apply and address the underlying questions for each category selected.)
	a. VALUE-BASED PAYMENTS / DELIVERY SYSTEM REFORM: In accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(i) and (ii), the State is requiring the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to

C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(i) and (ii), the State is requiring the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to implement value-based purchasing models for provider reimbursement, such as alternative payment models (APMs), pay for performance arrangements, bundled payments, or other service payment models intended to recognize value or outcomes over volume of services; or the State is requiring the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to participate in a multi-payer or Medicaid-specific delivery system reform or performance improvement initiative.

If checked, please answer all questions in Subsection IIA.

b. FEE SCHEDULE REQUIREMENTS: In accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(B) through (D), the State is requiring the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to adopt a minimum or maximum fee schedule for network providers that provide a particular service under the contract; or the State is requiring the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to provide a uniform dollar or percentage increase for network providers that provide a particular service under the contract. [Please note, per the 2020 Medicaid and CHIP final rule at 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(A), States no longer need to submit a preprint for prior approval to adopt minimum fee schedules using State plan approved rates as defined in 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(a).]

If checked, please answer all questions in Subsection IIB.

SUBSECTION IIA: VALUE-BASED PAYMENTS (VBP) / DELIVERY SYSTEM REFORM (DSR):

This section must be completed for all state directed payments that are VBP or DSR. This section does not need to be completed for state directed payments that are fee schedule requirements.

se check the type of VBP/DSR State directed payment the State is seeking prior oval for. Check all that apply; if none are checked, proceed to Section III.
Quality Payment/Pay for Performance (Category 2 APM, or similar) Bundled Payment/Episode-Based Payment (Category 3 APM, or similar)
Population-Based Payment/Accountable Care Organization (Category 4 APM, or similar)
Multi-Payer Delivery System Reform
Medicaid-Specific Delivery System Reform
Performance Improvement Initiative
Other Value-Based Purchasing Model

- 11. Provide a brief summary or description of the required payment arrangement selected above and describe how the payment arrangement intends to recognize value or outcomes over volume of services. If "other" was checked above, identify the payment model. The State should specifically discuss what must occur in order for the provider to receive the payment (e.g., meet or exceed a performance benchmark on provider quality metrics).
- 12. In Table 1 below, identify the measure(s), baseline statistics, and targets that the State will tie to provider performance under this payment arrangement (provider performance measures). Please complete all boxes in the row. To the extent practicable, CMS encourages states to utilize existing, validated, and outcomes-based performance measures to evaluate the payment arrangement, and recommends States use the CMS
 Measures when applicable. If the state needs more space, please use Addendum Table 1.A and check this box:

TABLE 1: Payment Arrangement Provider Performance Measures

TABLE 1. I ayın	TABLE 1: Fayment Afrangement Frovider Fertormance Weasures					
Measure Name and NQF # (if applicable)	Measure Steward/ Developer ¹	Baseline ² Year	Baseline ² Statistic	Performance Measurement Period ³	Performance Target	Notes ⁴
Example: Percent of High-Risk Residents with Pressure Ulcers – Long Stay	CMS	CY 2018	9.23%	Year 2	8%	Example notes
a.						
b.						
c.						
d.						
e.						

- 1. Baseline data must be added after the first year of the payment arrangement
- 2. If state-developed, list State name for Steward/Developer.
- 3. If this is planned to be a multi-year payment arrangement, indicate which year(s) of the payment arrangement that performance on the measure will trigger payment.
- 4. If the State is using an established measure and will deviate from the measure steward's measure specifications, please describe here. Additionally, if a state-specific measure will be used, please define the numerator and denominator here.

- **13.** For the measures listed in Table 1 above, please provide the following information:
 - **a.** Please describe the methodology used to set the performance targets for each measure.

b. If multiple provider performance measures are involved in the payment arrangement, discuss if the provider must meet the performance target on each measure to receive payment or can providers receive a portion of the payment if they meet the performance target on some but not all measures?

c. For state-developed measures, please briefly describe how the measure was developed?

	ne State seeking a multi-year approval of the state directed payment arrangement? Yes No
a.	If this payment arrangement is designed to be a multi-year effort, denote the State's managed care contract rating period(s) the State is seeking approval for.
b.	If this payment arrangement is designed to be a multi-year effort and the State is NOT requesting a multi-year approval, describe how this application's payment arrangement fits into the larger multi-year effort and identify which year of the effort is addressed in this application.
15. Use	the checkboxes below to make the following assurances:
a.	In accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(2)(iii)(A), the state directed payment arrangement makes participation in the value-based purchasing initiative, delivery system reform, or performance improvement initiative available, using the same terms of performance, to the class or classes of providers (identified below) providing services under the contract related to the reform or improvement initiative.
b.	☐ In accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(2)(iii)(B), the payment arrangement makes use of a common set of performance measures across all of the payers and providers.
c.	☐ In accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(2)(iii)(C), the payment arrangement does not set the amount or frequency of the expenditures.
d.	☐ In accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(2)(iii)(D), the payment arrangement does not allow the State to recoup any unspent funds allocated for these arrangements from the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP.
SURSECT	ION IIB: STATE DIRECTED FEE SCHEDULES:
	n must be completed for all state directed payments that are fee schedule
requiremen VBP or DS	ts. This section does not need to be completed for state directed payments that are R.
	use check the type of state directed payment for which the State is seeking prior roval. Check all that apply; if none are checked, proceed to Section III.
a.	☐ Minimum Fee Schedule for providers that provide a particular service under the contract <i>using rates other than State plan approved rates</i> ¹ (42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(B))
b.	Maximum Fee Schedule (42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(D))
c.	Uniform Dollar or Percentage Increase (42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(C))

6

¹ Please note, per the 2020 Medicaid and CHIP final rule at 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(A), States no longer need to submit a preprint for prior approval to adopt minimum fee schedules that use State plan approved rates as defined in 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(a).

17. If th	e State is seeking prior approval of a fee schedule (options a or b in Question 16):
a.	Check the basis for the fee schedule selected above.
	 i. The State is proposing to use a fee schedule based on the State-plan approved rates as defined in 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(a). ² ii. The State is proposing to use a fee schedule based on the Medicare or Medicare-equivalent rate.
	iii. The State is proposing to use a fee schedule based on an alternative fee schedule established by the State.
	1. If the State is proposing an alternative fee schedule, please describe the alternative fee schedule (e.g., 80% of Medicaid State-plan approved rate)
b.	Explain how the state determined this fee schedule requirement to be reasonable and appropriate.
add	sing a maximum fee schedule (option b in Question 16), please answer the following itional questions: Use the checkbox to provide the following assurance: In accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(C), the State has determined that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHF has retained the ability to reasonably manage risk and has discretion in accomplishing the goals of the contract.
b.	Describe the process for plans and providers to request an exemption if they are under contract obligations that result in the need to pay more than the maximum fee schedule.
c.	 Indicate the number of exemptions to the requirement: i. Expected in this contract rating period (estimate) ii. Granted in past years of this payment arrangement
d.	Describe how such exemptions will be considered in rate development.

7

² Please note, per the 2020 Medicaid and CHIP final rule at 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(1)(iii)(A), States no longer need to submit a preprint for prior approval to adopt minimum fee schedules that use State plan approved rates as defined in 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(a).

	ne State is seeking prior approval for a uniform dollar or percentage increase (option collection 16), please address the following questions:
a.	Will the state require plans to pay a uniform dollar amount <u>or</u> a uniform percentage increase? (<i>Please select only one</i> .)
b.	What is the magnitude of the increase (e.g., \$4 per claim or 3% increase per claim?)
c.	Describe how will the uniform increase be paid out by plans (e.g., upon processing the initial claim, a retroactive adjustment done one month after the end of quarter for those claims incurred during that quarter).
d.	Describe how the increase was developed, including why the increase is reasonable and appropriate for network providers that provide a particular service under the contract
20. In a pro	III: PROVIDER CLASS AND ASSESSMENT OF REASONABLENESS accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(2)(ii)(B), identify the class or classes of viders that will participate in this payment arrangement by answering the following stions:
a.	Please indicate which general class of providers would be affected by the state directed payment (check all that apply):
	 □ inpatient hospital service □ outpatient hospital service □ professional services at an academic medical center □ primary care services □ specialty physician services □ nursing facility services □ HCBS/personal care services □ behavioral health inpatient services □ behavioral health outpatient services □ dental services □ Other:
b	Please define the provider class(es) (if further narrowed from the general classes indicated above).

c.	Provide a justification for the provider class defined in Question 20b (e.g., the
	provider class is defined in the State Plan.) If the provider class is defined in the
	State Plan, please provide a link to or attach the applicable State Plan pages to the
	preprint submission. Provider classes cannot be defined to only include providers
	that provide intergovernmental transfers.

21. In accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(2)(ii)(B), describe how the payment arrangement directs expenditures equally, using the same terms of performance, for the class or classes of providers (identified above) providing the service under the contract.

- **22.** For the services where payment is affected by the state directed payment, how will the state directed payment interact with the negotiated rate(s) between the plan and the provider? Will the state directed payment:
 - **a.** Replace the negotiated rate(s) between the plan(s) and provider(s).
 - **b.** Limit but not replace the negotiated rate(s) between the plans(s) and provider(s).
 - **c.** Require a payment be made in addition to the negotiated rate(s) between the plan(s) and provider(s).
- 23. For payment arrangements that are intended to require plans to make a payment in addition to the negotiated rates (as noted in option c in Question 22), please provide an analysis in Table 2 showing the impact of the state directed payment on payment levels for each provider class. This provider payment analysis should be completed distinctly for each service type (e.g., inpatient hospital services, outpatient hospital services, etc.).

This should include an estimate of the base reimbursement rate the managed care plans pay to these providers as a percent of Medicare, or some other standardized measure, and the effect the increase from the state directed payment will have on total payment. Ex: The average base payment level from plans to providers is 80% of Medicare and this SDP is expected to increase the total payment level from 80% to 100% of Medicare.

If the state needs more space, please use Addendum 2.A and check this box:

TABLE 2: Provider Payment Analysis

TABLE 2: Provider	Payment Analys	IS			
Provider Class(es)	Average Base Payment Level from Plans to Providers (absent the SDP)	Effect on Total Payment Level of State Directed Payment (SDP)	Effect on Total Payment Level of Other SDPs	Effect on Total Payment Level of Pass- Through Payments (PTPs)	Total Payment Level (after accounting for all SDPs and PTPs
Ex: Rural Inpatient Hospital Services	80%	20%	N/A	N/A	100%
a.					
b.					
c.					
d.					
e.					
f.					
g.					

24. Ple	ase indicate if the data provided in Table 2 above is in terms of a percentage of:					
a.	☐ Medicare payment/cost					
b.	☐ State-plan approved rates as defined in 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(a) (Please note, this rate cannot include supplemental payments.)					
c.	c. Other; Please define:					
	25. Does the State also require plans to pay any other state directed payments for providers eligible for the provider class described in Question 20b? Yes No					
0 0	If yes, please provide information requested under the column "Other State Directed Payments" in Table 2.					

26.	438.6	the State also require plans to pay pass-through payments as defined in 42 C.F.R. § 5(a) to any of the providers eligible for any of the provider class(es) described in tion 20b? Yes No
		, please provide information requested under the column "Pass-Through nents" in Table 2.
27.		e describe the data sources and methodology used for the analysis provided in use to Question 23.
	paym	e describe the State's process for determining how the proposed state directed tent was appropriate and reasonable.
		V: INCORPORATION INTO MANAGED CARE CONTRACTS
29.	in the 438.6	s must adequately describe the contractual obligation for the state directed payment e state's contract with the managed care plan(s) in accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 5(c). Has the state already submitted all contract action(s) to implement this state ted payment? Yes No
	a.]	f yes:
		i. What is/are the state-assigned identifier(s) of the contract actions provided to CMS?
		ii. Please indicate where (page or section) the state directed payment is captured in the contract action(s).
		If no, please estimate when the state will be submitting the contract actions for review.

SECTION V: INCORPORATION INTO THE ACTUARIAL RATE CERTIFICATION

Note: Provide responses to the questions below for the first rating period if seeking approval for multi-year approval.

- **30.** Has/Have the actuarial rate certification(s) for the rating period for which this state directed payment applies been submitted to CMS? Yes No
 - **a.** If no, please estimate when the state will be submitting the actuarial rate certification(s) for review.
 - **b.** If yes, provide the following information in the table below for each of the actuarial rate certification review(s) that will include this state directed payment.

Table 3: Actuarial Rate Certification(s)

Control Name Provided by CMS (List each actuarial rate certification separately)	Date Submitted to CMS	Does the certification incorporate the SDP?	If so, indicate where the state directed payment is captured in the certification (page or section)
i.			
ii.			
iii.			
iv.			
v.			

Please note, states and actuaries should consult the most recent <u>Medicaid Managed Care Rate Development Guide</u> for how to document state directed payments in actuarial rate certification(s). The actuary's certification must contain all of the information outlined; if all required documentation is not included, review of the certification will likely be delayed.)

c. If not currently captured in the State's actuarial certification submitted to CMS, note that the regulations at 42 C.F.R. § 438.7(b)(6) requires that all state directed payments are documented in the State's actuarial rate certification(s). CMS will not be able to approve the related contract action(s) until the rate certification(s) has/have been amended to account for all state directed payments. Please provide an estimate of when the State plans to submit an amendment to capture this information.

31. Describe how the State will/has incorporated this state directed payment arrangement in the applicable actuarial rate certification(s) (please select one of the options below):
a. An adjustment applied in the development of the monthly base capitation rates paid to plans.
b. Separate payment term(s) which are captured in the applicable rate certification(s) but paid separately to the plans from the monthly base capitation rates paid to plans.
c. Other, please describe:
32. States should incorporate state directed payment arrangements into actuarial rate certification(s) as an adjustment applied in the development of the monthly base capitation rates paid to plans as this approach is consistent with the rate development requirements described in 42 C.F.R. § 438.5 and consistent with the nature of risk-based managed care. For state directed payments that are incorporated in another manner, particularly through separate payment terms, provide additional justification as to why this is necessary and what precludes the state from incorporating as an adjustment applied in the development of the monthly base capitation rates paid to managed care plans.
33. In accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(2)(i), the State assures that all expenditures for this payment arrangement under this section are developed in accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.4, the standards specified in 42 C.F.R. § 438.5, and generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.
SECTION VI: FUNDING FOR THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE
34. Describe the source of the non-federal share of the payment arrangement. Check all that
apply:
aState general revenue
b. Intergovernmental transfers (IGTs) from a State or local government entity
c. Health Care-Related Provider tax(es) / assessment(s)
d. Provider donation(s)
e. Other, specify:
35. For any payment funded by IGTs (option b in Question 34),
a. Provide the following (respond to each column for all entities transferring funds). If the state needs more space, please use Addendum Table 4.A and check this box:

Table 4: IGT Transferring Entities

Name of Entities transferring funds (enter each on a separate line)	Operational nature of the Transferring Entity (State, County, City, Other)	Total Amounts Transferred by This Entity	Does the Transferring Entity have General Taxing Authority? (Yes or No)	Did the Transferring Entity receive appropriations? If not, put N/A. If yes, identify the level of appropriations	Is the Transferring Entity eligible for payment under this state directed payment? (Yes or No)
i.					
ii.					
iii.					
iv.					
v.					
vi.					
vii.					
viii.					
ix.					
х.					

- **b.** Use the checkbox to provide an assurance that no state directed payments made under this payment arrangement funded by IGTs are dependent on any agreement or arrangement for providers or related entities to donate money or services to a governmental entity.
- c. Provide information or documentation regarding any written agreements that exist between the State and healthcare providers or amongst healthcare providers and/or related entities relating to the non-federal share of the payment arrangement. This should include any written agreements that may exist with healthcare providers to support and finance the non-federal share of the payment arrangement. Submit a copy of any written agreements described above.

- **36.** For any state directed payments funded by **provider taxes/assessments (option c in Question 34)**,
 - **a.** Provide the following (respond to each column for all entries). If there are more entries than space in the table, please provide an attachment with the information requested in the table.

Table 5: Health Care-Related Provider Tax/Assessment(s)

Table 5: Healt	th Care-Relate	u i roviuer 18	ax/Assessifieff	ι(8)		
Name of the Health Care- Related Provider Tax / Assessment (enter each on a separate line)	Identify the permissible class for this tax / assessment	Is the tax / assessment broad- based?	Is the tax / assessment uniform?	Is the tax / assessment under the 6% indirect hold harmless limit?	If not under the 6% indirect hold harmless limit, does it pass the "75/75" test?	Does it contain a hold harmless arrangement that guarantees to return all or any portion of the tax payment to the tax payer?
i.						* ·
ii.						
•••						
iii.						
iv.						
v.						

b. If the state has any waiver(s) of the broad-based and/or uniform requirements for any of the health care-related provider taxes/assessments, list the waiver(s) and its current status:

Table 6: Health Care-Related Provider Tax/Assessment Waivers

Name of the Health Care-Related Provider Tax/Assessment Waiver (enter each on a separate line)	Submission Date	Current Status (Under Review, Approved)	Approval Date
i.			
ii.			
iii.			
iv.			
V.			

	or any state directed payments funded by provider donations (option d in Question 34) , please answer the following questions:
8	a. Is the donation bona-fide? Yes No
ł	 Does it contain a hold harmless arrangement to return all or any part of the donation to the donating entity, a related entity, or other provider furnishing the same health care items or services as the donating entity within the class? Yes No
as ar	For all state directed payment arrangements, use the checkbox to provide an ssurance that in accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(2)(ii)(E), the payment transfer angement does not condition network provider participation on the network provider netering into or adhering to intergovernmental transfer agreements.

SECTION VII: QUALITY CRITERIA AND FRAMEWORK FOR ALL PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS

- 39. Use the checkbox below to make the following assurance, "In accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(2)(ii)(C), the State expects this payment arrangement to advance at least one of the goals and objectives in the quality strategy required per 42 C.F.R. § 438.340."
- **40.** Consistent with 42 C.F.R. § 438.340(d), States must post the final quality strategy online beginning July 1, 2018. Please provide:
 - **a.** A hyperlink to State's most recent quality strategy:
 - **b.** The effective date of quality strategy.
- **41.** If the State is currently updating the quality strategy, please submit a draft version, and provide:
 - **a.** A target date for submission of the revised quality strategy (month and year):
 - **b.** Note any potential changes that might be made to the goals and objectives.

Note: The State should submit the final version to CMS as soon as it is finalized. To be in compliance with 42 C.F.R. \S 438.340(c)(2) the quality strategy must be updated no less than once every 3-years.

42. To obtain written approval of this payment arrangement, a State must demonstrate that each state directed payment arrangement expects to advance at least one of the goals and objectives in the quality strategy. In the Table 7 below, identify the goal(s) and objective(s), as they appear in the Quality Strategy (include page numbers), this payment arrangement is expected to advance. If additional rows are required, please attach.

Table 7: Payment Arrangement Quality Strategy Goals and Objectives

Goal(s)	Objective(s)	Quality strategy page		
Example: Improve care coordination for enrollees with behavioral health conditions	Example: Increase the number of managed care patients receiving follow-up behavior health counseling by 15%	5		
ā.				
b.				
2				
·•				
ı.				

43. Describe how this payment arrangement is expected to advance the goal(s) and objective(s) identified in Table 7. If this is part of a multi-year effort, describe this both in terms of this year's payment arrangement and in terms of that of the multi-year payment arrangement.

- **44.** Please complete the following questions regarding having an evaluation plan to measure the degree to which the payment arrangement advances at least one of the goals and objectives of the State's quality strategy. To the extent practicable, CMS encourages States to utilize existing, validated, and outcomes-based performance measures to evaluate the payment arrangement, and recommends States use the CMS Adult and Child Core Set Measures, when applicable.
 - a. In accordance with 42 C.F.R. § 438.6(c)(2)(ii)(D), use the checkbox to assure the State has an evaluation plan which measures the degree to which the payment arrangement advances at least one of the goals and objectives in the quality strategy required per 42 C.F.R. § 438.340, and that the evaluation conducted will be *specific* to this payment arrangement. *Note:* States have flexibility in how the evaluation is conducted and may leverage existing resources, such as their 1115 demonstration evaluation if this payment arrangement is tied to an 1115 demonstration or their External Quality Review validation activities, as long as those evaluation or validation activities are *specific* to this payment arrangement and its impacts on health care quality and outcomes.

b. Describe how and when the State will review progress on the advancement of the State's goal(s) and objective(s) in the quality strategy identified in Question 42. For each measure the State intends to use in the evaluation of this payment arrangement, provide in Table 8 below: 1) the baseline year, 2) the baseline statistics, and 3) the performance targets the State will use to track the impact of this payment arrangement on the State's goals and objectives. Please attach the State's evaluation plan for this payment arrangement.

TABLE 8: Evaluation Measures, Baseline and Performance Targets

TABLE 8: Evaluation Me			1 crioi mance Targets	
Measure Name and NQF # (if applicable)	Baseline Year	Baseline Statistic	Performance Target	Notes ¹
Example: Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 19 to 64 (FVA-AD); NQF # 0039	CY 2019	34%	Increase the percentage of adults 18–64 years of age who report receiving an influenza vaccination by 1 percentage point per year	Example notes
i.				
ii.				
iii.				
;.,				
iv.				

^{1.} If the State will deviate from the measure specification, please describe here. If a State-specific measure will be used, please define the numerator and denominator here. Additionally, describe any planned data or measure stratifications (for example, age, race, or ethnicity) that will be used to evaluate the payment arrangement.

c. If this is any year other than year 1 of a multi-year effort, describe (or attach) prior year(s) evaluation findings and the payment arrangement's impact on the goal(s) and objective(s) in the State's quality strategy. Evaluation findings must include 1) historical data; 2) prior year(s) results data; 3) a description of the evaluation methodology; and 4) baseline and performance target information from the prior year(s) preprint(s) where applicable. If full evaluation findings from prior year(s) are not available, provide partial year(s) findings and an anticipated date for when CMS may expect to receive the full evaluation findings.

Section 438.6(c) Preprint Addendum Tables

Overview

This addendum to the Section 438.6(c) preprint file allows States to add rows to the eight tables in the preprint: Please use this workbook if States need additional rows than what is provided in the preprint. States may also use the Workbook Tables 1.A. - 8.A. in lieu of completing Tables 1 - 8 in the preprint.

Directions

States should only submit the tables they populate to CMS; please do not submit the entire workbook unless the State inputs data into all addendum Tables 1.A - 8.A. For example, if the State only needs extra rows to complete Table 1.A, please delete Tabs 2.A - 8.A

CMS requests States submit the addendum tables with the preprint in this Excel format; please do not merge and re-PDF the preprint.

Addendum Table Organization

The addendum tables are organized by tab. Within the tables, States will find data elements with specific drop-downs that CMS has pre-selected to standardize data provided by States in the preprint.

Table 1.A	Payment Arrangement Provider Performance Measures
Table 2.A	Provider Payment Analyses
Table 3.A	Actuarial Rate Certification(s)
Table 4.A	IGT Transferring Entities
Table 5.A	Health Care-Related Provider Tax/Assessment(s)
Table 6.A	Health Care-Related Provider Tax/Assessment Waivers
Table 7.A	Payment Arrangement Quality Strategy Goals and Objectives
Table 8.A	Evaluation Measures, Baseline and Performance Targets

Identifying Information Column1

State/Territory (Select from dropdown menu): Texas

DDEDDINTOF	CTION VI: ELINDING FOR THE NON FEDERAL SHARE			ı	ı		I		
	CTION VI: FUNDING FOR THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE ABLE 4.A. IGT TRANSFERRING ENTITIES								
Directions	Use Table 4.A below to add IGT transferring agencies to Tablin beige cells in columns B - H.	ile 4. States may also use 1	able 4.A in lieu of comple	eting Table 4 in the preprin	t. Provide the following (res	spond to each column for	all entities transferring funds). Input data only		
	States should only submit the tables they populate to CMS; ple please delete Tabs 1.A - 3.A and 5.A - 8.A. CMS requests State						rows to complete Table 4 in the preprint,		
	TABLE 4.A: IGT Transferring Entities								
	Note 1 from HHSC: Amounts in the table below are suggested IGT an	nounts for SFY26.							
	Name of Entity transferring funds (enter each on a	Operational nature of the Transferring Entity (State, County, City,	Total Amounts Transferred by This	Does the Transferring Entity have General Taxing Authority? (Yes	Did the Transferring Entity receive appropriations? If not, put N/A. If yes, identify the level of	Is the Transferring Entity eligible for payment under this state directed			
Column1	separate line)	Other)	Entity	or No)	appropriations	payment? (Yes or No)	Notes		
Data Format	Free text	Set values (select one)	Dollar (\$x,xxx,xxx.00)	Set values (select one)	Free text	Set values (select one)	Free text ACCESS is a community mental health center		
i.	ACCESS	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 258,467.59	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	(CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.		
ii.	Andrews Center Behavioral Healthcare System	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 1,117,823.23	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Andrews Center Behavioral Healthcare System is a community mental health center (CMHC), CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.		
iii.	Betty Hardwick Center	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 512,458.51	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Betty Hardwick Center is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illiness or an intellectual disability.		
iv.	Bluebonnet Trails Community Services	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 1,864,781.48	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Bluebonnet Trails Community Services is a community mental health center (CMHC), CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.		
v.	Border Region Behavioral Health Center	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 2,428,118.69	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Border Region Behavioral Health Center is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.		
vi.	Burke Center	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 1,230,376.35	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Burke Center is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.		
vii.	Camino Real Community Services	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 4,394,279.98	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Camino Real Community Services is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illiness or an intellectual disability.		
viii.	Center for Life Resources	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 250,617.29	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Center for Life Resources is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illiness or an intellectual disability.		
ix.	Central Counties Services	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 509,326.14	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Central Counties Services is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental liliness or an intellectual disability.		
xi.	Coastal Plains Integrated Health	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 246,242.77	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Coastal Plains Integrated Health is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.		
xii.	Community Healthcore	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 1,172,165.72	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Community Healthcore is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illiness or an intellectual disability.		
xiii.	Denton County MHMR Center	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 355,348.07	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations		Denton County MHMR Center is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental lilness or an intellectual disability.		

xiv.	Emergence Health Network	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 1,205,136.43	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Emergence Health Network is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHOs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illiness or an intellectual disability.
xv.	Gulf Bend Center	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 247,884.78	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Gulf Bend Center is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534. Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.
xvi.	Gulf Coast Center	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 806,449.05	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Guif Coast Center is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534. Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.
xvii.	Heart of Texas Behavioral Health Network	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 1,523,599.12	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Heart of Texas Behavioral Health Network is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534. Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.
xviii.	Helen Farabee Centers	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 320,904.44	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Helen Farabee Centers is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534. Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.
xix.	Hill Country Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Centers	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 475,543.12	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Hill Country Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Centers is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.
xx.	Integral Care	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 1,781,671.45	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Integral Care is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.
xxi.	Lakes Regional MHMR Center	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 904,090.85	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Lakes Regional MHMR Center is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illiness or an intellectual disability.
xxii.	LifePath Systems	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 1,333,702.59	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	LifePath Systems is a local behavioral health authority (LBHA), LBHAs are local governmental entities that are designated by HHSC under Chapter 333, Health and Safety Code, as being responsible for planning, policy development, coordination, resource allocation, and resource development for, and oversignt of, mental health and substance use disorder services in the LBHA's service area.
xxiii.	Metrocare Services	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 7,346,181.75	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	Metrocare Services is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.
xxiv.	MHMR Authority of Brazos Valley	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 182,713.48	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	MHMR Authority of Brazos Valley is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.
xxv.	MHMR Services for the Concho Valley	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 118,241.21	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	MHMR Services for the Concho Valley is a community mental health center (CMHC), CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.
xxvi.	My Health My Resources of Tarrant County	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 2,952,748.51	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	My Health My Resources of Tarrant County is a community mental health center (CMHC), CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.
xxvii.	North Texas Behavioral Health Authority	Other (specify in "Notes" column)	\$ 180,164.39	No	Yes, Local and State GR appropriations	Yes	North Texas Behavioral Health Authority is a local behavioral health authority (LBHA). LBHAs are local governmental entities that are designated by HHSC under Chapter 533, Health and Safety Code, as being responsible for planning, policy development, coordination, resource allocation, and resource development for, and oversight of, mental health and substance use disorder services in the LBHA's service area.

Nacional Contrate for Note of Medical Acade State Contract State Contract Sta								
DOUGLE Pacent Voting Centers for Recorded and Experimental Office (despite) in Years of Centers (and the pacents) in Year (agents) and the pacents of the pa	xxviii.	Nueces Center for Mental Health and Intellectual Disabilities	\$ 454,656.33	No	Yes	Disabilities is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.		
Permiscare Other forestyll miscare Column) To Start Specially Special	xxix.		\$ 565,528.82	No	Yes	Developmental Healthcare is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 634, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illiness or an intellectual disability.		
Selective Center Coulomb StarCare Specialty Fleath System Other (peptry) in Yester S 2,532,00.05 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty System Other (peptry) in Yester S 2,532,00.05 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty System Other (peptry) in Yester S 2,532,00.05 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty System Other (peptry) in Yester S 2,432,70.77 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty System Other (peptry) in Yester S 3,43,82,70.77 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty System Other (peptry) in Yester Other (peptry) in Yester S 3,43,82,70.70 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty System Other (peptry) in Yester Other (peptry) in Yester S 3,43,82,70.70 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty System Other (peptry) in Yester Other (peptry) in Yester S 3,43,82,70.70 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty System Other (peptry) in Yester Other (peptry) in Yester S 3,43,82,70.70 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty System Other (peptry) in Yester Other (peptry) in Yester S 3,43,82,70.70 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty System Other (peptry) in Yester Other (peptry) in Yester S 3,43,82,70.70 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty System Other (peptry) in Yester Other (peptry) in Yester S 72,550.00 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty System Other (peptry) in Yester Other (peptry) in Yester S 72,550.00 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty State Other (peptry) in Yester S 72,550.00 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty State Other (peptry) in Yester Other (peptry) in Yester S 72,550.00 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty State Other (peptry) in Yester S 72,550.00 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty State Other (petry) in Yester Other (petry) in Yester S 72,550.00 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty State Other (petry) in Yester Other (petry) in Yester S 72,550.00 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty State Other (petry) in Yester Other (petry) in Yester S 74,650.00 No Yes, Local and State City Specialty State	xxx.	PermiaCare	\$ 1,253,196.06	No	Yes	(CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.		
Star Care Specially Health System Other (specify in *Notes** Coultmn) Tenana Caretar Other (specify in *Notes** Sanday (specify in *Notes**) Tenana Caretar Other (specify in *Notes** Sanday (specify in *Notes**) Sanday (specify in *Notes** Sanday (specify in *Notes** Sanday (specify in *Notes**) Tenana Caretar Other (specify in *Notes** Sanday (specify in *Notes**) Sanday (specify in *Notes** Sanday (specify in *Notes** Sanday (specify in *Notes**) Sanday (specify in *Notes** Sanday (specify in *Notes** Sanday (specify in *Notes**) Sanday (specify in *Notes** Sanday (specify in *Notes** Sanday (specify in *Notes**) Sanday (specify in	xxxi.	Spindletop Center	\$ 2,532,030.36	No	Yes	center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.		
Texana Center Other (spood) in "Notes" columnary Centers Other (xxxii.	StarCare Specialty Health System	\$ 563,420.52	No	Yes	mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.		
Texas Panhandie Centeris Other (speecly in "Notes" s 343,082.46 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations XXXV. Texoma Community Center Other (speecly in "Notes" s 785,580.20 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations XXXVI. The Center for Health Care Services Other (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations XXXVII. The Center for Health Care Services Other (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations XXXVIII. The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD Other (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations Other (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations XXXVIII. The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD Other (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations XXXVIII. The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD Other (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations XXXVIII. The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD Other (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD Other (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD of the (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations XXXVIII. The Center for Mental Health and IDD Other (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD of the (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD of the (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD of the (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD of the (speecly in "Notes" s 1,796,296.79 No Ves. Local and State GR appropriations The Harris Center f	xxxiii.	Texana Center	\$ 1,482,782.70	No	Yes	center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.		
Other (specify in "Notes" of Texana Community Center as community mental personal content of the column) The Center for Health Care Services Other (specify in "Notes" of Other (specify in "Notes" of Other) The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD Other (specify in "Notes" of Other) Other (specify in "Notes" of Other) Other (specify in "Notes" of Other) The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD Other (specify in "Notes" of Other) Other (s	xxxiv.	Texas Panhandle Centers	\$ 343,082.46	No	Yes	health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental		
Other (specify in "Notes" column) The Harris Center for Health Care Services Other (specify in "Notes" column) The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD Other (specify in "Notes" column) Other (specify in "Notes" column	xxxv.	Texoma Community Center	\$ 755,590.29	No	Yes	health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.		
The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD Other (specify in "Notes" column) Other	xxxvi.	The Center for Health Care Services	\$ 1,796,296.79	No	Yes	community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual		
Tri-County Behavioral Healthcare Other (specify in "Notes" column) Ves, Local and State GR appropriations Yes Other (specify in "Notes" column) Ves, Local and State GR appropriations Ves, Local and State G	xxxvii.	The Harris Center for Mental Health and IDD	\$ 4,158,757.74	No	Yes	community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual		
Tropical Texas Behavioral Health Other (specify in "Notes" column) No Yes, Local and State GR appropriations Yes descriptions Yes descriptions Yes descriptions Indicated the propriation of the propriations Tropical Texas Behavioral Health Other (specify in "Notes" column) Other (specify in "Notes" column) No Yes, Local and State GR appropriations Yes descriptions West Texas Centers Other (specify in "Notes" column) Other (specify in "Notes" column) Yes, Local and State GR appropriations Yes descriptions West Texas Centers is a community mental health center (CMHC), CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability. Xili.	xxxviii.	Tri-County Behavioral Healthcare	\$ 1,446,055.54	No	Yes	Tri-County Behavioral Healthcare is a community mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 634, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illiness or an intellectual disability.		
xii. West Texas Centers Other (specify in "Notes" column) \$ 543,581.12 No Yes, Local and State GR appropriations Yes Local and State GR appropriations Yes entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability. xiii. xiiv. xiv. xiv. xiv. xiv. xiv. xiv	xxxix.	Tropical Texas Behavioral Health	\$ 8,618,386.21	No	Yes	mental health center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an intellectual disability.		
XIV. STATE OF THE PROOF OF THE	xli.	West Texas Centers	\$ 543,581.12	No	Yes	center (CMHC). CMHCs are local governmental entities that are established under Chapter 534, Health and Safety Code, to provide a continuum of services for individuals with mental illness or an		
XIV. Image: Control of the control o	xlii.							
XIV. Image: Control of the control o	XIII.							_
XIVI. Image: Control of the control	xlv.							
xiviii.	xlvi.							
	l.							
	ıı. Iii.							
liv.	liii.							
	liv.							

lv.						
lvi.						
lvii.						
lviii.						
lix.						
lx.						
lxi.						
lxii.						
lxiii.						\perp
lxiv.						\perp
lxv.						\perp
lxvi.						
lxvii.						
lxviii.						
lxix.						+
lxx.						
lxxi.						
lxxii.						+
IXXIII.						+
IXXIV.						+
IXXV.						+
IXXVI.					 	+
IXXVII.				-	 	+
IXVIII.						+
IXXIX.						+-
IXXX.						+
IXXXI.						+
IXXXII.						+
V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V.						+
IXXXIV.						+
IXXXV.						+
IXXXVI.						+
IVVVVIII						+
IXXXVIII.						+
VC						+
XC. XCI. XCII. XCIII. XCIII. XCIII. XCIII. XCIV. XCVV. XCVV. XCVV. XCVVI. XCVVIII. XCVIII. XCIXII. CIII. CIX. CXV. CXV. CXV. CXV. CXVII. CXXVII. CXXVIII. CXXVIII. CXXVIII. CXXVIII. CXXVIII. CXXVIII. CXXVIII. CXXXVIII. CXXXVII. CXXXVIII.						+
ycii						+
yciii						+
yciv						+
XCV						+-
vovi						+
xcvi.						+
ycviii						_
vciv						+
C C						+
ci						+
cii						1
ciii						1
civ						_
CV						+
cvi						-
cvii						-
cviii.						
cix.						
cx.						
cxi.						
cxii.						\Box
cxiii.						
cxiv.						
CXV.						
cxvi.						
cxvii.						
cxviii.						
cxix.						
CXX.						
cxxi.	 					
cxxii.	 					
cxxiii.	 					
cxxiv.	 					
CXXV.						
cxxvi.						
cxxvii.						
cxxviii.						
cxxix.						
CXXX.						
cxxxi.						
cxxxii.						
cxxxiii.						
cxxxiv.						
CXXXV.						
cxxxvi.						
						T
CXXXVII.						

				_			
CXXXVIII. CXXXIX. CXI. CXII. CXIII. CXIIII. CXIIV. CXIV. CXIV. CXIV. CXIVIII. VXIVIII.							
cxxxix.							
cxl.							
cxli.							
cxlii.							
cxliii.							
cxliv.							
cxlv.							
cxlvi.						-	
cxlvii.						$\overline{}$	
vxlviii.							
vxlix.							
cl.							
cli.							
clii.							
cliii							
cliv						\rightarrow	
clv.						\rightarrow	
clvi					 	\rightarrow	\vdash
clvii				-		\rightarrow	
clviii				-		$\overline{}$	\vdash
cliv				-		\rightarrow	\vdash
cly				-	 	\longrightarrow	+-+
clvi				-		\longrightarrow	+-+
volix, cl. cl. clii. clii. cliii. cliv. clv. clvii. clviii. clviii. clxiii. clxiiv. clxv. clxv. clxv. clxv. clxv. clxv. clxvii. clxviii. clxxiii. clxxxiii.				-	 	\rightarrow	+-+
clviii				-	 	\rightarrow	+-+
cixiii.						\rightarrow	1
CIXIV.						\rightarrow	-
CIXV.							-
CIXVI.						\rightarrow	
CIXVII.						\longrightarrow	
CIXVIII.							-
CIXIX.							
CIXX.							
CIXXI.							-
CIXXII.							
CIXXIII.							
CIXXIV.							
CIXXV.							
clxxvi.							
clxxvii.							
CIXXVIII.							
CIXXIX.							\vdash
CIXXX.							\vdash
CIXXXI.							\vdash
clxxix. clxxx. clxxxi. clxxxii. clxxxiii.							\vdash
clxxxiii.							\perp
clxxxiv.				ļ			\perp
clxxxv. clxxxvi.							
clxxxvi.							
clxxxvii.							
clxxxviii.							igspace
CIXXXIX. CXC. CXCI CXCII. CXCIII. CXCIII. CXCIV. CXCV. CXCV. CXCVII. CXCVIII. CXCVIII. CXCVII. CXCXIX. CCC.							\perp
CXC.							$oxed{oxed}$
cxci.							\Box
cxcii.							
cxciii.							
cxciv.							
CXCV.							
cxcvi.							
cxcvii.							
cxcviii.							
cxcix.							
CC.				i			

PREPRINT SE	CTION VII: QUALITY CRITERIA AND FRAMEWO	ORK FOR ALL PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS						
DDENDUM T	ABLE 7.A. PAYMENT ARRANGEMENT QUALIT	TY STRATEGY GOALS AND OBJECTIVES						
Directions	7. Use Table 7.A below to add any goal(s) and objective(s) this payment arrangement is expected to advance as they appear in the state Quality Strategy (include page numbers). States may also use Table 7.A in lieu of completing Table 7 in the preprint. Input data only in beige cells in the three columns.							
	States should only submit the tables they populate to CMS; please do not submit the entire workbook unless the State inputs data into Tables 1.A - 8.A. For example, if the state only needs extra rows to complete Table 7 in the preprint, please delete Tabs 1.A - 6.A and 8.A. CMS requests States submit the addendum tables with the preprint in this Excel format; please do not merge and re-PDF the preprint.							
	TABLE 7.A: Payment Arrangement Quality Str	rategy Goals and Objectives						
Column1	Goal(s)	Objective(s)	Quality strategy page #					
ata Format	Free text	Free text	Free text					
	Promote optimal health through prevention and by engaging people, families, communities, and the health care system to optimize health outcomes.	Increase screening for chronic disease, behavioral health conditions, and substance use disorders Address non-medical drivers of health	p. 3					
	Promote effective practices for people with chronic, complex, and serious conditions to improve people's quality of life and independence, reduce mortality rates, and better manage the leading drivers of health care costs.	Improve the treatment and management of behavioral health conditions and substance use disorders, prioritizing services in community settings Increase use of integrated physical and behavioral health care	p. 4					
	Use high quality health information for people, families, communities, and the health care system to make data driven decisions to improve quality health care for all Texans.	Expand health information exchange (HIE) capacity and participation in the state with particular focus on Medicaid, public health, and behavioral health services	p. 4					
•								
 າ.								
- -								

	·		
0.			
p.			
q.			
q. r.			
S.			
t.			
u.			
V.			
W.			
X.			
y.			
Z.			
aa.			
y. z. aa. bb. cc. dd. ee. ff. gg. hh.			
CC.			
dd.			
ee.			
ff.			
gg.			
hh.			
ii. jj. kk. II. mm.			
jj.			
kk.			
II.			
mm.			
nn.			
00.			
nn. oo. pp. qq. rr.			
qq.			
rr.			
ss. tt.			
tt.			
uu. vv.			
VV.			
ww.			
xx.			
уу.			
ZZ.			
xx. yy. zz. aaa.			
bbb.			

Table 7.A

CCC.			
ddd.			
eee.			
fff.			
ggg. hhh.			
hhh.			
iii.			
jjj.			
jjj. kkk.			
III.			
mmm.			
nnn.			
000.			
ррр.			
qqq.			
rrr.			
SSS.			
ttt.			
uuu.			
VVV.			
www.			
XXX.			
ууу.			
ZZZ.			
	 	·	