March 14, 2023

Stephanie Azar, Medicaid Director
Alabama Medicaid Agency
P.O. Box 5624
Montgomery, AL 36103-5624

Dear Director Azar:

I am writing to inform you that the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) is granting Alabama **final approval** of its Statewide Transition Plan (STP) to bring settings into compliance with the federal home and community-based services (HCBS) regulations found at 42 CFR § 441.301(c)(4)-(5). Upon receiving initial approval for completion of its systemic assessment and outline of systemic remediation activities on February 21, 2017, the state worked diligently in making a series of technical changes requested by CMS in order to achieve final approval.

**Final approval** is granted to the state after completing the following activities:

- Conducted a comprehensive site-specific assessment and validation of all settings serving individuals receiving Medicaid-funded HCBS, included in the STP the outcomes of these activities, and proposed remediation strategies to rectify any issues uncovered through the site-specific assessment and validation processes by the end of the transition period on March 17, 2023;
- Outlined a detailed plan for identifying settings that are presumed to have institutional characteristics, including qualities that isolate HCBS beneficiaries, as well as the proposed process for evaluating the settings and preparing for submission to CMS for review under heightened scrutiny;
- Developed a process for communicating with beneficiaries who are currently receiving services in settings the state has determined cannot or will not come into compliance with the home and community-based settings criteria by March 17, 2023; and
- Established ongoing monitoring and quality assurance processes that will ensure all settings providing HCBS continue to remain fully compliant with the rule in the future.

After reviewing the STP submitted by the state on July 29, 2022, CMS provided additional feedback on August 25, 2022, January 13, 2023, and March 3, 2023, and requested several technical changes be made to the STP in order for the state to receive final approval. These changes did not necessitate another public comment period. The state subsequently addressed all issues and resubmitted an updated version of the STP on March 13, 2023. A
summary of the technical changes made by the state is attached.

The state is encouraged to work collaboratively with CMS to identify any areas that may need strengthening with respect to the state’s remediation and heightened scrutiny processes as the state implements each of these key elements of the transition plan. Optional quarterly reports through the milestone tracking system designed to assist states to track their transition processes, will focus on four key areas:

1. Reviewing progress made to-date in the state’s completion of its proposed milestones;
2. Discussing challenges and potential strategies for addressing issues that may arise during the state’s remediation processes;
3. Adjusting the state’s process as needed to assure that all sites meeting the regulation’s categories of presumed institutional settings\(^1\) have been identified, reflects how the state has assessed settings based on each of the three categories and assures the state’s progress in preparing submissions to CMS for a heightened scrutiny review; and
4. Providing feedback to CMS on the status of implementation, including noting any challenges with respect to capacity building efforts and technical support needs.

It is important to note that CMS approval of a STP solely addresses the state’s compliance with the applicable Medicaid authorities. CMS approval does not address the state’s independent and separate obligations under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act or the Supreme Court’s \textit{Olmstead v. LC} decision. Guidance from the Department of Justice concerning compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and the \textit{Olmstead} decision is available at: http://www.ada.gov/olmstead/q&a_olmstead.htm.

This letter does not convey approval of any settings submitted to CMS for heightened scrutiny review, but does convey approval of the state’s process for addressing that issue. Any settings that have been or will be submitted by the state under heightened scrutiny will be reviewed and a determination made separate and distinct from final STP approval.

Additionally, CMS recognizes the state’s request for a corrective action plan (CAP) to allow for additional time for the final adjudication of settings that fall under the institutional presumption, and provider compliance with regulatory criteria facilitating community integration and employment opportunities. The state will report to CMS on progress with activities outlined in the CAP.

Thank you for your work on this STP. CMS appreciates the state’s effort in completing this work and congratulates the state for continuing to make progress on its transition to ensure all settings are in compliance with the federal HCBS regulations.

---

\(^1\) Medicaid regulations at 42 CFR § 441.301(c)(5)(v) describe heightened scrutiny as being required for three types of presumed institutional settings: 1) Settings located in a building that is also a publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment; 2) Settings in a building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution; 3) Any other setting that has the effect of isolating individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS from the broader community of individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.
Sincerely,

Ryan I. Shannahan -S
Digitally signed by
Ryan I. Shannahan -S
Date: 2023.03.14
11:37:28 -04'00"

Ryan Shannahan, Deputy Director
Division of Long-Term Services and Supports

Attachment
SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO THE STP MADE BY THE STATE OF ALABAMA AS REQUESTED BY CMS IN ORDER TO RECEIVE FINAL APPROVAL  
(Detailed list of clarifications made to the STP since July 29, 2022)

Public Comment
- Provided the summary of public comments and the state’s responses from its comment period after initial approval in the STP. (Appendix B, pg. 51)

Site-Specific Assessment & Validation Activities
- Provided the number of adult foster homes that can provide HCBS and clarified none are currently providing HCBS. (pg. 23)
- Provided details around the structure of Day Habilitation settings. (pgs. 23-24)
- Provided the end date for the onsite validations of ICF/IDD level of care settings. (pg. 29)
- Provided details on the assessment of foster homes. (pg. 25)
- Clarified that non-residential settings were included in the self-assessment and validation process, providing a link to the validation tool. (pg. 28)
- Clarified which state entities conduct the certification process and that this process was not used for initial compliance determination. (pg. 27)
- Clarified that through the assessment of dormitories and apartment complexes, those settings were not provider-owned or controlled settings. (pgs. 21-22)

Non-Disability Specific Settings
- Addressed how the state will ensure individuals will have access to services in non-disability specific settings among service options for residential services. (pgs. 19-20)

Assessment & Validation Results
- Provided the settings assessment and validation results, including the number of settings assessed by setting type, by waiver and by service type. (pg. 29)
- Provided a final disposition of validation results for all settings by waiver based on compliance level (fully comply; do not comply but could with modifications; cannot comply; and are presumed to have the qualities of an institution, but for which the state will submit evidence for the application of heightened scrutiny). (pg. 29)

Site-Specific Remedial Actions
- Provided dates by which adult day habilitation (ADH) settings implemented remediation plans. (pgs. 26, 30)
- Provided dates by which ICF/IDD settings’ remediation plans were submitted to the state, and the dates by which the plans were reviewed and approved by the state. (pgs. 31-32)
**Ongoing Monitoring of Settings**
- Clarified how the Case Management Home Visit Tool will be used for monitoring ongoing compliance in nursing facility level of care waivers. (pg. 40)
- Clarified how the certification process includes all of the HCBS criteria and how it will be used to facilitate ongoing monitoring of settings. (pgs. 41-42)

**Heightened Scrutiny**
- Addressed how person-centered plans are selected for settings’ evidence packages. (pg. 39)

**Communication with and Support to Beneficiaries when a Provider will not be Compliant**
- Provided an estimate of the number of individuals that may need to be relocated for Alabama Department of Mental Health, Division of Developmental Disabilities (ADMH-DDD) settings and ADH settings. (pgs. 45-46)

**Milestones**
- Updated the milestones related to state regulatory changes to reflect to which part of the HCBS regulation the state changes correspond. (Appendix C, pg. 52)
- Updated the milestones to reflect the current status of all transition and remediation activities. (Appendix C, pgs. 53-66)