

T-MSIS Behavioral Health (BH) Data Book

**Treatment of BH Conditions in Medicaid and the
Children's Health Insurance Program, 2022**

As Required by the

Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2024 (P.L. 118-42)

Robert F. Kennedy Jr., Secretary
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
August 22, 2025

This page has been left blank for double-sided copying.

CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION AND KEY FINDINGS.....	1
II. DATA.....	9
III. METHODS	11
REFERENCES.....	13
APPENDIX: SUPPLEMENTARY TECHNICAL INFORMATION	A.1

This page has been left blank for double-sided copying.

I. INTRODUCTION AND KEY FINDINGS

On March 9, 2024, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2024 (CAA, 2024; P.L. 118-42) was signed into law. Section 202 of Division G, Title I, Subtitle B of the CAA, 2024, added a new Section 1948 to Title XIX of the Social Security Act (the Act). It requires the publication of “data reported by States through the Transformed Medicaid Statistical Information System (T-MSIS) (or a successor system) relating to substance use disorder and mental health services provided to individuals enrolled under a State plan under this title or a State child health plan under title XXI,” which is hereafter referred to as the Transformed Medicaid Statistical Information System (T-MSIS) Behavioral Health (BH) Data Book.¹

The T-MSIS BH Data Book continues the work of Section 1015 of the Substance Use-Disorder Prevention That Promotes Opioid Recovery and Treatment Act (SUPPORT Act; P.L. 115-271), which required annual T-MSIS Substance Use Disorder (SUD) Data Books, covering years 2017 through 2021. The CAA, 2024 expanded on the reporting requirements of the SUPPORT Act by requiring the inclusion of (1) information on people treated for mental health (MH) conditions as well as people treated for SUD; (2) information on people enrolled in the Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP); and (3) several new reporting sections, as described below in Sections G through M.

In 2022, less than 15.0% of the 48.7 million people ages 12 and older with a SUD received treatment, and of those with a SUD who did not receive any SUD treatment, 97.5% of children (ages 12 to 17) and 94.7% of adults (ages 18 and older) did not perceive any need for treatment (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration [SAMHSA] 2023). Treatment for mental illness was more common, but not everyone sought care. Only 50.6% of the 59.3 million adults (ages 18 and older) with any mental illness received MH treatment, and of those who did not, 73.4% did not perceive a need for treatment (SAMHSA 2023).

The purpose of the T-MSIS BH Data Book is to provide readily accessible information on Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries treated for a SUD, a MH condition, or co-occurring SUD and MH conditions to understand trends within these populations and inform policy decisions. This document is the first annual T-MSIS BH Data Book and includes, to the extent possible, the required content as set forth in new section 1948(a)(2) of the Act:

(A) The number and percent of individuals enrolled under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans) in each of the major enrollment categories (as defined in a public letter from the Medicaid and

¹ The text of the CAA, 2024, including the sections that correspond to the BH Data Book, is available at <https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/4366/text>.

CHIP Payment and Access Commission to the Secretary)² who have been diagnosed with—(i) a substance use disorder; (ii) a mental health condition; or (iii) a co-occurring substance use disorder and mental health condition.

- Of the roughly 73 million Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries ages 12 and older with full or comprehensive benefits, 6.5 million, or 9%, were treated for a SUD (Table A.1), and 2.9 million, or 4%, were treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions in 2022 (Table A.15). Of the over 100 million Medicaid beneficiaries of all ages with full or comprehensive benefits, 16.9 million, or 17%, were treated for a MH condition (Table A.8). About 800,000, or 5%, of the beneficiaries treated for a MH condition had a diagnosis with psychotic features or disturbances (Table A.22).
- Of the roughly 6.5 million Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries treated for a SUD, 3.7 million, or 58%, were treated for tobacco use disorder (TUD); 1.9 million, or 29%, were treated for opioid use disorder; and 1.8 million, or 28%, were treated for multiple SUDs. Of the roughly 16.9 million Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries treated for a MH condition, 5.7 million, or 34%, were treated for a neurodevelopmental disorder;³ another 34% were treated for an anxiety disorder; and 5.3 million, or 31%, were treated for a depressive disorder. Of the roughly 2.9 million Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions, nearly 1.7 million, or 57%, were treated for TUD; nearly 1.5 million, or 51%, were treated for an anxiety disorder; and 1.4 million, or 48%, were treated for a depressive disorder.
- Adult beneficiaries enrolled in Medicaid in the expansion adult eligibility category accounted for the largest share of beneficiaries treated for a SUD (46%) in 2022, followed by those in the adult eligibility category (20%) and the disability eligibility category (19%; Table A.4).⁴ Beneficiaries enrolled in the children eligibility category accounted for the largest share of beneficiaries treated for a MH condition (27%) in 2022, followed by those in the disability category (23%) and the expansion adult category (22%; Table A.11).⁵ Beneficiaries enrolled in the expansion adult category accounted for the largest share of beneficiaries treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions (42%) in 2022, followed by those enrolled in the disability category (26%)

² In a March 21, 2019, letter to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, MACPAC defined several enrollment categories for stratifying Medicaid and CHIP data: children, excluding those eligible on the basis of a disability; adults ages 19 to 64, excluding those eligible on the basis of a disability; adults eligible under section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII) of the Social Security Act as added in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (P.L. 111-148, as amended), pregnant women, children eligible on the basis of a disability, adults ages 19 to 64 who are eligible on the basis of a disability, and dually eligible beneficiaries. MACPAC recommended reporting on some special populations that cannot currently be supported by the data because the number of beneficiaries in the enrollment categories is too small or due to data quality issues. The special populations not included in this BH Data Book are children who qualify for Medicaid on the basis of child welfare assistance, dually eligible beneficiaries (Medicare and Medicaid) younger than 65, and older adolescents. In addition, the quality of the 2022 TAF data are not good enough to support the reporting of beneficiaries by state Medicaid plan or waiver authority. Refer to MACPAC's public letter advising Congress on Medicaid and CHIP policy: <https://www.macpac.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/Letter-to-Secretary-Azar-on-Medicaid-Enrollment-Categories-per-the-SUPPORT-Act.pdf>.

³ As in the DSM-V-TR, neurodevelopmental disorders include intellectual disabilities, communication disorders, autism, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, specific learning disorder, motor disorders, and other neurodevelopmental disorders (APA 2022).

⁴ Eligibility group is missing or did not align with age for more than 30% of the beneficiaries treated for a SUD in Arkansas, which could affect the results for that state.

⁵ Eligibility group is missing or did not align with age for more than 10% of the beneficiaries treated for a MH condition in Arkansas and Illinois, which could affect the results for these states.

and adult category (18%; Table A.18).⁶ Of the states that did not expand Medicaid, the largest share of beneficiaries treated for a SUD or co-occurring SUD and MH conditions were in either the adult category or disability category. Of the states that did not expand Medicaid, the largest share of beneficiaries treated for a MH condition were in the children category, except for Wisconsin, whose largest share of beneficiaries treated for a MH condition were in the adult category.

- Of the 10.6 million dually eligible Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries, 3 million, or 29%, were treated for a MH condition (Table A.14); nearly 900,000, or 8%, were treated for a SUD (Table A.7); and nearly 500,000, or 4%, were treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions (Table A.21). For full results, see Tables A.1 through A.22 in the accompanying Excel file.

(B) With respect to individuals enrolled under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans) who have received a diagnosis described in subparagraph (A), a list of the substance use disorder and mental health treatment services, including, to the extent such data are available, specific adult and pediatric services by each major type of service, such as counseling, intensive homebased services, intensive care coordination, crisis services tailored to children and youth, peer support services, family-to-family support, inpatient hospitalization, medication-assisted treatment, residential treatment, and other appropriate services as identified by the Secretary, for which beneficiaries in each State received at least 1 service under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans).

- Most states and territories have at least one Medicaid or CHIP beneficiary who received each type of service. There were 15 services that all states provided to at least one adult Medicaid or CHIP beneficiary, and 10 services that all states provided to at least one child Medicaid or CHIP beneficiary. The services that the largest number of states provided to adults and not to children were medication management, peer support, SUD intensive outpatient, and withdrawal management. For full results, see Table B.1 in the accompanying Excel file.

(C) With respect to each diagnosis described in subparagraph (A), the number and percent of individuals enrolled under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans) who have such diagnosis and received services for such diagnosis under such plan or waiver by each major type of treatment service listed under subparagraph (B) within each major setting type, such as outpatient, inpatient, residential, and other home-based and community-based settings.

- Emergency services were the most common SUD treatment service received by Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries treated for a SUD in 2022. Fifty-three percent of beneficiaries received emergency services, followed by evaluation and management

⁶ Eligibility group is missing or did not align with age for more than 25% of the beneficiaries treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions in Arkansas, which could affect the results for that state.

services (34%; Table C.1). For beneficiaries treated for a MH condition, evaluation and management and MH pharmacotherapy were the most common treatment services, with 59% of beneficiaries receiving evaluation and management and 50% receiving MH pharmacotherapy (Table C.3). Similar to beneficiaries treated for a MH condition, evaluation and management and MH pharmacotherapy (71% of beneficiaries for each service) were the most common treatment services for beneficiaries treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions.

- The vast majority of Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries treated for a SUD or MH condition received at least one service in an outpatient setting in 2022: 79% of beneficiaries treated for a SUD, 83% of beneficiaries treated for a MH condition, and 95% of beneficiaries treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions received at least one service in an outpatient setting. Notably, almost half (47%) of beneficiaries treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions received at least one service in an inpatient setting. A much smaller percentage of beneficiaries received treatment in residential, home-based, and community-based settings. For full results, see Tables C.1 through C.6 in the accompanying Excel file.

(D) The number of services provided under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans) per individual enrolled under such plan or waiver who has a diagnosis described in subparagraph (A) for each such diagnosis and each major type of treatment service listed under subparagraph (B).

- Beneficiaries who were treated for a SUD and received counseling services attended an average of nine sessions in 2022. Those who received inpatient care for a SUD received an average of 10 days of inpatient care over the course of the year, and those who received medication-assisted treatment (MAT) received it for an average of 127 days, or just over four months' worth.
- Beneficiaries who were treated for a MH condition and received counseling services attended an average of 12 sessions in 2022. Those who received inpatient care for a MH condition received an average of 41 days of inpatient care over the course of the year, and those who received medication for MH conditions received it for an average of 189 days, or just over six months' worth.
- Beneficiaries who were treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions and received counseling services attended an average of 12 sessions in 2022. Those who received inpatient care for a SUD or MH condition received an average of 18 days of inpatient care over the course of the year. Beneficiaries who received MAT received it for an average of 130 days, or just over four months' worth, and those who received medication for MH conditions received it for an average of 180 days, or just under six months' worth. For full results, see Tables D.1 through D.3 in the accompanying Excel file.

(E) The number and percent of individuals enrolled under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans) by major

enrollment category, who have a diagnosis described in subparagraph (A) and received substance use disorder or mental health treatment through—(i) a Medicaid managed care entity (as defined in section 1932(a)(1)(B)), including the number of such individuals who received such assistance through a prepaid inpatient health plan (as defined by the Secretary) or a prepaid ambulatory health plan (as defined by the Secretary); (ii) a fee-for-service payment model; or (iii) an alternative payment model, to the extent available.

- Of the Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries treated for a SUD or MH condition in 2022, 75% received at least one SUD or MH service through a managed care organization, and 45% received at least one SUD or MH service through a state's fee-for-service (FFS) system. Because some states and territories use both types of delivery systems to serve beneficiaries with a SUD or MH condition, the systems are not mutually exclusive, and some beneficiaries receive SUD or MH services through both. In eight states and territories, no Medicaid or CHIP beneficiaries treated for a SUD or MH received any SUD or MH services through managed care: Alabama, Alaska, Connecticut, Maine, Montana, South Dakota, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and Wyoming.
- In states that provided SUD or MH services through managed care, 95% of beneficiaries treated for a SUD or MH condition received at least one SUD or MH service through a comprehensive managed care plan, 4% through a prepaid inpatient health plan, 2% through a primary care case management plan, and less than 1% through a prepaid ambulatory health plan or other plan type. For full results, see Tables E.1 and E.2 in the accompanying Excel file.

(F) The number and percent of individuals enrolled under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans) who have a diagnosis described in subparagraph (A) and received services for a mental health condition or a substance use disorder in an outpatient or community-based or home-based setting after receiving mental health or substance use disorder services in an inpatient or residential setting, and the number of mental health or substance use disorder services received by such individuals in the outpatient or community-based or home-based setting.

- Of the Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries who were treated for a SUD or MH condition in an inpatient or residential setting during 2022, 49% received at least one service in an outpatient or home or community-based setting within 30 days of receiving services in an inpatient or residential setting, and 37% received two or more services in these settings within the 30-day time frame. For full results, see Table F.1 in the accompanying Excel file.

(G) The number and percent of inpatient admissions in which services for a mental health condition or substance use disorder were provided to an individual enrolled under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans) that occurred within 30 days after discharge from a hospital or residential facility in which services for a mental health condition or substance use disorder previously were provided to such individual, disaggregated by each diagnosis

described in subparagraph (A) and type of facility, to the extent such information is available.

- Of the roughly 2.2 million Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries who were discharged from treatment for a SUD from an inpatient or residential setting during 2022, 21% had an inpatient readmission for a SUD within 30 days of discharge (Table G.1). The percentage of beneficiaries with an inpatient readmission was slightly higher among Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries treated for a MH condition, for whom 28% of the roughly 3 million beneficiaries treated for a MH condition who were discharged from an inpatient or residential setting had a readmission (Table G.2). The percentage of beneficiaries with an inpatient readmission was highest among beneficiaries treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions. Of the roughly 1.5 million beneficiaries treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions who were discharged from an inpatient or residential setting, 39% had a readmission (Table G.3).
- Across all inpatient and residential facility types, beneficiaries initially discharged from an inpatient hospital represented the largest share of beneficiaries with an inpatient readmission within 30 days after discharge from any inpatient or residential setting: 18% each for beneficiaries treated for a SUD or MH condition, and 32% for beneficiaries treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions. For full results, see Tables G.1 through G.3 in the accompanying Excel file.

(H) The number of emergency department visits by an individual enrolled under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans) who has a diagnosis described in subparagraph (A) within 7 days of such individual being discharged from an inpatient stay at a hospital during which services for a mental health condition or substance use disorder were provided, or from a mental health facility, an independent psychiatric wing of an acute care hospital, an intermediate care facility for individuals with intellectual disabilities, or a residential treatment facility, disaggregated by each diagnosis described in subparagraph (A) and type of facility, to the extent such information is available.

- Of the roughly 2.2 million Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries discharged from SUD treatment in an inpatient or residential setting during 2022, and the roughly 3 million discharged from MH treatment in the same settings, 15% received any emergency services within seven days of discharge (Tables H.1 and H.2). Twenty-two percent of the roughly 1.5 million beneficiaries treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions who were discharged from an inpatient or residential setting received emergency services within seven days of discharge (Table H.3).
- Across all inpatient and residential facility types, beneficiaries initially discharged from an inpatient hospital represented the largest share of beneficiaries with emergency services follow-up within seven days after discharge from any inpatient or residential setting: 13% among beneficiaries treated for a SUD, 9% among those treated for a MH condition, and 17% among those treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions. For full results, see Tables H.1 through H.3 in the accompanying Excel file.

(I) The number and percent of individuals who are enrolled under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans) and received an assessment for a mental health condition, and (J) The number and percent of individuals who are enrolled under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans) and received an assessment for a substance use disorder.

- Of the roughly 100 million Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries of all ages with full or comprehensive benefits, 13.0 million, or 13%, received at least one BH assessment of any kind, 9.6 million (10%) received at least one MH assessment, 1.4 million (1%) received at least one SUD assessment, and 7.1 million (7%) received at least one unspecified BH assessment in 2022.⁷ For full results, see Table I.1 & J.1 in the accompanying Excel file.

(K) The number of mental health services provided to individuals enrolled under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans) who received an assessment described in subparagraph (I) in the 30 days post-assessment.

- For the roughly 9.1 million Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries of all ages with full or comprehensive benefits who received a MH assessment through December 1, 2022,⁸ counseling or psychotherapy was the most utilized service, with approximately 2.5 million beneficiaries receiving this treatment for an average of three services per person within the 30-day post-assessment period. For full results, see Table K.1 in the accompanying Excel file.

(L) The number of substance use disorder treatment services provided to individuals enrolled under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans) who received an assessment described in subparagraph (J) in the 30 days post-assessment.

- For the roughly 1.3 million Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries ages 12 and older with full or comprehensive benefits who received a SUD assessment through December 1, 2022,⁸ counseling or psychotherapy was the most utilized service, with approximately 362,000 beneficiaries receiving this treatment for an average of three services per person within the 30-day post-assessment period. For full results, see Table L.1 in the accompanying Excel file.

(M) Prescription National Drug Code codes, fill dates, and number of days' supply of any covered outpatient drug (as defined in section 1927(k)(2)) that was dispensed to an individual enrolled under the State plan under this title or the State child health plan under title XXI (or under a waiver of such plans) with an episode described in

⁷ Unspecified assessments were those that did not have a SUD- or MH-specific assessment procedure code or did not have a SUD or MH diagnosis code. For more information on how assessments were classified, see the appendix.

⁸ This analysis is limited to assessments with service dates between January 1, 2022, and December 1, 2022, to allow for a 30-day service use monitoring period within the same calendar year. For more information on how follow-up care was defined and counted, see the appendix.

subparagraph (G) or (H) during any period that occurs after the individual's discharge date defined in subparagraph (G) or (H) (as applicable), and before the admission date applicable under subparagraph (G) or the date of the emergency department visit applicable under subparagraph (H) that were—(i) to treat a mental health condition; or (ii) to treat a substance use disorder.

- Of the Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries with an inpatient readmission within 30 days of discharge from SUD treatment in an inpatient or residential setting, over 10% filled a prescription for, were administered, or were dispensed MAT between the initial discharge and the readmission. On average, they received about five days of MAT between discharge and readmission (Table M.1).
- Six percent of the Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries who received any emergency services within seven days of discharge from SUD treatment in an inpatient or residential setting went on to fill a prescription for, were administered, or were dispensed MAT between discharge and the receipt of emergency services. On average, they received about two days of MAT between discharge and receipt of emergency services (Table M.3).
- Of the Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries with an inpatient readmission within 30 days of discharge from treatment for a MH condition in an inpatient or residential setting, 25% filled a prescription for, were administered, or were dispensed MH medication between initial discharge and readmission. Beneficiaries who received medication between discharge and readmission were most commonly prescribed antidepressants (13%), antipsychotics (13%), and anti-anxiety medications (11%; Table M.2).
- Of the Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries who received any emergency services within seven days of discharge from treatment for a MH condition in an inpatient or residential setting, 21% went on to fill a prescription for, were administered, or were dispensed MH medication between discharge and the receipt of emergency services. Beneficiaries who received medication between discharge and emergency service use were most commonly prescribed antidepressants (10%), antipsychotics (9%), anti-anxiety medications (7%), and mood stabilizers (7%; Table M.4). For full results, see Tables M.1 through M.4 in the accompanying Excel file.

II. DATA

Each month, states report data on Medicaid and CHIP enrollment, service utilization, payment, providers, and other information through T-MSIS.⁹ The volume, complexity, and frequency of updates to T-MSIS data make the files challenging to use for analysis. To support use of these data, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) creates a series of data sets optimized for analytics and basic research, known as T-MSIS Analytic Files (TAF).

The information in this BH Data Book is derived from five research-optimized files in the 2022 preliminary TAF: annual Demographic and Eligibility (DE), inpatient (IP), long-term care (LT), other services (OT), and pharmacy (RX) files. The 2022 preliminary TAF data are nearly identical to the publicly available preliminary TAF Research Identifiable Files (RIFs),¹⁰ which became available in December 2023.¹¹ The preliminary TAF RIF generally contain at least six months of run-out for every month of data, with early months in the calendar year having more run-out, and later months having less.¹²

T-MSIS and the TAF represent a national data set for Medicaid and CHIP. T-MSIS data are continually improving in completeness and quality as states receive and respond to communications about data quality issues, including during the period when the analysis presented in this report was conducted. The analysis did not exclude states with numerous less-severe data quality issues related to enrollment, claims volume, and diagnosis codes, but these issues could still affect the validity of the results. These issues, which are organized by state in Reference Table R.3 in the accompanying Excel file, include the following:

- Missing information about Medicaid and CHIP enrollment or eligibility for full or comprehensive Medicaid or CHIP benefits, which might result in inaccurate estimates of the number of Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries eligible for SUD and MH services.
- An unexpectedly low volume of claims that link to enrollment records, which might result in an underestimate of the number of Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries treated for a SUD or MH condition and the services they received.
- An unexpectedly low or high volume of claims compared with other states. A low volume of claims might lead to an underestimated number of beneficiaries treated for a SUD or MH condition and the services they received. The implications of a high volume of claims are less clear due to the various methods by which this can occur, including the submission of duplicate claims, the placement of claims in the incorrect file, or claim lines submitted as claim headers.

⁹ More information on T-MSIS is available at <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/data-systems/macbis/transformed-medicaid-statistical-information-system-t-msis>.

¹⁰ More information on how the T-MSIS Analytic Files are transformed into RIFs is available on the *DQ Atlas* at <https://www.medicaid.gov/dq-atlas/downloads/supplemental/9010-Production-of-TAF-RIF.pdf>.

¹¹ More information on the RIF release schedule is available in the TAF RIF user guide (Appendix D—TAF RIF Releases), at <https://www2.ccwdata.org/documents/10280/19002246/ccw-taf-rif-user-guide.pdf>.

¹² To provide more complete data, CMS also releases the final TAF RIF, which generally includes at least 12 months of run-out for every month of data included in the annual files.

- Claims that are missing information, which can result in an underestimated number of Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries treated for a SUD or MH condition and the services they received. It is particularly problematic when information is missing for key variables such as the diagnosis code, which is critical for identifying beneficiaries treated for a SUD or MH condition.

More information on the completeness and quality of the TAF data is available online in the *DQ Atlas* (CMS n.d.).¹³

CMS continues to work with states to improve the quality of their underlying T-MSIS data and resolve priority issues.¹⁴ States have access to data quality tools to help identify, track, and prioritize potential data quality issues in T-MSIS, and CMS is providing one-on-one technical assistance to states to improve their data submissions to T-MSIS. CMS also releases guidance to clarify reporting requirements when states have identified challenges in reporting information.¹⁵ We expect future annual BH Data Books to include more accurate results as states' T-MSIS submissions improve and as they continue to address data quality issues.

¹³ The *DQ Atlas* is available at <https://www.medicaid.gov/dq-atlas/welcome>.

¹⁴ CMS tracks and publishes state data quality issues related to a set of critical and high-priority data quality checks under the T-MSIS Outcomes-Based Assessment framework. This information is available at <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/data-systems/macbis/transformed-medicaid-statistical-information-system-t-msis/index.html>.

¹⁵ T-MSIS coding guidance is available at <https://www.medicaid.gov/tmsis/dataguide/t-msis-coding-blog/>.

III. METHODS

The results reported in this BH Data Book are based on beneficiaries enrolled in Medicaid or CHIP for at least one day in 2022 and receiving full or comprehensive benefits. This BH Data Book does not identify all Medicaid or CHIP beneficiaries who had a SUD or MH condition in 2022 because the algorithm used to identify such beneficiaries is based on claims data. It can therefore identify only those beneficiaries treated for a SUD, MH condition, or both as part of a Medicaid- or CHIP-funded service.

In general, we identified beneficiaries as being treated for a SUD in 2022 if they were age 12 or older¹⁶ and had (1) at least one qualifying inpatient claim for a SUD, (2) two outpatient or long-term care claims for SUD treatment on different dates, (3) one emergency department service claim for a SUD, or (4) one pharmacy claim for MAT for a SUD (see the appendix for details).¹⁷ The SUD categories include alcohol, cannabis, opioids,¹⁸ stimulants, tobacco, and polysubstance use disorders, as well as other SUD (caffeine, hallucinogens, sedatives, hypnotics, anxiolytics, inhalants, and unspecified or unknown SUDs). These categories are consistent with those in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition, Text Revision* (DSM-V-TR; American Psychiatric Association [APA] 2022).

We identified beneficiaries, regardless of age, as being treated for a MH condition in 2022 if they had (1) at least one qualifying inpatient claim for a MH condition, (2) two outpatient or long-term care claims for MH treatment on different dates, or (3) one emergency department service claim for a MH condition (see the appendix for details).^{19, 20} The MH condition categories include anxiety disorders, bipolar and related disorders, depressive disorders, disruptive, impulse-control, and conduct disorders, neurocognitive disorders, neurodevelopmental disorders, schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders, trauma- and stressor-related disorders, suicidal ideation, injuries related to suicide or attempted suicide, and other mental health disorders (dissociative disorders, elimination disorders, feeding and eating disorders, obsessive-compulsive and related disorders, personality disorders, sleep-wake disorders, somatic symptom and related disorders, and unspecified or unknown MH conditions). These categories are consistent with the classification of disorders in the DSM-V-TR (APA

¹⁶ Age was calculated as of December 31, 2022. This age group is consistent with the age range used in the National Survey on Drug Use and Health (<https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/reports/rpt42731/2022-nsduh-nnr.pdf>).

¹⁷ Claims related to SUD treatment included those with (1) a diagnosis code indicating a SUD or (2) a relevant National Drug Code or procedure code for SUD treatment. Diagnosis codes indicating a SUD include codes for substance abuse, dependence, and use; codes for adverse effects and poisoning by substances; and a limited number of codes indicating physical harm as a result of a substance.

¹⁸ In some cases, there may be significant overlap between people who use opioids to treat pain and those with an opioid use disorder (Jones and Mason 2022), and researchers' ability to use diagnosis codes to distinguish between pain management and opioid use disorder might be limited (Lagisetty et al. 2021). We included opioid dependence codes, which could indicate either people who are dependent on opioids to treat pain or people who misuse opioids, in our definition of being treated for a SUD.

¹⁹ Pharmacy claims were not used to identify MH conditions because, unlike SUD medications, medications prescribed for MH conditions are not specific enough to allow for identification of the particular condition being treated.

²⁰ Identification of beneficiaries treated for a MH condition was not limited by age, as people of all ages can be diagnosed with and treated for a MH condition.

2022) and include most MH conditions identified in the DSM-V-TR.²¹ We also provided a breakdown of beneficiaries treated for a MH condition with psychotic features or disturbances.²²

We identified beneficiaries as being treated for co-occurring conditions (both SUD and MH conditions) if, in 2022, they had received treatment for both a SUD and a MH condition, as defined previously (see the appendix for details).

Once we identified beneficiaries who received treatment for a SUD, a MH condition, or co-occurring SUD and MH conditions, we grouped them into eight enrollment categories (non-expansion adults, children, CHIP, pregnant women, people with disability,²³ older adults, expansion adults, and unknown). We also provided a breakdown of beneficiaries who qualified for Medicaid based on disability and age group, and dual-enrollment status. We then examined the settings in which they received SUD and MH services, the types and volume of services received, and whether the services were delivered through a state's FFS system or managed care entity, which included a breakdown by plan type: comprehensive managed care organization, primary care case management, prepaid inpatient health plan, prepaid ambulatory health plan, and other.²⁴ Finally, we examined follow-up care among beneficiaries who received care in inpatient and residential settings and among beneficiaries who received an assessment for a SUD or a MH condition

The number of beneficiaries receiving treatment for a SUD, a MH condition, or both in this BH Data Book does not necessarily reflect the total number of people who need treatment for these conditions. Beneficiaries with a SUD or a MH condition often do not seek treatment because of factors such as the stigma of having a SUD, worries about treatment costs, and the difficulty of locating a treatment provider (Medicaid and CHIP Payment and Access Commission (MACPAC) 2017; SAMHSA 2023). Beneficiaries are not getting treatment because it is not available, but rather, because they do not perceive any need for it. Since this BH Data Book relies on information provided by states for Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries treated for a SUD, a MH condition, or both, our methods are likely to underestimate the overall number of Medicaid beneficiaries with either or both conditions. Not all beneficiaries with these conditions seek and receive treatment, and we are unable to identify beneficiaries with these conditions who do not seek care.

²¹ To identify diagnoses for a MH condition, we included all ICD-10 F diagnosis codes (mental, behavioral, and neurodevelopmental disorders, excluding those related to SUDs); additional diagnosis codes in the DSM-V-TR related to neurocognitive disorders and sleep-wake disorders; and additional ICD-10 R, T, and X codes related to suicidal ideation or injury related to suicide or attempted suicide. We used the DSM-V-TR and the Chronic Conditions Data Warehouse's chronic conditions algorithms (<https://www2.ccwdata.org/web/guest/condition-categories-chronic>) to map diagnosis codes to condition categories.

²² Beneficiaries were treated for a MH condition with psychotic features or disturbances if they had at least one qualifying claim with a diagnosis code that indicated psychotic features or disturbances during the year. These codes included those for a psychotic disorder, psychosis, or specific conditions (dementia, bipolar disorders, or major depressive disorder) present with psychotic features.

²³ The disability eligibility category refers to people eligible for Medicaid on the basis of being blind or disabled and includes those receiving Supplemental Security Income benefits. For a full list of the Medicaid eligibility groups in each enrollment category, see Reference Table R.1 in the accompanying Excel file.

²⁴ To determine the type of plan for services provided through managed care, we used the methodology from the CMC Plan Encounters topic in *DQ Atlas* (CMC Plan Encounters - OT) to identify plans with active enrollees and determined the type of plan using the code for managed care plan type. The appendix describes our methodology in detail.

REFERENCES

- APA. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision*. Washington, DC: APA, 2022.
- CMS. *DQ Atlas*. n.d. <https://www.medicaid.gov/dq-atlas/>. Accessed March 2025.
- Jones, K.F., and D.J. Mason. “The False Dichotomy of Pain and Opioid Use Disorder.” *JAMA Health Forum*, vol. 3, no. 4, 2022, p. e221406. doi: 10.1001/jamahealthforum.2022.1406. Accessed May 4, 2023.
- Lagisetty, P., C. Garpestad, A. Larkin, C. Macleod, D. Antoku, S. Slat, J. Thomas, et al. “Identifying Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder: Validity of International Classification of Diseases Diagnostic Codes for Opioid Use, Dependence, and Abuse.” *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, vol. 221, April 1, 2021, p. 108583. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2021.108583. Accessed May 4, 2023.
- MACPAC. “Chapter 2: Medicaid and the Opioid Epidemic.” In *Report to Congress on Medicaid and CHIP*. Washington, DC: MACPAC, June 2017. <https://www.macpac.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/June-2017-Report-to-Congress-on-Medicaid-and-CHIP.pdf>. Accessed October 19, 2020.
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. “Key Substance Use and Mental Health Indicators in the United States: Results from the 2022 National Survey on Drug Use and Health.” HHS Publication No. PEP23-07-01-006, NSDUH Series H-58. Rockville, MD: Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, 2023. <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/reports/rpt42731/2022-nsduh-nnr.pdf>. Accessed March 19, 2025.

This page has been left blank for double-sided copying.

APPENDIX:

SUPPLEMENTARY TECHNICAL INFORMATION

This page has been left blank for double-sided copying.

Data source

Since 2015,²⁵ states have been required to submit enrollment and claims data into the national Medicaid and CHIP data system, known as the Transformed Medicaid Statistical Information System (T-MSIS). T-MSIS collects the same enrollment and claims data from all states in the same format on a monthly basis, organized by submission date.

The T-MSIS Analytic Files, or TAF, are built directly from the T-MSIS data files submitted monthly by states. The TAF are more appropriate than the T-MSIS source data for analytic tasks, as the volume and complexity of the source data and the frequency of updates make these data difficult to use for analysis. Most important, the TAF reorganize the raw enrollment data, claims, and managed care encounters by date of enrollment and date of service rather than submission date.²⁶ This reorganization helps users identify beneficiaries during a specific period, such as a calendar year, and align service provision with a beneficiary's enrollment in Medicaid.

To create the tables in this BH Data Book, we relied on the following files in the TAF:

Annual Demographic and Eligibility (DE) file: contains demographic, eligibility, and enrollment information for all Medicaid- or CHIP-eligible beneficiaries enrolled for at least one day during the calendar year.

Inpatient (IP) file: contains inpatient hospital institutional claims and encounters.

Long-term (LT) file: contains long-term care institutional claims and encounters, including claims from nursing facilities, intermediate care facilities that provide services for people with intellectual disabilities, MH facilities, and independent (freestanding) psychiatric wings of acute care hospitals.

Other services (OT) file: contains all claims and encounters for services not from an inpatient facility, long-term care facility, or pharmacy. These services include but are not limited to physician services; outpatient hospital services; dental care; other physician services, such as those provided by chiropractors, podiatrists, psychologists, and optometrists; clinic services; laboratory services; radiology services; home health care; and personal assistance services. The file also includes managed care capitation payments.

Pharmacy (RX) file: contains claims for drugs or other services provided by an outpatient pharmacy.

Excluded states and data quality issues

This analysis relied on the preliminary 2022 TAF enrollment and claims data. The TAF include the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands. This version of the TAF contains the same amount of claims run-out as the TAF versions used for previous

²⁵ States began transitioning their reporting to T-MSIS as early as 2011; all states and the District of Columbia completed the transition to T-MSIS by 2015. T-MSIS cutover dates for each state are available in the Resources section of *DQ Atlas*, under the Overview and Availability of the TAF Data section (CMS n.d.). See <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/data-and-systems/downloads/macbis/taf-rif-availability-chart.pdf>.

²⁶ More information on the creation of TAF from T-MSIS can be found at https://resdac.org/sites/datadocumentation.resdac.org/files/2021-01/9010_Production_of_TAF_RIF.pdf.

SUD Data Books. The run-out period is the time between the date of service and the time a fully adjudicated claim for that service appears in the data. The preliminary version of the 2022 TAF data generally has at least six months of run-out for each month of the year. Some claims, particularly those for complex services, take longer to appear in the data without additional adjustments and corrections being made; these types of claims, particularly when the service occurred toward the end of the calendar year, might not be fully represented in the data.

Although the preliminary TAF data are not fully complete because states continue to submit new claims and adjustments to existing claims after the preliminary RIF are released, the vast majority of records are received within six months of the end of the service date.²⁷ To provide more complete data, CMS also releases the final TAF RIF, which generally includes at least 12 months of run-out for every month of data included in the annual files. The final TAF RIF for 2022 was available at the time of our analysis, but we used the preliminary RIF so that we could use data with the same amount of run-out as prior SUD Data Books.

The TAF were designed to present a comprehensive, current, and reliable picture of Medicaid and CHIP across the United States. Several states had data quality issues that did not exclude them from the analysis but could affect the validity of the results. Reference Table R.3 in the accompanying Excel file lists these less-severe but still problematic data quality issues by state. We assessed four measures of data quality:

- 1. Number of Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries in the DE file.** To assess this number, we compared the TAF-based counts of Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries who had full or comprehensive benefits with the states' reports from the Eligibility and Enrollment Performance Indicator (PI) data set.²⁸ To examine enrollment information in the DE file, we compared monthly counts of Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries who had comprehensive benefits according to the 2022 DE file to monthly counts from the PI data. We evaluated the percentage difference between the TAF-based enrollment counts and the PI data, averaged across all 12 months. When the difference between these two data sets was greater than 20% and less than or equal to 50%, we had a high concern about the quality of the state's TAF data. States are considered to have unusable data when the difference between the TAF-based count and the benchmark was greater than 50%.
- 2. Percentage of claims records that do not match to a Medicaid or CHIP beneficiary in the DE file.** To assess this percentage, we calculated the percentage of FFS claims and records of managed care encounters that did not have an associated enrollment record during the month of service, along with the percentage of Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries who had a claim during the service month and no associated enrollment record. States in which more than 20% of service use records did not link to eligibility records during the month of service were deemed to be unusable data for this report. We had a high level of concern about state

²⁷ More information on run-out in the TAF and service completeness can be found at <https://www.medicaid.gov/dq-atlas/downloads/supplemental/3051-TAF-Data-Run-Out.pdf>.

²⁸ PI data represent enrollment in full or comprehensive benefits in Medicaid or CHIP by state at a single point in time during the enrollment period, whereas the TAF data represent all enrolled beneficiaries for at least one day during the month. More information is available at <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/program-information/medicaid-and-chip-enrollment-data/report-highlights/index.html>.

data in which between 10 and 20% of service use records did not link to eligibility records during the month of service.

3. **Volume of claims in three of the four claims files.** To assess this volume, we examined by state the number of claim headers, the number of claim lines, and the ratio of headers to lines compared with the national median. To examine unexpected claim volumes, we computed the total volume of header records, total volume of line records, and average number of lines per header. We compared these three measures to the national median for the IP, OT, and RX files. The LT file was excluded because we relied most heavily on the IP, OT, and RX files to identify Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries treated for a SUD or MH condition and the services they received. Also, wide variation in the volume of LT claims might be a result of differences between benefit packages in some states, rather than a data quality issue. States in which any of the three measures fell below 10% of the national median were deemed to have incomplete data unusable for analysis. We had a high level of concern about state data in which any measure was greater than or equal to 10% but less than 50%, or more than 200%, of the national median.
4. **Valid diagnosis codes.** To assess diagnosis codes for validity, we evaluated missingness in the diagnosis code field on claims in the IP and OT files. To examine the severity of data quality issues related to diagnosis code, we calculated the percentage of header records in the IP and OT claims files that had a valid *International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision* (ICD-10) diagnosis code in the field for the primary diagnosis code.²⁹ If a valid ICD-10 diagnosis code was not available, we calculated the percentage of records for which the field was missing, had an ICD-9 diagnosis code, or had another non-missing but invalid value.³⁰ States were categorized as of high concern if only 50 to 80% of their records had a valid ICD-10 primary diagnosis code. States in which less than 50% of the records had a valid ICD-10 primary diagnosis code were considered to have unusable data.

More information on these and other data quality measures is available in the *DQ Atlas* (CMS n.d.).³¹

²⁹ In the OT file, the assessment was limited to a subset of claims that include outpatient hospital services, physician services, or clinic services. More information on the methodology can be found at <https://www.medicaid.gov/dq-atlas/downloads/background-and-methods/TAF-DQ-Diagnosis-Cd-OT.pdf>.

³⁰ As of October 1, 2015, CMS no longer accepts ICD-9 diagnosis codes, but some states might still allow the use of select ICD-9 codes.

³¹ The *DQ Atlas* is available at <https://www.medicaid.gov/dq-atlas/welcome>.

Identifying beneficiaries with coverage for BH services

This BH Data Book includes people enrolled in Medicaid or CHIP for at least one day in 2022.³² The BH Data Book focuses on Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries eligible for full-scope or comprehensive benefits. We excluded Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries eligible for only partial (also called limited or restricted) benefits because they are often not eligible for BH treatment services.

Data quality note: Incomplete, inconsistent, or incorrect reporting of Medicaid or CHIP enrollment, restricted benefits, or age could result in an incorrect estimate of the number of beneficiaries in Medicaid or CHIP.

Identifying beneficiaries treated for a SUD

This BH Data Book includes people treated for a SUD who are ages 12 and older.³³ To be identified as being treated for a SUD, a beneficiary must have received SUD services. The counts of beneficiaries in this BH Data Book therefore represent a treated prevalence rate only; these counts underestimate the true rate of SUD prevalence because they exclude beneficiaries who might have had a SUD but did not receive care.

To identify beneficiaries treated for a SUD, we first identified claims related to SUD treatment³⁴ that had (1) any diagnosis code indicating a SUD³⁵ or (2) a relevant National Drug Code or procedure code. We then determined whether a beneficiary met the claim count criteria to be identified as being treated for a SUD. In general, beneficiaries met the criteria if they had (1) one qualifying inpatient claim³⁶ for SUD treatment, (2) two outpatient or long-term care claims for SUD treatment on different days, (3) one emergency department claim for SUD treatment, or (4) one pharmacy claim for MAT.³⁷

Data quality note: Missing or invalid diagnosis codes make it difficult to identify Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries with a SUD or any specific medical condition. In addition, a low volume of claims in the TAF can result in an underestimated number of Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries with a SUD.

³² This BH Data Book includes people eligible for Medicaid or CHIP coverage as well as those dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid or CHIP.

³³ This age group is consistent with the age range used in the National Survey on Drug Use and Health; please see <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/report/2021-methodological-summary-and-definitions>.

³⁴ The BH Data Book does not include lab or transportation claims because they can cause beneficiaries to be incorrectly identified as being treated for a SUD.

³⁵ Diagnosis codes indicating a SUD include codes for substance abuse, dependence, and use; codes for adverse effects and poisoning by substances; and a limited number of codes indicating physical harm as a result of a substance. We included claims with a SUD diagnosis code in any diagnosis code position on the claim.

³⁶ Inpatient and long-term care claims were identified based on the type of bill code, billing provider taxonomy code, place of service code, and revenue code. This approach applies the methodology used when assigning TAF records to the federally assigned service category (FASC): <https://www.medicaid.gov/dq-atlas/downloads/supplemental/5241-Federally-Assigned-Service-Category.pdf>. By using the FASC to categorize claims instead of using their source file, we were able to categorize inpatient and long-term services more accurately. For example, some states erroneously reported long-term care claims to the IP file and IP claims to the LT file. In addition, the consistency of service categorization across states allows for more accurate reporting of the count of beneficiaries with a SUD on a state-by-state basis, as well as the services they receive.

³⁷ Claims for methadone from the RX file were excluded from the method to identify beneficiaries with a SUD, to avoid counting beneficiaries using methadone for reasons other than to treat a SUD, such as for pain relief. Beneficiaries with claims for methadone in the RX file are only identified as being treated for a SUD if they met any of the other criteria for identifying beneficiaries treated for a SUD. Claims with National Drug Codes for methadone are included in the definition of MAT for the purpose of counting services for beneficiaries identified as being treated for a SUD.

Identifying beneficiaries treated for a MH condition

This BH Data Book includes people of all ages treated for a MH condition. To be identified as being treated for a MH condition, a beneficiary must have received MH services. As mentioned previously, the counts of beneficiaries presented in this BH Data Book represent a treated prevalence rate and underestimate the true prevalence, as they exclude beneficiaries who might have had a MH condition but did not receive care.

To identify beneficiaries treated for a MH condition, we first identified claims related to MH treatment³⁸ that had any diagnosis code indicating a MH condition.³⁹ We then determined whether the beneficiary met the claim count criteria to be identified as being treated for a MH condition. To meet this criteria, the beneficiary must have had (1) one qualifying inpatient claim for MH treatment, (2) two outpatient or long-term care claims for MH treatment on different days, or (3) one emergency department claim for MH treatment.

Among beneficiaries we identified as being treated for a MH condition, we also identified those treated for a MH condition with psychotic features or disturbances. Beneficiaries were identified as being treated for a MH condition with psychotic features or disturbances if they had at least one qualifying claim, in any setting, with a diagnosis code that indicated psychotic features or disturbances.⁴⁰

Identifying beneficiaries with co-occurring SUD and MH conditions

This BH Data Book includes people ages 12 and older treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions. To be identified as being treated for co-occurring SUD and MH conditions, a beneficiary must have received treatment for both a SUD and a MH condition during the year according to the criteria described in the previous two sections. Again, the counts of beneficiaries presented in this BH Data Book represent a treated prevalence rate and underestimate the true prevalence of co-occurring SUD and MH conditions, as they exclude beneficiaries who might have had co-occurring SUD and MH conditions but did not receive care.

³⁸ The BH Data Book does not include lab or transportation claims because they can cause beneficiaries to be incorrectly identified as being treated for a MH condition.

³⁹ MH condition categories include anxiety disorders, bipolar and related disorders, depressive disorders, disruptive, impulse-control, and conduct disorders, neurocognitive disorders, neurodevelopmental disorders, schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders, trauma- and stressor-related disorders, suicidal ideation, injury related to suicide or attempted suicide, and other mental health disorders (dissociative disorders, elimination disorders, feeding and eating disorders, obsessive-compulsive and related disorders, personality disorders, sleep-wake disorders, somatic symptom and related disorders, and unspecified or unknown MH conditions). We included claims with a MH diagnosis code in any diagnosis code position on the claim.

⁴⁰ Diagnosis codes that indicated a MH condition with psychotic features or disturbances were those for a psychotic disorder, psychosis, or specific conditions (dementia, bipolar disorders, or major depressive disorder) present with psychotic features.

Defining enrollment categories

We grouped Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries treated for a SUD or MH condition into eight enrollment categories: (1) non-expansion adults, (2) expansion adults, (3) pregnant, (4) disability, (5) children, (6) CHIP, (7) older adults (65+), and (8) unknown. These groups are based on eligibility group, age, and restricted-benefits code in the TAF.⁴¹ As required by the authorizing legislation for the BH Data Book, the reporting of these enrollment categories is largely consistent with those identified by

Data quality note: Missing eligibility information makes it impossible to group some beneficiaries into the major enrollment categories used for the BH Data Book. Conflicting information between the eligibility group and other Medicaid and CHIP enrollment information in the TAF, such as age, also affects the accuracy with which beneficiaries can be categorized.

MACPAC in its letter to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).⁴² Because some beneficiaries change eligibility groups during the year (as children become adults and as adults age into the aged group), each beneficiary's categorization was based on the group that accounted for the beneficiary's final enrolled month in 2022. Beneficiaries for whom the eligibility group code was missing or for whom age, eligibility group code, and restricted benefits code did not align with the categories were placed in the unknown category. We also created a breakdown of beneficiaries eligible for Medicaid on the basis of disability by age group. We identified beneficiaries as eligible for Medicaid on the basis of disability if they had an eligibility group code associated with disability for the final enrolled month in 2022.

Identifying types of settings for SUD and MH treatment

We grouped treatment services into five types of settings: inpatient, residential, outpatient, home, and community based. Inpatient services were identified using the type of bill, billing provider taxonomy, and place of service codes that map to an inpatient setting, and revenue codes for inpatient psychiatric services. Residential services were identified using the type of bill code and billing provider taxonomy codes that map to a residential setting. Because the OT file includes claims for services delivered in all settings, the logic for grouping those services by setting is more complex. For records from institutional settings in the OT file, we relied on the type of bill to classify the treatment setting or on revenue codes if the type of bill was missing. For professional claims in the OT file, we relied on the place of service to classify the treatment setting or on the procedure codes if the place of service code was missing. Home-based services were identified using type of bill

Data quality note: Missing place of service and type of bill codes make it impossible to determine the setting in which a service was delivered.

⁴¹ See Reference Table R.1 in the accompanying Excel file for more information on the eligibility groups that define each enrollment category.

⁴² In a March 21, 2019, letter to the HHS secretary, MACPAC defined several enrollment categories for stratifying the data: children, excluding those eligible on the basis of a disability; adults ages 19 to 64, excluding those eligible on the basis of a disability; adults eligible under section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII) of the Social Security Act as added in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (P.L. 111-148, as amended), pregnant women, children eligible on the basis of a disability, adults ages 19 to 64 who are eligible on the basis of a disability, and dually eligible beneficiaries. MACPAC recommended reporting on some special populations that cannot currently be supported by the data because the number of beneficiaries in the enrollment categories is too small or due to data quality issues. The special populations not included in this BH Data Book are children who qualify for Medicaid on the basis of child welfare assistance, dually eligible beneficiaries (Medicare and Medicaid) younger than 65, and older adolescents. In addition, the quality of the 2022 TAF data is not good enough to support the reporting of beneficiaries by state Medicaid plan or waiver authority. See <https://www.macpac.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/Letter-to-Secretary-Azar-on-Medicaid-Enrollment-Categories-per-the-SUPPORT-Act.pdf> for the full text of the letter to the HHS secretary.

and revenue codes that map to home health services, along with place of service codes for the home setting. For community-based services, we relied on procedure codes that map to community services. Outpatient services not already mapped to home or community-based services were categorized under the outpatient setting. By design, all services in the RX file are delivered in an outpatient (that is, pharmacy) setting.

Defining and counting SUD and MH treatment services

Some tables in this BH Data Book include counts of beneficiaries who received certain SUD and MH treatment services (Tables C.1, C.3, and C.5) and, for a subset of those services, a count of services provided by service type (Tables D.1, D.2, D.3, K.1, and L.1).⁴³ The services we do not present in Tables D.1–D.3, K.1, and L.1 are case management, community support, crisis intervention/stabilization, health and behavior intervention, medication management, other SUD/MH services, peer support, SUD pharmacotherapy, and withdrawal management. These services are not included in these tables because differences in billing practices across states impede our ability to accurately count the number of services received across states.

Data quality note: Missing, erroneous, or state-specific procedure codes and revenue center codes make it difficult to count services received by Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries with a SUD or MH condition.

The counts were constructed only for beneficiaries who received these services. We counted claims for some services, whereas for others we counted the number of days. We used this method to account for variation in billing practices across both states and service types. To identify the SUD and MH treatment services, we relied on National Drug Codes, procedure codes, and revenue codes, and on diagnosis codes to identify them as being for a SUD or MH condition. Reference Table R.2 in the accompanying Excel file lists each service type and how each was counted.

Defining and counting SUD and MH follow-up care

Some tables in this BH Data Book include assessments of follow-up SUD and MH treatment services within a specified time after the provision of an initial treatment service (or index service; Tables F.1, G.1–G.3, H.1–H.3, K.1, and L.1). Similarly, some tables include assessments of beneficiaries who filled a prescription for, were administered, or were dispensed MH medication following an index service prior to an inpatient readmission or emergency department service (Tables M.1–M.4). When identifying index services, we limited the time period to that which would enable us to identify any follow-up services received within 2022. For tables that required follow-up within 30 days (Tables F.1, G.1–G.3, K.1, L.1, M.1, and M.2), we limited the index services to those provided from January 1, 2022, to December 1, 2022. For tables that required follow-up within seven days (Tables H.1–H.3, M.3, and M.4), we limited the index services to those provided from January 1, 2022, to December 24, 2022. For tables that assess follow-up care after discharge from an inpatient or residential setting (Tables G.1–G.3, H.1–H.3, M.1–M.4), we grouped claims related to the same index service for a beneficiary (a

⁴³ We did not count claims with missing dates of service, diagnosis codes, or procedure codes in the D tables or in the number of services provided following an assessment in Tables K.1 and L.1. The services in those tables are for enrollees being treated for a SUD or a MH condition; if the claim did not have a SUD or a MH condition diagnosis code or a National Drug Code for a medication to treat a SUD or MH condition, we did not count the service.

distinct inpatient or residential stay) based on overlapping dates of service among claims from the same setting type.

Tables G.1–G.3 and H.1–H.3 stratify the number of beneficiaries who had an inpatient readmission or emergency service following an index service by the type of facility in which the index service occurred. We identified the type of facility on each claim using the federally assigned service category (IP and LT claims), place of service code (OT claims), or billing provider taxonomy code (IP, LT, and OT claims). Reference Table R.4 in the accompanying Excel file lists the specific values used to define each facility type.

In all tables that report follow-up care, we included counts of beneficiaries who received follow-up services during the specified period. In Tables M.1 through M.4, for MAT (Tables M.1 and M.3), and for each class of MH medication (Tables M.2 and M.4), we also included the average number of days dispensed between the index service and readmission or emergency department service *for each follow-up care episode* in which medication was dispensed. Tables M.2 and M.4 also include the average number of MH medication classes dispensed for *each follow-up care episode*. We used the number of follow-up care episodes as the denominator for those averages to provide a more interpretable estimate of medication use between a single index service and readmission or emergency department service, regardless of whether a beneficiary had multiple follow-up care episodes in the year.

Identifying the care delivery system

The BH Data Book reports on the number and percentage of beneficiaries receiving SUD or MH treatment services by the two major delivery systems that state Medicaid programs use: managed care or FFS (Table E.1).⁴⁴ Beneficiaries were counted as receiving SUD or MH treatment services through a managed care entity if they (1) had one or more managed care encounter records for SUD or MH treatment or (2) had a claim for SUD or MH treatment associated with a managed care plan identification number. Beneficiaries were counted as receiving SUD or MH treatment services through FFS if they had a FFS claim for SUD or MH treatment not associated with a managed care plan identification number. The BH Data Book also reports the number and percentage of beneficiaries receiving SUD or MH services through managed care, by plan type. To identify the plan type, we first identified plans with at least one enrolled beneficiary in at least one month in 2022. Among these plans, we determined the type of managed care plan using the managed care plan identification number and managed care plan type code.

Data quality note: If a large percentage of claims that could not be linked to enrollment information disproportionately represent a particular claim type (such as managed care encounters), it could skew the estimates of the distribution of services across FFS and managed care.

⁴⁴ Beneficiaries could be counted as having services delivered through both managed care and FFS if they had separate claims that met each criterion.

The following types of managed care plans are included:

- Comprehensive managed care (CMC): Comprehensive managed care organization, Health Insuring Organization, Integrated care for dually eligible beneficiaries
- Primary care case management (PCCM): Traditional PCCM Provider arrangement, Enhanced PCCM Provider arrangement
- Prepaid inpatient health plans (PIHP): Mental Health PIHP, SUD PIHP, MH and SUD PIHP, LTSS PIHP, LTSS and MH PIHP, Medical-only PIHP (non-comprehensive, with inpatient hospital or institutional services)
- Prepaid ambulatory health plans (PAHP): Mental Health PAHP, SUD PAHP, MH and SUD PAHP, Dental PAHP, Transportation PAHP, Medical-only PAHP (non-comprehensive, with inpatient hospital or institutional services), Disease management PAHP, Pharmacy PAHP
- Other delivery systems or plan types: Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly, Accountable Care Organization, Health/medical home, Other.
- Unknown: plans missing managed care plan type code or that did not have any enrolled beneficiaries

Identifying assessments of SUD- and MH-related need

Unlike the other tables in this BH Data Book, Tables I.1 & J.1 identify assessment services received by all Medicaid and CHIP beneficiaries with coverage for BH services, not just those identified as receiving treatment for a SUD or MH condition. To identify assessments, we used Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) and Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) procedure codes. Many assessments are not billed on administrative claims, and therefore measures of assessments using CPT and HCPCS codes will likely underestimate the actual delivery of assessments.⁴⁵ We classified assessments as either assessments specifically to identify a MH-related need, a SUD-related need, or BH-related need more broadly (for example, a family assessment by a licensed BH professional) using the CPT or HCPCS code.⁴⁶ We further classified BH assessment claims as SUD or MH assessments if the claim included a SUD or MH diagnosis code, respectively. We included BH assessment claims regardless of whether they include a SUD or MH diagnosis code to capture all potential BH assessments.

Because many BH assessments codes are not specific to SUD or MH, Tables K.1 and L.1 do not represent all beneficiaries who received any BH-related assessments during 2022: Table K.1 includes services for beneficiaries who received only MH-specific assessments, and Table L.1 includes services for beneficiaries who received only SUD-specific assessments.

⁴⁵ Many BH assessments are captured in electronic health records rather than in claims data. For more information, refer to <https://wpcdn.ncqa.org/www-prod/wp-content/uploads/NCQA-BehavioralHealthCareIntegration-Whitepaper-WEB.pdf>.

⁴⁶ Tables D.1, D.3, and D.5 include these three types of assessments as well as assessments without BH-related procedure codes that include a SUD or a MH condition diagnosis code. We did not include these non-BH-specific assessments in Tables I.1 & J.1 because they can be identified as being provided for a SUD or a MH condition only when the claim contains a diagnosis code.