Table of Contents

State/Territory Name: KS

State Plan Amendment (SPA) #: 15-0009

This file contains the following documents in the order listed:

- 1) Approval Letter
- 2) Summary Form (with 179-like data)
- 3) Approved SPA Pages

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services 601 East 12th Street, Suite 355 Kansas City, Missouri 64106



Division of Medicaid and Children's Health Operations

March 17, 2016

Mike Randol, Director Kansas Department of Health and Environment 900 SW Jackson, Room 900N Topeka, KS 66612

Dear Mr. Randol:

On December 30, 2015, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services received Kansas state plan amendment (SPA) transmittal submitted SPA# 15-009. This SPA provides for the implementation of an Asset Verification System for purposes of determining Medicaid eligibility for aged, blind, and disabled Medicaid applicant and recipients as required under 1940 of the Social Security Act.

SPA 15-009 was approved on March 10, 2016 with an effective date of September 1, 2016, as requested. Enclosed is a copy of the CMS-179 summary form, as well as the approved SPA pages for incorporation into the Kansas State Plan. Also submitted as supporting material for this SPA was a timeline for implementation of the system.

If you have any questions regarding this amendment, please contact Karen Hatcher or Barbara Cotterman at 816-426-5925.

Sincerely,

//s//

James G. Scott Associate Regional Administrator for Medicaid and Children's Health Operations

cc: Bobbie Graff-Hendrixson Kimberly Tjelmeland

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES		FORM APPROVED OMB No 0938-0193
TRANSMITTAL AND NOTICE OF APPROVAL OF STATE PLAN MATERIAL FOR: CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES	1. TRANSMITTAL NUMBER: <u>KS 15-009</u> 3. PROGRAM IDENTIFICATION: TITLE)	2. STATE Kansas
	SOCIAL SECURITY ACT (MEDICAID)	
TO: REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	4. PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE September 1, 2016	
5. TYPE OF PLAN MATERIAL (Check One)		
NEW STATE PLAN AMENDMENT TO BE CONSIL	—	NDMENT
COMPLETE BLOCKS 6 THRU 10 IF THIS IS AN AME		ndment)
6. FEDERAL STATUTE/REGULATION CITATION	7. FEDERAL BUDGET IMPACT	
Section 1940(a) of Social Security Act	a. FFY 2016 \$0 b. FFY 2017 \$0*	
8. PAGE NUMBER OF THE PLAN SECTION OR ATTACHMENT	9. PAGE NUMBER OF THE SUPERSEDE OR ATTACHMENT (If Applicable)	D PLAN SECTION
Supplement 16 to Attachment 2.6-A, Page 1, 2 & 3	None	
10. SUBJECT OF AMENDMENT		
Asset Verification System		
11. GOVERNOR'S REVIEW (Check One)		
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE REPORTED NO COMMENT COMMENTS OF GOVERNOR'S OFFICE ENCLOSED	X OTHER, AS SPECIFIED: Michal Randol is the	
□ COMMENTS OF GOVERNOR'S OFFICE ENCLOSED □ NO REPLY RECEIVED WITHIN 45 DAYS OF SUBMITTAL		
NO REPLI RECEIVED WITHIN 45 DATS OF SUBMITTAL	Governor's Designee	
12. SIGNATURE OF STATE AGENCY OFFICIAL	16. RETURN TO	
	Michael Randol, Director	
	KDHE, Division of Health Care Finance	e
	Landon State Office Building 900 SW Jackson, Room 900-N	
13. TYPED NAME	Topeka, KS 66612-1220	
for Michael Randol	торска, ко 00012-1220	
14. TITLE	1	
Director, Division of Health Care Finance		
15. DATE SUBMITTED December 30, 2015		
FOR REGIONAL OF	I FICE USE ONLY	
17. DATE RECEIVED	18. DATE APPROVED	
December 30, 2015	March 10, 2016	
PLAN APPROVED – ON	-	
19. EFFECTIVE DATE OF APPROVED MATERIAL September 1, 2016	20. SIGNATURE OF REGIONAL OFFICL //s//	
21. TYPED NAME	22. TITLE Associate Regional Administrato	r for Medicaid and
James G. Scott	Children's Health Operations	
23. REMARKS		

*The state will be submitting an APD for this requirement pending the results of the Asset Verification RFP responses.

*Per March 15, 2016 state request, pen and Ink changes made to box 6.

Revision:

SUPPLEMENT 16 TO ATTACHMENT 2.6-A Page 1

STATE PLAN UNDER TITLE XIX OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

State: Kansas

ASSET VERIFICATION SYSTEM

1940(a)	1.	The agency will provide for the verification of assets for purposes of
of the Act		determining or redetermining Medicaid eligibility for aged, blind and
		disabled Medicaid applicants and recipients using an Asset Verification
		System (AVS) that meets the following minimum requirements.

- A. The request and response system must be electronic:
 - (1) Verification inquiries must be sent electronically via the internet or similar means from the agency to the financial institution (FI).
 - (2) The system cannot be based on mailing paper-based requests.
 - (3) The system must have the capability to accept responses electronically.
- B. The system must be secure, based on a recognized industry standard of security (e.g., as defined by the U.S. Commerce Department's National Institute of Standards and Technology, or NIST).
- C. The system must establish and maintain a database of FIs that participate in the agency's AVS.
- D. Verification requests also must be sent to FIs other than those identified by applicants and recipients, based on some logic such as geographic proximity to the applicant's home address, or other reasonable factors whenever the agency determines that such requests are needed to determine or redetermine the individual's eligibility.
- E. The verification requests must include a request for information on both open and closed accounts, going back up to 5 years as determined by the State.

Revision:

SUPPLEMENT 16 TO ATTACHMENT 2.6-A Page 2

STATE PLAN UNDER TITLE XIX OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

State: Kansas

ASSET VERIFICATION SYSTEM

- 2. System Development
 - _____ A. The agency itself will develop an AVS.

In 3 below, provide any additional information the agency wants to include.

 \underline{X} B. The agency will hire a contractor to develop an AVS.

In 3 below provide any additional information the agency wants to include.

C. The agency will be joining a consortium to develop an AVS.

In 3 below, identify the States participating in the consortium. Also, provide any other information the agency wants to include pertaining to how the consortium will implement the AVS requirements.

D. The agency already has a system in place that meets the requirements for an acceptable AVS.

In 3 below, describe how the existing system meets the requirements in Section 1.

E. Other alternative not included in A. – D. above.

In 3 below, describe this alternative approach and how it will meet the requirements in Section 1.

Revision:

SUPPLEMENT 16 TO ATTACHMENT 2.6-A Page 3

STATE PLAN UNDER TITLE XIX OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

State: Kansas

ASSET VERIFICATION SYSTEM

3. Provide the AVS implementation information requested for the implementation approach checked in Section 2, and any other information the agency may want to include.