Quarterly Report to CMS Regarding Operation of 1115 Waiver Demonstration Program – Quarter Ending 3.31.19



State of Kansas Kansas Department of Health and Environment Division of Health Care Finance

KanCare

Section 1115 Quarterly Report

Demonstration Year: 7 (1/1/2019-12/31/2019) Federal Fiscal Quarter: 2/2019 (1/19-3/19)

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	2
II.	Enrollment Information	3
III.	Outreach/Innovation	3
IV.	Operational Developments/Issues	9
٧.	Policy Developments/Issues	23
VI.	Financial/Budget Neutrality Development/Issues	23
VII.	Member Month Reporting	24
VIII.	Consumer Issues	25
IX.	Quality Assurance/Monitoring Activity	27
Χ.	Managed Care Reporting Requirements	29
XI.	Safety Net Care Pool	36
XII.	Demonstration Evaluation	36
XIII.	Other (Claims Adjudication Statistics; Waiting List Management)	36
XIV.	Enclosures/Attachments	37
XV.	State Contacts	37
VI.	Date Submitted to CMS	37

I. Introduction

KanCare is a managed care Medicaid program which serves the State of Kansas through a coordinated approach. The State determined that contracting with multiple managed care organizations will result in the provision of efficient and effective health care services to the populations covered by the Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) in Kansas and will ensure coordination of care and integration of physical and behavioral health services with each other and with home and community based services (HCBS).

On August 6, 2012, the State of Kansas submitted a Medicaid Section 1115 demonstration proposal, entitled KanCare. That request was approved by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) on December 27, 2012, effective from January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2017. The State submitted a one-year temporary extension request of this demonstration to CMS on July 31, 2017. The temporary extension was approved on October 13, 2017.

On December 20, 2017, the State submitted an extension request for its Medicaid 1115 demonstration. The request was approved by CMS on December 18, 2018, effective January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2023.

KanCare is operating concurrently with the state's section 1915(c) Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) waivers, which together provide the authority necessary for the state to require enrollment of almost all Medicaid beneficiaries (including the aged, disabled, and some dual eligibles) across the state into a managed care delivery system to receive state plan and waiver services. This represents an expansion of the state's previous managed care program, which provided services to children, pregnant women, and parents in the state's Medicaid program, as well as carved out managed care entities that separately covered mental health and substance use disorder services. KanCare also includes a safety net care pool to support certain hospitals that incur uncompensated care costs for Medicaid beneficiaries and the uninsured, and to provide incentives to hospitals for programs that result in delivery system reforms that enhance access to health care and improve the quality of care.

This five-year demonstration extension will:

- Maintain Medicaid state plan eligibility;
- Maintain Medicaid state plan benefits;
- Allow the state to require eligible individuals to enroll in managed care organizations (MCOs) to receive covered benefits through such MCOs, including individuals on HCBS waivers, except:
 - American Indian/Alaska Natives are presumptively enrolled in KanCare but will have the option of affirmatively opting-out of managed care.
- Provide benefits, including long-term services and supports (LTSS) and HCBS, via managed care; and
- Create a Safety Net Care Pool to support hospitals that provide uncompensated care to Medicaid beneficiaries and the uninsured.

The KanCare demonstration will assist the state in its goals to:

- Coordinate services to strengthen social determinants of health and independence, and personcentered planning
- Promote the highest level of member independence
- Drive performance and quality improvement for better care
- Improve effectiveness and efficiency of the State Medicaid program

This quarterly report is submitted pursuant to item #64 of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Special Terms and Conditions (STCs) issued regarding the KanCare 1115(a) Medicaid demonstration program, and in the format outlined in Attachment A of the STCs.

II. Enrollment Information

The following table outlines enrollment activity related to populations included in the demonstration. It does not include enrollment activity for non-Title XIX programs, including the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), nor does it include populations excluded from KanCare, such as Qualified Medicare Beneficiaries (QMB) not otherwise eligible for Medicaid. The table does include members retroactively assigned for the second quarter known as of March 31, 2019.

Demonstration Population	Enrollees at Close of Qtr. (3/31/2019)	Total Unduplicated Enrollees in Quarter	Disenrolled in Quarter
Population 1: ABD/SD Dual	14,283	15,478	1,195
Population 2: ABD/SD Non-Dual	29,122	29,960	838
Population 3: Adults	46,040	50,493	4,453
Population 4: Children	215,559	228,515	12,956
Population 5: DD Waiver	9,020	9,065	45
Population 6: LTC	19,693	20,823	1,130
Population 7: MN Dual	1,183	1,310	127
Population 8: MN Non-Dual	910	980	70
Population 9: Waiver	4,390	4,495	105
Population 10: UC Pool	N/A	N/A	N/A
Population 11: DSRIP Pool	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	340,200	361,119	20,919

III. Outreach/Innovation

The KanCare website, www.kancare.ks.gov, is home to a wealth of information for providers, consumers, stakeholders and policy makers. Sections of the website are designed specifically around the needs of consumers and providers; and information about the Section 1115 demonstration and its operation is provided in the interest of transparency and engagement.

The KanCare Advisory Council consists of 13 members: 3 legislators representing the House and Senate, 1 representing mental health providers, 1 representing CDDOs, 2 representing physicians and hospitals, 3 representing KanCare members, 1 representing the developmental disabilities community, 1 former Kansas Senator, 1 representing pharmacists. The first quarter KanCare Advisory Council meeting took place on February 17, 2019 at the CSOB Room 530. The agenda was as follows:

- Welcome
- Review and Approval of Minutes from Council Meeting, December 14, 2018
- Secretary of KDHE Introduction Dr. Lee Norman, Kansas Department of Health and Environment
- KDHE Update Adam Proffitt, Director of Program Finance and Informatics, Division of Health Care Finance, Kansas Department of Health and Environment and Chris Swartz, Deputy Medicaid Director
- KDADS Update Laura Howard, Secretary, Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services
- KanCare Ombudsman Report Kerrie Bacon, Ombudsman, Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services

- Updates on KanCare with Q&A
 - Aetna Better Health of Kansas
 - Sunflower State Health Plan
 - UnitedHealthcare Community Plan
- Miscellaneous Agenda Items
 - o IDD and TA waiver concerns with Medicaid expansion Ed Nicholas
 - Nursing/skilled staffing
 - KanCare cost-savings and program progress
 - o MCO attendance at Meaningful Measures Committee meetings
 - o Addition of new KanCare Advisory Council members
 - Update on Amerigroup claims paid
- Next Meeting of KanCare Advisory Council May 6, 2019, Curtis State Office Building, 2:30 4:30p.m.
- Adjourn

The Tribal Technical Assistance Group did not meet during Quarter 1. The next scheduled meeting for TTAG is May 7, 2019.

Other ongoing routine and issue-specific meetings continued by state staff engaging in outreach to a broad range of providers, associations, advocacy groups and other interested stakeholders. Examples of these meetings include:

- Money Follows the Person (quarterly) ending this quarter
- PACE Program (quarterly)
- HCBS Provider Forum teleconferences (monthly)
- Long-term Care Roundtable with Department of Children & Families (quarterly)
- Presentations, attendance, and information is available as requested by small groups, consumers, stakeholders, providers and associations across Kansas
- Community Mental Health Centers meetings to address billing and other concerns (monthly and quarterly)
- Series of workgroup meetings and committee meetings with the Managed Care Organizations and Community Mental Health Centers
- Regular meetings with the Kansas Hospital Association KanCare implementation technical assistance group
- Series of meetings with behavioral health institutions, private psychiatric hospitals, and Psychiatric Treatment Residential Facilities (PRTFs) to address care coordination and improved integration
- State Mental Health Hospital mental health reform meetings (quarterly)
- Medicaid Functional Eligibility Instrument (FE, PD & TBI) Advisory Workgroup
- IDD Functional Eligibility Instrument Advisory Workgroup
- Systems Collaboration with Aging & Disability, Behavioral Health and Foster Care Agencies
- PRTF Stakeholder meeting (quarterly)
- Mental Health Coalition meeting (bi-weekly)
- Kansas Association of Addiction Professionals (monthly)
- Crisis Response & Triage meetings with stakeholders including MCOs to improve timely, effective crisis services for members and improved care coordination post crises (bi-weekly)

- Lunch and Learn biweekly series on a variety of behavioral health topics including prevention and the prevention framework initiative; SUD 101; trauma informed systems of care; recovery and peer support; housing and homeless initiatives; community crisis center development
- Bi-monthly Governor's Behavioral Health Services Planning Council meetings; and monthly meetings with the nine subcommittees such as Suicide Prevention, Justice Involved Youth and Adult, and Rural and Frontier
- Mental Health Excellence and grant project meetings
- Monthly Nursing Facility Stakeholder Meetings
- KDADS-CDDO-Stakeholder Meetings (quarterly)
- KDADS-CDDO Eligibility workgroup tasked to update IDD Eligibility policy and Handbook- policy work meetings will start on 11/16/18
- KDADS-Series of meetings with a coalition of advocacy groups including KanCare Advocates Network and Disability Rights Commission to discuss ways KDADS can provide more effective stakeholder engagement opportunities

In addition, Kansas is pursuing some targeted outreach and innovation projects, including:

OneCare Kansas Program

A legislative proviso directed KDHE to implement a health homes program. To avoid the confusion caused by the term health homes, a new name was selected for the program – OneCare Kansas. Authority to spend planning money was received from CMS and a OneCare Kansas Planning Council has convened to help plan implementation of the new health homes program. While many details still need to be developed, the program will have the same model as the state's previous health homes program. The target population will be defined differently, and payment will be simpler. The state will still use the MCOs as the Lead Entities, who will contract with selected providers to offer the six core services required. Implementation is currently expected for January 2020. A provider forum was held March 21 to provide information and training to potential OneCare Partners. Applications to be a OneCare Partner are currently being accepted.

MCO Outreach Activities

A summary of this quarter's marketing, outreach and advocacy activities conducted by the KanCare managed care organizations –Aetna Better Health of Kansas, Sunflower State Health Plan, and United Healthcare Community Plan – follows below.

Information related to Aetna Better Health of Kansas marketing, outreach and advocacy activities:

Marketing Activities: In the first quarter of 2019, Aetna Better Health of Kansas (ABHKS) participated in various activities to market KanCare and the health plan to medical providers, current members and potential members. We achieved that by conducting Provider Town Hall meetings during the month of January in Overland Park, Kansas City, Topeka, Wichita, Pittsburg, Salina, Hays, Garden City and Colby. These meetings provided information for providers on ABHKS benefits and how to best work with us for billing services. The meetings attracted over 150 individuals in person and over 100 individuals by phone and through our Internet presentation. We also conducted two meetings in January for providers and individuals with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities to educate them on our efforts to work with individuals on the IDD waiver. The meetings were held in Overland Park and Chanute with 59 individuals attending those meetings. ABHKS also contacted current members utilizing telephone scripts to verify the PCP information that we had for them was correct. ABHKS also attended several health fair events within the communities of Kansas where members and potential members were invited to attend. During the

first quarter, ABHKS representatives visited with over 1,750 individuals at these events to educate them on ABHKS and KanCare. Examples of the events included the Wichita Thunder Go Red for Women night on February 1; the Olathe Head Start Mass Application day on March 1; the USD 321 Kaw Valley Parent Resource Fair in St. Mary's and several lobby sits at health departments and provider offices throughout the State of Kansas.

Outreach Activities: In the first quarter of 2019, ABHKS Community Development and System of Care team staff provided outreach activities to community based organizations, advocacy groups and provider offices throughout Kansas. The Community Development team conducted six educational sessions providing ABHKS benefit information to community based organizations and provider offices in the State. Presentation examples conducted include the Kansas Head Start Association's home visitor program as well as a presentation to the Health Department staff of Reno County in Hutchinson. Direct outreach visits to provider offices and community-based organizations were conducted as well. ABHKS Community Development staff visited over 360 provider offices and visited with over 940 individuals associated with community-based organizations in Kansas. Examples of the community based organizations included the Oaklawn Improvement District in Wichita, the Franklin County Children's Coalition in Ottawa and the Wyandotte Community Health Coalition in Kansas City. The ABHKS System of Care team also attended meetings with organizations working on issues affecting KanCare members such as foster care, homelessness, behavioral health, individuals with Intellectual and Development Disabilities, work programs and other issues. The System of Care team met with over 1,600 individuals in the first quarter of 2019. Examples include the Douglas County Resiliency Coalition in Lawrence; the Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition, KVC in Olathe, the Mental Health Consumer Run Network Meeting in Topeka; and Families Together Family Employment Awareness Training in Dodge City. The System of Care Team also participated in the Kansas Mental Health Coalition's Mental Health Advocacy Day event at the State Capitol in Topeka on March 14 where we visited with over 125 advocates of mental health issues in Kansas.

<u>Advocacy Activities</u>: ABHKS Member Advocates have established a relationship with the KanCare Ombudsman and receive direct referrals about member issues that require intervention efforts. During the first quarter of 2019, ABHKS Member Advocates assisted 20 members referred from the Ombudsman.

Information related to Sunflower State Health Plan marketing, outreach and advocacy activities:

Marketing Activities: During Q1 2019, Sunflower Health Plan attending and/or sponsoring 79 local and statewide member and provider events as well as participating in statewide conferences on health-related topics. Sunflower's direct mail marketing material for the 1st quarter included member mailings for closing care gaps and new member materials. Additionally, Sunflower Health Plan focused efforts on education on Value Added Benefits for collaborative community group meetings along with participating in events associated with the advocacy and education around mental health. Notable stakeholder programs and events for marketing during Q1 2019:

- Member Advisory Meeting
- Parents As Teachers Regional Conference
- Mental Health Conference
- Mental Health Day at the Capitol
- Good Living Expo
- 16th annual Power Conference
- Mental Health Advocacy Day

<u>Outreach Activities</u>: Sunflower Health Plan's outreach activities for the 1st Quarter 2019, centered on youth through school visits, wellness education and health events. The health plan presented education to middle school students on social isolation through No One Eats Alone Day. Sunflower continued its work with individuals and community agencies to address the social determinants of health in Kansas communities. Examples of member outreach activities this quarter:

- Participated in 4 Youth Health programs during the quarter
- Participated in 11 community health events serving all populations, including We All Eat health and wellness event in Wichita, KS and KCKCC Health Fair in Kansas City, KS.
- Held Sunflower Health Plan's quarterly Member and Community Advisory Committee
 meeting on March 27 in Johnson County. The meeting was presented in an open format
 discussing all areas of the member benefits, member experience and also presented
 information on the benefits of HPV vaccines.

<u>Advocacy Activities</u>: Sunflower Health Plan's advocacy efforts for Q4 2018 centered on supports for people with disabilities, underserved populations and work to help all populations improve individual health literacy. Sunflower participated in the following advocacy activities during Q4, 2018:

- We All event health and wellness event serving the Wichita, KS community.
- Project Search employment event for the I/DD community.
- Health Core Clinic food distribution day serving over 350 patrons with perishable and nonperishable food items.
- Sunflower support Mental Health Advocacy Day
- Sunflower also participated in Community Information Exchange and connected with various community groups supporting our member population.

Information related to UnitedHealthcare Community Plan marketing, outreach and advocacy activities:

Marketing Activities: UnitedHealthcare Community Plan of Kansas continued to focus on member, provider, and community education regarding KanCare benefits and general health education. Plan staff completed new member welcome calls and Health Risk Assessments. New members were sent ID Cards and new member welcome kits in a timely manner. Throughout the quarter, UnitedHealthcare hosted a number of meetings and presentations with key providers, hospitals, Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHC's) and Community Based Organizations like Catholic Charities, Consulate of Mexico, International Rescue Committee, Public Schools, Housing Authorities, Youth Rec Centers, YMCA, Salvation Army and InterHab as well as providers, health departments and faith based organizations throughout the state with a focus on innovation and collaboration. UnitedHealthcare also focused on grass-roots efforts by hosting small fun and educational events in low income housing and assisted living facilities where a lot of UHC members reside. The idea was to bring the information to the member without them having to travel.

<u>Outreach Activities</u>: UnitedHealthcare Community Plan participated in and/or supported 84 member facing activities, which included 43 lobby sits at provider offices as well as 11 events/health fairs or other educational opportunities for both consumers and providers. In Q1, UnitedHealthcare organized, participated in and supported six community baby showers that were sponsored by UHC and/or other organizations. UnitedHealthcare leveraged bilingual Community Outreach Specialists that focused on activities targeted within assigned geographical areas across Kansas. These specialists are fluent in both English and Spanish languages and effectively communicate with members with diverse cultural backgrounds. Additional Outreach Specialists supported activities in their respective territories. The Outreach Specialists regularly support one another working collaboratively to serve UHC Members. The

key responsibility of the Outreach Specialist is to conduct educational outreach to members, community-based organizations and targeted provider offices about Medicaid benefits, KanCare and UnitedHealthcare. Of primary importance is to meet members where they are and help understand their personal goals and how UHC can help them reach those goals. A key area of focus in the first quarter was to outreach community based organizations to establish new relationships. UnitedHealthcare also interacted with key provider offices and the provider community to assist with issue resolution. Several key outreach initiatives this quarter included lobby sits, "Food for Thought Programs" hosted on-site at provider offices, and several health fairs. UnitedHealthcare also participated in a number of community stakeholder committee meetings during the first quarter of 2019.

Finally, UHC hosted the Q1 Member Advisory Meeting in Wichita. The Health Plan finds it critical to host meetings in different parts of the state in order to hear from those in both urban and rural areas, but this strategy makes it challenging to have the same committee at each meeting. This advisory meeting focused on explaining the 2019 value added benefits. The first quarter of 2019 had some very cold and snowy weather that limited outreach and caused many events and health fairs to be cancelled.

During the first quarter 2019, UnitedHealthcare outreach staff personally met with approximately:

- 2,887 individuals who were members or potential members at community events, at member orientation sessions, and at lobby sits held at key provider offices throughout Kansas
- 1,665 individuals from community-based organizations located throughout Kansas. These organizations work directly with UHC members in various capacities
- 402 individuals from provider offices located throughout the State

<u>Advocacy Activities</u>: The UnitedHealthcare continued to support advocacy opportunities to support children and members with disabilities, and the individuals and agencies that support them.

Throughout this quarter, the team also worked closely with Health Plan Care Coordinators who support the waiver population. The Health Plan staff continued to stress to all members, including those with disabilities the desire to help support the members' personal goals and encouraged them to make informed decisions about enrollment in a KanCare plan. Staff will also meet consumers new to KanCare who are trying to understand their benefits. UnitedHealthcare remains committed to providing ongoing support and education to members and offering support to the consumers of Kansas. The Health Plan staff focused heavily on meeting with and supporting community-based organizations in the first quarter. These organizations provide a direct line of support to our members and are a trusted source for information.

Below is a sample of the organizations the Health Plan staff interacted with during first quarter:

- Western Kansas Chile Advocacy Center
- Hays Community Service Council
- Pratt County Community Health & Resource Council
- Thomas County Health Coalition
- Great Bend Interagency Committee
- Be Well Barton County
- Kansas Works
- Northwest Kansas Agency on Aging
- Smoky Hills Foundation
- Cultural Relations Board

- Ford County Health Coalition
- Tobacco Cessation Work Group
- YMCA
- WIC
- Food Pantries
- Johnson County Mental Health Center
- Council on Aging
- KIDS KS Infant Death & SIDS
- ECKAN
- Growing Futures
- Parents as Teachers
- Wesley House
- Consulate of Mexico: Kansas City
- My Family Labette County
- USD 259 Wichita Public Schools & USD 457 Garden City & USD 500
- Reach Healthcare Foundation
- SafeHome

IV. Operational Developments/Issues

a. Systems and reporting issues, approval and contracting with new plans: Aetna Better Health of Kansas, Inc. is a new plan contracted with KDHE for the KanCare program effective 1/1/2019. Sunflower State Health Plan and United HealthCare of the Midwest continued in a contractual relationship with KDHE for the KanCare program. Through a variety of accessible forums and input avenues, the State is kept advised of any systems or reporting issues on an ongoing basis and such issues are managed either internally, with our MMIS Fiscal Agent, with the operating state agency and/or with the MCOs and other contractors to address and resolve the issues.

CMS approved the following KanCare MCO Contracts and Amendments. These KanCare MCO Amendments are for the prior 1115 waiver period.

Amendment Number	Subject	Submitted Date	Effective Date	Approval Date
27	Contract Extension – Amerigroup of Kansas, Sunflower State Health Plan and United HealthCare of the Midwest	10/27/2017	1/01/2018	2/01/2019
30	Updates to Attachment D and G	1/12/2018	1/01/2018	2/06/2019
31	Capitation Rates 1/1/2018 – 12/31/2018	1/30/2018	1/01/2018	3/28/2019
32	Updates to Attachment G	3/08/2018	1/01/2018	02/06/2019
33	Updates to Attachment D	4/26/2018	4/01/2018	02/06/2019
35	Mid-year rate adjustments 7/1/18 – 12/31/2018	8/30/2018	7/01/2018	02/06/2019

KanCare MCO Amendments pending CMS approval:

Amendment	Subject	Submitted	Effective	Approval
Number		Date	Date	Date
0	Contract – Aetna Better Health, Sunflower State Health Plan and United HealthCare of the Midwest	6/22/2018	1/01/2019	

1	Contract term change from 5 years to 3 years with 2, 1-year extensions	7/06/2018	1/01/2019	
2	Capitation Rates 1/1/2019 – 12/31/2019	11/30/2018	1/01/2019	
3	Contract Corrections - Managed Care Rules	1/08/2019	1/01/2019	

42 CFR 438.6(c) Preprint pending CMS approval:

Subject	Submitted Date	Effective Date	Approval Date
Minimum fee schedule for services provided to KanCare	1/24/2019	1/01/2019	
enrollees by certain academic professionals (defined below),			
paid through a sub-capitated arrangement.			

Three State Plan Amendments (SPA) were approved as noted below:

SPA Number	Subject	Submitted Date	Effective Date	Approval Date
19-0001	IME Factor Change	1/09/2019	1/01/2019	1/24/2019
19-0002	CHIP MAGI FPL Update	1/31/2019	04/01/2019	2/07/2019
19-0005	Coverage of Eyeglasses on a yearly basis for adults	3/20/2019	02/15/2019	3/28/2019

Some additional specific supports to ensure effective identification and resolution of operational and reporting issues include activities described in Section III (Outreach and Innovation) above.

b. *Benefits:* All pre-KanCare benefits continue, and the program includes value-added benefits from each of the three KanCare MCOs at no cost to the State. A summary of value-added services utilization, per each of the KanCare MCOs, by top three value-added services and total for January-March 2019, follows:

МСО	Value Added Service Jan Mar. 2019	Units YTD	Value YTD
	Adult Dental	1,851	\$29,975
Aatna	Transportation Services	92	\$15,573
Aetna	Weight Management	30	\$11,039
	Total of All Aetna VAS	2,002	\$57,798
	Dental visits for adults	22,269	\$226,440
Sunflower	CentAccount debit card	449	\$39,885
Sumower	Smartstart for Baby	832	\$23,420
	Total of all Sunflower VAS	30,814	\$434,721
	Additional Vision Services	858	\$38,815
United	Baby Blocks Program and Rewards	363	\$43,560
United	Pest Control	5	\$62,368
	Total of all United VAS	4,478	\$386,649

c. *Enrollment issues:* For the first quarter of calendar year 2019 there were 9 Native Americans who chose to not enroll in KanCare and who are still eligible for KanCare.

The table below represents the enrollment reason categories for the first quarter of calendar year 2019. The large number in the mass transfer category was a result of Amerigroup leaving the Kansas Medicaid program and assigning those members to Aetna Better Health of Kansas. All

KanCare members were provided a 90-day choice period, beginning January 1, 2019. All KanCare eligible members were defaulted to a managed care plan.

Enrollment Reason Categories	Total
Beneficiary placed on Punitive Lock-In	0
Newborn Assignment	140
KDHE - Administrative Change	98
WEB - Change Assignment	50
KanCare Default - Case Continuity	463
KanCare Default – Morbidity	417
KanCare Default - 90 Day Retro-reattach	201
KanCare Default - Previous Assignment	301
KanCare Default - Continuity of Plan	388
AOE – Choice	13,536
Choice - Enrollment in KanCare MCO via Medicaid Application	1280
Change - Enrollment Form	455
Change - Choice	353
Change – Due to Quality of Care – Good Cause Reason	0
Change - Access to Care – Good Cause Reason	0
Change - Case Continuity – Good Cause Reason	0
Change – Due to Treatment not Available in Network – Good Cause	0
Assignment Adjustment Due to Eligibility	48
Mass transfer	91,834
Total	109,564

d. Grievances, appeals and state hearing information:

MCOs' Member Adverse Initial Notice Timeliness Compliance CY19 1st quarter report

МСО	ABH	SUN	UHC
% of Notices of Adverse Service Authorization Decisions Sent Within Compliance Standards	100%	95%	100%
% of Notices of Adverse Expedited Service Authorization Decisions Sent Within Compliance Standards	100%	55%	100%
% of Notices of Adverse Termination, Suspension or Reduction Decisions Sent Within Compliance Standards (10 calendar days only)		100%	100%

MCOs' Provider Adverse Initial Notice Compliance CY19 1st quarter report

MCO	ABH	SUN	UHC
% of Notices of Adverse Decision Sent to Providers Within Compliance Standards	100%	100%	100%

MCOs' Grievance Database CY19 1st quarter report

MCO	ABH		ABH SUN		UHC	Total	
	HCBS	Non HCBS	HCBS	Non HCBS	HCBS	Non HCBS	
	Member	Member	Member	Member	Member	Member	
QOC (non HCBS, Non	9	4	10	17	9	21	70
Transportation)							

QOC – Pain Management	3	1			1	2	7
Customer Service	9	4	9	11	3	4	40
Member Rights Dignity	1		1	1			3
Access to Service or Care	8	6	6	1	4	3	28
Non-Covered Services	2	1				1	4
Pharmacy	16	2	2		2	9	31
QOC HCBS Provider		1	6		5		12
Billing/Financial Issues (non-Transportation)	1		10	1	5	37	54
Transportation – Billing and Reimbursement	4	1	3	2	2	2	14
Transportation - No Show	6	1	11	13	3	11	45
Transportation - Late	2	5	16	13	8	14	58
Transportation - Safety	2	2	6	4	2	2	18
No Driver Available	1			1			2
Transportation - Other	7	4	17	14	11	19	72
Other	3	1			1	4	9
MCO Determined Not Applicable	2	1	2	5	1	6	17
TOTAL	76	34	99	83	57	135	484

MCOs' Member Grievance Timeliness Compliance CY19 1st quarter report

МСО	ABH	SUN	UHC
% of Member Grievance Resolved Within 30 Calendar Days	98%	99%	100%
% of Member Grievance Resolution Notices Sent Within Compliance Standards	90%	100%	100%

MCOs' Provider Grievance Database CY19 1st quarter report

МСО	ABH	SUN	UHC	Total
Billing/Payment		12	2	
Wrong Information				
Credentialing – MCO				
Network – MCO	1			
UM		1		
СМ		1		
Benefits/Eligibility	1			
Pharmacy		3		
Transportation		12	3	
Services				
Health Plan – Technology		1		
MCO Determined Not Applicable				
Other – Dissatisfaction with MCO Associate	1	1		
Other				

TOTAL	2	21	5	
IUIAL	Э	ЭТ	-	

MCOs' Provider Grievance Timeliness Compliance CY19 1st quarter report

MCO	ABH	SUN	UHC
% of Provider Grievance Resolved Within 30 Calendar Days	100%	100%	100%
% of Provider Grievance Resolution Notices Sent Within Compliance Standards	100%	100%	100%

*MCOs' Appeals Database*Members – CY19 1st quarter report

Member Appeal Reasons	Number	Withdrawn	MCO	МСО	мсо
ABH - Red	Resolved		Reversed	upheld	Determined
SUN – Green			Decision	Decision	not
UHC - Purple			on Appeal	on Appeal	Applicable
MEDICAL NECESSITY/LEVEL OF CARE – Criteria					
Not Met					
MA – CNM - Durable Medical Equipment	5	1	1	2	1
	28		17	11	
	22		6	16	
MA – CNM - Inpatient Admissions (Non-	4		1	2	1
Behavioral Health)	1			1	
	49	38	4	5	2
MA – CNM - Medical Procedure (NOS)	12	1	5	3	3
	13		8	5	
	5		2	2	1
MA – CNM - Radiology	12		7	3	2
	29		15	14	
MA – CNM - Pharmacy	34		16	10	8
	88	8	60	20	
	140	6	109	20	5
MA – CNM - PT/OT/ST	1			1	
MA – CNM - Dental	4		2	2	
	2		2		
	12	1	1	9	1
MA – CNM - Home Health	2		1	1	
	2		2		
MA – CNM - Out of network provider, specialist	1		1		
or specific provider request	6	1	2	3	
MA – CNM - Inpatient Behavioral Health	2		1	1	
	11	1	4	6	
	3			1	2
MA – CNM - Behavioral Health Outpatient	5			4	1
Services and Testing					
MA – CNM - LTSS/HCBS	4	1		3	
	3	1		1	1
MA – CNM - Mental Health	1		1		
	3		2	1	
MA – CNM - HCBS (change in attendant hours)	1			1	
MA – CNM - Other	13		4	9	
	1		1		

NONCOVERED SERVICE					
MA – NCS - Dental	3			3	
MA – NCS - Pharmacy	4	1	1	1	1
	1		1		
MA – NCS - OT/PT/Speech	2			2	
MA – NCS - Durable Medical Equipment	9		6	3	
MA – NCS – Other	16		13	3	
MA – LCK - Lock In	4			4	
AUTHORIZATION DENIAL					
MA – AUTH - Late submission by	3				3
member/provider rep.					
MA – Auth - No authorization submitted	2		2		
	18		1	17	
ADMINISTRATIVE DENIALS					
MA – ADMIN – Denials of Authorization	12			12	
(Unauthorized by Members)					
TOTAL					
ABH - Red	84	3	38	24	19
SUN – Green	233	10	131	92	
UHC - Purple	276	47	130	86	13

^{*} We removed categories from the above table that did not have any information to report for the quarter.

MCOs' Appeals Database Member Appeal Summary – CY19 1st quarter report

ABH - Red SUN – Green UHC - Purple	Number Resolved	Withdrawn	MCO Reversed Decision on Appeal	MCO upheld Decision on Appeal	MCO Determined Not Applicable
Total Number of	84	3	38	24	19
Appeals Resolved	233	10	131	92	
	276	47	130	86	13
Percentage Per Category		4%	45%	29%	22%
		4%	56%	40%	
		17%	47%	31%	5%

MCOs' Member Appeal Timeliness Compliance CY19 1st quarter report

MCO	ABH	SUN	UHC
% of Member Appeals Resolved in 30 Calendar Days	98%	99%	100%
% of Member Appeal Resolution Notices Sent Within Compliance Standards	16%	100%	98%
% of Expedited Appeals Resolved in 72 hours	100%	100%	94%
% of Expedited Member Appeal Resolution Notices Sent Within Compliance Standards	89%	100%	100%

MCOs' Reconsideration Database Providers - CY19 1st quarter report (reconsiderations resolved)

PROVIDER Reconsideration Reasons ABH - Red	Number Resolved	Withdrawn	MCO Reversed	MCO upheld	MCO Determined
SUN – Green UHC - Purple			Decision on Appeal	Decision on Appeal	Not Applicable
CLAIM DENIALS					

		T		·	1
PR – CPD - Hospital Inpatient (Non-	1			1	
Behavioral Health)	1291		710	551	30
	762		315	447	
PR – CPD - Hospital Outpatient (Non-	10		9	1	
Behavioral Health)	1042		324	668	50
	753		304	449	
PR – CPD - Pharmacy					
PR – CPD - Dental	3		3		
	34		25	9	
PR – CPD - Vision	96		50	46	
PR – CPD - Ambulance (Include Air and	19		13	6	
Ground)	95		59	36	
PR – CPD - Medical Professional (Physical	2		2		
Health not Otherwise Specified)	901		485	391	25
,	7644		3439	4205	
PR – CPD - Nursing Facilities - Total	42		23	19	
PR – CPD - HCBS	270		126	101	43
PR – CPD - Hospice	31		17	14	
The CLD Hospiec	290		155	135	
PR – CPD - Home Health	1			1	
PR – CPD - Behavioral Health Outpatient and	2		2	-	
Physician	7		_		7
· inysician	1966		1471	495	,
PR – CPD - Behavioral Health Inpatient	68		31	37	
PR – CPD - Out of network provider,	410		2	399	9
specialist or specific provider	4384		2624	1760	
PR – CPD - Radiology	1		1	1700	
The Crib Radiology	235		151	77	7
	750		341	409	,
PR – CPD - Laboratory	521		171	315	35
The Crib Editional Conference of	933		432	501	
PR – CPD - PT/OT/ST	887		676	211	
6.5,6.,6.	22		1	21	
PR – CPD - Durable Medical Equipment	370		191	161	18
PR – CPD - Other	2		1	1	10
The Grap office	311		169	142	
Total Claim Payment Disputes	21		18	3	
rotal dami rayment bisputes	6156		2964	2968	224
	17979		9341	8638	224
BILLING AND FINANCIAL ISSUES	1,373		3341	0000	
PR – BFI - Recoupment	1		1		
The bit necoupilient	6		6		
ADMINISTRATIVE DENIAL					
PR – ADMIN - Denials of Authorization					
(Unauthorized by Members)					
TOTAL					
ABH - Red					
SUN – Green	22		19	3	
UHC - Purple	6156		2964	2968	224
One raipie	17985		9347	8638	
	17303		3347	0030	

MCOs' Provider Reconsiderations Database Provider Reconsideration – Denied Claim Analysis – CY19 1st quarter report

ABH - Red SUN – Green UHC - Purple	Claim Denied- MCO in Error	Claim Denied- Provider Error	Claim Denied – Correctly Billed and Correctly Denied/Paid	Claim Paid – Correctly Billed and Correctly Paid	Total
Provider					
Reconsiderations					
MCO Reversed Decision	3		11	4	18
on Reconsideration	1899	366	23	676	2964
	3637	1752	1339	2613	9341
MCO Upheld Decision on		1	4		5
Reconsideration			2013	955	2968
	3860	1595	972	2211	8638
Total Claim Payment	3	1	15	4	23
Disputes	1899	366	2036	1631	5932
	7497	3347	2311	4824	17979

MCOs' Provider Reconsiderations Timeliness Compliance CY19 1st quarter report

MCO	ABH	SUN	UHC
% of Provider Reconsideration Resolution Notices Sent Within Compliance Standards	100%	100%	100%

MCOs' Appeals Database Providers - CY19 1st quarter report (appeals resolved)

PROVIDER Appeal Reasons ABH - Red SUN - Green UHC - Purple	Number Resolved	Withdrawn	MCO Reversed Decision on Appeal	MCO upheld Decision on Appeal	MCO Determined Not Applicable
CLAIM DENIAL					
PA – CPD - Hospital Inpatient (Non- Behavioral Health)	2 172	2	1 100	1 63	7
	136		14	87	35
PA – CPD - Hospital Outpatient (Non- Behavioral Health)	1 264 28	8	1 125 3	119 8	12 17
PA – CPD - Pharmacy	1			1	
PA – CPD - Dental	1 21		3	1 18	
PA – CPD - Vision	40 2		30	10 1	1
PA – CPD - Ambulance (Include Air and Ground)	6		4	1	1
PA – CPD - Medical Professional (Physical	92	1	40	44	7
Health not Otherwise Specified)	26		7	13	6
PA – CPD - Nursing Facilities - Total	4 2		3	1	2
PA – CPD - Hospice	4		2	2	
PA – CPD - Home Health	7	1	2	4	

	22		2	9	11
PA – CPD - Behavioral Health Outpatient and	1			1	
Physician	2		2		
	4			1	3
PA – CPD - Behavioral Health Inpatient	6		3	3	
	6		2	3	
					1
PA – CPD - Out of network provider,	89	1	30	55	3
specialist or specific provider					
PA – CPD - Radiology	22		11	9	2
PA – CPD - Laboratory	27		12	13	2
	21			3	18
PA – CPD - PT/OT/ST	31		19	12	
PA – CPD - Durable Medical Equipment	8		4	3	1
PA – CPD - Other	1		1		
	4		3	1	
	267		37	74	156
Total Claim Payment Disputes	6		3	3	
	800	13	393	359	35
	514		65	199	250
BILLING AND FINANCIAL ISSUES					
PA – BFI - Recoupment	69	1	65	2	1
	49		16	31	2
ADMINISTRATIVE DENIAL					
PA – ADMIN - Denials of Authorization	36		16	20	
(Unauthorized by Members)					
TOTAL					
ABH - Red	6		3	3	
SUN – Green	905	14	474	381	36
UHC - Purple	563		81	230	252

MCOs' Appeals Database Provider Appeal Summary – CY19 1st quarter report

ABH - Red SUN – Green UHC - Purple	Number Resolved	Withdrawn	MCO Reversed Decision on Appeal	MCO upheld Decision on Appeal	MCO Determined Not Applicable
Reconsideration	22 6156 17985		19 2964 9347	3 2968 8638	224
Resolved at Appeal Level	6 905 563	14	3 474 81	3 381 230	36 252
TOTAL	28 7061 18548	14	22 3438 9428	6 3349 8868	260 252
Percentage Per Category		<1%	79% 49% 51%	21% 47% 48%	4% 1%

^{*} We removed categories from the above table that did not have any information to report for the quarter.

MCO's Appeals Database

Provider Appeal Summary – CY19 1st quarter report

ABH - Red SUN – Green UHC - Purple	Number Resolved	Withdrawn	MCO Reversed Decision on Appeal	MCO upheld Decision on Appeal	MCO Determined Not Applicable
Reconsideration	22 6156 17985		19 2964 9347	3 2968 8638	224
Resolved at Appeal Level	6 905 563	14	3 474 81	3 381 230	36 252
TOTAL	28 7061 18548	14	22 3438 9428	6 3349 8868	260 252
Percentage Per Category		<1%	79% 49% 51%	21% 47% 48%	4% 1%

MCOs' Appeals Database

Provider Appeal – Denied Claim Analysis – CY19 1st quarter report

ABH - Red SUN – Green UHC - Purple	Claim Denied- MCO in Error	Claim Denied- Provider Error	Claim Denied – Correctly Billed and Correctly Denied/Paid	Claim Paid – Correctly Billed and Correctly Paid	Total
Provider Appeals					
MCO Reversed			1		1
Decision on Appeal	1	31	272	89	393
	4	61			65
MCO Upheld Decision			3		3
on Appeal			271	88	359
			199		199
Total Claim Denials			4		4
	1	31	543	177	752
	4	61	199		264

MCO's Provider Appeal Timeliness Compliance CY19 1st quarter report

МСО	ABH	SUN	UHC
% of Provider Appeals Resolved in 30 Calendar Days	100%	85%	100%
% of Provider Appeal Resolution Notices Sent Within Compliance Standard	100%	100%	100%

State of Kansas Office of Administrative Fair Hearings

Members – CY19 1st quarter report

ABH - Red SUN - Green UHC - Purple	Number Resolved	Withdrew	OAH Affirmed MCO Decision	мсо	Dismiss Moot Duplicate	Dismiss Not Ripe/ No MCO Appeal	Dismiss No Adverse Action	Dismiss No Auth.	Dismiss Appellant Verbally Withdrew	Dismiss Failure to State a Claim	Default Appellant Failed to Appear	Default Respondent Failed to Appear	Default Respondent Failed to File Agency Summary
MEDICAL NECESSITY/L EVEL OF													

CARE										
CARE – Criteria Not										
Met	2			2	4					
Durable	3	1		2	1					
Medical	1	1								
Equipment										
Inpatient	1			1						
Admissions										
(Non-										
Behavioral										
Health)										
Medical	1					1				
Procedure	1			1						
(NOS)						-				
Pharmacy	5		1			4				
	8			1		5			2	
Dental	1					1				
Hospice										
Out of .	1			1						
network										
provider,										
specialist or										
specific										
provider										
request										
Inpatient	1					1				
Behavioral										
Health										
HCBS (change	1		1							
in attendant										
Hours)										
Other	1					1				
	1			1						
NONCOVERE										
D SERVICE										
Dental	1		1							
Pharmacy	2					2				
Durable	1			1						
Medical										
Equipment										
ADMINISTRA										
TIVE DENIALS		<u> </u>								
MH – ADMIN	1					1				
– Denials of										
Authorization										
(Unauthorize										
d by										
, Members)										
TOTAL										
ABH - Red										
SUN – Green	16		3	4	1	8				
				•	_	_				

UHC - Purple	15	1		4		8			2	

^{*} We removed categories from the above table that did not have any information to report for the quarter.

State of Kansas Office of Administrative Fair Hearings Providers – CY19 1st quarter report

ABH - Red SUN – Green UHC - Purple	Number Resolved	Withdrew	OAH Affirmed MCO Decision	OAH Reversed MCO Decision	Dismiss Moot MCO Reversed	Dismiss Moot Duplicate	Dismiss Untimely	Dismiss Not Ripe/ No MCO Appeal	Dismiss No Adverse Action	Dismiss No Auth.	Dismiss Appellant Verbally Withdrew	Dismiss Failure to State a Claim	Default Appellant Failed to Appear	Default Respondent Failed to Appear	Default Respondent Failed to File Agency Summary
CLAIM DENIAL															
Hospital Inpatient (Non- Behavioral Health)	5 12	5	2		2			1 5							
Hospital Outpatient (Non- Behavioral Health)	1	1													
Pharmacy	2							2							
Vision	2				2										
Medical (Physical Health not Otherwise Specified)	1								1						
Home Health	3				3										
Behavioral Health Outpatient and Physician	1				1										
Behavioral Health Inpatient	3	2			1										
Laboratory	4 1				1			3 1							
PT/OT/ST	1				1										
BILLING AND FINANCIAL ISSUES															
Recoupment	1				1										
RESOLVED WITHOUT SUBSTANTI VE	10 6	3	2		6			4	1						

CHANGES										
то										
ORIGINAL										
CLAIM										
TOTAL										
ABH - Red										
SUN –	18	3	2	8		4	1			
Green	19	5		6		8				
UHC -										
Purple										

^{*} We removed categories from the above table that did not have any information to report for the quarter.

- e. *Quality of care:* Please see Section IX "Quality Assurance/Monitoring Activity" below. HCBS Quality Reports for January-March 2017 and July-September 2018 are attached to this report. The January-March 2017 reports have not been previously submitted.
- f. Changes in provider qualifications/standards: None.
- g. Access: As noted in previous reports, members who are not in their open enrollment period are unable to change plans without a good cause reason pursuant to 42 CFR 438.56 or the KanCare STCs. In Q1 2019, there were no good cause requests. The state offered all enrollees an open enrollment period starting in December 2018 since Amerigroup will be replaced by Aetna Better Health of Kansas beginning January 1, 2019. Open enrollment will continue through April 3, 2019. Therefore, it was unnecessary to file requests when open enrollment existed for all enrollees during this quarter.

If a GCR is denied by KDHE, the member is given appeal/fair hearing rights. During the first quarter of 2019, there were no state fair hearings filed for a denied GCR. A summary of GCR actions this quarter is as follows:

Status		Feb	Mar
Total GCRs filed	0	0	0
Approved	0	0	0
Denied	0	0	0
Withdrawn (resolved, no need to change)	0	0	0
Dismissed (due to inability to contact the member)	0	0	0
Pending	0	0	0

Providers are constantly added to the MCOs' networks, with much of the effort focused upon HCBS service providers. Aetna's KanCare contract began January 1, 2019, and they focused on contracting with the larger practices and HCBS providers in the first few months. The counts below represent the unique number of NPIs—or, where NPI is not available—provider name and service locations (based on the KanCare county designation identified in the

Providers with a service location in a Kansas county are counted once for each county.

KanCare Code Guide). This results in counts for the following:

- Providers with a service location in a border area are counted once for each state in which they have a service location that is within 50 miles of the KS border.
- Providers for services provided in the home are counted once for each county in which they are contracted to provide services.

KanCare MCO	# of Unique Providers as of 6/30/18	# of Unique Providers as of 9/30/18	# of Unique Providers as of 12/31/18	# of Unique Providers as of 3/31/19
Aetna	n/a	n/a	n/a	17,724
Sunflower	27,433	30,886	31,998	35,139
UHC	30,819	38,196	39,799	41,701

- h. *Payment rates:* Changes were made to payment rates to reflect policy changes and service reimbursement increases (see Section IV. Operational Developments/Issues, a. Systems and reporting issues, approval and contracting with new plans).
- i. Health plan financial performance that is relevant to the demonstration: All KanCare MCOs remain solvent.
- j. *MLTSS implementation and operation:* In March 2019, Kansas offered services to 100 people on the HCBS PD waiting list. Due to the timing of the offer round, responses from individuals from this offer round will be attributable to next quarter's report.
- k. Updates on the safety net care pool including DSRIP activities: Currently there are two hospitals participating in the DSRIP activities. They are Children's Mercy Hospital (CMH) and Kansas University Medical Center (KU). CMH has chosen to do the following projects: Complex Care for Children, and Patient Centered Medical Homes. KU will be completing STOP Sepsis, and Self-Management and Care Resiliency for their projects. Kansas Foundation for Medical Care (KFMC) is working with the State on improving healthcare quality in KanCare. The hospitals continued identifying community partners, creating training for community partners, and working toward reaching the project milestones for the DY7.
- I. Information on any issues regarding the concurrent 1915(c) waivers and on any upcoming 1915(c) waiver changes (amendments, expirations, renewals):
 - The State continues to work with CMS regarding the Serious Emotional Disturbance Waiver (SED), particularly focusing on third-party assessments. Third-party assessments commenced with the contractor (KU) during this quarter.
 - The IDD waiver expires on 7/1/19, and CMS requested that the renewing waiver be submitted for review 180 days in advance of the termination date. The IDD waiver will be submitted on 1/9/19. Kansas continues to work with CMS through the RAI process for the waiver renewal.
 - The BI waiver expires on 7/1/19, and CMS requested that the renewing waiver be submitted for review 180 days in advance of the termination date. The BI waiver was submitted 12/31/19. Kansas continues to work with CMS through the RAI process for the waiver renewal.

- The PD and FE waivers expire on 12/31/19, and CMS requested that the renewing waivers be submitted for review 180 days in advance of the termination date. The public comment period for each of these waivers is expected to begin April 15, 2019 and run through May 15, 2019. Stakeholder Engagement sessions are planned for April 24th and 25th of 2019.
- m. Legislative activity: The Robert G. (Bob) Bethell Joint Committee on Home and Community Based Services and KanCare Oversight met February 15, 2019. The committee was provided a program update, information about the KanCare Eligibility Clearinghouse, an update on the implementation activities for the 2019 KanCare managed care contracts, an update on the OneCare Kansas program, and information on HCBS waivers and the waiting lists. In addition, the KanCare Ombudsman provided a report and testimony was provided by the three managed care organizations, several individuals, and associations who are stakeholders of the KanCare program.

Because of the Bethell Committee recommendation in December 2018 to introduce legislation to raise the Protected Income Level (PIL), two bills were introduced during the 2019 Legislative session, HB 2205 and SB 10. The issue was considered by the House Social Services Budget Committee and Appropriations was included in the House Budget. Further consideration to increase the PIL to \$1,177 was conducted by the Budget Conference Committee. This proposal would bring the PIL to the equivalent of 150% of Social Security Income, half of the amount allowed federally.

In addition, a bill to permit Medicaid (KanCare) expansion was introduced but was not passed. The 2019 Legislature also voted to increase rates for KanCare dental services.

n. Other Operational Issues: None

V. Policy Developments/Issues

General Policy Issues: Kansas addressed policy concerns related to managed care organizations and state requirements through weekly KanCare Policy Committee, monthly KanCare Steering Committee and monthly joint and one-on-one meetings between KDHE, KDADS and MCO leadership. Policy changes are also communicated to MCOs through other scheduled and ad hoc meetings as necessary to ensure leadership and program staff are aware of the changes. All policies affecting the operation of the Kansas Medicaid program and MMIS are addressed through a defined and well-developed process that is inclusive (obtaining input from and receiving review by user groups, all affected business areas, the state Medicaid policy team, the state's fiscal agent and Medicaid leadership) and results in documentation of the approved change.

VI. Financial/Budget Neutrality Development/Issues

Budget neutrality: Attached is the current budget neutrality document. The expenditures contained in the document reconcile to Schedule C from the CMS 64 report for QE 3 31 2019.

General reporting issues: KDHE continues to work with DXC, the fiscal agent, to modify reports as needed to have all data required in an appropriate format for efficient Section 1115 demonstration reporting. KDHE communicates with other state agencies regarding any needed changes.

VII. Member Month Reporting

We have updated this section to reflect member months reporting for each month by DY.

DY MEG	Member Months				
	Jan-19	Feb-19	Mar-19	TOTAL QE 3 31 2019	
DY3 CY2015	(28)	0	(96)	(124)	
MEG 1 - ABD/SD DUAL	0	0	(3)	(3)	
MEG 2 - ABD/SD NON DUAL	(17)	0	(7)	(24)	
MEG 3 - ADULTS	(3)	0	(2)	(5)	
MEG 4 - CHILDREN	(8)	0	(82)	(90)	
MEG 5 - DD WAIVER	0	0	0	0	
MEG 6 - LTC	0	0	(2)	(2)	
MEG 7 - MN DUAL	0	0	0	0	
MEG 8 - MN NON DUAL	0	0	0	0	
MEG 9 - WAIVER	0	0	0	0	
DY4 CY2016	(124)	(28)	(179)	(331)	
MEG 1 - ABD/SD DUAL	(1)	(3)	(1)	(5)	
MEG 2 - ABD/SD NON DUAL	(86)	(23)	(38)	(147)	
MEG 3 - ADULTS	(1)	(2)	(11)	(14)	
MEG 4 - CHILDREN	(35)	0	(109)	(144)	
MEG 5 - DD WAIVER	0	0	0	0	
MEG 6 - LTC	0	0	(13)	(13)	
MEG 7 - MN DUAL	0	0	0	0	
MEG 8 - MN NON DUAL	(1)	0	(7)	(8)	
MEG 9 - WAIVER	0	0	0	0	
DY5 CY2017	(336)	(72)	(309)	(717)	
MEG 1 - ABD/SD DUAL	73	54	39	166	
MEG 2 - ABD/SD NON DUAL	(290)	(145)	(174)	(609)	
MEG 3 - ADULTS	(19)	(22)	(23)	(64)	
MEG 4 - CHILDREN	(41)	43	(115)	(113)	
MEG 5 - DD WAIVER	0	5	(6)	(1)	
MEG 6 - LTC	(23)	(3)	(7)	(33)	
MEG 7 - MN DUAL	(16)	2	18	4	
MEG 8 - MN NON DUAL	(20)	0	(34)	(54)	
MEG 9 - WAIVER	0	(6)	(7)	(13)	
DY6 CY2018	340,042	9,152	4,370	353,564	
MEG 1 - ABD/SD DUAL	14,972	775	678	16,425	

MEG 2 - ABD/SD NON DUAL	29,576	392	212	30,180
MEG 3 - ADULTS	48,777	1,852	804	51,433
MEG 4 - CHILDREN	210,760	5,657	2,418	218,835
MEG 5 - DD WAIVER	9,135	43	(17)	9,161
MEG 6 - LTC	20,252	108	128	20,488
MEG 7 - MN DUAL	1,179	132	110	1,421
MEG 8 - MN NON DUAL	922	37	26	985
MEG 9 - WAIVER	4,469	156	11	4,636
DY7 CY2019	0	327,415	326,793	654,208
MEG 1 - ABD/SD DUAL	0	14,260	14,407	28,667
MEG 2 - ABD/SD NON DUAL	0	29,257	29,264	58,521
MEG 3 - ADULTS	0	46,179	46,011	92,190
MEG 4 - CHILDREN	0	202,518	201,768	404,286
MEG 5 - DD WAIVER	0	9,020	9,040	18,060
MEG 6 - LTC	0	19,914	19,905	39,819
MEG 7 - MN DUAL	0	1,118	1,193	2,311
MEG 8 - MN NON DUAL	0	893	919	1,812
MEG 9 - WAIVER	0	4,256	4,286	8,542
Grand Total	339,554	336,467	330,579	1,006,600

Note: Totals do not include CHIP or other non-Title XIX programs.

VIII. Consumer Issues

A summary of the first quarter 2019 consumer issues is below:

Issue	Resolution	Action Taken to Prevent Further Occurrences
MCO failed to deduct member client obligation – this caused overpayments and a significant number of recoupments. Could potentially cause large one-time payments by consumers.	MCOs should have systematic ways of deducting client obligation from claims when processing. One MCO counted upon their authorization system to trigger that deduction in the claims system. The authorization system did not function as expected.	Due to the breakdown with the authorization system, manual overrides were put in place. Providers were asked to refund the overpayments. Members will be asked to pay providers.
Delays in contracting and credentialing with one MCO, causing confusion about network providers.	There are many reasons this can occur. Sometimes providers fail to complete paperwork correctly/completely. Sometimes the MCO had backlogs in completing all the necessary checks to credential providers.	The State issued a Transition of Care policy which required the new MCO to consider all providers 'in-network' for at least 90 days so long as the provider is enrolled in KMAP. This timeframe was extended through the end of May. Consumers need not worry about finding a fully MCO credentialed provider at least until the end of May.

Member transitions from Amerigroup to KanCare 2019 MCOs	Anxiety from some members/providers about the upcoming switch to new contractors. KanCare 2.0 MCOs concerned about transitioning data and authorizations from Amerigroup.	State issued a Transition of Care policy to meet the CMS managed care rule requirements as well as to assist with the transition from an existing contractor to a new contractor. The State worked with all MCOs to create a smooth data transition and service authorization transfer. Also created consistent messaging for members/providers about the transfer of care.
Members sometimes find it difficult to find providers with open panels.	MCOs are working to correct provider network directory database issues. Also educating providers to reach out to MCOs when their directory information changes or if they add/subtract providers to the practice.	MCOs are instructed to report Open/Closed panels for all provider types and report this data in the quarterly reporting template. As part of KanCare 2.0, guidelines for the provider directory mandated inclusion of the open panel status information in the MCO directories.
Retroactively eligible members are denied authorizations or claims denied for timely filing.	Members are denied authorization, services and care coordination due to retroactive eligibility.	Some of the MCO processes require manual intervention, which may lead to errors. Also, some MCOs require a claim to be submitted and denied before they can implement the retroactive eligibility protocol. All authorization and customer service employees receive frequent updates on how to deal with retro authorizations.

During the first quarter of 2019, Out-stationed Eligibility Workers (OEW) staff participated in 32 community events providing KanCare program outreach, education and information for the following agencies/events: Local Health Departments/WIC clinics, FQHC clinics, Latino and Asian Wellness groups, Parents as Teachers, Prairie Band Potawatomie, Kickapoo, Sax and Fox Tribal Health centers, Haskell Indian College, Salvation Army, Homeless Shelters, Circles Out of Poverty consumer groups, Community Health Fairs, Community Baby Showers, Homeless Family Health Fair, Job Corp, Perinatal coalition, Johnson County CDDO meeting, KanCare 2.0 community meetings, health care providers, advocates, and consumers.

During the first quarter of 2019, support and assistance for consumers in the state for KanCare was provided by KDHE's 30 out-stationed eligibility workers (OEW). OEW staff determined eligibility for 3,754 consumers. OEW also assisted in resolving 1,909 issues involving urgent medical needs, obtaining correct information on applications, addressing gaps or errors in pending applications/reviews with the KanCare Clearinghouse. These OEW staff also assisted with 1,794 consumer phone calls.

IX. Quality Assurance/Monitoring Activity

The State Quality Management Strategy – The QMS is designed to provide an overarching framework for the State to allocate resources in an efficient manner with the objective of driving meaningful quality improvement (QI). Underneath the QMS lies the State's monitoring and oversight activities, across KDHE and KDADS, that act as an early alert system to more rapidly address MCO compliance issues and reported variances from expected results. Those monitoring and oversight activities represent the State's ongoing actions to ensure compliance with Federal and State contract standards. The framework of the QMS has been redesigned to look at the KanCare program and the population it serves in a holistic fashion to address all physical, behavioral, functional and social determinants of health and independence needs of the enrolled population. The QMS serves as the launch pad from which the State will continue to build and implement continuous QI principals in key areas of the KanCare program. The State will continue to scale the requirements of the QMS to address and support ongoing system transformation.

A requirement for approval of the 1115 waiver was development of a State QMS to define waiver goals and corresponding statewide strategies, as well as all standards and technical specifications for contract performance measurement, analysis, and reporting. CMS finalized new expectations for managed care service delivery in the 2017 Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Final Rule. The intent of this QMS revision is to comply with the Final Rule, to establish regular review and revision of the State quality oversight process and maintain key State values of quality care to Medicaid recipients through continuous program improvement. Review and revision will feature processes for stakeholder input, tribal input, public notification, and publication to the Kansas Register.

The current QMS defines technical specifications for data collection, maintenance, and reporting to demonstrate recipients are receiving medically necessary services and providers are paid timely for service delivery. The original strategy includes most pre-existing program measures for specific services and financial incentives called pay for performance (P4P) measures to withhold a percentage of the capitation payment the managed care organizations (MCOs) can earn by satisfying certain quality benchmarks. Many of the program-specific, pre-existing measures were developed for the 1915(c) disability waivers designed and managed by the operating agency, KDADS, and administered by the single State Medicaid agency, KDHE. Regular and consistent cross-agency review of the QMS will highlight progress toward State goals and measures and related contractor progress. The outcome findings will demonstrate areas of compliance and non-compliance with Federal standards and State contract requirements. This systematic review will advance trending year over year for the State to engage contractors in continuous monitoring and improvement activities that ultimately impact the quality of services and reinforce positive change.

During 2019 Quarter 1, the State participated in the following activities:

- Ongoing automated report management, review and feedback between the State and the MCOs.
 Reports from the MCOs consist of a wide range of data reported on standardized templates.
- Ongoing interagency and cross-agency collaboration, and coordination with MCOs, to develop
 and communicate specific templates to be used for reporting key components of performance for
 the KanCare program, as well as the protocols, processes and timelines to be used for the ongoing
 receipt, distribution, review and feedback regarding submitted reports. The process of report
 management, review and feedback is now automated to ensure efficient access to reported
 information and maximum utilization/feedback related to the data.
- Implementation and monitoring of the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) work plan.

- Analysis of the original KanCare 1115 demonstration grant (2013-2018) for communication planning, program development and building upon lessons learned.
- Meetings with the EQRO along with the MCOs, KDADS and KDHE to discuss EQRO activities and concerns.
- Development of state contract annual audit tools to determine each MCO's responsiveness to unresolved readiness review requests and each MCO's level of compliance with the new KanCare contracts.
- Medicaid Fraud Control Unit monthly meetings to address fraud, waste, and abuse cases, referrals
 to MCOs and State, and collaborate on solutions to identify and prevent fraud, waste and abuse.
- Continued state staff participation in cross-agency long-term care meetings to report quality assurance and programmatic activities to KDHE for oversight and collaboration.
- Continued participation in weekly calls with each MCO to discuss ongoing provider and member issues, and to troubleshoot operational problems. Progress is monitored through these calls and through issue logs. Additionally, top management staff from KDADS, KDHE and the three MCOs meet monthly face-to-face to discuss issues and improvements to KanCare.
- Monitor large, global system issues through a weekly log issued to all MCOs and the State's fiscal agent. The resulting log is posted out on the KanCare website for providers and other interested parties to view. Continue monthly meetings to discuss trends and progress.
- Monitor member or provider specific issues through a tracking database that is shared with MCOs and KDADS for weekly review.
- Attend various provider training and workshops presented by the MCOs. Monitor for accuracy, answer questions as needed.
- Each MCO has submitted proposals for their Performance Improvement Projects (PIPs), and the State is currently reviewing those proposals.
- For the programs administered by KDADS: The Quality Assurance (QA) process is designed to give
 continuous feedback to KDADS, KDHE and stakeholders regarding the quality of services being
 provided to KanCare members. KDADS quality assurance staff are integrated in the Survey,
 Certification and Credentialing Commission (SCCC) to align staff resources for efficient and timely
 performance measurement. QA staff review random samples of individual case files to monitor
 and report compliance with performance measures designated in Attachment J of the MCO
 contracts.
- Also for the programs administered by KDADS: These measures are monitored and reviewed in collaboration with program staff in the Aging and Disability Community Services and Programs Commission and reported through the Financial and Information Services Commission at KDADS. This oversight is enhanced through collaboration with the Department of Children and Families and the Department of Health and Environment. During this quarter, HCBS performance measures were reported to CMS via the 372 reporting process. A quality assurance protocol and interpretative guidelines are utilized to document this process and have been established with the goal of ensuring consistency in the reviews.
- Below is the timeline that the KDADS Quality Review Team follows regarding the quality review process.

	HCBS Quality Review Rolling Timeline						
	FISC/IT	SCC	MCO/Assess	SCC	FISC	SCC	CSP
Review	Samples	Notification to	MCO/Assessor	Review	Data	Data &	Remediation
Period	Pulled	MCO/Assessor	Upload Period	of MCO	pulled &	Findings	Reviewed at
		Samples posted	*(60 days)	data	Compiled	Reviewed	LTC Meeting

(look	*Posted			*(90	(30days)	at LTC	
back	to QRT			days)		Meeting	
period)						***	
01/01 -	4/1 –	4/16	4/16 – 6/15	5/16 –	9/15	October	November
03/31	4/15			8/15			
04/01 -	7/1 –	7/16	7/16 – 9/15	8/16 –	12/15	January	February
06/30	7/15			11/15			
07/01 -	10/1 –	10/16	10/16 – 12/15	11/16 –	3/15	April	May
09/30	10/15			2/15			
10/01 -	1/1 –	1/16	1/16 – 3/15	2/16 –	6/15	July	August
12/31	1/15			5/15			

X. Managed Care Reporting Requirements

- a. A description of network adequacy reporting including GeoAccess mapping: Each MCO submits a quarterly network adequacy report. The State uses this report to monitor the quality of network data and changes to the networks, drill down into provider types and specialties, and extract data to respond to requests received from various stakeholders. In addition, each MCO submits quarterly network reports that serve as a tool for KanCare managers to monitor accessibility to certain provider types. During the first quarter of 2019, KDHE and KDADS designed definitive GeoAccess standards and posted these standards on our KanCare website at https://www.kancare.ks.gov/policies-and-reports/network-adequacy. KDHE developed tools and reports with assistance from KDHE/KDADS stakeholders as well as feedback from the MCOs. These reports will relay data to KDHE/KDADS for quality review and oversight, and the State will use these reports to monitor member access to care. Additionally, KDHE performed a full-day, comprehensive training with the MCOs during which KDHE provided step-by-step instructions for completing and submitting reports. Moving forward into Q2 2019, KDHE will be mapping out a timeline for report due dates that will allow time for initial analysis, report summation activity, discussion with essential KanCare staff, and timely feedback to MCOs. A report tracking tool and performance summary template are in development and will provide consistent and concise periodic data sharing. Plans are being outlined to use provider directories and encounter data in conjunction with reports to enhance the analytic process. The MCOs generate two reports which are published to the KanCare website monthly for public viewing:
 - MCO Network Access:
 - This report pulls together a summary table from each MCO and provides a side-by-side comparison of the access maps for each plan by specialty.
 - HCBS Providers by Waiver Service:
 - Includes a network status table of waiver services for each MCO.
- b. Customer service reporting, including total calls, average speed of answer and call abandonment rates, for MCO-based and fiscal agent call centers, January March 2019:

KanCare Customer Service Report – Member

MCO/Fiscal Agent	Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	Call Abandonment Rate	Total Calls
Aetna	9:21	2.21%	57,448
Sunflower	29:51	2.66%	50,659
United	16:91	0.83%	56,839

DXC – Fiscal Agent	0.09	0.58%	6,851
--------------------	------	-------	-------

KanCare Customer Service Report - Provider

MCO/Fiscal Agent	Average Speed of Answer (Seconds)	Call Abandonment Rate	Total Calls
Aetna	11:33	1.08%	11,382
Sunflower	20:59	1.49%	24,368
United	0:96	0.90%	24,491
DXC – Fiscal Agent	0.17	0.75%	8,651

c. A summary of MCO appeals for the quarter (including overturn rate and any trends identified) in addition to the information is included at item IV (d) above:

*MCOs' Grievance Trends*Members – CY19 1st Quarter

Wielibels C115 13t Quarter				
Aetna 1st Qtr. Grievance Trends				
Total # of Resolved Grievances	110			
Top 5 Trends				
Trend 1: Pharmacy	18	16%		
Trend 2: Access to Service or Care	14	13%		
Trend 3: Quality of Care (non HCBS, non-Transportation)	13	12%		
Trend 4: Customer Service	13	12%		
Trend 5: Transportation – Other	11	10%		

Sunflower 1st Qtr. Grievance Trends						
Total # of Resolved Grievances	1	.82				
Top 5 Trends						
Trend 1: Transportation - Other	31	17%				
Trend 2: Transportation - Late	29	16%				
Trend 3: Quality of Care (non HCBS, not Transportation)	27	15%				
Trend 4: Transportation – No Show	24	13%				
Trend 5: Customer Service	20	11%				

Sunflower Member Grievances:

- There are 24 member grievances categorized as Transportation No show which is a significant increase of 13 from CY2018 Quarter 4.
- There are 100 transportation grievances which is an increase of 18 (18%) from 82 transportation grievances in CY2019 Quarter 4.

United 1st Qtr. Grievance Trends						
Total # of Resolved Grievances	1	192				
Top 5 Trends						
Trend 1: Billing and Financial Issues (Non-Transportation)	42	22%				
Trend 2: Quality Care (Non HCBS, non Transportation)	30	16%				
Trend 3: Transportation - Other	30	16%				
Trend 4: Transportation - Late	22	11%				
Trend 5: Transportation - No Show	14	7%				

United Member Grievances:

• There are 74 transportation grievances which is a decrease of 1 from 75 transportation grievances in CY2019 Quarter 4.

Providers – CY 2019 1st Quarter

Aetna 1st Qtr. Provider Grievance Trends			
Total # of Resolved Grievances			
Top 5 Trends			
Trend 1: Network – MCO	1	33%	
Trend 2: Benefits/Eligibility	1	33%	
Trend 3: Other – Dissatisfaction with MCO Associate	1	33%	

Aetna Provider Grievances

• Tracking of provider grievances by categories started in CY2019 Quarter 1.

Sunflower 1st Qtr. Provider Grievance Trends						
Total # of Resolved Grievances 31						
Top 5 Trends						
Trend 1: Billing/Payment	12	39%				
Trend 2: Transportation	12	39%				
Trend 3: Pharmacy	3	10%				

Sunflower Provider Grievances

• Tracking of provider grievances by categories started in CY2019 Quarter 1.

United 1st Qtr. Provider Grievance Trends					
Total # of Resolved Grievances					
Top 5 Trends					
Trend 1: Billing and Financial Issues (Non-Transportation)					
Trend 2: Quality Care (Non HCBS)	2	40%			

United Provider Grievances

• Tracking of provider grievances by categories started in CY2019 Quarter 1.

MCOs' Reconsideration Trends Provider – CY2019 1st Quarter

Aetna 1 st Qtr. Provider Reconsideration Trends					
Total # of Resolved Reconsiderations					
Top 5 Trends					
Trend 1: Hospital Outpatient (Non-Behavioral Health)	10	45%			

Trend 2: Dental	3	14%
Trend 3: Medical Professional (Physical Health not Otherwise Specified)	2	9%
Trend 4: Behavioral Health Outpatient and Physician		9%
Trend 5: Other	2	9%

Sunflower 1st Qtr. Provider Reconsideration Trends						
Total # of Resolved Reconsiderations	61	6156				
Top 5 Trends						
Trend 1: Hospital Inpatient (Non-Behavioral Health)	1291	21%				
Trend 2: Hospital Outpatient (Non-Behavioral Health)	1042	17%				
Trend 3: Medical Professional (Physical Health not Otherwise Specified)	901	15%				
Trend 4: PT/OT/ST	887	14%				
Trend 5: Laboratory	521	8%				

Sunflower Provider Reconsiderations

- There are 1291 provider reconsiderations categorized as Hospital Inpatient (Non-Behavioral Health) which is a significant increase of 301 from CY2018 Quarter 1.
- There are 887 provider reconsiderations categorized as PT/OT/ST which is a significant increase of 832 from CY2018 Quarter 4.
- There are 521 provider reconsiderations categorized as Laboratory which is a significant increase of 87 from CY2018 Quarter 4.

United 1st Qtr. Provider Reconsideration Trends						
Total # of Resolved Reconsiderations	17,9	17,985				
Top 5 Trends						
Trend 1: Medical Professional (Physical Health not Otherwise Specified)	7644	43%				
Trend 2: Out of network provider, specialist or specific provider	4384	24%				
Trend 3: Behavioral Health Outpatient and Physician	1966	11%				
Trend 4: Laboratory	933	5%				
Trend 5: Hospital Inpatient (Non-Behavioral Health)	762	4%				

United Provider Reconsiderations

There are 4384 provider reconsiderations categorized as Out of Network Provider,
 Specialist or Specific Provider which is a significant increase of 2577 from CY2018 Quarter 4.

MCOs' Appeals Trends Member/Provider – CY19 1st Quarter

Aetna 1st Qtr. Member/Provider Appeal Trends							
Total # of Resolved Member Appeals	# of Resolved Member Appeals 84		Total # of Resolved Provider Appeals		6		
Top 5 Trends			Top 5 Trends				
			Trend 1: Hospital Inpatient (Non-				
Trend 1: Criteria Not Met – Pharmacy	34	40%	Behavioral Health)	2	33%		
Trend 2: Criteria Not Met – Medical Procedure			Trend 2: Hospital Outpatient (Non-				
(NOS)	12	14%	Behavioral Health)	1	17%		
Trend 3: Criteria Not Met – Radiology	12	14%	Trend 3: Dental	1	17%		

Trend 4: Criteria Not Met – Durable Medical			Trend 4: Behavioral Health Outpatient		
Equipment	5	6%	and Physician	1	17%
Trend 5: Criteria Not Met – Inpatient					
Admissions (Non-Behavioral Health) / Criteria					
Not Met – Dental / Service Not Covered –					
Pharmacy	4	5%	Trend 5: Other	1	17%

Sunflower 1st Qtr. Member/Provider Appeal Trends									
Total # of Resolved Member Appeals	d Member Appeals 233		eals 233 Total # of Resolved Provider Appeals		905				
Top 5 Trends			Top 5 Trends						
			Trend 1: Hospital Outpatient (Non						
Trend 1: Criteria Not Met - Pharmacy	88	27%	Behavioral Health)	264	29%				
			Trend 2: Hospital Inpatient (Non						
Trend 2: Criteria Not Met - Radiology	29	18%	Behavioral Health)	172	19%				
Trend 3: Criteria Not Met - Durable			Trend 3: Medical Professional (Physical						
Medical Equipment	28	15%	Health not Otherwise Specified)	92	10%				
			Trend 4: Out of network provider,						
Trend 4: Other - Noncovered Service	16	8%	specialist or specific provider	89	10%				
Trend 5: Criteria Not Met - Medical									
Procedure (NOS) / Other - Medical									
Necessity	13	7%	Trend 5: Recoupment	69	8%				

Sunflower Provider Appeals:

- There are 172 provider appeals categorized as Hospital Inpatient (Non-Behavioral Health) which is a significant increase of 25 from CY2018 Quarter 4.
- There are 92 provider appeals categorized as Medical Professional (Physical Health not Otherwise Specified) which is a significant increase of 11 from CY2018 Quarter 4.
- There are 89 provider appeals categorized as Out of Network Provider, Specialist or Specific Provider which is a significant increase of 68 from CY2018 Quarter 4.
- There are 69 provider appeals categorized as Recoupments which is a significant increase of 56 from CY2018 Quarter 4.

United 1st Qtr. Member/Provider Appeal Trends								
Total # of Resolved Member Appeals	ppeals 276		Total # of Resolved Provider Appeals		563			
Top 5 Trends			Top 5 Trends					
Trend 1: Criteria Not Met - Pharmacy	140	51%	Trend 1: Other	267	47%			
Trend 2: Criteria Not Met - Inpatient			Trend 2: Hospital Inpatient (Non-					
Admissions (Non-Behavioral Health)		18%	Behavioral Health)	136	24%			
Trend 3: Criteria Not Met - Durable								
Medical Equipment	22	8%	Trend 3: Recoupment	49	9%			
Trend 4: Criteria Not Met - Inpatient			Trend 4: Hospital Outpatient (Non-					
Behavioral Health	18	7%	Behavioral Health)	28	5%			
			Trend 5: Medical Professional (Physical					
Trend 5: Level of Care - LTSS/HCBS	12	4%	Health not Otherwise Specified	26	5%			

United Provider Appeals:

• There are 140 member appeals categorized as Criteria Not Met - Pharmacy which is a significant increase of 46 from CY2018 Quarter 4.

- There are 49 member appeals categorized as Criteria Not Met Inpatient Admissions (Non-Behavioral Health) which is a significant increase of 18 from CY2018 Quarter 4.
- There are 18 member appeals categorized as No Authorization Submitted which is a significant increase of 18 from CY2018 Quarter 4.

United Provider Appeals:

- There are 267 provider appeals categorized as Other which is a significant increase of 18 from CY2018 Quarter 4.
- There are 49 provider appeals categorized as Other which is a significant increase of 30 from CY2018 Quarter 4.

MCOs' State Fair Hearing Reversed Decisions Member/Provider – CY19 1st Quarter

- There was a total of 31 Member State Fair Hearings for all three MCOs. No decisions were reversed by OAH.
- There was a total of 37 Provider State Fair Hearings for all three MCOs. No decisions were reversed by OAH.

Aetna 1 st Qtr.							
Total # of Member SFH	0		Total # of Provider SFH		0		
OAH reversed MCO decision	0	0%	OAH reversed MCO decision	0	0%		

Sunflower 1st Qtr.							
Total # of Member SFH	16		Total # of Provider SFH		18		
OAH reversed MCO decision	0	0%	OAH reversed MCO decision	0	0%		

United 1st Qtr.							
Total # of Member SFH	15		Total # of Provider SFH		19		
OAH reversed MCO decision	0	0%	OAH reversed MCO decision	0	0%		

- d. Enrollee complaints and grievance reports to determine any trends: This information is included at items IV (d) and X(c) above.
- e. Summary of ombudsman activities: The report for the first quarter of calendar year 2019 is attached.
- f. Summary of MCO critical incident report: The Adverse Incident Reporting (AIR) system is a critical incident management reporting and monitoring system for the detection, prevention, reporting, investigation and remediation of critical incidents with design components to ensure proper follow-up and resolution occurs for all defined adverse incidents. Additional requirements have been implemented to confirm review and resolutions regarding instances of seclusion, restraint, restrictive intervention, and death followed appropriate policies and procedures. The Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS) implemented enhancements to the AIR system on 9/17/18. These enhancements allow KDADS, KDHE, and MCOs to manage specific critical incidents in accordance with KDADS' AIR Policy.

All the Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) have access to the system. MCOs and KDADS staff may now both read and write information directly into the AIR system. Creating an Adverse Incident Report is forward facing, so anyone from a concerned citizen to an MCO Care Coordinator can report into the AIR system by visiting the KDADS website at www.kdads.ks.gov and selecting Adverse Incident Reporting (AIR) under the quick links. All reports are input into the system electronically. While a system with DCF is being developed to automatically enter determinations into AIR, KDADS requires duplicate reporting for instances of Abuse, Neglect and Exploitation to both DCF and the AIR system. Determinations received from the Kansas Department for Children and Families (DCF) are received by KDADS staff who review the AIR system and attach to an existing report, or manually enter reports that are not already in the AIR system. After reports are received and reviewed and waiver information is verified by KDADS staff in MMIS, MCOs receive notification of assigned reports. MCOs have the ability to provide follow-up information within the AIR system and address corrective action plans issued by KDADS as appropriate. To protect member protected health information, MCO access is limited to only their enrolled members. Please note that Kansas is in the process of establishing an memorandum of understanding (MOU) between KDADS and DCF to improve communication, data sharing and leverage resources between the agencies.

As part of the implementation process, KDADS provided MCOs with training on the new AIR system on 9/12/18. As part of implementation of the new KanCare contract, Aetna received a training on 12/19/18 and KDHE presented a summary of the AIR system updates to interested parties on 12/12/18. KDADS will continue to offer further training sessions and refresher sessions as updates occur.

KDADS is planning regular meetings with MCOs to analyze trends and drill down on any specific cases, as appropriate.

AIR is not intended to replace the State reporting system for abuse, neglect and exploitation (ANE) of individuals who are served on the behavioral health and HCBS programs. ANE substantiations are reported separately to KDADS from the Department of Children and Families (DCF) and monitored by the KDADS program integrity team. The program integrity team ensures individuals with reported ANE are receiving adequate supports and protections available through KDADS programs, KanCare, and other community resources. A summary of the 2019 AIRS reports through the quarter ending March 31, 2019 follows:

	Critical Incidents		1 st Qtr.	2 nd Qtr.	3 rd Qtr.	4 th Qtr.	YTD
			AIR Totals	AIR Totals	AIR Totals	AIR Totals	TOTALS
	Reviewed		2,187				
	Pending Resolution		88				
	Total Received		2,275				
r	APS Substantiations*		109				
	APS Substantiations*		2,275 109				

^{*}The APS Substantiations exclude possible name matches when no date of birth is identified. One adult may be a victim/alleged victim of multiple types of allegations. The information provided is for adults on HCBS programs who were involved in reports assigned for investigation and had substantiations during the quarter noted. An investigation may include more than one allegation.

XI. Safety Net Care Pool

The Safety Net Care Pool (SNCP) is divided into two pools: The Health Care Access Improvement Program (HCAIP) Pool and the Large Public Teaching Hospital/Border City Children's Hospital (LPTH/BCCH) Pool. The DY7 first quarter HCAIP UCC Pool payments will be made after the State of Kansas receives approval for the technical correction to Attachment J. The DY7 quarter one LPTH/BCCH UC Pool payments were issued March 28, 2019.

SNCP and HCAIP reports for DY 7 Q1 are attached to this report.

Disproportionate Share Hospital payments continue, as does support for graduate medical education.

XII. Demonstration Evaluation

The entity selected by KDHE to conduct KanCare Evaluation reviews and reports is the Kansas Foundation for Medical Care (KFMC). KFMC is working with KDHE to develop a draft evaluation design to submit to CMS by June 26, 2019.

XIII. Other (Claims Adjudication Statistics; Waiting List Management)

a. Post-award forums

No post-award forum was held during the January-March 2019 quarter.

b. Claims Adjudication Statistics

KDHE's summary of the numerous claims adjudication reports for the KanCare MCOs, covering January-March 2019, is attached.

c. Waiting List Management

PD Waiting List Management

For the quarter ending March 31, 2019:

- Current number of individuals on the PD Waiting List: 1,590
- Number of individuals added to the waiting list: 432
- Number of individuals removed from the waiting list: 281
 - o 35 started receiving HCBS-PD waiver services
 - o 13 were deceased
 - o 233 were removed for other reasons (refused services, voluntary removal, etc.)

I/DD Waiting List Management

For the quarter ending March 31, 2019:

- Current number of individuals on the I/DD Waiting List: 3,033
- Number of individuals added to the waiting list: 153
- Number of individuals removed from the waiting list: 140
 - 41 started receiving HCBS-I/DD waiver services
 - o 5 were deceased
 - o 94 were removed for other reasons (refused services, voluntary removal, etc.)

The current point-in-time limit for HCBS-IDD is 8,900. The IDD waiver renewal for 7/1/19 has updated that point-in-time number to 9,004. KDADS is currently serving 9,042 individuals.

XIV. Enclosures/Attachments

Section of Report Where Attachment Noted	Description of Attachment
IV(e)	HCBS Quality Report for January-March 2017 and HCBS Quality Report for July-September 2018
VI	KanCare Budget Neutrality Monitoring Spreadsheet for QE 3.31.19
VII	Member Months Report Cumulative QE 3.31.19
X(e)	Summary of KanCare Ombudsman Activities for QE 3.31.19
ΧI	Safety Net Care Pool Report DY 7 Q1 and HCAIP Report DY7 Q1
XIII(b)	KDHE Summary of Claims Adjudication Statistics for January-March 2019

XV. State Contacts

Lee Norman, M.D., Secretary
Adam Proffitt, Medicaid Director
Kansas Department of Health and Environment
Division of Health Care Finance
Landon State Office Building — 9th Floor
900 SW Jackson Street
Topeka, Kansas 66612
(785) 296-3512 (phone)
(785) 296-4813 (fax)
Lee.Norman@ks.gov
Adam.proffitt@ks.gov

VI. Date Submitted to CMS

May 31, 2019



Home and Community Based Services

Quality Review Report

January - March 2017

November 1, 2017

Administrative Authority

PM 1: Number and percent of Quality Review reports generated by KDADS, the Operating Agency, that were submitted to the State Medicaid Agency

Numerator: Number of Quality Review reports generated by KDADS, the Operating Agency, that were submitted to the State Medicaid Agency

Denominator: Number of Quality Review reports

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: Quality Review Reports to KDHE

Compliance By Waiver	Statewide
DD.	4000
PD	100%
Numerator	:
Denominator	
FE	100%
Numerator	
Denominator	
IDD	100%
Numerator	
Denominator	
ТВІ	1009
Numerator	
Denominator	
TA	1009
Numerator	
Denominator	
Autism	1009
Numerator	
Denominator	
SED	100%
Numerator	
Denominator	

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%
FE					
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%
IDD					
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%
ТВІ					
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%
TA					
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%
Autism					
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%
SED					
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%

xplanation of Findings:	
emediation:	

Administrative Authority

PM 2: Number and percent of waiver amendments and renewals reviewed and approved by the State Medicaid Agency prior to submission to CMS by the State Medicaid Age Numerator: Number of waiver amendments and renewals reviewed and approved by the State Medicaid Agency prior to submission to CMS

Denominator: Total number of waiver amendments and renewals

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: Number of waiver amendments and renewals sent to KDHE

Compliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0
FE	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0
IDD	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0
ТВІ	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0
TA	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0
Autism	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0
SED	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Statewide	N/A	100%	100%	100%	N/A
FE					
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	N/A
IDD					
Statewide	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
TBI					
Statewide	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
TA					
Statewide	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
Autism					
Statewide	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
SED					•
Statewide	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A

Explanation of Findings:		
Remediation:		

Administrative Authority

PM 3: Number and percent of waiver policy changes that were submitted to the State Medicaid Agency prior to implementation by the Operating Agency

Numerator: Number of waiver policy changes that were submitted to the State Medicaid Agency prior to implementation by the Operating Agency

Denominator: Number of waiver policy changes implemented by the Operating Agency

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: Presentation of waiver policy changes to KDHE

Compliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD	100%
Numerator	3
Denominator	3
FE	100%
Numerator	3
Denominator	3
IDD	100%
Numerator	3
Denominator	3
ТВІ	100%
Numerator	3
Denominator	3
TA	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1
Autism	100%
Numerator	3
Denominator	3
SED	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Statewide	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	100%
FE					
Statewide	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	100%
IDD					
Statewide	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%
TBI					
Statewide	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%
TA					
Statewide	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%
Autism					
Statewide	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%
SED					
Statewide	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%

Explanation of Findings:	Explanation of Findings:			
Remediation:				

Administrative Authority

PM 4: Number and percent of Long-Term Care meetings that were represented by the program managers through in-person attendance or written reports

Numerator: Number of Long-Term Care meetings that were represented by the program managers through in-person attendance or written reports

Denominator: Number of Long-Term Care meetings

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: Meeting Minutes

Con	npliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD		100%
	Numerator	3
	Denominator	:
FE		100%
	Numerator	
	Denominator	
IDD		100%
	Numerator	
	Denominator	
ТВІ		100%
	Numerator	
	Denominator	
TA		1009
	Numerator	
	Denominator	
Aut	ism	100%
	Numerator	
	Denominator	
SED		100%
	Numerator	
	Denominator	

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Statewide	Not a measure	45%	67%	70%	100%
FE					
Statewide	100%	82%	50%	70%	100%
IDD					
Statewide	Not a measure	91%	Not Available	70%	100%
ТВІ					
Statewide	Not a measure	73%	Not Available	70%	100%
TA					
Statewide	Not a measure	64%	Not Available	70%	100%
Autism					
Statewide	Not a measure	91%	100%	70%	100%
SED					
Statewide	Not a measure	100%	Not Available	70%	100%

Explanation of Findings:		
Remediation:		

Level of Care

PM 1: Number of waiver participants who were determined to meet Level of Care requirements prior to receiving HCBS services

Numerator: Number of waiver participants who were determined to meet Level of Care requirements prior to receiving HCBS services

Denominator: Total number of enrolled waiver participants

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: Functional Assessor Record Review

Cor	mpliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD		100%
-	Numerator	100%
	Denominator	5
FE	Benominator	100%
	Numerator	20
	Denominator	20
IDD		100%
	Numerator	4
	Denominator	4
тві		100%
	Numerator	15
	Denominator	15
TA		100%
	Numerator	7
	Denominator	7
Aut	ism	83%
	Numerator	5
	Denominator	6
SED)	92%
	Numerator	70
	Denominator	76

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Statewide	64%	83%	96%	86%	100%
FE					
Statewide	81%	91%	93%	98%	100%
IDD					
Statewide	99%	94%	90%	100%	100%
ТВІ					
Statewide	62%	89%	81%	85%	100%
TA					
Statewide	97%	89%	100%	98%	100%
Autism					
Statewide	82%	No Data	100%	N/A	83%
SED					
Statewide	99%	89%	88%	91%	92%

Explanation of Findings:

The assessments provided were either not completed or provided for review and/or the LOC score did not meet the requirements.

Recommended Remediation:

Corrective action plan: Assessment contractors shall provide a plan to the KDADS CSP Commissioner detailing how they will: (1) meet the initial assessment deadline AND provide all required documentation to quality reviewers. This particular measure only applies to initial assessments and initial access to service. It does not apply to annual re-assessments.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 6 out of 7 records found noncompliant were missing and the LOC score could not be reviewed.

Oct 2017: KDADS plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the assessing entities rather than asking them to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the assessing entity based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the ADRCs to monitor and assess compliance with LOC measures related to the PD, FE, and TBI waivers. Additional remediation planning sessions are to be scheduled with the other contracted assessing entities for the Autism, SED, TA, and IDD waivers.

Level of Care

PM 2: Number and percent of waiver participants who receive their annual Level of Care evaluation within 12 months of the previous Level of Care determination

Numerator: Number of waiver participants who receive their annual Level of Care evaluation within 12 months of the previous Level of Care determination

Denominator: Number of waiver participants who received Level of Care redeterminations

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: Functional Assessor Record Review

Con	npliance By Waiver	Statewide
-		540
PD		64%
	Numerator	53
	Denominator	83
FE		69%
	Numerator	4.
	Denominator	6!
IDD		65%
	Numerator	60
	Denominator	92
TBI		42%
	Numerator	1!
	Denominator	30
TA		95%
	Numerator	4:
	Denominator	43
Aut	ism	86%
	Numerator	(
	Denominator	
SED		919
	Numerator	48
	Denominator	53

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Statewide	47%	52%	64%	69%	64%
FE					
Statewide	68%	70%	76%	79%	69%
IDD					
Statewide	97%	74%	75%	77%	65%
TBI					
Statewide	39%	50%	62%	65%	42%
TA					
Statewide	94%	90%	86%	96%	95%
Autism					
Statewide	68%	No Data	75%	78%	86%
SED					
Statewide	93%	88%	94%	88%	91%

Explanation of Findings:

One (or more) of the assessments required to determine timeliness were missing and/or the assessment was not completed within the required timeframe.

Remediation:

Corrective Action Plan: Assessment contractors will provide the KDADS CSP Commissioner with a training plan demonstrating how assessors are trained to complete the following: (a) view and assure the annual reassessment is complete, timely, accurate, signed, on file and appropriately available to KDADS quality reviewers; (b) verify the reassessment was completed no more than 365 days since the last level of care assessment; (c) outline steps the assessor is trained to take if a level of care assessment is more than 365 days old.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data from the QRT system, 41 of 111 records found noncompliant were missing and could not be reviewed.

Oct 2017: KDADS plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the assessing entities rather than asking them to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the assessing entity based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the ADRCs to monitor and assess compliance with LOC measures related to the PD, FE, and TBI waivers. Additional remediation planning sessions are to be scheduled with the other contracted assessing entities for the Autism, SED, TA, and IDD waivers.

Level of Care

PM 3: Number and percent of waiver participants whose Level of Care (LOC) determinations used the state's approved screening tool

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose Level of Care determinations used the approved screening tool

Denominator: Number of waiver participants who had a Level of Care determination

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: Functional Assessor Record Review

Con	npliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD		77%
	Numerator	68
	Denominator	88
FE		89%
	Numerator	77
	Denominator	87
IDD		99%
	Numerator	95
	Denominator	96
ТВІ		78%
	Numerator	40
	Denominator	51
TA		98%
	Numerator	49
	Denominator	50
Aut	ism	92%
	Numerator	12
	Denominator	13
SED		89%
	Numerator	67
	Denominator	75

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Statewide	93%	84%	79%	80%	77%
FE					
Statewide	88%	91%	91%	92%	89%
IDD					
Statewide	97%	95%	99%	99%	99%
TBI					
Statewide	64%	81%	79%	77%	78%
TA					
Statewide	93%	98%	100%	100%	98%
Autism					
Statewide	88%	No Data	90%	88%	92%
SED					•
Statewide	77%	79%	83%	88%	89%

Explanation of Findings:

The current assessment was not provided and/or incorrect tool used.

Remediation:

Corrective Action Plan: Assessment contractors will provide the KDADS CSP Commissioner with a training plan demonstrating how assessors are trained to complete the following: (a) view and verify the correct initial or annual level of care assessment was done by the correct assessing entity (i.e. no duplicate person or assessment on file; CARE versus FAI, etc.); (b) that the assessment is complete, timely, accurate, signed, on file and appropriately available to KDADS quality reviewers; (c) outline steps the assessor is trained to take if the wrong assessment instrument was used; and, (d) assure that quarterly reporting of assessor qualifications is provided to KDADS on time and as required.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data from the QRT system, 48 of 52 records found noncompliant were missing and could not be reviewed.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the assessing entities rather than asking them to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the assessing entity based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the ADRCs to monitor and assess compliance with LOC measures related to the PD, FE, and TBI waivers. Additional remediation planning sessions are to be scheduled with the other contracted assessing entities for the Autism, SED, TA, and IDD waivers.

Level of Care

PM 4: Number and percent of initial Level of Care (LOC) determinations made by a qualified assessor Numerator: Number of initial Level of Care (LOC) determinations made by a qualified assessor

Denominator: Number of initial Level of Care determinations

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017
Data Source: Functional Assessor Record Review

Con	npliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD		78%
	Numerator	69
	Denominator	88
FE		87%
	Numerator	76
	Denominator	87
IDD		97%
	Numerator	93
	Denominator	96
TBI		78%
	Numerator	40
	Denominator	51
TA		98%
	Numerator	49
	Denominator	50
Aut	ism	85%
	Numerator	11
	Denominator	13
SED		88%
	Numerator	69
	Denominator	78

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Statewide	19%	68%	81%	80%	78%
FE					
Statewide	24%	86%	91%	92%	87%
IDD					
Statewide	92%	85%	96%	97%	97%
ТВІ					
Statewide	57%	73%	83%	77%	78%
TA					
Statewide	93%	100%	99%	100%	98%
Autism					
Statewide	0%	No Data	57%	68%	85%
SED					
Statewide	99%	71%	88%	86%	88%

Explanation of Findings:

The current/applicable assessment tool was missing, unable to determine who completed the assessment, no current assessment provided for the review, and/or the assessor listed as completing the assessment is not on the approved assessor list.

Remediation:

Corrective Action Plan: Assessment contractors will provide the KDADS CSP Commissioner with a training plan demonstrating how the assessing entity completes the following: (a) verification that the assessor possessed necessary and current credentials/qualifications at the time of initial assessment; (b) that assessments are complete, timely, accurate, signed, on file and appropriately available to KDADS quality reviewers; (c) outline immediate actions the assessing entity will take if required individual assessment records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manner.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data from the QRT system, 52 of 56 noncompliant records were missing and could not be reviewed.

Oct 2017: KDADS plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the assessing entities rather than asking them to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the assessing entity based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the ADRCs to monitor and assess compliance with LOC measures related to the PD, FE, and TBI waivers. Additional remediation planning sessions are to be scheduled with the other contracted assessing entities for the Autism, SED, TA, and IDD waivers.

Level of Care

PM 5: Number and percent of initial Level of Care (LOC) determinations made where the LOC criteria was accurately applied

Numerator: Number of initial Level of Care (LOC) determinations made where the LOC criteria was accurately applied

Denominator: Number of initial Level of Care determinations

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: Functional Assessor Record Review

Con	pliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD		000
עץ		80%
	Numerator	4
	Denominator	ţ
FE		100%
	Numerator	20
	Denominator	20
IDD		100%
	Numerator	4
	Denominator	4
ТВІ		100%
	Numerator	15
	Denominator	15
TA		100%
	Numerator	
	Denominator	
Auti	sm	83%
	Numerator	Ţ
	Denominator	(
SED		89%
	Numerator	67
	Denominator	75

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Statewide	73%	83%	96%	80%	80%
FE					
Statewide	91%	90%	96%	91%	100%
IDD					
Statewide	98%	95%	91%	98%	100%
TBI					
Statewide	58%	81%	83%	76%	100%
TA					
Statewide	93%	98%	100%	100%	100%
Autism					
Statewide	89%	No Data	100%	88%	83%
SED					
Statewide	99%	88%	87%	89%	89%

Explanation of Findings:

No assessments were provided for review and/or the score does meet the LOC requirement.

Remediation:

Corrective Action Plan: Assessment contractors will provide the KDADS CSP Commissioner with a training plan demonstrating how the assessing entity completes the following: (a) verification that the assessor possessed necessary and current credentials/qualifications at the time of initial assessment; (b) that assessments are complete, timely, accurate, signed, on file and appropriately available to KDADS quality reviewers; (c) outline immediate actions the assessing entity will take if required individual assessment records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manner; and (d) internal quality review measure used by the assessing entity to verify that the assessment criteria correctly applied.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data from the QRT system, 52 of 56 noncompliant records were missing and could not be reviewed.

Oct 2017: KDADS plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the assessing entities rather than asking them to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the assessing entity based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the ADRCs to monitor and assess compliance with LOC measures related to the PD, FE, and TBI waivers. Additional remediation planning sessions are to be scheduled with the other contracted assessing entities for the Autism, SED, TA, and IDD waivers.

Qualified Providers

PM 1: Number and percent of new licensed/certified waiver provider applicants that initially met licensure requirements, certification requirements, and other waiver standards prior to furnishing waiver services Numerator: Number of new licensed/certified waiver provider applicants that initially met licensure requirements, certification requirements, and other waiver standards prior to furnishing waiver services Denominator: Number of all new licensed/certified waiver providers

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Apianation	of Findings:			
emediation				
	t applicable at 1	the time of rev	riew.	
emediation Oct 2017: No		the time of rev	riew.	
		the time of rev	riew.	

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	100%			N/A	
FE					
Amerigroup				5%	
Sunflower				30%	
United				N/A	
Statewide	100%			9%	
IDD					
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	98%			N/A	
ТВІ					
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	91%			N/A	
TA					
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	93%			N/A	
Autism					
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	100%			N/A	
SED				,	
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	100%			N/A	

Qualified Providers

PM 2: Number and percent of enrolled licensed/certified waiver providers that continue to meet licensure requirements, certification requirements, and other waiver standards Numerator: Number of enrolled licensed/certified waiver providers that continue to meet licensure requirements, certification requirements, and other waiver standards

Denominator: Number of enrolled licensed/certified waiver providers

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017 Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:

Remediation:

Oct 2017: Not applicable at the time of review.				

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup				0%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	100%			0%	
FE					
Amerigroup				12%	
Sunflower				23%	
United				0%	
Statewide	Not a measure			11%	
IDD					
Amerigroup				0%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	98%			0%	
ТВІ					
Amerigroup				0%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	89%			0%	
TA					
Amerigroup				0%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	93%			0%	
Autism					
Amerigroup				14%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	100%			4%	
SED					
Amerigroup				0%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	100%			0%	

Qualified Providers

PM 3: Number and percent of new non-licensed/non-certified waiver provider applicants that have met the initial waiver requirements prior to furnishing waiver services Numerator: Number of new non-licensed/non-certified waiver provider applicants that have met the initial waiver requirements prior to furnishing waiver services

Denominator: Number of all new non-licensed/non-certified providers

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:	xplanation of Findings:					

Remediation:

Oct 2017: Not applicable at the time of review.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	75%			N/A	
FE					
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	100%			N/A	
IDD					
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	Not a measure			N/A	
TBI					
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	88%			N/A	
TA					
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	No Data			N/A	
Autism					
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	82%			N/A	
SED				,	
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	Not a measure			N/A	

Qualified Providers

PM 4: Number and percent of enrolled non-licensed/non-certified waiver providers that continue to meet waiver requirements

Numerator: Number enrolled non-licensed/non-certified waiver providers that continue to meet waiver requirements

Denominator: Number of enrolled non-licensed/non-certified providers

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TBI				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:							

Remediation:

Oct 2017: Not applicable at the time of review.				

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup				3%	
Sunflower				1%	
United				0%	
Statewide	75%			1%	
FE					
Amerigroup				0%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	Not a measure			0%	
IDD					
Amerigroup				0%	
Sunflower				8%	
United				0%	
Statewide	Not a measure			2%	
TBI					
Amerigroup				8%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	88%			3%	
TA					
Amerigroup				13%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	No Data			4%	
Autism					
Amerigroup				8%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	91%			2%	
SED	32,0				
Amerigroup				N/A	
Sunflower				N/A	
United				N/A	
Statewide	89%			N/A	

Qualified Providers

PM 5: Number and percent of active providers that meet training requirements

Numerator: Number of providers that meet training requirements

Denominator: Number of active providers Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator			·	•
Denominator			·	•
SED			_	
Numerator				
Denominator				

E	Explanation of Findings:
L	

Remediation:

Oct 2017: Not applicable at the time of review.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup				0%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	No Data			0%	
FE					
Amerigroup				0%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	No Data			0%	
IDD					
Amerigroup				0%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	99%			0%	
ТВІ					
Amerigroup				0%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	No Data			0%	
TA					
Amerigroup				0%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	No Data			0%	
Autism					
Amerigroup				20%	
Sunflower				36%	
United				0%	
Statewide	No Data			11%	
SED					
Amerigroup				0%	
Sunflower				0%	
United				0%	
Statewide	88%			0%	

14

Service Plan

PM 1: Number and percent of waiver participants whose service plans address participants' goals Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans address participants' goals

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	68%	80%	87%	77%
Numerator	23	24	20	67
Denominator	34	30	23	87
FE	69%	74%	93%	78%
Numerator	18	25	26	69
Denominator	26	34	28	88
IDD	75%	77%	87%	79%
Numerator	21	34	20	75
Denominator	28	44	23	95
ТВІ	66%	69%	100%	71%
Numerator	19	9	7	35
Denominator	29	13	7	49
TA	72%	63%	89%	72%
Numerator	18	10	8	36
Denominator	25	16	9	50
Autism	80%	33%	0%	50%
Numerator	4	2	0	6
Denominator	5	6	1	12
SED	96%	100%	93%	96%
Numerator	27	23	25	75
Denominator	28	23	27	78

Explanation of Findings:

Goals are not addressed in the Service Plan, the Service plan was not provided for review, and/or the Service Plan was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable.

Remediation:

Conclusions: As part of an existing corrective action plan to CMS, KDADS has acknowledged the need for additional policy to clarify the plan of care expectations and provided direction. When reviewing this data this need is confirmed.

Recommended Remediation:

Corrective action plan: MCOs shall provide a training and development plan to the KDADS CSP Commissioner showing: (a) how care coordinators are trained to document required signatures to show member participation in the service plan process; and, (b) how/where the service plan documents that the goals are addressed; and, (c) outline actions the MCO care coordinator may take if required individual assessment records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manage.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 33 of 96 records found noncompliant were missing and could not be reviewed. 51 of 96 records found noncompliant were not signed and/or dated. 11 of 96 records found noncompliant did not have goals addressed in the plan.

Oct 2017: According to fallout date in the QRT system, 17 of 96 noncompliant records did not address goals. Other records addressed goals yet the participant's signature was missing.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		55%	33%	63%	689
Sunflower		57%	64%	59%	80%
United		33%	49%	86%	879
Statewide	55%	50%	48%	69%	77%
FE					
Amerigroup		50%	42%	54%	699
Sunflower		56%	51%	75%	749
United		45%	56%	81%	939
Statewide	Not a measure	50%	49%	70%	78%
IDD					
Amerigroup		36%	32%	53%	75%
Sunflower		56%	56%	61%	77%
United		52%	41%	73%	879
Statewide	99%	49%	45%	62%	79%
ТВІ					
Amerigroup		37%	41%	58%	669
Sunflower		37%	38%	80%	69%
United		22%	55%	78%	1009
Statewide	44%	34%	43%	68%	719
TA					
Amerigroup		50%	44%	69%	72%
Sunflower		73%	85%	82%	63%
United		64%	32%	70%	89%
Statewide	93%	61%	54%	73%	729
Autism					
Amerigroup		84%	56%	35%	809
Sunflower		47%	50%	50%	339
United		63%	36%	17%	09
Statewide	58%	69%	49%	37%	50%
SED					
Amerigroup		91%	99%	98%	969
Sunflower		92%	95%	87%	1009
United		89%	100%	98%	939
Statewide	98%	90%	98%	95%	96%

Service Plan

PM 2: Number and percent of waiver participants whose service plans address their assessed needs and capabilities as indicated in the assessment

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans address their assessed needs and capabilities as indicated in the assessment

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017 Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	68%	87%	87%	79%
Numerator	23	26	20	69
Denominator	34	30	23	87
FE	73%	68%	96%	78%
Numerator	19	23	27	69
Denominator	26	34	28	88
IDD	71%	68%	91%	75%
Numerator	20	30	21	71
Denominator	28	44	23	95
TBI	59%	62%	100%	65%
Numerator	17	8	7	32
Denominator	29	13	7	49
TA	68%	75%	89%	74%
Numerator	17	12	8	37
Denominator	25	16	9	50
Autism	80%	17%	0%	42%
Numerator	4	1	0	5
Denominator	5	6	1	12
SED	96%	87%	78%	87%
Numerator	27	20	21	68
Denominator	28	23	27	78

Explanation of Findings:

Assessed needs and capabilities were not addressed in the Service Plan, the Service plan was not provided for review, and/or the Service Plan was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable.

Remediation:

Conclusions: As part of an existing corrective action plan to CMS, KDADS has acknowledged the need for additional policy to clarify the plan of care expectations and provided direction. When reviewing this data this need is confirmed.

Corrective action plan: MCOs shall provide a training and development plan to the KDADS CSP Commissioner showing; (1) how care coordinators are trained to obtain required signatures to show member participation in the service plan process; and, (2) how care coordinators are trained to document that the participant's assessed needs and capabilities are addressed as indicated in the level of care assessment; and, (3) outline actions the MCO care coordinator are trained to take if required individual assessment records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manner.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 6 of 108 records found noncompliant did not address participant's assessed needs and capabilities. The remainder were found noncompliant because they were either missing or not signed.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		83%	55%	74%	68%
Sunflower		90%	56%	63%	87%
United		89%	68%	92%	87%
Statewide	86%	87%	59%	76%	79%
FE					
Amerigroup		79%	66%	74%	73%
Sunflower		90%	53%	73%	68%
United		88%	68%	84%	96%
Statewide	87%	86%	61%	77%	78%
IDD					
Amerigroup		85%	67%	64%	71%
Sunflower		77%	36%	65%	68%
United		72%	47%	78%	91%
Statewide	99%	78%	48%	68%	75%
ТВІ					
Amerigroup		67%	48%	65%	59%
Sunflower		82%	28%	82%	62%
United		70%	62%	80%	100%
Statewide	72%	73%	45%	72%	65%
TA					
Amerigroup		93%	58%	70%	68%
Sunflower		98%	62%	74%	75%
United		97%	58%	79%	89%
Statewide	96%	96%	59%	73%	74%
Autism					
Amerigroup		81%	59%	33%	80%
Sunflower		50%	45%	47%	17%
United		63%	21%	22%	0%
Statewide	59%	68%	46%	36%	42%
SED					
Amerigroup		91%	99%	98%	96%
Sunflower		91%	92%	87%	87%
United		89%	98%	96%	78%
Statewide	92%	90%	97%	94%	87%

Service Plan

PM 3: Number and percent of waiver participants whose service plans address health and safety risk factors

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans address health and safety risk factors Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
P.D.	500/	9994	070/	700/
PD	68%	83%	87%	78%
Numerator	23	25	20	68
Denominator	34	30	23	87
FE	73%	71%	96%	80%
Numerator	19	24	27	70
Denominator	26	34	28	88
IDD	68%	75%	91%	77%
Numerator	19	33	21	73
Denominator	28	44	23	95
ТВІ	62%	62%	100%	67%
Numerator	18	8	7	33
Denominator	29	13	7	49
TA	72%	69%	89%	74%
Numerator	18	11	8	37
Denominator	25	16	9	50
Autism	80%	17%	0%	42%
Numerator	4	1	0	5
Denominator	5	6	1	12
SED	96%	100%	93%	96%
Numerator	27	23	25	75
Denominator	28	23	27	78

Explanation of Findings:

Assessed health and safety risk factors are not addressed in the Service Plan, , the Service plan was not provided for review, and/or the Service Plan was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable.

Remediation:

Conclusions: As part of an existing corrective action plan to CMS, KDADS has acknowledged the need for additional policy to clarify the plan of care expectations and provided direction. When reviewing this data this need is confirmed.

Recommended Remediation:

Corrective action plan: MCOs shall provide a corrective action training and development plan to the KDADS CSP Commissioner showing; (1) how care coordinators are trained to obtain signatures to show member participation in the POC process; and, (2) when/where/how the care coordinator documents the participant's health and safety risk factors in the service plan, including significant changes in condition; and, (3) outline actions the MCO care coordinator are trained to take if required individual assessment records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manner.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 4 of 98 noncompliant records did not address participant's health and safety risk factors. The remainder were either missing, not signed or not reviewed for another reason.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		90%	44%	73%	68%
Sunflower		89%	49%	67%	83%
United		96%	67%	90%	87%
Statewide	90%	91%	51%	76%	78%
FE	90%	91%	51%	70%	7870
Amerigroup		92%	55%	75%	73%
Sunflower		92%	50%	73%	71%
United		95%	70%	82%	96%
Statewide	Not a measure	93%	57%	76%	80%
IDD	Not a measure	9370	37/6	70%	8070
Amerigroup		90%	61%	67%	68%
Sunflower		97%	36%	65%	75%
United		89%	45%	78%	91%
Statewide	99%	93%	46%	69%	77%
ТВІ					
Amerigroup		79%	45%	64%	62%
Sunflower		91%	26%	84%	62%
United		83%	64%	80%	100%
Statewide	84%	84%	43%	72%	67%
TA					
Amerigroup		96%	49%	73%	72%
Sunflower		95%	61%	76%	69%
United		94%	58%	79%	89%
Statewide	96%	96%	54%	75%	74%
Autism					
Amerigroup		79%	59%	30%	80%
Sunflower		61%	45%	47%	17%
United		86%	21%	17%	0%
Statewide	64%	74%	46%	34%	42%
SED					
Amerigroup		90%	99%	97%	96%
Sunflower		89%	95%	87%	100%
United		86%	100%	97%	93%
Statewide	99%	88%	98%	94%	96%

Service Plan

PM 4: Number and percent of waiver participants whose service plans were developed according to the processes in the approved waiver

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were developed according to the processes in the approved waiver

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017 Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	71%	93%	87%	83%
Numerator	24	28	20	72
Denominator	34	30	23	87
FE	73%	85%	96%	85%
Numerator	19	29	27	75
Denominator	26	34	28	88
IDD	71%	86%	91%	83%
Numerator	20	38	21	79
Denominator	28	44	23	95
ТВІ	62%	69%	100%	69%
Numerator	18	9	7	34
Denominator	29	13	7	49
TA	72%	69%	89%	74%
Numerator	18	11	8	37
Denominator	25	16	9	50
Autism	80%	17%	0%	42%
Numerator	4	1	0	5
Denominator	5	6	1	12
SED	96%	100%	93%	96%
Numerator	27	23	25	75
Denominator	28	23	27	78

Explanation of Findings:

The Service plan was not provided for review, and/or the Service Plan was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable.

Remediation:

Conclusions: As part of an existing corrective action plan to CMS, KDADS has acknowledged the need for additional policy to clarify the plan of care expectations and provided direction. When reviewing this data this need is confirmed.

Corrective action plan: MCOs shall provide a care coordinator training and development plan to the KDADS CSP Commissioner showing; (1) how they intend to obtain required signatures to show member participation in the service plan process; and, (2) document demonstrated competency and understanding of service plan development according to the processes in the approved waiver, including applicable state and/or federal rule changes that impact current waiver operations; and, (3) outline actions the MCO care coordinator are trained to take if required individual assessment records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manner.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 80 of 82 of noncompliant records were either missing or not signed. One record was noted, "would have been compliant if signed."

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		88%	68%	76%	71%
Sunflower		87%	69%	73%	93%
United		85%	77%	92%	87%
Statewide	80%	87%	70%	80%	83%
FE					
Amerigroup		84%	76%	78%	73%
Sunflower		88%	61%	84%	85%
United		86%	79%	87%	96%
Statewide	Not a measure	86%	71%	83%	85%
IDD					
Amerigroup		80%	80%	73%	71%
Sunflower		80%	59%	74%	86%
United		82%	55%	79%	91%
Statewide	98%	81%	64%	75%	83%
TBI					
Amerigroup		76%	53%	64%	62%
Sunflower		86%	43%	86%	69%
United		77%	69%	85%	100%
Statewide	64%	80%	53%	74%	69%
TA					
Amerigroup		84%	68%	71%	72%
Sunflower		97%	86%	85%	69%
United		96%	58%	79%	89%
Statewide	No Data	91%	72%	77%	74%
Autism					
Amerigroup		74%	59%	35%	80%
Sunflower		51%	50%	47%	17%
United		65%	29%	17%	0%
Statewide	55%	65%	49%	36%	42%
SED					
Amerigroup		92%	99%	98%	96%
Sunflower		90%	94%	86%	100%
United		87%	98%	97%	93%
Statewide	Not a measure	90%	97%	94%	96%

Service Plan

PM 5: Number and percent of waiver participants (or their representatives) who were present and involved in the development of their service plan Numerator: Number of waiver participants (or their representatives) who were present and involved in the development of their service plan

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	74%	93%	87%	84%
Numerator	25	28	20	73
Denominator	34	30	23	87
FE	77%	85%	96%	86%
Numerator	20	29	27	76
Denominator	26	34	28	88
IDD	71%	84%	91%	82%
Numerator	20	37	21	78
Denominator	28	44	23	95
ТВІ	66%	69%	100%	71%
Numerator	19	9	7	35
Denominator	29	13	7	49
TA	72%	69%	89%	74%
Numerator	18	11	8	37
Denominator	25	16	9	50
Autism	80%	17%	0%	42%
Numerator	4	1	0	5
Denominator	5	6	1	12
SED	96%	100%	93%	96%
Numerator	27	23	25	75
Denominator	28	23	27	78

Explanation of Findings:

The Service plan was not provided for review, and/or the Service Plan was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable.

Remediation:

Conclusions: As part of an existing corrective action plan to CMS, KDADS has acknowledged the need for additional policy to clarify the plan of care expectations and provided direction. When reviewing this data this need is confirmed.

Corrective action plan: MCOs shall provide a corrective action training and development plan to the KDADS CSP Commissioner showing; (1) how they intend to obtain required signatures to show member participation in the service planning process; and, (2) show required signatures to show member participation in the POC service planning process; and, (3) how MCO care coordinators will be trained and held accountable if there is identified evidence showing the participant was not involved in the service planning process; and, (4) outline actions the MCO care coordinators are trained take if required individual service plan records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manner.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data on the QRT system, 79 of 80 noncompliant records were missing or not signed. One record found noncompliant was noted as, "would have been compliant if signed."

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		88%	70%	79%	74%
Sunflower		87%	70%	74%	93%
United		84%	79%	89%	87%
Statewide	Not a measure	87%	72%	81%	84%
FE					
Amerigroup		83%	78%	76%	77%
Sunflower		86%	60%	83%	85%
United		87%	83%	88%	96%
Statewide	90%	85%	72%	83%	86%
IDD					
Amerigroup		84%	76%	73%	71%
Sunflower		82%	60%	74%	84%
United		88%	51%	79%	91%
Statewide	Not a measure	84%	63%	75%	82%
TBI					
Amerigroup		73%	51%	65%	66%
Sunflower		84%	45%	86%	69%
United		80%	69%	59%	100%
Statewide	Not a measure	78%	52%	74%	71%
TA					
Amerigroup		83%	75%	71%	72%
Sunflower		97%	86%	84%	69%
United		97%	58%	79%	89%
Statewide	Not a measure	91%	76%	76%	74%
Autism					
Amerigroup		77%	59%	35%	80%
Sunflower		53%	55%	50%	17%
United		71%	36%	17%	0%
Statewide	Not a measure	69%	52%	37%	42%
SED					
Amerigroup		92%	98%	97%	96%
Sunflower		90%	95%	86%	100%
United		87%	99%	96%	93%
Statewide	93%	90%	98%	94%	96%

Service Plan

 ${\bf PM~6:~Number~and~percent~of~service~plans~reviewed~before~the~waiver~participant's~annual~redetermination~date}$

Numerator: Number of service plans reviewed before the waiver participant's annual redetermination date

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017 Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	47%	79%	75%	64%
Numerator	8	11	6	25
Denominator	17	14	8	39
FE	64%	78%	100%	80%
Numerator	7	14	11	32
Denominator	11	18	11	40
IDD	46%	72%	75%	66%
Numerator	6	18	9	33
Denominator	13	25	12	50
TBI	42%	60%	75%	52%
Numerator	5	3	3	11
Denominator	12	5	4	21
TA	67%	67%	75%	68%
Numerator	8	6	3	17
Denominator	12	9	4	25
Autism	75%	50%	N/A	67%
Numerator	3	1	0	4
Denominator	4	2	0	6
SED	89%	94%	86%	89%
Numerator	17	15	18	50
Denominator	19	16	21	56

Explanation of Findings:

The Service Plan was not provided for review, and/or the Service Plan was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable.

Remediation

Conclusions: As part of an existing corrective action plan to CMS, KDADS has acknowledged the need for additional policy to clarify the plan of care expectations and provided direction. When reviewing this data this need is confirmed.

Corrective action plan: MCOs shall provide a corrective action training and development plan to the KDADS CSP Commissioner showing: (1) how care coordinators are trained to obtain required signatures to show member participation in the service planning process; (2) when/where/how MCO care coordinators will be trained on how to view and consistently review the participant's service plan before the waiver participant's annual redetermination date; and, (3) how MCO care coordinators will be trained and held accountable if there is identified evidence showing participant's service plan was not reviewed before the participant's annual redetermination date; and, (4) outline actions the MCO care coordinators are trained take if required individual service plan records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manner.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 4 of 98 noncompliant records did not address participant's health and safety risk factors. The remainder were either missing, not signed or not reviewed for another reason.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		73%	67%	71%	47%
Sunflower		82%	72%	72%	79%
United		92%	73%	83%	75%
Statewide	82%	82%	70%	75%	64%
FE					
Amerigroup		81%	67%	63%	64%
Sunflower		85%	57%	78%	78%
United		90%	69%	84%	100%
Statewide	81%	85%	64%	76%	80%
IDD					
Amerigroup		75%	77%	68%	46%
Sunflower		81%	66%	65%	72%
United		91%	48%	54%	75%
Statewide	97%	82%	66%	63%	66%
ТВІ					
Amerigroup		65%	44%	56%	42%
Sunflower		84%	40%	88%	60%
United		77%	65%	70%	75%
Statewide	60%	76%	47%	68%	52%
TA					
Amerigroup		81%	78%	72%	67%
Sunflower		94%	89%	85%	67%
United		96%	59%	70%	75%
Statewide	92%	89%	79%	76%	68%
Autism					
Amerigroup		67%	52%	40%	75%
Sunflower		43%	47%	38%	50%
United		33%	38%	7%	N/A
Statewide	64%	57%	48%	31%	67%
SED					
Amerigroup		89%	97%	94%	89%
Sunflower		89%	91%	79%	94%
United		83%	99%	85%	86%
Statewide	80%	87%	96%	86%	89%

Service Plan

PM 7: Number and percent of waiver participants with documented change in needs whose service plan was revised, as needed, to address the change

Numerator: Number of waiver participants with documented change in needs whose service plan was revised, as needed, to address the change

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017 Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	50%	60%	100%	60%
Numerator	2	3	1	6
Denominator	4	5	1	10
FE	0%	50%	100%	57%
Numerator	0	2	2	4
Denominator	1	4	2	7
IDD	0%	43%	100%	44%
Numerator	0	3	1	4
Denominator	1	7	1	9
ТВІ	33%	100%	N/A	50%
Numerator	1	1	0	2
Denominator	3	1	0	4
TA	100%	67%	100%	80%
Numerator	1	2	1	4
Denominator	1	3	1	5
Autism	50%	0%	N/A	33%
Numerator	1	0	0	1
Denominator	2	1	0	3
SED	89%	89%	67%	81%
Numerator	8	8	6	22
Denominator	9	9	9	27

Explanation of Findings:

The Service plan was not provided for review, and/or the Service Plan was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable.

Remediation:

Conclusions: As part of an existing corrective action plan to CMS, KDADS has acknowledged the need for additional policy to clarify service plan expectations and provided direction. When reviewing this data this need is confirmed.

Recommended Remediation:

Corrective action plan: MCOs shall provide a corrective action training and development plan to the KDADS CSP Commissioner showing: (1) how they intend to obtain required signatures to show member participation in the POC process; (2) when/where/how MCO care coordinators will be trained on how to view and consistently review the participant's service plan before the waiver participant's annual redetermination date; (3) how/when/where a documented change in needs results in a revised service plan, as needed, to address the change; (4) how MCO care coordinators will be trained and held accountable if there is identified evidence showing a documented change in needs did not result in a revised service plan; and, (5) outline actions the MCO care coordinators are trained take if required individual service plan records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manner.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 22 of 22 noncompliant records were either missing, incomplete, not signed or not reviewed for another reason.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sen by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		20%	36%	67%	50%
Sunflower		53%	58%	50%	60%
United		50%	63%	80%	100%
Statewide	75%	39%	53%	65%	60%
FE					
Amerigroup		24%	71%	42%	0%
Sunflower		39%	51%	63%	50%
United		50%	47%	87%	100%
Statewide	78%	38%	54%	65%	57%
IDD					
Amerigroup		7%	60%	27%	0%
Sunflower		38%	16%	25%	43%
United		16%	30%	30%	100%
Statewide	97%	23%	28%	28%	44%
ТВІ					
Amerigroup		24%	42%	61%	33%
Sunflower		54%	27%	75%	100%
United		46%	50%	75%	N/A
Statewide	53%	38%	38%	67%	50%
TA					
Amerigroup		32%	73%	56%	100%
Sunflower		54%	89%	63%	67%
United		38%	43%	60%	100%
Statewide	92%	42%	75%	60%	80%
Autism					
Amerigroup		10%	0%	17%	50%
Sunflower		17%	25%	50%	0%
United		0%	0%	9%	N/A
Statewide	45%	11%	11%	16%	33%
SED					
Amerigroup		90%	90%	97%	89%
Sunflower		83%	79%	68%	89%
United		84%	93%	83%	67%
Statewide	85%	86%	88%	83%	81%

Service Plan

PM 8: Number and percent of waiver participants who received services in the type, scope, amount, duration, and frequency specified in the service plan

Numerator: Number of waiver participants who received services in the type, scope, amount, duration, and frequency specified in the service plan

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017
Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver Sunflower United Statewide Amerigroup PD 93% 91% 82% 65% Numerator 22 28 21 71 34 23 87 Denominator 69% 85% 84% 96% Numerator 18 29 27 74 Denominator 26 34 28 88 IDD 68% 86% 87% 81% Numerator 19 38 20 77 Denominator 28 44 23 95 TRI 59% 69% 86% 65% Numerator 17 32 Denominator 29 13 49 68% 69% 89% 72% 36 Numerator 11 25 16 50 80% 17% 0% 42% Autism Numerator Denominator 5 12 SED 96% 100% 89% 95% Numerator 27 23 24 28 23 Denominator

Explanation of Findings:

The Service plan was not provided for review, and/or the Service Plan was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable and/or Service Plan does not match documentation of services being received.

Remediation:

Conclusions: As part of an existing corrective action plan to CMS, KDADS has acknowledged the need for additional policy to clarify the plan of care expectations and provided direction. When reviewing this data this need is confirmed.

Corrective action plan: MCOs shall provide a corrective action training and development plan to the KDADS CSP Commissioner showing: (1) how they intend to obtain required signatures to show member participation in the POC process; (2) when/where/how MCO care coordinators will be trained on how to view and consistently review the participant's service plan before the waiver participant's annual redetermination date; (3) how/when/where a documented change in needs results in a revised service plan, as needed, to address the change; (4) how MCO care coordinators will be trained and held accountable if there is identified evidence showing a documented change in needs did not result in a revised service plan; (5) how MCO care coordinators will be trained to monitor access to authorized services and/or identify and respond to barriers that limit access to authorized service; and, (6) outline actions the MCO care coordinators are trained take if required individual service plan records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manner.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 7 of 90 noncompliant records were recorded as incomplete or inaccurate in relationship to the type, scope, amount, duration, and frequency specified in the service plan. The remaining records were either recorded as missing, not signed, or not reviewed for another reason.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		94%	69%	79%	65%
Sunflower		96%	72%	76%	93%
United		96%	78%	91%	91%
Statewide	85%	95%	72%	81%	82%
FE					
Amerigroup		83%	76%	75%	69%
Sunflower		96%	64%	86%	85%
United		96%	79%	89%	96%
Statewide	87%	92%	72%	83%	84%
IDD					
Amerigroup		78%	84%	73%	68%
Sunflower		97%	62%	77%	86%
United		100%	59%	81%	87%
Statewide	98%	92%	68%	77%	81%
ТВІ					
Amerigroup		81%	55%	63%	59%
Sunflower		95%	46%	84%	69%
United		85%	71%	83%	86%
Statewide	70%	87%	56%	72%	65%
TA					
Amerigroup		98%	73%	79%	68%
Sunflower		100%	86%	82%	69%
United		96%	58%	82%	89%
Statewide	100%	98%	74%	80%	72%
Autism					
Amerigroup		89%	59%	37%	80%
Sunflower		100%	55%	50%	17%
United		50%	21%	17%	0%
Statewide	50%	86%	49%	38%	42%
SED					
Amerigroup		91%	99%	95%	96%
Sunflower		96%	94%	84%	100%
United		92%	99%	91%	89%
Statewide	13%	93%	98%	90%	95%

Service Plan

PM 9: Number and percent of survey respondents who reported receiving all services as specified in their service plan Numerator: Number of survey respondents who reported receiving all services as specified in their service plan

Denominator: Number of waiver participants interviewed by QMS staff

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017 Data Source: Customer Interview

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide				
PD	86%	100%	90%	91%				
Numerator	18	16	18	52				
Denominator	21	16	20	57				
FE	93%	95%	87%	91%				
Numerator	14	19	20	53				
Denominator	15	20	23	58				
IDD								
Numerator	No Customer	No Customer Interviews Conducted During Review Period						
Denominator								
ТВІ								
TBI Numerator	No Customer	Interviews Con	ducted During Re	view Period				
	No Customer	Interviews Con	ducted During Re	view Period				
Numerator	No Customer	Interviews Con	ducted During Re					
Numerator Denominator								
Numerator Denominator TA	94%	83%	100%	93% 26				
Numerator Denominator TA Numerator	94% 15	83% 5	100%	93% 26 28				
Numerator Denominator TA Numerator Denominator	94% 15 16	83% 5 6	100% 6	93% 26 28				
Numerator Denominator TA Numerator Denominator Autism	94% 15 16	83% 5 6 100%	100% 6 6 N/A	93% 26 28 100%				
Numerator Denominator TA Numerator Denominator Autism Numerator	94% 15 16 100%	83% 5 6 100% 5	100% 6 6 N/A	93% 26 28 100%				
Numerator Denominator TA Numerator Denominator Autism Numerator Denominator	94% 15 16 100% 4 4	83% 5 6 100% 5 5	100% 6 6 N/A	93% 26 28 100% 9				

Explanation of Findings:

Survey respondents state they have not received any or all of their needed services, and/or their workers do not show up.

Remediation:

Corrective action plan: MCOs shall provide a training and development plan to the KDADS CSP Commissioner demonstrating: (1) how MCO care coordinators are trained to respond when there is an identified service access issue; and, (2) how MCO care coordinators are trained to provide monitoring and follow up.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, comments related to adverse findings were included on a total of 11 records found noncompliant within the PD, FE, and TA waiver where certain barriers prevented full utilization of services on the plan.

Oct 2017: The QRT alerts system is used by quality reviewers to immediately notify the waiver program manager in situations were "acute" (i.e. priority response) is needed. HCBS program managers will be meeting to determine standardized procedures for responding to QRT alerts and communicating same to the MCOs.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		97%			86%
Sunflower		92%			100%
United		93%			90%
Statewide	Not a measure	94%	No Data	No Data	91%
FE					
Amerigroup		85%			93%
Sunflower		86%			95%
United		82%			87%
Statewide	87%	84%	No Data	No Data	91%
IDD					
Amerigroup		92%			
Sunflower		96%			
United		93%			
Statewide	Not a measure	94%	No Data	No Data	No Data
ТВІ					
Amerigroup		81%			
Sunflower		88%			
United		83%			
Statewide	Not a measure	83%	No Data	No Data	No Data
TA					
Amerigroup		89%			94%
Sunflower		84%			83%
United		85%			100%
Statewide	Not a measure	87%	No Data	No Data	93%
Autism					
Amerigroup		74%			100%
Sunflower		70%			100%
United		60%			N/A
Statewide	Not a measure	71%	No Data	No Data	100%
SED					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide	Not a measure	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data

Service Plan

PM 10: Number and percent of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of waiver service providers

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of waiver service providers

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017 Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	53%	90%	87%	74%
Numerator	18	26	20	64
Denominator	34	29	23	86
FE	65%	85%	100%	84%
Numerator	17	29	28	74
Denominator	26	34	28	88
IDD	64%	80%	91%	78%
Numerator	18	35	21	74
Denominator	28	44	23	95
ТВІ	55%	69%	100%	65%
Numerator	16	9	7	32
Denominator	29	13	7	49
TA	56%	69%	89%	66%
Numerator	14	11	8	33
Denominator	25	16	9	50
Autism	80%	50%	0%	58%
Numerator	4	3	0	7
Denominator	5	6	1	12
SED	100%	91%	88%	94%
Numerator	28	21	23	72
Denominator	28	23	26	77

Explanation of Findings:

There was no documentation to show choice was reviewed and/or choice box not marked on the form, the Service Plan was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable.

Remediation:

Conclusions: As part of an existing corrective action plan to CMS, KDADS has acknowledged the need for additional policy to clarify the plan of care expectations and provided direction. When reviewing this data this need is confirmed.

Corrective action plan: MCOs shall provide a corrective action training and development plan to the KDADS CSP Commissioner showing: (1) how care coordinators are trained to obtain required signatures to show member participation in the POC process; (2) when/where/how MCO care coordinators will be trained on how to view and consistently review the participant's service plan before the waiver participant's annual redetermination date; (3) participant's record contains documentation indicating a choice of waiver service providers; (4) how MCO care coordinators will be trained and held accountable if there is identified evidence that shows the participant was not given a choice of waiver service providers; and, (5) outline actions the MCO care coordinators are trained take if required individual service plan records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manner.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 4 of 101 noncompliant records did not indicate choice of waiver providers. The remaining records were missing, not signed, or not reviewed for another reason.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		68%	56%	68%	53%
Sunflower		58%	69%	73%	90%
United		69%	73%	89%	87%
Statewide	52%	65%	65%	76%	74%
FE					
Amerigroup		68%	59%	64%	65%
Sunflower		76%	59%	82%	85%
United		77%	75%	85%	100%
Statewide	56%	74%	63%	77%	84%
IDD					
Amerigroup		51%	45%	68%	64%
Sunflower		68%	42%	69%	80%
United		75%	55%	76%	91%
Statewide	99%	64%	46%	70%	78%
ТВІ					
Amerigroup		54%	50%	53%	55%
Sunflower		75%	40%	86%	69%
United		70%	74%	83%	100%
Statewide	44%	65%	52%	67%	65%
TA					
Amerigroup		87%	65%	68%	56%
Sunflower		84%	80%	77%	69%
United		92%	58%	79%	89%
Statewide	96%	86%	68%	72%	66%
Autism					
Amerigroup		67%	67%	47%	80%
Sunflower		44%	45%	50%	50%
United		88%	21%	17%	0%
Statewide	40%	63%	49%	42%	58%
SED					
Amerigroup		94%	91%	98%	100%
Sunflower		91%	72%	84%	91%
United		84%	97%	88%	88%
Statewide	98%	89%	88%	90%	94%

Service Plan

PM 11: Number and percent of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of waiver services

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of waiver services

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017 Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	47%	86%	52%	62%
Numerator	16	25	12	53
Denominator	34	29	23	86
FE	65%	71%	57%	65%
Numerator	17	24	16	57
Denominator	26	34	28	88
IDD	57%	64%	78%	65%
Numerator	16	28	18	62
Denominator	28	44	23	95
TBI	52%	46%	86%	55%
Numerator	15	6	6	27
Denominator	29	13	7	49
TA	52%	63%	44%	54%
Numerator	13	10	4	27
Denominator	25	16	9	50
Autism	80%	50%	0%	58%
Numerator	4	3	0	7
Denominator	5	6	1	12
SED	100%	91%	85%	92%
Numerator	28	21	22	71
Denominator	28	23	26	77

Explanation of Findings:

There was no documentation to show choice was reviewed and/or choice box not marked on the form, the Service Plan was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable.

Remediation:

Conclusions: As part of an existing corrective action plan to CMS, KDADS has acknowledged the need for additional policy to clarify the plan of care expectations and provided direction. When reviewing this data this need is confirmed.

Corrective action plan: MCOs shall provide a corrective action training and development plan to the KDADS CSP Commissioner showing: (1) how care coordinators are trained to obtain required signatures to show member participation in the POC process; (2) when/where/how MCO care coordinators will be trained on how to view and consistently review the participant's service plan before the waiver participant's annual redetermination date; (3) when/where/how care coordinators are trained to document the participant's choice of waiver services; (4) how MCO care coordinators will be trained and held accountable if there is identified evidence that shows the participant was not given a choice of waiver services; and, (5) outline actions the MCO care coordinators are trained take if required individual service plan records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manner.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 30 of 153 records found noncompliant show that evidence of choice was on the service plan but the service plan was not signed. The remaining records were missing, not signed, or not reviewed for another reason.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs and ADRC to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		68%	53%	62%	47%
Sunflower		72%	50%	71%	86%
United		77%	73%	84%	52%
Statewide	64%	72%	57%	72%	62%
FE					
Amerigroup		67%	57%	67%	65%
Sunflower		86%	47%	82%	71%
United		85%	74%	84%	57%
Statewide	59%	80%	57%	78%	65%
IDD					
Amerigroup		55%	46%	70%	57%
Sunflower		68%	35%	69%	64%
United		77%	50%	74%	78%
Statewide	No Data	66%	42%	71%	65%
TBI					
Amerigroup		56%	50%	52%	52%
Sunflower		80%	23%	86%	46%
United		74%	67%	80%	86%
Statewide	53%	68%	45%	66%	55%
TA					
Amerigroup		86%	65%	71%	52%
Sunflower		97%	53%	79%	63%
United		94%	55%	64%	44%
Statewide	96%	91%	60%	72%	54%
Autism					
Amerigroup		79%	52%	47%	80%
Sunflower		50%	27%	61%	50%
United		88%	14%	17%	0%
Statewide	55%	72%	35%	46%	58%
SED					
Amerigroup		94%	92%	98%	100%
Sunflower		91%	72%	84%	91%
United		84%	97%	88%	85%
Statewide	98%	89%	88%	90%	92%

Service Plan

PM 12: Number and percent of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of community-based services v. an institutional alternative

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of community-based services

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose files are reviewed for the documentation

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017 Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
				===
PD	53%	93%	87%	76%
Numerator	18	28	20	66
Denominator	34	30	23	87
FE	62%	79%	100%	81%
Numerator	16	27	28	71
Denominator	26	34	28	88
IDD	64%	80%	91%	78%
Numerator	18	35	21	74
Denominator	28	44	23	95
ТВІ	55%	69%	100%	65%
Numerator	16	9	7	32
Denominator	29	13	7	49
TA	56%	69%	89%	66%
Numerator	14	11	8	33
Denominator	25	16	9	50
Autism	80%	67%	0%	67%
Numerator	4	4	0	8
Denominator	5	6	1	12
SED	100%	91%	85%	92%
Numerator	28	21	22	71
Denominator	28	23	26	77

Explanation of Findings:

There was no document at ion to show choice was reviewed and/or choice box not marked on the form, the Service Plan was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable.

Remediation:

Corrective action plan: MCOs shall provide a corrective action training and development plan to the KDADS CSP Commissioner showing: (1) how they intend to obtain required signatures to show member participation in the POC process; (2) when/where/how MCO care coordinators will be trained on how to view and consistently review the participant's service plan before the waiver participant's annual redetermination date; (3) when/where/how care coordinators are trained to document the participant's choice of waiver service providers; (4) how MCO care coordinators are trained and held accountable if there is identified evidence that shows the participant was not given a choice of waiver service providers; (5) when/where/how care coordinators will be trained to document the choice of community-based services vs institutional alternative; (6) how MCO care coordinators will be trained and held accountable if there is identified evidence that shows the participant was not given a choice of community-based services vs the institutional alternative; and, (7) outline actions the MCO care coordinators are trained take if required individual service plan records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manner.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 27 of 103 records found noncompliant had choice of community-based services vs the institutional alternative indicated but the plan was not signed. The remaining noncompliant records were either missing, not signed, or not reviewed for another reason.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		76%	57%	67%	53%
Sunflower		74%	67%	73%	93%
United		80%	78%	88%	87%
Statewide	Not a measure	76%	66%	75%	76%
FE					
Amerigroup		67%	58%	72%	62%
Sunflower		87%	56%	82%	79%
United		85%	79%	84%	100%
Statewide	65%	80%	63%	79%	81%
IDD					
Amerigroup		47%	47%	66%	64%
Sunflower		69%	41%	68%	80%
United		78%	57%	79%	91%
Statewide	No Data	64%	46%	70%	78%
TBI					
Amerigroup		55%	51%	54%	55%
Sunflower		79%	40%	86%	69%
United		73%	74%	83%	100%
Statewide	No Data	67%	52%	68%	65%
TA					
Amerigroup		87%	65%	69%	56%
Sunflower		98%	80%	81%	69%
United		94%	55%	79%	89%
Statewide	No Data	92%	68%	74%	66%
Autism					
Amerigroup		86%	67%	65%	80%
Sunflower		47%	59%	67%	67%
United		75%	43%	33%	0%
Statewide	No Data	72%	59%	60%	67%
SED					
Amerigroup		94%	92%	98%	100%
Sunflower		91%	72%	84%	91%
United		85%	98%	88%	85%
Statewide	99%	90%	89%	91%	92%

Service Plan

PM 13: Number and percent of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of either self-directed or agency-directed care

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of either self-directed or agency-directed care

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose files are reviewed for the documentation

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
	===	224	O	===:
PD	59%	93%	87%	78%
Numerator	20	28	20	68
Denominator	34	30	23	87
FE	58%	85%	96%	81%
Numerator	15	29	27	71
Denominator	26	34	28	88
IDD	54%	64%	91%	67%
Numerator	15	28	21	64
Denominator	28	44	23	95
ТВІ	62%	69%	100%	69%
Numerator	18	9	7	34
Denominator	29	13	7	49
TA	60%	69%	89%	68%
Numerator	15	11	8	34
Denominator	25	16	9	50
Autism				
Numerator	Self-	direction is not o	ffered for this w	aiver
Denominator	1			
SED				
Numerator	Self-	direction is not o	ffered for this w	aiver
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:

There was no documentation to show choice was reviewed and/or choice box not marked on the form, the Service Plan was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable.

Remediation:

Corrective action plan: MCOs shall provide a corrective action training and development plan to the KDADS CSP
Commissioner showing: (1) how they intend to obtain required signatures to show member participation in the POC
process; (2) when/where/how MCO care coordinators will be trained on how to view and consistently review the
participant's service plan before the waiver participant's annual redetermination date; (3) when/where/how care
coordinators are trained to document the participant's choice of waiver service providers; (4) how MCO care coordinators
will be trained and held accountable if there is identified evidence that shows the participant was not given a choice of
waiver service providers; (5) when/where/how care coordinators are trained to document the choice of community-based
services vs institutional alternative; (6) how MCO care coordinators will be trained and held accountable if there is
identified evidence that shows the participant was not given a choice of community-based services vs the institutional
alternative; (7) when/where/how care coordinators are trained to document the participant's choice of either self-directed
or agency-directed care; (8) how MCO care coordinators will be trained and held accountable if there is
that shows the participant was not given a choice of either self-directed or agency-directed care; (3) when MCO care coordinators will be trained and held accountable if there is
the MCO care coordinators are trained take if required individual service plan records have not been made available to
quality reviewers in a timely manner.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 30 of 98 noncompliant records had choice of self vs participant direction indicated on the service plan but the service plan was not signed. The remaining noncompliant records were either missing, not signed, or not reviewed for another reason.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD		5 407	E00/	700/	=00/
Amerigroup		64%	58%	72%	59%
Sunflower		73%	68%	72%	93%
United		77%	78%	88%	87%
Statewide FE	Not a measure	71%	66%	77%	78%
		C 40/	F00/	720/	F00/
Amerigroup Sunflower		64% 84%	59% 59%	73% 81%	58% 85%
United	CE0/	77% 75%	79%	85%	96%
Statewide IDD	65%	75%	64%	79%	81%
		34%	47%	64%	54%
Amerigroup			39%		
Sunflower United		61% 77%	57%	60% 73%	64% 91%
	No Date	53%	46%	64%	67%
Statewide TBI	No Data	53%	46%	64%	6/%
		50%	50%	56%	62%
Amerigroup Sunflower		85%	43%	82%	69%
United		70%	74%	83%	100%
Statewide	No Data	66%	52%	68%	69%
TA	NO Data	00%	52%	0870	09%
Amerigroup		82%	56%	66%	60%
Sunflower		98%	82%	79%	69%
United		100%	58%	79%	89%
Statewide	No Data	90%	64%	79%	68%
Autism	NO Data	90%	0470	7270	00/0
Amerigroup					
Sunflower	┥ ,	Self-direction is no	at affored for thi	c waiver	
United		sen-unection is in	ot offered for thi	3 Walvel	
Statewide					
SED					
Amerigroup Sunflower		Self-direction is no	nt offered for thi	s waiver	
United		c direction is in	or orience for this		
Statewide					
StateWide					

Health and Welfare

PM 1: Number and percent of unexpected deaths for which review/investigation resulted in the identification of preventable causes Numerator: Number of unexpected deaths for which review/investigation resulted in the identification of non-preventable causes

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:
Remediation:
Oct 2017: Not applicable at time of review.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
FE					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
IDD					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
ТВІ					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
TA					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
Autism					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
SED					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					

Health and Welfare

PM 2: Number and percent of unexpected deaths for which review/investigation followed the appropriate policies and procedures

Numerator: Number of unexpected deaths for which review/investigation followed the appropriate policies and procedures as in the approved waiver

Denominator: Number of unexpected deaths Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator			·	•
Denominator			·	•
SED			_	
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:	
Remediation:	
Oct 2017: Not applicable at time of review.	

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
FE					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
IDD					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
ТВІ					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
TA					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
Autism					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
SED					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide			_		

Health and Welfare

PM 3: Number and percent of unexpected deaths for which the appropriate follow-up measures were taken

Numerator: Number of unexpected deaths for which the appropriate follow-up measures were taken as in the approved waiver

Denominator: Number of unexpected deaths Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:				

Remediation:	
Oct 2017: Not applicable at time of review.	

PD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide FE Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide IDD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide IDD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide United Statewide	
Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide FE Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide IDD IDD IDD IDD IDD IDD IDD IDD IDD ID	
Sunflower United Statewide FE Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide IDD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide IDD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United	
United Statewide FE Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide IDD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United	
Statewide FE Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide IDD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide IDD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United	
FE Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide IDD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide	
Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide IDD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United	
Sunflower United Statewide IDD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United	
United Statewide IDD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA	
Statewide IDD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United	-
IDD Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA United Statewide TA United Sunflower United United Statewide TA	
Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA United Statewide TA United Sunflower United United Statewide	
Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United United Statewide United United United United Statewide United United Statewide United United	
Sunflower United Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United United Statewide United United United United Statewide United United Statewide United United	
Statewide TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United TA Amerigroup Statewide TA United United Sunflower United	
TBI Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United United Sunflower United	
Amerigroup Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United	
Sunflower United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United	
United Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United	
Statewide TA Amerigroup Sunflower United	
TA Amerigroup Sunflower United	
Amerigroup Sunflower United	
Sunflower United	
United	
Statewide	
Autism	
Amerigroup	
Sunflower	
United	
Statewide	
SED	
Amerigroup	
Sunflower	
United	
Statewide	

Health and Welfare

PM 4: Number and percent of waiver participants who received information on how to report suspected abuse, neglect, or exploitation

Numerator: Number of waiver participants who received information on how to report suspected abuse, neglect, or exploitation

Denominator: Number of waiver participants interviewed by QMS staff or whose records are reviewed

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	74%	93%	87%	84%
Numerator	25	28	20	73
Denominator	34	30	23	87
FE	73%	88%	100%	88%
Numerator	19	30	28	77
Denominator	26	34	28	88
IDD	71%	84%	91%	82%
Numerator	20	37	21	78
Denominator	28	44	23	95
ТВІ	66%	62%	100%	69%
Numerator	19	8	7	34
Denominator	29	13	7	49
TA	72%	69%	89%	74%
Numerator	18	11	8	37
Denominator	25	16	9	50
Autism	80%	67%	0%	67%
Numerator	4	4	0	8
Denominator	5	6	1	12
SED	10%	13%	4%	9%
Numerator	3	3	1	7
Denominator	29	23	27	79

Explanation of Findings:

No documentation in the case file review regarding A/N/E information being provided, and/or the Service Plan or ANE document was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable.

Remediation:

This performance measure is achieved through the person centered service plan. KDADS is in the process of creating an updated person centered service plan policy that addresses both new federal requirements and waiver performance measures.

To date the following has been completed:

- MCO integrated service plan self assessment to KDADS. Completed. March 2017.
- 2. KDADS gap analysis against federal requirements and waiver performance measures. Completed. March 2017.

Steps still left to complete remediation:

- Draft revised person centered service plan policy.
 Expected completion date: October 2017.
 Responsible party: KDADS (CSP)
- Public comment on person centered support plan policy.
 Expected completion date: November 2017.
 Responsible party: KDADS (CSP)
- Finalize policy and get approval from KDHE AD staff.
 Expected completion: December 2017.
 Responsible party: KDADS (CSP), KDHE.
- Operationalization of policy.
 Expected completion: January 2018.
 Responsible party: MCOS and TCMs.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 40 of 146 found noncompliant had evidence that information on reporting ANE was reviewed yet the plan was not signed. The remaining records were either incomplete, missing, or had no signature/date on file.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		51%	19%	67%	74%
Sunflower		88%	72%	74%	93%
United		90%	80%	88%	87%
Statewide	65%	72%	53%	76%	84%
FE					
Amerigroup		59%	16%	61%	73%
Sunflower		86%	62%	84%	88%
United		92%	80%	88%	100%
Statewide	80%	78%	50%	78%	88%
IDD					
Amerigroup		23%	6%	59%	71%
Sunflower		87%	59%	75%	84%
United		100%	56%	79%	91%
Statewide	99%	68%	42%	71%	82%
ТВІ					
Amerigroup		30%	12%	56%	66%
Sunflower		94%	45%	84%	62%
United		80%	76%	85%	100%
Statewide	57%	63%	34%	69%	69%
TA					
Amerigroup		61%	38%	75%	72%
Sunflower		99%	86%	84%	69%
United		97%	61%	79%	89%
Statewide	86%	82%	57%	78%	74%
Autism					
Amerigroup		62%	8%	23%	80%
Sunflower		33%	29%	39%	67%
United		43%	14%	6%	0%
Statewide	90%	50%	16%	26%	67%
SED					
Amerigroup		88%	64%	27%	10%
Sunflower		80%	53%	22%	13%
United		78%	63%	19%	4%
Statewide	89%	82%	60%	23%	9%

Health and Welfare

PM 5: Number and percent of participants' reported critical incidents that were initiated and reviewed within required time frames

Numerator: Number of participants' reported critical incidents that were initiated and reviewed within required time frames as specified in the approved waiver

Denominator: Number of participants' reported critical incidents

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
DD.				
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:	
Remediation:	
Oct 2017: Not applicable at time of report.	
	_

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
FE					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
IDD					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
ТВІ					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
TA					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
Autism					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
SED					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					

Health and Welfare

PM 6: Number and percent of reported critical incidents requiring review/investigation where the State adhered to its follow-up measures

Numerator: Number of reported critical incidents requiring review/investigation where the State adhered to the follow-up methods as specified in the approved waiver

Denominator: Number of reported critical incidents

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator			·	•
Denominator			·	•
SED			_	
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:
Proceedings of the Control of the Co
Remediation:
Oct 2017: Not applicable at time of report.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
FE					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
IDD					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
ТВІ					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
TA					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
Autism					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
SED					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					

Health and Welfare

PM 7: Number and percent of restraint applications, seclusion or other restrictive interventions that followed procedures as specified in the approved waiver Numerator: Number of restraint applications, seclusion or other restrictive interventions that followed procedures as specified in the approved waiver Denominator: Number of restraint applications, seclusion or other restrictive interventions

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:						
Remediation:						
Remediation: Oct 2017: Not applicable	e at time of report.					
	e at time of report.					
	e at time of report.					
	e at time of report.					
	e at time of report.					
	e at time of report.					
	e at time of report.					

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
compliance frenus	2013	2014	2013	2010	Jan-Iviai 2017
PD					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
FE					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
IDD					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
ТВІ					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
TA					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
Autism					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
SED					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					

Health and Welfare

PM 8: Number and percent of unauthorized uses of restrictive interventions that were appropriately reported Numerator: Number of unauthorized uses of restrictive interventions that were appropriately reported

Denominator: Number of unauthorized uses of restrictive interventions

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Remediation:		
Oct 2017: Not applicable at tin	ne of report.	

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
compliance frends	2013	2014	2013	2010	Jan-Iviai 2017
PD					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
FE					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
IDD					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
ТВІ					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
TA					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
Autism					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					
SED					
Amerigroup					
Sunflower					
United					
Statewide					

Health and Welfare

PM 9: Number and percent of waiver participants who received physical exams in accordance with State policies

Numerator: Number of HCBS participants who received physical exams in accordance with State policies

Denominator: Number of HCBS participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	15%	30%	86%	38%
	5	9	19	33
Numerator				
Denominator	34	30	22	86
FE	25%	42%	75%	47%
Numerator	6	13	18	37
Denominator	24	31	24	79
IDD	30%	53%	61%	48%
Numerator	8	23	14	45
Denominator	27	43	23	93
ТВІ	23%	25%	33%	25%
Numerator	6	3	2	11
Denominator	26	12	6	44
TA	45%	57%	71%	53%
Numerator	10	8	5	23
Denominator	22	14	7	43
Autism	60%	67%	0%	58%
Numerator	3	4	0	7
Denominator	5	6	1	12
SED	70%	15%	39%	44%
Numerator	19	3	9	31
Denominator	27	20	23	70

Explanation of Findings:

Current Physical Exam documentation was missing or the timeline was not met.

Remediation:

KDADS program staff will engage with MCOs to clarify documentation requirements for quality review.

Corrective Action: The MCOs will provide the KDADS CSP Commissioner with a training and development plan that demonstrates: (1) the standard of care adhered to for annual physical exams; (2) how care coordinators are trained to assure the standard is met and how/where that assurance is documented.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, all 236 records found noncompliant contained no documentation of the date of the last physical exam.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Oct 2017: HCBS program managers and Quality Management Specialists are shadowing each other during quality reviews to monitor how the review protocol are being applied and will recommend modifications to the protocol, if needed, based on a combination of fallout data, common findings, and field observation.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD					
Amerigroup		78%			15%
Sunflower		81%			30%
United		88%			86%
Statewide	Not a measure	82%	No Data	No Data	38%
FE					
Amerigroup		89%			25%
Sunflower		97%			42%
United		97%			75%
Statewide	Not a measure	95%	No Data	No Data	47%
IDD					
Amerigroup		91%			30%
Sunflower		99%			53%
United		99%			61%
Statewide	Not a measure	97%	No Data	No Data	48%
TBI					
Amerigroup		84%			23%
Sunflower		94%			25%
United		93%			33%
Statewide	Not a measure	90%	No Data	No Data	25%
TA					
Amerigroup		100%			45%
Sunflower		100%			57%
United		97%			71%
Statewide	Not a measure	100%	No Data	No Data	53%
Autism					
Amerigroup		100%			60%
Sunflower		92%			67%
United		100%			0%
Statewide	Not a measure	98%	No Data	No Data	58%
SED					
Amerigroup		54%			70%
Sunflower		55%			15%
United		46%			39%
Statewide	Not a measure	52%	No Data	No Data	44%

Health and Welfare

PM 10: Number and percent of waiver participants who have a disaster red flag designation with a related disaster backup plan

Numerator: Number of waiver participants who have a disaster red flag designation with a related disaster backup plan

Denominator: Number of waiver participants with a red flag designation

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	74%	77%	83%	77%
Numerator	25	23	19	67
Denominator	34	30	23	87
FE	73%	68%	93%	77%
Numerator	19	23	26	68
Denominator	26	34	28	88
IDD	68%	75%	87%	76%
Numerator	19	33	20	72
Denominator	28	44	23	95
TBI	62%	85%	100%	73%
Numerator	18	11	7	36
Denominator	29	13	7	49
TA	72%	81%	89%	78%
Numerator	18	13	8	39
Denominator	25	16	9	50
Autism	80%	83%	0%	75%
Numerator	4	5	0	9
Denominator	5	6	1	12
SED				
Numerator	No	ot a Waiver Perf	ormance Measure	e
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:

The back up plan was not provided for review and/or the Service Plan was not signed and/or dated by the individual and/or their representative/guardian, if applicable.

Remediation:

This performance measure is achieved through the person centered service plan. KDADS is in the process of creating an updated person centered service plan policy that addresses both new federal requirements and waiver performance measures.

To date the following has been completed:

- 1. MCO integrated service plan self assessment to KDADS.
- Completed. March 2017.
- KDADS gap analysis against federal requirements and waiver performance measures. Completed. March 2017.

Steps still left to complete remediation:

Responsible party: KDADS (CSP)

- 1. Draft revised person centered support plan policy.
- Expected completion date: October 2017.
- 2. Public comment on person centered support plan policy.
- Expected completion date: November 2017.
- Responsible party: KDADS (CSP)

 3. Finalize policy and get approval from KDHE AD staff.
- Expected completion: December 2017.
- Responsible party: KDADS (CSP), KDHE.
- 4. Operationalization of policy.
- Expected completion: January 2018.
- Responsible party: KDADS and MCOs.

Oct 2017 Corrective Action: The MCOs will provide the KDADS CSP Commissioner with a training and development plan that demonstrates: (1) the standard adhered to by MCO for disaster red flag and back up plan; (2) how care coordinators are trained to assure the standard is met and how/where that assurance is documented; and, (3) outline actions the MCO care coordinators are trained take if required individual red flag and/or back-up plan records have not been made available to quality reviewers in a timely manner.

Compliance	Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
PD						
Ameri	groun		59%	53%	73%	74%
Sunflo			77%	49%	66%	77%
United			64%	80%	88%	83%
Statev		Not a measure	67%	58%	75%	77%
FE	vide	Not a measure	0770	3870	7370	7770
Ameri	group		61%	62%	72%	73%
Sunflo			72%	56%	72%	68%
United	d t		76%	81%	85%	93%
Statev	vide	59%	70%	65%	76%	77%
IDD						
Ameri	group		67%	61%	65%	68%
Sunflo	wer		58%	32%	59%	75%
United	d		70%	58%	73%	87%
Statev	vide	Not a measure	64%	47%	64%	76%
TBI						
Ameri	group		46%	49%	62%	62%
Sunflo	wer		68%	42%	80%	85%
United	d		56%	74%	80%	100%
Statev	vide	Not a measure	56%	52%	70%	73%
TA						
Ameri	group		75%	54%	79%	72%
Sunflo	wer		91%	58%	77%	81%
United	b		86%	63%	79%	89%
Statev	vide	Not a measure	83%	57%	78%	78%
Autism						
Ameri	group		77%	44%	32%	80%
Sunflo	wer		53%	27%	67%	83%
United	d t		38%	7%	6%	0%
Statev	vide	Not a measure	64%	30%	40%	75%
SED						
Ameri	group					
Sunflo	wer		Not a Waiver F	Performance Mea	sure	
United	t					
Statev	vide					

Remediation (cont.):

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, 36 of 90 records found noncompliant contained evidence of a back-up plan yet the plan was not signed or dated. For the remaining records, the document was missing or the timeline was not met.

Oct 2017: According to fallout data in the QRT system, all 236 records found noncompliant contained no documentation of the date of the last physical exam.

Oct 2017: KDADS also plans to develop and implement a remediation template to be used by the MCOs rather than asking the MCOs to create a new corrective action plan each review period. The remediation template would be sent by the applicable program manager to the MCO based on conclusions drawn from fallout data pulled from the QRT system.

Oct 2017: KDADS has implemented regularly scheduled HCBS quality meetings with the MCOs to monitor and assess progress. Collaborative development of a desk aid or sample review packet that meets all required elements has been proposed.

Oct 2017: HCBS program managers and Quality Management Specialists are shadowing each other during quality reviews to monitor how the review protocol are being applied and will recommend modifications to the protocol, if needed, based on a combination of fallout data, common findings, and field observation.

Financial Accountability

PM 1: Number and percent of clean claims that are paid by the managed care organization within the timeframes specified in the contract

Numerator: Number of clean claims that are paid by the managed care organization within the timeframes specified in the contract

Denominator: Total number of provider claims Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: MCO Claims Data

Compliance By Waiver	Statewide
HCBS Waivers	95%
Numerator	284,471
Denominator	298,797

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
All HCBS Waivers					
Statewide	not a measure	90%	88%	95%	95%

Explanation of Findings:		
Remediation:		

Financial Accountability

PM 2: Number and percent of payment rates that were certified to be actuarially sound by the State's actuary and approved by CMS

Numerator: Number of payment rates that were certified to be actuarially sound by the State's actuary and approved by CMS

Denominator: Total number of capitation (payment) rates

Review Period: 01/01/2017 - 03/31/2017

Data Source: KDHE

Com	oliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD		100%
	Numerator	24
	Denominator	24
FE		100%
	Numerator	24
	Denominator	24
IDD		100%
	Numerator	48
	Denominator	48
TBI		100%
	Numerator	12
	Denominator	12
TA		100%
	Numerator	12
	Denominator	12
Autis	m	100%
	Numerator	12
	Denominator	12
SED		100%
	Numerator	12
	Denominator	12

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	Jan-Mar 2017
r					
PD					
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%
FE					
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%
IDD					
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%
TBI					
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%
TA					
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%
Autism					
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%
SED					
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%

Explanation of Findings:						
Remediation:						



Home and Community Based Services

Quality Review Report

July - September 2018

Administrative Authority

PM 1: Number and percent of Quality Review reports generated by KDADS, the Operating Agency, that were submitted to the State Medicaid Agency

Numerator: Number of Quality Review reports generated by KDADS, the Operating Agency, that were submitted to the State Medicaid Agency

Denominator: Number of Quality Review reports Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018 Data Source: Quality Review Reports to KDHE

Compliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1
FE	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1
IDD	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1
ТВІ	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1
TA	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1
Autism	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1
SED	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FE								
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IDD								
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%
ТВІ								
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%
TA								
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Autism								
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SED		•						
Statewide	25%	25%	25%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Performance measure achieved.		

Remediation:
No remediation necessary.

Administrative Authority

PM 2: Number and percent of waiver amendments and renewals reviewed and approved by the State Medicaid Agency prior to submission to CMS by the State Medicaid Agency

Numerator: Number of waiver amendments and renewals reviewed and approved by the State Medicaid Agency prior to submission to CMS

Denominator: Total number of waiver amendments and renewals

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: Number of waiver amendments and renewals sent to KDHE

Compliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0
FE	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0
IDD	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0
ТВІ	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0
TA	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0
Autism	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0
SED	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Statewide	N/A	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FE								
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
IDD								
Statewide	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TBI								
Statewide	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TA								
Statewide	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A
Autism								
Statewide	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
SED								
Statewide	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A

Explanation of Findings:

Not Applicable. No waiver amend	lments/renewals submitted.

Remediation:

nemediation.
No remediation necessary.

Administrative Authority

PM 3: Number and percent of waiver policy changes that were submitted to the State Medicaid Agency prior to implementation by the Operating Agency

Numerator: Number of waiver policy changes that were submitted to the State Medicaid Agency prior to implementation by the Operating Agency

Denominator: Number of waiver policy changes implemented by the Operating Agency

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: Presentation of waiver policy changes to KDHE

Compliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1
FE	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1
IDD	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1
ТВІ	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1
TA	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1
Autism	100%
Numerator	1
Denominator	1
SED	N/A
Numerator	0
Denominator	0

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Statewide	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	100%
FE								
Statewide	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	100%
IDD								
Statewide	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%
TBI								
Statewide	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%
TA								
Statewide	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	100%
Autism								
Statewide	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%
SED								
Statewide	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A

Explanation of Findings:

Performance measure achieved.		

Remediation:

Remediation.
No remediation necessary.

Administrative Authority

PM 4: Number and percent of Long-Term Care meetings that were represented by the program managers through in-person attendance or written reports

Numerator: Number of Long-Term Care meetings that were represented by the program managers through in-person attendance or written reports

Denominator: Number of Long-Term Care meetings

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: Meeting Minutes

Con	pliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD		100%
	Numerator	3
	Denominator	3
FE		100%
	Numerator	
	Denominator	:
IDD		100%
	Numerator	
	Denominator	
ТВІ		100%
	Numerator	
	Denominator	
TA		100%
	Numerator	
	Denominator	
Auti	sm	100%
	Numerator	
	Denominator	
SED		100%
	Numerator	
	Denominator	

Explanation of Findings:

Performance measure achieved.

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Statewide	Not a measure	45%	67%	70%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FE								
Statewide	100%	82%	50%	70%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IDD								
Statewide	Not a measure	91%	Not Available	70%	100%	100%	100%	100%
TBI								
Statewide	Not a measure	73%	Not Available	70%	100%	100%	100%	100%
TA								
Statewide	Not a measure	64%	Not Available	70%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Autism								
Statewide	Not a measure	91%	100%	70%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SED								
Statewide	Not a measure	100%	Not Available	70%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Remediation:		
No remediation necessary.		

Level of Care

PM 1: Number of waiver participants who were determined to meet Level of Care requirements prior to receiving HCBS services

Numerator: Number of waiver participants who were determined to meet Level of Care requirements prior to receiving HCBS services

Denominator: Total number of initial enrolled waiver participants

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: Functional Assessor Record Review

Con	npliance By Waiver	Statewid
PD		90%
	Numerator	32:
	Denominator	35
FE		969
	Numerator	42
	Denominator	44
IDD		989
	Numerator	12
	Denominator	12
ТВІ		889
	Numerator	3
	Denominator	3
TA		1009
	Numerator	3
	Denominator	3
Auti	ism	1009
	Numerator	
	Denominator	
SED		919
	Numerator	43
	Denominator	47

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
								-
PD								
Statewide	64%	83%	96%	86%	89%	92%	92%	90%
FE								
Statewide	81%	91%	93%	98%	100%	96%	96%	96%
IDD								
Statewide	99%	94%	90%	100%	100%	99%	99%	98%
TBI								
Statewide	62%	89%	81%	85%	96%	90%	84%	88%
TA								
Statewide	97%	89%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Autism								
Statewide	82%	No Data	100%	N/A	77%	100%	100%	100%
SED								
Statewide	99%	89%	88%	91%	92%	89%	87%	91%

Explanation of Findings:

For this Performance Measure, KDADS is utilizing KAMIS assessment data and MMIS eligibility data to determine compliance for six of the waivers, and the Autism waiver remains a record review.

Data pull from KAMIS effective March 1, 2019.

AU: Performance measure met.

Level of Care

PM 2: Number and percent of waiver participants who receive their annual Level of Care evaluation within 12 months of the previous Level of Care determination

Numerator: Number of waiver participants who receive their annual Level of Care evaluation within 12 months of the previous Level of Care determination

Denominator: Number of waiver participants who received Level of Care redeterminations

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: Functional Assessor Record Review

Con	npliance By Waiver	Statewid
PD		779
	Numerator	104
	Denominator	135
FE		819
	Numerator	72
	Denominator	88
IDD		989
	Numerator	256
	Denominator	261
ТВІ		709
	Numerator	7
	Denominator	10
TA		1009
	Numerator	13
	Denominator	13
Auti	ism	439
	Numerator	
	Denominator	
SED		679
	Numerator	40
	Denominator	59

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Statewide	47%	52%	64%	69%	68%	82%	84%	77%
FE								
Statewide	68%	70%	76%	79%	68%	82%	87%	81%
IDD								
Statewide	97%	74%	75%	77%	78%	97%	96%	98%
TBI								
Statewide	39%	50%	62%	65%	62%	71%	73%	70%
TA								
Statewide	94%	90%	86%	96%	93%	100%	99%	100%
Autism								
Statewide	68%	No Data	75%	78%	63%	50%	100%	43%
SED								
Statewide	93%	88%	94%	88%	89%	50%	46%	67%

Explanation of Findings:

For this Performance Measure, KDADS is utilizing KAMIS assessment data and MMIS eligibility data to determine compliance for six of the waivers, and the Autism waiver remains a record review.

Data pull from KAMIS effective March 1, 2019.

AU: Timeline not met Missing document

Remediation:			

Level of Care

PM 3: Number and percent of waiver participants whose Level of Care (LOC) determinations used the state's approved screening tool

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose Level of Care determinations used the approved screening tool

Denominator: Number of waiver participants who had a Level of Care determination

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: Functional Assessor Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD	87%
Numerator	78
Denominator	90
FE	92%
Numerator	82
Denominator	89
IDD	100%
Numerator	94
Denominator	94
ТВІ	82%
Numerator	42
Denominator	51
TA	100%
Numerator	58
Denominator	58
Autism	83%
Numerator	10
Denominator	12
SED	97%
Numerator	86
Denominator	89

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Statewide	93%	84%	79%	80%	85%	84%	68%	87%
FE								
Statewide	88%	91%	91%	92%	88%	91%	93%	92%
IDD								
Statewide	97%	95%	99%	99%	99%	99%	96%	100%
TBI								
Statewide	64%	81%	79%	77%	82%	78%	87%	82%
TA								
Statewide	93%	98%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%
Autism								
Statewide	88%	No Data	90%	88%	91%	83%	100%	83%
SED								
Statewide	77%	79%	83%	88%	91%	93%	95%	97%

PD.	No current assessment available to review

- FE: No current assessment available to review
- TBI: No current assessment available to review
- AU: No current assessment available to review
- SED: No assessments uploaded for review

Remediation:		

Level of Care

PM 4: Number and percent of initial Level of Care (LOC) determinations made by a qualified assessor Numerator: Number of initial Level of Care (LOC) determinations made by a qualified assessor

Denominator: Number of initial Level of Care determinations

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: Functional Assessor Record Review

Con	pliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD		87%
	Numerator	78
	Denominator	90
FE		91%
	Numerator	81
	Denominator	89
IDD		99%
	Numerator	93
	Denominator	94
TBI		82%
	Numerator	42
	Denominator	51
TA		100%
	Numerator	58
	Denominator	58
Auti	sm	83%
	Numerator	10
	Denominator	12
SED		94%
	Numerator	84
	Denominator	89

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Statewide	19%	68%	81%	80%	84%	85%	67%	87%
FE								
Statewide	24%	86%	91%	92%	88%	89%	93%	91%
IDD								
Statewide	92%	85%	96%	97%	96%	98%	96%	99%
TBI								
Statewide	57%	73%	83%	77%	82%	80%	87%	82%
TA								
Statewide	93%	100%	99%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%
Autism								
Statewide	0%	No Data	57%	68%	85%	83%	100%	83%
SED								
Statewide	99%	71%	88%	86%	90%	92%	95%	94%

Explanation of Findings:

For this performance measure, the entire sample population is reviewed, regardless of whether the file contains an initial or an annual Level of Care determination.

- PD: No current assessment available to review
- FE: Assessor not on approved assessor list

 No current assessment available to review
- DD: Assessor not on approved assessor list
- TBI: No current assessment available to review
- AU: No current assessment available to review
- SED: No current assessment available to review; missing signature/date

Remediation:		

Level of Care

PM 5: Number and percent of initial Level of Care (LOC) determinations made where the LOC criteria was accurately applied

Numerator: Number of initial Level of Care (LOC) determinations made where the LOC criteria was accurately applied

Denominator: Number of initial Level of Care determinations

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: Functional Assessor Record Review

Con	npliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD		87%
	Numerator	78
	Denominator	90
FE		92%
	Numerator	82
	Denominator	89
IDD		100%
	Numerator	94
	Denominator	94
ТВІ		829
	Numerator	42
	Denominator	5:
TA		100%
	Numerator	58
	Denominator	58
Aut	ism	83%
	Numerator	10
	Denominator	12
SED		97%
	Numerator	80
	Denominator	89

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Statewide	73%	83%	96%	80%	84%	84%	68%	87%
FE								
Statewide	91%	90%	96%	91%	100%	91%	93%	92%
IDD								
Statewide	98%	95%	91%	98%	100%	99%	96%	100%
TBI								
Statewide	58%	81%	83%	76%	96%	78%	87%	82%
TA								
Statewide	93%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Autism								
Statewide	89%	No Data	100%	88%	88%	83%	100%	83%
SED								
Statewide	99%	88%	87%	89%	92%	91%	95%	97%

Explanation of Findings:

For this performance measure, the entire sample population is reviewed, regardless of whether the file contains an initial or an annual Level of Care determination.

PD: No current assessment available to review

FE: No current eligibility

No current assessment available to review

TBI: No assessment available to review

AU: Late reassessment completed

No current assessment available to review

SED: No assessment uploaded for review

Remediation:			

Qualified Providers

PM 1: Number and percent of new licensed/certified waiver provider applicants that initially met licensure requirements, certification requirements, and other waiver standards prior to furnishing waiver services Numerator: Number of new licensed/certified waiver provider applicants that initially met licensure requirements, certification requirements, and other waiver standards prior to furnishing waiver services Denominator: Number of all new licensed/certified waiver providers

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TBI				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

SUN: No internal system/policy/process provided by MCO AMG: No internal system/policy/process provided by MCO UHC: No internal system/policy/process provided by MCO	

Remediatio	on:			

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup				N/A				
Sunflower				N/A				
United				N/A				
Statewide	100%			N/A				
FE								
Amerigroup				5%				
Sunflower				30%				
United				N/A				
Statewide	100%			9%				
IDD								
Amerigroup				N/A				
Sunflower				N/A				
United				N/A				
Statewide	98%			N/A				
ТВІ								
Amerigroup				N/A				
Sunflower				N/A				
United				N/A				
Statewide	91%			N/A				
TA								
Amerigroup				N/A				
Sunflower				N/A				
United				N/A				
Statewide	93%			N/A				
Autism								
Amerigroup				N/A				
Sunflower				N/A				
United				N/A				
Statewide	100%			N/A				
SED						_		_
Amerigroup				N/A				
Sunflower				N/A				
United				N/A				
Statewide	100%			N/A				

Qualified Providers

PM 2: Number and percent of enrolled licensed/certified waiver providers that continue to meet licensure requirements, certification requirements, and other waiver standards Numerator: Number of enrolled licensed/certified waiver providers that continue to meet licensure requirements, certification requirements, and other waiver standards Denominator: Number of enrolled licensed/certified waiver providers

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

AMG:	No internal system/policy/process provided by MCO No internal system/policy/process provided by MCO No internal system/policy/process provided by MCO	

Remediation	:			

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup				0%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	100%			0%				
FE								
Amerigroup				12%				
Sunflower				23%				
United				0%				
Statewide	Not a measure			11%				
IDD								
Amerigroup				0%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	98%			0%				
TBI								
Amerigroup				0%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	89%			0%				
TA								
Amerigroup				0%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	93%			0%				
Autism								
Amerigroup				14%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	100%			4%				
SED								
Amerigroup				0%	_	_		_
Sunflower				0%	_	_		_
United				0%	_	_		_
Statewide	100%			0%				

Qualified Providers

PM 3: Number and percent of new non-licensed/non-certified waiver provider applicants that have met the initial waiver requirements prior to furnishing waiver services Numerator: Number of new non-licensed/non-certified waiver provider applicants that have met the initial waiver requirements prior to furnishing waiver services

Denominator: Number of all new non-licensed/non-certified providers

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TBI				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

SUN: No internal system/policy/process provided by MCO AMG: No internal system/policy/process provided by MCO UHC: No internal system/policy/process provided by MCO	

Re	emediation:			

Comp	liance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD									
	Amerigroup				N/A				
	Sunflower				N/A				
	United				N/A				
	Statewide	75%			N/A				
FE									
	Amerigroup				N/A				
	Sunflower				N/A				
	United				N/A				
	Statewide	100%			N/A				
IDD									
	Amerigroup				N/A				
	Sunflower				N/A				
	United				N/A				
	Statewide	Not a measure			N/A				
TBI									
	Amerigroup				N/A				
	Sunflower				N/A				
	United				N/A				
	Statewide	88%			N/A				
TA									
	Amerigroup				N/A				
	Sunflower				N/A				
	United				N/A				
	Statewide	No Data			N/A				
Autisr	m								
	Amerigroup				N/A				
	Sunflower				N/A				
	United				N/A				
	Statewide	82%			N/A				
SED									
	Amerigroup				N/A				
	Sunflower		_		N/A				_
	United				N/A				
	Statewide	Not a measure			N/A				_

Qualified Providers

PM 4: Number and percent of enrolled non-licensed/non-certified waiver providers that continue to meet waiver requirements

Numerator: Number enrolled non-licensed/non-certified waiver providers that continue to meet waiver requirements

Denominator: Number of enrolled non-licensed/non-certified providers

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

AMG: No	internal system/po internal system/po internal system/po	licy/process prov	ided by MCO	

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup				3%				
Sunflower				1%				
United				0%				
Statewide	75%			1%				
FE								
Amerigroup				0%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	Not a measure			0%				
IDD								
Amerigroup				0%				
Sunflower				8%				
United				0%				
Statewide	Not a measure			2%				
TBI								
Amerigroup				8%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	88%			3%				
TA								
Amerigroup				13%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	No Data			4%				
Autism								
Amerigroup				8%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	91%			2%				
SED								
Amerigroup				N/A				
Sunflower				N/A				
United				N/A				
Statewide	89%			N/A				

Qualified Providers

PM 5: Number and percent of active providers that meet training requirements

Numerator: Number of providers that meet training requirements

Denominator: Number of active providers

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:

SUN: No internal system/policy/process provided by MCO AMG: No internal system/policy/process provided by MCO	
UHC: No internal system/policy/process provided by MCO	

Remedi	ation:			

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup				0%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	No Data			0%				
FE	NO Data			U%				
				00/				
Amerigroup				0%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	No Data			0%				
IDD								
Amerigroup				0%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	99%			0%				
TBI								
Amerigroup				0%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	No Data			0%				
TA								
Amerigroup				0%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	No Data			0%				
Autism								
Amerigroup				20%				
Sunflower				36%				
United				0%				
Statewide	No Data			11%				
SED								
Amerigroup				0%				
Sunflower				0%				
United				0%				
Statewide	88%			0%				

14

Service Plan

PM 1: Number and percent of waiver participants whose service plans address participants' goals

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans address participants' goals

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	88%	81%	93%	87%
Numerator	28	25	25	78
Denominator	32	31	27	90
FE	76%	76%	90%	81%
Numerator	19	26	28	73
Denominator	25	34	31	90
IDD	79%	81%	96%	84%
Numerator	22	35	22	79
Denominator	28	43	23	94
ТВІ	94%	62%	90%	80%
Numerator	17	13	9	39
Denominator	18	21	10	49
TA	100%	88%	91%	95%
Numerator	29	15	10	54
Denominator	29	17	11	57
Autism	100%	50%	75%	73%
Numerator	3	2	3	8
Denominator	3	4	4	11
SED	96%	97%	94%	96%
Numerator	27	29	29	85
Denominator	28	30	31	89

Explanation of Findings:

PD: No ISP available for review Goal(s) marked N/A or missing

ISP not signed and/or dated FE: ISP contained invalid signature(s) or no signature(s)

Goal(s) marked N/A

ISP not uploaded for review

DD: No ISP available for review

No goal(s) listed or marked N/A

ISP not signed by guardian and/or dated

TBI: ISP not signed and/or dated

No goal(s) listed

ISP does not cover entire review period

TA: No goal(s) listed
Missing signature and date
ISP encrypted; could not be reviewed

AU: No services listed on ISP SED: No POCs provided for review

Remediation:

Complia	nce Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD			FF0/	220/	620/	700/	90%	79%	000/
	merigroup		55%	33%	63%	79%			88%
	unflower		57%	64%	59%	81%	75%	74%	81%
	nited		33%	49%	86%	85%	88%	93%	93%
	tatewide	55%	50%	48%	69%	81%	84%	82%	87%
FE									
	merigroup		50%	42%	54%	70%	65%	76%	76%
	unflower		56%	51%	75%	79%	85%	64%	76%
	nited		45%	56%	81%	90%	94%	94%	90%
	tatewide	Not a measure	50%	49%	70%	80%	82%	78%	81%
IDD									
	merigroup		36%	32%	53%	76%	81%	88%	79%
St	unflower		56%	56%	61%	70%	77%	60%	81%
U	nited		52%	41%	73%	85%	83%	87%	96%
St	tatewide	99%	49%	45%	62%	75%	80%	74%	84%
TBI									
A	merigroup		37%	41%	58%	78%	59%	68%	94%
Sı	unflower		37%	38%	80%	74%	73%	69%	62%
U	nited		22%	55%	78%	79%	78%	100%	90%
St	tatewide	44%	34%	43%	68%	77%	65%	74%	80%
TA									
A	merigroup		50%	44%	69%	90%	96%	100%	100%
Sı	unflower		73%	85%	82%	65%	83%	95%	88%
U	nited		64%	32%	70%	95%	75%	89%	91%
St	tatewide	93%	61%	54%	73%	83%	88%	97%	95%
Autism									
A	merigroup		84%	56%	35%	88%	100%	100%	100%
Sı	unflower		47%	50%	50%	30%	25%	20%	50%
	nited		63%	36%	17%	13%	0%	60%	75%
St	tatewide	58%	69%	49%	37%	42%	42%	57%	73%
SED						,.	,.	41,1	
-	merigroup		91%	99%	98%	99%	100%	97%	96%
	unflower		92%	95%	87%	98%	91%	95%	97%
	nited		89%	100%	98%	88%	94%	100%	94%
	tatewide	98%	90%	98%	95%	95%	95%	97%	96%

15

Service Plan

PM 2: Number and percent of waiver participants whose service plans address their assessed needs and capabilities as indicated in the assessment

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans address their assessed needs and capabilities as indicated in the assessment

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	91%	74%	96%	87%
Numerator	29	23	26	78
Denominator	32	31	27	90
FE	72%	76%	90%	80%
Numerator	18	26	28	72
Denominator	25	34	31	90
IDD	79%	79%	96%	83%
Numerator	22	34	22	78
Denominator	28	43	23	94
ТВІ	89%	62%	80%	76%
Numerator	16	13	8	37
Denominator	18	21	10	49
TA	97%	88%	100%	95%
Numerator	28	15	11	54
Denominator	29	17	11	57
Autism	67%	50%	50%	55%
Numerator	2	2	2	6
Denominator	3	4	4	11
SED	96%	97%	71%	88%
Numerator	27	29	22	78
Denominator	28	30	31	89

Explanation of Findings:

PD: Missing signature(s) and/or date on ISP Missing ISP for part or all of review period Missing UAR for part of review period

Gap in between assessments FE: Missing signature(s) and/or date

Signature field marked N/A for consumer and Care Coordinator

Missing ISP for part or all of review period

Missing HRA for part of the review period

Guardian signature not obtained until 9 months after ISP was implemented

DD: Missing signature(s) and/or date on ISP
Missing HRA for part or all of review period

Missing assessment for part of review period

Missing BUP for part of review period

TBI: Missing signature(s) and/or date on ISP

Needs on assessment not listed on ISP

Missing ISP for part or all of review period No TBI services listed on POC

ISP signed after services implemented

TA: Missing assessment for part of review period

ISP encrypted and unable to be reviewed

Tasks not marked

AU: No services listed on ISP

Tasks not marked

SED: No POCs provided for review

Missing current assessment

No KBH exam completed

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup		83%	55%	74%	83%	97%	91%	91%
Sunflower		90%	56%	63%	83%	72%	84%	74%
United		89%	68%	92%	87%	88%	93%	96%
Statewide	86%	87%	59%	76%	84%	85%	89%	87%
FE						447.	44,1	
Amerigroup		79%	66%	74%	80%	88%	96%	72%
Sunflower		90%	53%	73%	75%	88%	73%	76%
United		88%	68%	84%	88%	90%	97%	90%
Statewide	87%	86%	61%	77%	81%	89%	88%	80%
IDD								
Amerigroup		85%	67%	64%	77%	81%	88%	79%
Sunflower		77%	36%	65%	70%	88%	77%	79%
United		72%	47%	78%	91%	87%	87%	96%
Statewide	99%	78%	48%	68%	77%	86%	82%	83%
ТВІ								
Amerigroup		67%	48%	65%	78%	62%	71%	89%
Sunflower		82%	28%	82%	74%	82%	85%	62%
United		70%	62%	80%	79%	78%	90%	80%
Statewide	72%	73%	45%	72%	77%	69%	78%	76%
TA								
Amerigroup		93%	58%	70%	88%	96%	100%	97%
Sunflower		98%	62%	74%	69%	72%	90%	88%
United		97%	58%	79%	92%	75%	89%	100%
Statewide	96%	96%	59%	73%	83%	84%	95%	95%
Autism								
Amerigroup		81%	59%	33%	88%	75%	100%	67%
Sunflower		50%	45%	47%	15%	25%	20%	50%
United		63%	21%	22%	13%	0%	20%	50%
Statewide	59%	68%	46%	36%	37%	33%	43%	55%
SED								
Amerigroup		91%	99%	98%	99%	100%	97%	96%
Sunflower		91%	92%	87%	93%	95%	90%	97%
United		89%	98%	96%	84%	94%	90%	71%
Statewide	92%	90%	97%	94%	92%	97%	92%	88%

Service Plan

PM 3: Number and percent of waiver participants whose service plans address health and safety risk factors

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans address health and safety risk factors

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	94%	74%	96%	88%
Numerator	30	23	26	79
Denominator	32	31	27	90
FE	72%	76%	90%	80%
Numerator	18	26	28	72
Denominator	25	34	31	90
IDD	79%	79%	96%	83%
Numerator	22	34	22	78
Denominator	28	43	23	94
ТВІ	94%	57%	90%	78%
Numerator	17	12	9	38
Denominator	18	21	10	49
TA	100%	88%	100%	96%
Numerator	29	15	11	55
Denominator	29	17	11	57
Autism	100%	50%	50%	64%
Numerator	3	2	2	7
Denominator	3	4	4	11
SED	96%	97%	94%	96%
Numerator	27	29	29	85
Denominator	28	30	31	89

Explanation of Findings:

PD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) Missing ISP for part or all of review period Gap between assessments

FE: Missing guardianship paperwork

Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)

Missing assessment for part or all of review period

Missing 15P for part or all of review period

Guardian signature not obtained until 9 months after ISP was implemented

Missing HRA for part of review period

DD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)

Missing HRA for part of review period Missing assessment for part or all of review period

UAR provided does not cover full review period

Missing BUP for part or all of review period

TBI: Missing assessment for part or all of review period

Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)

HRA does not cover entire review period

ISP not signed or dated prior to implementation of services

TA: Missing assessments for part of review period ISP encrypted and unable to be reviewed
AU: No services listed on ISP

SED: Missing POCs for all of review period

L				
1	Remediation:			

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup		90%	44%	73%	81%	97%	91%	94%
Sunflower		89%	49%	67%	85%	69%	84%	749
United		96%	67%	90%	88%	88%	96%	96%
Statewide	90%	91%	51%	76%	84%	84%	90%	889
FE								
Amerigroup		92%	55%	75%	82%	92%	96%	72%
Sunflower		92%	50%	73%	77%	88%	67%	76%
United		95%	70%	82%	88%	94%	97%	90%
Statewide	Not a measure	93%	57%	76%	82%	91%	86%	809
IDD								
Amerigroup		90%	61%	67%	75%	81%	88%	79%
Sunflower		97%	36%	65%	73%	88%	79%	79%
United		89%	45%	78%	92%	87%	87%	96%
Statewide	99%	93%	46%	69%	78%	86%	83%	839
TBI								
Amerigroup		79%	45%	64%	80%	65%	77%	94%
Sunflower		91%	26%	84%	70%	82%	92%	57%
United		83%	64%	80%	79%	78%	100%	90%
Statewide	84%	84%	43%	72%	78%	70%	85%	78%
TA								
Amerigroup		96%	49%	73%	89%	96%	97%	100%
Sunflower		95%	61%	76%	66%	72%	90%	889
United		94%	58%	79%	92%	75%	89%	100%
Statewide	96%	96%	54%	75%	83%	84%	93%	96%
Autism								
Amerigroup		79%	59%	30%	88%	75%	100%	100%
Sunflower		61%	45%	47%	15%	25%	20%	50%
United		86%	21%	17%	13%	0%	20%	50%
Statewide	64%	74%	46%	34%	37%	33%	43%	649
SED								
Amerigroup		90%	99%	97%	99%	100%	97%	96%
Sunflower		89%	95%	87%	98%	95%	95%	97%
United		86%	100%	97%	88%	94%	100%	94%
Statewide	99%	88%	98%	94%	95%	97%	97%	96%

17

Service Plan

PM 4: Number and percent of waiver participants whose service plans were developed according to the processes in the approved waiver

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were developed according to the processes in the approved waiver

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
nn.	040/	040/	050/	220/
PD	94%	81%	96%	90%
Numerator	30	25	26	81
Denominator	32	31	27	90
FE	80%	79%	94%	84%
Numerator	20	27	29	76
Denominator	25	34	31	90
IDD	82%	84%	96%	86%
Numerator	23	36	22	81
Denominator	28	43	23	94
ТВІ	94%	67%	80%	80%
Numerator	17	14	8	39
Denominator	18	21	10	49
TA	97%	88%	100%	95%
Numerator	28	15	11	54
Denominator	29	17	11	57
Autism	100%	75%	50%	73%
Numerator	3	3	2	8
Denominator	3	4	4	11
SED	96%	93%	94%	94%
Numerator	27	28	29	84
Denominator	28	30	31	89

Explanation of Findings:

PD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
Missing ISP for part or all of review period
Assessment(s) does/do not cover entire review period
FE: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
ISP signature fields marked N/A for consumer and CC
ISP missing for part or all of review period
ISP not signed by guardian until 9 months after services implemented

DD: Assessment(s) does/do not cover entire review period Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) on ISP Missing ISP for part or all of review period

ISP was not signed until after services were implemented

TBI: No therapy or TLS listed
Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
ISP signed after services implemented
Missing ISP for part or all of review period
TA: ISP not initiated timely

ISP missing for part or all of review period ISP encrypted and unable to be reviewed

AU: Missing assessments for all of review period No services listed on ISP SED: Missing POCs for entire review period

ED: Missing POCs for entire review period Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) on POC

Remediation:			

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup		88%	68%	76%	85%	97%	82%	94%
Sunflower		87%	69%	73%	87%	78%	74%	81%
United		85%	77%	92%	88%	88%	93%	96%
Statewide	80%	87%	70%	80%	86%	88%	83%	90%
FE								
Amerigroup		84%	76%	78%	82%	92%	96%	80%
Sunflower		88%	61%	84%	86%	91%	67%	79%
United		86%	79%	87%	90%	94%	88%	94%
Statewide	Not a measure	86%	71%	83%	86%	92%	82%	84%
IDD								
Amerigroup		80%	80%	73%	77%	85%	83%	82%
Sunflower		80%	59%	74%	80%	91%	77%	84%
United		82%	55%	79%	92%	87%	87%	96%
Statewide	98%	81%	64%	75%	82%	88%	81%	86%
TBI								
Amerigroup		76%	53%	64%	79%	65%	77%	94%
Sunflower		86%	43%	86%	80%	82%	77%	67%
United		77%	69%	85%	79%	78%	90%	80%
Statewide	64%	80%	53%	74%	80%	70%	80%	80%
TA								
Amerigroup		84%	68%	71%	90%	96%	93%	97%
Sunflower		97%	86%	85%	68%	83%	95%	88%
United		96%	58%	79%	95%	75%	89%	100%
Statewide	No Data	91%	72%	77%	84%	88%	93%	95%
Autism								
Amerigroup		74%	59%	35%	88%	75%	100%	100%
Sunflower		51%	50%	47%	20%	25%	20%	75%
United		65%	29%	17%	13%	0%	60%	50%
Statewide	55%	65%	49%	36%	38%	33%	57%	73%
SED								
Amerigroup		92%	99%	98%	99%	100%	97%	96%
Sunflower		90%	94%	86%	98%	95%	100%	93%
United		87%	98%	97%	88%	81%	100%	94%
Statewide	Not a measure	90%	97%	94%	95%	93%	99%	94%

18

Service Plan

PM 5: Number and percent of waiver participants (or their representatives) who were present and involved in the development of their service plan

Numerator: Number of waiver participants (or their representatives) who were present and involved in the development of their service plan

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	97%	81%	96%	91%
Numerator	31	25	26	82
Denominator	32	31	27	90
FE	80%	79%	94%	84%
Numerator	20	27	29	76
Denominator	25	34	31	90
IDD	82%	88%	96%	88%
Numerator	23	38	22	83
Denominator	28	43	23	94
TBI	94%	67%	90%	82%
Numerator	17	14	9	40
Denominator	18	21	10	49
TA	100%	88%	100%	96%
Numerator	29	15	11	55
Denominator	29	17	11	57
Autism	100%	100%	75%	91%
Numerator	3	4	3	10
Denominator	3	4	4	11
SED	93%	93%	94%	93%
Numerator	26	28	29	83
Denominator	28	30	31	89

- PD: ISP missing signature(s) and/or date(s) ISP missing for part or all of review period ISP signed after implementation of services
- FE: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) Marked N/A in all signature fields Missing ISP for part or all of review period
- DD: ISP missing signature(s) and/or dates(s) ISP missing for part or all of review period
- TBI: ISP missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
- ISP missing for part or all of review period TA: ISP missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
- ISP encrypted and unable to be reviewed
- AU: No meeting, since coming off of wait list
 SED: Missing POCs for review period
 Missing signature and date on treatment plan Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) on POCs

Re	Remediation:							

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup		88%	70%	79%	87%	97%	97%	97%
Sunflower		87%	70%	74%	88%	78%	84%	81%
United		84%	79%	89%	88%	88%	96%	96%
Statewide	Not a measure	87%	72%	81%	88%	88%	92%	91%
FE								
Amerigroup		83%	78%	76%	84%	92%	100%	80%
Sunflower		86%	60%	83%	87%	91%	76%	79%
United		87%	83%	88%	91%	94%	97%	94%
Statewide	90%	85%	72%	83%	88%	92%	90%	84%
IDD								
Amerigroup		84%	76%	73%	76%	85%	88%	82%
Sunflower		82%	60%	74%	78%	88%	86%	88%
United		88%	51%	79%	93%	87%	87%	96%
Statewide	Not a measure	84%	63%	75%	81%	87%	87%	88%
ТВІ								
Amerigroup		73%	51%	65%	80%	71%	81%	94%
Sunflower		84%	45%	86%	80%	91%	92%	67%
United		80%	69%	59%	79%	78%	100%	90%
Statewide	Not a measure	78%	52%	74%	80%	76%	87%	82%
TA								
Amerigroup		83%	75%	71%	90%	96%	100%	100%
Sunflower		97%	86%	84%	68%	83%	95%	88%
United		97%	58%	79%	95%	75%	89%	100%
Statewide	Not a measure	91%	76%	76%	84%	88%	97%	96%
Autism								
Amerigroup		77%	59%	35%	88%	100%	100%	100%
Sunflower		53%	55%	50%	15%	25%	20%	100%
United		71%	36%	17%	6%	0%	60%	75%
Statewide	Not a measure	69%	52%	37%	35%	42%	57%	91%
SED								
Amerigroup		92%	98%	97%	97%	100%	97%	93%
Sunflower		90%	95%	86%	98%	95%	100%	93%
United		87%	99%	96%	86%	88%	100%	94%
Statewide	93%	90%	98%	94%	93%	95%	99%	93%

Service Plan

PM 6: Number and percent of service plans reviewed before the waiver participant's annual redetermination date

Numerator: Number of service plans reviewed before the waiver participant's annual redetermination date

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	91%	90%	93%	91%
Numerator	29	28	25	82
Denominator	32	31	27	90
FE	80%	79%	84%	81%
Numerator	20	27	26	73
Denominator	25	34	31	90
IDD	89%	91%	87%	89%
Numerator	25	39	20	84
Denominator	28	43	23	94
ТВІ	83%	81%	80%	82%
Numerator	15	17	8	40
Denominator	18	21	10	49
TA	97%	88%	100%	95%
Numerator	28	15	11	54
Denominator	29	17	11	57
Autism	100%	100%	25%	73%
Numerator	3	4	1	8
Denominator	3	4	4	11
SED	96%	97%	94%	96%
Numerator	27	29	29	85
Denominator	28	30	31	89

Explanation of Findings:

- PD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 Missing ISP for part or all of review period
 ISP signed after services implemented
- FE: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 Missing ISP for part or all of review period
- DD: ISP missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 ISP missing for part or all of review period
- TBI: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 ISP missing for part or all of review period
- TA: ISP missing for part or all of review period ISP encrypted and unable to be reviewed
- AU: No meeting, since coming off of wait list
- AU: No meeting, since coming off of wait list SED: Missing POCs for part or all of review period Missing signature and date on treatment plan Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) on POC

Remediation:

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup		73%	67%	71%	72%	80%	97%	91%
Sunflower		82%	72%	72%	70%	78%	81%	90%
United		92%	73%	83%	76%	85%	86%	93%
Statewide	82%	82%	70%	75%	70%	81%	88%	91%
FE	0270	0270	7070	7370	7270	0170	0070	31/0
Amerigroup		81%	67%	63%	70%	77%	88%	80%
Sunflower		85%	57%	78%	78%	85%	88%	79%
United		90%	69%	84%	91%	97%	97%	84%
Statewide	81%	85%	64%	76%	81%	87%	91%	81%
IDD								
Amerigroup		75%	77%	68%	64%	74%	83%	89%
Sunflower		81%	66%	65%	63%	81%	81%	91%
United		91%	48%	54%	86%	87%	78%	87%
Statewide	97%	82%	66%	63%	70%	81%	81%	89%
ТВІ								
Amerigroup		65%	44%	56%	63%	71%	65%	83%
Sunflower		84%	40%	88%	61%	100%	100%	81%
United		77%	65%	70%	65%	78%	100%	80%
Statewide	60%	76%	47%	68%	63%	78%	80%	82%
TA								
Amerigroup		81%	78%	72%	88%	81%	93%	97%
Sunflower		94%	89%	85%	68%	83%	95%	88%
United		96%	59%	70%	91%	92%	89%	100%
Statewide	92%	89%	79%	76%	83%	84%	93%	95%
Autism								
Amerigroup		67%	52%	40%	82%	100%	100%	100%
Sunflower		43%	47%	38%	18%	50%	80%	100%
United		33%	38%	7%	20%	50%	80%	25%
Statewide	64%	57%	48%	31%	41%	67%	86%	73%
SED								
Amerigroup		89%	97%	94%	96%	96%	97%	96%
Sunflower		89%	91%	79%	92%	95%	90%	97%
United		83%	99%	85%	77%	94%	100%	94%
Statewide	80%	87%	96%	86%	88%	95%	96%	96%

Service Plan

PM 7: Number and percent of waiver participants with documented change in needs whose service plan was revised, as needed, to address the change

Numerator: Number of waiver participants with documented change in needs whose service plan was revised, as needed, to address the change

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	97%	94%	96%	96%
Numerator	31	29	26	86
Denominator	32	31	27	90
FE	92%	91%	100%	94%
Numerator	23	31	31	85
Denominator	25	34	31	90
IDD	100%	95%	100%	98%
Numerator	28	41	23	92
Denominator	28	43	23	94
ТВІ	100%	86%	100%	94%
Numerator	18	18	10	46
Denominator	18	21	10	49
TA	97%	88%	100%	95%
Numerator	28	15	11	54
Denominator	29	17	11	57
Autism	100%	100%	75%	91%
Numerator	3	4	3	10
Denominator	3	4	4	11
SED	96%	97%	94%	96%
Numerator	27	29	29	85
Denominator	28	30	31	89

- PD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) Missing ISP for part or all of review period ISP signed after services implemented
- FE: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
- Missing ISP for part or all of review period
- DD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) Missing ISP for part of review period
- TBI: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 ISP signed after implementation of services
 TA: Missing ISP for part or all of review period
- Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) ISP encrypted and unable to be reviewed
- AU: No meeting, since coming off of wait list
- SED: Missing POCs for part or all of review period

F	Remediation:								
ſ									

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD		20%	36%	67%	600/	93%	100%	97%
Amerigroup				50%	68%		90%	97%
Sunflower United		53% 50%	58% 63%	80%	54% 67%	100% 100%	100%	94%
	75%	39%	53%	65%	62%	98%	97%	96%
Statewide FE	/5%	39%	53%	05%	02%	98%	9/%	90%
		24%	71%	42%	70%	100%	96%	92%
Amerigroup Sunflower		39%	51%	63%	59%	91%	88%	92%
United		50%	47%	87%	86%	100%	100%	100%
Statewide	78%	38%	54%	65%	67%	97%	94%	94%
IDD	/8%	38%	54%	05%	0/%	9/%	94%	94%
Amerigroup		7%	60%	27%	67%	96%	92%	100%
Sunflower		38%	16%	25%	47%	95%	95%	95%
United		16%	30%	30%	83%	96%	95%	100%
Statewide	97%	23%	28%	28%	60%	96%	94%	98%
TBI	97%	23%	2870	28%	00%	90%	94%	98%
Amerigroup		24%	42%	61%	67%	88%	84%	100%
Sunflower		54%	27%	75%	44%	91%	92%	86%
United		46%	50%	75%	33%	89%	100%	100%
Statewide	53%	38%	38%	67%	57%	89%	89%	94%
TA	3370	3870	3670	0770	3770	8370	8370	3470
Amerigroup		32%	73%	56%	94%	96%	97%	97%
Sunflower		54%	89%	63%	57%	94%	95%	88%
United		38%	43%	60%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Statewide	92%	42%	75%	60%	83%	96%	97%	95%
Autism	3270	42/0	7370	0070	0370	3070	3770	3370
Amerigroup		10%	0%	17%	75%	100%	100%	100%
Sunflower		17%	25%	50%	14%	100%	100%	100%
United		0%	0%	9%	0%	75%	100%	75%
Statewide	45%	11%	11%	16%	22%	92%	100%	91%
SED	75/0	11/0	11/0	10/0	22/0	32/0	10070	31/0
Amerigroup		90%	90%	97%	97%	100%	97%	96%
Sunflower		83%	79%	68%	88%	95%	86%	97%
United		84%	93%	83%	67%	94%	97%	94%
Statewide	85%	86%	88%	83%	83%	97%	87%	96%

Service Plan

PM 8: Number and percent of waiver participants who received services in the type, scope, amount, duration, and frequency specified in the service plan

Numerator: Number of waiver participants who received services in the type, scope, amount, duration, and frequency specified in the service plan

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
DD.	94%	81%	959/	87%
PD Numerator	30	81% 25	85% 23	8/% 78
	30	31	23	90
Denominator FE		85%	94%	86%
	76%			
Numerator	19	29	29	77
Denominator	25	34	31	90
IDD	79%	88%	96%	87%
Numerator	22	38	22	82
Denominator	28	43	23	94
ТВІ	83%	62%	80%	73%
Numerator	15	13	8	36
Denominator	18	21	10	49
TA	100%	82%	100%	95%
Numerator	29	14	11	54
Denominator	29	17	11	57
Autism	100%	50%	75%	73%
Numerator	3	2	3	8
Denominator	3	4	4	11
SED	96%	97%	94%	96%
Numerator	27	29	29	85
Denominator	28	30	31	89

Explanation of Findings:

PD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) on ISP Missing ISP for part or all of review period

Missing UAR for part of review period

Gap between assessments

Consumer frequently refused services Consumer doesn't have a home care worker

FE: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)

Signature fields marked N/A for consumer and Care Coordinator

Missing ISP for part or all of review period

DD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) on ISP

Missing ISP for part or all of review period TBI: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) on ISP

Needs on assessment not listed on ISP

Missing ISP for part or all of review period

No TBI services listed on POC

No progress notes/evidence uploaded to verify services rendered to consumer

ISP signed after services implemented

TA: Missing assessment for part of review period

ISP encrypted and unable to be reviewed

LTSS unable to locate consumer since transferring from UHC

AU: No services listed on ISP

No meeting, since coming off wait list

SED: No POCs provided for review

Remediation:			

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup		94%	69%	79%	83%	93%	94%	94%
Sunflower		96%	72%	76%	88%	75%	87%	81%
United		96%	78%	91%	87%	88%	96%	85%
Statewide	85%	95%	72%	81%	86%	85%	92%	87%
FE								
Amerigroup		83%	76%	75%	81%	92%	88%	76%
Sunflower		96%	64%	86%	87%	88%	67%	85%
United		96%	79%	89%	88%	94%	94%	94%
Statewide	87%	92%	72%	83%	86%	91%	82%	86%
IDD								
Amerigroup		78%	84%	73%	75%	81%	88%	79%
Sunflower		97%	62%	77%	80%	88%	84%	88%
United		100%	59%	81%	90%	87%	87%	96%
Statewide	98%	92%	68%	77%	81%	86%	86%	87%
TBI								
Amerigroup		81%	55%	63%	77%	62%	81%	83%
Sunflower		95%	46%	84%	76%	91%	77%	62%
United		85%	71%	83%	76%	78%	80%	80%
Statewide	70%	87%	56%	72%	77%	70%	80%	73%
TA								
Amerigroup		98%	73%	79%	88%	93%	100%	100%
Sunflower		100%	86%	82%	68%	83%	95%	82%
United		96%	58%	82%	92%	83%	78%	100%
Statewide	100%	98%	74%	80%	83%	88%	95%	95%
Autism								
Amerigroup		89%	59%	37%	88%	100%	75%	100%
Sunflower		100%	55%	50%	15%	25%	20%	50%
United		50%	21%	17%	13%	0%	60%	75%
Statewide	50%	86%	49%	38%	37%	42%	50%	73%
SED								
Amerigroup		91%	99%	95%	99%	100%	97%	96%
Sunflower		96%	94%	84%	98%	95%	100%	97%
United		92%	99%	91%	86%	88%	100%	94%
Statewide	13%	93%	98%	90%	94%	95%	99%	96%

Service Plan

PM 9: Number and percent of survey respondents who reported receiving all services as specified in their service plan

Numerator: Number of survey respondents who reported receiving all services as specified in their service plan

Denominator: Number of waiver participants interviewed by QMS staff

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: Customer Interview

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	100%	100%	00%	98%
Numerator	18	100% 15	90% 9	42
Denominator	18	15	10	43
FE	89%	93%	93%	92%
Numerator	8	14	14	36
Denominator	9	15	15	39
IDD	100%	100%	100%	100%
Numerator	13	24	10	47
Denominator	13	24	10	47
TBI	100%	86%	100%	94%
Numerator	5	6	6	17
Denominator	5	7	6	18
TA	100%	100%	100%	100%
Numerator	9	5	3	17
Denominator	9	5	3	17
Autism	100%	100%	50%	80%
Numerator	1	2	1	4
Denominator	1	2	2	5
SED				
Numerator	N	lot a waiver perf	ormance measur	e
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:
Responses from multiple consumers:
PD ,FE, TBI, AU: Worker not available to provide services
Remediation:

Compli	iance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD									
,	Amerigroup		97%			94%	100%	95%	100%
	Sunflower		92%			97%	95%	100%	100%
	United		93%			91%	100%	100%	90%
	Statewide	Not a measure	94%	No Data	No Data	94%	98%	98%	98%
FE									
,	Amerigroup		85%			97%	100%	100%	89%
	Sunflower		86%			93%	95%	93%	93%
- 1	United		82%			91%	89%	93%	93%
	Statewide	87%	84%	No Data	No Data	94%	95%	95%	92%
IDD									
,	Amerigroup		92%			93%	100%	100%	100%
	Sunflower		96%			99%	96%	92%	100%
ı	United		93%			92%	100%	100%	100%
	Statewide	Not a measure	94%	No Data	No Data	96%	98%	96%	100%
TBI									
-	Amerigroup		81%			81%	81%	91%	100%
	Sunflower		88%			79%	67%	75%	86%
	United		83%			76%	100%	100%	100%
	Statewide	Not a measure	83%	No Data	No Data	80%	80%	89%	94%
TA									
,	Amerigroup		89%			96%	93%	100%	100%
	Sunflower		84%			94%	100%	100%	100%
ı	United		85%			94%	100%	100%	100%
	Statewide	Not a measure	87%	No Data	No Data	95%	96%	100%	100%
Autism	1								
,	Amerigroup		74%			89%	100%	33%	100%
	Sunflower		70%			50%	100%	67%	100%
_	United		60%			75%	100%	67%	50%
	Statewide	Not a measure	71%	No Data	No Data	68%	100%	56%	80%
SED									
,	Amerigroup								
	Sunflower			Not	a waiver perform	mance measur	e		
	United								
	Statewide								

Service Plan

PM 10: Number and percent of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of waiver service providers

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of waiver service providers

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018
Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver Sunflower United Amerigroup Statewide PD 97% 81% 96% 91% Numerator 31 25 82 26 Denominator 32 31 27 90 80% 79% 94% 84% 20 27 29 76 Numerator Denominator 25 34 31 90 82% 84% 96% 86% IDD 23 36 22 81 Numerator 43 23 Denominator 28 94 ТВІ 94% 67% 82% 90% Numerator 17 14 40 21 10 49 Denominator 18 100% 82% 100% 95% Numerator 14 11 54 17 11 29 57 73% Autism 100% 100% 25% Numerator 11 Denominator 3 SED 100% 97% 97% 98% Numerator 28 29 30 87

28

30

31

89

Denominator Explanation of Findings:

- PD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 Missing ISP for part or all of review period
- FE: Signature fields marked N/A for consumer and CC ISP missing for part or all of review period
- DD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 Choice box not marked
- ISP missing for part or all of review period TBI: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
- Missing ISP for part or all of review period
 ISP signed after implementation of services
- TA: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 ISP missing for part or all of review period
 Choice box not marked
- ISP encrypted and unable to be reviewed AU: ISP missing for all of review period
- Choice box not marked No meeting, since coming off wait list
- SED: Missing FCAD

Remedia	ition:			

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup		68%	56%	68%	80%	97%	97%	97%
Sunflower		58%	69%	73%	85%	78%	84%	81%
United		69%	73%	89%	87%	88%	93%	96%
Statewide	52%	65%	65%	76%	84%	88%	91%	91%
FE								
Amerigroup		68%	59%	64%	82%	92%	100%	80%
Sunflower		76%	59%	82%	86%	91%	73%	79%
United		77%	75%	85%	91%	94%	97%	94%
Statewide	56%	74%	63%	77%	86%	92%	89%	84%
IDD								
Amerigroup		51%	45%	68%	74%	85%	83%	82%
Sunflower		68%	42%	69%	71%	88%	77%	84%
United		75%	55%	76%	91%	83%	87%	96%
Statewide	99%	64%	46%	70%	77%	86%	81%	86%
ТВІ								
Amerigroup		54%	50%	53%	76%	71%	81%	94%
Sunflower		75%	40%	86%	80%	91%	85%	67%
United		70%	74%	83%	79%	78%	100%	90%
Statewide	44%	65%	52%	67%	78%	76%	85%	82%
TA								
Amerigroup		87%	65%	68%	85%	85%	100%	100%
Sunflower		84%	80%	77%	66%	83%	95%	82%
United		92%	58%	79%	95%	75%	89%	100%
Statewide	96%	86%	68%	72%	81%	82%	97%	95%
Autism								
Amerigroup		67%	67%	47%	88%	100%	100%	100%
Sunflower		44%	45%	50%	40%	25%	40%	100%
United		88%	21%	17%	19%	0%	40%	25%
Statewide	40%	63%	49%	42%	48%	42%	57%	73%
SED			_		_	_		
Amerigroup		94%	91%	98%	99%	91%	100%	100%
Sunflower		91%	72%	84%	94%	68%	95%	97%
United		84%	97%	88%	88%	88%	100%	97%
Statewide	98%	89%	88%	90%	94%	82%	99%	98%

Service Plan

PM 11: Number and percent of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of waiver services

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of waiver services

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018 Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	97%	81%	96%	91%
Numerator	31	25	26	82
Denominator	32	31	27	90
FE	80%	79%	94%	84%
Numerator	20	27	29	76
Denominator	25	34	31	90
IDD	82%	86%	96%	87%
Numerator	23	37	22	82
Denominator	28	43	23	94
ТВІ	94%	67%	90%	82%
Numerator	17	14	9	40
Denominator	18	21	10	49
TA	100%	82%	100%	95%
Numerator	29	14	11	54
Denominator	29	17	11	57
Autism	100%	100%	50%	82%
Numerator	3	4	2	9
Denominator	3	4	4	11
SED	100%	97%	97%	98%
Numerator	28	29	30	87
Denominator	28	30	31	89

- PD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 Missing ISP for part or all of review period
- FE: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 Missing ISP for part or all of review period
- DD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 ISP missing for part or all of review period
 Choice box not marked
- TBI: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 Missing ISP for part or all of review period
- TA: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 ISP encrypted and unable to be reviewed
 Choice box not marked
- AU: ISP missing for review period
- No meeting, since coming off wait list

SED:	Missing	FCAD

emediation:			

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup		68%	53%	62%	79%	93%	97%	97%
Sunflower		72%	50%	71%	36%	72%	68%	81%
United		77%	73%	84%	78%	81%	96%	96%
Statewide	64%	72%	57%	72%	64%	82%	87%	91%
FE								
Amerigroup		67%	57%	67%	80%	92%	100%	80%
Sunflower		86%	47%	82%	35%	88%	67%	79%
United		85%	74%	84%	80%	90%	97%	94%
Statewide	59%	80%	57%	78%	63%	90%	87%	84%
IDD								
Amerigroup		55%	46%	70%	71%	85%	88%	82%
Sunflower		68%	35%	69%	34%	84%	77%	86%
United		77%	50%	74%	89%	78%	87%	96%
Statewide	No Data	66%	42%	71%	58%	83%	82%	87%
ТВІ								
Amerigroup		56%	50%	52%	74%	71%	81%	94%
Sunflower		80%	23%	86%	28%	91%	77%	67%
United		74%	67%	80%	76%	78%	100%	90%
Statewide	53%	68%	45%	66%	63%	76%	83%	82%
TA								
Amerigroup		86%	65%	71%	86%	96%	100%	100%
Sunflower		97%	53%	79%	29%	72%	95%	82%
United		94%	55%	64%	82%	75%	89%	100%
Statewide	96%	91%	60%	72%	68%	84%	97%	95%
Autism								
Amerigroup		79%	52%	47%	88%	100%	100%	100%
Sunflower		50%	27%	61%	20%	25%	40%	100%
United		88%	14%	17%	13%	0%	60%	50%
Statewide	55%	72%	35%	46%	38%	42%	64%	82%
SED								
Amerigroup		94%	92%	98%	99%	91%	100%	100%
Sunflower		91%	72%	84%	94%	68%	95%	97%
United		84%	97%	88%	87%	88%	100%	97%
Statewide	98%	89%	88%	90%	93%	82%	99%	98%

Service Plan

PM 12: Number and percent of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of community-based services v. an institutional alternative

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of community-based services

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose files are reviewed for the documentation

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	97%	81%	96%	91%
Numerator	31	25	26	82
Denominator	32	31	27	90
FE	80%	79%	94%	84%
Numerator	20	27	29	76
Denominator	25	34	31	90
IDD	82%	86%	96%	87%
Numerator	23	37	22	82
Denominator	28	43	23	94
TBI	94%	67%	90%	82%
Numerator	17	14	9	40
Denominator	18	21	10	49
TA	100%	82%	100%	95%
Numerator	29	14	11	54
Denominator	29	17	11	57
Autism	100%	75%	0%	55%
Numerator	3	3	0	6
Denominator	3	4	4	11
SED	100%	97%	97%	98%
Numerator	28	29	30	87
Denominator	28	30	31	89

Explanation of Findings:

- PD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
- Missing ISP for part or all of review period FE: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
- Missing ISP for part or all of review period
- DD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) Missing ISP for part or all of review period
- Choice box not marked
- TBI: ISP signed 5 months prior to implementation of services

Missing signature(s) and/or date(s) ISP signed after services implemented

No signature after POC was changed

Missing ISP for part or all of review period

TA: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
Missing ISP for part or all of the review period

Choice box not marked

AU: Form uploaded was illegible

Missing ISP for part of review period

Choice box not marked

No meeting, since coming off wait list SED: Missing FCAD

Remed	iation
-------	--------

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD		= 500/	===/	CTO!	0444	1000/	070/	070/
Amerigroup		76%	57%	67%	81%	100%	97%	97%
Sunflower		74%	67%	73%	87%	78%	84%	81%
United		80%	78%	88%	87%	88%	96%	96%
Statewide FE	Not a measure	76%	66%	75%	85%	89%	92%	91%
		670/	F.00/	720/	040/	020/	4000/	000/
Amerigroup		67%	58%	72%	81%	92%	100%	80%
Sunflower		87%	56%	82%	86%	91%	73%	79%
United	CEA!	85%	79%	84%	91%	94%	97%	94%
Statewide IDD	65%	80%	63%	79%	86%	92%	89%	84%
		47%	47%	66%	73%	93%	88%	82%
Amerigroup		69%				93% 88%	88% 79%	
Sunflower			41%	68%	74%			86%
United		78%	57%	79%	92%	83%	83%	96%
Statewide	No Data	64%	46%	70%	78%	88%	82%	87%
TBI								
Amerigroup		55%	51%	54%	78%	76%	81%	94%
Sunflower		79%	40%	86%	78%	82%	85%	67%
United		73%	74%	83%	79%	78%	100%	90%
Statewide	No Data	67%	52%	68%	78%	78%	85%	82%
TA								
Amerigroup		87%	65%	69%	85%	96%	100%	100%
Sunflower		98%	80%	81%	68%	83%	95%	82%
United		94%	55%	79%	95%	75%	89%	100%
Statewide	No Data	92%	68%	74%	81%	88%	97%	95%
Autism								
Amerigroup		86%	67%	65%	94%	100%	100%	100%
Sunflower		47%	59%	67%	70%	25%	60%	75%
United		75%	43%	33%	38%	0%	60%	0%
Statewide	No Data	72%	59%	60%	67%	42%	71%	55%
SED								
Amerigroup		94%	92%	98%	99%	91%	100%	100%
Sunflower		91%	72%	84%	94%	68%	95%	97%
United		85%	98%	88%	87%	88%	100%	97%
Statewide	99%	90%	89%	91%	93%	82%	99%	98%

Service Plan

PM 13: Number and percent of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of either self-directed or agency-directed care

Numerator: Number of waiver participants whose record contains documentation indicating a choice of either self-directed or agency-directed care

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose files are reviewed for the documentation

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	94%	81%	96%	90%
Numerator	30	25	26	81
Denominator	32	31	27	90
FE	80%	76%	94%	83%
Numerator	20	26	29	75
Denominator	25	34	31	90
IDD	82%	86%	96%	87%
Numerator	23	37	22	82
Denominator	28	43	23	94
ТВІ	94%	62%	90%	80%
Numerator	17	13	9	39
Denominator	18	21	10	49
TA	100%	82%	100%	95%
Numerator	29	14	11	54
Denominator	29	17	11	57
Autism				
Numerator	Self-	direction is not o	ffered for this w	aiver
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator	Self-	direction is not o	ffered for this w	aiver
Denominator				

PD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
Missing ISP for part or all of review period
Self-direct client, but form marked as agency-direct
FE: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
Missing ISP for part or all of review period
ISP indicates FMS as a service, but choice is marked agency-direct
DD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
Missing ISP for part or all of review period
Choice box not marked
TBI: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
Missing ISP for part or all of review period
ISP shows self-direct, but HRA does not indicate self-direct or agency-direct
TA: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
Missing ISP for part or all of review period
Choice box not marked

Remediation:			

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup		64%	58%	72%	81%	93%	88%	94%
Sunflower		73%	68%	72%	87%	78%	81%	81%
United		77%	78%	88%	86%	88%	96%	96%
Statewide	Not a measure	71%	66%	77%	84%	86%	88%	90%
FE								
Amerigroup		64%	59%	73%	79%	85%	96%	80%
Sunflower		84%	59%	81%	87%	85%	73%	76%
United		77%	79%	85%	88%	94%	97%	94%
Statewide	65%	75%	64%	79%	85%	88%	88%	83%
IDD								
Amerigroup		34%	47%	64%	68%	85%	88%	82%
Sunflower		61%	39%	60%	65%	86%	74%	86%
United		77%	57%	73%	93%	83%	87%	96%
Statewide	No Data	53%	46%	64%	73%	85%	81%	87%
ТВІ								
Amerigroup		50%	50%	56%	73%	71%	81%	94%
Sunflower		85%	43%	82%	78%	91%	85%	62%
United		70%	74%	83%	79%	78%	100%	90%
Statewide	No Data	66%	52%	68%	75%	76%	85%	80%
TA								
Amerigroup		82%	56%	66%	84%	96%	100%	100%
Sunflower		98%	82%	79%	68%	83%	95%	82%
United		100%	58%	79%	95%	75%	78%	100%
Statewide	No Data	90%	64%	72%	81%	88%	95%	95%
Autism								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower			Self-dir	ection is not off	ered for this wa	niver		
United								
Statewide								
SED								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower			Self-dir	rection is not off	ered for this wa	aiver		
United								
Statewide								

Health and Welfare

PM 1: Number and percent of unexpected deaths for which review/investigation resulted in the identification of preventable causes Numerator: Number of unexpected deaths for which review/investigation resulted in the identification of non-preventable causes

Denominator: Number of waiver participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TBI				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

	Incident Reporti	ng) policies s	till in the dev	elopment proc	ess.
emediatio	1:				
emediatio	1:				
emediatio	1:				
emediatio	1:				
emediatio	1:				
emediatio	1:				

Complianc	ce Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD									
	erigroup								
	flower								
Unit									
State	ewide								
FE									
Ame	erigroup								
	flower								
Unit	ted								
State	ewide								
IDD									
Ame	erigroup								
Sunf	flower								
Unit	ted								
State	ewide								
ТВІ									
Ame	erigroup								
Sunf	flower								
Unit	ted								
State	ewide								
TA									
Ame	erigroup								
Sunf	flower								
Unit	ted								
State	ewide								
Autism									
Ame	erigroup								
Sunf	flower								
Unit	ted								
State	ewide				•				•
SED									
Ame	erigroup				•		·		•
Sunf	flower								
Unit	ted								
State	ewide								

Health and Welfare

PM 2: Number and percent of unexpected deaths for which review/investigation followed the appropriate policies and procedures

Numerator: Number of unexpected deaths for which review/investigation followed the appropriate policies and procedures as in the approved waiver

Denominator: Number of unexpected deaths Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings: AIR (Adverse Incident Reporting) policies still in the development process. Remediation:

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
FE								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
IDD								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
ТВІ								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
TA								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
Autism								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
SED								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower			_	•				
United			•			•		
Statewide								

Health and Welfare

PM 3: Number and percent of unexpected deaths for which the appropriate follow-up measures were taken

Numerator: Number of unexpected deaths for which the appropriate follow-up measures were taken as in the approved waiver

Denominator: Number of unexpected deaths Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TBI				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

in (Auverse inci	dent Reporting) p	olicies still in the	development pro	cess.
mediation:				

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
FE								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
IDD								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
ТВІ								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
TA								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
Autism								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
SED								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								

Health and Welfare

PM 4: Number and percent of waiver participants who received information on how to report suspected abuse, neglect, or exploitation

Numerator: Number of waiver participants who received information on how to report suspected abuse, neglect, or exploitation

Denominator: Number of waiver participants interviewed by QMS staff or whose records are reviewed

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	97%	81%	96%	91%
Numerator	31	25	26	82
Denominator	32	31	27	90
FE	80%	79%	94%	84%
Numerator	20	27	29	76
Denominator	25	34	31	90
IDD	86%	88%	96%	89%
Numerator	24	38	22	84
Denominator	28	43	23	94
ТВІ	94%	67%	90%	82%
Numerator	17	14	9	40
Denominator	18	21	10	49
TA	100%	88%	100%	96%
Numerator	29	15	11	55
Denominator	29	17	11	57
Autism	100%	100%	75%	91%
Numerator	3	4	3	10
Denominator	3	4	4	11
SED	93%	33%	3%	42%
Numerator	26	10	1	37
Denominator	28	30	31	89

Explanation of Findings:

- PD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
- Missing ISP for part or all of review period FE: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
- Missing ISP for part or all of review period
- DD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
- Missing ISP for part or all of review period
- TBI: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
 Missing ISP for part or all of review period
- TA: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
- Missing ISP for part of review period
- AU: No meeting, since coming off wait list
- SED: No information regarding A/N/E provided for review (51 cases)

Remediation:		

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup		51%	19%	67%	87%	97%	97%	97%
Sunflower		88%	72%	74%	90%	84%	87%	81%
United		90%	80%	88%	88%	89%	96%	96%
Statewide	65%	72%	53%	76%	88%	90%	93%	91%
FE								
Amerigroup		59%	16%	61%	85%	92%	100%	80%
Sunflower		86%	62%	84%	89%	94%	73%	79%
United		92%	80%	88%	93%	94%	97%	94%
Statewide	80%	78%	50%	78%	89%	93%	89%	84%
IDD								
Amerigroup		23%	6%	59%	78%	85%	88%	86%
Sunflower		87%	59%	75%	82%	91%	84%	88%
United		100%	56%	79%	93%	87%	87%	96%
Statewide	99%	68%	42%	71%	83%	88%	86%	89%
ТВІ								
Amerigroup		30%	12%	56%	81%	71%	81%	94%
Sunflower		94%	45%	84%	78%	100%	92%	67%
United		80%	76%	85%	79%	78%	100%	90%
Statewide	57%	63%	34%	69%	80%	78%	87%	82%
TA								
Amerigroup		61%	38%	75%	91%	96%	100%	100%
Sunflower		99%	86%	84%	72%	83%	95%	88%
United		97%	61%	79%	95%	75%	89%	100%
Statewide	86%	82%	57%	78%	86%	88%	97%	96%
Autism								
Amerigroup		62%	8%	23%	88%	100%	100%	100%
Sunflower		33%	29%	39%	50%	50%	40%	100%
United		43%	14%	6%	13%	0%	60%	75%
Statewide	90%	50%	16%	26%	50%	50%	64%	91%
SED								
Amerigroup		88%	64%	27%	25%	83%	59%	93%
Sunflower		80%	53%	22%	16%	32%	33%	33%
United		78%	63%	19%	5%	38%	38%	3%
Statewide	89%	82%	60%	23%	15%	52%	44%	42%

Health and Welfare

PM 5: Number and percent of participants' reported critical incidents that were initiated and reviewed within required time frames

Numerator: Number of participants' reported critical incidents that were initiated and reviewed within required time frames as specified in the approved waiver

Denominator: Number of participants' reported critical incidents

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TBI				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings: AIR (Adverse Incident Reporting) policies still in the development process. Remediation:

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
FE								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
IDD								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
ТВІ								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
TA								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
Autism								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
SED								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								

Health and Welfare

PM 6: Number and percent of reported critical incidents requiring review/investigation where the State adhered to its follow-up measures

Numerator: Number of reported critical incidents requiring review/investigation where the State adhered to the follow-up methods as specified in the approved waiver

Denominator: Number of reported critical incidents

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TBI				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings: AIR (Adverse Incident Reporting) policies still in the development process. Remediation:

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
FE								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
IDD								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
ТВІ								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
TA								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
Autism								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
SED								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								

Health and Welfare

PM 7: Number and percent of restraint applications, seclusion or other restrictive interventions that followed procedures as specified in the approved waiver Numerator: Number of restraint applications, seclusion or other restrictive interventions that followed procedures as specified in the approved waiver Denominator: Number of restraint applications, seclusion or other restrictive interventions

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
ТВІ				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

IR (Adverse In	cident Reportin	ig) policies st	III in the dev	elopment pi	rocess.
mediation:					

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
FE								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
IDD								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
ТВІ								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
TA								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
Autism								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								
SED								
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
United								
Statewide								

Health and Welfare

PM 8: Number and percent of unauthorized uses of restrictive interventions that were appropriately reported Numerator: Number of unauthorized uses of restrictive interventions that were appropriately reported

Denominator: Number of unauthorized uses of restrictive interventions

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source:

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
FE				
Numerator				
Denominator				
IDD				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TBI				
Numerator				
Denominator				
TA				
Numerator				
Denominator				
Autism				
Numerator				
Denominator				
SED				
Numerator				
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:	
AIR (Adverse Incident Reporting) policies still in the development process.	
Remediation:	

Compliance	Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
PD									
Ameri	igroup								
Sunflo	ower								
United	d								
Statev	wide								
FE									
Ameri	igroup								
Sunflo	ower								
United	d								
Statev	wide								
IDD									
Ameri	igroup								
Sunflo	ower								
United	d								
Statev	wide								
TBI									
Ameri									
Sunflo	ower								
United	d								
Statev	wide								
TA									
Ameri	igroup								
Sunflo	ower								
United	d								
Statev	wide								
Autism									
Ameri	igroup								
Sunflo	ower								
United	d								
Statev	wide								
SED									
Ameri	igroup								
Sunflo	ower								
United	d								
Statev	wide								

Health and Welfare

PM 9: Number and percent of waiver participants who received physical exams in accordance with State policies Numerator: Number of HCBS participants who received physical exams in accordance with State policies

United

35%

22

Statewide

45%

Denominator: Number of HCBS participants whose service plans were reviewed

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018 Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver Sunflower Amerigroup PD 52% 45%

Numerator	14	15	8	37
Denominator	31	29	23	83
FE	43%	29%	28%	33%
Numerator	10	9	7	26
Denominator	23	31	25	79
IDD	61%	78%	35%	62%
Numerator	17	32	8	57
Denominator	28	41	23	92
ТВІ	24%	19%	13%	20%
Numerator	4	3	1	8
Denominator	17	16	8	41
TA	78%	93%	78%	82%
Numerator	21	14	7	42
Denominator	27	15	9	51
Autism	100%	75%	0%	50%
Numerator	2	3	0	5
Denominator	2	4	4	10
SED	0.20/	90%	710/	919/

26

28

24

Denominator **Explanation of Findings:**

Numerator

- PD: No exam found in documentation provided for review Missing signature from doctor
- Exam not completed within required timeframe FE: No exam found in documentation provided for review
- TBI: No exam found in documentation provided for review
- TA: No exam found in documentation provided for review
- AU: No exam found in documentation provided for review No meeting, since coming off wait list SED: Missing KBH

R	er	ne	ed.	iat	tic	n

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
20								
PD Amerigroup		78%			20%	43%	47%	45%
Sunflower		81%			34%	19%	52%	52%
United		88%			34%	11%	25%	35%
Statewide	Not a measure	82%	No Data	No Data	29%	25%	42%	45%
FE	Not a measure	0270	NO Data	NO Data	2370	23/0	4270	437
Amerigroup		89%			23%	40%	27%	43%
Sunflower		97%			31%	20%	32%	29%
United		97%			31%	10%	17%	28%
Statewide	Not a measure	95%	No Data	No Data	29%	22%	25%	33%
IDD	Not a measure	95/6	NO Data	NO Data	2370	22/0	25/0	33/
Amerigroup		91%			28%	59%	50%	61%
Sunflower		99%			52%	65%	60%	78%
United		99%			26%	17%	29%	35%
Statewide	Not a measure	97%	No Data	No Data	39%	52%	50%	62%
TBI	Not a measure	3770	NO Data	NO Data	3370	3270	3070	02/1
Amerigroup		84%			21%	27%	33%	24%
Sunflower		94%			32%	36%	17%	19%
United		93%			19%	50%	38%	13%
Statewide	Not a measure	90%	No Data	No Data	23%	33%	30%	20%
TA		77,1				99,5	537.5	
Amerigroup		100%			39%	27%	56%	78%
Sunflower		100%			56%	71%	71%	93%
United		97%			68%	42%	63%	78%
Statewide	Not a measure	100%	No Data	No Data	49%	44%	62%	82%
Autism								
Amerigroup		100%			56%	75%	100%	100%
Sunflower		92%			65%	50%	75%	75%
United		100%			19%	100%	33%	0%
Statewide	Not a measure	98%	No Data	No Data	48%	45%	73%	50%
SED								
Amerigroup		54%			76%	91%	82%	93%
Sunflower		55%			27%	91%	62%	80%
United		46%			47%	63%	66%	71%
Statewide	Not a measure	52%	No Data	No Data	52%	54%	71%	81%

Health and Welfare

PM 10: Number and percent of waiver participants who have a disaster red flag designation with a related disaster backup plan

Numerator: Number of waiver participants who have a disaster red flag designation with a related disaster backup plan

Denominator: Number of waiver participants with a red flag designation

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: MCO Record Review

Compliance By Waiver	Amerigroup	Sunflower	United	Statewide
PD	97%	84%	93%	91%
Numerator	31	26	25	82
Denominator	32	31	27	90
FE	80%	76%	94%	83%
Numerator	20	26	29	75
Denominator	25	34	31	90
IDD	86%	70%	96%	81%
Numerator	24	30	22	76
Denominator	28	43	23	94
ТВІ	94%	71%	90%	84%
Numerator	17	15	9	41
Denominator	18	21	10	49
TA	100%	82%	100%	95%
Numerator	29	14	11	54
Denominator	29	17	11	57
Autism	100%	100%	50%	82%
Numerator	3	4	2	9
Denominator	3	4	4	11
SED				
Numerator	N	ot a waiver perf	ormance measur	e
Denominator				

Explanation of Findings:

PD:	Missing	BUP

BUP does not address specific concerns and/or is unclear

FE: Missing BUP for review period Backup section incomplete or blank

BUP does not cover entire review period

DD: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)

TBI: Missing signature(s) and/or date(s)
BUP does not cover entire review period

TA: BUP does not cover entire review period

BUP encrypted and unable to be reviewed

AU: Missing BUP

Remediation:

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
20								
PD Amerigroup		59%	53%	73%	86%	93%	97%	97%
		77%	49%	66%	79%	81%	90%	84%
Sunflower United		64%	80%	88%	79% 87%	88%	96%	93%
Statewide	Not a massure	67%	58%	75%	84%	88%	95%	93%
FE	Not a measure	0/%	58%	/5%	84%	8870	95%	91%
		61%	62%	72%	84%	88%	96%	80%
Amerigroup Sunflower		72%	56%	72%	77%	94%	82%	76%
United		76%	81%	85%	91%	93%	94%	94%
Statewide	59%	70%	65%	76%	84%	93%	90%	83%
IDD	3970	70%	0376	70%	0470	92/0	90%	03/0
Amerigroup		67%	61%	65%	74%	85%	88%	86%
Sunflower		58%	32%	59%	70%	74%	70%	70%
United		70%	58%	73%	90%	70%	87%	96%
Statewide	Not a measure	64%	47%	64%	76%	76%	79%	81%
TBI	NOT a measure	0470	4770	0470	70%	70%	7570	01/0
Amerigroup		46%	49%	62%	80%	71%	81%	94%
Sunflower		68%	42%	80%	84%	100%	92%	71%
United		56%	74%	80%	79%	78%	100%	90%
Statewide	Not a measure	56%	52%	70%	81%	78%	87%	84%
TA	Not a measure	3070	3270	7070	01/0	7070	3770	0470
Amerigroup		75%	54%	79%	90%	96%	100%	100%
Sunflower		91%	58%	77%	78%	72%	85%	82%
United		86%	63%	79%	95%	75%	89%	100%
Statewide	Not a measure	83%	57%	78%	87%	84%	93%	95%
Autism	Hot a measure	0370	3770	7070	0770	0170	33,0	3370
Amerigroup		77%	44%	32%	88%	100%	100%	100%
Sunflower		53%	27%	67%	80%	50%	80%	100%
United		38%	7%	6%	13%	0%	60%	50%
Statewide	Not a measure	64%	30%	40%	62%	50%	79%	82%
SED	THOSE OF THE COST C	01701	3070	10701	02701	5070[7,5701	OL/
Amerigroup								
Sunflower								
			Not a	waiver perform	ance measur	e		
United								
Statewide								

Financial Accountability

PM 1: Number and percent of clean claims that are paid by the managed care organization within the timeframes specified in the contract

Numerator: Number of clean claims that are paid by the managed care organization within the timeframes specified in the contract

Denominator: Total number of provider claims Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 09/30/2018

Data Source: MCO Claims Data

Compliance By Waiver	Statewide
PD	95%
Numerator	74,072
Denominator	78,319
FE	94%
Numerator	42,691
Denominator	45,580
IDD	97%
Numerator	148,492
Denominator	153,286
ТВІ	85%
Numerator	8,002
Denominator	9,463
TA	92%
Numerator	7,905
Denominator	8,606
Autism	78%
Numerator	7
Denominator	9
SED	80%
Numerator	18,420
Denominator	22,884
All HCBS Waivers	94%
Numerator	299,589
Denominator	318,147

Explanation of Findings:

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Jan-Mar 2018	Apr-Jun 2018	Jul-Sep 2018
•							·	•
PD								
Statewide	not a measure	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	98%	96%	95%
FE								
Statewide	not a measure	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	96%	95%	94%
IDD								
Statewide	not a measure	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	96%	97%	97%
TBI								
Statewide	not a measure	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	91%	93%	85%
TA								
Statewide	not a measure	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	89%	90%	92%
Autism								
Statewide	not a measure	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	85%	75%	78%
SED								
Statewide	not a measure	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	85%	85%	80%
All HCBS Waivers								
Statewide	not a measure	90%	88%	95%	95%	95%	95%	94%

MCO self-reported data.

Remediation:			

Financial Accountability

PM 2: Number and percent of payment rates that were certified to be actuarially sound by the State's actuary and approved by CMS

Numerator: Number of payment rates that were certified to be actuarially sound by the State's actuary and approved by CMS

Denominator: Total number of capitation (payment) rates

Review Period: 07/01/2018 - 9/30/2018

Data Source: KDHE

Compliance By Waiver	Statewide
DD.	4000/
PD	100%
Numerator	24
Denominator	24
FE	100%
Numerator	24
Denominator	24
IDD	100%
Numerator	48
Denominator	48
ТВІ	100%
Numerator	12
Denominator	12
TA	100%
Numerator	12
Denominator	12
Autism	100%
Numerator	12
Denominator	12
SED	100%
Numerator	12
Denominator	12

Compliance Trends	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
PD						
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
FE						
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IDD						
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
ТВІ						
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
TA						
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Autism						
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SED						
Statewide	not a measure	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Explanation of Findings:

Performance measure achieved.
Terrormande meddare domered.

Remediation:

Remediation.
No remediation necessary.

MEG							
	MEMBER MONTHS	PMPM LIMIT	EXPENDITURE LIMIT	ACTU	IAL EXPENDITURES	(OVER)/UNDER LIMIT	% of Limit
ABD/SD DUAL	220,772			\$	63,699,980	\$ (21,128,515)	150%
ABD/SD NON DUAL ADULTS	350,315 396,515	\$ 1,072.10 \$ 631.01		\$ \$	360,407,669 233,604,819	\$ 15,186,062 \$ 16,615,971	96% 93%
CHILDREN	2,553,620	\$ 218.4		\$	510,878,189	\$ 47,011,172	92%
DD WAIVER	103,493	\$ 3,873.00	0 400,828,389	\$	383,508,707	\$ 17,319,682	96%
TC	263,398			\$	812,869,815	\$ 106,023,082	88%
AN DUAL AN NON DUAL	16,423 15,432			\$ \$	18,812,725 21,926,311	\$ 3,852,657 \$ 5,633,080	83% 80%
VAIVER	52,877	\$ 2,590.9		\$	150,672,912	\$ (13,671,249)	110%
OTAL	3,972,845		2,733,223,070	\$	2,556,381,128	\$ 176,841,942	94%
Y2							
ΛEG NBD/SD DUAL	MEMBER MONTHS 223,789	PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.83	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 43,153,233	ACTU \$	IAL EXPENDITURES 46,371,112	(OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (3,217,879)	% of Limit 107%
BD/SD NON DUAL	351,391	\$ 1,092.7		\$	405,255,036	\$ (21,272,521)	106%
DULTS	479,386	\$ 661.8		\$	288,602,462	\$ 28,659,987	91%
HILDREN	2,713,893	\$ 224.30		\$	588,628,082	\$ 20,098,118	97%
D WAIVER FC	104,552 257,608	\$ 3,915.99 \$ 3,640.34		\$ \$	463,559,801 903,382,033	\$ (54,135,215) \$ 34,398,674	113% 96%
IN DUAL	17,099	\$ 1,440.1		\$	15,993,973	\$ 8,630,639	65%
IN NON DUAL	13,675	\$ 1,863.5		\$	24,957,923	\$ 525,849	98%
VAIVER OTAL	48,206 4,209,599	\$ 2,703.6	3 130,331,188 2,880,769,262	\$ \$	134,408,366 2,871,158,787	\$ (4,077,178) \$ 9,610,475	103% 100%
UTAL	4,209,399		2,880,769,262	ş	2,0/1,130,/6/	\$ 9,610,475	100%
Y3							1
1EG	MEMBER MONTHS	PMPM LIMIT	EXPENDITURE LIMIT	ACTU	IAL EXPENDITURES	(OVER)/UNDER LIMIT	% of Limit
BD/SD DUAL	208,015	\$ 192.83	3 40,111,532	\$	38,302,145	\$ 1,809,388	95%
BD/SD NON DUAL	343,652	\$ 1,113.7		\$	402,541,259	\$ (19,805,717)	105%
DULTS HILDREN	535,005 2,716,884	\$ 694.0° \$ 230.29		\$ \$	305,971,145 625,400,649	\$ 65,359,776 \$ 270,567	82% 100%
D WAIVER	105,107	\$ 3,959.4		\$	483,273,951	\$ (67,106,988)	116%
rc	252,686	\$ 3,798.6	6 959,868,201	\$	972,544,387	\$ (12,676,186)	101%
1N DUAL 1N NON DUAL	16,218	\$ 1,502.75		\$ \$	10,451,647	\$ 13,919,953 \$ 6,987,098	43% 73%
IN NON DUAL VAIVER	13,504 46,519	\$ 1,944.58 \$ 2,821.23		\$	19,272,511 146,139,082	\$ 6,987,098 \$ (14,898,749)	73% 111%
OTAL	4,237,590		2,977,755,915	\$	3,003,896,775	\$ (26,140,860)	101%
Y4							
1EG	MEMBER MONTHS	PMPM LIMIT	EXPENDITURE LIMIT		IAL EXPENDITURES	(OVER)/UNDER LIMIT	% of Limit
BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL	187,596 339,288	\$ 192.8 \$ 1,135.1		\$ \$	46,690,437 430,630,420	\$ (10,516,300) \$ (45,501,219)	129% 112%
DULTS	·	\$ 727.90		\$	327,816,606	\$ 124,828,737	72%
HILDREN	2,826,150	\$ 236.4	4 668,214,906	\$	667,530,736	\$ 684,170	100%
D WAIVER	106,609	\$ 4,003.4		\$ \$	492,221,345	\$ (65,421,809)	115%
ΓC IN DUAL	247,109 15,696	\$ 3,963.8° \$ 1,568.1°		\$	967,103,151 10,382,456	\$ 12,404,801 \$ 14,230,598	99% 42%
IN NON DUAL	14,635	\$ 2,029.1		\$	26,061,494	\$ 3,635,117	88%
VAIVER	52,179	\$ 2,943.93		\$	150,529,645	\$ 3,081,157	98%
OTAL	4,411,113		3,156,391,542	\$	3,118,966,289	\$ 37,425,253	99%
DY5							1
MEG	MEMBER MONTHS	PMPM LIMIT	EXPENDITURE LIMIT	ACTU	IAL EXPENDITURES	(OVER)/UNDER LIMIT	% of Limit
BD/SD DUAL	182,408	\$ 192.8		\$	44,487,702	\$ (9,313,967)	126%
BD/SD NON DUAL	343,313			\$	407,787,552	\$ (10,608,743)	103%
DULTS HILDREN	620,905 2,610,022			\$ \$	320,066,438	\$ 153,920,021 \$ 33,173,476	68% 95%
D WAIVER	107,506			\$	600,409,365 513,829,581	\$ (78,661,419)	
rc	243,866			\$	1,002,047,901	\$ 6,645,280	99%
				4	0.005.400		
IN DUAL	15,604			\$	9,895,183	\$ 15,637,798	39%
IN NON DUAL	15,604 13,838	\$ 2,117.40	0 29,300,581	\$	26,313,874	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707	90%
IN NON DUAL /AIVER	15,604		0 29,300,581			\$ 15,637,798	
IN NON DUAL /AIVER DTAL	15,604 13,838 53,409	\$ 2,117.40	0 29,300,581 6 164,070,312	\$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,953	90% 93%
IN NON DUAL VAIVER DTAL	15,604 13,838 53,409	\$ 2,117.40	0 29,300,581 6 164,070,312	\$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,953	90% 93%
IN NON DUAL VAIVER DTAL YG	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS	\$ 2,117.40 \$ 3,071.90 PMPM LIMIT	0 29,300,581 6 164,070,312 3,202,687,061 EXPENDITURE LIMIT	\$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,953 \$ 125,655,106	90% 93% 96%
IN NON DUAL IAIVER DTAL YG BEG BD/SD DUAL	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628	\$ 2,117.40 \$ 3,071.90 PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.83	0 29,300,581 6 164,070,312 3,202,687,061 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 34,637,667	\$ \$ \$ ACTU	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 VAL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,953 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142%
N NON DUAL AIVER OTAL 16 EG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558	\$ 2,117.4(\$ 3,071.9(PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.8(\$ 1,179.1)	0 29,300,581 6 164,070,312 3,202,687,061 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 34,637,667 1 419,241,385	\$ \$ \$ ACTU \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 VAL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570)	90% 93% 96%
IN NON DUAL YAIVER 76 JEG BD/SD DUAL BBJ/SD NON DUAL DUITS	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628	\$ 2,117.40 \$ 3,071.90 PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.80 \$ 1,179.10 \$ 800.50	29,300,581 6 164,070,312 3,202,687,061 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 34,637,667 1 419,241,385 9 481,182,250	\$ \$ \$ ACTU	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 VAL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,953 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109%
IN NON DUAL IAIVER DTAL Y6 EG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DUITS HILDREN D WAIVER	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175	\$ 2,117.40 \$ 3,071.90 PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.80 \$ 1,179.11 \$ 800.55 \$ 2,249.21	0 29,300,581 6 164,070,312 3,202,687,061 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 34,637,667 1 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618	\$ \$ \$ ACTU \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 IAL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570) \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035, \$ (95,973,935)	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121%
IN NON DUAL IAIVER DTAL Y6 JEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DUITS HILDREN D WAIVER	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534	\$ 2,117.40 \$ 3,071.90 PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.80 \$ 1,179.10 \$ 800.50 \$ 249.27 \$ 4,092.70 \$ 4,316.10	0 29,300,581 6 164,070,312 3,202,687,061 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 34,637,667 1 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618 5 1,042,496,321	\$ \$ ACTU \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 VAL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570 \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035 \$ (95,973,935) \$ 15,815,423	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98%
N NON DUAL AINER DTAL 76 EG 8D/SD DUAL 8D/SD NON DUAL DUITS HILDREN D WAINER C N DUAL	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175	\$ 2,117.40 \$ 3,071.90 PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.80 \$ 1,179.10 \$ 800.50 \$ 249.20 \$ 4,092.71 \$ 4,316.10 \$ 1,707.40	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 3,022,687,061 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 44,637,667 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618 5 1,042,496,321 8 26,284,888	\$ \$ \$ ACTU \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 IAL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,953 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570, \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035, \$ (95,973,935, \$ 15,815,423,	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121%
N NON DUAL AIVER DTAL 76 EG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL JUITS HILDREN D WAIVER C N DUAL N NON DUAL	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 15,394	\$ 2,117.40 \$ 3,071.90 PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.80 \$ 1,179.10 \$ 800.50 \$ 249.20 \$ 4,092.71 \$ 4,316.10 \$ 1,707.40	29,300,581 6 164,070,312 3,202,687,061 2,202,687,061 2,202,687,061 3 34,637,667 1 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618 5 1,042,496,321 8 26,284,888 9 25,543,892 7 172,937,234	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 VAL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570) \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035) \$ (95,973,933) \$ 15,815,423 \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,989,820) \$ 1,115,016	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99%
IN NON DUAL IN YOR DTAL Y6 IEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DUITS HILDREN D WAIVER TC IN DUAL IN NON DUAL	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 15,394 11,561	\$ 2,117.4(\$ 3,071.9(\$)	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 34,637,667 1 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618 5 1,042,496,321 8 26,284,888 9 25,543,892	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 AL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 28,533,712	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,953 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570 \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035) \$ (95,973,935) \$ (15,815,423 \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,989,820)	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112%
IN NON DUAL (AIVER 276 IEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DUITS HILDREN D WANVER ICC IN DUAL IN NON DUAL IN NON DUAL AIVER DTAL	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 15,394	\$ 2,117.4(\$ 3,071.9(\$)	29,300,581 6 164,070,312 3,202,687,061 2,202,687,061 2,202,687,061 3 34,637,667 1 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618 5 1,042,496,321 8 26,284,888 9 25,543,892 7 172,937,234	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 VAL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570) \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035) \$ (95,973,933) \$ 15,815,423 \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,989,820) \$ 1,115,016	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99%
IN NON DUAL VAIVER OTAL Y6 BEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DULTS HILDREN D WAIVER TC IN DUAL IN NON DUAL VAIVER OTAL ET SAVINGS DY2-DY6 *	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 15,394 11,561 53,949 4,144,489	\$ 2,117.4(\$ 3,071.9(\$)	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 3,202,687,061 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 3,4,637,667 1 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618 5 1,042,496,321 8 26,284,888 9 25,543,892 7 172,937,234 3,291,328,853	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 VAL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218 3,390,375,194	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,953 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570, \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035, \$ (95,973,935, \$ 11,399,200, \$ (2,989,820,500,500,500,500,500,500,500,500,500,5	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99%
IN NON DUAL VAIVER Y6 IEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DUITS HILDREN D WAIVER TC IN DUAL IN NON DUAL VAIVER OTAL	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 15,394 11,561 53,949 4,144,489	\$ 2,117.4(\$ 3,071.9(\$)	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 3,202,687,061 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 3,4,637,667 1 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618 5 1,042,496,321 8 26,284,888 9 25,543,892 7 172,937,234 3,291,328,853	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 VAL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218 3,390,375,194	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,953 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570, \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035, \$ (95,973,935, \$ 11,399,200, \$ (2,989,820,500,500,500,500,500,500,500,500,500,5	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99%
IN NON DUAL IAIVER DTAL YG BEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DULTS HILDREN D WAIVER C C IN DUAL IN NON DUAL IN NON DUAL STAL ET SAVINGS DY2-DY6 ** Y7	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 15,394 11,561 53,949 4,144,489	\$ 2,117.4(\$ 3,071.9(\$)	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 34,637,667 1 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618 5 1,042,496,321 8 26,284,888 9 25,543,892 7 172,937,234 3,291,328,853 EXPENDITURE LIMIT	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 AL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218 3,390,375,194	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768, \$ (38,301,570) \$ (90,870,148) \$ (66,367,035) \$ (95,973,933) \$ 15,815,423 \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,989,820) \$ (2,989,820) \$ (99,046,341) \$ 47,503,634	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99% 103%
IN NON DUAL VAIVER 76 JEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DULTS HILDREN D DUAL N NON DUAL IN NON DUAL IN NON DUAL STAYLER DTAL ET SAVINGS DY2-DY6 * Y7 JEG BD/SD DUAL	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 15,394 11,561 53,949 4,144,489 21,193,662 MEMBER MONTHS 28,667	\$ 2,117.44 \$ 3,071.94 PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.8: \$ 1,179.1: \$ 800.5: \$ 4,092.7: \$ 4,316.1! \$ 1,707.4! \$ 2,209.4! \$ 3,205.5:	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 AL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218 3,390,375,194	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570) \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035) \$ (95,973,935) \$ 15,815,423 \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,989,820) \$ 1,115,016 \$ (99,046,341) \$ (99,046,341) \$ (0VER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ 62,235,534	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99% 103%
IN NON DUAL IAIVER 276 EG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DUITS HILDREN D WAIVER TC IN DUAL IN NON DUAL VAIVER DTAL ET SAVINGS DY2-DY6 * Y7 EG BBD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL BBD/SD NON DUAL BBD/SD NON DUAL BBD/SD NON DUAL	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 15,394 11,561 53,949 4,144,489 21,193,662 MEMBER MONTHS 28,667 58,521	\$ 2,117.4(\$ 3,071.9(PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.8(\$ 1,179.1(\$ 800.5(\$ 249.2(\$ 4,032.7(\$ 4,316.1(\$ 1,707.4(\$ 2,209.4(\$ 3,205.5(PMPM LIMIT \$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 3,202,687,061 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 3,46,37,667 1 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618 5 10,42,496,321 8 26,284,888 9 25,543,892 7 172,937,234 3,291,328,853 15,508,932,634 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 8 70,480,113 8 143,878,560	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 VAL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218 3,390,375,194	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,953 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570) \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035) \$ (95,973,935) \$ (15,915,423) \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,989,820) \$ (1,115,016) \$ (99,046,341) \$ 47,503,634	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99% 103% 100%
IN NON DUAL IAIVER DTAL Y6 EG BD/SD DUAL BB/SD NON DUAL DULTS HILDREN D WAIVER C IN DUAL IN NON DUAL IN NON DUAL AIVER DTAL ET SAVINGS DY2-DY6 * Y7 EG BB/SD DUAL BB/SD NON DUAL DULTS	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 15,394 11,561 53,949 4,144,489 21,193,662 MEMBER MONTHS 28,667 58,521 92,190	\$ 2,117.4(\$ 3,071.9(PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.8(\$ 1,179.1(\$ 800.5(\$ 249.2()(\$ 4,316.1()(\$ 1,707.4()(\$ 2,209.4()(\$ 3,205.5()(PMPM LIMIT \$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5()(\$ 341.2()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 34,637,667 1 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618 5 1,042,496,321 8 26,284,888 9 25,543,892 7 172,937,234 3,291,328,853 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 8 70,480,113 8 70,480,113 8 143,878,560 3 31,457,994	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 AL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218 3,390,375,194	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768, \$ (38,301,570) \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035, \$ (95,973,935) \$ 15,815,423 \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,989,820,50) \$ (2,998,820,50) \$ (99,046,341,50) \$ (99,046,341,50) \$ (0VER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ 62,235,534,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530,634,530	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99% 103%
IN NON DUAL YAIVER YAIVER YAIVER YAIVER JAIVER J	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 15,394 11,561 53,949 4,144,489 21,193,662 MEMBER MONTHS 28,667 58,521	\$ 2,117.4(\$ 3,071.9(PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.8; \$ 1,179.1; \$ 800.5(\$ 249.2(\$ 4,092.7(\$ 4,316.1(\$ 1,707.4(\$ 2,209.4(\$ 3,205.5(PMPM LIMIT \$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 34	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 AL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218 3,390,375,194 15,461,429,000	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768, \$ (38,301,570) \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035) \$ (95,973,935) \$ 15,815,423 \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,989,820) \$ (19,998,820) \$ (99,046,341) \$ 47,503,634	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99% 103% 100%
IN NON DUAL IAIVER 276 IEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DULTS HILDREN D WAIVER FC	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 15,394 4,144,489 21,193,662 MEMBER MONTHS 28,667 58,521 92,190 404,286 18,060 39,819	\$ 2,117.4(\$ 3,071.9(PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.8(\$ 1,179.1(\$ 800.5(\$ 4,032.7(\$ 4,316.1(\$ 1,707.4(\$ 2,209.4(\$ 3,205.5(PMPM LIMIT \$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,45	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 34,637,667 1 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618 5 1,042,496,321 8 26,284,888 9 25,543,892 7 172,937,234 3,291,328,853 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 8 70,480,113 8 143,878,560 3 31,457,994 3 137,954,512 8 44,401,955 8 97,898,197	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 AL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218 3,390,375,194 15,461,429,000 AL EXPENDITURES 8,244,579 80,155,472 51,188,683 122,327,531 122,327,531 185,730,964	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768) \$ (38,301,570) \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035) \$ (95,973,935) \$ 15,815,423 \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,998,820) \$ 1,115,016 \$ (99,046,341) \$ 47,503,634 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ 62,235,534 \$ 63,723,088 \$ (19,730,689) \$ (19,730,689) \$ (19,730,689) \$ (19,730,689) \$ (48,152,076) \$ (87,832,767)	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99% 103% 100% % of Limit 12% 56% 163% 89% 208% 190%
IN NON DUAL YAIVER OTAL Y6 REG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DULTS HILDREN D WAIVER TC IN DUAL IN NON DUAL AIVER OTAL ET SAVINGS DY2-DY6 * Y7 IEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DULTS HILDREN DUAL HILDREN D WAIVER TC TC TC TC TI TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 11,561 53,949 4,144,489 21,193,662 MEMBER MONTHS 28,667 58,521 92,190 404,286 18,060 39,819 2,311	\$ 2,117.4(\$ 3,071.9(PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.8; \$ 1,179.1; \$ 800.5; \$ 249.2; \$ 4,092.7(\$ 4,316.1) \$ 1,707.4(\$ 2,209.4(\$ 3,205.5; PMPM LIMIT \$ 2,458.5(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3, 3,202,687,061 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 34,637,667 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618 5 1,042,496,321 8 26,284,888 9 25,543,892 7 172,937,234 3,291,328,853 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 8 70,480,113 8 143,878,560 3 14,457,994 3 137,954,512 8 44,401,955 8 97,898,197 8 97,898,197	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 AL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218 3,390,375,194 15,461,429,000 AL EXPENDITURES 8,244,579 80,155,472 51,188,683 122,327,531 92,554,031 185,730,964 2,482,929	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570) \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035) \$ (95,973,933) \$ 15,815,423 \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,989,820) \$ (1,115,016) \$ (99,046,341) \$ 47,503,634	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99% 103% 100% % of Limit 12% 56% 163% 89% 208% 190% 44%
IN NON DUAL IAIVER 276 IEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DULTS HILDREN D WAIVER FC	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 15,394 4,144,489 21,193,662 MEMBER MONTHS 28,667 58,521 92,190 404,286 18,060 39,819	\$ 2,117.4(\$ 3,071.9(PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.8; \$ 1,179.1; \$ 800.5; \$ 249.2; \$ 4,092.7(\$ 4,316.1) \$ 1,707.4(\$ 2,209.4(\$ 3,205.5; PMPM LIMIT \$ 2,458.5(\$ 341.2(\$ 341.2(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.5(\$ 2,458.	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 AL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218 3,390,375,194 15,461,429,000 AL EXPENDITURES 8,244,579 80,155,472 51,188,683 122,327,531 122,327,531 185,730,964	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768) \$ (38,301,570) \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035) \$ (95,973,935) \$ 15,815,423 \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,998,820) \$ 1,115,016 \$ (99,046,341) \$ 47,503,634 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ 62,235,534 \$ 63,723,088 \$ (19,730,689) \$ (19,730,689) \$ (19,730,689) \$ (19,730,689) \$ (48,152,076) \$ (87,832,767)	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99% 103% 100% % of Limit 12% 56% 163% 89% 208% 190%
IN NON DUAL VAIVER VY6 JEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DULTS HILDREN D WAIVER TC IN DUAL IN NON DUAL AVIVER DYAIVER STET SAVINGS DY2-DY6 * Y7 JEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD DUAL BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DULTS HILDREN D WAIVER TC IN DUAL TO THE TO T	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 11,561 53,949 4,144,489 21,193,662 MEMBER MONTHS 28,667 58,521 92,190 404,286 18,060 39,819 2,311 1,812	\$ 2,117.44 \$ 3,071.94 PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.8: \$ 1,179.1: \$ 800.5: \$ 4,932.7: \$ 4,316.1: \$ 1,707.4: \$ 2,209.4: \$ 2,209.4: \$ 3,205.5: PMPM LIMIT \$ 2,458.5: \$ 2,458.5: \$ 341.2: \$ 341.2: \$ 3,41.2: \$ 2,458.5: \$ 2,458.5: \$ 2,458.5:	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 AL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,52 1,026,680,598 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218 3,390,375,194 15,461,429,000 AL EXPENDITURES 8,244,579 80,155,472 51,188,683 122,327,531 92,554,031 185,730,964 2,482,929 4,011,561	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,953 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570) \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035) \$ (95,973,935) \$ 15,815,423 \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,989,820) \$ 1,115,016 \$ (99,046,341) \$ 47,503,634	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99% 103% 100% % of Limit 12% 56% 163% 89% 208% 190% 44% 90% 138%
IN NON DUAL YAIVER TOTAL YE BEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DUITS HILDREN D WAIVER TC IN DUAL IN NON DUAL AIVER DTAL EET SAVINGS DY2-DY6 * Y7 EEG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DUITS HILDREN D WAIVER TC TOTAL ET SAVINGS DY2-DY6 * TOTAL	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 11,561 53,949 4,144,489 21,193,662 MEMBER MONTHS 28,667 58,521 92,190 404,286 18,060 39,819 2,311 1,812 8,542 654,208	\$ 2,117.44 \$ 3,071.94 PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.8: \$ 1,179.1: \$ 800.5: \$ 4,932.7: \$ 4,316.1: \$ 1,707.4: \$ 2,209.4: \$ 2,209.4: \$ 3,205.5: PMPM LIMIT \$ 2,458.5: \$ 2,458.5: \$ 341.2: \$ 341.2: \$ 3,41.2: \$ 2,458.5: \$ 2,458.5: \$ 2,458.5:	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 AL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218 3,390,375,194 15,461,429,000 AL EXPENDITURES 8,244,579 80,155,472 51,188,683 122,327,531 92,554,031 185,730,964 2,482,929 4,011,561 28,999,087 575,694,836	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768 \$ (38,301,570) \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035) \$ (95,973,935) \$ 15,815,423 \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,989,820) \$ (1,115,016) \$ (99,046,341) \$ 47,503,634 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ 62,235,534 \$ 63,723,088 \$ (19,730,688) \$ 15,626,981 \$ (48,152,076) \$ (87,832,767) \$ (87,832,767) \$ 443,386 \$ (7,997,897) \$ (18,485,590)	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99% 103% 100% % of Limit 12% 56% 163% 89% 208% 190% 44% 90% 138%
N NON DUAL AIVER DTAL 76 EG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DUITS HILDREN D WAIVER CC N DUAL N NON DUAL AIVER DTAL ET SAVINGS DY2-DY6 * 77 EG BD/SD DUAL BD/SD NON DUAL DUITS HILDREN DUAL BD/SD NON DUA	15,604 13,838 53,409 4,190,871 MEMBER MONTHS 179,628 355,558 601,035 2,576,655 109,175 241,534 15,394 11,561 53,949 4,144,489 21,193,662 MEMBER MONTHS 28,667 58,521 92,190 404,286 18,060 39,819 2,311 1,812 8,542	\$ 2,117.44 \$ 3,071.94 PMPM LIMIT \$ 192.8: \$ 1,179.1: \$ 800.5: \$ 4,932.7: \$ 4,316.1: \$ 1,707.4: \$ 2,209.4: \$ 2,209.4: \$ 3,205.5: PMPM LIMIT \$ 2,458.5: \$ 2,458.5: \$ 341.2: \$ 341.2: \$ 3,41.2: \$ 2,458.5: \$ 2,458.5: \$ 2,458.5:	EXPENDITURE LIMIT 3 3,4637,667 1 419,241,385 9 481,182,250 3 642,175,599 8 446,829,618 5 1,042,496,321 8 26,284,888 9 25,543,892 7 172,937,234 3,291,328,853 EXPENDITURE LIMIT 8 70,480,113 8 143,878,560 3 31,457,994 3 137,954,512 8 44,401,955 8 97,898,197 8 5,681,778 8 15,681,778 8 4,454,947 8 21,001,190	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,313,874 152,194,358 3,077,031,955 AL EXPENDITURES 49,251,435 457,542,955 390,312,102 708,542,634 542,803,552 1,026,680,898 14,885,687 28,533,712 171,822,218 3,390,375,194 15,461,429,000 AL EXPENDITURES 8,244,579 80,155,472 51,188,683 122,327,531 92,554,031 185,730,964 2,482,929 4,011,561 28,999,087	\$ 15,637,798 \$ 2,986,707 \$ 11,875,933 \$ 125,655,106 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ (14,613,768, \$ (38,301,570) \$ 90,870,148 \$ (66,367,035, \$ (95,973,935) \$ 15,815,423 \$ 11,399,200 \$ (2,989,820) \$ 1,115,016 \$ (99,046,341) \$ 47,503,634 (OVER)/UNDER LIMIT \$ 62,235,534 \$ 63,723,088 \$ (19,730,689, \$ 15,626,981 \$ (19,730,689, \$ 148,152,076, \$ (87,832,767, \$ 3,198,850, \$ (19,988,850,681,832,767,5) \$ 3,198,850,500,500,500,500,500,500,500,500,50	90% 93% 96% % of Limit 142% 109% 81% 110% 121% 98% 57% 112% 99% 103% 100% % of Limit 12% 56% 163% 89% 208% 190% 44% 90% 138%

Member months are reported net of adjustments, sorted by capitation month (benefit month). The data is from the capitation files, including data through the capitation file paid in Mar 2019. Expenditures are pulled from Schedule C, through QE 3 31 2019. See SCH C ADJUSTED tab for details and adjustments.

Above does not include MCHIP.

^{*} Per 1115 waiver STC 92, the budget neutrality test for the demonstration extension may incorporate net savings from the immediatetly prior demonstration period consisting of DY2 through DY6, but not from any earlier approval period.

THRU MAR 19 TXN FILE SUMMARY

DY MEG	Jan-19	Feb-19	Mar-19	Total QE 3 31 2019	Sum of mmcount
DY1 CY2013	-	-	-	-	3,972,845
MEG 1 - ABD/SD DUAL				-	220,772
MEG 2 - ABD/SD NON DUAL				-	350,315
MEG 3 - ADULTS				-	396,515
MEG 4 - CHILDREN				-	2,553,620
MEG 5 - DD WAIVER				-	103,493
MEG 6 - LTC MEG 7 - MN DUAL				-	263,398 16,423
MEG 8 - MN NON DUAL				_	15,432
MEG 9 - WAIVER				-	52,877
DY2 CY2014	-	-	-	_	4,209,599
MEG 1 - ABD/SD DUAL				-	223,789
MEG 2 - ABD/SD NON DUAL				-	351,391
MEG 3 - ADULTS				-	479,386
MEG 4 - CHILDREN				-	2,713,893
MEG 5 - DD WAIVER				-	104,552
MEG 6 - LTC				-	257,608
MEG 7 - MN DUAL				-	17,099
MEG 8 - MN NON DUAL				-	13,675
MEG 9 - WAIVER DY3 CY2015	(20)		(06)	(124)	48,206
MEG 1 - ABD/SD DUAL	(28)	-	(96) (3)	(124) (3)	4,237,590 208,015
MEG 2 - ABD/SD NON DUAL	(17)		(7)	(24)	343,652
MEG 3 - ADULTS	(3)		(2)	(5)	535,005
MEG 4 - CHILDREN	(8)		(82)	(90)	2,716,884
MEG 5 - DD WAIVER	(0)		(02)	-	105,107
MEG 6 - LTC			(2)	(2)	252,686
MEG 7 - MN DUAL				-	16,218
MEG 8 - MN NON DUAL				-	13,504
MEG 9 - WAIVER					46,519
DY4 CY2016	(124)	(28)	(179)	(331)	4,411,113
MEG 1 - ABD/SD DUAL	(1)	(3)	(1)	(5)	187,596
MEG 2 - ABD/SD NON DUAL	(86)	(23)	(38)	(147)	339,288
MEG 3 - ADULTS	(1)	(2)	(11)	(14)	621,851
MEG 4 - CHILDREN	(35)		(109)	(144)	2,826,150
MEG 5 - DD WAIVER MEG 6 - LTC			(12)	- (12)	106,609
MEG 7 - MN DUAL			(13)	(13)	247,109 15,696
MEG 8 - MN NON DUAL	(1)		(7)	(8)	14,635
MEG 9 - WAIVER	(-/		(- /	-	52,179
DY5 CY2017	(336)	(72)	(309)	(717)	4,190,871
MEG 1 - ABD/SD DUAL	73	54	39	166	182,408
MEG 2 - ABD/SD NON DUAL	(290)	(145)	(174)	(609)	343,313
MEG 3 - ADULTS	(19)	(22)	(23)	(64)	620,905
MEG 4 - CHILDREN	(41)	43	(115)	(113)	2,610,022
MEG 5 - DD WAIVER	-	5	(6)	(1)	107,506
MEG 6 - LTC	(23)	(3)	(7)	(33)	243,866
MEG 7 - MN DUAL	(16)	2	18	4	15,604
MEG 8 - MN NON DUAL	(20)	(6)	(34)	(54)	13,838
MEG 9 - WAIVER DY6 CY2018	340,042	(6) 9,152	(7) 4,370	(13) 353,564	53,409 4,144,489
MEG 1 - ABD/SD DUAL	14,972	775	678	16,425	179,628
MEG 2 - ABD/SD NON DUAL	29,576	392	212	30,180	355,558
MEG 3 - ADULTS	48,777	1,852	804	51,433	601,035
MEG 4 - CHILDREN	210,760	5,657	2,418	218,835	2,576,655
MEG 5 - DD WAIVER	9,135	43	(17)	9,161	109,175
MEG 6 - LTC	20,252	108	128	20,488	241,534
MEG 7 - MN DUAL	1,179	132	110	1,421	15,394
MEG 8 - MN NON DUAL	922	37	26	985	11,561
MEG 9 - WAIVER	4,469	156	11	4,636	53,949
DY7 CY2019	-	327,415	326,793	654,208	654,208
MEG 1 - ABD/SD DUAL		14,260	14,407	28,667	28,667
MEG 2 - ABD/SD NON DUAL		29,257 46,170	29,264	58,521	58,521
MEG 3 - ADULTS MEG 4 - CHILDREN		46,179 202,518	46,011 201,768	92,190 404,286	92,190 404,286
MEG 5 - DD WAIVER		9,020	9,040	18,060	18,060
MEG 6 - LTC		19,914	19,905	39,819	39,819
MEG 7 - MN DUAL		1,118	1,193	2,311	2,311
MEG 8 - MN NON DUAL		893	919	1,812	1,812
MEG 9 - WAIVER		4,256	4,286	8,542	8,542
Grand Total	339,554	336,467	330,579	1,006,600	25,820,715



Quarterly ReportJan. 1 – Mar. 31, 2019

Data downloaded on 4/17/19

Kerrie J. Bacon KanCare Ombudsman Qtr. 1, 2019 (based on calendar year)



I. Table of Contents

C	_		4		4-
(.i	വ	n:	ΓP	n	TS

l.	C	Quarterly Report Jan. 1 – Mar. 31, 2019	0
I.	Т	able of Contents	1
II.	Н	lighlights/Dashboard	3
A	٩.	Contact Information – page 4	3
E	3.	Volunteer office coverage – page 5	3
(Э.	Outreach and Education – pages 5-7	3
	Э.	Enhancements to program – pages 19	3
III.		Accessibility by Ombudsman's Office	4
A	٩.	Initial Contacts	4
E	3.	Additional Contacts	4
(Э.	Accessibility through the KanCare Ombudsman Volunteer Program	5
IV.		Outreach by Ombudsman's office	5
A	٩.	Outreach through Collaboration and Education	5
E	3.	Outreach through Publications	6
(Э.	Outreach through Collaboration and Training	7
V.	D	ata by Ombudsman's Office	8
A	٩.	Data by Region	8
	1	. Initial Contacts to KanCare Ombudsman Office by Region	8
	2	. KanCare/Medicaid Members by Region	9
	3	. Population Density by KanCare Ombudsman Region	9
E	3.	Data by Issue Category	. 10
(Э.	Data by Office Location	. 12
	Ο.	Data by Contact Method	. 12
E	Ξ.	Data by Caller Type	. 13
F	₹.	Data by Program Type	. 13
VI.		Action Taken	. 14
A	٩.	Responding to Issues	. 14
	1	. Ombudsman Office response to members/applicants	. 14
	2	. Organizational response to Ombudsman requests	. 15
E	3.	Resolving requests	. 16
	1	. Action Taken by KanCare Ombudsman Office to resolve requests	. 16



2	2. Additional Help provided by KanCare Ombudsman Office	. 16
3	B. Referred Beneficiary to an Organization for Assistance/Follow-up	. 17
4	4. Staff request Assistance from Organization on behalf of beneficiary	. 18
5	5. Ombudsman Office Resolution of Issues	. 19
VII.	Enhancements or New Activities	. 19
A.	Frequently Asked Questions	. 19
VIII.	Appendix A – Information by Managed Care Organization	. 20
A.	Aetna-Issue Category	. 20
B.	Aetna–Waiver Information	. 21
C.	Sunflower–Issue Category	. 22
D.	Sunflower-Waiver Information	. 23
E.	UnitedHealthcare-Issue Category	. 24
F.	UnitedHealthcare-Waiver Information	. 25



II. Highlights/Dashboard

A. Contact Information – page 4

In the last seven quarters, the initial contacts with the KanCare Ombudsman Office has averaged around 1,000 with two quarters higher than average.

Initial Contacts	Qtr. 1	Qtr. 2	Qtr. 3	Qtr. 4
2017	825	835	970	1,040
2018	1,214	1,059	1,088	1,124
2019	1,060			

B. Volunteer office coverage – page 5

The Olathe Satellite office now has volunteer coverage Monday through Thursday, 9am – 4pm and 3.5 hours on Friday. They have four new volunteers. The Wichita office has interviews with three potential volunteers in the next few weeks.

C. Outreach and Education – pages 5-7

- Outreach flyer posted in 17 libraries in northwest Kansas
- Mailed an introductory letter and KanCare Ombudsman brochures (English and Spanish) to 130 Community Based Organizations
- All DCF offices throughout Kansas display our outreach post on their lobby televisions, for those consumers in the waiting rooms.

D. Enhancements to program – pages 19

The KanCare Ombudsman Office has made available on the web pages and in a Fact Sheet, <u>frequently asked questions</u> regarding application assistance and other general KanCare concerns. See attachment at the end of this document for FAQs fact sheet.



III. Accessibility by Ombudsman's Office

A. Initial Contacts

The KanCare Ombudsman office was available to members and potential members of KanCare (Medicaid) by phone, email, written communication, and in person during first quarter of 2019. The initial contacts have been averaged around 1,000 for the last seven quarters with two quarters significantly higher.

Initial Contacts	Qtr. 1	Qtr. 2	Qtr. 3	Qtr. 4
2014	545	474	526	547
2015	510	462	579	524
2016	1,130	846	687	523
2017	825	835	970	1,040
2018	1,214	1,059	1,088	1,124
2019	1,060			

^{*2013} year does not include emails in the data, so it is not included. not included.

B. Additional Contacts

The KanCare Ombudsman office provides follow up contact with members, providers and organizations. These include requests for follow-up to another organization and their responses, and follow-up contacts to and from the beneficiary. There may be multiple contacts for a member/applicant.

Additional Contacts: Notes History (ongoing contacts with beneficiary to note calls and/or updates with issue/concern)	Qtr. 1	Qtr. 2	Qtr. 3	Qtr. 4
2017	1,388	1,651	1,954	2,122
2018	2,251	1,892	1,898	1,855
2019	1,850			

Additional Contacts: Email History (emails with beneficiaries and follow up with agencies, MCOs and providers, to resolve cases)	Qtr. 1	Qtr. 2	Qtr. 3	Qtr. 4
2017	655	919	1,338	1,490
2018	1,389	1,252	1,315	1,211
2019	1,370			



C. Accessibility through the KanCare Ombudsman Volunteer Program

Both KanCare Ombudsman Satellite offices answer KanCare questions and help with issues as well as assist with filling out KanCare applications and providing assistance on grievances, appeals and fair hearings on the phone and in person at the offices. The Satellite offices current coverage is listed below. Note: Olathe Satellite office is now covered all five days of the working week.

	Volunteer Hours	# of Volunteers	# of hours covered/wk.	Area Codes covered
Olathe Satellite Office	M: 9am-4pm T:9am-12pm W:9am-4pm Th: 9am-4pm F: 10am-1:30pm	7	31.5	913, 785, 816
Wichita Satellite Office	M: 9am-4pm T: 9am-1pm W: 1pm-4:30pm Th: 9am-1pm	3	19.5	316, 620

Information as of 4/15/19

IV. Outreach by Ombudsman's office

A. Outreach through Collaboration and Education

- Contacted the Peace and Social Justice Center on 1/3 to request they hang our outreach flier.
- Met with Aetna advocates to share about the KanCare Ombudsman program and communication process; 1/3
- Participated in the KanCare Long Term Care Team meetings (monthly)
- Participated in the Monthly Joint MCO meetings (monthly)
- Participated in the KanCare Steering Committee meetings (monthly)
- Shared information regarding where to find updated Liaison Training information, and a reminder of the Aetna change at the United Way Emergency Assistance Network Meeting on 1/15; Approximately 20 attendees
- Presented KanCare Ombudsman program overview to the House Health and Human Services Committee; 1/29
- Cheyenne County Health Dept. Flyer posted (County: Cheyenne) (January 2019)



- Norton County Health Department Flyer posted (County: Norton)
 (January 2019)
- Osborne County Health Department Flyer posted (County: Osborne)
 (January 2019)
- Rawlins County Health Department Flyer posted (County: Rawlins)
 (January 2019)
- Presented KanCare Ombudsman program overview to the Senate Public Health and Welfare Committee; 2/5
- Presented KanCare Ombudsman Annual Report to the Bob Bethell Joint Committee on HCBS and KanCare; 2/15
- Presented to the Sedgwick County Advisory Council on Aging on 2/27 over our office and our volunteer opportunities.
- Dropped off Spanish KanCare Ombudsman flyers at Treehouse as well as information about our volunteer program
- Tabled on 2/27 at the WSU Health Fair; Approximately 150 passers-by
- Presented to Chisholm Place Assisted Living Center about the Ombudsman's Office, gave information about the FE Waiver and the KanCare application process on March 26th from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m.; 25 attendees
- Participated in the Kansas Meaningful Measures meeting; 3/1
- Deaf and Hard of Hearing Day at the Capital (resources only); 3-5-19 (Topeka, KS)

B. Outreach through Publications

- Sedgwick County League of Women's Voters (1/3)
- Sedgwick County Advisory Council on Aging (1/3)
- Paul University Parish of Wichita (1/10)
- The Seed Church of Wichita (1/10)
- Community Service Board Volunteer Fair on Wichita State University's campus (1/29)
- All DCF offices throughout Kansas display our outreach post on their lobby televisions, for those consumers in the waiting rooms (starting Jan. 31, 2019).
- Golden Years Newspaper (Counties: Franklin, Osage, Anderson, Linn, Coffey) (Jan, Feb, March 2019)
- Unitarian Universalist Social Justice Group (3/4)
- Aldersgate United Methodist Volunteer Group (3/4)
- St. Anne Peace and Social Justice Group (3/4)
- WSU Psychology Department Chair (3/6)
- WSU Sociology Department Chair (3/6)
- Newman University Psychology Department Chair (3/6)
- Newman University Sociology Department Chair (3/6)



- Newman University Allied Health Department Chair (3/6)
- Newman University Social Work Field Education Coordinators (3/6)
- WSU Marketing Department Chair (3/25)
- Butler County Community College Marketing Department Chair (3/25)
- Newman Marketing Department Chair (3/25)
- WSU Shocker Student Marketing (3/25)
- Butler County Community College Sociology and Social Work Department Chair (3/25)
- Outreach Flyer Posted in Libraries (March 2019):

o Olathe Public Cheyenne Co. Library Decatur Co. (Johnson, Co.) o Sheridan Co. o Graham Co. (Jan., Feb. March 2019) o Wallace Co. o Rawlins Co. o Logan Co. Smith Center o Trego Co. o Phillips Co. Co. Rooks Co. o Norton Co. Rush Co. Ellis Co. o Thomas Co. o Russell Co.

 Mailed an introductory letter and KanCare Ombudsman brochures (English and Spanish) to 130 Community Based Organizations (Aging and Disability Resource Centers, Community Developmental Disability Organizations, Centers for Independent Living, Families Together, Long Term Care Ombudsmen, Community Mental Health Centers, Senior Health Insurance Counselors of Kansas, Veterans Association Counselors.)

C. Outreach through Collaboration and Training

- Trained providers in Butler County on general Medicaid information, and how the Ombudsman Office can serve them on 1/17; 37 attendees.
- Trained social workers at Harry-Hynes Memorial Hospice on general Medicaid information, and how the Ombudsman Office can assist on 1/23; 8 attendees
- Liaison Training; Great Bend, KS (Barton Co.): Kansas Guardianship Program (1-10-19)
- Liaison Training; Colby, KS (Thomas Co.): Citizens Medical Center (2-13-19)
- Indian Creek Volunteer Fair (Olathe, KS) (February 1, 2019)
- Atchison Senior Living (training was done in Olathe, KS due to weather
 broke up the Atchison training into two classes) (February 27, 2019)
- Atchison Senior Living (Atchison, KS) (March 12, 2019)



V. Data by Ombudsman's Office

A. **Data by Region**

1. Initial Contacts to KanCare Ombudsman Office by Region

The KanCare Ombudsman's office began pulling data by region in 3rd quarter 2018. See regional map on next page. Most calls are coming from the east side of the state which also ties to the Medicaid members within the state and the population density of Kansas.

Region	Q1/18	Q2/18	Q3/18	Q4/18	Q1/19
Northeast	157	220	238	187	183
Southeast	59	135	163	244	205
Northwest	14	16	10	14	7
Southwest	14	18	14	29	19
Out of State	14	17	21	17	16
Not Identified	955	653	639	633	630
Total	1,213	1,059	1,085	1,124	1,060

The KanCare Ombudsman map shows the counties included in each region. The north/south dividing line is based on the state area codes in general (785 and 620).

- 785, 913 and 816 area codes in the northern regions go to the Olathe Satellite office.
- 316 and 620 area codes in the southern regions go to the Wichita Satellite office.





2. KanCare/Medicaid Members by Region

Medicaid

Region	Q4/18	Q1/19
Northeast	194,798	205,267
Southeast	175,370	185,683
Northwest	12,488	13,240
Southwest	38,023	40,073
Total	420,679	444,263

Q1 Data from KDHE; 4/15/19

3. Population Density by KanCare Ombudsman Region

Population Density	Urban	Semi Urban	Densely Settled Rural	Rural	Frontier	Total Counties
NE	5	5	6	15	2	33
SE	1	5	9	7	4	26
NW			1	4	15	20
SW			4	7	15	26
Total	6	10	20	33	36	105

Based on 2015 Census data – www.KCDCinfo.ks.gov Kansas Population Density map using number of people per square mile (ppsm):

Frontier - less than 6 ppsm Rural - 6 to 19.9 ppsm Densely-Settled Rural - 20 to 39.9 ppsm Semi-Urban - 40-149.9 ppsm Urban - 150+ ppsm



B. Data by Issue Category

The top issues for first quarter continue to be all Medicaid issues: Medicaid General Issues/questions, Medicaid Application Assistance, Medicaid Eligibility questions, Medicaid Information/Status Update. The second tier of issues are: Other, HCBS General issues, and Medicaid Renewal. Medicaid Renewal increased again in 1st quarter after dipping down for a couple of quarters.

ISSUE CATEGORY	Q1/18	Q2/18	Q3/18	Q4/18	Q1/19
Access to Providers (usually Medical)	4	2	8	10	11
Abuse / neglect complaints	10	10	7	2	8
Affordable Care Act Calls	15	12	9	8	5
Appeals/Fair Hearing questions/issues	46	26	38	16	17
Background Checks	4	0	1	0	2
Billing	40	26	33	19	30
Care Coordinator Issues	10	11	7	14	18
Change MCO	12	7	5	37	12
Choice Info on MCO	3	3	3	20	7
Client Obligation	53	35	24	27	21
Coding Issues	32	9	11	21	14
Consumer said Notice not received	16	6	15	13	6
Cultural Competency	0	1	1	3	1
Data Requests	3	2	4	0	2
Dental	10	9	6	7	11
Division of Assets	10	3	5	11	8
Durable Medical Equipment	1	4	9	13	4
Estate Recovery	10	4	10	8	4
Grievances Questions/Issues	28	35	23	12	12
Guardianship	3	6	5	5	1
HCBS Eligibility issues	46	28	37	34	34
HCBS General Issues	36	35	60	49	62
HCBS Reduction in hours of service	7	2	3	2	6
HCBS Waiting List	4	4	4	10	5
Health Homes	0	1	0	1	0
Help understanding mail	4	16	22	21	15
Housing Issues	7	8	7	4	5
Medicaid Application Assistance	185	135	144	174	167
Medicaid Coding	0	0	0	0	0
Medicaid Eligibility Issues	209	219	183	187	151
Medicaid Fraud	3	2	2	5	1
Medicaid General Issues/questions	63	186	200	256	273



ISSUE CATEGORY	Q1/18	Q2/18	Q3/18	Q4/18	Q1/19
Medicaid info (status) update	210	217	196	187	122
Medicaid Renewal	103	58	39	24	55
Medical Services	23	27	11	13	18
Medicare related Issues	17	23	26	31	18
Medicare Savings Plan Issues	19	17	20	25	22
Moving to / from Kansas	16	14	21	19	20
Nursing Facility Issues	20	19	23	24	35
Pain management issues	0	0	0	1	5
Pharmacy	16	1	2	11	18
Prior authorization issues	1	2	0	4	8
Questions for Conference Calls/Sessions	0	1	0	1	0
Respite	0	1	0	1	1
Social Security Issues	9	13	12	24	16
Spend Down Issues	28	32	24	28	29
Transportation	16	10	9	12	11
Working Healthy	3	6	8	9	3
X-Other	213	114	132	135	133
Z Thank you.	558	509	482	498	403
Z Unspecified	78	68	72	80	96
ISSUE CATEGORY TOTAL	2204	1979	1963	2116	1926



C. Data by Office Location

Initial phone calls to the KanCare Ombudsman toll-free number (1-855-643-8180) are sent directly to one of three KanCare Ombudsman offices based on the area code the call is coming from. Johnson County receives 913,785 and 816 calls. Wichita receives 620 and 316 calls. All other toll-free calls in addition to direct calls go to the Main office (Topeka).

Contacts by Office	Q1/18	Q2/18	Q3/18	Q4/18	Q1/19
Main	772	619	491	546	561
Johnson County	68	81	223	177	166
Wichita	374	359	371	401	333
Total	1,214	1,059	1,085	1,124	1,060

D. Data by Contact Method

Contact method by email has increased and has stabilized for the last three quarter. Contact method by face-to-face continues to gradually increase (except for Q3,4/2018). We are seeing an increase in the number of people who walk in to the KDADS reception area needing one-on-one Medicaid assistance.

Contact Method	Q1/2018	Q2/2018	Q3/2018	Q4/2018	Q1/2019
Email	112	119	153	161	152
Face-to-Face Meeting	7	9	22	20	12
Letter	2	1	2	3	1
ONLINE	0	0	0	0	0
Other	2	0	2	1	5
Telephone	1,090	930	909	939	890
CONTACT METHOD TOTAL	1,213	1,059	1,088	1,124	1,060



E. Data by Caller Type

Most contacts are consumers which includes beneficiaries, family member, friend, etc.

CALLER TYPE	Q1/2018	Q2/2018	Q3/2018	Q4/2018	Q1/2019
Consumer	1,065	943	899	977	913
MCO Employee	6	4	5	4	7
Other type	46	31	85	50	47
Provider	96	81	99	93	93
CALLER TYPE TOTAL	1,213	1,059	1,088	1,124	1,060

F. Data by Program Type

The top program types that we receive calls for are the three Home and Community Based Services waivers (Physical Disability, Intellectual/Developmental Disability, and Frail Elderly) and nursing facility concerns.

PROGRAM TYPE	Q1/2018	Q2/2018	Q3/2018	Q4/2018	Q1/2019
PD	51	27	28	37	40
I/DD	29	27	36	32	29
FE	27	22	30	31	25
AUTISM	1	1	2	4	3
SED	9	2	8	7	5
TBI	7	10	9	6	13
TA	5	3	7	3	5
WH	5	4	6	5	2
MFP	1	0	0	0	0
PACE	0	0	0	0	2
MENTAL HEALTH	2	1	3	2	2
SUB USE DIS	0	0	0	0	1
NURSING FACILITY	47	39	28	41	33
PROGRAM TYPE TOTAL	184	136	157	168	160



VI. Action Taken

This section reflects the action taken by the KanCare Ombudsman Office and/or the related organizations assisting through information on the amount of time it is taking to respond to beneficiary concerns, if resources are needed/requested, referrals made, and resolution of issues timeframe.

A. Responding to Issues

1. Ombudsman Office response to members/applicants The Ombudsman Office goal is to respond to a contact within two business days. Weekends and holidays create some issues with meeting this goal 100%

Quarter	Nmbr.	Avg. Days	%Responded	% Responded	% Response
<u>yr.</u>	<u>Contacts</u>	<u>To</u> <u>Respond</u>	0-2 Days	in 3-7 Days	8 or More Days
Q1/2018	1,213	1	82%	17%	1%
Q2/2018	1,059	1	90%	10%	1%
Q3/2018	1,088	1	87%	12%	1%
Q4/2018	1,124	1	86%	14%	0%
Q1/2019	1,067	1	88%	11%	1%



2. Organizational response to Ombudsman requests

The KanCare Ombudsman office sends requests for review and assistance to various state organizations. The following information provides data on the response/resolution response rate for issues that have been referred.

Nmbr Referrals	Days to Respond	Avg Days	Referred to	% Responded	% Responded	% Responded	% Responded 31 or More
	Referral	Referred	<u>_</u>	0-2 Days	3-7 Days	8-30 Days	<u>Days</u>
125	306	2	Clearinghouse	78%	11%	10%	0%
2	3	2	KDADS-Behavior Health	100%	0%	0%	0%
15	66	4	KDADS-HCBS	53%	20%	27%	0%
-	-	-	KDADS-Health Occ. Cred.	0%	0%	0%	0%
17	10	1	KDHE-Eligibility	94%	6%	0%	0%
10	22	2	KDHE-Program Staff	70%	20%	10%	0%
1	2	2	KMAP	100%	0%	0%	0%
3	1	0	DCF	100%	0%	0%	0%
14	27	2	Aetna	79%	7%	14%	0%
1	0	0	Amerigroup	100%	0%	0%	0%
14	23	2	Sunflower	79%	14%	7%	0%
17	111	7	UnitedHealthcare	47%	35%	12%	6%
12	12	1	KDHE-Provider Contact	83%	17%	0%	0%



B. Resolving requests

1. Action Taken by KanCare Ombudsman Office to resolve requests

Action Taken Resolution Type	Q1/18	Q2/18	Q3/18	Q4/18	Q1/19
Questions/Issue Resolved (No Resources)	105	69	70	106	94
Used Contact or Resources/Issue Resolved	766	675	752	873	834
Closed (No Contact)	101	133	109	132	126
ACTION TAKEN RESOLUTION TYPE TOTAL	972	877	931	1,111	1,054

There may be multiple selections for a member/contact

2. Additional Help provided by KanCare Ombudsman Office

Action Taken Additional Help	Q4/2018	Q1/2019
Provided Resources	666	563
Mailed/Email Resources	140	148
ACTION TAKEN ADDITIONAL HELP TOTAL	806	711



3. Referred Beneficiary to an Organization for Assistance/Follow-up This section has been expanded to identify groups within the state organizations and the managed care organizations (MCOs) individually for better tracking purposes.

Action Taken Refer Caller to Organization	Q4/2018	Q1/2019
Clearinghouse	316	248
KDADS-Behavior Health	0	1
KDADS-HCBS	18	21
KDADS-Health Occ. Cred.	0	1
KDHE	18	12
KMAP	9	7
DCF	10	3
Aetna	11	18
Amerigroup	19	1
Sunflower	23	19
UnitedHealthcare	20	24
State or Community Agency	142	120
Disability Rights and/or KLS	9	8
ACTION TAKEN REFER CALLER TO ORGANIZATION TOTAL	595	483



4. Staff request Assistance from Organization on behalf of beneficiary This section has been expanded to identify organizations contacted by the KanCare Ombudsman staff for assistance in resolving an issue.

Action Taken Staff Contact Organization	Q4/2018	Q1/2019
Clearinghouse	156	130
KDADS-Behavior Health	2	2
KDADS-HCBS	17	15
KDADS-Health Occ. Cred.	0	1
KDHE-Eligibility	11	18
KDHE-Program Staff	12	11
KDHE-Provider Contact	10	12
KMAP	3	1
DCF	6	3
Aetna	1	14
Amerigroup	12	1
Sunflower	14	18
UnitedHealthcare	6	19
ACTION TAKEN STAFF CONTACT ORGANIZATION TOTAL	250	245



5. Ombudsman Office Resolution of Issues (*NEW format*)

The average days to close/resolve an issue remained relatively the same from 3rd to 4th quarter. The improvement in 3rd quarter was due to clarification for staff and volunteers to close based on resolution date or if no response, on the date last contacted. Prior to this, cases were closed by many at the end of the quarter when I sent out the reminder to close cases; using the end of quarter date.

		<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
	Avg Days	<u>Completed</u>	<u>Completed</u>	<u>Completed</u>
<u>Quarter</u>	<u>To</u>			8 or More
<u>yr</u>	Complete	<u>0-2 Days</u>	in 3-7 Days	<u>Days</u>
Q1/2018	8	60%	17%	23%
Q2/2018	10	61%	13%	27%
Q3/2018	3	73%	17%	10%
Q4/2018	4	72%	18%	9%
Q1/2019	3	72%	17%	11%

VII. Enhancements or New Activities

A. Frequently Asked Questions

The KanCare Ombudsman Office has made available on the web pages and in a Fact Sheet, <u>frequently asked questions</u> regarding application assistance and other general KanCare concerns. See attachment at the end of this document for FAQs fact sheet.



VIII. Appendix A - Information by Managed Care Organization

A. Aetna-Issue Category

ISSUE CATEGORY	Q1/19
Access to Providers (usually Medical)	2
Abuse / neglect complaints	0
Affordable Care Act Calls	0
Appeals/Fair Hearing questions/issues	0
Background Checks	0
Billing	3
Care Coordinator Issues	10
Change MCO	4
Choice Info on MCO	2
Client Obligation	2
Coding Issues	1
Consumer said Notice not received	0
Cultural Competency	0
Data Requests	0
Dental	3
Division of Assets	0
Durable Medical Equipment	1
Estate Recovery	0
Grievances Questions/Issues	2
Guardianship	0
HCBS Eligibility issues	4
HCBS General Issues	7
HCBS Reduction in hours of service	0
HCBS Waiting List	1
Health Homes	0
Help understanding mail	0
Housing Issues	0
Medicaid Application Assistance	2
Medicaid Coding	0
Medicaid Eligibility Issues	5
Medicaid Fraud	0
Medicaid General Issues/questions	16
Medicaid info (status) update	4
Medicaid Renewal	1



ISSUE CATEGORY (Aetna cont.)	Q1/19
Medical Services	3
Medicare related Issues	0
Medicare Savings Plan Issues	2
Moving to / from Kansas	0
Nursing Facility Issues	0
Pain management issues	0
Pharmacy	4
Prior authorization issues	1
Questions for Conference Calls/Sessions	0
Respite	0
Social Security Issues	1
Spend Down Issues	1
Transportation	4
Working Healthy	0
X-Other	14
Z Thank you.	26
Z Unspecified	1
ISSUE CATEGORY TOTAL	127

There may be multiple selections for a member/contact.

B. Aetna-Waiver Information

PROGRAM TYPE	Q1/19
PD	3
I/DD	1
FE	2
AUTISM	0
SED	0
TBI	2
TA	2
WH	0
MFP	0
PACE	0
MENTAL HEALTH	0
SUB USE DIS	0
NURSING FACILITY	0
PROGRAM TYPE TOTAL	10



C. Sunflower-Issue Category

ISSUE CATEGORY	Q1/18	Q2/18	Q3/18	Q4/18	Q1/19
Access to Providers (usually Medical)	3	1	4	5	4
Abuse / neglect complaints	2	0	0	1	0
Affordable Care Act Calls	0	0	1	0	0
Appeals/Fair Hearing questions/issues	0	4	5	0	1
Background Checks	1	0	0	0	0
Billing	8	6	6	2	4
Care Coordinator Issues	2	2	0	2	2
Change MCO	3	2	1	3	2
Choice Info on MCO	0	0	0	1	1
Client Obligation	5	3	4	1	1
Coding Issues	7	2	1	5	4
Consumer said Notice not received	1	2	3	4	0
Cultural Competency	0	0	0	0	0
Data Requests	0	0	0	0	0
Dental	3	1	0	4	0
Division of Assets	1	0	0	0	0
Durable Medical Equipment	1	1	0	2	0
Estate Recovery	0	0	0	0	0
Grievances Questions/Issues	2	5	5	4	0
Guardianship	0	1	1	1	0
HCBS Eligibility issues	8	5	8	3	5
HCBS General Issues	12	3	9	8	7
HCBS Reduction in hours of service	1	0	0	1	2
HCBS Waiting List	0	0	0	1	1
Health Homes	0	0	0	0	0
Help understanding mail	0	2	1	3	3
Housing Issues	1	0	0	2	0
Medicaid Application Assistance	2	2	0	1	1
Medicaid Coding	0	0	0	0	0
Medicaid Eligibility Issues	8	13	10	11	14
Medicaid Fraud	0	0	0	2	0
Medicaid General Issues/questions	7	9	13	17	18
Medicaid info (status) update	7	5	9	5	4
Medicaid Renewal	3	6	4	4	3
Medical Services	4	4	0	3	5
Medicare related Issues	0	3	3	2	1



ISSUE CATEGORY (Sunflower cont.)	Q1/18	Q2/18	Q3/18	Q4/18	Q1/19
Medicare Savings Plan Issues	2	2	3	0	0
Moving to / from Kansas	1	0	0	0	1
Nursing Facility Issues	1	0	3	0	0
Pain management issues	0	0	0	0	1
Pharmacy	2	0	0	5	6
Prior authorization issues	0	1	0	2	2
Questions for Conference Calls/Sessions	0	0	0	0	0
Respite	0	0	0	0	0
Social Security Issues	1	0	0	1	0
Spend Down Issues	0	3	1	3	2
Transportation	2	1	1	2	2
Working Healthy	0	1	1	1	1
X-Other	8	9	8	15	10
Z Thank you.	49	27	49	41	33
Z Unspecified	0	2	0	5	3
ISSUE CATEGORY TOTAL	158	128	154	173	144

There may be multiple selections for a member/contact.

D. Sunflower-Waiver Information

PROGRAM TYPE	Q1/18	Q2/18	Q3/18	Q4/18	Q1/19
PD	13	5	7	6	2
I/DD	5	3	4	3	4
FE	5	2	0	2	3
AUTISM	0	0	1	0	0
SED	0	0	1	1	0
TBI	1	0	3	3	4
TA	2	0	0	0	1
WH	1	1	1	0	1
MFP	1	0	0	0	0
PACE	0	0	0	0	0
MENTAL HEALTH	0	0	0	0	0
SUB USE DIS	0	0	0	0	0
NURSING FACILITY	4	1	3	0	0
PROGRAM TYPE TOTAL	32	12	20	15	15



E. UnitedHealthcare-Issue Category

ISSUE CATEGORY	Q1/18	Q2/18	Q3/18	Q4/18	Q1/19
Access to Providers (usually Medical)	0	0	0	0	2
Abuse / neglect complaints	0	3	0	0	0
Affordable Care Act Calls	0	0	0	0	0
Appeals/Fair Hearing questions/issues	4	2	5	2	1
Background Checks	0	0	0	0	0
Billing	6	3	9	2	1
Care Coordinator Issues	4	4	3	4	5
Change MCO	2	1	0	3	2
Choice Info on MCO	0	1	0	1	0
Client Obligation	8	2	6	7	2
Coding Issues	2	0	1	3	2
Consumer said Notice not received	0	0	1	2	0
Cultural Competency	0	0	0	0	0
Data Requests	0	0	1	0	0
Dental	0	1	0	2	3
Division of Assets	1	0	0	0	0
Durable Medical Equipment	0	0	0	1	2
Estate Recovery	0	0	0	0	0
Grievances Questions/Issues	3	3	4	0	4
Guardianship	0	0	1	0	0
HCBS Eligibility issues	5	3	6	3	4
HCBS General Issues	4	5	15	10	12
HCBS Reduction in hours of service	0	0	1	0	3
HCBS Waiting List	0	1	1	1	2
Health Homes	0	0	0	0	0
Help understanding mail	0	3	6	3	0
Housing Issues	1	0	0	0	0
Medicaid Application Assistance	4	4	1	6	2
Medicaid Coding	0	0	0	0	0
Medicaid Eligibility Issues	11	14	10	9	11
Medicaid Fraud	0	0	0	1	0
Medicaid General Issues/questions	4	7	10	18	20
Medicaid info (status) update	4	9	4	2	9
Medicaid Renewal	7	6	3	3	2
Medical Services	2	7	6	3	2
Medicare related Issues	0	0	1	1	2



Medicare Savings Plan Issues	4	1	1	1	0
Moving to / from Kansas	1	0	0	1	0
Nursing Facility Issues	0	3	3	3	2
Pain management issues	0	0	0	1	2
Pharmacy	4	1	0	3	2
Prior authorization issues	1	0	0	0	3
Questions for Conference Calls/Sessions	0	0	0	0	0
Respite	0	1	0	0	0
Social Security Issues	0	1	0	1	0
Spend Down Issues	3	7	6	4	4
Transportation	6	2	2	0	1
Working Healthy	0	0	1	1	0
X-Other	9	3	4	9	11
Z Thank you.	46	40	42	47	49
Z Unspecified	1	0	1	1	2
ISSUE CATEGORY TOTAL	147	138	155	159	169

There may be multiple selections for a member/contact.

F. UnitedHealthcare-Waiver Information

PROGRAM TYPE	Q1/18	Q2/18	Q3/18	Q4/18	Q1/19
PD	7	5	3	9	10
I/DD	2	3	7	1	6
FE	4	2	4	3	4
AUTISM	0	0	0	0	1
SED	1	0	4	1	2
TBI	1	1	3	0	2
TA	0	1	0	2	0
WH	2	1	1	0	0
MFP	0	0	0	0	0
PACE	0	0	0	0	0
MENTAL HEALTH	0	0	0	2	0
SUB USE DIS	0	0	0	0	0
NURSING FACILITY	3	3	2	4	2
PROGRAM TYPE TOTAL	20	16	24	22	27

1115 Waiver- Safety Net Care Pool Report Demonstration Year 7 - Quarter 1 Large Public Teaching HospitalBorder City Children's Hospital Pool Paid date 3/28/2019

							Provider Access Fund	Federal Medicaid
Hospital Name	Program Name	Program ID	Amount	Payment Date	Liability Date	Warrant number	2443	Fund 3414
Children's Mercy Hospital	Large Public Teaching Border City Children Hosp	04264	616,034	3/28/2019	3/31/2019	008020756	267,913	348,121
University of Kansas Hospital Authority	Large Public Teaching Border City Children Hosp	04264	1,848,103	3/28/2019	3/31/2019	008020983	803,740	1,044,363
Total			2,464,137				1,071,653	1,392,484

1115 Waiver- Safety Net Care Pool Report Demonstration Year 7 - Quarter 1 Health Care Access Improvement Pool Paid date 3/8/2019

Paid date 3/8/2019								
Provider Name	Program Name	Program ID	Amount	Payment Date	Liability Date	Warrant number	Provider Access Fund 2443	Federal Medicaid Fund 3414
Sumner Regional Medical Center*	Health Care Access Improvement Pool	03264	23,932	3/8/2019	9/30/2018	008003848	10,743	13,189
Sumner Regional Medical Center*	Health Care Access Improvement Pool	03264	23,932	3/8/2019	12/31/2018	008003848	10,743	13,189
Total			47,864				21,486	27,048

^{*} Paid DY6 Q3 & Q4

KDHE Summary of Claims Adjudication Statistics – January through March 2019 – KanCare MCOs

Aetna Service Type	Total claim count - YTD cumulative	total claim count \$ value YTD cumulative	# claims denied – YTD cumulative	\$ value of claims denied YTD cumulative	% claims denied – YTD cumulative
Hospital Inpatient	4,685	\$182,439,285.87	562	\$24,208,063.14	12.00%
Hospital Outpatient	58,982	\$174,587,410.81	5,909	\$18,721,861.84	10.02%
Pharmacy	659,655	\$46,362,219.77	162,675	\$0.00	24.66%
Dental	34,116	\$10,681,768.58	2,463	\$865,310.12	7.22%
Vision	15,387	\$3,896,342.21	1,100	\$369,637.40	7.15%
NEMT	30,317	\$1,432,487.20	267	\$18,599.40	0.88%
Medical (physical health not otherwise specified)	494,849	\$163,212,759.07	42,028	\$14,886,491.84	8.49%
Nursing Facilities-Total	14,281	\$40,520,516.63	597	\$1,957,622.32	4.18%
HCBS	82,823	\$36,968,298.40	2,144	\$795,883.67	2.59%
Behavioral Health	66,750	\$22,557,476.77	3,008	\$1,129,243.76	4.51%
Total All Services	1,461,845	\$682,658,565.31	220,753	\$62,952,713.49	15.10%

SUNFLOWER Service Type	Total claim count - YTD cumulative	total claim count \$ value YTD cumulative	# claims denied – YTD cumulative	\$ value of claims denied YTD cumulative	% claims denied – YTD cumulative
Hospital Inpatient	10,026	\$490,591,673	2,488	\$143,990,048	24.82%
Hospital Outpatient	88,112	\$249,931,116	9,132	\$33,163,537	10.36%
Pharmacy	628,425	\$83,561,218	235,053	\$47,372,061	37.40%
Dental	44,493	\$12,393,546.27	4,302	\$682,805.24	9.67%
Vision	30,123	\$6,592,542.14	4,034	\$1,079,785.27	13.39%
NEMT	40,987	\$1,187,184.72	181	\$5,932.08	0.44%
Medical (physical health not otherwise specified)	436,109	\$265,046,127	56,366	\$47,203,285	12.92%
Nursing Facilities-Total	32,620	\$82,945,597	1,940	\$9,360,750	5.95%
HCBS	161,699	\$86,503,539	12,432	\$4,461,363	7.69%
Behavioral Health	180,958	\$30,636,590	19,321	\$3,557,744	10.68%
Total All Services	1,653,552	\$1,309,389,133	345,249	\$290,877,311	20.88%

UNITED Service Type	Total claim count - YTD cumulative	total claim count \$ value YTD cumulative	# claims denied – YTD cumulative	\$ value of claims denied YTD cumulative	% claims denied – YTD cumulative
Hospital Inpatient	7,676	\$356,549,303.51	1,542	\$100,601,060.96	20.09%
Hospital Outpatient	85,314	\$253,719,945.99	15,309	\$48,599,354.53	17.94%
Pharmacy	490,225	\$67,127,774.53	115,712	\$32,617,567.98	23.60%
Dental	39,448	\$12,884,856.78	4,608	\$1,726,872.63	11.68%
Vision	20,456	\$4,804,177.19	2,746	\$609,582.53	13.42%
NEMT	46,546	\$1,276,965.76	490	\$7,366.88	1.05%
Medical (physical health not otherwise specified)	426,625	\$272,218,786.93	91,066	\$105,182,980.83	21.35%
Nursing Facilities-Total	26,074	\$76,149,589.95	2,994	\$10,131,261.71	11.48%
HCBS	112,978	\$52,926,297.71	5,822	\$2,424,781.18	5.15%
Behavioral Health	177,496	\$42,458,733.61	13,892	\$5,271,453.53	7.83%
Total All Services	1,432,838	\$1,140,116,431.96	254,181	\$307,172,282.76	17.74%