Facesheet: 1. Request Information (1 of 2)

- **A.** The **State** of **Utah** requests a waiver/amendment under the authority of section 1915(b) of the Act. The Medicaid agency will directly operate the waiver.
- **B.** Name of Waiver Program(s): Please list each program name the waiver authorizes.

Short title (nickname)	Long title	Type of Program
Dental Choices	Choice of Dental Care Delivery Program	PAHP;

Waiver Application Title (optional - this title will be used to locate this waiver in the finder):

Choice of Dental Care Delivery Program

C. Type of Request. This is an:

Amendment request for an existing waiver.

The amendment modifies (Sect/Part):

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness, Part I: State Completion Section, has been modified to increase the per member per month (PMPM) estimate in P3 through P5. The specific areas of the narrative that have been modified are:

- J. Appendix D4.a.1 (added "Effective January 1, 2021, adults 21 and older with a disability or blindness are no longer included in this waiver.");
- J. Appendix D4., unchecked b.2.i.A. and checked b.2.i.E. (added "The utilization of the blind/disabled adult population proved to be higher than the State's limited experience demonstrated. The Utah Legislature granted rate increases. The revised capitated rates were higher than what the State projected in P3 and P4."); and
- K. Appendix D5. (added "The utilization of the blind/disabled population proved to be higher than the State's limited experience demonstrated. The revised capitated rates were higher than what the State projected in P3 & P4. To raise the PMPM to approximate the blended rate of \$27.09 for both dental plans for SFY22, the State has added an additional 7.4% in P3 to the original 8% inflation factor. In P4, the State added a 14.8% percent increase to the 8% inflation factor. These changes will allow the State to keep up with actuarial rates.")

In addition, the following spreadsheets were revised:

Tab "D4. Adjustments in Projection", cells C10 and D10; and

Tab "D5. Waiver Cost Projections", cell B26. The revised PMPMs for P3-P5 can be found in cells AB65, AB84, and AB103.

Requested Approval Period:(For waivers requesting three, four, or five year approval periods, the waiver must serve individuals who are dually eligible for Medicaid and Medicare.)

- 1 year
- 2 years
- 3 years
- 4 years
- 5 years

Draft ID:UT.031.01.03

Waiver Number: UT.0004.R01.02

D. Effective Dates: This amendment is requested for a period of 5 years. (For beginning date for an initial or renewal request, please choose first day of a calendar quarter, if possible, or if not, the first day of a month. For an amendment, please

identify the implementation date as the beginning date, and end of the waiver period as the end date)

Approved Effective Date of Base Waiver being Amended: 01/01/19

Proposed Effective Date: (mm/dd/yy)

01/01/21		

Facesheet: 2. State Contact(s) (2 of 2)

E. State Contact: The state contact person for this waiver is below:

If the State contact information is different for any of the authorized programs, please check the program name below and provide the contact information.

The State contact information is different for the following programs:

Choice of Dental Care Delivery Program

Note: If no programs appear in this list, please define the programs authorized by this waiver on the first page of the

Section A: Program Description

Part I: Program Overview

Tribal consultation.

For initial and renewal waiver requests, please describe the efforts the State has made to ensure Federally recognized tribes in the State are aware of and have had the opportunity to comment on this waiver proposal.

On September 11, 2020, the Division of Medicaid and Health Financing (DMHF), Utah's Medicaid agency in the Department of Health, presented information to the Utah Indian Health Advisory Board (UIHAB) regarding this waiver amendment to remove the following language from 1. Included Populations: "Adults age 21 and older who have a disability or are blind are required to enroll in a dental PAHP. This population is eligible for dental benefits under Utah's 1115 Primary Care Network Demonstration Waiver". This amendment will be effective January 1, 2021. There were no requests from the UIHAB for further consultation.

In August 2021 the division of Medicaid and Health Financing (DMHF), submitted a request to amend Section D: Cost-Effectiveness, Part I: State Completion Section. This amendment will be effective January 1, 2021. No Tribal consultation was necessary for this amendment because it is only related to cost effectiveness.

Program History.

For renewal waivers, please provide a brief history of the program(s) authorized under the waiver. Include implementation date and major milestones (phase-in timeframe; new populations added; major new features of existing program; new programs added).

The Division of Medicaid and Health Financing (DMHF), Utah's Medicaid agency in the Utah Department of Health, administers the Medicaid Program. DMHF has been operating the Choice of Dental Care Delivery Program waiver (Dental Choices) since September 1, 2013 after receiving approval of a 1915(b) freedom-of-choice waiver request. The initial waiver period was from September 1, 2013 through August 31, 2018.

In June 2018, the State submitted a request to renew Dental Choices for an additional five years. The renewal also requested the following modifications to the initial waiver (CMS control number UT-04):

- to add a new population, adults age 21 and older who have a disability or blindness, effective September 1, 2018;
- to update the contracted dental managed care plans that would be available beginning September 1, 2018; and
- to expand the waiver statewide effective January 1, 2019.

However, the State did not submit an Independent Assessment (IA) of the waiver with the State's five-year renewal request. CMS deemed the waiver submission incomplete and the State withdrew its renewal request.

In order to assure there would not be a disruption of dental services for Utah's Medicaid members, the State requested and CMS approved an extension of the State's current waiver, UT-04, until December 31, 2018, thereby giving the State enough time to obtain an IA report of the waiver and include it with this waiver renewal request that, upon approval, will be effective January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2023.

The two changes that were to be effective September 1, 2018 (i.e., to add a new waiver population and to update the awarded dental plans) required CMS approval. Therefore, CMS directed the State to submit a new waiver request effective September 1, 2018 so the State could proceed with these changes. The State submitted its request for a new waiver called the Choice of Dental Care Delivery Program for Adults who have a Disability or Blindness. CMS approved this one-year waiver, UT-05, effective September 1, 2018 through August 31, 2019.

The approval of this waiver renewal request will allow the State to continue Dental Choices for an additional five years and to expand it statewide effective January 1, 2019. In addition, the renewal request will merge this waiver, UT-04, with the Choice of Dental Care Delivery Program for Adults who have a Disability or Blindness waiver, UT-05. Once the renewal of UT-04 is approved, UT-05 will be dissolved.

In 2021, DMHF submitted amendment 01 to remove the adults age 21 and older who have a disability or are blind from the covered populations under this waiver. This amendment was approved with an effective date of January 1, 2021.

Section A: Program Description

Part I: Program Overview

A. Statutory Authority (1 of 3)

- **1. Waiver Authority.** The State's waiver program is authorized under section 1915(b) of the Act, which permits the Secretary to waive provisions of section 1902 for certain purposes. Specifically, the State is relying upon authority provided in the following subsection(s) of the section 1915(b) of the Act (if more than one program authorized by this waiver, please list applicable programs below each relevant authority):
 - **a. 1915(b)(1)** The State requires enrollees to obtain medical care through a primary care case management (PCCM) system or specialty physician services arrangements. This includes mandatory capitated programs.
 - -- Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this authority

Dental Choices

- **b. 1915(b)(2)** A locality will act as a central broker (agent, facilitator, negotiator) in assisting eligible individuals in choosing among PCCMs or competing MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs in order to provide enrollees with more information about the range of health care options open to them.
 - -- Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this authority

Dental Choices

c. 1915(b)(3) - The State will share cost savings resulting from the use of more cost-effective medical care with

enrollees by providing them with additional services. The savings must be expended for the benefit of the Medicaid beneficiary enrolled in the waiver. Note: this can only be requested in conjunction with section 1915(b)(1) or (b)(4) authority.

-- Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this authority

Dental Choices

- **d. 1915(b)(4)** The State requires enrollees to obtain services only from specified providers who undertake to provide such services and meet reimbursement, quality, and utilization standards which are consistent with access, quality, and efficient and economic provision of covered care and services. The State assures it will comply with 42 CFR 431.55(f).
 - -- Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this authority

Dental Choices

The 1915(b)(4) waiver applies to the following programs

MCO

PIHP

PAHP

PCCM (Note: please check this item if this waiver is for a PCCM program that limits who is eligible to be a primary care case manager. That is, a program that requires PCCMs to meet certain quality/utilization criteria beyond the minimum requirements required to be a fee-for-service Medicaid contracting provider.)

FFS Selective Contracting program

v	0000	α	acar	•	ha.
Г	ease	ч	CSCI	ш	DC.

Section A: Program Description

Part I: Program Overview

A. Statutory Authority (2 of 3)

- **2. Sections Waived.** Relying upon the authority of the above section(s), the State requests a waiver of the following sections of 1902 of the Act (if this waiver authorizes multiple programs, please list program(s) separately under each applicable statute):
 - **a. Section 1902(a)(1)** Statewideness--This section of the Act requires a Medicaid State plan to be in effect in all political subdivisions of the State. This waiver program is not available throughout the State.
 - -- Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this statute

Dental Choices

- **b.** Section 1902(a)(10)(B) Comparability of Services--This section of the Act requires all services for categorically needy individuals to be equal in amount, duration, and scope. This waiver program includes additional benefits such as case management and health education that will not be available to other Medicaid beneficiaries not enrolled in the waiver program.
 - -- Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this statute

Dental Choices

- c. Section 1902(a)(23) Freedom of Choice--This Section of the Act requires Medicaid State plans to permit all individuals eligible for Medicaid to obtain medical assistance from any qualified provider in the State. Under this program, free choice of providers is restricted. That is, beneficiaries enrolled in this program must receive certain services through an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.
 - -- Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this statute

Dental Choices

d.	Section 1902(a)(4) - To permit the State to mandate beneficiaries into a single PIHP or PAHP, and restrict disenrollment from them. (If state seeks waivers of additional managed care provisions, please list here).		
	Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this statute		
	Dental Choices		
e.	Other Statutes and Relevant Regulations Waived - Please list any additional section(s) of the Act the State requests to waive, and include an explanation of the request.		
	Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this statute		
	Dental Choices		
Section A: Pro	ogram Description		
Part I: Progra	am Overview		
A. Statutory A	Authority (3 of 3)		
Additional Infor	mation. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:		
	A.1.d: The State uses a competitive procurement process to select a limited number of dental PAHPs. The State my willing, qualified dental PAHP.		
Section A: Pro	ogram Description		
Part I: Progra	am Overview		

B. Delivery Systems (1 of 3)

- **1. Delivery Systems.** The State will be using the following systems to deliver services:
 - a. MCO: Risk-comprehensive contracts are fully-capitated and require that the contractor be an MCO or HIO. Comprehensive means that the contractor is at risk for inpatient hospital services and any other mandatory State plan service in section 1905(a), or any three or more mandatory services in that section. References in this preprint to MCOs generally apply to these risk-comprehensive entities.
 - b. PIHP: Prepaid Inpatient Health Plan means an entity that: (1) provides medical services to enrollees under contract with the State agency, and on the basis of prepaid capitation payments or other payment arrangements that do not use State Plan payment rates; (2) provides, arranges for, or otherwise has responsibility for the provision of any inpatient hospital or institutional services for its enrollees; and (3) does not have a comprehensive risk contract. Note: this includes MCOs paid on a non-risk basis.

The PIHP is paid on a risk basis

The PIHP is paid on a non-risk basis

PAHP: Prepaid Ambulatory Health Plan means an entity that: (1) provides medical services to enrollees c. under contract with the State agency, and on the basis of prepaid capitation payments, or other payment arrangements that do not use State Plan payment rates; (2) does not provide or arrange for, and is not otherwise responsible for the provision of any inpatient hospital or institutional services for its enrollees; and (3) does not have a comprehensive risk contract. This includes capitated PCCMs.

The PAHP is paid on a risk basis The PAHP is paid on a non-risk basis

- d. PCCM: A system under which a primary care case manager contracts with the State to furnish case management services. Reimbursement is on a fee-for-service basis. Note: a capitated PCCM is a PAHP.
- Fee-for-service (FFS) selective contracting: State contracts with specified providers who are willing to e. meet certain reimbursement, quality, and utilization standards. the same as stipulated in the state plan different than stipulated in the state plan Please describe: f. **Other:** (Please provide a brief narrative description of the model.) **Section A: Program Description Part I: Program Overview**

B. Delivery Systems (2 of 3)

2. Procurement. The State selected the contractor in the following manner. Please complete for each type of managed care entity utilized (e.g. procurement for MCO; procurement for PIHP, etc):

Procurement for MCO

Competitive procurement process (e.g. Request for Proposal or Invitation for Bid that is formally advertised and targets a wide audience)

Open cooperative procurement process (in which any qualifying contractor may participate)

Sole source procurement

Other (please describe)

г		
1		
1		
1		
-		

Procurement for PIHP

Competitive procurement process (e.g. Request for Proposal or Invitation for Bid that is formally advertised and targets a wide audience)

Open cooperative procurement process (in which any qualifying contractor may participate)

Sole source procurement

Other (please describe)

Procurement for PAHP

	targets a wide audience)
	Open cooperative procurement process (in which any qualifying contractor may participate)
	Sole source procurement
	Other (please describe)
Pro	ocurement for PCCM
	Competitive procurement process (e.g. Request for Proposal or Invitation for Bid that is formally advertised and targets a wide audience)
	Open cooperative procurement process (in which any qualifying contractor may participate)
	Sole source procurement
	Other (please describe)
Pro	ocurement for FFS
	Competitive procurement process (e.g. Request for Proposal or Invitation for Bid that is formally advertised and targets a wide audience)
	Open cooperative procurement process (in which any qualifying contractor may participate)
	Sole source procurement
	Other (please describe)
Section A: P	Program Description
Part I: Prog	ram Overview
B. Delivery	Systems (3 of 3)
Additional Inf	formation. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:
Section A: P	Program Description
Part I. Prog	ram Overview
	f MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, and PCCMs (1 of 3)
C. CHOICE OF	111005, 1 1111 5, 1 A111 5, and 1 00115 (1 01 5)

1. Assurances.

The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(a)(3) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.52, which require that a State that mandates Medicaid beneficiaries to enroll in an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM must give those beneficiaries a choice of at least two entities.

The State seeks a waiver of section 1932(a)(3) of the Act, which requires States to offer a choice of more than one PIHP or PAHP per 42 CFR 438.52. Please describe how the State will ensure this lack of choice of PIHP or PAHP is not detrimental to beneficiaries ability to access services.

Section A: Program Description

Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:

Part I: Program Overview

D. Geographic Areas Served by the Waiver (1 of 2)

- **1. General.** Please indicate the area of the State where the waiver program will be implemented. (If the waiver authorizes more than one program, please list applicable programs below item(s) the State checks.
 - Statewide -- all counties, zip codes, or regions of the State
 - -- Specify Program Instance(s) for Statewide

Dental Choices

- Less than Statewide
 - -- Specify Program Instance(s) for Less than Statewide

Dental Choices

2. Details. Regardless of whether item 1 or 2 is checked above, please list in the chart below the areas (i.e., cities, counties, and/or regions) and the name and type of entity or program (MCO, PIHP, PAHP, HIO, PCCM or other entity) with which the State will contract.

City/County/Region	Type of Program (PCCM, MCO, PIHP, or PAHP)	Name of Entity (for MCO, PIHP, PAHP)
Beaver County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Box Elder County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Cache County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Carbon County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Dagget County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Davis County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Duchesne County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Emery County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Garfield County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Grand County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Iron County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Juab County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Kane County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Millard County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Morgan County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Piute County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Rich County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Salt Lake County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
San Juan County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Sanpete County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Sevier County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Summit County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Tooele County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Uintah County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Utah County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Wasatch County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Washington County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access
Wayne County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access

City/County/Region	Type of Program (PCCM, MCO, PIHP, or PAHP)	Name of Entity (for MCO, PIHP, PAHP)
Weber County	РАНР	MCNA Dental and Premier Access

Section A: Program Description

Part I: Program Overview

D. Geographic Areas Served by the Waiver (2 of 2)

Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:

Section A: Program Description

Part I: Program Overview

E. Populations Included in Waiver (1 of 3)

Please note that the eligibility categories of Included Populations and Excluded Populations below may be modified as needed to fit the States specific circumstances.

1. Included Populations. The following populations are included in the Waiver Program:

Section 1931 Children and Related Populations are children including those eligible under Section 1931, poverty-level related groups and optional groups of older children.

Mandatory enrollment

Voluntary enrollment

Section 1931 Adults and Related Populations are adults including those eligible under Section 1931, poverty-level pregnant women and optional group of caretaker relatives.

Mandatory enrollment

Voluntary enrollment

Blind/Disabled Adults and Related Populations are beneficiaries, age 18 or older, who are eligible for Medicaid due to blindness or disability. Report Blind/Disabled Adults who are age 65 or older in this category, not in Aged.

Mandatory enrollment

Voluntary enrollment

Blind/Disabled Children and Related Populations are beneficiaries, generally under age 18, who are eligible for Medicaid due to blindness or disability.

Mandatory enrollment

Voluntary enrollment

Aged and Related Populations are those Medicaid beneficiaries who are age 65 or older and not members of the Blind/Disabled population or members of the Section 1931 Adult population.

Mandatory enrollment

Voluntary enrollment

Foster Care Children are Medicaid beneficiaries who are receiving foster care or adoption assistance (Title IV-E),

are in foster-care, or are otherwise in an out-of-home placement.

Mandatory enrollment

Voluntary enrollment

TITLE XXI SCHIP is an optional group of targeted low-income children who are eligible to participate in Medicaid if the State decides to administer the State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) through the Medicaid program.

Mandatory enrollment

Voluntary enrollment

Other (Please define):

Section 1931 poverty-level pregnant women and high risk pregnant women are required to enroll in a dental PAHP.

Section A: Program Description

Part I: Program Overview

E. Populations Included in Waiver (2 of 3)

2. Excluded Populations. Within the groups identified above, there may be certain groups of individuals who are excluded from the Waiver Program. For example, the Aged population may be required to enroll into the program, but Dual Eligibles within that population may not be allowed to participate. In addition, Section 1931 Children may be able to enroll voluntarily in a managed care program, but Foster Care Children within that population may be excluded from that program. Please indicate if any of the following populations are excluded from participating in the Waiver Program:

Medicare Dual Eligible --Individuals entitled to Medicare and eligible for some category of Medicaid benefits. (Section 1902(a)(10) and Section 1902(a)(10)(E))

Poverty Level Pregnant Women -- Medicaid beneficiaries, who are eligible only while pregnant and for a short time after delivery. This population originally became eligible for Medicaid under the SOBRA legislation.

Other Insurance -- Medicaid beneficiaries who have other health insurance.

Reside in Nursing Facility or ICF/IID --Medicaid beneficiaries who reside in Nursing Facilities (NF) or Intermediate Care Facilities for the Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities (ICF/IID).

Enrolled in Another Managed Care Program --Medicaid beneficiaries who are enrolled in another Medicaid managed care program

Eligibility Less Than 3 Months -- Medicaid beneficiaries who would have less than three months of Medicaid eligibility remaining upon enrollment into the program.

Participate in HCBS Waiver -- Medicaid beneficiaries who participate in a Home and Community Based Waiver (HCBS, also referred to as a 1915(c) waiver).

American Indian/Alaskan Native --Medicaid beneficiaries who are American Indians or Alaskan Natives and members of federally recognized tribes.

Special Needs Children (State Defined) -- Medicaid beneficiaries who are special needs children as defined by the State. Please provide this definition.

Print appli	ication selector for 1915(b) Waiver: UT.0004.R01.02 - Jan 01, 2021	Page 12 of 77
	SCHIP Title XXI Children Medicaid beneficiaries who receive services through the SCHIP prog	gram.
	Retroactive Eligibility Medicaid beneficiaries for the period of retroactive eligibility.	
	Other (Please define):	
	All non-pregnant adults ages 18 and older, including current eligibles, Demonstration populations covered under the State's 1115 Primary Care Network Demonstration Waiver are excluded from Demonstration.	
	A: Program Description	
	Program Overview	
E. Popul	lations Included in Waiver (3 of 3)	
Additiona	l Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:	
Section A	A: Program Description	
Part I: P	Program Overview	
F. Servi	ces (1 of 5)	
List all ser	vices to be offered under the Waiver in Appendices D2.S. and D2.A of Section D, Cost-Effectivenes	s.

1. Assurances.

The State assures CMS that services under the Waiver Program will comply with the following federal requirements:

- Services will be available in the same amount, duration, and scope as they are under the State Plan per 42 CFR 438.210(a)(2).
- Access to emergency services will be assured per section 1932(b)(2) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.114.
- Access to family planning services will be assured per section 1905(a)(4) of the Act and 42 CFR 431.51(b)

The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of more of the regulatory requirements listed above for PIHP or PAHP programs. Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any. (See note below for limitations on requirements that may be waived).

The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM contracts for compliance with the provisions of 42 CFR 438.210(a)(2), 438.114, and 431.51 (Coverage of Services, Emergency Services, and Family Planning) as applicable. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.

This is a proposal for a 1915(b)(4) FFS Selective Contracting Program only and the managed care regulations do not apply. The State assures CMS that services will be available in the same amount, duration, and scope as they

are under the State Plan.

The state assures CMS that it complies with Title I of the Medicare Modernization Act of 2003, in so far as these requirements are applicable to this waiver.

Note: Section 1915(b) of the Act authorizes the Secretary to waive most requirements of section 1902 of the Act for the purposes listed in sections 1915(b)(1)-(4) of the Act. However, within section 1915(b) there are prohibitions on waiving the following subsections of section 1902 of the Act for any type of waiver program:

- Section 1902(s) -- adjustments in payment for inpatient hospital services furnished to infants under age 1, and to children under age 6 who receive inpatient hospital services at a Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) facility.
- Sections 1902(a)(15) and 1902(bb) prospective payment system for FQHC/RHC
- Section 1902(a)(10)(A) as it applies to 1905(a)(2)(C) comparability of FQHC benefits among Medicaid beneficiaries
- Section 1902(a)(4)(C) -- freedom of choice of family planning providers
- Sections 1915(b)(1) and (4) also stipulate that section 1915(b) waivers may not waive freedom of choice of emergency services providers.

Section A: Program Description

Part I: Program Overview

F. Services (2 of 5)

2. Emergency Services. In accordance with sections 1915(b) and 1932(b) of the Act, and 42 CFR 431.55 and 438.114, enrollees in an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM must have access to emergency services without prior authorization, even if the emergency services provider does not have a contract with the entity.

The PAHP, PAHP, or FFS Selective Contracting program does not cover emergency services.

3	• Family Planning Services. In accordance with sections 1905(a)(4) and 1915(b) of the Act, and 42 CFR 431.51(b), prior authorization of, or requiring the use of network providers for family planning services is prohibited under the waiver program. Out-of-network family planning services are reimbursed in the following manner:
	The MCO/PIHP/PAHP will be required to reimburse out-of-network family planning services.

The MCO/PIHP/PAHP will be required to pay for family planning services from network providers, and the State will pay for family planning services from out-of-network providers.

The State will pay for all family planning services, whether provided by network or out-of-network providers.

Other (please explain):							

Family planning services are not included under the waiver.

Family Planning Services Category General Comments (optional):

Emergency Services Category General Comments (optional):

FOHC Services Category General Comments (optional):

Section A: Program Description

Part I: Program Overview

F. Services (3 of 5)

4. FQHC Services. In accordance with section 2088.6 of the State Medicaid Manual, access to Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) services will be assured in the following manner:

The program is **voluntary**, and the enrollee can disenroll at any time if he or she desires access to FQHC services. The MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM is not required to provide FQHC services to the enrollee during the enrollment period.

The program is **mandatory** and the enrollee is guaranteed a choice of at least one MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM which has at least one FQHC as a participating provider. If the enrollee elects not to select a MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM that gives him or her access to FQHC services, no FQHC services will be required to be furnished to the enrollee while the enrollee is enrolled with the MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM he or she selected. Since reasonable access to FQHC services will be available under the waiver program, FQHC services outside the program will not be available. Please explain how the State will guarantee all enrollees will have a choice of at least one MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM with a participating FQHC:

The State monitors the dental plans to ensure that each plan has at least one participating FQHC that provides dental services. The State also requires that the dental plans reimburse the FQHCs at the same rate as other providers on the dental plans' panels.

The program is **mandatory** and the enrollee has the right to obtain FQHC services **outside** this waiver program through the regular Medicaid Program.

5. EPSDT Requirements.	
1902(a)(43) (administrative requ	vill comply with the relevant requirements of sections 1905(a)(4)(b) (services), irements including informing, reporting, etc.), and 1905(r) (definition) of the Acting, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) program.
EPSDT Requirements Category Gene	ral Comments (optional):

Section A: Program Description

Part I: Program Overview

F. Services (4 of 5)

6. 1915(b)(3) Services.

This waiver includes 1915(b)(3) expenditures. The services must be for medical or health-related care, or other services as described in 42 CFR Part 440, and are subject to CMS approval. Please describe below what these expenditures are for each waiver program that offers them. Include a description of the populations eligible, provider type, geographic availability, and reimbursement method.

1915(t	1915(b)(3) Services Requirements Category General Comments:				
7. Self-re	eferrals.				
aı	he State requires MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs/PCCMs to allow enrollees to self-refer (i.e. access without prior athorization) under the following circumstances or to the following subset of services in the ICO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM contract:				
Self-re	ferrals Requirements Category General Comments:				
treatm specia to be t	tate's contracts with the dental plans require that if a dental plan determines that an enrollee needs a course of the tor regular care monitoring, the plan must have a mechanism in place to allow the enrollee to directly access a list as appropriate for the enrollee's condition and identified needs. The plans must allow an appropriate specialist the enrollee's primary care dentist, but only if the specialist has the skills to monitor the enrollee's preventative and ry care services.				
8. Other					
O	ther (Please describe)				
Section A:	Program Description				
Part I: Pro	gram Overview				
'. Services	(5 of 5)				
Additional In	formation. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:				
Section A:	Program Description				
Part II: Ac	cess				
A. Timely A	Access Standards (1 of 7)				
	ast ensure that all services covered under the State plan are available and accessible to enrollees of the 1915(b) arm. Section 1915(b) of the Act prohibits restrictions on beneficiaries access to emergency services and family				

1. Assurances for MCO, PIHP, or PAHP programs

planning services.

The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(c)(1)(A)(i) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.206 Availability of Services; in so far as these requirements are applicable.

	The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulator listed for PIHP or PAHP programs.				
		dentify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to be waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:			
The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP contracts for compliant the provisions of section 1932(c)(1)(A)(i) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.206 Availability of Services. If the initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.					
If the 1915(b) Wa	iver Pro	gram does not include a PCCM component, please continue with Part II.B. Capacity Standards.			
Section A: Pro	ogram	Description			
Part II: Acces					
A. Timely Aco	cess Sta	andards (2 of 7)			
		I program. The State must assure that Waiver Program enrollees have reasonable access to services. the activities the State uses to assure timely access to services.			
a.	time r	ability Standards. The States PCCM Program includes established maximum distance and/or travel requirements, given beneficiarys normal means of transportation, for waiver enrollees access to the roviders. For each provider type checked, please describe the standard.			
	1.	PCPs			
		Please describe:			
	2.	Specialists			
		Please describe:			
	3.	Ancillary providers			
	3.	Please describe:			
		rieuse describe:			
	4.	Dental			
		Please describe:			

	5.	Hospitals
		Please describe:
	6.	Mental Health
Section A: Prog Part II: Access A. Timely Acce 2. Details for 1 b.		Please describe:
	7.	Pharmacies
		Please describe:
	8.	Substance Abuse Treatment Providers
		Please describe:
	9.	Other providers
		Please describe:
Section A: Prog	gram I	Description
A. Timely Acce	ess Sta	ndards (3 of 7)
2. Details for	PCCM	program. (Continued)
b.	provide	ntment Schedulingmeans the time before an enrollee can acquire an appointment with his or her er for both urgent and routine visits. The States PCCM Program includes established standards for tment scheduling for waiver enrollees access to the following providers.
		PCPs
		Please describe:

escribe: y providers escribe: escribe:					
escribe:					
Jaalth					
Jaalth					
Igalth					
icaitii					
escribe:					
e Abuse Treatment P	Providers				
escribe:					
escribe:					
oviders					
escribe:					
- co	ce Abuse Treatment F lescribe: care lescribe:	ce Abuse Treatment Providers lescribe: care lescribe:			

Section A: Program Description

Part II: Access

A. Timely Access Standards (4 of 7)

c.

2.	Details	for	PCCM	program.	(Continued)
----	----------------	-----	-------------	----------	-------------

Please describe:

1.	. For each provider type checked, please describe the standard. PCPs
	Please describe:
2.	Specialists
	Please describe:
3.	Ancillary providers
	Please describe:
4.	Dental
	Please describe:
5.	Mental Health
	Please describe:
6.	Substance Abuse Treatment Providers
	Please describe:
7.	Other providers

Print application selector for 1915(b) Waiver: UT.0004.R01.02 - Jan 01, 2021 Page 20 of 77
Section A: Program Description
Part II: Access
A. Timely Access Standards (5 of 7)
2. Data ila fan DCCM mua anam (Cantinua d)
2. Details for PCCM program. (Continued)
d. Other Access Standards
Section A: Program Description
Part II: Access
A. Timely Access Standards (6 of 7)
3. Details for 1915(b)(4)FFS selective contracting programs: Please describe how the State assures timely access to the services covered under the selective contracting program.
services covered under the selective contracting program.
Section A: Program Description
Part II: Access
A. Timely Access Standards (7 of 7)
Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:
Section A: Program Description
Part II: Access
B. Capacity Standards (1 of 6)

1. Assurances for MCO, PIHP, or PAHP programs

The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(b)(5) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.207 Assurances of adequate capacity and services, in so far as these requirements are applicable.

The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulatory requirements listed for PIHP or PAHP programs.

Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:

Print application	n selector for 1915(b) Waiver: UT.0004.R01.02 - Jan 01, 2021 Page 21 of 77
	The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP contracts for compliance with the provisions of section 1932(b)(5) and 42 CFR 438.207 Assurances of adequate capacity and services. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.
If the 1915(b) Wo Continuity of Ca	aiver Program does not include a PCCM component, please continue with Part II, C. Coordination and re Standards.
Section A: Pr	rogram Description
Part II: Acce	SS
B. Capacity S	Standards (2 of 6)
	or PCCM program. The State must assure that Waiver Program enrollees have reasonable access to services. The below which of the strategies the State uses assure adequate provider capacity in the PCCM program.
a.	The State has set enrollment limits for each PCCM primary care provider.
	Please describe the enrollment limits and how each is determined:
b.	The State ensures that there are adequate number of PCCM PCPs with open panels .
	Please describe the States standard:
c.	The State ensures that there is an adequate number of PCCM PCPs under the waiver assure access to all
	services covered under the Waiver. Please describe the States standard for adequate PCP capacity:
Section A: Pr	rogram Description
Part II: Acce	SS
B. Capacity S	Standards (3 of 6)

- - 2. Details for PCCM program. (Continued)
 - The State compares **numbers of providers** before and during the Waiver. d.

Provider Type	# Before Waiver	# in Current Waiver	# Expected in Renewal	
---------------	-----------------	---------------------	-----------------------	--

Please note any limitations to the data in the chart above:

Print ap	oplication	selector for 1915(b) Waiver: UT.0004.R01.02	- Jan 01, 2021	Page 22 of 77
	e.	The State ensures adequate geographic distribu	ntion of PCCMs.	
		Please describe the States standard:		
Sectio	n A: Pro	ogram Description		
Part I	I: Acces	S		
B. Ca	pacity S	tandards (4 of 6)		
2.		r PCCM program. (Continued)		
	f.	PCP:Enrollee Ratio. The State establishes stan		
		Area/(City/County/Region)	PCCM-to-Enrollee Ratio	
		Please note any changes that will occur due to t	he use of physician extenders.:	
	g.	Other capacity standards.		
		Please describe:		
Sectio	n A: Pro	ogram Description		
Part I	I: Acces	S		
B. Ca	pacity S	tandards (5 of 6)		
	not been n number of transportat	r 1915(b)(4)FFS selective contracting programs egatively impacted by the selective contracting programs (beds (by type, per facility) for facility programs, tion programs, needed per location to assure suffice acreased enrollment and/or utilization expected under the contraction of the contraction	ogram. Also, please provide a detailed capa or vehicles (by type, per contractor) for not ient capacity under the waiver program. Th	city analysis of the n-emergency
Sectio	n A: Pro	ogram Description		,
Part I	I: Acces	S		
B. Ca	pacity S	tandards (6 of 6)		

Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:

Print application	on selector for 1915(b) Waiver: UT.0004.R01.02 - Jan 01, 2021 Page 23 of 7
Section A: I	Program Description
Part II: Acc	ess
C. Coordina	ation and Continuity of Care Standards (1 of 5)
1. Assura	nces for MCO, PIHP, or PAHP programs
	The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(c)(1)(A)(i) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.206 Availability of Services; in so far as these requirements are applicable.
	The State seeks a waiver of a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of more of the regulatory requirements listed above for PIHP or PAHP programs.
	Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:
	The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP contracts for compliance with the provisions of section 1932(c)(1)(A)(i) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.206 Availability of Services. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.
Section A: I	Program Description
Part II: Acc	ess
C. Coordina	ntion and Continuity of Care Standards (2 of 5)
2. Details	on MCO/PIHP/PAHP enrollees with special health care needs.
The following	lowing items are required.
a.	The plan is a PIHP/PAHP, and the State has determined that based on the plans scope of services, and how the State has organized the delivery system, that the PIHP/PAHP need not meet the requirements for additional services for enrollees with special health care needs in 42 CFR 438.208.
	Please provide justification for this determination:
b.	Identification . The State has a mechanism to identify persons with special health care needs to MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs, as those persons are defined by the State.
	Please describe:

The dental plans have the ability to produce reports from the State's Medicaid Managed Care System that identifies children and adults who are disabled or blind, children in state custody, children receiving adoption assistance, and children enrolled under a home and community-based waiver.

In addition, the State identifies women who are pregnant with a pregnancy indicator derived from the eligibility system and transmits this information to the plans. The information includes rate cell code and eligibility type for each enrollee.

c. Assessment. Each MCO/PIHP/PAHP will implement mechanisms, using appropriate health care professionals, to assess each enrollee identified by the State to identify any ongoing special conditions that require a course of treatment or regular care monitoring. Please describe:

Please describe the enrollment limits and how each is determined:

The dental plans must have policies and procedures in place to assure continuity and coordination of overall oral health care for all enrollees including a mechanism to ensure that each enrollee has an ongoing source of primary dental care.

The dental plans' case management programs must be designed around a collaborative process of assessment, planning, facilitation, and advocacy using available resources to promote quality, timely, safe, and cost-effective outcomes.

- **d. Treatment Plans**. For enrollees with special health care needs who need a course of treatment or regular care monitoring, the State requires the MCO/PIHP/PAHP to produce a treatment plan. If so, the treatment plan meets the following requirements:
 - **1.** Developed by enrollees primary care provider with enrollee participation, and in consultation with any specialists care for the enrollee.
 - **2.** Approved by the MCO/PIHP/PAHP in a timely manner (if approval required by plan).
 - **3.** In accord with any applicable State quality assurance and utilization review standards.

e. **Direct access to specialists**. If treatment plan or regular care monitoring is in place, the MCO/PIHP/PAHP has a mechanism in place to allow enrollees to directly access specialists as appropriate for enrollees condition and identified needs.

Please describe:

Please describe:

The dental plans must have mechanisms in place to ensure their enrollees with special health care needs have direct access to specialists.

Section A: Program Description

Part II: Access

- C. Coordination and Continuity of Care Standards (3 of 5)
 - **3. Details for PCCM program.** The State must assure that Waiver Program enrollees have reasonable access to services. Please note below which of the strategies the State uses assure adequate provider capacity in the PCCM program.
 - **a.** Each enrollee selects or is assigned to a **primary care provider** appropriate to the enrollees needs.
 - **b.** Each enrollee selects or is assigned to a designated **designated health care practitioner** who is primarily responsible for coordinating the enrollees overall health care.

с.	Each enrollee is receives health education/promotion information.
	Please explain:
d.	Each provider maintains, for Medicaid enrollees, health records that meet the requirements established by the State, taking into account professional standards.
e.	There is appropriate and confidential exchange of information among providers.
f.	Enrollees receive information about specific health conditions that require follow-up and, if appropriate, are given training in self-care.
g.	Primary care case managers address barriers that hinder enrollee compliance with prescribed treatments or regimens, including the use of traditional and/or complementary medicine.
h.	Additional case management is provided.
	Please include how the referred services and the medical forms will be coordinated among the practitioners, and documented in the primary care case managers files.
i.	Referrals.
	Please explain in detail the process for a patient referral. In the description, please include how the referred services and the medical forms will be coordinated among the practitioners, and documented in the primary care case managers files.
Section A: Pı	rogram Description
Part II: Acce	SS
C. Coordinat	ion and Continuity of Care Standards (4 of 5)
	or 1915(b)(4) only programs: If applicable, please describe how the State assures that continuity and tion of care are not negatively impacted by the selective contracting program.
Section A: Pı	rogram Description
Part II: Acce	ss
C. Coordinat	tion and Continuity of Care Standards (5 of 5)
Additional Info	rmation. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:

Section A: Program Description

Part III: Quality

1. Assurances for MCO or PIHP programs

The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(c)(1)(A)(iii)-(iv) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.202, 438.204, 438.210, 438.214, 438.218, 438.224, 438.226, 438.228, 438.230, 438.236, 438.240, and 438.242 in so far as these regulations are applicable.

The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulatory requirements listed for PIHP programs.

Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:

The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP contracts for compliance with the provisions of section 1932(c)(1)(A)(iii)-(iv) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.202, 438.204, 438.210, 438.214, 438.218, 438.224, 438.226, 438.228, 438.230, 438.236, 438.240, and 438.242. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.

Section 1932(c)(1)(A)(iii)-(iv) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.202 requires that each State Medicaid agency that contracts with MCOs and PIHPs submit to CMS a written strategy for assessing and improving the quality of managed care services offered by all MCOs and PIHPs.

The State assures CMS that this quality strategy was initially submitted to the CMS Regional Office on:

08/07/15 (mm/dd/yy)

The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(c)(2) of the Act and 42 CFR 438 Subpart E, to arrange for an annual, independent, **external quality review** of the outcomes and timeliness of, and access to the services delivered under each MCO/ PIHP contract. Note: EQR for PIHPs is required beginning March 2004. *Please provide the information below (modify chart as necessary):*

	Name of	Ac	tivities Conduct	ted
Program Type	Organization	EQR study	Mandatory Activities	Optional Activities
MCO	Response for dental PAHPs: 1. Health Services Advisory Group (HSAG) 2. Office of Health Care Statistics (OHCS)		Response for dental PAHPs: 1. 42 CFR 438.358(b)(b)(1)(iii & (b)(1)(iv) 2. 42 CFR 438.358(b)	1)(ii)
РІНР				

Section A: Program Description

Part III: Quality

2. Assurances For PAHP program

The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(c)(1)(A)(iii)-(iv) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.210, 438.214, 438.218, 438.224, 438.226, 438.228, 438.230 and 438.236, in so far as these regulations are applicable.

The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulatory requirements listed for PAHP programs.

Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:

The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the PAHP contracts for compliance with the provisions of section 1932(c) (1)(A)(iii)-(iv) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.210, 438.214, 438.218, 438.224, 438.226, 438.228, 438.230 and 438.236. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.

Section A: Program Description

Part III: Quality

- **3. Details for PCCM program.** The State must assure that Waiver Program enrollees have access to medically necessary services of adequate quality. Please note below the strategies the State uses to assure quality of care in the PCCM program.
 - a. The State has developed a set of overall quality **improvement guidelines** for its PCCM program.

Please describe:

Section A: Program Description

Part III: Quality

3. Details for PCCM program. (Continued)

- **State Intervention**: If a problem is identified regarding the quality of services received, the State will intervene as indicated below.
 - 1. Provide education and informal mailings to beneficiaries and PCCMs
 - 2. Initiate telephone and/or mail inquiries and follow-up
 - **3.** Request PCCMs response to identified problems
 - **4.** Refer to program staff for further investigation
 - **5.** Send warning letters to PCCMs
 - **6.** Refer to States medical staff for investigation
 - 7. Institute corrective action plans and follow-up
 - **8.** Change an enrollees PCCM

- **9.** Institute a restriction on the types of enrollees
- **10.** Further limit the number of assignments
- 11. Ban new assignments

D1 - - - - - - - 1 - : - - -

- 12. Transfer some or all assignments to different PCCMs
- 13. Suspend or terminate PCCM agreement
- **14.** Suspend or terminate as Medicaid providers
- 15. Other

Piease explain:		

Section A: Program Description

Part III: Quality

3. Details for PCCM program. (Continued)

c. Selection and Retention of Providers: This section provides the State the opportunity to describe any requirements, policies or procedures it has in place to allow for the review and documentation of qualifications and other relevant information pertaining to a provider who seeks a contract with the State or PCCM administrator as a PCCM. This section is required if the State has applied for a 1915(b)(4) waiver that will be applicable to the PCCM program.

Please check any processes or procedures listed below that the State uses in the process of selecting and retaining PCCMs. The State (please check all that apply):

- **1.** Has a documented process for selection and retention of PCCMs (please submit a copy of that documentation).
- 2. Has an initial credentialing process for PCCMs that is based on a written application and site visits as appropriate, as well as primary source verification of licensure, disciplinary status, and eligibility for payment under Medicaid.
- **3.** Has a recredentialing process for PCCMs that is accomplished within the time frame set by the State and through a process that updates information obtained through the following (check all that apply):
 - A. Initial credentialing
 - **B.** Performance measures, including those obtained through the following (check all that apply):
 - The utilization management system.
 - The complaint and appeals system.
 - Enrollee surveys.

Please describe:

Other.

4. Uses formal selection and retention criteria that do not discriminate against particular providers such as those who serve high risk populations or specialize in conditions that require costly treatment.

- 5. Has an initial and recredentialing process for PCCMs other than individual practitioners (e.g., rural health clinics, federally qualified health centers) to ensure that they are and remain in compliance with any Federal or State requirements (e.g., licensure).
- 6. Notifies licensing and/or disciplinary bodies or other appropriate authorities when suspensions or

	terminations of PCCMs take place because of quality deficiencies.
7.	Other
	Please explain:
Section A: Program D	escription
Part III: Quality	
3. Details for PCCM p	rogram. (Continued)
d. Other quality	standards (please describe):
Section A: Program D	escription
Part III: Quality	
the selective contract	4) only programs: Please describe how the State assures quality in the services that are covered by ing program. Please describe the provider selection process, including the criteria used to select the vaiver. These include quality and performance standards that the providers must meet. Please also iteria is weighted:
Section A: Program D	escription
Part IV: Program Ope	erations
A. Marketing (1 of 4)	
1. Assurances	
	ssures CMS that it complies with section 1932(d)(2) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.104 Marketing n so far as these regulations are applicable.
	eeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulatory requirements IHP or PAHP programs.

Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:

The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM contracts for compliance with the provisions of section 1932(d)(2) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.104 Marketing activities. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.

This is a proposal for a 1915(b)(4) FFS Selective Contracting Program only and the managed care regulations do not apply.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

A. Marketing (2 of 4)

2. Details

a. Scope of Marketing

- 1. The State does not permit direct or indirect marketing by MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM or selective contracting FFS providers.
- 2. The State permits indirect marketing by MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM or selective contracting FFS providers (e.g., radio and TV advertising for the MCO/PIHP/PAHP or PCCM in general).

Places list types of indirect marketine new itted.

	permits direct marketing by MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM or selective contracting FFS
providers	(e.g., direct mail to Medicaid beneficiaries).
Please lis	types of direct marketing permitted:

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

A. Marketing (3 of 4)

- 2. Details (Continued)
 - **b. Description**. Please describe the States procedures regarding direct and indirect marketing by answering the following questions, if applicable.
 - The State prohibits or limits MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs/PCCMs/selective contracting FFS providers from offering gifts or other incentives to potential enrollees.
 Please explain any limitation or prohibition and how the State monitors this:

_			

2. The State permits MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs/PCCMs/selective contracting FFS providers to pay their marketing representatives based on the number of new Medicaid enrollees he/she recruited into the

	plan.
	Please explain how the State monitors marketing to ensure it is not coercive or fraudulent:
3.	The State requires MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM/selective contracting FFS providers to translate marketing materials.
	Please list languages materials will be translated into. (If the State does not translate or require the translation of marketing materials, please explain):
The	State has chosen these languages because (check any that apply):
	a. The languages comprise all prevalent languages in the service area.
	Please describe the methodology for determining prevalent languages:
	The languages comprise all languages in the service area spoken by approximately percent or more of the population.
	c. Other Please explain:
Section A: Program	Description
Part IV: Program O	perations
A. Marketing (4 of 4)	
Additional Information.	Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:
Section A: Program	Description
Part IV: Program O	perations
B. Information to Po	tential Enrollees and Enrollees (1 of 5)

1. Assurances

The State assures CMS that it complies with Federal Regulations found at section 1932(a)(5) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.10 Information requirements; in so far as these regulations are applicable.

The State seeks a waiver of a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the	he
regulatory requirements listed above for PIHP or PAHP programs.	

Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to
which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:

The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM contracts for compliance with the provisions of section 1932(a)(5) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.10 Information requirements. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.

This is a proposal for a 1915(b)(4) FFS Selective Contracting Program only and the managed care regulations do not apply.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

B. Information to Potential Enrollees and Enrollees (2 of 5)

2. Details

a. Non-English Languages

1. Potential enrollee and enrollee materials will be translated into the prevalent non-English languages.

Please list languages materials will be translated into. (If the State does not require written materials to be translated, please explain):

Spanish

If the State does not translate or require the translation of marketing materials, please explain:

The State defines prevalent non-English languages as: (check any that apply):

a. The languages spoken by significant number of potential enrollees and enrollees.

Please explain how the State defines significant .:

b. The languages spoken by approximately 5.00 percent or more of the potential enrollee/enrollee population.

c. Other

Please explain:

2. Please describe how oral translation services are available to all potential enrollees and enrollees, regardless of language spoken.

The dental plans state in their member handbooks that oral interpretation is available for all languages at no charge to the enrollee. The phone numbers to call for this assistance are included. The dental plans make available all materials on audiotape, CDs, or the material is read over the phone, if requested.

3. The State will have a mechanism in place to help enrollees and potential enrollees understand the managed care program.

Please describe:

Newly eligible Medicaid enrollees are offered an orientation by a state Health Program Representative(HPR)about Medicaid, including the Dental Choices program. During orientation, the HPR describes the dental plan options, provider network information, services covered, how to get services not covered by the dental plans, etc. A detailed booklet is provided and information is available on line.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

B. Information to Potential Enrollees and Enrollees (3 of 5)

2. Details (Continued)

b. Potential Enrollee Information

Information is distributed to potential enrollees by:

State

Contractor

Please specify:

There are no potential enrollees in this program. (Check this if State automatically enrolls beneficiaries into a single PIHP or PAHP.)

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

B. Information to Potential Enrollees and Enrollees (4 of 5)

2. Details (Continued)

c. Enrollee Information

The State has designated the following as responsible for providing required information to enrollees:

the State

State contractor

Please specify:

Print application	selector for 1915(b) Waiver: UT.0004.R01.02 - Jan 01, 2021	Page 34 of 77
	The MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM/FFS selective contracting provider.	
Section A: Pro	ogram Description	
Part IV: Prog	gram Operations	
	on to Potential Enrollees and Enrollees (5 of 5)	
Additional Infor	mation. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:	
Section A: Pro	ogram Description	
Part IV: Prog	gram Operations	
C. Enrollmen	t and Disenrollment (1 of 6)	
1. Assurance	ees	
	The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(a)(4) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.56 so far as these regulations are applicable.	Disenrollment; in
	The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulato listed for PIHP or PAHP programs. (Please check this item if the State has requested a waiver of plan requirements in section A.I.C.)	•
	Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:	
	The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM controlled compliance with the provisions of section 1932(a)(4) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.56 Disenrolled If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, and the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment	nent requirements. I be submitted to
	This is a proposal for a 1915(b)(4) FFS Selective Contracting Program only and the managed contracting apply.	eare regulations do
Section A: Pro	ogram Description	
Part IV: Prog	gram Operations	
C. Enrollmen	t and Disenrollment (2 of 6)	

2. Details

Please describe the States enrollment process for MCOs/PIHPs/PAHP/PCCMs and FFS selective contracting provider by checking the applicable items below.

a. Outreach

The State conducts outreach to inform potential enrollees, providers, and other interested parties of the managed care program.

Please describe the outreach process, and specify any special efforts made to reach and provide information to special populations included in the waiver program:

The state provides outreach workers in various locations such as community health centers, hospitals, local health departments, and other state offices. These locations provide full services related to Medicaid eligibility. Outreach workers instruct enrollees to contact a Medicaid Health Program Representative to receive more information about Medicaid and to select a dental plan.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

C. Enrollment and Disenrollment (3 of 6)

2. Details (Continued)

b. Administration of Enrollment Process

State staff conducts the enrollment process.

The State contracts with an independent contractor(s) (i.e., enrollment broker) to conduct the enrollment process and related activities.

The State assures CMS the enrollment broker contract meets the independence and freedom from conflict of interest requirements in section 1903(b) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.810.

Broker name:		
Please list the functions that the contractor will perform:		
choice counseling		
enrollment		
other		
Please describe:		
State allows MCO/PIHP/PAHP or PCCM to enroll beneficiaries.		
Please describe the process:		

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

C. Enrollment and Disenrollment (4 of 6)

2. Details (Continued)

c. Enrollment. The State has indicated which populations are mandatorily enrolled and which may enroll on a voluntary basis in Section A.I.E.

This is a **new** program.

	Please describe the implementation schedule (e.g. implemented statewide all at once; phased in by area; phased in by population, etc.):		
This is an ex	xisting program that will be expanded during the renewal period.		
	ribe: Please describe the implementation schedule (e.g. new population implemented statewide phased in by area; phased in by population, etc.):		
Effective Ja	nuary 1, 2019, the Dental Choices waiver will be in effect statewide.		
-	I enrollee does not select an MCO/PIHP/PAHP or PCCM within the given time frame, the collee will be auto-assigned or default assigned to a plan.		
	Potential enrollees will have 10 day(s) / month(s) to choose a plan.		
ii.	There is an auto-assignment process or algorithm.		
	In the description please indicate the factors considered and whether or not the auto-assignment process assigns persons with special health care needs to an MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM who is their current provider or who is capable of serving their particular needs:		
	If a new beneficiary does not choose a dental plan within ten days, he or she will be assigned to a dental plan. Distribution will be equitable between the plans based on family groups.		
	For beneficiaries with prior dental plan enrollment history or fee-for-service claims history, the system will review his or her history for the past twelve months. If the beneficiary was enrolled in a dental plan in the prior twelve months, he or she will be auto assigned to that same plan.		
	If the beneficiary only has fee-for-service history, he or she will be enrolled in the dental plan in which his or her primary dental provider is a network provider.		
	If the health program representative (HPR) is aware that the beneficiary has special needs, the HPR makes additional effort to contact him or her.		
The State au	atomatically enrolls beneficiaries.		
on a ma	andatory basis into a single MCO, PIHP, or PAHP in a rural area (please also check item A.I.C.3).		
	andatory basis into a single PIHP or PAHP for which it has requested a waiver of the requirement ce of plans (please also check item A.I.C.1).		
choice.	luntary basis into a single MCO, PIHP, or PAHP. The State must first offer the beneficiary a If the beneficiary does not choose, the State may enroll the beneficiary as long as the beneficiary out at any time without cause.		
Please	specify geographic areas where this occurs:		
_	ovides guaranteed eligibility of months (maximum of 6 months permitted) for <i>M</i> enrollees under the State plan.		

The State allows otherwise mandated beneficiaries to request exemption from enrollment in an

10/23/2021

MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM.

Please describe the circumstances under which a beneficiary would be eligible for exemption from enrollment. In addition, please describe the exemption process:

The exception process allows individuals with special health care needs to be exempted from choosing a dental plan when the plan cannot immediately meet the needs of the beneficiary. Exemptions must be submitted and approved by the State Health Plan Exemption Committee. Medicaid enrolless may request an exemption through an HPR, or by sending a request to the Bureau of Managed Health Care's director.

The exemption may be approved if there is a reasonable expectation that the beneficiary's oral health would suffer if he or she were unable to obtain an exemption. Beneficiaries are informed of this process in the Medicaid Member Guide that the State makes available to beneficiaries.

The State **automatically re-enrolls** a beneficiary with the same PCCM or MCO/PIHP/PAHP if there is a loss of Medicaid eligibility of 2 months or less.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

C. Enrollment and Disenrollment (5 of 6)

2. Details (Continued)

d. Disenrollment

The State allows enrollees to **disenroll** from/transfer between MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs and PCCMs. Regardless of whether plan or State makes the determination, determination must be made no later than the first day of the second month following the month in which the enrollee or plan files the request. If determination is not made within this time frame, the request is deemed approved.

- **i.** Enrollee submits request to State.
- **ii.** Enrollee submits request to MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM. The entity may approve the request, or refer it to the State. The entity may not disapprove the request.
- **iii.** Enrollee must seek redress through MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM grievance procedure before determination will be made on disenrollment request.

The State **does not permit disenrollment** from a single PIHP/PAHP (authority under 1902 (a)(4) authority must be requested), or from an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP in a rural area.

The State has a **lock-in** period (i.e. requires continuous enrollment with MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM) of up to 12 months (up to 12 months permitted). If so, the State assures it meets the requirements of 42 CFR 438.56(c).

Please describe the good cause reasons for which an enrollee may request disenrollment during the lock-in period (in addition to required good cause reasons of poor quality of care, lack of access to covered services, and lack of access to providers experienced in dealing with enrollees health care needs):

An enrollee may request disenrollment from a dental plan for the following reasons:

The enrollee moves out of the dental plan's service area or out of a mandatory county;

The enrollee needs related services to be performed at the same time and not all services are available with the plan's network, and the enrollee's primary dentist determines that receiving the services separately would subject the enrollee to unnecessary risk;

The dental plan does not, because of moral or religious reasons, cover the service the enrollee seeks;

The enrollee becomes emancipated or is added to a different Medicaid case;

The dental plan makes changes to its network of providers that interferes with an enrollee's continuity of care with the enrollee's dentist of choice;

Poor quality of care;

Lack of access to care; or

Lack of access to dentists experienced in dealing with the enrollee's dental care needs.

The State does not have a **lock-in**, and enrollees in MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs and PCCMs are allowed to terminate or change their enrollment without cause at any time. The disenrollment/transfer is effective no later than the first day of the second month following the request.

The State permits MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs and PCCMs to request disenrollment of enrollees.

i. MCO/PIHP/PAHP and PCCM can request reassignment of an enrollee.

Please describe the reasons for which enrollees can request reassignment

The dental plan may initiate disenrollment for any enrollee based on one or more of the following reasons:

The enrollee is abusive or threatens acts of violence;

The enrollee does not follow medical advice or does not keep a good relationship with his/her provider;

The enrollee allows someone to use his/her Medicaid card; or

The enrollee used someone else's Medicaid card.

- **ii.** The State reviews and approves all MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM-initiated requests for enrollee transfers or disenrollments.
- **iii.** If the reassignment is approved, the State notifies the enrollee in a direct and timely manner of the desire of the MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM to remove the enrollee from its membership or from the PCCMs caseload.
- iv. The enrollee remains an enrollee of the MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM until another MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM is chosen or assigned.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

C. Enrollment and Disenrollment (6 of 6)

Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:

Print applica	tion selector for 1915(b) Waiver: UT.0004.R01.02 - Jan 01, 2021	Page 39 of 77
Section A:	Program Description	
Part IV: P	rogram Operations	
	e Rights (1 of 2)	
1. Assur	rances	
	The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(a)(5)(B)(ii) of the Act and 42 Enrollee Rights and Protections.	CFR 438 Subpart C
	The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the relisted for PIHP or PAHP programs.	gulatory requirements
	Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the manage which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement,	
	The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCN compliance with the provisions of section 1932(a)(5)(B)(ii) of the Act and 42 CFR Subp and Protections. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply w be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiarie PAHP, or PCCM.	art C Enrollee Rights ith these provisions will
	This is a proposal for a 1915(b)(4) FFS Selective Contracting Program only and the man not apply.	aged care regulations do
	The State assures CMS it will satisfy all HIPAA Privacy standards as contained in the H CFR Parts 160 and 164.	IPAA rules found at 45
Section A:	Program Description	
Part IV: P	rogram Operations	
D. Enrolle	e Rights (2 of 2)	
Additional I	nformation. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:	
Section A:	Program Description	
Part IV: P	rogram Operations	
E. Grievan	ace System (1 of 5)	

- **1. Assurances for All Programs** States, MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, and States in PCCM and FFS selective contracting programs are required to provide Medicaid enrollees with access to the State fair hearing process as required under 42 CFR 431 Subpart E, including:
 - **a.** informing Medicaid enrollees about their fair hearing rights in a manner that assures notice at the time of an action.
 - **b.** ensuring that enrollees may request continuation of benefits during a course of treatment during an appeal or reinstatement of services if State takes action without the advance notice and as required in accordance with State Policy consistent with fair hearings. The State must also inform enrollees of the procedures by which

benefits can be continued for reinstated, and

c. other requirements for fair hearings found in 42 CFR 431, Subpart E.

The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(a)(4) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.56 Disenrollment; in so far as these regulations are applicable.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

E. Grievance System (2 of 5)

2. Assurances For MCO or PIHP programs. MCOs/PIHPs are required to have an internal grievance system that allows an enrollee or a provider on behalf of an enrollee to challenge the denial of coverage of, or payment for services as required by section 1932(b)(4) of the Act and 42 CFR 438 Subpart H.

The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(b)(4) of the Act and 42 CFR 438 Subpart F Grievance System, in so far as these regulations are applicable.

Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:

The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO or PIHP contracts for compliance with the provisions of section 1932(b)(4) of the Act and 42 CFR 438 Subpart F Grievance System. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

E. Grievance System (3 of 5)

3. Details for MCO or PIHP programs

a. Direct Access to Fair Hearing

The State **requires** enrollees to **exhaust** the MCO or PIHP grievance and appeal process before enrollees may request a state fair hearing.

The State **does not require** enrollees to **exhaust** the MCO or PIHP grievance and appeal process before enrollees may request a state fair hearing.

b. Timeframes

The States timeframe within which an enrollee, or provider on behalf of	an enrollee, mu	st file an appeal is
60 days (between 20 and 90).		
The States timeframe within which an enrollee must file a grievance is		days.

c. Special Needs

The State has special processes in place for persons with special needs.

Please describe:

Print application selector for 1915(b) Waiver: UT.0004.R01.02 - Jan 01, 2021 Page 41 of 77
Section A: Program Description
Part IV: Program Operations
E. Grievance System (4 of 5)
4. Optional grievance systems for PCCM and PAHP programs . States, at their option, may operate a PCCM and/or PAHP grievance procedure (distinct from the fair hearing process) administered by the State agency or the PCCM and/or PAHP that provides for prompt resolution of issues. These grievance procedures are strictly voluntary and may not interfere with a PCCM, or PAHP enrollees freedom to make a request for a fair hearing or a PCCM or PAHP enrollees direct access to a fair hearing in instances involving terminations, reductions, and suspensions of already authorized Medicaid covered services.
The State has a grievance procedure for its PCCM and/or PAHP program characterized by the following (please check any of the following optional procedures that apply to the optional PCCM/PAHP grievance procedure): The grievance procedures are operated by:
the State
the States contractor.
Please identify:
the PCCM
the PAHP
Requests for review can be made in the PCCM and/or PAHP grievance system (e.g. grievance, appeals):
Please describe:
Has a committee or staff who review and resolve requests for review.
Please describe if the State has any specific committee or staff composition or if this is a fiscal agent, enrollment broker, or PCCM administrator function:
Specifies a time frame from the date of action for the enrollee to file a request for review.
Please specify the time frame for each type of request for review:
Has time frames for resolving requests for review.
Specify the time period set for each type of request for review:

Permits enroll	ees to appear before State PCCM/PAHP personnel responsible for resolving the request for re-
Notifies the er	arollee in writing of the decision and any further opportunities for additional review, as well and all to challenge the decision.
Other.	
Please explair	

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

E. Grievance System (5 of 5)

Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:

As these requirements now apply to PAHPs, the State assures that it complies with section 1932(b)(4) of the Act and 42 CFR Subpart F Grievance System, insofar as these regulations are applicable to the State's dental PAHPs. The State responded to Parts IV.E.2 and IV.E.3. for the dental PAHPs.

Clarification of IV.E.3.b: The State did not enter a timeframe for filing grievances because current federal regulations state that an enrollee may file a grievance with an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP at any time.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

F. Program Integrity (1 of 3)

1. Assurances

The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(d)(1) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.610 Prohibited Affiliations with Individuals Barred by Federal Agencies. The State assures that it prohibits an MCO, PCCM, PIHP, or PAHP from knowingly having a relationship listed below with:

- 1. An individual who is debarred, suspended, or otherwise excluded from participating in procurement activities under the Federal Acquisition Regulation or from participating in nonprocurement activities under regulations issued under Executive Order No. 12549 or under guidelines implementing Executive Order No. 12549, or
- **2.** An individual who is an affiliate, as defined in the Federal Acquisition Regulation, of a person described above.

The prohibited relationships are:

- 1. A director, officer, or partner of the MCO, PCCM, PIHP, or PAHP;
- **2.** A person with beneficial ownership of five percent or more of the MCOs, PCCMs, PIHPs, or PAHPs equity:
- **3.** A person with an employment, consulting or other arrangement with the MCO, PCCM, PIHP, or PAHP for the provision of items and services that are significant and material to the MCOs, PCCMs, PIHPs, or PAHPs obligations under its contract with the State.

The State assures that it complies with section 1902(p)(2) and 42 CFR 431.55, which require section 1915(b) waiver programs to exclude entities that:

- 1. Could be excluded under section 1128(b)(8) of the Act as being controlled by a sanctioned individual;
- **2.** Has a substantial contractual relationship (direct or indirect) with an individual convicted of certain crimes described in section 1128(b)(8)(B) of the Act;
- 3. Employs or contracts directly or indirectly with an individual or entity that is
 - **a.** precluded from furnishing health care, utilization review, medical social services, or administrative services pursuant to section 1128 or 1128A of the Act, or
 - **b.** could be exclude under 1128(b)(8) as being controlled by a sanctioned individual.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

F. Program Integrity (2 of 3)

2. Assurances For MCO or PIHP programs

The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(d)(1) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.608 Program Integrity Requirements, in so far as these regulations are applicable.

State payments to an MCO or PIHP are based on data submitted by the MCO or PIHP. If so, the State assures CMS that it is in compliance with 42 CFR 438.604 Data that must be Certified, and 42 CFR 438.606 Source, Content, Timing of Certification.

The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulatory requirements listed for PIHP or PAHP programs.

Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:

The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO or PIHP contracts for compliance with the

provisions of section 1932(d)(1) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.604 Data that must be Certified; 438.606 Source, Content, Timing of Certification; and 438.608 Program Integrity Requirements. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

F. Program Integrity (3 of 3)

Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:

As these requirements now apply to PAHPs, the State assures CMS that it will comply with 42 CFR Subpart H as is applies to PAHPs.

Section B: Monitoring Plan

Part I: Summary Chart of Monitoring Activities

Summary of Monitoring Activities (1 of 3)

The charts in this section summarize the activities used to monitor major areas of the waiver program. The purpose is to provide a big picture of the monitoring activities, and that the State has at least one activity in place to monitor each of the areas of the waiver that must be monitored.

Please note:

- MCO, PIHP, and PAHP programs:
 - There must be at least one checkmark in each column.
- PCCM and FFS selective contracting programs:
 - There must be at least one checkmark in <u>each column</u> under Evaluation of Program Impact.
 - There must be at least one check mark in one of the three columns under Evaluation of Access.
 - There must be at least one check mark in one of the three columns under Evaluation of Quality.

Summary of Monitoring Activities: Evaluation of Program Impact

Evaluation of Program Impact						
Monitoring Activity	Choice	Marketing	Enroll Disenroll	Program Integrity	Information to Beneficiaries	Grievance
Accreditation for Non-	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO
duplication	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	РАНР	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Accreditation for Participation	МСО	МСО	МСО	MCO	МСО	МСО
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	PAHP	PAHP	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Consumer Self-Report data	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	РАНР	PAHP	PAHP	РАНР	РАНР	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Data Analysis (non-claims)	МСО	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	РАНР	PAHP	РАНР	PAHP	РАНР	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Enrollee Hotlines	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	MCO
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	РАНР	PAHP	РАНР	PAHP	РАНР	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Focused Studies	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO	МСО	МСО
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	РАНР	PAHP	PAHP	РАНР	РАНР	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Geographic mapping	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	PAHP	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	PAHP

PCCM	Evaluation of Program Impact						
FES	Monitoring Activity	Choice	Marketing		_		
MCO		PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
MCO		FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
PAHP	Independent Assessment	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO
PCCM		PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
FFS		РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР
Measure any Disparities by Racial or Ethnic Groups		PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
Racial or Ethnic Groups		FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
PHIP	Measure any Disparities by	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	MCO
PCCM	Racial of Limit Groups	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
FFS		PAHP	РАНР	PAHP	РАНР	РАНР	PAHP
Network Adequacy Assurance by Plan MCO PCM PAHP PAHP		PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO		FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
PIHP	Network Adequacy Assurance	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	MCO
PCCM	by I lan	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
FFS		РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	PAHP
Ombudsman MCO PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PAHP PIHP PIHP		PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO		FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
PAHP	Ombudsman	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	MCO
PCCM		PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
FFS		PAHP	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	PAHP
On-Site Review MCO PDHP PHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PHP		PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
MCO		FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP	On-Site Review	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO
PCCM		PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
FFS		PAHP	РАНР	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP
Performance Improvement Projects MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MC		PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
Projects MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS FFS FFS Performance Measures MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS FFS Periodic Comparison of # of MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS FFS FFS Periodic Comparison of # of MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO		FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP	Performance Improvement	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO
PCCM PFS FFS FFS FFS FFS FFS FFS FFS FFS FFS PCCM PCCM	Trojects	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
FFS		PAHP	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	PAHP
Performance Measures MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MC		PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
MCO		FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS FFS FFS Periodic Comparison of # of MCO	Performance Measures	MCO	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	MCO
PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS FFS FFS Periodic Comparison of # of MCO							
FFS FFS FFS FFS FFS FFS Periodic Comparison of # of MCO		РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР
Periodic Comparison of # of MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO		PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
		FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
rroviders	Periodic Comparison of # of	MCO	MCO	МСО	MCO	МСО	MCO
PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP	Providers						

Evaluation of Program Impact						
Monitoring Activity	Choice	Marketing	Enroll Disenroll	Program Integrity	Information to Beneficiaries	Grievance
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Profile Utilization by Provider	MCO	МСО	MCO	МСО	MCO	МСО
Caseload	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	РАНР	PAHP	PAHP	РАНР	РАНР	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Provider Self-Report Data	MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO	МСО	MCO
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	РАНР	PAHP	PAHP	РАНР	РАНР	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Test 24/7 PCP Availability	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	MCO
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Utilization Review	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО	МСО
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	РАНР	PAHP	PAHP	РАНР	РАНР	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Other	MCO	МСО	MCO	MCO	МСО	MCO
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS

Section B: Monitoring Plan

Part I: Summary Chart of Monitoring Activities

Summary of Monitoring Activities (2 of 3)

The charts in this section summarize the activities used to monitor major areas of the waiver program. The purpose is to provide a big picture of the monitoring activities, and that the State has at least one activity in place to monitor each of the areas of the waiver that must be monitored.

Please note:

- MCO, PIHP, and PAHP programs:
 - There must be at least one checkmark in each column.
- PCCM and FFS selective contracting programs:
 - There must be at least one checkmark in <u>each column</u> under Evaluation of Program Impact.

- There must be at least one check mark in one of the three columns under Evaluation of Access.
- There must be at least one check mark in one of the three columns under Evaluation of Quality.

Summary of Monitoring Activities: Evaluation of Access

	Evaluation of Acc	ess	
Monitoring Activity	Timely Access	PCP / Specialist Capacity	Coordination / Continuity
Accreditation for Non-duplication	MCO	МСО	MCO
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS
Accreditation for Participation	MCO	MCO	MCO
	PIHP	РІНР	PIHP
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS
Consumer Self-Report data	MCO	MCO	MCO
	PIHP	РІНР	PIHP
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS
Data Analysis (non-claims)			
	MCO	MCO	MCO
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	РАНР	РАНР	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
Enrollee Hotlines	FFS	FFS	FFS
	MCO	MCO	MCO
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	РАНР	PAHP	РАНР
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS
Focused Studies	MCO	MCO	MCO
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS
Geographic mapping	MCO	МСО	MCO
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS
Independent Assessment		+	

Evaluation of Access					
Monitoring Activity	Timely Access	PCP / Specialist Capacity	Coordination / Continuity		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	PAHP	PAHP	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Measure any Disparities by Racial or Ethnic	МСО	MCO	МСО		
Groups	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Network Adequacy Assurance by Plan	МСО	MCO	MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Ombudsman	MCO	MCO	МСО		
	РІНР	PIHP	РІНР		
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
On-Site Review	MCO	MCO	MCO		
	РІНР	РІНР	РІНР		
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Performance Improvement Projects	MCO	MCO	MCO		
	PIHP	РІНР	РІНР		
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Performance Measures	MCO	MCO	MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Periodic Comparison of # of Providers	MCO	MCO	MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		

	Evaluation of Access					
Monitoring Activity	Timely Access	PCP / Specialist Capacity	Coordination / Continuity			
Profile Utilization by Provider Caseload	MCO	MCO	МСО			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	РАНР	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Provider Self-Report Data	MCO	MCO	МСО			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	РАНР	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Test 24/7 PCP Availability	MCO	MCO	МСО			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	РАНР	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Utilization Review	MCO	MCO	МСО			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	РАНР	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Other	MCO	MCO	МСО			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	РАНР	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			

Section B: Monitoring Plan

Part I: Summary Chart of Monitoring Activities

Summary of Monitoring Activities (3 of 3)

The charts in this section summarize the activities used to monitor major areas of the waiver program. The purpose is to provide a big picture of the monitoring activities, and that the State has at least one activity in place to monitor each of the areas of the waiver that must be monitored.

Please note:

- MCO, PIHP, and PAHP programs:
 - There must be at least one checkmark in each column.
- PCCM and FFS selective contracting programs:
 - There must be at least one checkmark in <u>each column</u> under Evaluation of Program Impact.
 - There must be at least one check mark in one of the three columns under Evaluation of Access.
 - There must be at least one check mark in one of the three columns under Evaluation of Quality.

Summary of Monitoring Activities: Evaluation of Quality

Evaluation of Quality					
Monitoring Activity	Coverage / Authorization	Provider Selection	Qualitiy of Care		
Accreditation for Non-duplication	MCO	MCO	MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	РАНР	PAHP	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Accreditation for Participation	MCO	MCO	MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Consumer Self-Report data	MCO	МСО	MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Data Analysis (non-claims)	MCO	МСО	MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Enrollee Hotlines	MCO	MCO	MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Focused Studies	MCO	MCO	MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	РІНР		
	РАНР	PAHP	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Geographic mapping	MCO	МСО	MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Independent Assessment	MCO	MCO	MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		

Evaluation of Quality						
Monitoring Activity	Coverage / Authorization	Provider Selection	Qualitiy of Care			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Measure any Disparities by Racial or Ethnic	MCO	MCO	МСО			
Groups	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Network Adequacy Assurance by Plan	MCO	MCO	мсо			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Ombudsman	MCO	MCO	MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
On-Site Review	MCO	MCO	MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Performance Improvement Projects						
	MCO	MCO	MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
Performance Measures	FFS	FFS	FFS			
CATOLINAIRCE PACASULES	MCO	MCO	MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	РАНР	РАНР			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Periodic Comparison of # of Providers	MCO	MCO	MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	РАНР	РАНР	РАНР			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Profile Utilization by Provider Caseload	MCO	MCO	MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	РАНР	РАНР	PAHP			

Evaluation of Quality						
Monitoring Activity	Coverage / Authorization	Provider Selection	Qualitiy of Care			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Provider Self-Report Data	МСО	MCO	МСО			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	РАНР	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Test 24/7 PCP Availability	MCO	MCO	МСО			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Utilization Review	MCO	MCO	МСО			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	РАНР			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Other	МСО	MCO	МСО			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			

Section B: Monitoring Plan

Part II: Details of Monitoring Activities

Details of Monitoring Activities by Authorized Programs

For each program authorized by this waiver, please provide the details of its monitoring activities by editing each program listed below.

Programs Authorized by this Waiver:

Program	Type of Program
Dental Choices	PAHP;

Note: If no programs appear in this list, please define the programs authorized by this waiver on the

Section B: Monitoring Plan

Part II: Details of Monitoring Activities

Program Instance: Choice of Dental Care Delivery Program

Please check each of the monitoring activities below used by the State. A number of common activities are listed below, but the State may identify any others it uses. If federal regulations require a given activity, this is indicated just after the name of the activity. If the State does not use a required activity, it must explain why.

For each activity, the state must provide the following information:

- Personnel responsible (e.g. state Medicaid, other state agency, delegated to plan, EQR, other contractor)
- Detailed description of activity
- Frequency of use
- How it yields information about the area(s) being monitored

Acı	tivity Details:
	NCQA
	JСАНО
	AAAHC
	Other
	Please describe:
A	ccreditation for Participation (i.e. as prerequisite to be Medicaid plan)
A ct	tivity Details:
	NCOA
	NCQA ICAHO
	ЈСАНО
	JCAHO AAAHC Other
	ЈСАНО АААНС
	JCAHO AAAHC Other
	JCAHO AAAHC Other
	JCAHO AAAHC Other
	JCAHO AAAHC Other Please describe:
	JCAHO AAAHC Other Please describe: onsumer Self-Report data
	JCAHO AAAHC Other Please describe:
Act	JCAHO AAAHC Other Please describe: onsumer Self-Report data tivity Details:
Act	JCAHO AAAHC Other Please describe: onsumer Self-Report data
Act	JCAHO AAAHC Other Please describe: onsumer Self-Report data tivity Details: oxes checked in summary chart: Evaluation of Access (Timely Access & PCP/Specialis

How it yields information about the area(s) being monitored: The CAHPS surveys provide information about how Medicaid enrollees feel about their dental care experience with their dental plans and play an important role as a Quality Improvement tool for dental plans in that surveys identify strengths and weaknesses in the dental plans' performances.

the survey process and publish the dental plan performance reports. The two surveys used are the Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS) adult and children

questionnaires. The surveys are conducted in alternating years.

Activity Details:

Pl	lease identify which one(s):
Γ	
	State-developed survey
	Disenrollment survey
•	Consumer/beneficiary focus group
	Analysis (non-claims)
Activit	ty Details:
ļ	Denials of referral requests
	Disenrollment requests by enrollee
	From plan
	From PCP within plan
•	Grievances and appeals data
(Other
P	ease describe:
г	
L	

Boxes checked in summary chart: Evaluation of Program Impact (Information to Beneficiaries & Grievances), and Evaluation of Quality (Quality of Care).

Personnel responsible: The State Medicaid agency

Detailed description of activity: It is anticipated that the two main sources of client complaints will continue to be the following:

- 1. The State's Health Program Representatives (HPRs) In addition to explaining the Medicaid program to clients, HPRs serve as health care advocates for dental enrollees. Because the HPR is the closest liaison clients have with the Medicaid program, numerous problems are resolved by the HPRs on an informal basis. Due to this one-on-one interaction between the HPR and Medicaid client, there are very few complaints that necessitate other staff getting involved. If the HPR cannot resolve the problem, the client is encouraged to work with the dental plan and file a grievance, if necessary. If the issue can't be resolved by the HPR, the HPR refers the issue to the Health Program Manager in the Bureau of Managed Health Care.
- 2. Constituent Services Representative The Medicaid agency has a constituent services representative who handles and tracks all calls received by Medicaid clients related to both fee-for-service and dental plan issues. If the issue is related to dental plans, the representative refers the issue to a Health Program Manager.

Frequency of use: Ongoing.

How it yields information about the area being monitored: Calls from dental plan enrollees provide information about the types of issues enrollees have regarding the dental plans and require corrective actions, as necessary.

f.

Focused Studies (detailed investigations of certain aspects of clinical or non-clinical services at a point in time, to answer defined questions. Focused studies differ from performance improvement projects in that they do not require demonstrable and sustained improvement in significant aspects of clinical care and non-clinical service)

Activity Details:

Geographic mapping

Activity Details:

h.

Boxes checked in summary chart: Evaluation of Program Impact (Choice), and Evaluation of Access (Timely Access & PCP/Specialist Capacity).

Personnel responsible: An External Quality Review Organization (EQRO)

Detailed description of activity: The dental plans have software that maps the distribution of its dental providers in relation to its enrollees providing visual evidence that their enrollees have a choice of providers that are within a reasonable distance of an enrollee's residence.

Frequency of use: Every 12 months

How it yields information about the area(s) being monitored: This gives the State information about provider access for dental plans' enrollees.

Independent Assessment (Required for first two waiver periods)
Activity Details:

Boxes checked in summary chart: Evaluation of Program Impact (Marketing, Information to Beneficiaries & Grievance); Evaluation of Access (Timely Access); and Evaluation of Quality (Quality of Care).

Personnel responsible: An entity contracted with the State to conduct an independent assessment

Detailed description of activity: The independent assessment of the Dental Choices waiver will evaluate access to services under the waiver, the quality of waiver services, and the cost-effectiveness of the waiver. The independent assessment entity will produce a written report of its findings.

Frequency of use: Independent assessments are required for the first two waiver periods.

How it yields information about the area(s) being monitored: The independent assessment of the Dental for D/B waiver will provide an evaluation of the waiver and whether enrollees' access to quality care has been negatively impacted by the waiver. In addition, the IA will determine whether the waiver has cost more than what the Medicaid program would have cost without the waiver.

Measure any Disparities by Racial or Ethnic Groups Activity Details:

Boxes checked in summary chart: Evaluation of Program Impact (Choice), and Evaluation of Quality (Quality of Care).

Personnel responsible: The State Medicaid agency

Detailed description of activity: To be determined. The State has not yet determined the details. The State is in the process of implementing the new managed care regulations that relate to this activity (measuring disparities by racial or ethnic groups).

Frequency of use: To be determined.

How it yields information about the area(s) being monitored: The monitoring activity will have the goal of evaluation in order to reduce, to the extent practicable, health disparities based on race and ethnicity.

j. Network Adequacy Assurance by Plan [Required for MCO/PIHP/PAHP] Activity Details:

Evaluation of Program Impact (Choice & Grievance), and Evaluation of Access (Timely Access, PCP/Specialist Capacity).

Personnel responsible: The EQRO and State Medicaid agency

Detailed description of activity: In accordance with 42 CFR 438.207, each dental plan will submit to the State required assurances and supporting documentation that demonstrates that each dental plan has adequate capacity and services.

Frequency of use: In accordance with timing requirements in 42 CFR 438.207.

How it yields information about the area(s) being monitored: The assurances and supporting documentation submitted to the State will demonstrate that the dental plans have the capacity to serve the expected enrollment in its service area in accordance with the State's standards for access to care.

k. Ombudsman

Activity Details:

l. On-Site Review Activity Details:

Boxes checked in summary chart: Evaluation of Program Impact (Program Integrity, Information to Beneficiaries & Grievance); Evaluation of Access (Timely Access, PCP/Specialist Capacity & Coordination/Continuity); and Evaluation of Quality (Coverage/Authorization, Provider Selection, & Quality of Care).

Personnel responsible: An EQRO

Description of activity: In accordance with 438.358, an EQRO conducts on-site compliance reviews to determine PAHPs' (i.e. dental plans') compliance with managed care regulations. The EQRO will develop monitoring areas and standards. The EQRO performs pre-onsite desk audits as needed in preparation for the onsite reviews. Dental plans are required to develop an acceptable corrective action for each area/standard for which the EQRO assigned a score of less than full compliance. Dental plans then implement the conective action plans. The EQRO conducts follow-up monitoring thereafter to ensure the MOCs successfully implement their corrective action plan.

Frequency of use: The EQRO conducts compliance reviews at least every three years in accordance with CFR 438.358. The EQRO conducts follow-up reviews, as necessary.

How it yields infomrntion about the area(s) being monitored: The EQR provides information about the dental plans' ongoing compliance with various managed care requirements.

Performance Improvement Projects [Required for MCO/PIHP]

Activity Details:

Boxes checked in summary chart: Evaluation of Quality (Quality of Care).

Personnel responsible: An EQRO

Detailed description of activity: In accordance with 42 CFR 438.358, an EQRO conducts annual validations of PAHPs' (i.e. dental plans) performance improvement projects (PIPs) that focus on clinical and non-clinical areas. The purpose of a PIP is to achieve, through ongoing measurements and interventions, significant improvement sustained over time. The dental plans will submit annual reports of the activities undertaken on their PIPs in the preceding calendar year. The EQRO will use CMS' published protocol for validating PIPs. Based on the EQRO's evaluation, the EQRO will determine the overall methodological validity of the PIP.

Frequency of use: Annually.

How it yields information about the area(s) being monitored: The validations provide information on the PIP activities undertaken during the preceding 12 months and improvements that are occurring as a result of the PIP.

Clinical

Non-clinical

n. Performance Measures [Required for MCO/PIHP]

Activity Details:

Boxes checked in summary chart: Evaluation of Access (Timely Access & PCP/Specialist Capacity.

Personnel responsible: The Medicaid agency and the Office of Health Care Statistics

The State requires that the dental plans submit an audited Health Employer Data and Information (HEDIS) performance measure annually to the Department of Health, Office of Health Care Statistics (OHCS). The State's monitoring team consults with the OHCS in reviewing the data by trending the results across years within each plan, comparing results between plans and with national Medicaid averages.

Based on each plan's results, the State recommends areas needing further study, corrective actions, possible Quality Improvement initiatives, etc.

Performance measures yield information about the health plans' access and timeliness of care, effectiveness of care and quality of care.

Process

Health status/ outcomes

Access/ availability of care

Use of services/ utilization

Health plan stability/ financial/ cost of care

Health plan/ provider characteristics

Beneficiary characteristics

Periodic Comparison of # of Providers
 Activity Details:

Boxes checked in summary chart: Evaluation of Program Impact (Choice, Enroll/Disenroll), and Evaluation of Access (Timely Access, PCP/Specialist Capacity)

Personnel responsible: The State and dental plans.

Frequency of use: Each month, the State requires the dental plans to provide a complete list of their provider network by type of oral health provider and county.

How it yields information about the area(s) being monitored: The reports will aid the State in monitoring the adequacy of each plan's network, trends in each plan's network and any potential issues regarding access to care.

Ac	ivity Details:
q.	rovider Self-Report Data
Ac	ivity Details:

	Focus groups
Tes	t 24/7 PCP Availability
	vity Details:
	lization Review (e.g. ER, non-authorized specialist requests)
Activ	vity Details:
Oth	er
Oth	
	ner vity Details:

Section C: Monitoring Results

Renewal Waiver Request

Section 1915(b) of the Act and 42 CFR 431.55 require that the State must document and maintain data regarding the effect of the waiver on the accessibility and quality of services as well as the anticipated impact of the project on the States Medicaid program. In Section B of this waiver preprint, the State describes how it will assure these requirements are met. For an initial waiver request, the State provides assurance in this Section C that it will report on the results of its monitoring plan when it submits its waiver renewal request. For a renewal request, the State provides evidence that waiver requirements were met for the most recent waiver period. Please use Section D to provide evidence of cost-effectiveness.

CMS uses a multi-pronged effort to monitor waiver programs, including rate and contract review, site visits, reviews of External Quality Review reports on MCOs/PIHPs, and reviews of Independent Assessments. CMS will use the results of these activities and reports along with this Section to evaluate whether the Program Impact, Access, and Quality requirements of the waiver were met.

This is a renewal request.

This is the first time the State is using this waiver format to renew an existing waiver. The State provides below the results of the monitoring activities conducted during the previous waiver period.

The State has used this format previously The State provides below the results of the monitoring activities conducted during the previous waiver period.

For each of the monitoring activities checked in Section B of the previous waiver request, the State should:

- **Confirm** it was conducted as described in Section B of the previous waiver preprint. If it was not done as described, please explain why.
- Summarize the results or findings of each activity. CMS may request detailed results as appropriate.
- **Identify problems** found, if any.
- **Describe plan/provider-level corrective action**, if any, that was taken. The State need not identify the provider/plan by name, but must provide the rest of the required information.
- Describe system-level program changes, if any, made as a result of monitoring findings.

The Monitoring Activities were conducted as described:

Yes No

If No, please explain:

The State was inconsistent on whether it planned on conducting two of the monitoring activities, "Test 24/7 PCP Availability" and "Utilization Review" under "Evaluation of Program Impact. The State checked several boxes in the Summary of Chart of Monitoring Activities related to the two activities, but did not check the corresponding boxes (r. and s.)in the "Detail of Monitoring Activities" section. The State did not conduct these two monitoring activities and should not have checked the boxes in the "Evaluation of Program Impact" section.

Provide the results of the monitoring activities:

Consumer Self-Report Data: The first CAHPS questionnaire for Medicaid dental plan enrollees was conducted in 2015. The CAHPS survey asked respondents to rate 4 areas of their experiences with the care & services received by their plans. The adult CAHPS survey was conducted in 2015 & 2017. There were four CAHPS ratings reported by adult enrollees for the two Medicaid dental plans, Delta Dental & Premier Access. The avg scores of the two dental plans for the 2 years the surveys were conducted were as follows: rating of regular dentist went from 80.51% to 83.66%, rating of dental care went from 76% to 84.62%, rating of ease of finding a dental provider was reported for only one plan, and the rating of the dental plan went from 73.47% to 72.02%. The child CAHPS survey was conducted in 2016. The same rating questions were the same for both children & adults. The same two dental plans, Delta Dental & Premier Access, were the two dental plans available to children. (Statewide avgs were reported for the children CAHPS, but not the adult CAHPS.) Both dental plans were above the statewide avgs for the rating of regular dentist, rating of dental care, and rating of dental plan. For the rating of ease of finding a dentist, the statewide avg was 72.73%, Premier Access was 70.59%, & Delta Dental's numerators were too small to report. No issues found.

Data analysis (non-claims): Requests by enrollee to disenroll from the dental plan–During the 5 years, all requests by enrollees to change health plans were screened for "with cause" reasons. The only issues that were a cause for concern were related to one plan using a subcapitated model. (See Periodic Comparisons of # of Providers below.) Grievance & appeals data-The EQRO completed its first compliance review in 2016 and its first follow-up review in 2017. The scores for each dental plan on Standard V-Grievance System were as follows (the 2016 score is followed by the 2017 score) Delta Dental=72% & 95% and Premier Access=73% & 92%. The EQRO required corrective action plans for both plans years. Other (termination of providers & aberrant provider behavior)-There was an average of fewer than one termination or aberrant provider behavior reported for each plan per year during the five-year period, therefore, this was not an issue. Enrollee Hotlines: All issues related to the two dental plans were resolved by either a HPR, the constituent services rep, or the Bureau of Managed Health Care. The only issue in any of these 3 areas of data analysis was resolved.

Focused Studies: The State is participating in the Center for Health Care Strategies/CMS Oral Health Initiative Learning Collaborative. The development of outreach materials, education, working with community partners, and survey results have yielded numerous achievements such as policy changes and identification of barriers that prevent families from getting preventive dental services.

Geographic Mapping: During the compliance reviews, the EQRO found that both dental plans have effective geographic mapping software to ensure that they have sufficient numbers of dentists to meet the needs of all their members and they are within a reasonable distance of an enrollee's residence.

Independent Assessment (IA): The State submitted its IA with this waiver renewal request.

Measure any Disparities by Racial or Ethnic Groups: One dental plan was scored "partially met" for their first compliance review with a corrective action plan including to provide consistent staff training on cultural competency. In the follow-up compliance review, the EQRO found that the plan had successfully completed the CAP and is in full compliance. The other plan had "partially met" this standard both years. It has developed a cultural competency CAP but has not yet implemented it.

Network Adequacy Assurance by Plan: Both plans submitted monthly reports that showed they had an adequate network of providers so that all members had timely access to dentists for all services covered by the dental plans. There was a time period in 2016 that the State found a significant decrease in one of the dental plan's number of providers due to a subcapitation model Please see "Comparison of # of Providers" for the resolution.

Ombudsman: See response to Enrollee Hotlines.

On-Site Review: The EQRO completed its first compliance review in 2016 & its first follow-up review in 2017. The overall scores for all applicable 8 domains for each plan were as follows (the 2016 is followed by the 2017 score): Delta Dental=83% & 98% and Premier Access=84% & 93%. In 2016, Delta Dental was given 100% on one Standard and in 2017 100% on three Standards. In 2016, Premier Access was given 100% on two Standards and in 2017, 100% on three Standards. The EQRO required corrective action plans for both plans in both years on all Standards that were below 100%.

Performance Measures: The dental HEDIS measure, Medicaid members 2-20 years of age who had at least one dental visit during the year, was reported by Delta Dental and Premier Access for the service years 2014, 2015 & 2016. The overall results were as follows: Delta Dental for 2014, 2015, & 2016 were 54.7%, 64.4%, & 59.9%, respectively. Premier Access for 2014, 2015, & 2016 were 64.83%, 69.49%, and 63.9%, respectively. Even though both plans' 2015 years were higher than their 2014 and 2016 years, the trend for both plans is upward. In addition, for each of the 3 years both plans are above the national Medicaid avgs.

Periodic Comparisons of # of Providers: This comparison of provider numbers showed a significant drop in one of the plan's providers. The drop occurred because of the plan's move to subcapitated arrangements with its providers. After monitoring access to care issues, the State worked with the Utah Dental Association & dental plans to enter into a memorandum of agreement to no longer allow subcapitation & to enroll any willing provider to a plan network.

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Medical Eligibility Groups

Title	
Dental Services	

	First Period		Second Period		
	Start Date	End Date	Start Date	End Date	
Actual Enrollment for the Time Period**	07/01/2016	06/30/2017	07/01/2017	06/30/2018	
Enrollment Projections for the Time Period*	01/01/2019	12/31/2019	01/01/2020	12/31/2020	

^{**}Include actual data and dates used in conversion - no estimates

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Services Included in the Waiver

Document the services included in the waiver cost-effectiveness analysis:

Service Name	State Plan Service	1915(b)(3) Service	Included in Actual Waiver Cost	
Dental Services				

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

A. Assurances

a. [Required] Through the submission of this waiver, the State assures CMS:

- The fiscal staff in the Medicaid agency has reviewed these calculations for accuracy and attests to their correctness.
- The State assures CMS that the actual waiver costs will be less than or equal to or the States waiver cost projection.
- Capitated rates will be set following the requirements of 42 CFR 438.6(c) and will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval.
- Capitated 1915(b)(3) services will be set in an actuarially sound manner based only on approved 1915(b)(3) services and their administration subject to CMS RO prior approval.
- The State will monitor, on a regular basis, the cost-effectiveness of the waiver (for example, the State may compare the PMPM Actual Waiver Cost from the CMS 64 to the approved Waiver Cost Projections). If changes are needed, the State will submit a prospective amendment modifying the Waiver Cost Projections.
- The State will submit quarterly actual member month enrollment statistics by MEG in conjunction with the States submitted CMS-64 forms.

Signature: Emma Chacon

State Medicaid Director or Designee

Submission
Date: Aug 18, 2021

^{*}Projections start on Quarter and include data for requested waiver period

Note: The Signature and Submission Date fields will be automatically completed when the State Medicaid Director submits the application.

Cost-effectiveness spreadsheet is required for all 1915b waiver submissions.

b. Name of Medicaid Financial Officer making these assurances:

Eric Grant

c. Telephone Number:

(801) 538-7099

d. E-mail:

egrant@utah.gov

e. The State is choosing to report waiver expenditures based on

date of payment.

date of service within date of payment. The State understands the additional reporting requirements in the CMS-64 and has used the cost effectiveness spreadsheets designed specifically for reporting by date of service within day of payment. The State will submit an initial test upon the first renewal and then an initial and final test (for the preceding 4 years) upon the second renewal and thereafter.

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

B. Expedited or Comprehensive Test

To provide information on the waiver program to determine whether the waiver will be subject to the Expedited or Comprehensive cost effectiveness test. *Note: All waivers, even those eligible for the Expedited test, are subject to further review at the discretion of CMS and OMB.*

- **b.** The State provides additional services under 1915(b)(3) authority.
- **c.** The State makes enhanced payments to contractors or providers.
- **d.** The State uses a sole-source procurement process to procure State Plan services under this waiver.
- e. The State uses a sole-source procurement process to procure State Plan services under this waiver. Note: do not mark this box if this is a waiver for transportation services and dental pre-paid ambulatory health plans (PAHPs) that has overlapping populations with another waiver meeting one of these three criteria. For transportation and dental waivers alone, States do not need to consider an overlapping population with another waiver containing additional services, enhanced payments, or sole source procurement as a trigger for the comprehensive waiver test. However, if the transportation services or dental PAHP waiver meets the criteria in a, b, or c for additional services, enhanced payments, or sole source procurement then the State should mark the appropriate box and process the waiver using the Comprehensive Test.

If you marked any of the above, you must complete the entire preprint and your renewal waiver is subject to the Comprehensive Test. If you did not mark any of the above, your renewal waiver (not conversion or initial waiver) is subject to the Expedited Test:

- Do not complete *Appendix D3*
- Your waiver will not be reviewed by OMB at the discretion of CMS and OMB.

The following questions are to be completed in conjunction with the Worksheet Appendices. All narrative explanations should be included in the preprint. Where further clarification was needed, we have included additional information in the preprint.

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

C. Capitated portion of the waiver only: Type of Capitated Contract

- a. MCO
- b. PIHP
- c. PAHP
- d. PCCM
- e. Other

Please describe:

The State's agreements with MCNA and Premier Access are full-risk dental plan contracts that are fully capitated.

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

D. PCCM portion of the waiver only: Reimbursement of PCCM Providers

Under this waiver, providers are reimbursed on a fee-for-service basis. PCCMs are reimbursed for patient management in the following manner (please check and describe):

a. Management fees are expected to be paid under this waiver.

The management fees were calculated as follows.

- Year 1: \$ per member per month fee.
 Year 2: \$ per member per month fee.
 Year 3: \$ per member per month fee.
 Year 4: \$ per member per month fee.
- b. Enhanced fee for primary care services.

Please explain which services will be affected by enhanced fees and how the amount of the enhancement was determined.

- c. Bonus payments from savings generated under the program are paid to case managers who control beneficiary utilization. Under D.I.H.d., please describe the criteria the State will use for awarding the incentive payments, the method for calculating incentives/bonuses, and the monitoring the State will have in place to ensure that total payments to the providers do not exceed the Waiver Cost Projections (Appendix D5). Bonus payments and incentives for reducing utilization are limited to savings of State Plan service costs under the waiver. Please also describe how the State will ensure that utilization is not adversely affected due to incentives inherent in the bonus payments. The costs associated with any bonus arrangements must be accounted for in Appendix D3. Actual Waiver Cost.
- d. Other reimbursement method/amount.

Please explain the State's rationale for determining this method or amount.

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

E. Member Months

Please mark all that apply.

- **a.** [Required] Population in the base year and R1 and R2 data is the population under the waiver.
- **b.** For a renewal waiver, because of the timing of the waiver renewal submittal, the State did not have a complete R2 to submit. Please ensure that the formulas correctly calculated the annualized trend rates. *Note: it is no longer acceptable to estimate enrollment or cost data for R2 of the previous waiver period.*
- c. [Required] Explain the reason for any increase or decrease in member months projections from the base year or over time:
 d. [Required] Explain any other variance in eligible member months from BY/R1 to P2:
 e. [Required] Specify whether the BY/R1/R2 is a State fiscal year (SFY), Federal fiscal year (FFY), or other

Appendix D1 Member Months

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

period:

State Fiscal Year

F. Appendix D2.S - Services in Actual Waiver Cost

For Conversion or Renewal Waivers:

a. [Required] Explain if different services are included in the Actual Waiver Cost from the previous period in Appendix D3 than for the upcoming waiver period in Appendix D5.

Explain the differences here and how the adjustments were made on Appendix D5:

[D a a	uadl Ermlain Aba	 	and affactiveness	

b. [Required] Explain the exclusion of any services from the cost-effectiveness analysis.

For States with multiple waivers serving a single beneficiary, please document how all costs for waiver covered individuals taken into account.

All dental services performed in a dental provider's office are included.

Dental related services performed in a hospital or ambulatory surgical center are excluded from this waiver because they are covered under the State's Accountable Care Organization Plans pursuant to the State 1915(b) Choice of Health Care Delivery Program.

Anesthesia administered in a hospital or ambulatory surgical center for a dental related services is excluded and paid for by the State on a fee for service basis.

Appendix D2.S: Services in Waiver Cost

	MCO Capitated Reimbursement	1	PCCM FFS Reimbursement	 FFS Reimbursement impacted by PIHP	
Dental Services					

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

G. Appendix D2.A - Administration in Actual Waiver Cost

[Required] The State allocated administrative costs between the Fee-for-service and managed care program depending upon the program structure. *Note: initial programs will enter only FFS costs in the BY. Renewal and Conversion waivers will enter all waiver and FFS administrative costs in the R1 and R2 or BY.*

The allocation method for either initial or renewal waivers is explained below:

- a. The State allocates the administrative costs to the managed care program based upon the number of waiver enrollees as a percentage of total Medicaid enrollees *Note: this is appropriate for MCO/PCCM programs.*
- b. The State allocates administrative costs based upon the program cost as a percentage of the total Medicaid budget. It would not be appropriate to allocate the administrative cost of a mental health program based upon the percentage of enrollees enrolled. *Note: this is appropriate for statewide PIHP/PAHP programs.*
- c. Other
 Please explain:

Appendix D2.A: Administration in Actual Waiver Cost

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

H. Appendix D3 - Actual Waiver Cost

- **a.** The State is requesting a 1915(b)(3) waiver in **Section A.I.A.1.c** and will be providing non-state plan medical services. The State will be spending a portion of its waiver savings for additional services under the waiver.
- The State is including voluntary populations in the waiver.
 Describe below how the issue of selection bias has been addressed in the Actual Waiver Cost calculations:

c. Capitated portion of the waiver only -- Reinsurance or Stop/Loss Coverage: Please note how the State will be providing or requiring reinsurance or stop/loss coverage as required under the regulation. States may require MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs to purchase reinsurance. Similarly, States may provide stop-loss coverage to MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs when MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs exceed certain payment thresholds for individual enrollees. Stop loss provisions usually set limits on maximum days of coverage or number of services for which the MCO/PIHP/PAHP will be responsible. If the State plans to provide stop/loss coverage, a description is required. The State must document the probability of incurring costs in excess of the stop/loss level and the frequency of such occurrence based on FFS experience. The expenses per capita (also known as the stoploss premium amount) should be deducted from the capitation year projected costs. In the initial application, the effect should be neutral. In the renewal report, the actual reinsurance cost and claims cost should be reported in Actual Waiver Cost.

Basis and Method:

- 1. The State does not provide stop/loss protection for MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs, but requires MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs to purchase reinsurance coverage privately. No adjustment was necessary.
- 2. The State provides stop/loss protection

Describe below how the issue of selection bias has been addressed in the Actual Waiver Cost calculations:

- d. Incentive/bonus/enhanced Payments for both Capitated and fee-for-service Programs:
 - 1. [For the capitated portion of the waiver] the total payments under a capitated contract include any incentives the State provides in addition to capitated payments under the waiver program. The costs associated with any bonus arrangements must be accounted for in the capitated costs (Column D of Appendix D3 Actual Waiver Cost). Regular State Plan service capitated adjustments would apply.

Document

- i. Document the criteria for awarding the incentive payments.
- ii. Document the method for calculating incentives/bonuses, and
- iii. Document the monitoring the State will have in place to ensure that total payments to the MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs do not exceed the Waiver Cost Projection.

2. For the fee-for-service portion of the waiver, all fee-for-service must be accounted for in the fee-for-service incentive costs (Column G of Appendix D3 Actual Waiver Cost).). For PCCM providers, the amount listed should match information provided in D.I.D Reimbursement of Providers. Any adjustments applied would need to meet the special criteria for fee-for-service incentives if the State elects to provide incentive payments in addition to management fees under the waiver program (See D.I.I.e and D.I.J.e)

Document:

- i. Document the criteria for awarding the incentive payments.
- ii. Document the method for calculating incentives/bonuses, and
- iii. Document the monitoring the State will have in place to ensure that total payments to the MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs/PCCMs do not exceed the Waiver Cost Projection.

Appendix D3 Actual Waiver Cost

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (1 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (2 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (3 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (4 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (5 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (6 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (7 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (8 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

- J. Appendix D4 Conversion or Renewal Waiver Cost Projection and Adjustments. (1 of 5)
 - a. State Plan Services Trend Adjustment the State must trend the data forward to reflect cost and utilization increases. The R1 and R2 (BY for conversion) data already include the actual Medicaid cost changes for the population enrolled in the program. This adjustment reflects the expected cost and utilization increases in the managed care program from R2 (BY for conversion) to the end of the waiver (P2). Trend adjustments may be service-specific and expressed as percentage factors. Some states calculate utilization and cost separately, while other states calculate a single trend rate. The State must

document the method used and how utilization and cost increases are not duplicative if they are calculated separately. This adjustment must be mutually exclusive of programmatic/policy/pricing changes and CANNOT be taken twice. The State must document how it ensures there is no duplication with programmatic/policy/pricing changes.

1.	[Required, if the States BY or R2 is more than 3 months prior to the beginning of P1] The State is using
	actual State cost increases to trend past data to the current time period (i.e., trending from 1999 to
	present).

The actual trend rate used is: 0.00

Please document how that trend was calculated:

The State anticipates the population growth of children, pregnant women, and adults 21 and older with a disability or blindness to remain flat over the course of the waiver. Effective January 1, 2021, adults 21 and older with a disability or blindness are no longer included in this waiver.

- 2. [Required, to trend BY/R2 to P1 and P2 in the future] When cost increases are unknown and in the future, the State is using a predictive trend of either State historical cost increases or national or regional factors that are predictive of future costs (same requirement as capitated ratesetting regulations) (i.e., trending from present into the future).
 - i. State historical cost increases.

Please indicate the years on which the rates are based: base years. In addition, please indicate the mathematical method used (multiple regression, linear regression, chi-square, least squares, exponential smoothing, etc.). Finally, please note and explain if the States cost increase calculation includes more factors than a price increase such as changes in technology, practice patterns, and/or units of service PMPM.

MCNA Dental and Premier Access submitted PMPMs in their respective Request for Proposals (RFPs) which were lower than their previous rates. The State made an adjustment to the PMPM to meet the blended contractual rate in effect for the MCNA Dental and Premier Access dental plans as of 1/1/19 (see tab "D5. Waiver Cost Projection," cell I13)

The Blind/Disabled population proved to be move expensive than the State's limited experience demontrated. Both dental plans lobbied the Utah Legislature for increased rates which were granted after P1. The revised capitated rates were over what the state projected in P3 & P4. To raise the PMPM to approximate the blended rate of \$27.09 for both dental plans for SFY22, the state has added an additional 7.4% in P3 to the original 8% inflation factor. And in P4, the state added a 14.8% percent increase to the 8% inflation factor. These changes will allow the state to keep up with actuarial rates.

ii. National or regional factors that are predictive of this waivers future costs.

Please indicate the services and indicators used. In addition, please indicate how this factor was determined to be predictive of this waivers future costs. Finally, please note and explain if the States cost increase calculation includes more factors than a price increase such as changes in technology, practice patterns, and/or units of service PMPM.

3. The State estimated the PMPM cost changes in units of service, technology and/or practice patterns that would occur in the waiver separate from cost increase.

Utilization adjustments made were service-specific and expressed as percentage factors. The State has documented how utilization and cost increases were not duplicated. This adjustment reflects the changes in utilization between R2 and P1 and between years P1 and P2.

- i. Please indicate the years on which the utilization rate was based (if calculated separately only).
- ii. Please document how the utilization did not duplicate separate cost increase trends.

Appendix D4 Adjustments in Projection

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

- J. Appendix D4 Conversion or Renewal Waiver Cost Projection and Adjustments. (2 of 5)
 - b. State Plan Services Programmatic/Policy/Pricing Change Adjustment: This adjustment should account for any programmatic changes that are not cost neutral and that affect the Waiver Cost Projection. For example, changes in rates, changes brought about by legal action, or changes brought about by legislation. For example, Federal mandates, changes in hospital payment from per diem rates to Diagnostic Related Group (DRG) rates or changes in the benefit coverage of the FFS program. This adjustment must be mutually exclusive of trend and CANNOT be taken twice. The State must document how it ensures there is no duplication with trend. If the State is changing one of the aspects noted above in the FFS State Plan then the State needs to estimate the impact of that adjustment. Note: FFP on rates cannot be claimed until CMS approves the SPA per the 1/2/01 SMD letter. Prior approval of capitation rates is contingent upon approval of the SPA. The R2 data was adjusted for changes that will occur after the R2 (BY for conversion) and during P1 and P2 that affect the overall Medicaid program.

Others:

- Additional State Plan Services (+)
- Reductions in State Plan Services (-)
- Legislative or Court Mandated Changes to the Program Structure or fee
- Graduate Medical Education (GME) Changes This adjustment accounts for **changes** in any GME payments in the program. 42 CFR 438.6(c)(5) specifies that States can include or exclude GME payments from the capitation rates. However, GME payments must be included in cost-effectiveness calculations.
- Copayment Changes This adjustment accounts for changes from R2 to P1 in any copayments that are collected under the FFS program, but not collected in the MCO/PIHP/PAHP capitated program. States must ensure that these copayments are included in the Waiver Cost Projection if not to be collected in the capitated program. If the State is changing the copayments in the FFS program then the State needs to estimate the impact of that adjustment.
- 1. The State has chosen not to make an adjustment because there were no programmatic or policy changes in the FFS program after the MMIS claims tape was created. In addition, the State anticipates no programmatic or policy changes during the waiver period.
- 2. An adjustment was necessary. The adjustment(s) is(are) listed and described below:
 - The State projects an externally driven State Medicaid managed care rate increases/decreases between the base and rate periods.
 Please list the changes.

An adjustment was made so the PMPM rate matched the blended rate of the two dental plans, MCNA and Premier Access, in P1. (See Cost Effectiveness Workbook, tab "D5. Waiver Cost Projection", cell I13).

For the list of changes above, please report the following:

A.	The size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan Amendment
	(SPA).
	PMPM size of adjustment
	0.00

B. The size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA.

Approximate PMPM size of adjustment

0.00

	C.	Determine adjustment based on currently approved SPA. PMPM size of adjustment
		0.00
	D.	Determine adjustment for Medicare Part D dual eligibles.
	Е.	Other: Please describe
		The utilization of the blind/disabled adult population proved to be higher than the State's limited experience demonstrated. The Utah Legislature granted rate increases. The revised capitated rates were higher than what the State projected in P3 and P4.
ii.		State has projected no externally driven managed care rate increases/decreases in the aged care rates.
iii.		ages brought about by legal action: se list the changes.
Fo	or the lis	t of changes above, please report the following:
	A.	The size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan Amendment (SPA). PMPM size of adjustment
	В.	The size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA. Approximate PMPM size of adjustment
	C.	Determine adjustment based on currently approved SPA. PMPM size of adjustment
	D.	Other Please describe
iv.		ages in legislation. se list the changes.
Fo	or the lis	t of changes above, please report the following:
	A.	The size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan Amendment (SPA). PMPM size of adjustment
	В.	The size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA.

Approximate PMPM size of adjustment

C.	Determine adjustment based on currently approved SPA PMPM size of adjustment
	1 W W Size of adjustment
).	Other
	Please describe
Othe	
	e describe:
4.	The size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan Amendment
	(SPA).
	PMPM size of adjustment
3.	The size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA.
	Approximate PMPM size of adjustment
J.	Determine adjustment based on currently approved SPA.
	PMPM size of adjustment
).	Other
	Please describe

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

v.

- J. Appendix D4 Conversion or Renewal Waiver Cost Projection and Adjustments. (3 of 5)
 - c. Administrative Cost Adjustment: This adjustment accounts for changes in the managed care program. The administrative expense factor in the renewal is based on the administrative costs for the eligible population participating in the waiver for managed care. Examples of these costs include per claim claims processing costs, additional per record PRO review costs, and additional Surveillance and Utilization Review System (SURS) costs; as well as actuarial contracts, consulting, encounter data processing, independent assessments, EQRO reviews, etc. Note: one-time administration costs should not be built into the cost-effectiveness test on a long-term basis. States should use all relevant Medicaid administration claiming rules for administration costs they attribute to the managed care program. If the State is changing the administration in the fee-for-service program then the State needs to estimate the impact of that adjustment.
 - 1. No adjustment was necessary and no change is anticipated.
 - **2.** An administrative adjustment was made.
 - **i.** Administrative functions will change in the period between the beginning of P1 and the end of P2.

Please describe:

Additional administrative costs are anticipated to increase 6% each year due to the State's MMIS replacement project (see Cost Effectiveness Workbook tab "D.5 Waiver Cost Projection," cells Y13, Y35, Y54, Y73, Y92).

ii. Cost increases were accounted for.

C.

iii.

- Determine administration adjustment based upon an approved contract or cost allocation plan amendment (CAP).
- B. Determine administration adjustment based on pending contract or cost allocation plan amendment (CAP).
- State Historical State Administrative Inflation. THe actual trend rate used is PMPM size of adjustment Please describe: D. Other Please describe: [Required, when State Plan services were purchased through a sole source procurement with a governmental entity. No other State administrative adjustment is allowed.] If cost increase trends are unknown and in the future, the State must use the lower of: Actual State administration costs trended forward at the State historical administration trend rate or Actual State administration costs trended forward at the State Plan services trend rate. Please document both trend rates and indicate which trend rate was used. Actual State Administration costs trended forward at the State historical administration A. trend rate. Please indicate the years on which the rates are based: base years

In addition, please indicate the mathematical method used (multiple regression, linear regression, chi-square, least squares, exponential smoothing, etc.). Finally, please note and explain if the States cost increase calculation includes more factors than a price increase.

Actual State Administration costs trended forward at the State Plan Service Trend rate.

Please indicate the State Plan Service trend rate from Section D.I.J.a. above

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

B.

Part I: State Completion Section

- J. Appendix D4 Conversion or Renewal Waiver Cost Projection and Adjustments. (4 of 5)
 - d. 1915(b)(3) Adjustment: The State must document the amount of State Plan Savings that will be used to provide

additional 1915(b)(3) services in Section D.I.H.a above. The Base Year already includes the actual trend for the State Plan services in the program. This adjustment reflects the expected trend in the 1915(b)(3) services between the Base Year and P1 of the waiver and the trend between the beginning of the program (P1) and the end of the program (P2). Trend adjustments may be service-specific and expressed as percentage factors.

1.	[Required, if the States BY is more than 3 months prior to the beginning of P1 to trend BY to P1] The State is using the actual State historical trend to project past data to the current time period (i.e., trending from 1999 to present).
	The actual documented trend is:
	Please provide documentation.
2.	[Required, when the States BY is trended to P2. No other 1915(b)(3) adjustment is allowed] If trends are unknown and in the future (i.e., trending from present into the future), the State must use the lower of State historical 1915(b)(3) trend or States trend for State Plan Services. Please document both trend rates and indicate which trend rate was used.
	i. A. State historical 1915(b)(3) trend rates
	1. Please indicate the years on which the rates are based: base years
	2. Please provide documentation.
	B. State Plan Service trend
	Please indicate the State Plan Service trend rate from Section D.I.J.a. above
	s (not in capitated payment) Trend Adjustment: If the State marked Section D.I.H.d, then this it reports trend for that factor. Trend is limited to the rate for State Plan services.
1.	List the State Plan trend rate by MEG from Section D.I.I.a
2.	List the Incentive trend rate by MEG if different from Section D.I.I.a
	•
3.	Explain any differences:
Section D: Cost-E	
Part I: State Com	pletion Section

J. Appendix D4 - Conversion or Renewal Waiver Cost Projection and Adjustments. (5 of 5)

10/23/2021

p. Other adjustments including but not limited to federal government changes.

- If the federal government changes policy affecting Medicaid reimbursement, the State must adjust P1 and P2 to reflect all changes.
 - Once the States FFS institutional excess UPL is phased out, CMS will no longer match excess institutional UPL payments.
 - Excess payments addressed through transition periods should not be included in the 1915(b)
 cost effectiveness process. Any State with excess payments should exclude the excess amount
 and only include the supplemental amount under 100% of the institutional UPL in the cost
 effectiveness process.
 - For all other payments made under the UPL, including supplemental payments, the costs should be included in the cost effectiveness calculations. This would apply to PCCM enrollees and to PAHP, PIHP or MCO enrollees if the institutional services were provided as FFS wrap around. The recipient of the supplemental payment does not matter for the purposes of this analysis.
 - Pharmacy Rebate Factor Adjustment (Conversion Waivers Only) *: Rebates that States receive
 from drug manufacturers should be deducted from Base Year costs if pharmacy services are included
 in the capitated base. If the base year costs are not reduced by the rebate factor, an inflated BY would
 result. Pharmacy rebates should also be deducted from FFS costs if pharmacy services are impacted by
 the waiver but not capitated.

Basis and Method:

- Determine the percentage of Medicaid pharmacy costs that the rebates represent and adjust the base year costs by this percentage. States may want to make separate adjustments for prescription versus over the counter drugs and for different rebate percentages by population. States may assume that the rebates for the targeted population occur in the same proportion as the rebates for the total Medicaid population which includes accounting for Part D dual eligibles. Please account for this adjustment in Appendix D5.
- 2. The State has not made this adjustment because pharmacy is not an included capitation service and the capitated contractors providers do not prescribe drugs that are paid for by the State in FFS or Part D for the dual eligibles.
- 3. Other

	Please describe:
No adjustment was	made.
This adjustment wa Please describe	s made. This adjustment must be mathematically accounted for in Appendix D5.

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

1.

2.

Part I: State Completion Section

K. Appendix D5 Waiver Cost Projection

The State should complete these appendices and include explanations of all adjustments in Section D.I.I and D.I.J above.

Both plans have contractual capitated rates they will be paid in P1. The state anticipates an 8% increase each year as rates are renegotiated with the dental plans in years P2-P5. The rate increase estimate of 8% each year is based on historical increases for state fiscal years 2014-2018.

The utilization of the blind/disabled population proved to be higher than the State's limited experience demonstrated. The revised capitated rates were higher than what the State projected in P3 & P4. To raise the PMPM to approximate the blended rate of \$27.09 for both dental plans for SFY22, the State has added an additional 7.4% in P3 to the original 8% inflation factor. In P4, the State added a 14.8% increase to the 8% inflation factor. These changes will allow the State to keep up with actuarial rates.

Appendix	D5	Waiwar	Cost Proj	oction
Abbellaix	פע	waiver	Cost Proi	ecuon

Appendix D5 Waiver Cost Projection
Section D: Cost-Effectiveness
Part I: State Completion Section
L. Appendix D6 RO Targets
The State should complete these appendices and include explanations of all trends in enrollment in Section D.I.E. above.
Appendix D6 RO Targets
Section D: Cost-Effectiveness
Part I: State Completion Section
M. Appendix D7 - Summary
a. Please explain any variance in the overall percentage change in spending from BY/R1 to P2.
1. Please explain caseload changes contributing to the overall annualized rate of change in Appendix D7 Column I. This response should be consistent with or the same as the answer given by the State in Section D.I.E.c & d:
ENSURE CONSISTENCY
2. Please explain unit cost changes contributing to the overall annualized rate of change in Appendix D7 Column I. This response should be consistent with or the same as the answer given by the State in the States explanation of cost increase given in Section D.I.I and D.I.J:
3. Please explain utilization changes contributing to the overall annualized rate of change in Appendix D7 Column I. This response should be consistent with or the same as the answer given by the State in the States explanation of utilization given in Section D.I.I and D.I.J:
b. Please note any other principal factors contributing to the overall annualized rate of change in Appendix D7 Column I.

Appendix D7 - Summary