

SMD# 16-003

RE: Availability of HITECH Administrative Matching Funds to Help Professionals and Hospitals Eligible for Medicaid EHR Incentive Payments Connect to Other Medicaid Providers

February 29, 2016

Dear State Medicaid Director:

This letter updates guidance issued by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) about the availability of federal funding at the 90 percent matching rate for state expenditures on activities to promote health information exchange (HIE) and encourage the adoption of certified Electronic Health Record (EHR) technology by certain Medicaid providers. CMS previously issued guidance on this topic in State Medicaid Director (SMD) Letter #10-016 (August 17, 2010)¹, SMD Letter #11-004 (May 18, 2011)², and a 2013 guidance document, "CMS Answers to Frequently Asked Questions (9/10/2013)" (2013 guidance).

This updated guidance expands the scope of State expenditures eligible for the 90 percent matching rate, and supports the goals of, "Connecting Health and Care for the Nation: A Shared Nationwide Interoperability Roadmap Version 1.0,"³ published by the Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the National Coordinator (ONC) for Health Information Technology, on October 6, 2015. In this letter, we are expanding our interpretation of the scope of State expenditures eligible for the 90 percent HITECH match, given the greater importance of coordination of care across providers and transitions of care in Meaningful Use modified Stage 2 and Stage 3. This letter supersedes the 2013 guidance but many of the principles of that guidance, as indicated in this letter, remain valid. We intend to issue updated, detailed guidance that integrates those principles with the interpretive changes set forth in this letter.

The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act, enacted as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Pub. L. 111-5, added sections 1903(a)(3)(F) and 1903(t) to the Social Security Act. These provisions make available to States 100 percent Federal matching funding for incentive payments to eligible Medicaid providers to encourage the adoption and use of certified EHR technology through 2021, and 90 percent Federal matching funding (the 90 percent HITECH match) for State administrative expenses related to the program, including State administrative expenses related to pursuing initiatives to encourage the adoption of certified EHR technology to promote health care quality and the exchange of health care information, subject to CMS approval. CMS has implemented these

¹ Available at <u>http://downloads.cms.gov/cmsgov/archived-downloads/SMDL/downloads/SMD10016.pdf</u>

² Available at <u>https://www.medicaid.gov/Federal-Policy-Guidance/downloads/SMD11004.pdf</u>

³ Available at <u>https://www.healthit.gov/sites/default/files/hie-interoperability/nationwide-interoperability-roadmap-final-version-1.0.pdf</u>

provisions in regulations at 42 CFR Part 495. When attesting to Meaningful Use modified Stage 2 or Stage 3, professionals and hospitals that are eligible for Medicaid EHR Incentive Payments (collectively referred to in this document as Eligible Providers) must demonstrate the ability to electronically coordinate with other providers across care settings under the CMS regulations at 42 CFR Part 495. In order to meet these Meaningful Use objectives, Eligible Providers will often need to electronically coordinate care with other Medicaid providers that are not eligible for Medicaid EHR incentive payments.

SMD Letters #10-016 and #11-004 explained that state costs related to HIE promotion may be matched at the 90 percent HITECH matching rate only if they can be directly correlated to the Medicaid EHR Incentive Program. In the 2013 guidance, we therefore explained that States' costs of facilitating connections for providers to an HIE may be matched at the 90 percent HITECH matching rate only if the providers are Eligible Providers. We now explain that State costs of facilitating connections between Eligible Providers and other Medicaid providers (for example, through an HIE or other interoperable systems), or costs of other activities that promote other Medicaid providers' use of EHR and HIE, can also be matched at the 90 percent HITECH matching rate, but only if State expenditures on these activities help Eligible Providers meet the Meaningful Use objectives. Subject to CMS prior approval, States may thus be able to claim 90 percent HITECH match for expenditures related to connecting Eligible Providers to other Medicaid providers, including behavioral health providers, substance abuse treatment providers, long-term care providers (including nursing facilities), home health providers, pharmacies, laboratories, correctional health providers, emergency medical service providers, public health providers, including community-based Medicaid providers.

For example, an Eligible Provider might be a physician needing to meet the modified Stage 2 or Stage 3 Meaningful Use objective for health information exchange (*see* 42 CFR 495.22(e)(5)(i) or 495.24(d)(7)(i)(A)) when transitioning patients to another Medicaid provider such as a nursing facility, or a home health care provider. Or an eligible hospital might need to meet the objective for Medication Reconciliation and compare records with other providers to confirm that the information it has on patients' medication is accurate when it admits patients into its care (*see* 42 CFR 495.22(e)(7)(i) or 495.24(d)(7)(ii)(B)(3)(i)). Subject to CMS approval, States can claim 90 percent HITECH match in the costs of developing connectivity between Eligible Providers (whether eligible professionals or eligible hospitals) and other Medicaid providers if this will help the Eligible Providers demonstrate Meaningful Use.

CMS explicitly encourages and welcomes multistate collaboratives partnering on shared solutions for HIE and interoperability, including for the activities discussed in this letter (facilitation of EHR Meaningful Use and related communications through the HIE system). CMS will aggressively support such collaboratives as potentially cost-saving opportunities to increase adoption of interoperability standards and help Eligible Providers demonstrate Meaningful Use. Such collaboratives should promote Medicaid Information Technology Architecture (MITA) principles on scalability, reusability, modularity, and interoperability. We note that ONC is a willing partner in helping States develop open source and open architecture tools for HIE that are consistent with MITA principles.

Cost controls, cost allocations, and other payers

States must ensure that any 90 percent HITECH match claimed under the guidance in this letter supports Eligible Providers' demonstration of Meaningful Use modified Stage 2 and Stage 3, and must therefore report on the extent to which the activities they are funding help Eligible Providers demonstrate Meaningful Use. CMS will require States to describe in advance which specific Meaningful Use measures they intend to support in the Implementation Advance Planning Document (IAPD) as well as to confirm such measures are indeed supported post-implementation. Under no circumstances may States claim 90 percent HITECH match in the costs of actually providing EHR technology to providers or supplementing the functionality of provider EHR systems. This funding is available, subject to CMS approval, as of the date of this letter, and will not be available retroactively.

Additionally, States should claim the 90 percent HITECH match for HIE-related costs relating to Medicaid providers that are not eligible for Medicaid EHR incentive payments only if those HIE-related costs help Eligible Providers demonstrate Meaningful Use. For example, it would not be appropriate for States to claim the 90 percent HITECH match for costs related to an HIE system that did not connect to or include Eligible Providers and therefore would not help Eligible Providers demonstrate Meaningful Use.

States should continue to adhere to the guidance in SMD Letter #11-004 detailing how Medicaid funding should be part of an overall financial plan that leverages multiple public and private funding sources to develop HIEs. Similarly, States are reminded that per SMD Letter #11-004, the 90 percent HITECH match cannot be used for ongoing operations and maintenance costs. This updated guidance makes no changes to the general cost allocation principles and fair share principles States should follow in proposing funding models to CMS for HIEs or interoperable systems, although under this updated guidance, the Medicaid portion of such cost allocations may increase to include costs associated with connecting Eligible Providers to other Medicaid providers. CMS has approved several different cost allocation methodologies for States and those various methodologies will be affected differently by this guidance. CMS will provide technical assistance on the impact of this guidance on specific States. Similarly, States should continue to complete and update the "Health Information Technology Implementation Advance Planning Document (HIT IAPD) Template⁴," developed by CMS and the Office of Management and Budget, in which States detail cost allocation models and other financial considerations. States should meet with CMS to review cost allocation models that carefully consider the extent to which the HIE or other interoperable system benefits Eligible Providers, other Medicaid providers, non-Medicaid providers, and other payers.

Medicaid Information Technology Architecture (MITA) emphasizes the importance of interoperability and industry standards. States should take an aggressive approach to HIE and interoperability governance for purposes of supporting interoperability while focusing on security and standards to keep interface costs to a minimum. The CMS final rule published on December 4, 2015, "Mechanized Claims Processing & Information Retrieval Systems (90/10)"

⁴ https://www.cms.gov/regulations-and-

guidance/legislation/ehrincentiveprograms/downloads/medicaid_hit_iapd_template.pdf

requires in 42 CFR 433.112 a new focus on industry standards in MITA that support more efficient, standards-based information exchange as described in 45 CFR Part 170. Specifically, 45 CFR Part 170 defines the Common Clinical Data Set, transport standards, functional standards, content exchange standards and implementation specifications for exchanging electronic health information, and vocabulary standards for representing electronic health information. In implementing these standards, we encourage States to develop partnerships with non-profit collaboratives and other industry participants such as DirectTrust that further support Direct Secure Messaging through trust frameworks that reduce the costs and technical complexities of electronic health information exchange for providers.

The interoperable systems described in this letter are part of the MITA and interfaces to these systems should appropriately follow a Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) as well as adhere to industry standards. States should aggressively pursue HIE and interoperability solutions for Medicaid providers that either obviate the need for costly interfaces, or utilize open architecture solutions that make such interfaces easily acquired. For example, consistent with the software ownership rights held by the state under 45 CFR § 95.617, States might require that HIE interfaces designed, developed, or installed with Federal financial participation be made available at reduced or no cost to other Medicaid providers (or the code for such interfaces) be made publicly available. Additionally, CMS and ONC support States in sharing open source tools and interfaces with other States to further drive down the costs of HIEs, interfaces, and other interoperable systems.

States are also reminded that careful alignment and coordination with other funding sources should be thoroughly discussed with CMS and addressed in an Implementation Advance Planning Document Update (IAPD-U), specifically Appendix D. States continue to be encouraged to consult with CMS in advance of formal State Medicaid HIT Plan (SMHP) and IAPD submissions to obtain technical assistance regarding the funding options and boundaries outlined in this and the previous SMD Letters, and additional technical assistance will be provided when we release an update to the 2013 guidance that reflects the new criteria for the 90 percent HITECH match described here. States should reach out to their CMS regional office's Medicaid HIT staff lead as the initial point of contact.

Below are some examples of the types of state costs for which 90 percent HITECH match might be available, subject to CMS approval.

Federal Financial Participation (FFP) for On-boarding Medicaid providers to HIEs or interoperable systems

On-boarding is the technical and administrative process by which a provider joins an HIE or interoperable system and secure communications are established and all appropriate Business Associate Agreements, contracts and consents are put in place. State activities related to on-boarding might include the HIE's activities involved in connecting a provider to the HIE so that the provider is able to successfully exchange data and use the HIE's services. The 90 percent HITECH match is available to cover a state's reasonable costs (e.g., interfaces and testing) to on-board providers to an HIE. Subject to the parameters and cost controls described above, States

may claim 90 percent HITECH match for state costs of supporting the initial on-boarding of Medicaid providers onto an HIE, or onto any interoperable system that connects Eligible Providers to other Medicaid providers. Costs can be claimed both if they are incurred by the state to support the initial on-boarding of Eligible Providers and if they are incurred by the state to support the on-boarding of other Medicaid providers, provided that connecting the other Medicaid providers helps Eligible Providers demonstrate, and meet requirements for, Meaningful Use. States should coordinate with CMS on defining benchmarks and targets for on-boarding providers. States are reminded that, consistent with the principles described in both SMD Letter #10-016 and SMD Letter #11-004, the 90 percent HITECH match is for implementation only, and States should work with CMS on establishing an endpoint to onboarding and always ensure costs are allocated as appropriate across other payers. Also, the scope of the onboarding should be clearly defined and reviewed with CMS prior to IAPD submission to ensure that any costs claimed help Eligible Providers meet Meaningful Use and to ensure that HIE-related costs benefiting providers that are not eligible for Medicaid EHR incentive payments are claimed only if these costs help Eligible Providers demonstrate Meaningful Use. States should generally refer to SMD Letters #10-016 and #11-004 for other information about allowable onboarding costs.

Pharmacies: Similarly, subject to the parameters and cost controls described above, States may claim the 90 percent HITECH match for the costs of supporting the initial on-boarding of pharmacies to HIEs or other interoperable systems, if on-boarding the pharmacies helps Eligible Providers meet Meaningful Use objectives, such as the objectives around sending electronic prescriptions or the objectives around conducting medication reconciliations, both described in 42 CFR 495.22 and 495.24.

Clinical Laboratories: Subject to the parameters and cost controls described above, States may also claim 90 percent HITECH match for the costs of supporting the initial on-boarding of clinical laboratories to HIEs or interoperable systems, if on-boarding these laboratories helps Eligible Providers meet Meaningful Use objectives, such as the objectives for Electronic Reportable Lab Results or laboratory orders in Computerized Provider Order Entry (CPOE) described in 42 CFR 495.22 and 495.24.

Public Health Providers: Similarly, subject to the parameters and cost controls described above, States may also claim 90 percent HITECH match for the costs of on-boarding Medicaid public health providers to interoperable systems and HIEs connected to Eligible Providers so that Eligible Providers are able to meet Meaningful Use measures focused on public health reporting and the exchange of public health data, including activities such as validation and testing for reporting of public health measures described in 42 CFR 495.22 and 495.24.

FFP for interoperability and HIE architecture

As with expenses for on-boarding, States may claim 90 percent HITECH match for their costs of connecting Eligible Providers to other Medicaid providers via HIEs or other interoperable systems, if doing so helps Eligible Providers demonstrate Meaningful Use and the cost controls described above are met.

Specifically, 90 percent HITECH match would be available for States' costs related to the design, development, and implementation of infrastructure for several HIE components and interoperable systems that most directly support Eligible Providers in coordinating care with other Medicaid providers in order to demonstrate Meaningful Use. As described in SMD Letter #11-004, the 90 percent HITECH match cannot be used for ongoing operations and maintenance costs after this technology is established and functional. These components and systems include:

Provider Directories: States may claim the 90 percent HITECH match for costs related to the design, development, and implementation of provider directories that allow for the exchange of secure messages and structured data to coordinate care or calculate clinical quality measures between Eligible Providers and other Medicaid providers, so long as these costs help Eligible Providers meet Meaningful Use and the cost controls described above are met. The 90 percent HITECH match would not be appropriate for costs of developing a separate subdirectory for a class of providers that are not eligible for Medicaid EHR incentive payments and that are unlikely ever to exchange records with an Eligible Provider. CMS emphasizes the importance of dynamic provider directories with, as appropriate, bidirectional communications to public health agencies and public health registries. CMS particularly supports approaches to provider directories that provide solutions for Eligible Providers to connect to other Medicaid providers with lower EHR adoption rates, if doing so helps the Eligible Providers demonstrate Meaningful Use. Secure, web-based provider directories, for example, might help Eligible Providers coordinate care more effectively with long term care providers, behavioral health providers, substance abuse providers, etc. CMS expects that States will consider provider directories as a Medicaid enterprise asset that can also support Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) functionality, with the reminder that, per SMD Letter #10-016, States should not claim 90 percent HITECH match for costs that could otherwise be matched with MMIS matching funds.

Secure Electronic Messaging: States may claim the 90 percent HITECH match for costs related to the design, development, and implementation of secure messaging solutions that connect Eligible Providers to other Medicaid providers and allow for the exchange of secure messages and structured data, so long as these costs help Eligible Providers meet Meaningful Use and the cost controls described above are met. States are encouraged to utilize Direct Secure Messaging as a transport standard that is secure and scalable. States should refer to the "Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Electronic Health Record Incentive Program – Stage 3 and Modifications to Meaningful Use in 2015 Through 2017" rule for guidance on meeting the Certified Electronic Health Record Technology (CEHRT) requirements for purposes of Meaningful Use⁵. States may also refer to ONC's 2016 Interoperability Standards Advisory (ISA), a publication that provides the identification, assessment, and determination of the "best available" interoperability standards and implementation specifications for industry use to fulfill specific clinical health IT interoperability in the most secure and efficient manner possible. ONC is a willing partner with CMS in helping States deploy Direct Secure Messaging systems and developing

 $^{^{5}\} https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2015/10/16/2015-25595/medicare-and-medicaid-programs-electronic-health-record-incentive-program-stage-3-and-modifications$

⁶ https://www.healthit.gov/sites/default/files/2016-interoperability-standards-advisory-final-508.pdf

related governance requirements to ensure that Eligible Providers can connect to other Medicaid providers.

Query Exchange: States may claim the 90 percent HITECH match for costs related to the design, development, and implementation of query-based health information exchange, so long as these costs help Eligible Providers meet Meaningful Use, and the cost controls described above are met. States may support coordination of care between Eligible Providers and other Medicaid providers by linking them into a query-based HIE that allows for secure, standards-based information exchange with thorough identity management protocols. A Query Exchange might access a state's Clinical Data Warehouse and similarly be integrated with analytic and reporting functions. These activities may support aggregate queries from providers to support population health activities performed by public health or other entities involved in population health improvement, provided that doing so helps Eligible Providers meet Meaningful Use. Given the unique data and exchange governance challenges of Query Exchange, States are encouraged to reach out to ONC to help formulate governance guidance and best practices.

Care Plan Exchange: States may claim the 90 percent HITECH match for costs related to the design, development, and implementation of interoperable systems and HIEs that facilitate the exchange of electronic care plans between Eligible Providers and other Medicaid providers, so long as these costs help Eligible Providers meet Meaningful Use, and the cost controls described above are met. Medicaid providers coordinating care across multiple care settings may exchange care plans containing treatment plans and goals, as well as problem lists, medication history and other clinical and non-clinical content added and updated as appropriate by members of a patient's care team, including Medicaid social service providers. States are encouraged to consider care plan exchange for patients with multiple chronic conditions who might be coordinating care between many specialists, hospital(s), long term care facilities, rehabilitation centers, home health care providers, or other Medicaid community-based providers. Similarly, children in the foster care system might benefit from care plans shared across Medicaid providers (including Eligible Providers) to facilitate coordination of the children's care. As discussed above, costs related to exchanging care plans between Medicaid providers and other programs, such as foster care programs, may need to be allocated between benefitting programs.

Encounter Alerting: States may claim the 90 percent HITECH match for costs related to the design, development, and implementation of communications within an HIE or interoperable system connecting Eligible Providers and other Medicaid providers about the admission, discharge or transfer of Medicaid patients, so long as these costs help Eligible Providers meet Meaningful Use, and the cost controls described above are met. These communications among Medicaid providers may contain structured data regarding treatment plans, medication history, drug allergies, or other secure content that aids in the coordination of patient care, including coordination of social services as appropriate.

Public Health Systems: States may claim the 90 percent HITECH match for costs related to the design, development, and implementation of public health systems and connections to public health systems, so long as the cost controls described above are met, and so long as these costs help Eligible Providers meet Meaningful Use measures focused on public health reporting and the exchange of public health data described in 42 CFR 495.22 and 495.24. It is worth

emphasizing that state costs eligible for the 90 percent HITECH match might include costs related to developing registry and system architecture for Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs (PDMPs), as per FAQ #13413⁷ PDMPs can be considered a specialized registry to which Eligible Providers may submit data in order to meet Meaningful Use objectives. States should, however, keep in mind that MMIS matching funds might in some circumstances be a more appropriate source of federal funding for costs related to developing a PDMP. Again, States should not claim 90 percent HITECH match for costs that could otherwise be matched with MMIS matching funds.

Health Information Services Provider (HISP) Services: States may claim the 90 percent HITECH match for costs related to the design, development, and implementation of HISP Services that coordinate the technical and administrative work of connecting Eligible Providers to other Medicaid providers, so long as these costs help Eligible Providers meet Meaningful Use, and the cost controls described above are met. HISP Services may coordinate encryption standards across providers, as well as coordinate contracts, Business Associate Agreements or other consents deemed appropriate for the HIEs or interoperable systems. States should be careful to distinguish between on-boarding services and HISP Services, as the scope of HISP activities overlaps with the scope of on-boarding activities, and the state should confirm that activities are only supported with federal funding once. States should clearly define the scope of HISP activities as appropriate.

This is not an exhaustive list of the types of state costs for design, development, and implementation of HIE components and interoperable systems for which 90 percent HITECH match might be claimed. Design, development, and implementation costs associated with other HIE components and interoperable systems might be supported by the 90 percent HITECH match as long as these costs help Eligible Providers achieve Meaningful Use and meet the cost controls described above, and will be considered by CMS accordingly.

Under this updated guidance, States remain able, subject to CMS approval, to claim 90 percent HITECH match for design, development, and implementation costs related to personal health records (PHRs), as utilizing a PHR through an HIE will often be the best way for many Eligible Providers to meet the Meaningful Use modified stage 2 Patient Electronic Access objective (*see* 42 CFR 495.22(e)(8)) and/or the Meaningful Use stage 3 Coordination of Care Through Patient Engagement objective (*see* 42 CFR 495.24(d)(6)). The parameters for HITECH administrative funding discussed in SMD Letters #10-016 and #11-004 continue to be relevant to PHR funding requests from States.

Conclusion

With more States utilizing or exploring the possibilities of vehicles for delivery system reform that benefit from coordination of care, such as health homes, primary care case management, managed care, home and community-based service programs, and performance-based incentive payment structures, there is an expectation that the Medicaid Enterprise infrastructure will be designed to support these efforts. These efforts therefore support the MITA principles of

⁷ https://questions.cms.gov/faq.php?faqId=13413

reusability, interoperability, and care management in providing a foundation for further delivery system reform.

As States enter the fifth year of the Medicaid EHR Incentive Program, CMS and ONC expect them to leverage available federal funding for tools and guidance to help Eligible Providers demonstrate Meaningful Use, which might include strengthening data exchange between Eligible Providers and other Medicaid providers. States may have questions about the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) considerations applicable to creating more diverse HIEs and interoperable systems, so we have included links to guidance from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights and the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology describing uses and disclosures that are permitted under HIPAA⁸. Note that the discussion in the linked guidance only concerns the uses and disclosures that are permitted under HIPAA, and does not address when state costs related to the discussed activities would be eligible for the 90 percent HITECH match. This next phase of infrastructure development and connectivity will best position all Eligible Providers to successfully demonstrate Meaningful Use of Certified EHR Technology while solidifying a broader network of health information exchange among Medicaid providers, writ large.

Sincerely,

/s/

Vikki Wachino Director

Enclosure

cc:

National Association of Medicaid Directors National Academy for State Health Policy National Governors Association American Public Human Services Association Association of State Territorial Health Officials Council of State Governments National Conference of State Legislatures

⁸ <u>https://www.healthit.gov/sites/default/files/exchange_health_care_ops.pdf</u> and <u>https://www.healthit.gov/sites/default/files/exchange_treatment.pdf</u>