

---

## **Table of Contents**

**State/Territory Name: Oregon**

**State Plan Amendments (SPA) #: OR-19-0130**

This file contains the following documents in the order listed:

- 1) Approval Letter
- 2) State Plan Pages

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES  
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services  
7500 Security Boulevard, Mail Stop S2-01-16  
Baltimore, MD 21244-1850



Children and Adults Health Programs Group

---

**JUL 11 2019**

Lori Coyner  
Medicaid Director  
Oregon Health Authority  
500 Summer Street Northeast, E-49  
Salem, OR 97301-1079

Dear Ms. Coyner:

I am pleased to inform you that your title XXI Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) state plan amendment (SPA), OR-19-0130, has been approved. OR-19-0130 demonstrates compliance with the CHIP managed care regulations at 42 CFR 457, Subpart L for utilization of a managed care delivery system. This SPA has an effective date of July 1, 2018.

Sections 2101(a), 2103(f)(3), 2107(b), and 2107(e) of the Social Security Act, as implemented through regulations at 42 CFR 457 Subpart L, describe the application of managed care requirements to CHIP. Oregon has provided the necessary assurances indicating that the state complies with the managed care requirements in the delivery of CHIP services and benefits covered under the state's separate child health plan as of July 1, 2018.

This SPA approval does not substitute for CMS review of any contracts between the state and managed care entities that serve the state's CHIP populations. All managed care contracts for CHIP populations in effect as of the state fiscal year beginning on or after July 1, 2018 must comply with the CHIP managed care regulations and be submitted for CMS review.

Your title XXI project officer is Ms. Janice Adams. She is available to answer questions concerning this amendment and other CHIP-related issues. Her contact information is as follows:

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services  
Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services  
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1600, Mail Stop: RX-200  
Seattle, WA 98104  
Telephone: (206) 615-2541  
E-mail: [Janice.Adams@cms.hhs.gov](mailto:Janice.Adams@cms.hhs.gov)

Official communications regarding program matters should be sent simultaneously to Ms. Adams and to Mr. David Meacham, Deputy Director in our Division of Medicaid Field Operations West. Mr. Meacham's address is:

Page 2 – Ms. Lori Coyner

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services  
Division of Medicaid Field Operations West  
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1600, Mail Stop: RX-200  
Seattle, WA 98104

If you have additional questions, please contact Ms. Amy Lutzky, Director, Division of State Coverage Programs at (410) 786-0721. We look forward to continuing to work with you and your staff.

Sincerely,

/signed Anne Marie Costello/

Anne Marie Costello  
Director

cc: Mr. David Meacham, Deputy Director, Division of Medicaid Field Operations West

**CHILD HEALTH PLAN UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT  
CHILDREN’S HEALTH INSURANCE PROGRAM**

(Required under 4901 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (New section 2101(b)))

State/Territory: Oregon

As a condition for receipt of Federal funds under Title XXI of the Social Security Act, (42 CFR 457.40(b))

**Designee-Medicaid Director**

(Signature of Governor, or designee, of State/Territory, Date Signed)

submits the following Child Health Plan for the Children’s Health Insurance Program and hereby agrees to administer the program in accordance with the provisions of the approved Child Health Plan, the requirements of Title XXI and XIX of the Act (as appropriate) and all applicable Federal regulations and other official issuances of the Department.

The following State officials are responsible for program administration and financial oversight (42 CFR 457.40(c)):

Name: Patrick Allen

Position/Title: Director, OHA

Name: David Simnitt

Position/Title: Director, Medicaid/CHIP

Name:

Position/Title:

\*Disclosure. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0938-1148 (CMS-10398 #34). The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 80 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, write to: CMS, 7500 Security Blvd., Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

**Introduction:** Section 4901 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (BBA), public law 105-33 amended the Social Security Act (the Act) by adding a new title XXI, the Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP). In February 2009, the Children’s Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act (CHIPRA) renewed the program. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 further modified the program.

This template outlines the information that must be included in the state plans and the state plan amendments (SPAs). It reflects the regulatory requirements at 42 CFR Part 457 as well as the previously approved SPA templates that accompanied guidance issued to States through State Health Official (SHO) letters. Where applicable, we indicate the SHO number and the date it was issued for your reference. The CHIP SPA template includes the following changes:

- Combined the instruction document with the CHIP SPA template to have a single document. Any modifications to previous instructions are for clarification only and do not reflect new policy guidance.
- Incorporated the previously issued guidance and templates (see the Key following the template for information on the newly added templates), including:
  - Prenatal care and associated health care services (SHO #02-004, issued November 12, 2002)
  - Coverage of pregnant women (CHIPRA #2, SHO # 09-006, issued May 11, 2009)
  - Tribal consultation requirements (ARRA #2, CHIPRA #3, issued May 28, 2009)
  - Dental and supplemental dental benefits (CHIPRA # 7, SHO # #09-012, issued October 7, 2009)
  - Premium assistance (CHIPRA # 13, SHO # 10-002, issued February 2, 2010)
  - Express lane eligibility (CHIPRA # 14, SHO # 10-003, issued February 4, 2010)
  - Lawfully Residing requirements (CHIPRA # 17, SHO # 10-006, issued July 1, 2010)
- Moved sections 2.2 and 2.3 into section 5 to eliminate redundancies between sections 2 and 5.
- Removed crowd-out language that had been added by the August 17 letter that later was repealed.

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) is developing regulations to implement the CHIPRA requirements. When final regulations are published in the Federal Register, this template will be modified to reflect those rules and States will be required to submit SPAs illustrating compliance with the new regulations. States are not required to resubmit their State plans based on the updated template. However, States must use the updated template when submitting a State Plan Amendment.

**Federal Requirements for Submission and Review of a Proposed SPA.** (42 CFR Part 457 Subpart A) In order to be eligible for payment under this statute, each State must submit a Title XXI plan for approval by the Secretary that details how the State intends to use the funds and fulfill other requirements under the law and regulations at 42 CFR Part 457. A SPA is approved in 90 days unless the Secretary notifies the State in writing that the plan is disapproved or that specified additional information is needed. Unlike Medicaid SPAs, there is only one 90 day review period, or clock for CHIP SPAs, that may be stopped by a request for additional information and restarted after a complete response is received. More information on the SPA review process is found at 42 CFR 457 Subpart A.

When submitting a State plan amendment, states should redline the changes that are being made to the existing State plan and provide a “clean” copy including changes that are being made to the existing state plan.

The template includes the following sections:

1. **General Description and Purpose of the Children’s Health Insurance Plans and the Requirements-** This section should describe how the State has designed their program. It also is the place in the template that a State updates to insert a short description and the proposed effective date of the SPA, and the proposed implementation date(s) if different from the effective date. (Section 2101); (42 CFR 457.70)
2. **General Background and Description of State Approach to Child Health Coverage and Coordination-** This section should provide general information related to the special characteristics of each state’s program. The information should include the extent and manner to which children in the State currently have creditable health coverage, current State efforts to provide or obtain creditable health coverage for uninsured children and how the plan is designed to be coordinated with current health insurance, public health efforts, or other enrollment initiatives. This information provides a health insurance baseline in terms of the status of the children in a given State and the State programs currently in place. (Section 2103); (42 CFR 457.410(A))
3. **Methods of Delivery and Utilization Controls-** This section requires a description that must include both proposed methods of delivery and proposed utilization control systems. This section should fully describe the delivery system of the Title XXI program including the proposed contracting standards, the proposed delivery systems and the plans for enrolling providers. (Section 2103); (42 CFR 457.410(A))
4. **Eligibility Standards and Methodology-** The plan must include a description of the standards used to determine the eligibility of targeted low-income children for child health assistance under the plan. This section includes a list of potential eligibility standards the State can check off and provide a short description of how those standards will be applied. All eligibility standards must be consistent with the provisions of Title XXI and may not discriminate on the basis of diagnosis. In addition, if the standards vary within the state, the State should describe how they will be applied and under what circumstances they will be applied. In addition, this section provides information on income eligibility for Medicaid expansion programs (which are exempt from Section 4 of the State plan template) if applicable. (Section 2102(b)); (42 CFR 457.305 and 457.320)
5. **Outreach-** This section is designed for the State to fully explain its outreach activities. Outreach is defined in law as outreach to families of children likely to be eligible for child health assistance under the plan or under other public or private health coverage programs. The purpose is to inform these families of the availability of, and to assist them in enrolling their children in, such a program. (Section 2102(c)(1)); (42 CFR 457.90)
6. **Coverage Requirements for Children’s Health Insurance-** Regarding the required scope of health insurance coverage in a State plan, the child health assistance provided must consist of any of the four types of coverage outlined in Section 2103(a) (specifically, benchmark coverage;

benchmark-equivalent coverage; existing comprehensive state-based coverage; and/or Secretary-approved coverage). In this section States identify the scope of coverage and benefits offered under the plan including the categories under which that coverage is offered. The amount, scope, and duration of each offered service should be fully explained, as well as any corresponding limitations or exclusions. (Section 2103); (42 CFR 457.410(A))

7. **Quality and Appropriateness of Care-** This section includes a description of the methods (including monitoring) to be used to assure the quality and appropriateness of care and to assure access to covered services. A variety of methods are available for State's use in monitoring and evaluating the quality and appropriateness of care in its child health assistance program. The section lists some of the methods which states may consider using. In addition to methods, there are a variety of tools available for State adaptation and use with this program. The section lists some of these tools. States also have the option to choose who will conduct these activities. As an alternative to using staff of the State agency administering the program, states have the option to contract out with other organizations for this quality of care function. (Section 2107); (42 CFR 457.495)
8. **Cost Sharing and Payment-** This section addresses the requirement of a State child health plan to include a description of its proposed cost sharing for enrollees. Cost sharing is the amount (if any) of premiums, deductibles, coinsurance and other cost sharing imposed. The cost-sharing requirements provide protection for lower income children, ban cost sharing for preventive services, address the limitations on premiums and cost-sharing and address the treatment of pre-existing medical conditions. (Section 2103(e)); (42 CFR 457, Subpart E)
9. **Strategic Objectives and Performance Goals and Plan Administration-** The section addresses the strategic objectives, the performance goals, and the performance measures the State has established for providing child health assistance to targeted low income children under the plan for maximizing health benefits coverage for other low income children and children generally in the state. (Section 2107); (42 CFR 457.710)
10. **Annual Reports and Evaluations-** Section 2108(a) requires the State to assess the operation of the Children's Health Insurance Program plan and submit to the Secretary an annual report which includes the progress made in reducing the number of uninsured low income children. The report is due by January 1, following the end of the Federal fiscal year and should cover that Federal Fiscal Year. In this section, states are asked to assure that they will comply with these requirements, indicated by checking the box. (Section 2108); (42 CFR 457.750)
11. **Program Integrity-** In this section, the State assures that services are provided in an effective and efficient manner through free and open competition or through basing rates on other public and private rates that are actuarially sound. (Sections 2101(a) and 2107(e); (42 CFR 457, subpart I)
12. **Applicant and Enrollee Protections-** This section addresses the review process for eligibility and enrollment matters, health services matters (i.e., grievances), and for states that use premium assistance a description of how it will assure that applicants and enrollees are given the opportunity at initial enrollment and at each redetermination of eligibility to obtain health benefits coverage other than through that group health plan. (Section 2101(a)); (42 CFR 457.1120)

**Program Options.** As mentioned above, the law allows States to expand coverage for children through a separate child health insurance program, through a Medicaid expansion program, or through a combination of these programs. These options are described further below:

- **Option to Create a Separate Program-** States may elect to establish a separate child health program that are in compliance with title XXI and applicable rules. These states must establish enrollment systems that are coordinated with Medicaid and other sources of health coverage for children and also must screen children during the application process to determine if they are eligible for Medicaid and, if they are, enroll these children promptly in Medicaid.
- **Option to Expand Medicaid-** States may elect to expand coverage through Medicaid. This option for states would be available for children who do not qualify for Medicaid under State rules in effect as of March 31, 1997. Under this option, current Medicaid rules would apply.

#### **Medicaid Expansion- CHIP SPA Requirements**

In order to expedite the SPA process, states choosing to expand coverage only through an expansion of Medicaid eligibility would be required to complete sections:

- 1 (General Description)
- 2 (General Background)

They will also be required to complete the appropriate program sections, including:

- 4 (Eligibility Standards and Methodology)
- 5 (Outreach)
- 9 (Strategic Objectives and Performance Goals and Plan Administration including the budget)
- 10 (Annual Reports and Evaluations).

#### **Medicaid Expansion- Medicaid SPA Requirements**

States expanding through Medicaid-only will also be required to submit a Medicaid State Plan Amendment to modify their Title XIX State plans. These states may complete the first check-off and indicate that the description of the requirements for these sections are incorporated by reference through their State Medicaid plans for sections:

- 3 (Methods of Delivery and Utilization Controls)
- 4 (Eligibility Standards and Methodology)
- 6 (Coverage Requirements for Children's Health Insurance)
- 7 (Quality and Appropriateness of Care)
- 8 (Cost Sharing and Payment)
- 11 (Program Integrity)
- 12 (Applicant and Enrollee Protections)

- **Combination of Options-** CHIP allows states to elect to use a combination of the Medicaid program and a separate child health program to increase health coverage for children. For example, a State may cover optional targeted-low income children in families with incomes of up to 133 percent of poverty through Medicaid and a targeted group of children above that level through a separate child

health program. For the children the State chooses to cover under an expansion of Medicaid, the description provided under “Option to Expand Medicaid” would apply. Similarly, for children the State chooses to cover under a separate program, the provisions outlined above in “Option to Create a Separate Program” would apply. States wishing to use a combination of approaches will be required to complete the Title XXI State plan and the necessary State plan amendment under Title XIX.

Proposed State plan amendments should be submitted electronically and one signed hard copy to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services at the following address:

Name of Project Officer  
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services  
7500 Security Blvd  
Baltimore, Maryland 21244  
Attn: Children and Adults Health Programs Group  
Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services  
Mail Stop - S2-01-16

**Section 1. General Description and Purpose of the Children’s Health Insurance Plans and the Requirements**

**1.1.** The state will use funds provided under Title XXI primarily for (Check appropriate box) (Section 2101)(a)(1)); (42 CFR 457.70):

Guidance: Check below if child health assistance shall be provided primarily through the development of a separate program that meets the requirements of Section 2101, which details coverage requirements and the other applicable requirements of Title XXI.

**1.1.1.**  Obtaining coverage that meets the requirements for a separate child health program (Sections 2101(a)(1) and 2103); OR

Guidance: Check below if child health assistance shall be provided primarily through providing expanded eligibility under the State’s Medicaid program (Title XIX). Note that if this is selected the State must also submit a corresponding Medicaid SPA to CMS for review and approval.

**1.1.2.**  Providing expanded benefits under the State’s Medicaid plan (Title XIX) (Section 2101(a)(2)); OR

Guidance: Check below if child health assistance shall be provided through a combination of both 1.1.1. and 1.1.2. (Coverage that meets the requirements of Title XXI, in conjunction with an expansion in the State’s Medicaid program). Note that if this is selected the state must also submit a corresponding Medicaid state plan amendment to CMS for review and approval.

**1.1.3.**  A combination of both of the above. (Section 2101(a)(2))

**1.1-DS**  The State will provide dental-only supplemental coverage. Only States operating a separate CHIP program are eligible for this option. States choosing this option must also complete sections 4.1-DS, 4.2-DS, 6.2-DS, 8.2-DS, and 9.10 of this SPA template. (Section 2110(b)(5))

**1.2.**  Check to provide an assurance that expenditures for child health assistance will not be claimed prior to the time that the State has legislative authority to operate the State plan or plan amendment as approved by CMS. (42 CFR 457.40(d))

**1.3.**  Check to provide an assurance that the State complies with all applicable civil rights requirements, including title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, 45 CFR part 80, part 84, and part 91, and 28 CFR part 35. (42 CFR 457.130)

Guidance: The effective date as specified below is defined as the date on which the State begins to incur costs to implement its State plan or amendment. (42 CFR 457.65) The implementation date is defined as the date the State begins to provide services; or, the date on which the State puts into practice the new policy described in the State plan or amendment. For example, in a State that has increased eligibility, this is the date on which the State begins to provide coverage to enrollees (and not the date the State begins outreach or accepting applications).

- 1.4.** Provide the effective (date costs begin to be incurred) and implementation (date services begin to be provided) dates for this SPA (42 CFR 457.65). A SPA may only have one effective date, but provisions within the SPA may have different implementation dates that must be after the effective date.

Original Plan

Effective Date: July 1, 1998

Implementation Date: July 1, 1998

**State Plan Amendment #2: Minor revisions to performance measures in approved XXI State Plan**

**Submitted: May 30, 2000**

**CMS Approved: September 11, 2000**

**State Plan Amendment #3: Increase enrollment cap to 19,800**

**Submitted: December 12, 2000**

**CMS Approved: March 9, 2001**

**State Plan Amendment #4 Compliance with final CHIP regulations and updated program descriptions**

**Submitted: July 31, 2002**

**CMS Approved: April 15, 2003**

**State Plan Amendment #5: Asset limit increase to \$10,000**

**Submitted: August 19, 2004**

**CMS Approved: November 10, 2004**

**Effective date: October 1, 2004**

**State Plan Amendment #6: Duration of eligibility period increased to 12 months**

**Submitted: May 16, 2006**

**CMS Approved: August 1, 2006**

**Effective Date: June 1, 2006**

**State Plan Amendment # 7: Unborn child expansion**

**Submitted: July 31, 2007**

**Approved: April 9, 2008**

**Effective: April 1, 2008**

**State Plan Amendment #8: Require SSN on application**

**Submitted: September 13, 2007**

**Approved: December 12, 2007**

**Effective: October 1, 2007**

**State Plan Amendment # 9: Transition the following targeted low income children from Section 1115 demonstration to the state plan: children ages 0 through 18 above 170 percent of the FPL up to 185 percent of the FPL.**

**Submitted: November 30, 2007**

**Approved: September 16, 2008**

**Effective: November 1, 2007**

**State Plan Amendment # 10: This amendment expands the income eligibility level for CHIP children through age 18 from 185 percent of the Federal poverty level (FPL) up to and including 300 percent of the FPL under the State's Healthy Kids initiative. This SPA is a companion amendment to the State's section 1115 title XXI demonstration amendment. This SPA also creates a new private insurance option, referred to as Healthy KidsConnect, specifically for children from 200 up to and including 300 percent of the FPL under Secretary-approved coverage under the CHIP state plan. In addition, this SPA institutes an Application Assistance Program to assist families applying for CHIP and other child health programs in the State as part of its Healthy Kids initiative, finances an outreach and enrollment grant program designed to provide culturally-specific and targeted outreach and direct application assistance to families in racial, ethnic and language minority communities living in geographic isolation or with additional access barriers, reduces the waiting period of uninsurance for CHIP coverage from 6 months to 2 months, and eliminates the asset test in CHIP. This amendment will have a retroactive effective date of October 1, 2009, for the expansion of eligibility from 185 percent of FPL up to and including 200 percent of the FPL, as well as for the application assistance program, outreach and enrollment grant program, the waiting period reduction, and elimination of the asset test.**

**Submitted: July 27, 2009**

**Effective: October 1, 2009**

**This amendment will also have an effective date of January 1, 2010, for the expansion of eligibility above 200 percent of the FPL up to and including 300 percent of the FPL. However, the State must receive approval for its section 1115 demonstration amendment in order to permit children to enroll in its premium**

assistance programs.  
**Submitted: July 27, 2009**  
**Approved: December 18, 2009**  
**Effective: January 1, 2010.**

**State Plan Amendment #11: Expand Unborn population coverage to Benton, Clackamas, Hood River, Jackson and Lincoln counties.**  
**Submitted: August 26, 2009**  
**Effective: October 1, 2009**  
**This amendment also closes the expansion in Lincoln County effective December 31, 2009.**  
**Submitted: December 22, 2009**  
**Approved: September 20, 2010**  
**Effective: January 1, 2009**

**State Plan Amendment # 12: CHIPRA provisions related to those who have not met the 5 year waiting period for immigrant children.**  
**Submitted: July 27, 2009**  
**Approved: May 18, 2010**  
**Effective: October 1, 2009**

**State Plan Amendment # 13: Designate express lane eligibility agencies as the Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program (SNAP) and selected Department of Education, National School Lunch Program (NSLP).**  
**Submitted: August 9, 2010**  
**Approved: October 21, 2010**  
**Effective: August 1, 2010**

**State Plan Amendment #14: Expand Unborn population coverage to Lane county.**  
**Submitted: October 11, 2010**  
**Effective: January 1, 2011**  
**This Amendment also revises the budget month used for income eligibility**  
**Approved: December 30, 2010**  
**Effective: November 1, 2010**

**State Plan Amendment #15: withdrawn**

**State Plan Amendment #16: Expand Unborn population coverage to Columbia, Crook, Douglas, Josephine, Jefferson, Morrow, Union and Wasco county.**  
**Submitted: March 28, 2011**  
**Effective: July 1, 2011**  
**Approved: May 26, 2011**

**State Plan Amendment #17: (a) Expand Unborn population coverage to Umatilla county. (b) Provisions implementing temporary adjustments to enrollment and redetermination policies and cost sharing requirements for children in families living and/or working in Governor or FEMA declared disaster areas. In the event of a natural disaster, the State will notify CMS that it intends to provide temporary adjustments to its enrollment and/or redetermination policies and cost sharing requirements, the effective and duration date of such adjustments, and the applicable Governor or FEMA declared disaster areas. (c) This amendment also closes the expansion in Josephine county effective July 1, 2011.**

**Submitted: March 29, 2012**

**Effective: April 1, 2012**

**Approved: April 30, 2012**

**State Plan Amendment # 18: This amendment is to provide federal funding for the Oregon Poison Center (OPC) under a health services initiative.**

**Submitted: May 4, 2012**

**Effective: April 1, 2012**

**Approved: September 27, 2012**

**State Plan Amendment # 19: Children currently enrolled in Healthy KidsConnect with incomes between 200 and 300% FPL will be converted to CHIP direct coverage. Expand OHP Plus direct coverage to children to at or below 300% and reduce the period of uninsurance from 2 months to zero.**

**Submitted: 8/29/13**

**Effective: 8/23/13**

**This Amendment also expands the unborn population coverage statewide.**

**Submitted: 8/29/13**

**Effective: 10/1/13**

**Approved: 11/22/13**

**State Plan Amendment # 120 (13-0120) ACA MAGI elig. Form CS15**

**Adds new subsection 4.3.4, CS13 adds new subsection 4.3.5. CS7, CS8 supersede section 4.1.1, 4.1.2 and 4.1.3.**

**Submitted: 11/12/13**

**Effective: 1/1/14**

**Approved: 2/10/14**

**State Plan Amendment # 121 (13-0121) ACA elig process. Form CS24 supersedes section 4.3 & 4.4.**

**Submitted: 11/12/13**

**Effective: 1/1/14**

**Approved: 5/5/14**

**State Plan Amendment # 122 (13-0122) ACA established 2101(f). Form CS14 adds new subsection 4.1. 10.**

**Submitted: 11/12/13**

**Effective: 1/1/14**

**Approved: 2/3/14**

**State Plan Amendment #123 (13-0123) ACA Non financial elig. Form CS17 superseded section 4.1.5. CS18 superseded section 4.1.0, 4.1-LR; 4.1.1-LR. CS19 supersedes section 4.1.9. CS21 supersedes section 8.7. CS27 supersedes section 4.1.8 and CS28 supersedes section 4.4.3.**

**Submitted: 11/12/13**

**Effective: 1/1/14**

**Approved: 2/10/14**

**State Plan Amendment # 124 (13-0124) ACA Chip to XXI Medicaid. Form CS3 supersedes section 4.0.**

**Submitted: 11/12/13**

**Effective: 1/1/14**

**Approved: 2/3/14**

**State Plan Amendment # 126 (15-0126) Single streamline application Form CS24 supersedes section 4.3 & 4.4.**

**Submitted: 8/21/15**

**Effective: 12/15/15**

**Approved: 8/18/16**

**State Plan Amendment # 127 (15-0127) FFM assessment designation. Form CS24**

**Submitted: 9/17/15**

**Effective: 11/1/15**

**Approved: 12/2/15**

**State Plan Amendment # 128 MHPAEA provisions**

**Submitted: 6/29/18**

**Effective: 10/1/17**

**Approved: 9/12/19**

**State Plan Amendment # 129 (18-0129) Hospital Presumptive Eligibility. Form CS28**

**Submitted: 7/18/18**

**Effective: 2/1/18**

**Approved:8/23/18**

**State Plan Amendment # 130 (OR-19-0130) CHIP MCO provisions**

**Submitted: 6/13/19**

**Effective: 7/1/18**

**Approved: 7/11/19**

## Superseding Pages of MAGI CHIP State Plan Material

### State: Oregon

Transmittal Number	SPA Group	PDF #	Description	Superseded Plan Section(s)
<b>OR-13-0120</b>  Effective/Implementation Date: January 1, 2014	MAGI Eligibility & Methods	CS7	Eligibility – Targeted Low Income Children	Supersedes the current sections Geographic Area 4.1.1; Age 4.1.2; and Income 4.1.3
		CS15	MAGI-Based Income Methodologies	Incorporate within a separate subsection under section 4.3
		CS9	Conception to birth	Incorporate under new section 4.3.5
		CS13	Deemed newborn	Incorporate under section 4.3
<b>OR-13-0121</b>  Effective/Implementation Date: October 1, 2013	Eligibility Processing	CS24	Eligibility Process	Supersedes the current sections 4.3 and 4.4
<b>OR-13-0122</b>  Effective/Implementation Date: January 1, 2014	Establish 2101(f) Group	CS14	Children Ineligible for Medicaid as a Result of the Elimination of Income Disregards	Incorporate within a separate subsection under section 4.1
<b>OR-13-0123</b>  Effective/Implementation Date: January 1, 2014	Non-Financial Eligibility	CS17	Non-Financial Eligibility – Residency	Supersedes the current section 4.1.5
		CS18	Non-Financial – Citizenship	Supersedes the current sections 4.1.0; 4.1.1-LR; 4.1.1-LR
		CS19	Non-Financial – Social Security Number	Supersedes the current section 4.1.9.1
		CS20	Substitution of Coverage	Supersedes the current section 4.4.4
		CS27	Continuous Eligibility	Supersedes the current section 4.1.9.2
		CS28	Presumptive eligibility-	

Transmittal Number	SPA Group	PDF #	Description	Superseded Plan Section(s)
			hospitals	Incorporated under new subsection 4.3.2.1
<b>OR-13-0124</b> Effective/Implementation Date: January 1, 2014	XXI Medicaid Expansion	CS3	Eligibility for Medicaid Expansion Program	Supersedes the current Medicaid expansion section 4.0

- 1.4- TC Tribal Consultation** (Section 2107(e)(1)(C)) Describe the consultation process that occurred specifically for the development and submission of this State Plan Amendment, when it occurred and who was involved.  
**The State uses the consultation process as outlined in section 2.3 of this State plan. Specific to this Amendment, The OHA presented information to the ‘770’ Tribal meeting on 8/23/17. Tribal entities are given an opportunity to ask questions or to comment prior to the SPA submission as outlined in the approved Tribal consultation policy.**

TN No: 10-21 Approval Date 3/21/11 Effective Date 10/1/10

**Section 2. General Background and Description of Approach to Children’s Health Insurance Coverage and Coordination**

Guidance: The demographic information requested in 2.1. can be used for State planning and will be used strictly for informational purposes. **THESE NUMBERS WILL NOT BE USED AS A BASIS FOR THE ALLOTMENT.**

Factors that the State may consider in the provision of this information are age breakouts, income brackets, definitions of insurability, and geographic location, as well as race and ethnicity. The State should describe its information sources and the assumptions it uses for the development of its description.

- Population
- Number of uninsured
- Race demographics
- Age Demographics
- Info per region/Geographic information

- 2.1.** Describe the extent to which, and manner in which, children in the State (including targeted low-income children and other groups of children specified) identified, by income level and other relevant factors, such as race, ethnicity and geographic location, currently have creditable health coverage (as defined in 42 CFR 457.10). To the extent

feasible, distinguish between creditable coverage under public health insurance programs and public-private partnerships (See Section 10 for annual report requirements). (Section 2102(a)(1)); (42 CFR 457.80(a))

**Prior to implementation of CHIP in 1998, approximately 870,000 children in the state of Oregon were under the age of 19. About 92 percent of them, 800,000, had health insurance coverage of some form. Children of Hispanic or racial minority origin are more likely than their Caucasian counterparts to be uninsured. Fourteen percent of Hispanic children and 9 percent of other minority children are uninsured, compared with only 7 percent of Caucasian children. Most uninsured children live in households earning less than \$25,000 per year, while median household income for all children in the state is more than \$40,000 per year.**

**The economic conditions of the state and nation reflect an increase in the number of uninsured. The 2006 Oregon Population Survey (OPS) shows 12.6% of children under age 19 lacked health insurance coverage last year compared to 13% in 2004 and 10.6% in 2002. The table below compares number of uninsured children by Federal Poverty Level (FPL).**

	<100%	100-135%	135-150%	150-185%	186-200%	>200%
Age 0-4 Total	35,817	13,567	9,226	15,195	11,668	148,695
Age 0-4 insured	32,021	12,129	8,248	13,584	10,431	132,934
Age 0-4 uninsured	3,797	1,438	978	1,611	1,237	15,762
Age 5-9 Total	37,076	14,044	9,550	15,729	12,078	153,923
Age 5-9 insured	33,146	12,555	8,538	14,062	10,798	137,608
Age 5-9 uninsured	3,930	1,489	1,012	1,667	1,280	16,316
Age 10-14 Total	38,818	14,704	9,999	16,468	12,645	161,155
Age 10-14 insured	34,704	13,145	8,939	14,723	11,305	144,073
Age 10-14 Uninsured	4,115	1,559	1,060	1,746	1,340	17,082
Age 15-17 Total	23,556	8,923	6,067	9,993	7,673	97,792
Age 15-17 insured	21,059	7,977	5,424	8,934	6,860	87,426
Age 15-17	2,497	946	643	1,059	813	10,366

	<100%	100-135%	135-150%	150-185%	186-200%	>200%
Uninsured						

Sources: Population Research Center, Portland State University data for July 2008, Bureau of Labor Statistics/ U.S. Census; Current Population Survey (CPS)

The primary source of health coverage for most children is an employer-based policy, most often sponsored by a parent’s employer. In 1998 Employer-based coverage accounted for 82 percent of all children’s health insurance coverage in the state, while public sources made up 13 percent and the remaining 5 percent was from other sources. In 2007 Employer-based coverage decreased as the unemployment rate increased.

During Oregon’s 2009 Legislative Session, HB 2116 created the Healthy Kids initiative. Healthy Kids provides coverage for uninsured children through age 18 in the State. The objective of Healthy Kids is to provide options for children at all income levels, remove barriers to accessing health care coverage and build on existing programs already available to Oregon families. HB 2116 increases the FPL from 185 percent of FPL up to and including 300 percent of FPL for children.

Guidance: Section 2.2 allows states to request to use the funds available under the 10 percent limit on administrative expenditures in order to fund services not otherwise allowable. The health services initiatives must meet the requirements of 42 CFR 457.10.

**2.2. Health Services Initiatives-** Describe if the State will use the health services initiative option as allowed at 42 CFR 457.10. If so, describe what services or programs the State is proposing to cover with administrative funds, including the cost of each program, and how it is currently funded (if applicable), also update the budget accordingly. (Section 2105(a)(1)(D)(ii)); (42 CFR 457.10)

**Oregon will use CHIP funds, within the 10 percent federal administrative expenditures cap allowed for states, to support the Oregon Poison Center (OPC). The OPC provides emergency telephone treatment advice, referral assistance, and information to manage exposures to poisonous and hazardous substances. The OPC answers poisoning emergency calls from the general public as well as health care providers needing assistance 24 hours a day, 365 days each year at no charge. At all times, Specialists in Poison Information, Certified Specialists in Poison Information, and toxicologists are available to manage cases. The service is provided via a toll-free telephone number to all communities throughout Oregon, including under-served, low income, and indigent populations. Services are available by use of an interpreter in over 150 languages and via telecommunications devices for the deaf and hearing impaired (TTY).**

**The OPC provides public education programs directed towards pediatric accidental poisoning as well as targeted “at-risk” populations. Educational materials and teaching curricula are distributed throughout the state, free of charge. Materials**

are also available in Spanish, Vietnamese and Russian. The OPC participates in a variety of community injury prevention including health fairs.

**The OPC receives approximately 46,000 calls from Oregonians annually involving individuals exposed to poisons or hazardous substances. Sixty-four percent of all poisoning exposure calls received involve children under age 19. For CHIP eligible children, over 38 percent of the total calls relate to poisoning exposures of children in families whose annual household incomes is \$44,700 or less (200% FPL for a family of 4 in 2011). In addition to calls regarding exposures, the OPC receives over 7,800 calls each year from Oregonians requesting information about poison prevention, effective use of chemicals, drug identification, substance abuse and other medical questions. These calls are considered preventive.**

**OPC intervention resulted in over 92 percent of the exposure calls (in children under age 19) being handled in the home so the children did not have to use an emergency department or need a 911 call and response.**

**The Authority has no special funding arrangement with the providers for these services and they retain 100% of the approved reimbursement from the state. Providers do not return any portion of the payments to the state.**

### **2.3-TC**

**Tribal Consultation Requirements-** (Sections 1902(a)(73) and 2107(e)(1)(C)); (ARRA #2, CHIPRA #3, issued May 28, 2009) Section 1902(a)(73) of the Social Security Act (the Act) requires a State in which one or more Indian Health Programs or Urban Indian Organizations furnish health care services to establish a process for the State Medicaid agency to seek advice on a regular, ongoing basis from designees of Indian health programs, whether operated by the Indian Health Service (IHS), Tribes or Tribal organizations under the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (ISDEAA), or Urban Indian Organizations under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (IHCIA). Section 2107(e)(1)(C) of the Act was also amended to apply these requirements to the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP). Consultation is required concerning Medicaid and CHIP matters having a direct impact on Indian health programs and Urban Indian organizations.

Describe the process the State uses to seek advice on a regular, ongoing basis from federally-recognized tribes, Indian Health Programs and Urban Indian Organizations on matters related to Medicaid and CHIP programs and for consultation on State Plan Amendments, waiver proposals, waiver extensions, waiver amendments, waiver renewals and proposals for demonstration projects prior to submission to CMS. Include information about the frequency, inclusiveness and process for seeking such advice.  
**The Oregon Health Authority (OHA) has regular quarterly meetings with the nine**

**federally recognized Tribes, Urban Indian Programs and Indian Health Service (IHS) representatives. The agenda's are mainly driven by the Indian communities of Oregon, Urban Indian Programs and Indian Health Service (IHS) representatives and are constructed by requesting topic's to be discussed at the meeting. These meetings are referred to in Oregon as Senate Bill 770 in reference to the legislation authoring the meeting. The OHA may engage the tribal and urban program representatives outside of the meeting setting through correspondence in the event a policy change is needed more quickly than the next 770 meeting will support. Each Tribe and Indian Organization selects its representative to the meetings based on whom the Tribe or Indian Organization feels is best to represent their needs.**

**The OHA discusses proposed State Plan Amendments, waiver amendments, demonstration project proposals. Policies or rule-making that may have a direct impact on American Indians, Tribal entities and urban Indian programs or IHS in the SB 770 quarterly meetings.**

**Impacts that are considered to have direct effects on Native Americans, Urban Indian programs or IHS are changes that would impact eligibility determinations, changes that reduce payment rates or changes in payment methodologies, reductions in covered services, changes in provider qualifications/requirements, and proposals for demonstrations or waivers.**

**Process:**

**Thirty (30) days prior to a State Plan submission to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), the OHA distributes documents describing a proposed State Plan Amendments (SPA). This is normally discussed in a scheduled quarterly SB 770 meeting. Approximately ten (10) days prior to the quarterly 770 meeting the Division distributes the agenda and documents describing a proposed SPA. This is distributed through the Tribal Liaison to the nine federally recognized Tribes, Tribal Urban Indian programs and Indian Health Service (IHS) representatives. The types of entities on the distribution list includes, but is not limited to:**

- a. Oregon Tribal Governments (i.e. Tribal Executive Council, Tribal Business Council, etc.)**
- b. Tribal Chairman or Chief or their designated representative(s)**
- c. Tribal Health Clinic Executive Directors of Oregon's 638/FQHC providers**
- d. IHS representatives**
- e. Tribal Organizations established to represent IHS and Tribal health programs and such as the Northwest Portland Indian Health Board**
- f. Urban Indian program(s) Executive Director(s) or designee(s)**

**In instances where a SPA would need to be submitted prior to a regularly scheduled '770' meeting the OHA would utilize electronic mail or schedule conference calls.**

The OHA may also utilize an expedited process in the event a deadline is outside the control of the agency, or in severely time limited situations. The expedited process includes at a minimum, 10 days in advance of the change the agency provides written notification with the proposed change; anticipated impact; method for providing comments/questions; timeframe for feedback; and an opportunity for a face-to-face meeting or conference call if requested.

Tribal, Urban Indian program and IHS designees are invited to attend all Divisions' Rule Advisory Committee meetings to provide additional input on rule concepts and language.

### **Section 3. Methods of Delivery and Utilization Controls**

- Check here if the State elects to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded eligibility under the State's Medicaid plan, and continue on to Section 4.

Guidance: In Section 3.1, describe all delivery methods the State will use to provide services to enrollees, including: (1) contracts with managed care organizations (MCO), prepaid inpatient health plans (PIHP), prepaid ambulatory health plans (PAHP), primary care case management entities (PCCM entities), and primary care case managers (PCCM); (2) contracts with indemnity health insurance plans; (3) fee-for-service (FFS) paid by the State to health care providers; and (4) any other arrangements for health care delivery. The State should describe any variations based upon geography and by population (including the conception to birth population). States must submit the managed care contract(s) to CMS' Regional Office for review.

## **3.1. Delivery Systems (Section 2102(a)(4)) (42 CFR 457.490; Part 457, Subpart L)**

### **3.1.1 Choice of Delivery System**

**3.1.1.1** Does the State use a managed care delivery system for its CHIP populations? Managed care entities include MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCM entities and PCCMs as defined in 42 CFR 457.10. Please check the box and answer the questions below that apply to your State.

- No, the State does not use a managed care delivery system for any CHIP populations.
- Yes, the State uses a managed care delivery system for all CHIP populations.
- Yes, the State uses a managed care delivery system; however, only some of the CHIP population is included in the managed care

delivery system and some of the CHIP population is included in a fee-for-service system.

If the State uses a managed care delivery system for only some of its CHIP populations and a fee-for-service system for some of its CHIP populations, please describe which populations are, and which are not, included in the State's managed care delivery system for CHIP. States will be asked to specify which managed care entities are used by the State in its managed care delivery system below in Section 3.1.2. **Approximately 85% of the CHIP eligible Targeted Low Income Children 0-18 years old with a FPL at or below 300%, are enrolled in a MCE known as Coordinated Care Organizations (CCO). The rest are in FFS for a variety of reasons such as; those eligible under the 'Conception to Birth' or 'unborn' population are never enrolled in a CCO; American Indians and Alaska natives must 'opt' into an MCO otherwise they remain FFS and Continuity of Care exemptions.**

Guidance: Utilization control systems are those administrative mechanisms that are designed to ensure that enrollees receiving health care services under the State plan receive only appropriate and medically necessary health care consistent with the benefit package.

Examples of utilization control systems include, but are not limited to: requirements for referrals to specialty care; requirements that clinicians use clinical practice guidelines; or demand management systems (e.g., use of an 800 number for after-hours and urgent care). In addition, the State should describe its plans for review, coordination, and implementation of utilization controls, addressing both procedures and State developed standards for review, in order to assure that necessary care is delivered in a cost-effective and efficient manner. (42 CFR 457.490(b))

If the State does not use a managed care delivery system for any or some of its CHIP populations, describe the methods of delivery of the child health assistance using Title XXI funds to targeted low-income children. Include a description of:

- The methods for assuring delivery of the insurance products and delivery of health care services covered by such products to the enrollees, including any variations. (Section 2102(a)(4); 42 CFR 457.490(a))
- The utilization control systems designed to ensure that enrollees receiving health care services under the State plan receive only appropriate and medically necessary health care consistent with the benefit package described in the approved State plan. (Section

2102(a)(4); 42 CFR 457.490(b)) **Oregon’s CHIP program coverage is called the OHP which is the same coverage under its Medicaid State Plan and 1115 Waiver demonstration. The program utilizes the Health Evidence Review Committee’s prioritized list of health services as one of its main tools for utilization control. This prioritized list is authorized under Oregon’s’ 1115 demonstration waiver. Other methods are the use of Prior authorized services through medical necessity review.**

Guidance: Only States that use a managed care delivery system for all or some CHIP populations need to answer the remaining questions under Section 3 (starting with 3.1.1.2). If the State uses a managed care delivery system for only some of its CHIP population, the State’s responses to the following questions will only apply to those populations.

**3.1.1.2** Do any of your CHIP populations that receive services through a managed care delivery system receive any services outside of a managed care delivery system?

- No
- Yes

If yes, please describe which services are carved out of your managed care delivery system and how the State provides these services to an enrollee, such as through fee-for-service. Examples of carved out services may include transportation and dental, among others. **Services that are carved out of MCE coverage and provided under the state’s FFS program are Standard Therapeutic classification 7 and 11 prescription drugs; Long Term care Services and Supports and Targeted Case Management. Family Planning services are considered open access, meaning the person is enrolled in an MCE however, they can go to the provider of their choice even if the provider is not in the MCOs network. If the provider is out of network the claim is paid FFS.**

### **3.1.2 Use of a Managed Care Delivery System for All or Some of the State’s CHIP Populations**

**3.1.2.1** Check each of the types of entities below that the State will contract with under its managed care delivery system, and select and/or explain the method(s) of payment that the State will use:

- Managed care organization (MCO) (42 CFR 457.10)
- Capitation payment **PMPM by category**

Describe population served: **CHIP eligible Targeted Low Income Children 0-18 years old, at or below 300% of FPL**

- Prepaid inpatient health plan (PIHP) (42 CFR 457.10)
  - Capitation payment
  - Other (please explain)

Describe population served: **CHIP eligible Targeted Low Income Children 0-18 year old up to 300% of FPL**

Guidance: If the State uses prepaid ambulatory health plan(s) (PAHP) to exclusively provide non-emergency medical transportation (a NEMT PAHP), the State should not check the following box for that plan. Instead, complete section 3.1.3 for the NEMT PAHP.

- Prepaid ambulatory health plan (PAHP) (42 CFR 457.10)
  - Capitation payment **PMPM by category**
  - Other (please explain)

Describe population served: **CHIP eligible Targeted Low Income Children 0-18 years old, at or below 300% of FPL**

- Primary care case manager (PCCM) (individual practitioners) (42 CFR 457.10)
  - Case management fee
  - Other (please explain)

- Primary care case management entity (PCCM Entity) (42 CFR 457.10)
  - Case management fee
  - Shared savings, incentive payments, and/or other financial rewards for improved quality outcomes (see 42 CFR 457.1240(f))
  - Other (please explain)

If PCCM entity is selected, please indicate which of the following function(s) the entity will provide (as described in 42 CFR 457.10), in addition to PCCM services:

- Provision of intensive telephonic case management
- Provision of face-to-face case management
- Operation of a nurse triage advice line
- Development of enrollee care plans
- Execution of contracts with fee-for-service (FFS) providers in the FFS program

- Oversight responsibilities for the activities of FFS providers in the FFS program
- Provision of payments to FFS providers on behalf of the State
- Provision of enrollee outreach and education activities
- Operation of a customer service call center
- Review of provider claims, utilization and/or practice patterns to conduct provider profiling and/or practice improvement
- Implementation of quality improvement activities including administering enrollee satisfaction surveys or collecting data necessary for performance measurement of providers
- Coordination with behavioral health systems/providers
- Other (please describe)

- 3.1.2.2  The State assures that if its contract with an MCO, PAHP, or PIHP allows the entity to use a physician incentive plan, the contract stipulates that the entity must comply with the requirements set forth in 42 CFR 422.208 and 422.210. (42 CFR 457.1201(h), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.3(i))

### 3.1.3 Nonemergency Medical Transportation PAHPs

Guidance: Only complete Section 3.1.3 if the State uses a PAHP to exclusively provide non-emergency medical transportation (a NEMT PAHP). If a NEMT PAHP is the only managed care entity for CHIP in the State, please continue to Section 4 after checking the assurance below. If the State uses a PAHP that does not exclusively provide NEMT and/or uses other managed care entities beyond a NEMT PAHP, the State will need to complete the remaining sections within Section 3.

- The State assures that it complies with all requirements applicable to NEMT PAHPs, and through its contracts with such entities, requires NEMT PAHPs to comply with all applicable requirements, including the following (from 42 CFR 457.1206(b)):
  - All contract provisions in 42 CFR 457.1201 except those set forth in 42 CFR 457.1201(h) (related to physician incentive plans) and 42 CFR 457.1201(l) (related to mental health parity).
  - The information requirements in 42 CFR 457.1207 (see Section 3.5 below for more details).
  - The provision against provider discrimination in 42 CFR 457.1208.
  - The State responsibility provisions in 42 CFR 457.1212 (about disenrollment), 42 CFR 457.1214 (about conflict of interest safeguards), and 42 CFR 438.62(a), as cross-referenced in 42 CFR 457.1216 (about continued services to enrollees).
  - The provisions on enrollee rights and protections in 42 CFR 457.1220, 457.1222, 457.1224, and 457.1226.

- The PAHP standards in 42 CFR 438.206(b)(1), as cross-referenced by 42 CFR 457.1230(a) (about availability of services), 42 CFR 457.1230(d) (about coverage and authorization of services), and 42 CFR 457.1233(a), (b) and (d) (about structure and operation standards).
- An enrollee's right to a State review under subpart K of 42 CFR 457.
- Prohibitions against affiliations with individuals debarred or excluded by Federal agencies in 42 CFR 438.610, as cross referenced by 42 CFR 457.1285.
- Requirements relating to contracts involving Indians, Indian Health Care Providers, and Indian managed care entities in 42 CFR 457.1209.

### **3.2. General Managed Care Contract Provisions**

- 3.2.1**  The State assures that it provides for free and open competition, to the maximum extent practical, in the bidding of all procurement contracts for coverage or other services, including external quality review organizations, in accordance with the procurement requirements of 45 CFR part 75, as applicable. (42 CFR 457.940(b); 42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.356(e))
- 3.2.2**  The State assures that it will include provisions in all managed care contracts that define a sound and complete procurement contract, as required by 45 CFR part 75, as applicable. (42 CFR 457.940(c))
- 3.2.3**  The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, and PCCM entity complies with any applicable Federal and State laws that pertain to enrollee rights, and ensures that its employees and contract providers observe and protect those rights (42 CFR 457.1220, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.100). These Federal and State laws include: Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (45 CFR part 80), Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (45 CFR part 91), Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Titles II and III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, and section 1557 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.
- 3.2.4**  The State assures that it operates a Web site that provides the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, and PCCM entity contracts. (42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(c)(3))

### **3.3 Rate Development Standards and Medical Loss Ratio**

- 3.3.1** The State assures that its payment rates are:  
 Based on public or private payment rates for comparable services for comparable populations; and

- Consistent with actuarially sound principles as defined in 42 CFR 457.10. (42 CFR 457.1203(a))

Guidance: States that checked both boxes under 3.3.1 above do not need to make the next assurance. If the state is unable to check both boxes under 3.1.1 above, the state must check the next assurance.

- If the State is unable to meet the requirements under 42 CFR 457.1203(a), the State attests that it must establish higher rates because such rates are necessary to ensure sufficient provider participation or provider access or to enroll providers who demonstrate exceptional efficiency or quality in the provision of services. (42 CFR 457.1203(b))

- 3.3.2  The State assures that its rates are designed to reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio standard equal to at least 85 percent for the rate year and provide for reasonable administrative costs. (42 CFR 457.1203(c))
- 3.3.3  The State assures that it will provide to CMS, if requested by CMS, a description of the manner in which rates were developed in accordance with the requirements of 42 CFR 457.1203(a) through (c). (42 CFR 457.1203(d))
- 3.3.4  The State assures that it annually submits to CMS a summary description of the reports pertaining to the medical loss ratio received from the MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs. (42 CFR 457.1203(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.74(a))
- 3.3.5 Does the State require an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to pay remittances through the contract for not meeting the minimum MLR required by the State? (42 CFR 457.1203(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.74(b)(1))
- No, the State does not require any MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to pay remittances.
- Yes, the State requires all MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs to pay remittances.
- Yes, the State requires some, but not all, MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs to pay remittances.

If the State requests some, but not all, MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs to pay remittances through the contract for not meeting the minimum MLR required by the State, please describe which types of managed care entities are and are not required to pay remittances. For example, if a state requires a medical MCO to pay a remittances but not a dental PAHP, please include this information. **The State only requires CCOs to meet the 85% MLR. DCOs and MHO are not required to meet the 85% MLR.**

If the answer to the assurance above is yes for any or all managed care entities, please answer the next assurance:

- ☒ The State assures that if a remittance is owed by an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to the State, the State:
  - Reimburses CMS for an amount equal to the Federal share of the remittance, taking into account applicable differences in the Federal matching rate; and
  - Submits a separate report describing the methodology used to determine the State and Federal share of the remittance with the annual report provided to CMS that summarizes the reports received from the MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs. (42 CFR 457.1203(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.74(b))

3.3.6 ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP calculates and reports the medical loss ratio in accordance with 42 CFR 438.8. (42 CFR 457.1203(f))

### 3.4 Enrollment

- ☒ The State assures that its contracts with MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, and PCCM entities provide that the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity:
  - Accepts individuals eligible for enrollment in the order in which they apply without restriction (unless authorized by CMS), up to the limits set under the contract (42 CFR 457.1201(d), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.3(d)(1));
  - Will not, on the basis of health status or need for health care services, discriminate against individuals eligible to enroll (42 CFR 457.1201(d), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.3(d)(3)); and
  - Will not discriminate against individuals eligible to enroll on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or disability and will not use any policy or practice that has the effect of discriminating on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity or disability. (42 CFR 457.1201(d), cross-referencing to 438.3(d)(4))

#### 3.4.1 Enrollment Process

- 3.4.1.1 ☒ The State assures that it provides informational notices to potential enrollees in an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity that includes the available managed care entities, explains how to select an entity, explains the implications of making or not making an active choice of an entity, explains the length of the enrollment period as well as the disenrollment policies, and complies with the information requirements in 42 CFR 457.1207 and accessibility standards established under 42 CFR 457.340. (42 CFR 457.1210(c))

**3.4.1.2**  The State assures that its enrollment system gives beneficiaries already enrolled in an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity priority to continue that enrollment if the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity does not have the capacity to accept all those seeking enrollment under the program. (42 CFR 457.1210(b))

**3.4.1.3** Does the State use a default enrollment process to assign beneficiaries to an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity? (42 CFR 457.1210(a))

Yes

No

If the State uses a default enrollment process, please make the following assurances:

The State assigns beneficiaries only to qualified MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, and PCCM entities that are not subject to the intermediate sanction of having suspension of all new enrollment (including default enrollment) under 42 CFR 438.702 and have capacity to enroll beneficiaries. (42 CFR 457.1210(a)(1)(i))

The State maximizes continuation of existing provider-beneficiary relationships under 42 CFR 457.1210(a)(1)(ii) or if that is not possible, distributes the beneficiaries equitably and does not arbitrarily exclude any MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity from being considered. (42 CFR 457.1210(a)(1)(ii), 42 CFR 457.1210(a)(1)(iii))

## **3.4.2 Disenrollment**

**3.4.2.1**  The State assures that the State will notify enrollees of their right to disenroll consistent with the requirements of 42 CFR 438.56 at least annually. (42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(f)(2))

**3.4.2.2**  The State assures that the effective date of an approved disenrollment, regardless of the procedure followed to request the disenrollment, will be no later than the first day of the second month following the month in which the enrollee requests disenrollment or the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity refers the request to the State. (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 438.56(e)(1))

**3.4.2.3**  If a beneficiary disenrolls from an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity, the State assures that the beneficiary is provided the option to enroll in another plan or receive benefits from an alternative delivery system. (Section 2103(f)(3) of the Social Security Act, incorporating section

1932(a)(4); 42 CFR 457.1212, cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.56; State Health Official Letter #09-008)

#### **3.4.2.4 MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM Entity Requests for Disenrollment.**

- The State assures that contracts with MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs and PCCM entities describe the reasons for which an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM entity may request disenrollment of an enrollee, if any. (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(b))

Guidance: Reasons for disenrollment by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, and PCCM entity must be specified in the contract with the State. Reasons for disenrollment may not include an adverse change in the enrollee's health status, or because of the enrollee's utilization of medical services, diminished mental capacity, or uncooperative or disruptive behavior resulting from his or her special needs (except when his or her continued enrollment in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity seriously impairs the entity's ability to furnish services to either this particular enrollee or other enrollees). (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(b)(2))

#### **3.4.2.5 Enrollee Requests for Disenrollment.**

Guidance: The State may also choose to limit disenrollment from the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity, except for either: 1) for cause, at any time; or 2) without cause during the latter of the 90 days after the beneficiary's initial enrollment or the State sends the beneficiary notice of that enrollment, at least once every 12 months, upon reenrollment if the temporary loss of CHIP eligibility caused the beneficiary to miss the annual disenrollment opportunity, or when the State imposes the intermediate sanction specified in 42 CFR 438.702(a)(4). (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(c))

Does the State limit disenrollment from an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM entity by an enrollee? (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(c))

- Yes  
 No

If the State limits disenrollment by the enrollee from an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM entity, please make the following assurances (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(c)):

- ☒ The State assures that enrollees and their representatives are given written notice of disenrollment rights at least 60 days before the start of each enrollment period. (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(f)(1))
- ☒ The State assures that beneficiary requests to disenroll for cause will be permitted at any time by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity. (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(c)(1) and (d)(2))
- ☒ The State assures that beneficiary requests for disenrollment without cause will be permitted by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity at the following times: **Oregon’s 1115 waiver:21-W-00013/10 and 11-W-00160/10 permits us to utilize 30 day. STC #7 To enable managed care entities to permit enrollees eligible through Medicaid or the CHIP state plan, a period of only 30 days after enrollment to disenroll without cause, instead of 90 days, except beneficiaries newly entering a managed delivery system. All beneficiaries newly entering a managed delivery system receive 90 days to disenroll. Beneficiaries newly entering a managed delivery system are individuals who have never had Coordinated Care Organization -enrollable Oregon Health Plan eligibility. (Applies to all Medicaid state plan populations listed in Attachment D.)**
  - During the 90 days following the date of the beneficiary's initial enrollment into the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity, or during the 90 days following the date the State sends the beneficiary notice of that enrollment, whichever is later;
  - At least once every 12 months thereafter;
  - If the State plan provides for automatic reenrollment for an individual who loses CHIP eligibility for a period of 2 months or less and the temporary loss of CHIP eligibility has caused the beneficiary to miss the annual disenrollment opportunity; and
  - When the State imposes the intermediate sanction on the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity specified in 42 CFR 438.702(a)(4). (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(c)(2))

- 3.4.2.6 ☒ The State assures that the State ensures timely access to a State review for any enrollee dissatisfied with a State agency determination that there is not good cause for disenrollment. (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(f)(2))

### 3.5 Information Requirements for Enrollees and Potential Enrollees

- 3.5.1 ☒ The State assures that it provides, or ensures its contracted MCOs, PAHPs, PIHPs, PCCMs and PCCM entities provide, all enrollment notices, informational materials, and instructional materials related to enrollees and potential enrollees in

accordance with the terms of 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10.

- 3.5.2**  The State assures that all required information provided to enrollees and potential enrollees are in a manner and format that may be easily understood and is readily accessible by such enrollees and potential enrollees. (42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(c)(1))
- 3.5.3**  The State assures that it operates a Web site that provides the content specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(g)-(i) either directly or by linking to individual MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity Web sites.
- 3.5.4**  The State assures that it has developed and requires each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity to use:
- Definitions for the terms specified under 42 CFR 438.10(c)(4)(i), and
  - Model enrollee handbooks, and model enrollee notices. (42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(c)(4))
- 3.5.5**  If the State, MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs or PCCM entities provide the information required under 42 CFR 457.1207 electronically, check this box to confirm that the State assures that it meets the requirements under 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(c)(6) for providing the material in an accessible manner. Including that:
- The format is readily accessible;
  - The information is placed in a location on the State, MCO's, PIHP's, PAHP's, or PCCM's, or PCCM entity's Web site that is prominent and readily accessible;
  - The information is provided in an electronic form which can be electronically retained and printed;
  - The information is consistent with the content and language requirements in 42 CFR 438.10; and
  - The enrollee is informed that the information is available in paper form without charge upon request and is provided the information upon request within 5 business days.
- 3.5.6**  The State assures that it meets the language and format requirements set forth in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(d), including but not limited to:
- Establishing a methodology that identifies the prevalent non-English languages spoken by enrollees and potential enrollees throughout the State, and in each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity service area;
  - Making oral interpretation available in all languages and written translation available in each prevalent non-English language;

- Requiring each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, and PCCM entity to make its written materials that are critical to obtaining services available in the prevalent non-English languages in its particular service area;
- Making interpretation services available to each potential enrollee and requiring each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, and PCCM entity to make those services available free of charge to each enrollee; and
- Notifying potential enrollees, and requiring each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, and PCCM entity to notify its enrollees:
  - That oral interpretation is available for any language and written translation is available in prevalent languages;
  - That auxiliary aids and services are available upon request and at no cost for enrollees with disabilities; and
  - How to access the services in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.10(d)(5)(i) and (ii).

**3.5.7** ☒

The State assures that the State or its contracted representative provides the information specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 438.10(e)(2), and includes the information either in paper or electronic format, to all potential enrollees at the time the potential enrollee becomes eligible to enroll in a voluntary managed care program or is first required to enroll in a mandatory managed care program and within a timeframe that enables the potential enrollee to use the information to choose among the available MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs and PCCM entities:

- Information about the potential enrollee's right to disenroll consistent with the requirements of 42 CFR 438.56 and which explains clearly the process for exercising this disenrollment right, as well as the alternatives available to the potential enrollee based on their specific circumstance;
- The basic features of managed care;
- Which populations are excluded from enrollment in managed care, subject to mandatory enrollment, or free to enroll voluntarily in the program;
- The service area covered by each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity;
- Covered benefits including:
  - Which benefits are provided by the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP; and which, if any, benefits are provided directly by the State; and
  - For a counseling or referral service that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP does not cover because of moral or religious objections, where and how to obtain the service;
- The provider directory and formulary information required in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(h) and (i);
- Any cost-sharing for the enrollee that will be imposed by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity consistent with those set forth in the State

plan;

- The requirements for each MCO, PIHP or PAHP to provide adequate access to covered services, including the network adequacy standards established in 42 CFR 457.1218, cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.68;
- The MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM entity's responsibilities for coordination of enrollee care; and
- To the extent available, quality and performance indicators for each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity, including enrollee satisfaction.

**3.5.8** ☒

The State assures that it will provide the information specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(f) to all enrollees of MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs and PCCM entities, including that the State must notify all enrollees of their right to disenroll consistent with the requirements of 42 CFR 438.56 at least annually.

**3.5.9** ☒

The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity will provide the information specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(f) to all enrollees of MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs and PCCM entities, including that:

- The MCO, PIHP, PAHP and, when appropriate, the PCCM entity, must make a good faith effort to give written notice of termination of a contracted provider within the timeframe specified in 42 CFR 438.10(f), and
- The MCO, PIHP, PAHP and, when appropriate, the PCCM entity must make available, upon request, any physician incentive plans in place as set forth in 42 CFR 438.3(i).

**3.5.10** ☒

The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity will provide enrollees of that MCO, PIHP, PAHP or PCCM entity an enrollee handbook that meets the requirements as applicable to the MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity, specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(g)(1)-(2), within a reasonable time after receiving notice of the beneficiary's enrollment, by a method consistent with 42 CFR 438.10(g)(3), and including the following items:

- Information that enables the enrollee to understand how to effectively use the managed care program, which, at a minimum, must include:
  - Benefits provided by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP or PCCM entity;
  - How and where to access any benefits provided by the State, including any cost sharing, and how transportation is provided; and
  - In the case of a counseling or referral service that the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity does not cover because of moral or religious objections, the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity must inform enrollees that the service is not covered by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity and how they can obtain information from the State about how to access these services;

- The amount, duration, and scope of benefits available under the contract in sufficient detail to ensure that enrollees understand the benefits to which they are entitled;
- Procedures for obtaining benefits, including any requirements for service authorizations and/or referrals for specialty care and for other benefits not furnished by the enrollee's primary care provider;
- The extent to which, and how, after-hours and emergency coverage are provided, including:
  - What constitutes an emergency medical condition and emergency services;
  - The fact that prior authorization is not required for emergency services; and
  - The fact that, subject to the provisions of this section, the enrollee has a right to use any hospital or other setting for emergency care;
- Any restrictions on the enrollee's freedom of choice among network providers;
- The extent to which, and how, enrollees may obtain benefits, including family planning services and supplies from out-of-network providers;
- Cost sharing, if any is imposed under the State plan;
- Enrollee rights and responsibilities, including the elements specified in 42 CFR §438.100;
- The process of selecting and changing the enrollee's primary care provider;
- Grievance, appeal, and review procedures and timeframes, consistent with 42 CFR 457.1260, in a State-developed or State-approved description, including:
  - The right to file grievances and appeals;
  - The requirements and timeframes for filing a grievance or appeal;
  - The availability of assistance in the filing process; and
  - The right to request a State review after the MCO, PIHP or PAHP has made a determination on an enrollee's appeal which is adverse to the enrollee;
- How to access auxiliary aids and services, including additional information in alternative formats or languages;
- The toll-free telephone number for member services, medical management, and any other unit providing services directly to enrollees; and
- Information on how to report suspected fraud or abuse.

**3.5.11** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity will give each enrollee notice of any change that the State defines as significant in the information specified in the enrollee handbook at least 30 days before the

intended effective date of the change. (42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(g)(4))

**3.5.12** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and when appropriate, PCCM entity, will make available a provider directory for the MCO's, PIHP's, PAHP's or PCCM entity's network providers, including for physicians (including specialists), hospitals, pharmacies, and behavioral health providers, that includes information as specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(h)(1)-(2) and (4).

**3.5.13** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and when appropriate, PCCM entity, will update any information included in a paper provider directory at least monthly and in an electronic provider directories as specified in 42 CFR 438.10(h)(3). (42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(h)(3))

**3.5.14** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and when appropriate, PCCM entity, will make available the MCO's, PIHP's, PAHP's, or PCCM entity's formulary that meets the requirements specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(i), including:

- Which medications are covered (both generic and name brand); and
- What tier each medication is on.

**3.5.15** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM entity follows the requirements for marketing activities under 42 CFR 457.1224, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.104 (except 42 CFR 438.104(c)).

Guidance: Requirements for marketing activities include, but are not limited to, that the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity does not distribute any marketing materials without first obtaining State approval; distributes the materials to its entire service areas as indicated in the contract; does not seek to influence enrollment in conjunction with the sale or offering of any private insurance; and does not, directly or indirectly, engage in door-to-door, telephone, email, texting, or other cold-call marketing activities. (42 CFR 104(b))

Guidance: Only States with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to answer the remaining assurances in Section 3.5 (3.5.16 through 3.5.18).

**3.5.16** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP and PAHP protects communications between providers and enrollees under 42 CFR 457.1222, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.102.

**3.5.17** ☒ The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs have arrangements and procedures that prohibit the MCO, PIHP, and PAHP from conducting any unsolicited personal contact with a potential enrollee by an employee or agent of

the MCO, PAHP, or PIHP for the purpose of influencing the individual to enroll with the entity. (42 CFR 457.1280(b)(2))

Guidance: States should also complete Section 3.9, which includes additional provisions about the notice procedures for grievances and appeals.

- 3.5.18**  The State assures that each contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHP comply with the notice requirements specified for grievances and appeals in accordance with the terms of 42 CFR 438, Subpart F, except that the terms of 42 CFR 438.420 do not apply and that references to reviews should be read to refer to reviews as described in 42 CFR 457, Subpart K. (42 CFR 457.1260)

### **3.6 Benefits and Services**

Guidance: The State should also complete Section 3.10 (Program Integrity).

- 3.6.1**  The State assures that MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM entity, and PCCM contracts involving Indians, Indian health care providers, and Indian managed care entities comply with the requirements of 42 CFR 438.14. (42 CFR 457.1209)
- 3.6.2**  The State assures that all services covered under the State plan are available and accessible to enrollees. (42 CFR 457.1230(a), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.206)
- 3.6.3**  The State assures that it:
- Publishes the State’s network adequacy standards developed in accordance with 42 CFR 457.1218, cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.68(b)(1) on the Web site required by 42 CFR 438.10;
  - Makes available, upon request, the State’s network adequacy standards at no cost to enrollees with disabilities in alternate formats or through the provision of auxiliary aids and services. (42 CFR 457.1218, cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.68(e))

Guidance: Only States with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete the remaining assurances in Section 3.6 (3.6.4 through 3.6.20).

- 3.6.4**  The State assures that each MCO, PAHP and PIHP meet the State’s network adequacy standards. (42 CFR 457.1218, cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.68; 42 CFR 457.1230(a), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.206)
- 3.6.5**  The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP includes within its network of credentialed providers:
- A sufficient number of providers to provide adequate access to all services covered under the contract for all enrollees, including those

- with limited English proficiency or physical or mental disabilities;
- Women’s health specialists to provide direct access to covered care necessary to provide women’s routine and preventative health care services for female enrollees; and
- Family planning providers to ensure timely access to covered services. (42 CFR 457.1230(a), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.206(b))

**3.6.6** ☒ The State assures that each contract under 42 CFR 457.1201 permits an enrollee to choose his or her network provider. (42 CFR 457.1201(j), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.3(1))

**3.6.7** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP provides for a second opinion from a network provider, or arranges for the enrollee to obtain one outside the network, at no cost. (42 CFR 457.1230(a), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.206(b)(3))

**3.6.8** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP ensures that providers, in furnishing services to enrollees, provide timely access to care and services, including by:

- Requiring the contract to adequately and timely cover out-of-network services if the provider network is unable to provide necessary services covered under the contract to a particular enrollee and at a cost to the enrollee that is no greater than if the services were furnished within the network;
- Requiring the MCO, PIHP and PAHP meet and its network providers to meet State standards for timely access to care and services, taking into account the urgency of the need for services;
- Ensuring that the hours of operation for a network provider are no less than the hours of operation offered to commercial enrollees or comparable to Medicaid or CHIP Fee-For-Service, if the provider serves only Medicaid or CHIP enrollees;
- Ensuring that the MCO, PIHP and PAHP makes available services include in the contract on a 24 hours a day, 7 days a week basis when medically necessary;
- Establishing mechanisms to ensure compliance by network providers;
- Monitoring network providers regularly to determine compliance;
- Taking corrective action if there is a failure to comply by a network provider. (42 CFR 457.1230(a), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.206(b)(4) and (5) and (c))

**3.6.9** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP has the capacity to serve the expected enrollment in its service area in accordance with the State’s standards for access to care. (42 CFR 457.1230(b), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.207)

**3.6.10** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP will be required to submit documentation to the State, at the time of entering into a contract with the State, on an annual basis, and at any time there has been a significant change to the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP's operations that would affect the adequacy of capacity and services, to demonstrate that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP for the anticipated number of enrollees for the service area:

- Offers an appropriate range of preventative, primary care and specialty services; and
- Maintains a provider network that is sufficient in number, mix, and geographic distribution. (42 CFR 457.1230, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.207(b))

**3.6.11** ☒ Except that 42 CFR 438.210(a)(5) does not apply to CHIP, the State assures that its contracts with each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP comply with the coverage of services requirements under 42 CFR 438.210, including:

- Identifying, defining, and specifying the amount, duration, and scope of each service that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP is required to offer; and
- Permitting an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to place appropriate limits on a service. (42 CFR 457.1230(d), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.210(a) except that 438.210(a)(5) does not apply to CHIP contracts)

**3.6.12** ☒ Except that 438.210(b)(2)(iii) does not apply to CHIP, the State assures that its contracts with each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP comply with the authorization of services requirements under 42 CFR 438.210, including that:

- The MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and its subcontractors have in place and follow written policies and procedures;
- The MCO, PIHP, or PAHP have in place mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria and consult with the requesting provider when appropriate; and
- Any decision to deny a service authorization request or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested be made by an individual with appropriate expertise in addressing the enrollee's medical, or behavioral health needs. (42 CFR 457.1230(d), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.210(b), except that 438.210(b)(2)(iii) does not apply to CHIP contracts)

**3.6.13** ☒ The State assures that its contracts with each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP require each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to notify the requesting provider and given written notice to the enrollee of any adverse benefit determination to deny a service authorization request, or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested. (42 CFR 457.1230(d), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.210(c))

- 3.6.14** ☒ The State assures that its contracts with each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP provide that compensation to individuals or entities that conduct utilization management activities is not structured so as to provide incentives for the individual or entity to deny, limit, or discontinue medically necessary services to any enrollee. (42 CFR 457.1230(d), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.210(e))
- 3.6.15** ☒ The State assures that it has a transition of care policy that meets the requirements of 438.62(b)(1) and requires that each contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHP implements the policy. (42 CFR 457.1216, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.62)
- 3.6.16** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP has implemented procedures to deliver care to and coordinate services for all enrollees in accordance with 42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208, including:
- Ensure that each enrollee has an ongoing source of care appropriate to his or her needs;
  - Ensure that each enrollee has a person or entity formally designated as primarily responsible for coordinating the services accessed by the enrollee;
  - Provide the enrollee with information on how to contract their designated person or entity responsible for the enrollee’s coordination of services;
  - Coordinate the services the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP furnishes to the enrollee between settings of care; with services from any other MCO, PIHP, or PAHP; with fee-for-service services; and with the services the enrollee receives from community and social support providers;
  - Make a best effort to conduct an initial screening of each enrollee’s needs within 90 days of the effective date of enrollment for all new enrollees;
  - Share with the State or other MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs serving the enrollee the results of any identification and assessment of the enrollee’s needs;
  - Ensure that each provider furnishing services to enrollees maintains and shares, as appropriate, an enrollee health record in accordance with professional standards; and
  - Ensure that each enrollee’s privacy is protected in the process of coordinating care is protected with the requirements of 45 CFR parts 160 and 164 subparts A and E. (42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208(b))

Guidance: For assurances 3.6.17 through 3.6.20, applicability to PIHPs and PAHPs is based a determination by the State in relation to the scope of the entity’s services and on the way the State has organized its delivery of managed care services, whether a particular PIHP or PAHP is required to implement the mechanisms for

identifying, assessing, and producing a treatment plan for an individual with special health care needs. (42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208(a)(2))

- 3.6.17**  The State assures that it has implemented mechanisms for identifying to MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs enrollees with special health care needs who are eligible for assessment and treatment services under 42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208(c) and included the mechanism in the State's quality strategy.
- 3.6.18**  The State assures that each applicable MCO, PIHP, and PAHP implements the mechanisms to comprehensively assess each enrollee identified by the state as having special health care needs. (42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208(c)(2))
- 3.6.19**  The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP will produce a treatment or service plan that meets the following requirements for enrollees identified with special health care needs:
- Is in accordance with applicable State quality assurance and utilization review standards;
  - Reviewed and revised upon reassessment of functional need, at least every 12 months, or when the enrollee's circumstances or needs change significantly. (42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208(c)(3))
- 3.6.20**  The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP must have a mechanism in place to allow enrollees to directly access a specialist as appropriate for the enrollee's condition and identified needs for enrollees identified with special health care needs who need a course of treatment or regular care monitoring. (42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208(c)(4))

### **3.7 Operations**

- 3.7.1**  The State assures that it has established a uniform credentialing and recredentialing policy that addresses acute, primary, behavioral, and substance use disorders providers and requires each MCO, PIHP and PAHP to follow those policies. (42 CFR 457.1233(a), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.214(b)(1))

Guidance: Only States with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to answer the remaining assurances in Section 3.7 (3.7.2 through 3.7.9).

- 3.7.2** The State assures each contracted MCO, PIHP and PAHP will comply with the provider selection requirements in 42 CFR 457.1208 and 457.1233(a), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.12 and 438.214, including that:

- ☒ Each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP implements written policies and procedures for selection and retention of network providers (42 CFR 457.1233(a), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.214(a));
- ☒ MCO, PIHP, and PAHP network provider selection policies and procedures do not discriminate against particular providers that serve high-risk populations or specialize in conditions that require costly treatment (42 CFR 457.1233(a), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.214(c));
- ☒ MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs do not discriminate in the participation, reimbursement, or indemnification of any provider who is acting within the scope of his or her license or certification, solely on the basis of that license or certification (42 CFR 457.1208, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.12(a));
- ☒ If an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP declines to include individual or groups of providers in the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP's provider network, the MCO, PIHP, and PAHP gives the affected providers written notice of the reason for the decision (42 CFR 457.1208, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.12(a)); and
- ☒ MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs do not employ or contract with providers excluded from participation in Federal health care programs under either section 1128 or section 1128A of the Act. (42 CFR 457.1233(a), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.214(d)).

### 3.7.3

The State assures that each contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHP complies with the subcontractual relationships and delegation requirements in 42 CFR 457.1233(b), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.230, including that:

- ☒ The MCO, PIHP, or PAHP maintains ultimate responsibility for adhering to and otherwise fully complying with all terms and conditions of its contract with the State;
- ☒ All contracts or written arrangements between the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and any subcontractor specify that all delegated activities or obligations, and related reporting responsibilities, are specified in the contract or written agreement, the subcontractor agrees to perform the delegated activities and reporting responsibilities specified in compliance with the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's contract obligations, and the contract or written arrangement must either provide for revocation of the delegation of activities or obligations, or specify other remedies in instances where the State or the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP determine that the subcontractor has not performed satisfactorily;
- ☒ All contracts or written arrangements between the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and any subcontractor must specify that the subcontractor agrees to comply with all applicable CHIP laws, regulations, including applicable subregulatory guidance and contract provisions; and
- ☒ The subcontractor agrees to the audit provisions in 438.230(c)(3).

- 3.7.4  The State assures that each contracted MCO and, when applicable, each PIHP and PAHP, adopts and disseminates practice guidelines that are based on valid and reliable clinical evidence or a consensus of providers in the particular field; consider the needs of the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's enrollees; are adopted in consultation with network providers; and are reviewed and updated periodically as appropriate. (42 CFR 457.1233(c), cross referencing 42 CFR 438.236(b) and (c))
- 3.7.5  The State assures that each contracted MCO and, when applicable, each PIHP and PAHP makes decisions for utilization management, enrollee education, coverage of services, and other areas to which the guidelines apply are consistent with the practice guidelines. (42 CFR 457.1233(c), cross referencing 42 CFR 438.236(d))
- 3.7.6  The State assures that each contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHP maintains a health information system that collects, analyzes, integrates, and reports data consistent with 42 CFR 438.242. The systems must provide information on areas including, but not limited to, utilization, claims, grievances and appeals, and disenrollments for other than loss of CHIP eligibility. (42 CFR 457.1233(d), cross referencing 42 CFR 438.242)
- 3.7.7  The State assures that it reviews and validates the encounter data collected, maintained, and submitted to the State by the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to ensure it is a complete and accurate representation of the services provided to the enrollees under the contract between the State and the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and meets the requirements 42 CFR 438.242 of this section. (42 CFR 457.1233(d), cross referencing 42 CFR 438.242)
- 3.7.8  The State assures that it will submit to CMS all encounter data collected, maintained, submitted to the State by the MCO, PIHP, and PAHP once the State has reviewed and validated the data based on the requirements of 42 CFR 438.242. (CMS State Medicaid Director Letter #13-004)
- 3.7.9  The State assures that each contracted MCO, PIHP and PAHP complies with the privacy protections under 42 CFR 457.1110. (42 CFR 457.1233(e))

**3.8 Beneficiary Protections**

- 3.8.1  The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM entity has written policies regarding the enrollee rights specified in 42 CFR 438.100. (42 CFR 457.1220, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.100(a)(1))
- 3.8.2  The State assures that its contracts with an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity include a guarantee that the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity

will not avoid costs for services covered in its contract by referring enrollees to publicly supported health care resources. (42 CFR 457.1201(p))

- 3.8.3**  The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs do not hold the enrollee liable for the following:
- The MCO's, PIHP's or PAHP's debts, in the event of the entity's solvency. (42 CFR 457.1226, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.106(a))
  - Covered services provided to the enrollee for which the State does not pay the MCO, PIHP or PAHP or for which the State, MCO, PIHP, or PAHP does not pay the individual or the health care provider that furnished the services under a contractual, referral or other arrangement. (42 CFR 457.1226, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.106(b))
  - Payments for covered services furnished under a contract, referral or other arrangement that are in excess of the amount the enrollee would owe if the MCO, PIHP or PAHP covered the services directly. (42 CFR 457.1226, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.106(c))

### **3.9 Grievances and Appeals**

Guidance: Only States with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete Section 3.9. States with PCCMs and/or PCCM entities should be adhering to the State's review process for benefits.

- 3.9.1**  The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP has a grievance and appeal system in place that allows enrollees to file a grievance and request an appeal. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.402(a) and 438.402(c))

- 3.9.2**  The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP has only one level of appeal for enrollees. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.402(b))

- 3.9.3**  The State assures that an enrollee may request a State review after receiving notice that the adverse benefit determination is upheld, or after an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP fails to adhere to the notice and timing requirements in 42 CFR 438.408. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 438.402(c))

- 3.9.4.** Does the state offer and arrange for an external medical review?  
 Yes  
 No

Guidance: Only states that answered yes to assurance 3.9.4 need to complete the next assurance (3.9.5).

- 3.9.5**  The State assures that the external medical review is:

- At the enrollee's option and not required before or used as a deterrent to proceeding to the State review;
- Independent of both the State and MCO, PIHP, or PAHP;
- Offered without any cost to the enrollee; and
- Not extending any of the timeframes specified in 42 CFR 438.408. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.402(a) and 438.402(c)(1)(i))

**3.9.6**  The State assures that an enrollee may file a grievance with the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP at any time. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.402(a) and 438.402(c)(2)(i))

**3.9.7**  The State assures that an enrollee has 60 calendar days from the date on an adverse benefit determination notice to file a request for an appeal to the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.402(a) and 438.402(c)(2)(ii))

**3.9.8**  The State assures that an enrollee may file a grievance and request an appeal either orally or in writing. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.402(a) and 438.402(c)(3)(i))

**3.9.9**  The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP gives enrollees timely and adequate notice of an adverse benefit determination in writing consistent with the requirements below in Section 3.9.10 and in 42 CFR 438.10.

**3.9.10**  The State assures that the notice of an adverse benefit determination explains:

- The adverse benefit determination.
- The reasons for the adverse benefit determination, including the right of the enrollee to be provided upon request and free of charge, reasonable access to and copies of all documents, records, and other information relevant to the enrollee's adverse benefit determination. Such information includes medical necessity criteria, and any processes, strategies, or evidentiary standards used in setting coverage limits.
- The enrollee's right to request an appeal of the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's adverse benefit determination, including information on exhausting the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's one level of appeal and the right to request a State review.
- The procedures for exercising the rights specified above under this assurance.
- The circumstances under which an appeal process can be expedited and how to request it. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.404(b))

**3.9.11**  The State assures that the notice of an adverse benefit determination is provided in a timely manner in accordance with 42 CFR 457.1260. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.404(c))

**3.9.12**  The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs give enrollees reasonable assistance in completing forms and taking other procedural steps related to a grievance or appeal. This includes, but is not limited to, auxiliary aids and services upon request, such as providing interpreter services and toll-free numbers that have adequate TTY/TTD and interpreter capability. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.406(a))

**3.9.13** The state makes the following assurances related to MCO, PIHP, and PAHP processes for handling enrollee grievances and appeals:

- Individuals who make decisions on grievances and appeals were neither involved in any previous level of review or decision-making nor a subordinate of any such individual.
- Individuals who make decisions on grievances and appeals, if deciding any of the following, are individuals who have the appropriate clinical expertise in treating the enrollee's condition or disease:
  - An appeal of a denial that is based on lack of medical necessity.
  - A grievance regarding denial of expedited resolution of an appeal.
  - A grievance or appeal that involves clinical issues.
- All comments, documents, records, and other information submitted by the enrollee or their representative will be taken into account, without regard to whether such information was submitted or considered in the initial adverse benefit determination.
- Enrollees have a reasonable opportunity, in person and in writing, to present evidence and testimony and make legal and factual arguments.
- Enrollees are provided the enrollee's case file, including medical records, other documents and records, and any new or additional evidence considered, relied upon, or generated by the MCO, PIHP or PAHP (or at the direction of the MCO, PIHP or PAHP) in connection with the appeal of the adverse benefit determination. This information must be provided free of charge and sufficiently in advance of the resolution timeframe for appeals.
- The enrollee and his or her representative or the legal representative of a deceased enrollee's estate are included as parties to the appeal. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.406(b))

**3.9.14**  The State assures that standard grievances are resolved (including notice to the affected parties) within 90 calendar days from the day the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP receives the grievance. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.408(b))

**3.9.15**  The State assures that standard appeals are resolved (including notice to the affected parties) within 30 calendar days from the day the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP receives the appeal. The MCO, PIHP, or PAHP may extend the timeframe by up

to 14 calendar days if the enrollee requests the extension or the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP shows that there is need for additional information and that the delay is in the enrollee's interest. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 42 CFR 438.408(b) and (c))

- 3.9.16** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP establishes and maintains an expedited review process for appeals that is no longer than 72 hours after the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP receives the appeal. The expedited review process applies when the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP determines (for a request from the enrollee) or the provider indicates (in making the request on the enrollee's behalf or supporting the enrollee's request) that taking the time for a standard resolution could seriously jeopardize the enrollee's life, physical or mental health, or ability to attain, maintain, or regain maximum function. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.408(b) and (c), and 42 CFR 438.410(a))
- 3.9.17** ☒ The State assures that if an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP denies a request for expedited resolution of an appeal, it transfers the appeal within the timeframe for standard resolution in accordance with 42 CFR 438.408(b)(2). (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.410(c)(1))
- 3.9.18** ☒ The State assures that if the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP extends the timeframes for an appeal not at the request of the enrollee or it denies a request for an expedited resolution of an appeal, it completes all of the following:
- Make reasonable efforts to give the enrollee prompt oral notice of the delay.
  - Within 2 calendar days give the enrollee written notice of the reason for the decision to extend the timeframe and inform the enrollee of the right to file a grievance if he or she disagrees with that decision.
  - Resolve the appeal as expeditiously as the enrollee's health condition requires and no later than the date the extension expires. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.408(c) and 42 CFR 438.410(c))
- 3.9.19** ☒ The State assures that if an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP fails to adhere to the notice and timing requirements in this section, the enrollee is deemed to have exhausted the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's appeals process and the enrollee may initiate a State review. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.408(c)(3))
- 3.9.20** ☒ The State assures that has established a method that an MCO, PIHP, and PAHP will use to notify an enrollee of the resolution of a grievance and ensure that such methods meet, at a minimum, the standards described at 42 CFR 438.10. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross referencing to 42 CFR 457.408(d)(1))
- 3.9.21** ☒ For all appeals, the State assures that each contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHP provides written notice of resolution in a format and language that, at a minimum,

meet the standards described at 42 CFR 438.10. The notice of resolution includes at least the following items:

- The results of the resolution process and the date it was completed; and
- For appeals not resolved wholly in favor of the enrollees:
  - The right to request a State review, and how to do so.
  - The right to request and receive benefits while the hearing is pending, and how to make the request.
  - That the enrollee may, consistent with State policy, be held liable for the cost of those benefits if the hearing decision upholds the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's adverse benefit determination. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross referencing to 42 CFR 457.408(d)(2)(i) and (e))

**3.9.22**  For notice of an expedited resolution, the State assures that each contracted MCO, PIHP, or PAHP makes reasonable efforts to provide oral notice, in addition to the written notice of resolution. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross referencing to 42 CFR 457.408(d)(2)(ii))

**3.9.23**  The State assures that if it offers an external medical review:

- The review is at the enrollee's option and is not required before or used as a deterrent to proceeding to the State review;
- The review is independent of both the State and MCO, PIHP, or PAHP; and
- The review is offered without any cost to the enrollee. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.408(f))

**3.9.24**  The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs do not take punitive action against providers who request an expedited resolution or support an enrollee's appeal. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.410(b))

**3.9.25**  The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs must provide information specified in 42 CFR 438.10(g)(2)(xi) about the grievance and appeal system to all providers and subcontractors at the time they enter into a contract. This includes:

- The right to file grievances and appeals;
- The requirements and timeframes for filing a grievance or appeal;
- The availability of assistance in the filing process;
- The right to request a State review after the MCO, PIHP or PAHP has made a determination on an enrollee's appeal which is adverse to the enrollee; and
- The fact that, when requested by the enrollee, benefits that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP seeks to reduce or terminate will continue if the enrollee files an appeal or a request for State review within the timeframes specified for filing, and that the enrollee may, consistent with State policy, be required to pay the cost of services furnished while the appeal or State review is pending if the final decision is adverse to the enrollee. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.414)

**3.9.26**  The State assures that it requires MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs to maintain records of grievances and appeals and reviews the information as part of its ongoing monitoring procedures, as well as for updates and revisions to the State quality strategy. The record must be accurately maintained in a manner accessible to the state and available upon request to CMS. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.416)

**3.9.27**  The State assures that if the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP, or the State review officer reverses a decision to deny, limit, or delay services that were not furnished while the appeal was pending, the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP must authorize or provide the disputed services promptly and as expeditiously as the enrollee's health condition requires but no later than 72 hours from the date it receives notice reversing the determination. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.424(a))

### **3.10 Program Integrity**

Guidance: The State should complete Section 11 (Program Integrity) in addition to Section 3.10.

Guidance: Only States with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to answer the first seven assurances (3.10.1 through 3.10.7).

**3.10.1** The State assures that any entity seeking to contract as an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP under a separate child health program has administrative and management arrangements or procedures designed to safeguard against fraud and abuse, including:

- Enforcing MCO, PIHP, and PAHP compliance with all applicable Federal and State statutes, regulations, and standards;
- Prohibiting MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs from conducting any unsolicited personal contact with a potential enrollee by an employee or agent of the MCO, PAHP, or PIHP for the purpose of influencing the individual to enroll with the entity; and
- Including a mechanism for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs to report to the State, to CMS, or to the Office of Inspector General (OIG) as appropriate, information on violations of law by subcontractors, providers, or enrollees of an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and other individuals. (42 CFR 457.1280)

**3.10.2**  The State assures that it has in effect safeguards against conflict of interest on the part of State and local officers and employees and agents of the State who have responsibilities relating to the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP contracts or enrollment processes described in 42 CFR 457.1210(a). (42 CFR 457.1214, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.58)

**3.10.3** ☒ The State assures that it periodically, but no less frequently than once every 3 years, conducts, or contracts for the conduct of, an independent audit of the accuracy, truthfulness, and completeness of the encounter and financial data submitted by, or on behalf of, each MCO, PIHP or PAHP. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.602(e))

**3.10.4** ☒ The State assures that it requires MCOs, PIHPs, PAHP, and or subcontractors (only to the extent that the subcontractor is delegated responsibility by the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP for coverage of services and payment of claims) implement and maintain arrangements or procedures that are designed to detect and prevent fraud, waste, and abuse. The arrangements or procedures must include the following:

- A compliance program that include all of the elements described in 42 CFR 438.608(a)(1);
- Provision for prompt reporting of all overpayments identified or recovered, specifying the overpayments due to potential fraud, to the State;
- Provision for prompt notification to the State when it receives information about changes in an enrollee's circumstances that may affect the enrollee's eligibility;
- Provision for notification to the State when it receives information about a change in a network provider's circumstances that may affect the network provider's eligibility to participate in the managed care program, including the termination of the provider agreement with the MCO, PIHP or PAHP;
- Provision for a method to verify, by sampling or other methods, whether services that have been represented to have been delivered by network providers were received by enrollees and the application of such verification processes on a regular basis;
- In the case of MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs that make or receive annual payments under the contract of at least \$5,000,000, provision for written policies for all employees of the entity, and of any contractor or agent, that provide detailed information about the False Claims Act and other Federal and State laws described in section 1902(a)(68) of the Act, including information about rights of employees to be protected as whistleblowers;
- Provision for the prompt referral of any potential fraud, waste, or abuse that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP identifies to the State Medicaid/CHIP program integrity unit or any potential fraud directly to the State Medicaid Fraud Control Unit; and
- Provision for the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's suspension of payments to a network provider for which the State determines there is a credible allegation of fraud in accordance with 42 CFR 455.23. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.608(a))

- 3.10.5** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP requires and has a mechanism for a network provider to report to the MCO, PIHP or PAHP when it has received an overpayment, to return the overpayment to the MCO, PIHP or PAHP within 60 calendar days after the date on which the overpayment was identified, and to notify the MCO, PIHP or PAHP in writing of the reason for the overpayment. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.608(d)(2))
- 3.10.6** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP reports annually to the State on their recoveries of overpayments. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.608(d)(3))
- 3.10.7** ☒ The State assures that it screens and enrolls, and periodically revalidates, all network providers of MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs, in accordance with the requirements of part 455, subparts B and E. This requirement also extends to PCCMs and PCCM entities to the extent that the primary care case manager is not otherwise enrolled with the State to provide services to fee-for-service beneficiaries. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.602(b)(1) and 438.608(b))
- 3.10.8** ☒ The State assures that it reviews the ownership and control disclosures submitted by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity, and any subcontractors. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.602(c))
- 3.10.9** ☒ The State assures that it confirms the identity and determines the exclusion status of the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity, any subcontractor, as well as any person with an ownership or control interest, or who is an agent or managing employee of the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity through routine checks of Federal databases. If the State finds a party that is excluded, the State promptly notifies the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity and takes action consistent with 42 CFR 438.610(c). (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.602(d))
- 3.10.10** ☒ The State assures that it receives and investigates information from whistleblowers relating to the integrity of the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity, subcontractors, or network providers receiving Federal funds under this part. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.602(f))
- 3.10.11** ☒ The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, or PCCM entities with which the State contracts are not located outside of the United States and that no claims paid by an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to a network provider, out-of-network provider, subcontractor or financial institution located outside of the U.S. are considered in the development of actuarially sound capitation rates. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.602(i); Section 1902(a)(80) of the Social Security Act)

- 3.10.12** The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, and PCCM entities submit to the State the following data, documentation, and information:
- Encounter data in the form and manner described in 42 CFR 438.818.
  - Data on the basis of which the State determines the compliance of the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP with the medical loss ratio requirement described in 42 CFR 438.8.
  - Data on the basis of which the State determines that the MCO, PIHP or PAHP has made adequate provision against the risk of insolvency as required under 42 CFR 438.116.
  - Documentation described in 42 CFR 438.207(b) on which the State bases its certification that the MCO, PIHP or PAHP has complied with the State's requirements for availability and accessibility of services, including the adequacy of the provider network, as set forth in 42 CFR 438.206.
  - Information on ownership and control described in 42 CFR 455.104 of this chapter from MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, PCCM entities, and subcontractors as governed by 42 CFR 438.230.
  - The annual report of overpayment recoveries as required in 42 CFR 438.608(d)(3). (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.604(a))
- 3.10.13** The State assures that:
- It requires that the data, documentation, or information submitted in accordance with 42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.604(a), is certified in a manner that the MCO's, PIHP's, PAHP's, PCCM's, or PCCM entity's Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer is ultimately responsible for the certification. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.606(a))
  - It requires that the certification includes an attestation that, based on best information, knowledge, and belief, the data, documentation, and information specified in 42 CFR 438.604 are accurate, complete, and truthful. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.606(b)); and
  - It requires the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity to submit the certification concurrently with the submission of the data, documentation, or information required in 42 CFR 438.604(a) and (b). (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.604(c))
- 3.10.14**  The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, PCCM entity, and any subcontractors provides: written disclosure of any prohibited affiliation under 42 CFR 438.610, written disclosure of and information on ownership and control required under 42 CFR 455.104, and reports to the State within 60 calendar days when it has identified the capitation payments or other payments in excess of amounts specified in the contract. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.608(c))

**3.10.15**  The State assures that services are provided in an effective and efficient manner. (Section 2101(a))

**3.10.16**  The State assures that it operates a Web site that provides:

- The documentation on which the State bases its certification that the MCO, PIHP or PAHP has complied with the State's requirements for availability and accessibility of services;
- Information on ownership and control of MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, PCCM entities, and subcontractors; and
- The result of any audits conducted under 42 CFR 438.602(e). (42 CFR 457.1285, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.602(g)).

### **3.11 Sanctions**

Guidance: Only States with MCOs need to answer the next three assurances (3.11.1 through 3.11.3).

Intermediate sanctions are defined at 42 CFR 438.702(a)(4) as: (1) Civil money penalties; (2) Appointment of temporary management (for an MCO); (3) Granting enrollees the right to terminate enrollment without cause; (4) Suspension of all new enrollment; and (5) Suspension of payment for beneficiaries.

**3.11.1**  The State assures that it has established intermediate sanctions that it may impose if it makes the determination that an MCO has acted or failed to act in a manner specified in 438.700(b)-(d). (42 CFR 457.1270, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.700)

**3.11.2**  The State assures that it will impose temporary management if it finds that an MCO has repeatedly failed to meet substantive requirements of part 457 subpart L. (42 CFR 457.1270, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.706(b))

**3.11.3**  The State assures that if it imposes temporary management on an MCO, the State allows enrollees the right to terminate enrollment without cause and notifies the affected enrollees of their right to terminate enrollment. (42 CFR 457.1270, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.706(b))

Guidance: Only states with PCCMs, or PCCM entities need to answer the next assurance (3.11.4).

**3.11.4** Does the State establish intermediate sanctions for PCCMs or PCCM entities?

- Yes  
 No

Guidance: Only states with MCOs and states that answered yes to assurance 3.11.4 need to complete the next three assurances (3.11.5 through 3.11.7).

**3.11.5**  The State assures that before it imposes intermediate sanctions, it gives the affected entity timely written notice. (42 CFR 457.1270, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.710(a))

**3.11.6**  The State assures that if it intends to terminate an MCO, PCCM, or PCCM entity, it provides a pre-termination hearing and written notice of the decision as specified in 42 CFR 438.710(b). If the decision to terminate is affirmed, the State assures that it gives enrollees of the MCO, PCCM or PCCM entity notice of the termination and information, consistent with 42 CFR 438.10, on their options for receiving CHIP services following the effective date of termination. (42 CFR 457.1270, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.710(b))

**3.11.7**  The State assures that it will give CMS written notice that complies with 42 CFR 438.724 whenever it imposes or lifts a sanction for one of the violations listed in 42 CFR 438.700. (42 CFR 457.1270, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.724)

### **3.12 Quality Measurement and Improvement; External Quality Review**

Guidance: The State should complete Sections 7 (Quality and Appropriateness of Care) and 9 (Strategic Objectives and Performance Goals and Plan Administration) in addition to Section 3.12.

Guidance: States with MCO(s), PIHP(s), PAHP(s), or certain PCCM entity/ies (PCCM entities whose contract with the State provides for shared savings, incentive payments or other financial reward for improved quality outcomes - see 42 CFR 457.1240(f)) - should complete the applicable sub-sections for each entity type in this section, regarding 42 CFR 457.1240 and 1250.

#### **3.12.1 Quality Strategy**

Guidance: All states with MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, or PCCM entities need to complete section 3.12.1.

- 3.12.1.1**  The State assures that it will draft and implement a written quality strategy for assessing and improving the quality of health care and services furnished CHIP enrollees as described in 42 CFR 438.340(a). The quality strategy must include the following items:
- The State-defined network adequacy and availability of services standards for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs required by 42 CFR 438.68 and 438.206 and examples of evidence-based clinical practice guidelines the State requires in accordance with 42 CFR 438.236;

- A description of:
  - The quality metrics and performance targets to be used in measuring the performance and improvement of each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP with which the State contracts, including but not limited to, the performance measures reported in accordance with 42 CFR 438.330(c); and
  - The performance improvement projects to be implemented in accordance with 42 CFR 438.330(d), including a description of any interventions the State proposes to improve access, quality, or timeliness of care for beneficiaries enrolled in an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP;
- Arrangements for annual, external independent reviews, in accordance with 42 CFR 438.350, of the quality outcomes and timeliness of, and access to, the services covered under each contract;
- A description of the State's transition of care policy required under 42 CFR 438.62(b)(3);
- The State's plan to identify, evaluate, and reduce, to the extent practicable, health disparities based on age, race, ethnicity, sex, and primary language;
- For MCOs, appropriate use of intermediate sanctions that, at a minimum, meet the requirements of subpart I of 42 CFR Part 438;
- A description of how the State will assess the performance and quality outcomes achieved by each PCCM entity;
- The mechanisms implemented by the State to comply with 42 CFR 438.208(c)(1) (relating to the identification of persons with special health care needs);
- Identification of the external quality review (EQR)-related activities for which the State has exercised the option under 42 CFR 438.360 (relating to nonduplication of EQR-related activities), and explain the rationale for the State's determination that the private accreditation activity is comparable to such EQR-related activities;
- Identification of which quality measures and performance outcomes the State will publish at least annually on the Web site required under 42 CFR 438.10(c)(3); and
- The State's definition of a “significant change” for the purposes of updating the quality strategy under 42 CFR 438.340(c)(3)(ii). (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(b))

**3.12.1.2** ☒ The State assures that the goals and objectives for continuous quality improvement in the quality strategy are measurable and take into consideration the health status of all populations in the State served by the

MCO, PIHP, and PAHP. (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(b)(2))

- 3.12.1.3** ☒ The State assures that for purposes of the quality strategy, the State provides the demographic information for each CHIP enrollee to the MCO, PIHP or PAHP at the time of enrollment. (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(b)(6))
- 3.12.1.4** ☒ The State assures that it will review and update the quality strategy as needed, but no less than once every 3 years. (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(c)(2))
- 3.12.1.5** ☒ The State assures that its review and updates to the quality strategy will include an evaluation of the effectiveness of the quality strategy conducted within the previous 3 years and the recommendations provided pursuant to 42 CFR 438.364(a)(4). (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(c)(2)(i) and (iii).
- 3.12.1.6** ☒ The State assures that it will submit to CMS:
- A copy of the initial quality strategy for CMS comment and feedback prior to adopting it in final; and
  - A copy of the revised strategy whenever significant changes are made to the document, or whenever significant changes occur within the State's CHIP program, including after the review and update required every 3 years. (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(c)(3))
- 3.12.1.7** ☒ Before submitting the strategy to CMS for review, the State assures that when it drafts or revises the State's quality strategy it will:
- Make the strategy available for public comment; and
  - If the State enrolls Indians in the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP, consult with Tribes in accordance with the State's Tribal consultation policy. (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(c)(1))
- 3.12.1.8** ☒ The State assures that it makes the results of the review of the quality strategy (including the effectiveness evaluation) and the final quality strategy available on the Web site required under 42 CFR 438.10(c)(3). (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(c)(2)(ii) and (d))

### **3.12.2 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program**

- 3.12.2.1** Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program: Measures and Projects

Guidance: Only states with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete the next two assurances (3.12.2.1.1 and 3.12.2.1.2).

- 3.12.2.1.1**  The State assures that it requires that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP establish and implement an ongoing comprehensive quality assessment and performance improvement program for the services it furnishes to its enrollees as provided in 42 CFR 438.330, except that the terms of 42 CFR 438.330(d)(4) (related to dual eligibles) do not apply. The elements of the assessment and program include at least:
- Standard performance measures specified by the State;
  - Any measures and programs required by CMS (42 CFR 438.330(a)(2));
  - Performance improvement projects that focus on clinical and non-clinical areas, as specified in 42 CFR 438.330(d);
  - Collection and submission of performance measurement data in accordance with 42 CFR 438.330(c);
  - Mechanisms to detect both underutilization and overutilization of services; and
  - Mechanisms to assess the quality and appropriateness of care furnished to enrollees with special health care needs, as defined by the State in the quality strategy under 42 CFR 457.1240(e) and Section 3.12.1 of this template). (42 CFR 457.1240(b), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.330(b) and (c)(1))

Guidance: A State may request an exemption from including the performance measures or performance improvement programs established by CMS under 42 CFR 438.330(a)(2), by submitting a written request to CMS explaining the basis for such request.

- 3.12.2.1.2**  The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP's performance improvement projects are designed to achieve significant improvement, sustained over time, in health outcomes and enrollee satisfaction. The performance improvement projects include at least the following elements:
- Measurement of performance using objective quality indicators;
  - Implementation of interventions to achieve improvement in the access to and quality of care;
  - Evaluation of the effectiveness of the interventions based on the performance measures specified in 42 CFR 438.330(d)(2)(i); and

- Planning and initiation of activities for increasing or sustaining improvement. (42 CFR 457.1240(b), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.330(d)(2))

Guidance: Only states with a PCCM entity whose contract with the State provides for shared savings, incentive payments or other financial reward for improved quality outcomes need to, complete the next assurance (3.12.2.1.3).

- 3.12.2.1.3**  The State assures that it requires that each PCCM entity establishes and implements an ongoing comprehensive quality assessment and performance improvement program for the services it furnishes to its enrollees as provided in 42 CFR 438.330, except that the terms of 42 CFR 438.330(d)(4) (related to dual eligibles) do not apply. The assessment and program must include:
- Standard performance measures specified by the State;
  - Mechanisms to detect both underutilization and overutilization of services; and
  - Collection and submission of performance measurement data in accordance with 42 CFR 438.330(c). (42 CFR 457.1240(a) and (b), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.330(b)(3) and (c))

**3.12.2.2 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program: Reporting and Effectiveness**

Guidance: Only states with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete Section 3.12.2.2.

- 3.12.2.2.1**  The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP reports on the status and results of each performance improvement project conducted by the MCO, PIHP, and PAHP to the State as required by the State, but not less than once per year. (42 CFR 457.1240(b), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.330(d)(3))
- 3.12.2.2.2**  The State assures that it annually requires each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP to:
- 1) Measure and report to the State on its performance using the standard measures required by the State;
  - 2) Submit to the State data specified by the State to calculate the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's performance using the standard measures identified by the State; or
  - 3) Perform a combination of options (1) and (2) of this assurance. (42 CFR 457.1240(b), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.330(c)(2))

- 3.12.2.2.3**  The State assures that the State reviews, at least annually, the impact and effectiveness of the quality assessment and performance improvement program of each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity. The State's review must include:
- The MCO's, PIHP's, PAHP's, and PCCM entity's performance on the measures on which it is required to report; and
  - The outcomes and trended results of each MCO's, PIHP's, and PAHP's performance improvement projects. (42 CFR 457.1240(b), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.330(e)(1))

### **3.12.3 Accreditation**

Guidance: Only states with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete Section 3.12.3.

- 3.12.3.1**  The State assures that it requires each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP to inform the state whether it has been accredited by a private independent accrediting entity, and, if the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP has received accreditation by a private independent accrediting agency, that the MCO, PIHP, and PAHP authorizes the private independent accrediting entity to provide the State a copy of its recent accreditation review that includes the MCO, PIHP, and PAHP's accreditation status, survey type, and level (as applicable); accreditation results, including recommended actions or improvements, corrective action plans, and summaries of findings; and expiration date of the accreditation. (42 CFR 457.1240(c), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.332(a) and (b)).
- 3.12.3.2**  The State assures that it will make the accreditation status for each contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHP available on the Web site required under 42 CFR 438.10(c)(3), including whether each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP has been accredited and, if applicable, the name of the accrediting entity, accreditation program, and accreditation level; and update this information at least annually. (42 CFR 457.1240(c), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.332(c))

### **3.12.4 Quality Rating**

Guidance: Only states with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete Section 3.12.4.

- The State assures that it will implement and operate a quality rating system that issues an annual quality rating for each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP, which the State will prominently display on the Web site required under 42 CFR 438.10(c)(3), in accordance with the requirements set forth in 42 CFR 438.334. (42 CFR 457.1240(d))

Guidance: States will be required to comply with this assurance within 3 years after CMS, in consultation with States and other Stakeholders and after providing public notice and opportunity for comment, has identified performance measures and a methodology for a Medicaid and CHIP managed care quality rating system in the Federal Register.

### **3.12.5 Quality Review**

Guidance: All states with MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs or PCCM entities need to complete Sections 3.12.5 and 3.12.5.1.

- The State assures that each contract with a MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity requires that a qualified EQRO performs an annual external quality review (EQR) for each contracting MCO, PIHP, PAHP or PCCM entity, except as provided in 42 CFR 438.362. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.350(a))

#### **3.12.5.1 External Quality Review Organization**

- 3.12.5.1.1**  The State assures that it contracts with at least one external quality review organization (EQRO) to conduct either EQR alone or EQR and other EQR-related activities. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.356(a))
- 3.12.5.1.2**  The State assures that any EQRO used by the State to comply with 42 CFR 457.1250 must meet the competence and independence requirements of 42 CFR 438.354 and, if the EQRO uses subcontractors, that the EQRO is accountable for and oversees all subcontractor functions. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.354 and 42 CFR 438.356(b) through (d))

#### **3.12.5.2 External Quality Review-Related Activities**

Guidance: Only states with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete the next three assurances (3.12.5.2.1 through 3.12.5.2.3). Under 42 CFR 457.1250(a), the State, or its agent or EQRO, must conduct the EQR-related activity under 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(iv) regarding validation of the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP's network adequacy during the preceding 12 months; however, the State may permit its contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHPs to use information from a private accreditation review in lieu of any or all the EQR-related activities under 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(i) through (iii) (relating to the validation of performance improvement projects, validation of performance measures, and compliance review).

- 3.12.5.2.1**  The State assures that the mandatory EQR-related activities described in 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(i) through (iv) (relating to the validation of performance improvement projects, validation of performance measures, compliance review, and validation of network adequacy) will be conducted on all MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1))
- 3.12.5.2.2**  The State assures that if it elects to use nonduplication for any or all of the three mandatory EQR-related activities described at 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(i) – (iii), the State will document the use of nonduplication in the State’s quality strategy. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing 438.360, 438.358(b)(1)(i) through (b)(1)(iii), and 438.340)
- 3.12.5.2.3**  The State assures that if the State elects to use nonduplication for any or all of the three mandatory EQR-related activities described at 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(i) – (iii), the State will ensure that all information from a Medicare or private accreditation review for an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP will be furnished to the EQRO for analysis and inclusion in the EQR technical report described in 42 CFR 438.364. ((42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.360(b))

Guidance: Only states with PCCM entities need to complete the next assurance (3.12.5.2.4).

- 3.12.5.2.4**  The State assures that the mandatory EQR-related activities described in 42 CFR 438.358(b)(2) (cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(ii) and (b)(1)(iii)) will be conducted on all PCCM entities, which include:
- Validation of PCCM entity performance measures required in accordance with 42 CFR 438.330(b)(2) or PCCM entity performance measures calculated by the State during the preceding 12 months; and
  - A review, conducted within the previous 3-year period, to determine the PCCM entity’s compliance with the standards set forth in subpart D of 42 CFR part 438 and the quality assessment and performance improvement requirements described in 42 CFR 438.330. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 438.358(b)(2))

### 3.12.5.3 External Quality Review Report

Guidance: All states with MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs or PCCM entities need to complete Sections 3.12.5.3.

- 3.12.5.3.1**  The State assures that data obtained from the mandatory and optional, if applicable, EQR-related activities in 42 CFR 438.358 is used for the annual EQR to comply with 42 CFR 438.350 and must include, at a minimum, the elements in §438.364(a)(2)(i) through (iv). (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.358(a)(2))
- 3.12.5.3.2**  The State assures that only a qualified EQRO will produce the EQR technical report (42 CFR 438.364(c)(1)).
- 3.12.5.3.3**  The State assures that in order for the qualified EQRO to perform an annual EQR for each contracting MCO, PIHP, PAHP or PCCM entity under 42 CFR 438.350(a) that the following conditions are met:
- The EQRO has sufficient information to use in performing the review;
  - The information used to carry out the review must be obtained from the EQR-related activities described in 42 CFR 438.358 and, if applicable, from a private accreditation review as described in 42 CFR 438.360;
  - For each EQR-related activity (mandatory or optional), the information gathered for use in the EQR must include the elements described in 42 CFR 438.364(a)(2)(i) through (iv); and
  - The information provided to the EQRO in accordance with 42 CFR 438.350(b) is obtained through methods consistent with the protocols established by the Secretary in accordance with 42 CFR 438.352. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.350(b) through (e))
- 3.12.5.3.4**  The State assures that the results of the reviews performed by a qualified EQRO of each contracting MCO, PIHP, PAHP, and PCCM entity are made available as specified in 42 CFR 438.364 in an annual detailed technical report that summarizes findings on access and quality of care. The report includes at least the following items:
- A description of the manner in which the data from all activities conducted in accordance with 42 CFR 438.358 were

aggregated and analyzed, and conclusions were drawn as to the quality, timeliness, and access to the care furnished by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity (described in 42 CFR 438.310(c)(2));

- For each EQR-related activity (mandatory or optional) conducted in accordance with 42 CFR 438.358:
  - Objectives;
  - Technical methods of data collection and analysis;
  - Description of data obtained, including validated performance measurement data for each activity conducted in accordance with 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(i) and (ii); and
  - Conclusions drawn from the data;
- An assessment of each MCO's, PIHP's, PAHP's, or PCCM entity's strengths and weaknesses for the quality, timeliness, and access to health care services furnished to CHIP beneficiaries;
- Recommendations for improving the quality of health care services furnished by each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity, including how the State can target goals and objectives in the quality strategy, under 42 CFR 438.340, to better support improvement in the quality, timeliness, and access to health care services furnished to CHIP beneficiaries;
- Methodologically appropriate, comparative information about all MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, and PCCM entities, consistent with guidance included in the EQR protocols issued in accordance with 42 CFR 438.352(e); and
- An assessment of the degree to which each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity has addressed effectively the recommendations for quality improvement made by the EQRO during the previous year's EQR. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.350(f) and 438.364(a))

**3.12.5.3.5**  The State assures that it does not substantively revise the content of the final EQR technical report without evidence of error or omission. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.364(b))

**3.12.5.3.6**  The State assures that it finalizes the annual EQR technical report by April 30<sup>th</sup> of each year. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.364(c)(1))

**3.12.5.3.7**  The State assures that it posts the most recent copy of the annual EQR technical report on the Web site required under 42 CFR

438.10(c)(3) by April 30th of each year. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.364(c)(2)(i))

- 3.12.5.3.8  The State assures that it provides printed or electronic copies of the information specified in 42 CFR 438.364(a) for the annual EQR technical report, upon request, to interested parties such as participating health care providers, enrollees and potential enrollees of the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM, beneficiary advocacy groups, and members of the general public. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.364(c)(2)(ii))
- 3.12.5.3.9  The State assures that it makes the information specified in 42 CFR 438.364(a) for the annual EQR technical report available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities, when requested. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.364(c)(3))
- 3.12.5.3.10  The State assures that information released under 42 CFR 438.364 for the annual EQR technical report does not disclose the identity or other protected health information of any patient. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.364(d))

3.2. Describe the utilization controls under the child health assistance provided under the plan for targeted low-income children. Describe the systems designed to ensure that enrollees receiving health care services under the State plan receive only appropriate and medically necessary health care consistent with the benefit package described in the approved State plan. (Section 2102)(a)(4) (42CFR 457.490(b))

**Oregon Administrative rules for quality assurance and quality improvement review process require DMAP contracted coordinated care organizations to have an internal utilization review infrastructure and to specifically monitor utilization of preventive care, the operation and outcome of referral procedures, and persistent or significant DMAP member complaints. DMAP staff annually reviews health plan compliance with utilization and quality assurance requirements to ensure appropriate utilization of health care services. The quality improvement process ensures services provided are appropriate and medically necessary, and approved by the state. The following are examples of administrative mechanisms required of the managed care plans in the Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration Project, which are also required under CHIP to ensure CHIP children receive appropriate and medically necessary health care.**

- **Plans must provide 24-hour-a-day, 7 day-a-week appropriate urgent, emergent, and triage services. Plans are required to have written policies and procedures that they communicate to providers, and plans are required to review their policies and procedures annually.**

- Plans must ensure and monitor the availability of an after-hours call-in system to triage urgent and emergent call from clients.
- Plans must assure access to services according to the following time standards:
  - Immediately for emergency medical services. Within 24 hours for emergency dental, mental health, or chemical dependency services.
  - Within 48 hours for urgent medical, mental health, or chemical dependency services. Within one to two weeks for urgent dental services.
  - Within four weeks, or within the community standard, for well care for preventive or non emergent medical services.
  - Within two weeks of patient request for intake assessment for mental health or chemical dependency services.
  - Within twelve weeks, or the community standard, for dental services.

For CHIP services provided on a FFS basis, all utilization review requirements of Title XIX and the 1115 Demonstration apply. The Quality Improvement Organization (QIO) contractor reviews inpatient hospital services. DMAP requires prior authorization for certain services according to OHP Medicaid FFS protocols and claims are subject to SURS post-payment review.

**Section 4. Eligibility Standards and Methodology**

Guidance: States electing to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded eligibility under the State’s Medicaid plan or combination plan should check the appropriate box and provide the ages and income level for each eligibility group. If the State is electing to take up the option to expand Medicaid eligibility as allowed under section 214 of CHIPRA regarding lawfully residing, complete section 4.1-LR as well as update the budget to reflect the additional costs if the state will claim title XXI match for these children until and if the time comes that the children are eligible for Medicaid.

**4.0.**

**Medicaid Expansion**

Eligibility for Medicaid Expansion Program	CS3
42 CFR 457.320(a)(2) and (3)	

Income eligibility for children under the Medicaid Expansion is determined in accordance with the following income standards:

**4.0.1.** Ages of each eligibility group and the income standard for that group:

From Age	To Age	Above (% FPL)	Up to & including (% FPL)
----------	--------	---------------	---------------------------

0	1	133	185
6	19	100	133

**4.1. ☒ Separate Program** Check all standards that will apply to the State plan. (42 CFR 457.305(a) and 457.320(a))

**4.1.0 ☒** Describe how the State meets the citizenship verification requirements. Include whether or not State has opted to use SSA verification option.  
**Oregon uses a match with the SSA to verify citizenship in an overnight process. A new citizenship verification field was added to the system used by eligibility staff. The new citizenship code field uses SSA data to confirm the individual meets medical program U.S. citizenship documentation requirements. In cases where the data match does not confirm the individual is a U.S. citizen, the eligibility worker sends the applicant a notice requesting citizenship/identity documentation. Individuals who do not provide the U.S. citizenship documentation in the time frame allowed will have their medical assistance closed.**

Separate Child Health Insurance Program	
Eligibility - Targeted Low-Income Children	CS7
2102(b)(1)(B)(v) of the SSA and 42 CFR 457.310, 315 and 320	

**4.1.1 ☐** Geographic area served by the Plan if less than Statewide:  
**Income standards are applied statewide, there are no exceptions by population or county.**

**4.1.2 ☒** Ages of each eligibility group, including unborn children and pregnant women (if applicable) and the income standard for that group:  
**Children: Age must be under 19**

**4.1.2.1-PC ☒** Age: **conception** through birth (SHO #02-004, issued November 12, 2002)

**4.1.3 ☒** Income of each separate eligibility group (if applicable):

From Age	To Age	Above (% FPL)	Up to & including (% FPL)
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>300</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>300</b>

**There is no special program for children with disabilities.**

**4.1.3.1-PC**  **0%** of the FPL (and not eligible for Medicaid) through **185%** of the FPL (SHO #02-004, issued November 12, 2002)

**4.1.4**  Resources of each separate eligibility group (including any standards relating to spend downs and disposition of resources):

**No asset limit**

**4.1.5**  Residency (so long as residency requirement is not based on length of time in state):

Separate Child Health Insurance Program
Non-Financial Eligibility – Residency
42 CFR 457.320

CS17

### Residency

The CHIP Agency provides CHIP to otherwise eligible residents of the state, including residents who are absent from the state under certain conditions.

A child is considered to be a resident of the state under the following conditions:

A non-institutionalized child, if capable of indicating intent and who is emancipated or married, if the child is living in the state and:

1. Intends to reside in the state, including without a fixed address, or
2. Has entered the state with a job commitment or seeking employment, whether or not currently employed.

A non-institutionalized child not described above and a child who is not a ward of the state:

1. Residing in the state, with or without a fixed address, or
2. The state of residency of the parent or caretaker, in accordance with 42 CFR.435.403(h)(1), with whom the individual resides.

An institutionalized child, who is not a ward of the state, if the state is the state of residence of the child's custodial parent or caretaker at the time of placement, or

A child who is a ward of the state regardless of where the child lives, or

A child physically located in the state when there is a dispute with one or more states as to the child's actual state of residence.

If the state covers pregnant women, a pregnant woman is considered to be a resident under the following conditions:

A non-institutionalized pregnant woman who is living in the state and:

1. Intends to reside in the state, including without a fixed address, or if incapable of indicating intent, is living in the state, or
2. Entered with a job commitment or seeking employment, whether or not currently employed.

- An institutionalized pregnant woman placed in an out-of-state-institution, as defined in 42 CFR 435.1010, including foster care homes, by an agency of the state, or
- An institutionalized pregnant woman residing in an in-state-institution, as defined in 42 CFR 435.1010, whether or not the individual established residency in the state prior to entering the institution, or
- A pregnant woman physically located in the state when there is a dispute with one or more states as to the pregnant woman's actual state of residence.

The state has in place related to the residency of children and pregnant women (if covered by the state): One or more interstate agreement(s).  Yes  No

A policy related to individuals in the state only for educational purposes  Yes  No

4.1.6  Disability Status (so long as any standard relating to disability status does not restrict eligibility):

**Not applicable**

4.1.7  Access to or coverage under other health coverage:

**A child must be uninsured at the date of eligibility for the CHIP program.**

4.1.8  Duration of eligibility, not to exceed 12 months:

**12 months**

4.1.9  Other Standards- Identify and describe other standards for or affecting eligibility, including those standards in 42 CFR 457.310 and 457.320 that are not addressed above. For instance:

**Although eligibility is retroactive to date of CHIP application, if the client does not include selection of a CCO in mandatory managed care areas they are auto assigned to a CCO for OHP Plus coverage. This is the same as the current rule applied to OHP Medicaid non-categorical members.**

**The twelve-month eligibility period for Healthy KidsConnect members begins on the date of application approval. Plan enrollment will be no earlier than the first of the month following eligibility approval.**

**Enrollment in HKC plans is the first of the month following application approval, if application approval occurs on or before the 25<sup>th</sup> of the approval month. If application approval is the 26<sup>th</sup> or after in the approval month, enrollment will be the first of the next month.**

**The office of Private Health Partnerships (OPHP) is abolished effective 1/1/2014. Redeterminations and new applications received on or after 8/23/13 will be enrolled in OHP direct coverage. Children currently**

**enrolled in Healthy KidsConnect with incomes above 200% up to and including 300% of FPL will be converted to CHIP direct coverage on or before 1/1/14.**

Guidance: States may only require the SSN of the child who is applying for coverage. If SSNs are required and the State covers unborn children, indicate that the unborn children are exempt from providing a SSN. Other standards include, but are not limited to presumptive eligibility and deemed newborns.

**4.1.9.1**  States should specify whether Social Security Numbers (SSN) are required.

Separate Child Health Insurance Program	
Non-Financial Eligibility - Social Security Number	CS19
42 CFR 457.340(b)	

**Social Security Number**

As a condition of eligibility, the CHIP Agency must require individuals who have a social security number or are eligible for one as determined by the Social Security Administration, to furnish their social security number, or numbers if they have more than one number.

The CHIP Agency requires individuals, as a condition of eligibility, to furnish their social security number(s), with the following exceptions:

- Individuals refusing to obtain a social security number (SSN) because of well established religious objections, or
- Individuals who are not eligible for an SSN, or
- Individuals who are issued an SSN only for a valid non-work purpose.

The CHIP Agency assists individuals, who are required to provide their SSN, to apply for or obtain an SSN from the Social Security Administration if the individual does not have or forgot their SSN.

The CHIP Agency informs individuals required to provide their SSN:

- By what statutory authority the number is solicited; and
- How the state will use the SSN.

The CHIP Agency provides assurance that it will verify each SSN furnished by an applicant or beneficiary with the Social Security Administration, not deny or delay services to an otherwise eligible applicant pending issuance or verification of the individual's SSN by the Social Security Administration and that the state's utilization of the SSNs is consistent with sections 205 and 1137 of the Social Security Act and the Privacy Act of 1974.

The state may request non-applicant household members to voluntarily provide their SSN, if the state meets the requirements below.

The state requests non-applicant household members to voluntarily provide their SSN.  Yes  No

- When requesting an SSN for non-applicant household members, the state assures that:
  - At the time such SSN is requested, the state informs the non-applicant that this information is voluntary and provides information regarding how the SSN will be used; and
  - The state only uses the SSN for determination of eligibility for CHIP or other insurance affordability programs, or for a purpose directly connected with the administration of the state plan

Guidance: States should describe their continuous eligibility process and populations that can be continuously eligible.

**4.1.9.2**  Continuous eligibility

**Eligibility is for 12 continuous months, unless one of the following events occurs before the annual renewal: 1) moves out of state; 2) obtains other health insurance; 3) a child turns age 19; 4) the family requests cancellation; 5) the family applies for Medicaid and the child is determined eligible for Medicaid or 6) if the child is enrolled in a HKC plan and does not pay the premium. Families with HKC children will have a minimum 30 days to pay their portion of the premium before being disenrolled. People in HKC are billed by OPHP each month for their portion of the premium. OPHP combines the member's portion with the subsidy and pays the insurance carrier. Individuals who fail to pay their premium will be disenrolled. Members are billed approximately 45 days in advance of the date premiums are due to the carrier. Members are provided a premium grace period of at least 30 days from the billing date. Reminder notices are mailed mid-way through this grace period. Subsidy cancellation notices outlining the program's intent to terminate, are mailed at the end of the grace period. Terminated individuals can be reinstated on a one time exception basis. Once terminated for non**

**payment of premium members are able to re-enroll in the program.. Eligibility is redetermined every twelve months for State Plan children . No limit to duration of eligibility if all conditions are met. The PC-Unborn population is eligible for CHIP benefits while in utero and redetermined at birth.**

**The PC-Unborn population is eligible for CHIP benefits while in utero and redetermined at birth.**

#### **4.1.10 Children Ineligible for Medicaid as a Result of the Elimination of Income Disregards**

Child Health Insurance Program Eligibility - Children Ineligible for Medicaid as a Result of the Elimination of Income Disregards CS14 Section 2101(f) of the ACA and 42 CFR 457.310(d)
---

Children Ineligible for Medicaid as a Result of the Elimination of Income Disregards

The CHIP agency provides coverage for this group of children as follows:

- The state has received approval from CMS to maintain Medicaid eligibility for children who would otherwise be subject to Section 2101(f) such that no child in the state will be subject to this provision.
- The state assures that separate CHIP coverage will be provided for children ineligible for Medicaid due to the elimination of income disregards in accordance with 42 CFR 457.310(d). Coverage for this population will cease when the last child protected from loss of Medicaid coverage as a result of the elimination of income disregards has been afforded 12 months of coverage in a separate CHIP (expected to be no later than April 1, 2016).

Describe the methodology used by the state to identify and enroll children in a separate CHIP who are subject to the protection afforded by Section 2101(f) of the Affordable Care Act:

- The state has demonstrated and CMS has agreed that all children qualifying for section 2101(f) protection will qualify for the state's existing separate CHIP.
- The state will enroll all children in a separate CHIP who lose Medicaid eligibility because of an increase in family income at their first renewal applying MAGI methods.
- The state will enroll children in a separate CHIP whose family income falls above the converted MAGI Medicaid FPL but at or below the following percentage of FPL. The state has demonstrated and CMS has agreed that all or almost all the children who would have maintained Medicaid eligibility if former disregards were applied will be within this income range and therefore covered in the separate CHIP.  
\_\_\_\_\_ % FPL
- The state will enroll children in a separate CHIP who are found to be ineligible for Medicaid based on MAGI but whose family income has not increased since the child's last determination of Medicaid eligibility or who would have remained eligible for Medicaid (based on the 2013 Medicaid income standard) if the value of their 2013

disregards had been applied to the family income as determined by MAGI methodology.

Other.

Describe the benefits provided to this population:

This population will be provided the same benefits as are provided to children in the state's Medicaid program.

**4.1-PW**  **Pregnant Women Option** (section 2112)- The State includes eligibility for one or more populations of targeted low-income pregnant women under the plan. Describe the population of pregnant women that the State proposes to cover in this section. Include all eligibility criteria, such as those described in the above categories (for instance, income and resources) that will be applied to this population. Use the same reference number system for those criteria (for example, 4.1.1-P for a geographic restriction). Please remember to update sections 8.1.1-PW, 8.1.2-PW, and 9.10 when electing this option.

Guidance: States have the option to cover groups of “lawfully residing” children and/or pregnant women. States may elect to cover (1) “lawfully residing” children described at section 2107(e)(1)(J) of the Act; (2) “lawfully residing” pregnant women described at section 2107(e)(1)(J) of the Act; or (3) both. A state electing to cover children and/or pregnant women who are considered lawfully residing in the U.S. must offer coverage to all such individuals who meet the definition of lawfully residing, and may not cover a subgroup or only certain groups. In addition, states may not cover these new groups only in CHIP, but must also extend the coverage option to Medicaid. States will need to update their budget to reflect the additional costs for coverage of these children. If a State has been covering these children with State only funds, it is helpful to indicate that so CMS understands the basis for the enrollment estimates and the projected cost of providing coverage. Please remember to update section 9.10 when electing this option.

**4.1- LR**  **Lawfully Residing Option**(Sections 2107(e)(1)(J) and 1903(v)(4)(A); (CHIPRA # 17, SHO # 10-006 issued July 1, 2010) Check if the State is electing the option under section 214 of the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009 (CHIPRA) regarding lawfully residing to provide coverage to the following otherwise eligible pregnant women and children as specified below who are lawfully residing in the United States including the following:

A child or pregnant woman shall be considered lawfully present if he or she is:

- (1) A qualified alien as defined in section 431 of PRWORA (8 U.S.C. §1641);
- (2) An alien in nonimmigrant status who has not violated the terms of the status under which he or she was admitted or to which he or she has changed after admission;
- (3) An alien who has been paroled into the United States pursuant to section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) (8 U.S.C.

- §1182(d)(5)) for less than 1 year, except for an alien paroled for prosecution, for deferred inspection or pending removal proceedings;
- (4) An alien who belongs to one of the following classes:
- (i) Aliens currently in temporary resident status pursuant to section 210 or 245A of the INA (8 U.S.C. §§1160 or 1255a, respectively);
  - (ii) Aliens currently under Temporary Protected Status (TPS) pursuant to section 244 of the INA (8 U.S.C. §1254a), and pending applicants for TPS who have been granted employment authorization;
  - (iii) Aliens who have been granted employment authorization under 8 CFR 274a.12(c)(9), (10), (16), (18), (20), (22), or (24);
  - (iv) Family Unity beneficiaries pursuant to section 301 of Pub. L. 101-649, as amended;
  - (v) Aliens currently under Deferred Enforced Departure (DED) pursuant to a decision made by the President;
  - (vi) Aliens currently in deferred action status; or
  - (vii) Aliens whose visa petition has been approved and who have a pending application for adjustment of status;
- (5) A pending applicant for asylum under section 208(a) of the INA (8 U.S.C. § 1158) or for withholding of removal under section 241(b)(3) of the INA (8 U.S.C. § 1231) or under the Convention Against Torture who has been granted employment authorization, and such an applicant under the age of 14 who has had an application pending for at least 180 days;
- (6) An alien who has been granted withholding of removal under the Convention Against Torture;
- (7) A child who has a pending application for Special Immigrant Juvenile status as described in section 101(a)(27)(J) of the INA (8 U.S.C. §1101(a)(27)(J));
- (8) An alien who is lawfully present in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands under 48 U.S.C. § 1806(e); or
- (9) An alien who is lawfully present in American Samoa under the immigration laws of American Samoa.

- Elected for pregnant women.
- Elected for children under age 19

**4.1.1-LR**  The State provides assurance that for an individual whom it enrolls in Medicaid under the CHIPRA Lawfully Residing option, it has verified, at the time of the individual's initial eligibility determination and at the time of the eligibility redetermination that the individual continues to be lawfully residing in the United States. The State must first attempt to verify this status using information provided at the time of initial application. If the State cannot do so from the information readily available, it must require the individual to provide documentation or

further evidence to verify satisfactory immigration status in the same manner as it would for anyone else claiming satisfactory immigration status under section 1137(d) of the Act.

**Refer to form CS18 approved 2/10/14**

Separate Child Health Insurance Program Non-Financial Eligibility – Citizenship	CS18
Sections 2105(c)(9) and 2107(e)(1)(J) of the SSA and 42 CFR 457.320(b)(6), (c) and (d)	

**Citizenship**

The CHIP Agency provides CHIP eligibility to otherwise eligible citizens and nationals of the United States and certain non-citizens, including the time period during which they are provided with reasonable opportunity to submit verification of their citizenship, national status or satisfactory immigration status.

The CHIP Agency provides eligibility under the Plan to otherwise eligible individuals:  
Who are citizens or nationals of the United States; or

Who are qualified non-citizens as defined in section 431 of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA) (8 U.S.C. §1641), or whose eligibility is required by section 402(b) of PRWORA (8 U.S.C. §1612(b)) and is not prohibited by section 403 of PRWORA (8 U.S.C. §1613); or

Who have declared themselves to be citizens or nationals of the United States, or an individual having satisfactory immigration status, during a reasonable opportunity period pending verification of their citizenship, nationality, or satisfactory immigration status consistent with requirements of 1903(x), 1137(d), and 1902(ee) of the Act, and 42 CFR 435.406, 407, 956 and 457.380.

The reasonable opportunity period begins on and extends 90 days from the date the notice of reasonable opportunity is received by the individual.

The agency provides for an extension of the reasonable opportunity period if the individual is making a good faith effort to resolve any inconsistencies or obtain any necessary documentation, or the agency needs more time to complete the verification process.

Yes  No

The agency begins to furnish benefits to otherwise eligible individuals during the reasonable opportunity period on a date earlier than the date the notice is received by the individual.

The date benefits are furnished is:

- The date of application containing the declaration of citizenship or immigration status.
- The date the reasonable opportunity notice is sent.
- Other date, as described:

The CHIP Agency elects the option to provide CHIP coverage to otherwise eligible children up to age 19, lawfully residing in the United States, as provided in Section 2107(e)(1)(J) of the SSA (Section 214 of CHIPRA 2009, P.L. 111-3).

Yes  No

Otherwise eligible children means children meeting the eligibility requirements of targeted low-income children with the exception of non-citizen status.

The CHIP Agency provides assurance that lawfully residing children are also covered under the state's Medicaid program.

The CHIP Agency elects the option to provide CHIP coverage to otherwise eligible pregnant women, lawfully residing in the United States, as provided in Section 214 of CHIPRA 2009, P.L. 111-3. The state may not select this option unless the state also elects to cover lawfully residing children. A state may not select this option unless the state also covers Targeted Low- Income Pregnant Women.

Yes  No

An individual is considered to be lawfully residing in the United States if he or she is lawfully present and meets state residency requirements.

**4.1-DS**  **Supplemental Dental** (Section 2103(c)(5) - A child who is eligible to enroll in dental-only supplemental coverage, effective January 1, 2009. Eligibility is limited to only targeted low-income children who are otherwise eligible for CHIP but for the fact that they are enrolled in a group health plan or health insurance offered through an employer. The State's CHIP plan income eligibility level is at least the highest income eligibility standard under its approved State child health plan (or under a waiver) as of January 1, 2009. All who meet the eligibility standards and apply for dental-only supplemental coverage shall be provided benefits. States choosing this option must report these children separately in SEDS. Please update sections 1.1-DS, 4.2-DS, and 9.10 when electing this option.

**4.2.** **Assurances** The State assures by checking the box below that it has made the following findings with respect to the eligibility standards in its plan: (Section 2102(b)(1)(B) and 42 CFR 457.320(b))

**4.2.1.**  These standards do not discriminate on the basis of diagnosis.

**4.2.2.**  Within a defined group of covered targeted low-income children, these standards do not cover children of higher income families without covering children with a lower family income. This applies to pregnant women included in the State plan as well as targeted low-income children.

**4.2.3.**  These standards do not deny eligibility based on a child having a pre-existing medical condition. This applies to pregnant women as well as targeted low-

income children.

**4.2-DS** Supplemental Dental - Please update sections 1.1-DS, 4.1-DS, and 9.10 when electing this option. For dental-only supplemental coverage, the State assures that it has made the following findings with standards in its plan: (Section 2102(b)(1)(B) and 42 CFR 457.320(b))

**4.2.1-DS**  These standards do not discriminate on the basis of diagnosis.

**4.2.2-DS**  Within a defined group of covered targeted low-income children, these standards do not cover children of higher income families without covering children with a lower family income.

**4.2.3-DS**  These standards do not deny eligibility based on a child having a pre-existing medical condition.

**4.3. Methodology.** Describe the methods of establishing and continuing eligibility and enrollment. The description should address the procedures for applying the eligibility standards, the organization and infrastructure responsible for making and reviewing eligibility determinations, and the process for enrollment of individuals receiving covered services, and whether the State uses the same application form for Medicaid and/or other public benefit programs. (Section 2102)(b)(2)) (42 CFR 457.350)

Separate Child Health Insurance Program General Eligibility - Eligibility Processing	CS24
<b>See 4.4 below for details in form</b>	

Guidance: The box below should be checked as related to children and pregnant women.  
Please note: A State providing dental-only supplemental coverage may not have a waiting list or limit eligibility in any way.

**4.3.1. Limitation on Enrollment** Describe the processes, if any, that a State will use for instituting enrollment caps, establishing waiting lists, and deciding which children will be given priority for enrollment. If this section does not apply to your state, check the box below. (Section 2102(b)(2)) (42 CFR 457.305(b))

Check here if this section does not apply to your State.

Guidance: Note that for purposes of presumptive eligibility, States do not need to verify the citizenship status of the child. States electing this option should indicate so in the State plan. (42 CFR 457.355)

**4.3.2.**  Check if the State elects to provide presumptive eligibility for children that meets the requirements of section 1920A of the Act. (Section 2107(e)(1)(L)); (42 CFR 457.355)

#### 4.3.2.1 Presumptive Eligibility for Children-Hospital

Separate Child Health Insurance Program	
General Eligibility - Presumptive Eligibility for Children	CS28
42 CFR 457.355 and 435.1102, 2107(e)(1)(L) and 1920A of the SSA	

The CHIP Agency covers children when determined presumptively eligible by a qualified entity.

Yes  No

Describe the population of children to whom presumptive eligibility applies:  
**Targeted Low-income Children (2102(b)(1)(B)(v) of the SSA and 42 CFR 457.310, 315 and 320). HPE does not apply to the 'conception to birth'/'unborn' population known in Oregon as the Citizen Alien Waived Emergent Medical (CAWEM) Plus population.**

Describe the duration of the presumptive eligibility period and any limitations:  
**The presumptive period begins on the date the determination is made or the date that the individual received a covered service as long as the qualified hospital submits the decision to the Authority within 5 calendar days of the service date. The end date of the presumptive period is the earlier of: The date the eligibility determination for regular CHIP is made, if an application for CHIP is filed by the last day of the month following the month in which the determination of presumptive eligibility is made; or The last day of the month following the month in which the determination of presumptive eligibility is made, if no application for CHIP is filed by that date. The period of eligibility is once every 12 months.**

Describe the application process and eligibility determination factors used:  
**The Hospital is responsible for making immediate eligibility determinations that: Are initiated using the OHA Hospital Presumptive Medical application (OHP 7260) and are based only on information provided by the applicant or his/her representative in Part 1 of the OHP 7260. No additional documentation or verification may be required at the time of the Hospital Presumptive Medical eligibility determination. Information required in order for the hospital to make the determinations are: Applicant's full legal name; Household's gross monthly income and family size; citizenship; state residency; and previous period of Hospital Presumptive Medical Assistance. At the time of the presumptive determination, the Hospital gives the applicant immediate written notice of whether s/he is eligible, or ineligible, for Hospital Presumptive Medical coverage.**

**Within 5 working days of each Hospital Presumptive Medical eligibility determination, the Hospital is responsible for submitting the a copy of the completed Approval or Denial Notice issued to the applicant along with a copy of the applicant's completed Hospital Presumptive Medical application to OHP Customer Service.**

The CHIP Agency covers children when determined presumptively eligible by a qualified entity.

Separate Child Health Insurance Program
---

A qualified entity is an entity that is determined by the agency to be capable of making presumptive eligibility determinations based on an individual's household income and other requirements, and that meets at least one of the following requirements. Select the types of entities used to determine presumptive eligibility:

- Furnishes health care items and services covered under the approved plan and is eligible to receive payments under the approved plan.
- Is authorized to determine a child's eligibility to participate in a Head Start program under the Head Start.
- Is authorized to determine a child's eligibility to receive child care services for which financial assistance is provided under the Child Care and Development Block Grant Act of 1990.
- Is authorized to determine a child's eligibility to receive assistance under the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) under section 17 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966.
- Is authorized to determine a child's eligibility under the Medicaid state plan or for child health assistance under the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP).
- Is authorized to determine a child's eligibility under the Medicaid state plan or for child health assistance under the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP).
- Is an elementary or secondary school, as defined in section 14101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 8801).
- Is an elementary or secondary school operated or supported by the Bureau of Indian Affairs Is a state or Tribal child support enforcement agency under title IV-D of the Act.
- Is an organization that provides emergency food and shelter under a grant under the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act.
- Is a state or Tribal office or entity involved in enrollment in the program under Medicaid, CHIP, or title IV-A of the Act.
- Is an organization that determines eligibility for any assistance or benefits provided under any program of public or assisted housing that receives Federal funds, including the program under section 8 or any other section of the United States

Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437) or under the Native American Housing Assistance and Self Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et seq.)

Any other entity the state so deems, as approved by the Secretary

Name of entity	Description
A qualified hospital	<p><b>A qualified hospital is a hospital that:</b>  <b>Participates as a provider under the CHIP, Medicaid state plan or a Medicaid 1115 Demonstration, notifies the Medicaid/CHIP agency of its election to make presumptive eligibility determinations and agrees to make presumptive eligibility determinations consistent with state policies and procedures.</b>  <b>Has not been disqualified by the Medicaid/CHIP agency for failure to make presumptive eligibility determinations in accordance with applicable state policies and procedures or for failure to meet any standards that may have been established by the Medicaid/CHIP agency.</b></p>

The CHIP Agency assures that it has communicated the requirements for qualified entities, at 1920A(b)(3) of the Act, and provided adequate training to the entities and organizations involved. A copy of the training materials has been included.

Attachment submitted  Yes  No

Guidance: Describe how the State intends to implement the Express Lane option. Include information on the identified Express Lane agency or agencies, and whether the State will be using the Express Lane eligibility option for the initial eligibility determinations, redeterminations, or both.

**4.3.3-EL Express Lane Eligibility**  Check here if the state elects the option to rely on a finding from an Express Lane agency when determining whether a child satisfies one or more components of CHIP eligibility. The state agrees to comply with the requirements of sections 2107(e)(1)(E) and 1902(e)(13) of the Act for this option. Please update sections 4.4-EL, 5.2-EL, 9.10, and 12.1 when electing this option. This authority may not apply to eligibility determinations made before February 4, 2009, or after September 30, 2013. (Section 2107(e)(1)(E))

**4.3.3.1-EL** Also indicate whether the Express Lane option is applied to (1) initial eligibility determination, (2) redetermination, or (3) both.

**ELE is applied to initial eligibility determinations only.**

**4.3.3.2-EL** List the public agencies approved by the State as Express Lane agencies.

**Express Lane agencies. The Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program (SNAP) and selected Department of Education National School Lunch Programs (NSLP).**

**4.3.3.3-EL** List the components/components of CHIP eligibility that are determined under the Express Lane. In this section, specify any differences in budget unit, deeming, income exclusions, income disregards, or other methodology between CHIP eligibility determinations for such children and the determination under the Express Lane option.

**SNAP:**

**The state will use SNAP income findings and apply this income to the child who is applying for medical. The state will use SNAP findings on verification of SSN and state residency. The state will verify citizenship.**

**The state allows child support income disregard of \$50 per child, up to \$200 for a family for medical eligibility determinations. Additionally, the state allows the federal earned income disregard of \$33. These disregards do not apply to SNAP. The state considers money from an assistance program withheld to repay an overpayment as available income in determining medical eligibility. SNAP excludes this income. The state excludes the portion of a payment from the TANF program that is counted as disqualifying income in determining medical eligibility. SNAP does not exclude this income.**

**The state counts periodic income in the month it is received when determining eligibility for medical programs. SNAP gives clients the choice of either averaging the income over the applicable time period or to have the income counted in the month it is expected to be received.**

**For medical program determination, the state excludes the first \$30 of lump-sum income received by each family member each quarter. The state counts the amount that exceeds \$30 a quarter as countable income. SNAP excludes lump-sum income. For medical program determination, the state excludes the portion of adoption assistance that is for the special needs of a child. SNAP does not exclude the income.**

**Cash medical support is excluded by the state for medical program determination. SNAP counts the amount of cash medical support not used to reimburse an actual medical cost.**

**The state excludes the amount of charitable contributions used to assist with a client's medical expenses for medical eligibility determinations. SNAP**

counts charitable contributions that exceed \$300 a quarter.

**In determining eligibility for medical, the state excludes the earned income of children up to age 19. SNAP counts the earned income of individuals age 18' and over.**

**Filing groups differ between SNAP and Medicaid/CHIP. For SNAP, filing groups may include anyone living in the same home who purchases and prepares food together. For Medicaid/CHIP, there must be specific relations (blood relationships/marriage).**

**The National School Lunch Program (NSLP):**

**The state will use the NSLP income findings and apply this income to the child who is applying for medical. The state will also use the NSLP findings for eligibility group size and residency. The state will then verify SSN and citizenship.**

**The state allows a child support income disregard of \$50 per child, up to \$200 for a family for medical eligibility determinations. Additionally, the state allows the federal earned income disregard of \$33. These disregards do not apply to the NSLP. Cash medical support is excluded by the state for medical program determination. NSLP counts cash medical support.**

**The state counts periodic income in the month income in the month it is received when determining eligibility for medical programs. NSLP does not count this income.**

**For medical program determination, the state excludes the first \$30 of lump-sum income received and counts the rest. NSLP does not count lump-sum income.**

**The state excludes the amount of charitable contributions used to assist with a client's medical expenses for medical eligibility determinations. NSLP counts charitable contributions.**

**In determining eligibility for medical, the state excludes the earned income of children up to age 19. NSLP counts this income.**

**The state excludes adoption assistance, while NSLP counts this income.**

**The state excludes the income of household members who are not related to children. NSLP counts the income of all household members.**

**The state excludes income of relatives who are not required to be in the eligibility group. NSLP counts the income of all household members.**

**The state counts the income of eligibility group members who are in the military and away only because they are deployed. NSLP counts only the income the service people send home.**

**4.3.3.3-EL** List the component/components of CHIP eligibility that are determined under the Express Lane.

**4.3.3.4-EL** Describe the option used to satisfy the screen and enrollment requirements before a child may be enrolled under title XXI.

**See 4.4 below**

Guidance: States should describe the process they use to screen and enroll children required under section 2102(b)(3)(A) and (B) of the Social Security Act and 42 CFR 457.350(a) and 457.80(c). Describe the screening threshold set as a percentage of the Federal poverty level (FPL) that exceeds the highest Medicaid income threshold applicable to a child by a minimum of 30 percentage points. (NOTE: The State may set this threshold higher than 30 percentage points to account for any differences between the income calculation methodologies used by an Express Lane agency and those used by the State for its Medicaid program. The State may set one screening threshold for all children, based on the highest Medicaid income threshold, or it may set more than one screening threshold, based on its existing, age-related Medicaid eligibility thresholds.) Include the screening threshold(s) expressed as a percentage of the FPL, and provide an explanation of how this was calculated. Describe whether the State is temporarily enrolling children in CHIP, based on the income finding from an Express Lane agency, pending the completion of the screen and enroll process.

In this section, states should describe their eligibility screening process in a way that addresses the five assurances specified below. The State should consider including important definitions, the relationship with affected Federal, State and local agencies, and other applicable criteria that will describe the State’s ability to make assurances. (Sections 2102(b)(3)(A) and 2110(b)(2)(B)), (42 CFR 457.310(b)(2), 42 CFR 457.350(a)(1) and 457.80(c)(3))

#### **4.3.4 MAGI-Based Income Methodologies**

Separate Child Health Insurance Program MAGI-Based Income Methodologies	CS15
2102(b)(1)(B)(v) of the SSA and 42 CFR 457.315	

The CHIP Agency will apply Modified Adjusted Gross Income methodologies for all separate CHIP covered groups, as described below, and consistent with 42 CFR 457.315 and 435.603(b) through (i).

In the case of determining ongoing eligibility for enrollees determined eligible for CHIP on or before December 31, 2013, MAGI based income methodologies will not be applied until March 31, 2014 or the next regularly-scheduled renewal of eligibility, whichever is later.

If the state covers pregnant women, in determining family size for the eligibility determination of a pregnant woman, she is counted as herself plus each of the children she is expected to deliver.

In determining family size for the eligibility determination of the other individuals in a household that includes a pregnant woman:

- The pregnant woman is counted just as herself.
- The pregnant woman is counted just as herself, plus one.
- The pregnant woman is counted as herself, plus the number of children she is expected to deliver.

Financial eligibility is determined consistent with the following provisions:

When determining eligibility for new applicants, financial eligibility is based on current monthly income and family size.

When determining eligibility for current beneficiaries, financial eligibility is based on:

- Current monthly household income and family size.
- Projected annual household income for the remaining months of the current calendar year and family size.

In determining current monthly or projected annual household income the state will use reasonable methods to:

- Include a prorated portion of the reasonably predictable increase in future income and/or family size.
- Account for a reasonably predictable decrease in future income and/or family size.

Except as provided at 42 CFR 457.315 and 435.603(d)(2) through (d)(4), household income is the sum of the MAGI-based income of every individual included in the individual's household. Household income includes actually available cash support, exceeding nominal amounts, provided by the person claiming an individual described at §435.603(f)(2)(i) as a tax dependent.

Yes  No

The CHIP Agency certifies that it has submitted and received approval for the conversion for all separate CHIP covered group income standards to MAGI-equivalent standards.

Yes  No Attachment submitted.

#### 4.3.5 Conception to birth

Separate Child Health Insurance Program	
Eligibility - Coverage From Conception to Birth	CS9
42 CFR 457.10	

**Coverage From Conception to Birth** - Coverage from conception to birth when the mother is not eligible for Medicaid.

The CHIP Agency operates this covered group in accordance with the following provisions:

Age Standard: **From conception through birth.**

Does the state have an additional age definition or other age-related conditions?

Yes  No

Income Standards:

Income standards are applied statewide.  Yes  No

Are there any exceptions, e.g. populations in a county which may qualify under either a statewide income standard or a county income standard?  Yes  No

Statewide Income Standard

The statewide income standard is: From zero up to **185** % FPL

Exempted from requirement of providing or applying for a Social Security Number.

Exempted from requirement of verifying citizenship status.

#### 4.3.6 Eligibility - Deemed Newborns

Separate Child Health Insurance Program Eligibility - Deemed Newborns	CS13
Section 2112(e) of the SSA and 42 CFR 457.360	

**Deemed Newborns** - Children born to targeted low-income pregnant women are deemed to have applied for and be eligible for CHIP or Medicaid until the child turns one.

The state operates this covered group in accordance with the following provisions:

The child was born to an eligible targeted low-income pregnant woman under section 2112 of the SSA.

The child is deemed to have applied for and been found eligible for CHIP or Medicaid, as appropriate, as of the date of the child's birth, and remains eligible without regard to changes in circumstances until the child's first birthday.

The state elects the following option(s):

- The state elects to cover as a deemed newborn a child born to a mother who is covered as a targeted low-income child under the state's separate CHIP on the date of the newborn's birth.
- The state elects to recognize a child's deemed newborn status from another state and provides benefits in accordance with the requirements of section 2112(e) of the SSA.
- The state elects to cover as a deemed newborn a child born to a mother who is covered under Medicaid or CHIP through the authority of the state's section 1115 demonstration on the date of the newborn's birth.

**4.4. Eligibility screening and coordination with other health coverage programs**

States must describe how they will assure that:

Separate Child Health Insurance Program General Eligibility - Eligibility Processing	CS24
2102(b)(3) & 2107(e)(1)(O) of the SSA and 42 CFR 457, subpart C	

- The CHIP Agency meets all of the requirements of 42 CFR 457, subpart C for application processing, eligibility screening and enrollment.

**Application Processing**

Indicate which application the agency uses for individuals applying for coverage who may be eligible based on the applicable modified adjusted gross income standard:

- The single, streamlined application developed by the Secretary in accordance with section 1413(b)(1)(A) of the Affordable Care Act.
- An alternative single, streamlined application developed by the state and approved by the Secretary in accordance with section 1413(b)(1)(B) of the Affordable Care Act

Attachment submitted

- An alternative application used to apply for multiple human service programs approved by the Secretary, provided that the agency makes readily available the single or alternative application used only for insurance affordability programs to individuals seeking assistance only through such programs.

Attachment submitted

- The agency's procedures permit an individual, or authorized person acting on behalf of the

individual, to submit an application via the internet website described in CFR 457.340(a), by telephone, via mail, in person and other commonly available electronic means.

The agency accepts applications in the following other electronic means.

Other electronic means:

### **Screen and Enroll Process**

The CHIP Agency has coordinated eligibility and enrollment screening procedures in place that are applied at time of initial application, periodic redeterminations, and follow-up eligibility determinations. The procedures ensure that only targeted low income children are provided CHIP coverage and that enrollment is facilitated for applicants found to be potentially eligible for other insurance affordability programs.

Procedures include:

- Screening of application to identify all individuals eligible or potentially eligible for CHIP or other insurance affordability programs; and
- Income eligibility test, with calculation of household income consistent with 42 CFR 457.315 for individuals identified as potentially eligible for Medicaid or other insurance affordability programs based on household income; and
- Screening process for individuals who may qualify for Medicaid on a basis other than having household income at or below the applicable MAGI standard, based on information in the single streamlined application.

The CHIP agency has entered into an arrangement with the Exchange to make eligibility determinations for advanced premium tax credits in accordance with section 1943(b)(2) of the SSA.

Yes  No

### **Redetermination Processing**

Redeterminations of eligibility for individuals whose financial eligibility is based on the applicable modified adjusted gross income standard are performed as follows, consistent with 42 CFR 457.343:

- Once every 12 months.
- Without requiring information from the individual if able to do so based on reliable information contained in the individual's account or other more current information available to the agency.
- If the agency cannot determine eligibility solely on the basis of the information available to it, or otherwise needs additional information to complete the redetermination, it provides the individual with a pre-populated renewal form containing the information already available.

### **Screening by Other Insurance Affordability Programs**

The CHIP Agency provides assurance that it has adopted procedures to accept and process electronic accounts of individuals screened as potentially eligible for CHIP by other insurance affordability programs in accordance with the requirements of 42 CFR 457.348(b) and to determine eligibility in accordance with 42 CFR 457.340 in the same manner as if the

application had been submitted directly to, and processed by the state.

The CHIP Agency elects the option to accept CHIP eligibility decisions made by the Exchange or other agencies administering insurance affordability programs as provided in 42 CFR 457.348 and to furnish CHIP in accordance with requirements of 42 CFR 457.340 to the same extent and in the same manner as if the applicant had been determined by the state to be eligible for CHIP.

Check all types of agencies that apply:

The Exchange

Medicaid

Other agency administering insurance affordability programs

The CHIP Agency has entered into an agreement with agencies administering other insurance affordability programs to fulfill the requirements of 457.348(b) and will provide this agreement to the Secretary upon request.

4.4.1.  only targeted low-income children who are ineligible for Medicaid or not covered under a group health plan or health insurance (including access to a State health benefits plan) are furnished child health assistance under the plan. (Sections 2102(b)(3)(A), 2110(b)(2)(B)) (42 CFR 457.310(b), 42 CFR 457.350(a)(1) and 42 CFR 457.80(c)(3)) Confirm that the State does not apply a waiting period for pregnant women.

4.4.2.  children found through the screening process to be potentially eligible for medical assistance under the State Medicaid plan are enrolled for assistance under such plan; (Section 2102(b)(3)(B)) (42 CFR 457.350(a)(2)).

4.4.3.  children found through the screening process to be ineligible for Medicaid are enrolled in CHIP. (Sections 2102(a)(1) and (2) and 2102(c)(2)) (42CFR 431.636(b)(4))

4.4.4.  the insurance provided under the State child health plan does not substitute for coverage under group health plans. (Section 2102(b)(3)(C)) (42 CFR, 457.805)

Separate Child Health Insurance Program	
Non-Financial Eligibility – Substitution of Coverage	CS20
457.310(b)(2) and (b)(3), 457.320(a)(9) and 2110(b)(1)(C) of the SSA	

**Substitution of Coverage**

The CHIP Agency provides assurance that it has methods and policies in place to prevent the substitution of group health coverage or other commercial health insurance with public funded coverage. These policies include:

Substitution of coverage prevention strategy:

Name of policy	Description
Insurance status	Monitoring health insurance status at the time of application

A waiting period during which an individual is ineligible due to having dropped group health coverage.

Yes  No

If the state elects to offer dental only supplemental coverage, the following assurances apply:

The other coverage exclusion does not apply to children who are otherwise eligible for dental only supplemental coverage as provided in section 2110(b)(5) of the SSA.

The waiting period does not apply to children eligible for dental only supplemental coverage.

**4.4.4.1.**  (formerly 4.4.4.4) If the State provides coverage under a premium assistance program, describe: 1) the minimum period without coverage under a group health plan. This should include any allowable exceptions to the waiting period; 2) the expected minimum level of contribution employers will make; and 3) how cost-effectiveness is determined. (42 CFR 457.810(a)-(c))

**4.4.5.**  Child health assistance is provided to targeted low-income children in the State who are American Indian and Alaska Native. (Section 2102(b)(3)(D)) (42 CFR 457.125(a))

**Targeted low-income children who are American Indian or Alaska Native are subject to the same eligibility determination protocol as other targeted low-income children. Due to the unique characteristics of this population, MAP works with representatives of the tribes in the state through the American Indian/Alaska Native Forum and the N.W. Portland Area Indian Health Board (PAIHB) to develop outreach protocols that specifically target low income children in the state who are American Indians or Alaska Natives. Representatives of the Oregon Health Authority meet quarterly with representatives of the nine federally recognized tribes in the state, the PAIHB and the Portland Indian Health Service. CHIP has been and continues to be a recurring agenda item at these meetings. CHIP policy will mirror OHP Medicaid policy for children in the state who are American Indians or Alaska Natives. Children of recognized Indian heritage will not be required to enroll in managed care and may receive services on a FFS basis, if they choose. Options for tribal participation in CHIP are open. There is a possibility of future Title XXI State Plan amendments if the tribes decide they want to do something different for their children.**

Guidance: When the State is using an income finding from an Express Lane agency, the State must still comply with screen and enroll requirements before enrolling children in CHIP. The State may either continue its current screen and enroll process, or elect one of two new options to fulfill these requirements.

**4.4-EL** The State should designate the option it will be using to carry out screen and enroll requirements:

- The State will continue to use the screen and enroll procedures required under section 2102(b)(3)(A) and (B) of the Social Security Act and 42 CFR 457.350(a) and 42 CFR 457.80(c). Describe this process.
- The State is establishing a screening threshold set as a percentage of the Federal poverty level (FPL) that exceeds the highest Medicaid income threshold applicable to a child by a minimum of 30 percentage points. (NOTE: The State may set this threshold higher than 30 percentage points to account for any differences between the income calculation methodologies used by the Express Lane agency and those used by the State for its Medicaid program. The State may set one screening threshold for all children, based on the highest Medicaid income threshold, or it may set more than one screening threshold, based on its existing, age-related Medicaid eligibility thresholds.) Include the screening threshold(s) expressed as a percentage of the FPL, and provide an explanation of how this was calculated.  
**FPL equals 163% for all children**
- The State is temporarily enrolling children in CHIP, based on the income finding from the Express Lane agency, pending the completion of the screen and enroll process.

## **Section 5. Outreach and Coordination**

**5.1.** (formerly 2.2) Describe the current State efforts to provide or obtain creditable health coverage for uninsured children by addressing sections 5.1.1 and 5.1.2. (Section 2102)(a)(2) (42 CFR 457.80(b))

Guidance: The information below may include whether the state elects express lane eligibility a description of the State's outreach efforts through Medicaid and state-only programs.

**5.1.1.** (formerly 2.2.1.) The steps the State is currently taking to identify and enroll all

uninsured children who are eligible to participate in public health insurance programs (i.e., Medicaid and state-only child health insurance):

**As part of the outreach effort, the Oregon Health Authority plan to conduct a number of training sessions across the state. These training sessions focus on getting timely and accurate information about Healthy Kids into the hands of local community partners who have extensive contact with clients. Activities will target children eligible as the result of covering higher income levels, but also attempt to reach children at lower income levels who are eligible for but not enrolled in current programs. The Oregon Health Authority, Department of Human Services will develop and distribute educational materials for parents that focus on the importance of obtaining health coverage for their children and receiving preventive services.**

**Children from birth to age 6 with family incomes less than 133% of the federal poverty level (FPL) and children 6-18 with family incomes up to 133% of the FPL are eligible for coverage under the Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration.**

**OHP Medicaid and CHIP applications and application assistance are available at the 64 DHS Children, Adults and Families Division (CAF) branch offices throughout the state and at 56 Aged and Physically Disabled field offices. A well-publicized 800 number to the OHP Medicaid/CHIP Application Center is also available. The Application Center mails applications on request and helps callers in completing OHP applications and related forms. Applications may also be obtained and submitted on line via the Internet, through outreach locations at FQHCs, Tribal health clinics, DSH hospitals, Healthy Start, local health departments and Certified Application Assistance Organizations. Brochures outlining the services and eligibility requirements and containing the Application Center toll-free number and Web address are widely available in provider offices, libraries and other community distribution points throughout the state. The toll-free number for the Application Center also appears in the white pages of telephone directories throughout the state. Information about OHP Medicaid/CHIP services, eligibility requirements and processes is also available on the OHA website.**

**Express Lane Eligibility:**

**OHA and DHS utilizes designated agencies (SNAP and NSLP) in order to provide a simplified eligibility determination process and expedited enrollment of eligible children in Medicaid and CHIP.**

**VISTA Health Links Project**

**VISTA volunteers work in many counties throughout the state. As a part of their activities to ensure public health systems and programs work well together for the women and children they serve, these volunteers provide clients assistance and information on the Oregon Health Plan, immunizations, prenatal care and other**

health issues/concerns. The WIC program has the broadest client base of the VISTA Health Links partner programs, and is often the gateway service for women and children. Therefore, a good deal of the VISTA Health Links Project focus is around developing outreach efforts and systems to promote immunizations, OHP registration and early prenatal care access among WIC clients.

#### **Community-Based Application Assistance Project**

This program, started in January 1998, allows local health departments, Disproportionate Share Hospitals (DSH), Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHC) and Tribal Health Clinics to distribute OHP Medicaid/CHIP applications and to give on-site assistance with completion of the OHP application for children, pregnant women and their families. Currently DMAP has 200 outreach sites located throughout the state.

#### **Hospital Hold-CHIP OHP Plus**

If an uninsured patient is admitted to a hospital, the hospital may fax a Hospital Hold form for the patient to the OHP Medicaid/CHIP Application Center within 24 hours of the admission, or by the next working day. The intent of this program is to allow people who receive care in a hospital (inpatient only) to secure a date of request for the Medicaid/CHIP program application although they cannot physically reach a phone or a DHS branch. An OHP Medicaid/CHIP application is sent to the patient. For those who complete and return the application and are determined eligible for OHP Medicaid or CHIP, their eligibility is retroactive to the date of request. The original date of request is honored if the application is received within 45 days from the date of request.

#### **SAFENET**

SAFENET is a community partnership program that provides a statewide toll free information/referral phone line for Oregonians. It is the state's Maternal and Child Health (MCH) hotline, designed to link low-income Oregon residents with health care services within their communities, including information on the Oregon Health Plan.

Guidance: The State may address the coordination between the public-private outreach and the public health programs that is occurring statewide. This section will provide a historic record of the steps the State is taking to identify and enroll all uninsured children from the time the State's plan was initially approved. States do not have to rewrite his section but may instead update this section as appropriate.

**5.1.2.** (formerly 2.2.2.) The steps the State is currently taking to identify and enroll all

uninsured children who are eligible to participate in health insurance programs that involve a public-private partnership:  
n/a

Guidance: The State should describe below how it's Title XXI program will closely coordinate the enrollment with Medicaid because under Title XXI, children identified as Medicaid-eligible are required to be enrolled in Medicaid. Specific information related to Medicaid screen and enroll procedures is requested in Section 4.4. (42 CFR 457.80(c))

**5.2.** (formerly 2.3) Describe how CHIP coordinates with other public and private health insurance programs, other sources of health benefits coverage for children, other relevant child health programs, (such as title V), that provide health care services for low-income children to increase the number of children with creditable health coverage. (Section 2102(a)(3), 2102(b)(3)(E) and 2102(c)(2)) (42 CFR 457.80(c)). This item requires a brief overview of how Title XXI efforts – particularly new enrollment outreach efforts – will be coordinated with and improve upon existing State efforts.

**Oregon conducts the following activities to coordinate the Title V Maternal Child Health Program with OHP-CHIP:**

**The Child Development and Rehabilitation Center (CDRC) administers the Oregon Services to Children with Special Health Needs (OSCSHN) Title V Program at the Oregon Health and Sciences University (OHSU). The OSCSHN Financial Assistance Program provides financial assistance to families who meet the financial eligibility criteria at three times the federal poverty level and whose child has a qualifying medical diagnosis. Financial counselors screen families to determine program eligibility and make referrals to OHP including Medicaid or CHIP when appropriate. OSCSHN staff, conduct follow-up calls to families referred to the OHP to determine the status of applications and to provide assistance when needed. This effort has resulted in more families qualifying for benefits and cost savings to the OSCSHN budget.**

**The OHA Public Health Division, Office of Family Health Services (OFHS) serves as the state Title V Agency and continues to work closely with OHA. The OFHS maintains an agreement with OHA for a community immunization program and to purchase vaccines for children enrolled in CHIP, for joint management of the Section 1115 Demonstration Family Planning Expansion Project, and for the MCH Hotline, SafeNet, which is contracted to the Multnomah County Health Department. Other coordination efforts include lead screening, preschool and adolescent immunization, vaccines for children, school based health centers, Oregon MothersCare, Babies First and CaCoon.**

**5.2-EL** The State should include a description of its election of the Express Lane eligibility option to provide a simplified eligibility determination process and expedited enrollment of eligible children into Medicaid or CHIP.

**Express Lane Eligibility agencies include The Department of Human Services, Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program (SNAP) and the Department of Education, selected districts, through the National School Lunch Program (NSLP). OHA will use SNAP income findings and apply this income to the child who is applying for medical. The state will use SNAP findings on verification of SSN and state residency. The state will then verify citizenship.**

**The State Department of Education prepares the application form that all schools use for NSLP Each school district will then send DHS a list of children that are found eligible for free and reduced lunch whose parents did not ‘opt-out’ and who indicated the child does not have any kind of health coverage”. DHS will then send “Express Lane” applications for health coverage to the identified families, requesting additional information. The additional information requested of families includes the names, genders, social security numbers, dates of birth, citizenship status, tribal information, availability of other insurance, disabilities, absent parent information, and managed care information for everyone applying. Applications that are returned will be processed to determine eligibility using regular methodologies including verification of citizenship. The Department will use the NSLP findings for income and household composition for initial eligibility determinations for children. The NSLP will send the Department households’ income and household group size. The Department will send the households shortened applications, and when the applications are returned, the NSLP findings of income and eligibility group size will be used to determine eligibility for children.**

**The Express Lane option will be applied to initial determinations only.**

Guidance: Outreach strategies may include, but are not limited to, community outreach workers, outstationed eligibility workers, translation and transportation services, assistance with enrollment forms, case management and other targeting activities to inform families of low-income children of the availability of the health insurance program under the plan or other private or public health coverage.

The description should include information on how the State will inform the target of the availability of the programs, including American Indians and Alaska Natives, and assist them in enrolling in the appropriate program.

- 5.3. Strategies** Describe the procedures used by the State to accomplish outreach to families of children likely to be eligible for child health assistance or other public or private health coverage to inform them of the availability of the programs, and to assist them in enrolling their children in such a program. (Section 2102(c)(1)) (42 CFR 457.90)
- Outreach for CHIP will be incorporated into existing OHP Medicaid outreach activities, including:**
- ◆ **VISTA Health Links;**

- ◆ DSHs Hospitals, FQHCs and tribal health clinics local health departments.
- ◆ Hospital hold;
- ◆ SAFENET;
- ◆ Outreach through Healthy Start.

Programs above are described in more detail in Section 5.1.1

**Application Assistance:**

To help more children, teens, individuals, and families in Oregon to get and maintain health insurance, the Oregon Health Authority (OHA) and Cover Oregon (Oregon’s Insurance Exchange) joined forces to administer a community partner program. Through the program, trained community partners (application assisters) will provide education and outreach and help children, teens, and families enroll in public programs and commercial insurance plans available through Cover Oregon. Grant opportunities will be available to interested organizations.

The types of organizations that can be a grantee are health advocacy groups, cultural specific organizations, Faith based groups, community based organizations, community clinics, libraries, housing authorities, migrant organizations, etc. There will be a competitive bid process as grant opportunities are available to any organizations that qualify to participate.

OHA will also work with community partners that don’t receive grant funding directly from the initiative, including local governments, hospitals, coalitions, etc. Additionally, provider assisters that already provide application assistance through contracts with the Division of Medical Assistance Programs (DMAP). All grantee and volunteer agreements will include conflict of interest, privacy and security, enrollment assistance, and either cultural competency or equitable service standards.

OHA will target potential partnerships with community-based organizations that are diverse and have expertise serving hard-to-reach, non-English speaking, geographically isolated, and underserved populations. Community partner organizations will:

- Focus on conducting enrollment assistance and outreach to families accessing public and private health insurance coverage in the individual market;
- Develop local outreach campaigns tied to the overall marketing effort;
- Offer consumer assistance with all aspects of eligibility, enrollment, appeals, and renewals;
- Contribute to the overall consumer experience;
- Collaborate with other community partners as well as insurance agents, and;
- Address misconceptions in local communities about public and private programs and the ACA

Community partner organizations may have staff and/or volunteers trained as application assisters. Application assisters will lead individuals and families through the entire process of

eligibility and enrollment for public and private health coverage. “Application Assister” encompasses what the Affordable Care Act and other states refer to as navigators, in-person assisters, and application counselors. All application assisters must attend training and pass a certification exam. Additionally, application assisters must:

- Be covered by the organization’s general liability and automobile insurance,
- Pass a criminal background check,
- Complete an online pre-requisite Cover Oregon overview,
- Attend enrollment assistance training annually, and
- Pass an annual certification exam.

Grantees will target geographic areas with high rates of eligible but un-enrolled children, teens, individual, and families including rural areas; racial, ethnic and language minority communities and populations with additional barriers to accessing health insurance, such as those with physical, cognitive, sensory or mental disabilities or chemical dependency and those experiencing homelessness. Grant funds are intended for staff time, local travel, and other expenses necessary to reach and provide assistance to children, teens, individuals, and families. OHA will provide technical assistance and training, publications and other promotional materials.

#### **Outreach and Enrollment Grants:**

The Targeted Outreach and Enrollment Grant Program is designed to provide culturally-specific and targeted outreach and direct application assistance to aid families in racial, ethnic and language minority communities, living in geographic isolation or with additional access barriers to enroll their children into the Healthy Kids program. The Outreach and Enrollment Grantee will target geographic areas with high rates of eligible but unenrolled children, including rural areas; racial, ethnic and language minority communities and populations with additional barriers to accessing health care, such as those with physical, cognitive, sensory or mental disabilities or chemical dependency and those experiencing homelessness. Grant funds are intended for staff time, local travel, and other expenses necessary to reach and provide assistance to targeted families with children. OHA will provide technical assistance and training, publications and other promotional materials

The targeted Outreach and Enrollment Grant program will provide funding opportunities to community organizations that apply and are selected for an award. The funds must be used for activities that will lead to enrollment of children into the Oregon Health Plan for both Medicaid and CHIP. Activities funded may include, but are not limited to, community education, application assistance, and participation in community events. Outreach grants will be awarded to community organizations specifically targeting enrollment of children in racial, ethnic and language minority communities; living in geographic isolation; and/or with additional barriers to accessing health care, such as those with physical, cognitive, sensory or mental disabilities or chemical dependency; and those experiencing homelessness.

**The criteria used to award an outreach and enrollment grant are:**

- (1) Ability to Target geographic areas with high rates of eligible but unenrolled children, including rural areas; racial, ethnic and language minority communities and populations with additional barriers to accessing health care, such as those with physical, cognitive, sensory or mental disabilities or chemical dependency and those experiencing homelessness;**
- (2) Demonstrate that they have access to, and credibility with, target populations; and**
- (3) Demonstrate that they have the ability to address barriers to enrollment, such as a lack of awareness, stigma concerns and punitive fears or cultural barriers.**
- (4) Are not currently a CAAO participating in the AAP.**

**Outreach and Enrollment Grants will begin effective upon CMS approval on or after October 1, 2009. The grant will be for the balance of state fiscal year 2010 and renewable for a second year, based on performance. Grants will range from \$20,000 to \$80,000 a year. We anticipate awarding approximately 20 to 40 grants in the first biennium. Each grantee will have enrollment targets that they have proposed in their application and will have been approved by Office of Healthy Kids. Grantees will be required to provide monthly reports on their progress. Fund disbursement will be contingent upon demonstrating progress toward their goals. The Office of Healthy Kids will be fully staffed with at least one FTE monitoring their progress and providing technical assistance.**

**Outreach and Enrollment Grant agreement statement of work includes, but is not limited to: Identifying its target population(s);**

- Distributing OHA-approved promotional, educational and marketing materials to its targeted population**
- Completing application assistance training, provided by OHA;**
- Participating in meetings and conferences as requested by OHA;**
- Assisting its targeted population in completing the enrollment process into the Healthy Kids program, in accordance with the application assistance training;**
- Collaborating with local community organizations and establishing information-sharing processes as needed.**
- Submitting its progress to OHA on monthly and annual basis according to reporting requirements specified by OHA, including the number of families contacted and the number of children enrolled successfully;**
- Conducting outreach that is results driven and connected to actual enrollment and retention of children; and**
- Developing strategies to overcome barriers that families in the target population may have, and establishing relationships of trust to effectively support enrollment;**

**Express Lane Eligibility:**

**The OHA utilizes SNAP and NSLP as indicated in section 1.4 in order to provide a simplified eligibility determination process and expedited enrollment of eligible children in Medicaid and**

**CHIP. For initial determinations the Department will utilize income findings from these designated agencies to automatically enroll children in Healthy Kids if they meet the other eligibility criteria. Families will be contacted by phone and children will be enrolled if their parents give verbal or written approval.**

**The OHA has ongoing outreach activities as indicated above, to increase enrollment for the health kids programs and have worked with the Department of Education and statewide education organizations to facilitate the express lane eligibility through the NSLP. Agency staff has been trained and will have access to ongoing training.**

**Section 6. Coverage Requirements for Children’s Health Insurance**

Check here if the State elects to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded eligibility under the State’s Medicaid plan and proceed to Section 7 since children covered under a Medicaid expansion program will receive all Medicaid covered services including EPSDT.

**6.1.** The State elects to provide the following forms of coverage to children: (Check all that apply.) (Section 2103(c)); (42 CFR 457.410(a))

Guidance: Benchmark coverage is substantially equal to the benefits coverage in a benchmark benefit package (FEHBP-equivalent coverage, State employee coverage, and/or the HMO coverage plan that has the largest insured commercial, non-Medicaid enrollment in the state). If box below is checked, either 6.1.1.1., 6.1.1.2., or 6.1.1.3. must also be checked. (Section 2103(a)(1))

**6.1.1.**  Benchmark coverage; (Section 2103(a)(1) and 42 CFR 457.420)

Guidance: Check box below if the benchmark benefit package to be offered by the State is the standard Blue Cross/Blue Shield preferred provider option service benefit plan, as described in and offered under Section 8903(1) of Title 5, United States Code. (Section 2103(b)(1) (42 CFR 457.420(b))

**6.1.1.1.**  FEHBP-equivalent coverage; (Section 2103(b)(1) (42 CFR 457.420(a)) (If checked, attach copy of the plan.)

Guidance: Check box below if the benchmark benefit package to be offered by the State is State employee coverage, meaning a coverage plan that is offered and generally available to State employees in the state. (Section 2103(b)(2))

**6.1.1.2.**  State employee coverage; (Section 2103(b)(2)) (If checked, identify the plan and attach a copy of the benefits description.)

Guidance: Check box below if the benchmark benefit package to be offered by the State is offered by a health maintenance organization (as defined in Section 2791(b)(3) of the Public Health Services Act) and has the largest insured commercial, non-Medicaid enrollment of covered lives of such coverage plans offered by an HMO in the state. (Section 2103(b)(3) (42 CFR 457.420(c)))

**6.1.1.3.**  HMO with largest insured commercial enrollment (Section 2103(b)(3)) (If checked, identify the plan and attach a copy of the benefits description.)

Guidance: States choosing Benchmark-equivalent coverage must check the box below and ensure that the coverage meets the following requirements:

- the coverage includes benefits for items and services within each of the categories of basic services described in 42 CFR 457.430:
  - dental services
  - inpatient and outpatient hospital services,
  - physicians' services,
  - surgical and medical services,
  - laboratory and x-ray services,
  - well-baby and well-child care, including age-appropriate immunizations, and
  - emergency services;
- the coverage has an aggregate actuarial value that is at least actuarially equivalent to one of the benchmark benefit packages (FEHBP-equivalent coverage, State employee coverage, or coverage offered through an HMO coverage plan that has the largest insured commercial enrollment in the state); and
- the coverage has an actuarial value that is equal to at least 75 percent of the actuarial value of the additional categories in such package, if offered, as described in 42 CFR 457.430:
  - coverage of prescription drugs,
  - mental health services,
  - vision services and
  - hearing services.

If 6.1.2. is checked, a signed actuarial memorandum must be attached. The actuary who prepares the opinion must select and specify the standardized set and population to be used under paragraphs (b)(3) and (b)(4) of 42 CFR 457.431. The State must provide sufficient detail to explain the basis of the methodologies used to estimate the actuarial value or, if requested by CMS, to replicate the State results.

The actuarial report must be prepared by an individual who is a member of the American Academy of Actuaries. This report must be prepared in accordance with the principles and standards of the American Academy of Actuaries. In preparing the report, the actuary must use generally accepted actuarial principles and methodologies, use a standardized set of utilization and price factors, use a standardized population that is representative of privately insured children of the age of children who are expected to be covered under the State child health plan, apply the same principles and factors in comparing the value of different coverage (or categories of services), without taking into account any differences in coverage based on the method of delivery or means of cost control or utilization used, and take into account the ability of a State to reduce benefits by taking into account the increase in actuarial value of benefits coverage offered under the State child health plan that results from the limitations on cost sharing under such coverage. (Section 2103(a)(2))

- 6.1.2.  Benchmark-equivalent coverage; (Section 2103(a)(2) and 42 CFR 457.430) Specify the coverage, including the amount, scope and duration of each service, as well as any exclusions or limitations. Attach a signed actuarial report that meets the requirements specified in 42 CFR 457.431.

Guidance: A State approved under the provision below, may modify its program from time to time so long as it continues to provide coverage at least equal to the lower of the actuarial value of the coverage under the program as of August 5, 1997, or one of the benchmark programs. If “existing comprehensive state-based coverage” is modified, an actuarial opinion documenting that the actuarial value of the modification is greater than the value as of August 5, 1997, or one of the benchmark plans must be attached. Also, the fiscal year 1996 State expenditures for “existing comprehensive state-based coverage” must be described in the space provided for all states. (Section 2103(a)(3))

- 6.1.3.  Existing Comprehensive State-Based Coverage; (Section 2103(a)(3) and 42 CFR 457.440) This option is only applicable to New York, Florida, and Pennsylvania. Attach a description of the benefits package, administration, and date of enactment. If existing comprehensive State-based coverage is modified, provide an actuarial opinion documenting that the actuarial value of the modification is greater than the value as of August 5, 1997 or one of the benchmark plans. Describe the fiscal year 1996 State expenditures for existing comprehensive state-based coverage.

Guidance: Secretary-approved coverage refers to any other health benefits coverage deemed appropriate and acceptable by the Secretary upon application by a state. (Section 2103(a)(4)) (42 CFR 457.250)

**6.1.4.**  Secretary-approved Coverage. (Section 2103(a)(4)) (42 CFR 457.450)

Guidance: Section 1905(r) of the Act defines EPSDT to require coverage of (1) any medically necessary screening, and diagnostic services, including vision, hearing, and dental screening and diagnostic services, consistent with a periodicity schedule based on current and reasonable medical practice standards or the health needs of an individual child to determine if a suspected condition or illness exists; and (2) all services listed in section 1905(a) of the Act that are necessary to correct or ameliorate any defects and mental and physical illnesses or conditions discovered by the screening services, whether or not those services are covered under the Medicaid state plan. Section 1902(a)(43) of the Act requires that the State (1) provide and arrange for all necessary services, including supportive services, such as transportation, needed to receive medical care included within the scope of the EPSDT benefit and (2) inform eligible beneficiaries about the services available under the EPSDT benefit.

If the coverage provided does not meet all of the statutory requirements for EPSDT contained in sections 1902(a)(43) and 1905(r) of the Act, do not check this box.

**6.1.4.1.**  Coverage of all benefits that are provided to children that is the same as the benefits provided under the Medicaid State plan, including Early Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (EPSDT).

**6.1.4.2.**  Comprehensive coverage for children under a Medicaid Section 1115 demonstration waiver.

**6.1.4.3.**  Coverage that the State has extended to the entire Medicaid population.

Guidance: Check below if the coverage offered includes benchmark coverage, as specified in §457.420, plus additional coverage. Under this option, the State must clearly demonstrate that the coverage it provides includes the same coverage as the benchmark package, and also describes the services that are being added to the benchmark package.

**6.1.4.4.**  Coverage that includes benchmark coverage plus additional coverage.

**6.1.4.5.**  Coverage that is the same as defined by existing comprehensive state-based coverage applicable only in New York, Pennsylvania or Florida. (under 42 CFR 457.440)

Guidance: Check below if the State is purchasing coverage through a group health

plan, and intends to demonstrate that the group health plan is substantially equivalent to or greater than coverage under one of the benchmark plans specified in 457.420, through the use of a benefit-by-benefit comparison of the coverage. Provide a sample of the comparison format that will be used. Under this option, if coverage for any benefit does not meet or exceed the coverage for that benefit under the benchmark, the State must provide an actuarial analysis as described in 457.431 to determine actuarial equivalence.

- 6.1.4.6.**  Coverage under a group health plan that is substantially equivalent to or greater than benchmark coverage through a benefit by benefit comparison (Provide a sample of how the comparison will be done).

Guidance: Check below if the State elects to provide a source of coverage that is not described above. Describe the coverage that will be offered, including any benefit limitations or exclusions.

- 6.1.4.7.**  Other. (Describe)

Guidance: All forms of coverage that the State elects to provide to children in its plan must be checked. The State should also describe the scope, amount and duration of services covered under its plan, as well as any exclusions or limitations. States that choose to cover unborn children under the State plan should include a separate section 6.2 that specifies benefits for the unborn child population. (Section 2110(a)) (42 CFR, 457.490)

If the state elects to cover the new option of targeted low income pregnant women, but chooses to provide a different benefit package for these pregnant women under the CHIP plan, the state must include a separate section 6.2 describing the benefit package for pregnant women. (Section 2112)

- 6.2.** The State elects to provide the following forms of coverage to children: (Check all that apply. If an item is checked, describe the coverage with respect to the amount, duration and scope of services covered, as well as any exclusions or limitations) (Section 2110(a)) (42 CFR 457.490)

- 6.2.1.**  Inpatient services (Section 2110(a)(1))  
**6.2.2.**  Outpatient services (Section 2110(a)(2))  
**6.2.3.**  Physician services (Section 2110(a)(3))  
**6.2.4.**  Surgical services (Section 2110(a)(4))  
**6.2.5.**  Clinic services (including health center services) and other ambulatory health care services. (Section 2110(a)(5))  
**6.2.6.**  Prescription drugs (Section 2110(a)(6))  
**6.2.7.**  Over-the-counter medications (Section 2110(a)(7))  
**6.2.8.**  Laboratory and radiological services (Section 2110(a)(8))

- 6.2.9.  Prenatal care and pre-pregnancy family services and supplies (Section 2110(a)(9))
- 6.2.10.  Inpatient mental health services, other than services described in 6.2.18., but including services furnished in a state-operated mental hospital and including residential or other 24-hour therapeutically planned structural services (Section 2110(a)(10))
- 6.2.11.  Outpatient mental health services, other than services described in 6.2.19, but including services furnished in a state-operated mental hospital and including community-based services (Section 2110(a)(11))
- 6.2.12.  Durable medical equipment and other medically-related or remedial devices (such as prosthetic devices, implants, eyeglasses, hearing aids, dental devices, and adaptive devices) (Section 2110(a)(12))
- 6.2.13.  Disposable medical supplies (Section 2110(a)(13)) Guidance: Home and community based services may include supportive services such as home health nursing services, home health aide services, personal care, assistance with activities of daily living, chore services, day care services, respite care services, training for family members, and minor modifications to the home.
- 6.2.14.  Home and community-based health care services (Section 2110(a)(14))

Guidance: Nursing services may include nurse practitioner services, nurse midwife services, advanced practice nurse services, private duty nursing care, pediatric nurse services, and respiratory care services in a home, school or other setting.

- 6.2.15.  Nursing care services (Section 2110(a)(15))
- 6.2.16.  Abortion only if necessary to save the life of the mother or if the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest (Section 2110(a)(16))
- 6.2.17.  Dental services (Section 2110(a)(17)) States updating their dental benefits must complete 6.2-DC (CHIPRA # 7, SHO # #09-012 issued October 7, 2009)
- 6.2.18.  Vision screenings and services (Section 2110(a)(24))
- 6.2.19.  Hearing screenings and services (Section 2110(a)(24))
- 6.2.20.  Inpatient substance abuse treatment services and residential substance abuse treatment services (Section 2110(a)(18))
- 6.2.21.  Outpatient substance abuse treatment services (Section 2110(a)(19))
- 6.2.22.  Case management service (Section 2110(a)(20))
- 6.2.23.  Care coordination services (Section 2110(a)(21))
- 6.2.24.  Physical therapy, occupational therapy, and services for individuals with speech, hearing, and language disorders (Section 2110(a)(22))
- 6.2.25.  Hospice care (Section 2110(a)(23))

Guidance: See guidance for Section 6.1.4.1 for guidance on the statutory requirements for EPSDT under sections 1905(r) and 1902(a)(43) of the Act. If the benefit being provided does not meet the EPSDT statutory requirements, do not check the box below.

- 6.2.26.  EPSDT consistent with requirements of sections 1905(r) and 1902(a)(43) of the Act

Guidance: Any other medical, diagnostic, screening, preventive, restorative, remedial, therapeutic or rehabilitative service may be provided, whether in a facility, home, school, or other setting, if recognized by State law and only if the service is: 1) prescribed by or furnished by a physician or other licensed or registered practitioner within the scope of practice as prescribed by State law; 2) performed under the general supervision or at the direction of a physician; or 3) furnished by a health care facility that is operated by a State or local government or is licensed under State law and operating within the scope of the license.

- 6.2.27.  Any other medical, diagnostic, screening, preventive, restorative, remedial, therapeutic, or rehabilitative services. (Section 2110(a)(24))

- 6.2.28.  Premiums for private health care insurance coverage (Section 2110(a)(25))

- 6.2.29.  Medical transportation (Section 2110(a)(26))

Guidance: Enabling services, such as transportation, translation, and outreach services, may be offered only if designed to increase the accessibility of primary and preventive health care services for eligible low-income individuals.

- 6.2.30.  Enabling services (such as transportation, translation, and outreach services) (Section 2110(a)(27))

- 6.2.31.  Any other health care services or items specified by the Secretary and not included under this Section (Section 2110(a)(28))

**6.2. \*Applicable for Unborn population only- When any grouping below is provided only if medically necessary and/or limited to emergency for the Unborn population it is identified with \*L/MN. Services for the Unborn population are the same benefits statewide.**

- 6.2.1.  Inpatient services (Section 2110(a)(1))

- 6.2.2.  Outpatient services (Section 2110(a)(2))

- 6.2.3.  Physician services (Section 2110(a)(3))

- 6.2.4.  Surgical services (Section 2110(a)(4)) **L/MN**

- 6.2.5.  Clinic services (including health center services) and other ambulatory health care services. (Section 2110(a)(5))

- 6.2.6.  Prescription drugs (Section 2110(a)(6))

- 6.2.7.  Over-the-counter medications (Section 2110(a)(7))

- 6.2.8.  Laboratory and radiological services (Section 2110(a)(8))

- 6.2.9.  Prenatal care and pre-pregnancy family services and supplies (Section 2110(a)(9))

- 6.2.10.  Inpatient mental health services, other than services described in 6.2.18., but

including services furnished in a state-operated mental hospital and including residential or other 24-hour therapeutically planned structural services (Section 2110(a)(10))

- 6.2.11.**  Outpatient mental health services, other than services described in 6.2.19, but including services furnished in a state-operated mental hospital and including community-based services (Section 2110(a)(11))
- 6.2.12.**  Durable medical equipment and other medically-related or remedial devices (such as prosthetic devices, implants, eyeglasses, hearing aids, dental devices, and adaptive devices) (Section 2110(a)(12)) **L/MN**
- 6.2.13.**  Disposable medical supplies (Section 2110(a)(13)) **L/MN**  
Guidance: Home and community based services may include supportive services such as home health nursing services, home health aide services, personal care, assistance with activities of daily living, chore services, day care services, respite care services, training for family members, and minor modifications to the home.
- 6.2.14.**  Home and community-based health care services (Section 2110(a)(14))  
Guidance: Nursing services may include nurse practitioner services, nurse midwife services, advanced practice nurse services, private duty nursing care, pediatric nurse services, and respiratory care services in a home, school or other setting.
- 6.2.15.**  Nursing care services (Section 2110(a)(15))
- 6.2.16.**  Abortion only if necessary to save the life of the mother or if the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest (Section 2110(a)(16))
- 6.2.17.**  Dental services (Section 2110(a)(17)) States updating their dental benefits must complete 6.2-DC (CHIPRA # 7, SHO # #09-012 issued October 7, 2009) **L/MN**
- 6.2.18.**  Vision screenings and services (Section 2110(a)(24)) **L/MN**
- 6.2.19.**  Hearing screenings and services (Section 2110(a)(24)) **L/MN**
- 6.2.20.**  Inpatient substance abuse treatment services and residential substance abuse treatment services (Section 2110(a)(18)) **L/MN**
- 6.2.21.**  Outpatient substance abuse treatment services (Section 2110(a)(19)) **L/MN**
- 6.2.22.**  Case management services (Section 2110(a)(20))
- 6.2.23.**  Care coordination services (Section 2110(a)(21))
- 6.2.24.**  Physical therapy, occupational therapy, and services for individuals with speech, hearing, and language disorders (Section 2110(a)(22)) **L/MN**
- 6.2.25.**  Hospice care (Section 2110(a)(23))

Guidance: See guidance for Section 6.1.4.1 for guidance on the statutory requirements for EPSDT under sections 1905(r) and 1902(a)(43) of the Act. If the benefit being provided does not meet the EPSDT statutory requirements, do not check the box below.

- 6.2.26.**  EPSDT consistent with requirements of sections 1905(r) and 1902(a)(43) of the

Act

Guidance: Any other medical, diagnostic, screening, preventive, restorative, remedial, therapeutic or rehabilitative service may be provided, whether in a facility, home, school, or other setting, if recognized by State law and only if the service is: 1) prescribed by or furnished by a physician or other licensed or registered practitioner within the scope of practice as prescribed by State law; 2) performed under the general supervision or at the direction of a physician; or 3) furnished by a health care facility that is operated by a State or local government or is licensed under State law and operating within the scope of the license.

- 6.2.27.  Any other medical, diagnostic, screening, preventive, restorative, remedial, therapeutic, or rehabilitative services. (Section 2110(a)(24)) **L/MN**
- 6.2.28.  Premiums for private health care insurance coverage (Section 2110(a)(25))
- 6.2.29.  Medical transportation (Section 2110(a)(26)) **L/MN**

Guidance: Enabling services, such as transportation, translation, and outreach services, may be offered only if designed to increase the accessibility of primary and preventive health care services for eligible low-income individuals.

- 6.2.30.  Enabling services (such as transportation, translation, and outreach services) (Section 2110(a)(27))
- 6.2.31.  Any other health care services or items specified by the Secretary and not included under this Section (Section 2110(a)(28))

**6.2-DC** **Dental Coverage** (CHIPRA # 7, SHO # #09-012 issued October 7, 2009) The State will provide dental coverage to children through one of the following. Please update Sections 9.10 and 10.3-DC when electing this option. Dental services provided to children eligible for dental-only supplemental services must receive the same dental services as provided to otherwise eligible CHIP children (Section 2103(a)(5)):

- 6.2.1-DC  State Specific Dental Benefit Package. The State assures dental services represented by the following categories of common dental terminology (CDT<sup>1</sup>) codes are included in the dental benefits:
1. Diagnostic (i.e., clinical exams, x-rays) (CDT codes: D0100-D0999) (must follow periodicity schedule)
  2. Preventive (i.e., dental prophylaxis, topical fluoride treatments, sealants) (CDT codes: D1000-D1999) (must follow periodicity schedule)

---

Current Dental Terminology, © 2010 American Dental Association. All rights reserved.

3. Restorative (i.e., fillings, crowns) (CDT codes: D2000-D2999)
4. Endodontic (i.e., root canals) (CDT codes: D3000-D3999)
5. Periodontic (treatment of gum disease) (CDT codes: D4000-D4999)
6. Prosthodontic (dentures) (CDT codes: D5000-D5899, D5900-D5999, and D6200-D6999)
7. Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery (i.e., extractions of teeth and other oral surgical procedures) (CDT codes: D7000-D7999)
8. Orthodontics (i.e., braces) (CDT codes: D8000-D8999)
9. Emergency Dental Services

**6.2.1.1-DC** Periodicity Schedule. The State has adopted the following periodicity schedule:

- State-developed Medicaid-specific
- American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry
- Other Nationally recognized periodicity schedule
- Other (description attached)

**6.2.2-DC**  Benchmark coverage; (Section 2103(c)(5), 42 CFR 457.410, and 42 CFR 457.420)

**6.2.2.1-DC**  FEHBP-equivalent coverage; (Section 2103(c)(5)(C)(i)) (If checked, attach copy of the dental supplemental plan benefits description and the applicable CDT<sup>2</sup> codes. If the State chooses to provide supplemental services, also attach a description of the services and applicable CDT codes)

**6.2.2.2-DC**  State employee coverage; (Section 2103(c)(5)(C)(ii)) (If checked, identify the plan and attach a copy of the benefits description and the applicable CDT codes. If the State chooses to provide supplemental services, also attach a description of the services and applicable CDT codes)

**6.2.2.3-DC**  HMO with largest insured commercial enrollment (Section 2103(c)(5)(C)(iii)) (If checked, identify the plan and attach a copy of the benefits description and the applicable CDT codes. If the State chooses to provide supplemental services, also attach a description of the services and applicable CDT codes)

**6.2-DS**  **Supplemental Dental Coverage-** The State will provide dental coverage to children eligible for dental-only supplemental services. Children eligible for this option must receive the same dental services as provided to otherwise eligible CHIP children (Section 2110(b)(5)(C)(ii)). Please update Sections 1.1-DS, 4.1-DS, 4.2-DS, and 9.10 when electing this option.

Guidance: Under Title XXI, pre-existing condition exclusions are not allowed, with the only exception being in relation to another law in existence (HIPAA/ERISA). Indicate that the plan adheres to this requirement by checking the applicable description.

In the event that the State provides benefits through a group health plan or group health coverage, or provides family coverage through a group health plan under a waiver (see Section 6.4.2.), pre-existing condition limits are allowed to the extent permitted by HIPAA/ERISA. If the State is contracting with a group health plan or provides benefits through group health coverage, describe briefly any limitations on pre-existing conditions. (Formerly 8.6.)

**6.2- MHPAEA** Section 2103(c)(6)(A) of the Social Security Act requires that, to the extent that it provides both medical/surgical benefits and mental health or substance use disorder benefits, a State child health plan ensures that financial requirements and treatment limitations applicable to mental health and substance use disorder benefits comply with the mental health parity requirements of section 2705(a) of the Public Health Service Act in the same manner that such requirements apply to a group health plan. If the state child health plan provides for delivery of services through a managed care arrangement, this requirement applies to both the state and managed care plans. These requirements are also applicable to any additional benefits provided voluntarily to the child health plan population by managed care entities and will be considered as part of CMS’s contract review process at 42 CFR 457.1201(l).

**6.2.1- MHPAEA** Before completing a parity analysis, the State must determine whether each covered benefit is a medical/surgical, mental health, or substance use disorder benefit based on a standard that is consistent with state and federal law and generally recognized independent standards of medical practice. (42 CFR 457.496(f)(1)(i))

**6.2.1.1- MHPAEA** Please choose the standard(s) the state uses to determine whether a covered benefit is a medical/surgical benefit, mental health benefit, or substance use disorder benefit. The most current version of the standard elected must be used. If different standards are used for different benefit types, please specify the benefit type(s) to which each standard is applied. If “Other” is selected, please provide a description of that standard.

- International Classification of Disease (ICD) 10
- Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM)
- State guidelines (Describe: \_\_\_\_\_ )
- Other (Describe: \_\_\_\_\_ )

**6.2.1.2- MHPAEA** Does the State provide mental health and/or substance use disorder

benefits?

Yes

No

**Guidance: If the State does not provide any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the mental health parity requirements do not apply ((42 CFR 457.496(f)(1)). Continue on to Section 6.3.**

**6.2.2- MHPAEA** Section 2103(c)(6)(B) of the Social Security Act (the Act) provides that to the extent a State child health plan includes coverage of early and periodic screening, diagnostic, and treatment services (EPSDT) defined in section 1905(r) of the Act and provided in accordance with section 1902(a)(43) of the Act, the plan shall be deemed to satisfy the parity requirements of section 2103(c)(6)(A) of the Act.

**6.2.2.1- MHPAEA** Does the State child health plan provide coverage of EPSDT? The State must provide for coverage of EPSDT benefits, consistent with Medicaid statutory requirements, as indicated in section 6.2.26 of the State child health plan in order to answer “yes.”

Yes

No

**Guidance: If the State child health plan *does not* provide EPSDT consistent with Medicaid statutory requirements at sections 1902(a)(43) and 1905(r) of the Act, please go to Section 6.2.3- MHPAEA to complete the required parity analysis of the State child health plan.**

**If the state *does* provide EPSDT benefits consistent with Medicaid requirements, please continue this section to demonstrate compliance with the statutory requirements of section 2103(c)(6)(B) of the Act and the mental health parity regulations of 42 CFR 457.496(b) related to deemed compliance. Please provide supporting documentation, such as contract language, provider manuals, and/or member handbooks describing the state’s provision of EPSDT.**

**6.2.2.2- MHPAEA** EPSDT benefits are provided to the following:

All children covered under the State child health plan.

A subset of children covered under the State child health plan.

Please describe the different populations (if applicable) covered under the State child health plan that are provided EPSDT benefits consistent with Medicaid statutory requirements.

**Guidance: If only a subset of children are provided EPSDT benefits under the State child health plan, 42 CFR 457.496(b)(3) limits deemed compliance to those children only and Section 6.2.3- MHPAEA must be completed as well as the required parity analysis for the other children.**

**6.2.2.3- MHPAEA** To be deemed compliant with the MHPAEA parity requirements, States must provide EPSDT in accordance with sections 1902(a)(43) and 1905(r) of the Act (42 CFR 457.496(b)). The State assures each of the following for children eligible for EPSDT under the separate State child health plan:

- All screening services, including screenings for mental health and substance use disorder conditions, are provided at intervals that align with a periodicity schedule that meets reasonable standards of medical or dental practice as well as when medically necessary to determine the existence of suspected illness or conditions. (Section 1905(r))
  
- All diagnostic services described in 1905(a) of the Act are provided as needed to diagnose suspected conditions or illnesses discovered through screening services, whether or not those services are covered under the Medicaid state plan. (Section 1905(r))
  
- All items and services described in section 1905(a) of the Act are provided when needed to correct or ameliorate a defect or any physical or mental illnesses and conditions discovered by the screening services, whether or not such services are covered under the Medicaid State plan. (Section 1905(r)(5))
  
- Treatment limitations applied to services provided under the EPSDT benefit are not limited based on a monetary cap or budgetary constraints and may be exceeded as medically necessary to correct or ameliorate a medical or physical condition or illness. (Section 1905(r)(5))

Non-quantitative treatment limitations, such as definitions of medical necessity or criteria for medical necessity, are applied in an individualized manner that does not preclude coverage of any items or services necessary to correct or ameliorate any medical or physical condition or illness. (Section 1905(r)(5))

EPSDT benefits are not excluded on the basis of any condition, disorder, or diagnosis. (Section 1905(r)(5))

The provision of all requested EPSDT screening services, as well as any corrective treatments needed based on those screening services, are provided or arranged for as necessary. (Section 1902(a)(43))

All families with children eligible for the EPSDT benefit under the separate State child health plan are provided information and informed about the full range of services available to them. (Section 1902(a)(43)(A))

**Guidance: For states seeking deemed compliance for their entire State child health plan population, please continue to Section 6.3. If not all of the covered populations are offered EPSDT, the State must conduct a parity analysis of the benefit packages provided to those populations. Please continue to 6.2.3-MHPAEA.**

### **Mental Health Parity Analysis Requirements for States Not Providing EPSDT to All Covered Populations**

**Guidance: The State must complete a parity analysis for each population under the State child health plan that is not provided the EPSDT benefit consistent with the requirements 42 CFR 457.496(b). If the State provides benefits or limitations that vary within the child or pregnant woman populations, states should perform a parity analysis for each of the benefit packages. For example, if different financial requirements are applied according to a beneficiary's income, a separate parity analysis is needed for the benefit package provided at each income level.**

**Please ensure that changes made to benefit limitations under the State child health plan as a result of the parity analysis are also made in Section 6.2.**

**6.2.3- MHPAEA** In order to conduct the parity analysis, the State must place all medical/surgical and mental health and substance use disorder benefits covered under the State child health plan into one of four classifications: Inpatient, outpatient, emergency care, and prescription drugs. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(2)(ii); 42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(ii)(B))

**6.2.3.1 MHPAEA** Please describe below the standard(s) used to place covered benefits into one of the four classifications.

**The first step in the MHP analysis was to determine which services are defined as MH/SUD and which are M/S. MH/SUD services are those that are used to treat a MH/SUD diagnosis, and M/S services are those used to treat a M/S diagnosis. For the purpose of Oregon Medicaid’s parity analysis, the current International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) has been adopted for mapping conditions into M/S and MH/SUD buckets.**

- **Mental health benefits means benefits for items or services for mental health conditions listed in ICD-10 Chapter 5 (F), except for subchapter 1 (F01-09, mental disorders due to known physiological conditions), subchapter 2 (F10-F19, Mental and Behavioral disorders due to psychoactive substance use) and subchapter 8 (Intellectual disabilities).**
- **Substance use disorder benefits means benefits for items or services for substance use disorder conditions listed in ICD-10 Chapter 5 (F) subchapter 2 (F10-F19, Mental and Behavioral disorders due to psychoactive substance use).**
- **Benefits used to treat all other ICD-10 diagnoses are considered medical/surgical.**

**Benefits are assigned to M/S or MH/SUD groupings based on the ICD-10-CM diagnosis, not according to who is providing the service or which delivery system is being used. For example, an ER visit to address a MH/SUD diagnosis is considered a MH/SUD benefit and an ER visit to address a M/S diagnosis is considered an M/S benefit. MH/SUD, and M/S benefits are then mapped into four classifications: inpatient, outpatient, prescription drug and emergency care. The mapping guide is attached.**

**6.2.3.1.1 MHPAEA** The State assures that:

- The State has classified all benefits covered under the State plan into one of the four classifications.
- The same reasonable standards are used for determining the classification for a mental health or substance use disorder benefit as are used for determining the classification of medical/surgical benefits.

**6.2.3.1.2- MHPAEA** Does the State use sub-classifications to distinguish between office visits and other outpatient services?

- Yes
- No

**6.2.3.1.2.1- MHPAEA** If the State uses sub-classifications to distinguish between outpatient office visits and other outpatient services, the State assures the following:

The sub-classifications are only used to distinguish office visits from other outpatient items and services, and are not used to distinguish between similar services on other bases (ex: generalist vs. specialist visits).

**Guidance: For purposes of this section, any reference to “classification(s)” includes sub-classification(s) in states using sub-classifications to distinguish between outpatient office visits from other outpatient services.**

**6.2.3.2 MHPAEA** The State assures that:

Mental health/ substance use disorder benefits are provided in all classifications in which medical/surgical benefits are provided under the State child health plan.

**Guidance: States are not required to cover mental health or substance use disorder benefits (42 CFR 457.496(f)(2)). However if a state does provide any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, those mental health or substance use disorder benefits must be provided in all the same classifications in which medical/surgical benefits are covered under the State child health plan (42 CFR 457.496(d)(2)(ii).**

### **Annual and Aggregate Lifetime Dollar Limits**

**6.2.4- MHPAEA** A State that provides both medical/surgical benefits and mental health and/or substance use disorder benefits must comply with parity requirements related to annual and aggregate lifetime dollar limits for benefits covered under the State child health plan. (42 CFR 457.496(c))

**6.2.4.1- MHPAEA** Please indicate whether the State applies an aggregate lifetime dollar limit and/or an annual dollar limit on any mental health or substance abuse disorder benefits covered under the State child health plan.

Aggregate lifetime dollar limit is applied

Aggregate annual dollar limit is applied

No dollar limit is applied

**Guidance: A monetary coverage limit that applies to *all* CHIP services provided under the State child health plan is not subject to parity requirements.**

**If there are no aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limits on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, please go to section 6.2.5- MHPAEA.**

**6.2.4.2- MHPAEA** Are there any medical/surgical benefits covered under the State child health plan that have either an aggregate lifetime dollar limit or an annual dollar limit? If yes, please specify what type of limits apply.

Yes (Type(s) of limit: \_\_\_\_\_ )

No

**Guidance: If no aggregate lifetime dollar limit is applied to medical/ surgical benefits, the State may not impose an aggregate lifetime dollar limit on *any* mental health or substance use disorder benefits. If no aggregate annual dollar limit is applied to medical/surgical benefits, the State may not impose an aggregate annual dollar limit on *any* mental health or substance use disorder benefits. (42 CFR 457.496(c)(1))**

**6.2.4.3 – MHPAEA.** States applying an aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit on medical/surgical benefits and mental health or substance use disorder benefits must determine whether the portion of the medical/surgical benefits to which the limit applies is less than one-third, at least one-third but less than two-thirds, or at least two-thirds of all medical/surgical benefits covered under the State plan (42 CFR 457.496(c)). The portion of medical/surgical benefits subject to the limit is based on the dollar amount expected to be paid for all medical/surgical benefits under the State plan for the State plan year or portion of the plan year after a change in benefits that affects the applicability of the aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limits. (42 CFR 457.496(c)(3))

The State assures that it has developed a reasonable methodology to calculate the portion of covered medical/surgical benefits which are subject to the aggregate lifetime and/or annual dollar limit, as applicable.

**Guidance: Please include the state’s methodology to calculate the portion of covered medical/surgical benefits which are subject to the aggregate lifetime and/or annual dollar limit and the results as an attachment to the State child health plan.**

**6.2.4.3.1- MHPAEA** Please indicate the portion of the total costs for medical and surgical benefits covered under the State plan which are subject to a lifetime dollar limit:

Less than 1/3

At least 1/3 and less than 2/3

At least 2/3

**6.2.4.3.2- MHPAEA** Please indicate the portion of the total costs for medical and surgical benefits covered under the State plan which are subject to an annual dollar limit:

Less than 1/3

At least 1/3 and less than 2/3

At least 2/3

**Guidance: If an aggregate lifetime limit is applied to less than one-third of all medical/surgical benefits, the State may not impose an aggregate lifetime limit on *any* mental health or substance use disorder benefits. If an annual dollar limit is applied to less than one-third of all medical surgical benefits, the State may not impose an annual dollar limit on *any* mental health or substance use disorder benefits (42 CFR 457.496(c)(1)). Skip to section 6.2.5-MHPAEA.**

**If the State applies an aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit to at least one-third of all medical/surgical benefits, please continue below to provide the assurances related to the determination of the portion of total costs for medical/surgical benefits that are subject to either an annual or lifetime limit.**

**6.2.4.3.2.1- MHPAEA** If the State applies an aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit to at least 1/3 and less than 2/3 of all medical/surgical benefits, the State assures the following (42 CFR 457.496(c)(4)(i)(B)); (42 CFR 457.496(c)(4)(ii)):

The State applies an aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit on mental health or substance use disorder benefits that is no more restrictive than an average limit calculated for medical/surgical benefits.

**Guidance: The state's methodology for calculating the average limit for medical/surgical benefits must be consistent with 42 CFR 457.496(c)(4)(i)(B) and 42 CFR 457.496(c)(4)(ii). Please include the state's methodology and results as an attachment to the State child health plan.**

**6.2.4.3.2.2- MHPAEA** If at least 2/3 of all medical/surgical benefits are subject to an annual or lifetime limit, the State assures either of the

following (42 CFR 457.496(c)(2)(i)); (42 CFR 457.496(c)(2)(ii)):

The aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit is applied to both medical/surgical benefits and mental health and substance use disorder benefits in a manner that does not distinguish between medical/surgical benefits and mental health and substance use disorder benefits; or

The aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit placed on mental health and substance use disorder benefits is no more restrictive than the aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit on medical/surgical benefits.

### Quantitative Treatment Limitations

**6.2.5- MHPAEA** Does the State apply quantitative treatment limitations (QTLs) on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits in any classification of benefits? If yes, specify the classification(s) of benefits in which the State applies one or more QTLs on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits.

Yes (Specify: \_\_\_\_\_ )

No

**Guidance: If the state does not apply any type of QTLs on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits in any classification, the state meets parity requirements for QTLs and should continue to Section 6.2.6 - MHPAEA. If the state does apply QTLs to any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the state must conduct a parity analysis. Please continue.**

**6.2.5.1- MHPAEA** Does the State apply any type of QTL on any medical/surgical benefits?

Yes

No

**Guidance: If the State does not apply QTLs on any medical/surgical benefits, the State may not impose quantitative treatment limitations on mental health or substance use disorder benefits, please go to Section 6.2.6- MHPAEA related to non-quantitative treatment limitations.**

**6.2.5.2- MHPAEA** Within each classification of benefits in which the State applies a type of QTL on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the State must determine the portion of medical and surgical benefits in the classification which are subject to the limitation.

More specifically, the State must determine the ratio of (a) the dollar amount of all payments expected to be paid under the State plan for medical and surgical benefits within a classification which are subject to the type of quantitative treatment limitation for the plan year (or portion of the plan year after a mid-year change affecting the applicability of a type of quantitative treatment limitation to any medical/surgical benefits in the class) to (b) the dollar amount expected to be paid for all medical and surgical benefits within the classification for the plan year. For purposes of this paragraph, all payments expected to be paid under the State plan includes payments expected to be made directly by the State and payments which are expected to be made by MCEs contracting with the State. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(C))

The State assures it has applied a reasonable methodology to determine the dollar amounts used in the ratio described above for each classification within which the State applies QTLs to mental health or substance use disorder benefits. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(E))

**Guidance: Please include the state’s methodology and results as an attachment to the State child health plan.**

**6.2.5.3- MHPAEA** For each type of QTL applied to any mental health or substance use disorder benefits within a given classification, does the State apply the same type of QTL to “substantially all” (defined as at least two-thirds) of the medical/surgical benefits within the same classification? (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(A))

Yes

No

**Guidance: If the State does not apply a type of QTL to substantially all medical/surgical benefits in a given classification of benefits, the State may not impose that type of QTL on mental health or substance use disorder benefits in that classification. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(A))**

**6.2.5.3.1- MHPAEA** For each type of QTL applied to mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the State must determine the predominant level of that type which is applied to medical/surgical benefits in the classification. The “predominant level” of a type of QTL in a classification is the level (or least restrictive of a combination of levels) that applies to more than one-half of the medical/surgical benefits in that classification, as described in 42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(B). The portion of medical/surgical benefits in a classification to which a given level of a QTL type is applied is based on the dollar amount of payments expected to be paid for medical/surgical benefits subject to that level as compared to all medical/surgical benefits in the classification, as described in 42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(C). For each type of quantitative treatment limitation applied to mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the State assures:

The same reasonable methodology applied in determining the dollar amounts used to determine whether substantially all medical/surgical benefits within a classification are subject to a type of quantitative treatment limitation also is applied in determining the dollar amounts used to determine the predominant level of a type of quantitative treatment limitation applied to medical/surgical benefits within a classification. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(E))

The level of each type of quantitative treatment limitation applied by the State to mental health or substance use disorder benefits in any classification is no more restrictive than the predominant level of that type which is applied by the State to medical/surgical benefits within the same classification. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(2)(i))

**Guidance: If there is no single level of a type of QTL that exceeds the one-half threshold, the State may combine levels within a type of QTL such that the combined levels are applied to at least half of all medical/surgical benefits within a classification; the predominant level is the least restrictive level of the levels combined to meet the one-half threshold. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(B)(2))**

## Non-Quantitative Treatment Limitations

**6.2.6- MHPAEA** The State may utilize non-quantitative treatment limitations (NQTLs) for mental health or substance use disorder benefits, but the State must ensure that those NQTLs comply with all the mental health parity requirements. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(4)); (42 CFR 457.496(d)(5))

**6.2.6.1 – MHPAEA** If the State imposes any NQTLs, complete this subsection. If the State does not impose NQTLs, please go to Section 6.2.7-MHPAEA.

The State assures that the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards or other factors used in the application of any NQTL to mental health or substance use disorder benefits are no more stringent than the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards or other factors used in the application of NQTLs to medical/surgical benefits within the same classification.

**Guidance: Examples of NQTLs include medical management standards to limit or exclude benefits based on medical necessity, restrictions based on geographic location, provider specialty, or other criteria to limit the scope or duration of benefits and provider network design (ex: preferred providers vs. participating providers). Additional examples of possible NQTLs are provided in 42 CFR 457.496(d)(4)(ii). States will need to provide a summary of its NQTL analysis, as**

**well as supporting documentation as requested.**

**6.2.6.2 – MHPAEA** The State or MCE contracting with the State must comply with parity if they provide coverage of medical or surgical benefits furnished by out-of-network providers.

**6.2.6.2.1- MHPAEA** Does the State or MCE contracting with the State provide coverage of medical or surgical benefits provided by out-of-network providers?

Yes

No

**Guidance: The State can answer no if the State or MCE only provides out of network services in specific circumstances, such as emergency care, or when the network is unable to provide a necessary service covered under the contract.**

**6.2.6.2.2- MHPAEA** If yes, the State must provide access to out-of-network providers for mental health or substance use disorder benefits. Please assure the following:

The State attests that when determining access to out-of-network providers within a benefit classification, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used to determine access to those providers for mental health/ substance use disorder benefits are comparable to and applied no more stringently than the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards or other factors used to determine access for out- of-network providers for medical/surgical benefits.

**Availability of Plan Information**

**6.2.7- MHPAEA** The State must provide beneficiaries, potential enrollees, and providers with information related to medical necessity criteria and denials of payment or reimbursement for mental health or substance use disorder services (42 CFR 457.496(e)) in addition to existing notice requirements at 42 CFR 457.1180.

**6.2.7.1- MHPAEA** Medical necessity criteria determinations must be made available to any current or potential enrollee or contracting provider, upon request. The state attests that the following entities provide this information:

State

Managed Care entities

Both

Other

**Guidance: If other is selected, please specify the entity.**

**6.2.7.2- MHPAEA** Reason for any denial for reimbursement or payment for mental health or substance use disorder benefits must be made available to the enrollee by the health plan or the State. The state attests that the following entities provide denial information:

- State
- Managed Care entities
- Both
- Other

**Guidance: If other is selected, please specify the entity.**

**6.3.** The State assures that, with respect to pre-existing medical conditions, one of the following two statements applies to its plan: (42 CFR 457.480)

- 6.3.1.**  The State shall not permit the imposition of any pre-existing medical condition exclusion for covered services (Section 2102(b)(1)(B)(ii)); OR
- 6.3.2.**  The State contracts with a group health plan or group health insurance coverage, or contracts with a group health plan to provide family coverage under a waiver (see Section 6.6.2. (formerly 6.4.2) of the template). Pre-existing medical conditions are permitted to the extent allowed by HIPAA/ERISA. (Formerly 8.6.) (Section 2103(f)) Describe:

Guidance: States may request two additional purchase options in Title XXI: cost effective coverage through a community-based health delivery system and for the purchase of family coverage. (Section 2105(c)(2) and (3)) (42 CFR 457.1005 and 457.1010)

**6.4.** **Additional Purchase Options-** If the State wishes to provide services under the plan through cost effective alternatives or the purchase of family coverage, it must request the appropriate option. To be approved, the State must address the following: (Section 2105(c)(2) and (3)) (42 CFR 457.1005 and 457.1010)

- 6.4.1.**  **Cost Effective Coverage-** Payment may be made to a State in excess of the 10 percent limitation on use of funds for payments for: 1) other child health assistance for targeted low-income children; 2) expenditures for health services initiatives under the plan for improving the health of children (including targeted low-income children and other low-income children); 3) expenditures for

outreach activities as provided in Section 2102(c)(1) under the plan; and 4) other reasonable costs incurred by the State to administer the plan, if it demonstrates the following (42CFR 457.1005(a)):

**6.4.1.1.** Coverage provided to targeted low-income children through such expenditures must meet the coverage requirements above; Describe the coverage provided by the alternative delivery system. The State may cross reference Section 6.2.1 - 6.2.28. (Section 2105(c)(2)(B)(i)) (42 CFR 457.1005(b))

**6.4.1.2.** The cost of such coverage must not be greater, on an average per child basis, than the cost of coverage that would otherwise be provided for the coverage described above; Describe the cost of such coverage on an average per child basis. (Section 2105(c)(2)(B)(ii)) (42 CFR 457.1005(b))

Guidance: Check below if the State is requesting to provide cost-effective coverage through a community-based health delivery system. This allows the State to waive the 10 percent limitation on expenditures not used for Medicaid or health insurance assistance if coverage provided to targeted low-income children through such expenditures meets the requirements of Section 2103; the cost of such coverage is not greater, on an average per child basis, than the cost of coverage that would otherwise be provided under Section 2103; and such coverage is provided through the use of a community-based health delivery system, such as through contracts with health centers receiving funds under Section 330 of the Public Health Services Act or with hospitals such as those that receive disproportionate share payment adjustments under Section 1886(c)(5)(F) or 1923.

If the cost-effective alternative waiver is requested, the State must demonstrate that payments in excess of the 10 percent limitation will be used for other child health assistance for targeted low-income children; expenditures for health services initiatives under the plan for improving the health of children (including targeted low-income children and other low-income children); expenditures for outreach activities as provided in Section 2102(c)(1) under the plan; and other reasonable costs incurred by the State to administer the plan. (42 CFR, 457.1005(a))

**6.4.1.3.** The coverage must be provided through the use of a community based health delivery system, such as through contracts with health centers receiving funds under Section 330 of the Public Health Service Act or with hospitals such as those that receive disproportionate share payment adjustments under Section 1886(c)(5)(F) or 1923 of the Social Security Act. Describe the community-based delivery system. (Section

2105(c)(2)(B)(iii)) (42 CFR 457.1005(a))

Guidance: Check 6.4.2 if the State is requesting to purchase family coverage. Any State requesting to purchase such coverage will need to include information that establishes to the Secretary's satisfaction that: 1) when compared to the amount of money that would have been paid to cover only the children involved with a comparable package, the purchase of family coverage is cost effective; and 2) the purchase of family coverage is not a substitution for coverage already being provided to the child. (Section 2105(c)(3)) (42 CFR 457.1010)

**6.4.2.**  **Purchase of Family Coverage-** Describe the plan to purchase family coverage. Payment may be made to a State for the purpose of family coverage under a group health plan or health insurance coverage that includes coverage of targeted low-income children, if it demonstrates the following: (Section 2105(c)(3)) (42 CFR 457.1010)

**6.4.2.1.** Purchase of family coverage is cost-effective. The State's cost of purchasing family coverage, including administrative expenditures, that includes coverage for the targeted low-income children involved or the family involved (as applicable) under premium assistance programs must not be greater than the cost of obtaining coverage under the State plan for all eligible targeted low-income children or families involved; and (2) The State may base its demonstration of cost effectiveness on an assessment of the cost of coverage, including administrative costs, for children or families under premium assistance programs to the cost of other CHIP coverage for these children or families, done on a case-by-case basis, or on the cost of premium assisted coverage in the aggregate.

**6.4.2.2.** The State assures that the family coverage would not otherwise substitute for health insurance coverage that would be provided to such children but for the purchase of family coverage. (Section 2105(c)(3)(B)) (42 CFR 457.1010(b))

**6.4.2.3.** The State assures that the coverage for the family otherwise meets title XXI requirements. (42 CFR 457.1010(c))

**6.4.3-PA: Additional State Options for Providing Premium Assistance** (CHIPRA # 13, SHO # 10-002 issued February, 2, 2010) A State may elect to offer a premium assistance subsidy for qualified employer-sponsored coverage, as defined in Section 2105(c)(10)(B), to all targeted low-income children who are eligible for child health assistance under the plan and have access to such coverage. No subsidy shall be provided to a targeted low-income child (or the child's parent) unless the child voluntarily elects to receive such a subsidy. (Section 2105(c)(10)(A)).

Please remember to update section 9.10 when electing this option. Does the State provide this option to targeted low-income children?

- Yes  
 No

**6.4.3.1-PA** Qualified Employer-Sponsored Coverage and Premium Assistance Subsidy

**6.4.3.1.1-PA** Provide an assurance that the qualified employer-sponsored insurance meets the definition of qualified employer-sponsored coverage as defined in Section 2105(c)(10)(B), and that the premium assistance subsidy meets the definition of premium assistance subsidy as defined in 2105(c)(10)(C).

**6.4.3.1.2-PA** Describe whether the State is providing the premium assistance subsidy as reimbursement to an employee or for out-of-pocket expenditures or directly to the employee's employer.

**6.4.3.2-PA:** Supplemental Coverage for Benefits and Cost Sharing Protections Provided under the Child Health Plan.

**6.4.3.2.1-PA** If the State is providing premium assistance for qualified employer-sponsored coverage, as defined in Section 2105(c)(10)(E)(i), provide an assurance that the State is providing for each targeted low-income child enrolled in such coverage, supplemental coverage consisting of all items or services that are not covered or are only partially covered, under the qualified employer-sponsored coverage consistent with 2103(a) and cost sharing protections consistent with Section 2103(e).

**6.4.3.2.2-PA** Describe whether these benefits are being provided through the employer or by the State providing wraparound benefits.

**6.4.3.2.3-PA** If the State is providing premium assistance for benchmark or benchmark-equivalent coverage, the State ensures that such group health plans or health insurance coverage offered through an employer will be certified by an actuary as coverage that is equivalent to a benchmark benefit package described in Section 2103(b) or benchmark equivalent coverage that meets the requirements of Section 2103(a)(2).

**6.4.3.3-PA:** Application of Waiting Period Imposed Under State Plan: States are required to apply the same waiting period to premium assistance as is applied to direct coverage for children under their CHIP State plan, as specified in Section 2105(c)(10)(F).

**6.4.3.3.1-PA** Provide an assurance that the waiting period for children in premium assistance is the same as for those children in direct coverage (if State has a waiting period in place for children in direct CHIP coverage).

**6.4.3.4-PA:** Opt-Out and Outreach, Education, and Enrollment Assistance

**6.4.3.4.1-PA** Describe the State’s process for ensuring parents are permitted to disenroll their child from qualified employer-sponsored coverage and to enroll in CHIP effective on the first day of any month for which the child is eligible for such assistance and in a manner that ensures continuity of coverage for the child (Section 2105(c)(10)(G)).

**6.4.3.4.2-PA** Describe the State’s outreach, education, and enrollment efforts related to premium assistance programs, as required under Section 2102(c)(3). How does the State inform families of the availability of premium assistance, and assist them in obtaining such subsidies? What are the specific significant resources the State intends to apply to educate employers about the availability of premium assistance subsidies under the State child health plan? (Section 2102(c))

**6.4.3.5-PA Purchasing Pool-** A State may establish an employer-family premium assistance purchasing pool and may provide a premium assistance subsidy for enrollment in coverage made available through this pool (Section 2105(c)(10)(I)). Does the State provide this option?

- Yes
- No

**6.6.3.5.1-PA** Describe the plan to establish an employer-family premium assistance purchasing pool.

**6.6.3.5.2-PA** Provide an assurance that employers who are eligible to participate: 1) have less than 250 employees; 2) have at least one employee who is a pregnant woman eligible for CHIP or a member of a family that has at least one child eligible under the State’s CHIP plan.

**6.6.3.5.3-PA** Provide an assurance that the State will not claim for any administrative expenditures attributable to the establishment or operation of such a pool except to the extent such payment would otherwise be permitted under this title.

**6.4.3.6-PA Notice of Availability of Premium Assistance-** Describe the procedures that assure that if a State provides premium assistance subsidies under this Section, it must: 1) provide as part of the application and enrollment process, information describing the availability of premium assistance and

how to elect to obtain a subsidy; and 2) establish other procedures to ensure that parents are fully informed of the choices for child health assistance or through the receipt of premium assistance subsidies (Section 2105(c)(10)(K)).

**6.4.3.6.1-PA** Provide an assurance that the State includes information about premium assistance on the CHIP application or enrollment form.

## **Section 7. Quality and Appropriateness of Care**

**Guidance:** **Methods for Evaluating and Monitoring Quality-** Methods to assure quality include the application of performance measures, quality standards consumer information strategies, and other quality improvement strategies.

Performance measurement strategies could include using measurements for external reporting either to the State or to consumers and for internal quality improvement purposes. They could be based on existing measurement sets that have undergone rigorous evaluation for their appropriateness (e.g., HEDIS). They may include the use of standardized member satisfaction surveys (e.g., CAHPS) to assess members' experience of care along key dimensions such as access, satisfaction, and system performance.

Quality standards are often used to assure the presence of structural and process measures that promote quality and could include such approaches as: the use of external and periodic review of health plans by groups such as the National Committee for Quality Assurance; the establishment of standards related to consumer protection and quality such as those developed by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners; and the formation of an advisory group to the State or plan to facilitate consumer and community participation in the plan.

Information strategies could include: the disclosure of information to beneficiaries about their benefits under the plan and their rights and responsibilities; the provision of comparative information to consumers on the performance of available health plans and providers; and consumer education strategies on how to access and effectively use health insurance coverage to maximize quality of care.

Quality improvement strategies should include the establishment of quantified quality improvement goals for the plan or the State and provider education. Other strategies include specific purchasing specifications, ongoing contract monitoring mechanisms, focus groups, etc.

Where States use managed care organizations to deliver CHIP care, recent legal changes require the State to use managed care quality standards and quality

strategies similar to those used in Medicaid managed care.

**Tools for Evaluating and Monitoring Quality-** Tools and types of information available include, HEDIS (Health Employer Data Information Set) measures, CAHPS (Consumer Assessments of Health Plans Study) measures, vital statistics data, and State health registries (e.g., immunization registries).

Quality monitoring may be done by external quality review organizations, or, if the State wishes, internally by a State board or agency independent of the State CHIP Agency. Establishing grievance measures is also an important aspect of monitoring.

- Check here if the State elects to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded eligibility under the State's Medicaid plan, and continue on to Section 8.

Guidance: The State must specify the qualifications of entities that will provide coverage and the conditions of participation. States should also define the quality standard they are using, for example, NCQA Standards or other professional standards. Any description of the information strategies used should be linked to Section 9. (Section 2102(a)(7)(A)) (42 CFR 457.495)

**7.1.** Describe the methods (including external and internal monitoring) used to assure the quality and appropriateness of care, particularly with respect to well-baby care, well-child care, and immunizations provided under the plan. (Section 2102(a)(7)(A)) (42 CFR 457.495(a)) Will the State utilize any of the following tools to assure quality? (Check all that apply and describe the activities for any categories utilized.)

7.1.1.  Quality standards

7.1.2.  Performance measurement

7.1.2 (a)  CHIPRA Quality Core Set

7.1.2 (b)  Other

7.1.3.  Information strategies

7.1.4.  Quality improvement strategies

**For CHIP OHP Plus, DMAP contracted coordinated care organizations, results of consumer satisfaction surveys, EQRO and site review identify areas that need system improvements in quality of or access to care. DMAP measures well-baby care/child/adolescent care and childhood immunizations through the use of HEDIS performance measures. The results of these measures are reported by FCHP, as well as fee-for-service. In addition, access to primary care provider and measures of early childhood cavities prevention efforts are measured.**

**Health KidsConnect private option plans are licensed and regulated by the Department of Consumer and Business Services (DCBS), Oregon's insurance division. The regulations for Health insurers require the carriers to report annually to DCBS on grievance and appeals, utilization**

review policies, quality assessments activities and health promotion and disease prevention activities, including a summary of screening and prevention health care activities covered by the insurer, as well as the scope of the insurers network and to the accessibility of services. Health insurers measure well-baby care/child/adolescent care and childhood immunizations through the use of HEDIS performance measures.

Guidance: Provide a brief description of methods to be used to assure access to covered services, including a description of how the State will assure the quality and appropriateness of the care provided. The State should consider whether there are sufficient providers of care for the newly enrolled populations and whether there is reasonable access to care. (Section 2102(a)(7)(B))

**7.2.** Describe the methods used, including monitoring, to assure: (Section 2102(a)(7)(B)) (42 CFR 457.495)

**7.2.1.** Access to well-baby care, well-child care, well-adolescent care and childhood and adolescent immunizations. (Section 2102(a)(7)) (42 CFR 457.495(a))

**CHIP OHP Plus: CHIP services will be provided through Oregon’s existing OHP Medicaid Demonstration delivery system. The managed care plans are contracted directly with OHA (described in Section 3.1). Since 1994, health plans that contract with the state to provide Title XIX and Title XXI services have been required to adhere to established quality assurance methods and protocols. As the state of the art of managed care quality assurance has changed and become more sophisticated, so have Oregon’s requirements for plan participation. Activities of the OHP Medicaid Demonstration quality improvement program extend to CHIP OHP Plus coverage. This assures CHIP OHP Plus members will receive the same quality of care and access to care currently provided to OHP Medicaid members. Specific studies of the quality of care and access to care of CHIP OHP Plus members are conducted within the context of ongoing OHA quality improvement and evaluation efforts. As described in OHAs Oregon Health Plan Administrative Rules and the General Rules, Coordinated Care Organizations (CCOs) that contract with OHA must meet specific mandatory obligations designed to assure quality, medically appropriate care for all OHP enrollees. The data specifications and reporting requirements outlined in the Rules are consistent with CMS’s quality initiatives for Medicaid managed care. All services provided to children enrolled in Oregon’s CHIP OHP Plus program will meet the same standards of quality assurance and medically appropriate care as currently provided by OHP Medicaid. With respect to health care delivery systems, OHA has many contractual requirements for plan participation. CCOs must meet various quality assurance reporting requirements, including the following:**

**Reporting Area Quality Assurance Requirement**

### **Plan Infrastructure and Management**

#### **Solvency plan and financial reporting**

- ◆ **Medical and dental record keeping system**
- ◆ **Utilization control requirements and review procedures**
- ◆ **Credentialing and recredentialing procedures**
- ◆ **Information materials for the orientation of new members and the continuing education of existing members**
- ◆ **Provider compensation and turnover rates**

#### **Access/Availability Utilization of Medically Appropriate Covered Services, including:**

- ◆ **Inpatient/Outpatient care;**
- ◆ **Maternity and newborn care;**
- ◆ **Ambulatory care;**
- ◆ **Preventive care; and**
- ◆ **Emergency services**
- ◆ **Sufficient quantity of providers to ensure adequate capacity**
- ◆ **24-hour-a-day, 7-day-a-week emergency and urgent care services**
- ◆ **Language and transportation services**
- ◆ **Medical Case Management services**
- ◆ **ADA compliant physical access to facilities and providers**
- ◆ **Community Standards governing scheduling, rescheduling and waiting time for scheduled appointments**
- ◆ **Client Referral system**

### **Reporting Area Quality Assurance Requirement**

#### **Quality of Care**

- ◆ **Documented policies and procedures for member care**
- ◆ **External review of policies and procedures relating to member care and medical record review for quality of care**
- ◆ **Internal Quality Assurance and Quality Improvement programs based on written policies, standards and procedures**
- ◆ **Quality assurance committee structure and membership guidelines**

#### **Member Rights**

- ◆ **Due process rights; including a formal complaints and hearings process**
- ◆ **Rights to informed consent**
- ◆ **Rights to treatment with dignity and respect**
- ◆ **Other processes establishing and maintaining rights to adequate medical care**

### **Clinical Measures and Utilization**

The Health Plan Employers Data Information Set (HEDIS) and statewide goals described in Oregon Shines II, the state's 20-year strategic plan, serves as the basis on which CHIP OHP Plus health care is assessed for quality and appropriateness of care. OHA collects the following health measures specific to the population of OHP clients under 19 years of age:

- ◆ Access to Primary Care Provider
- ◆ Childhood Immunizations
- ◆ Well-Baby, Child and Adolescent Visits
- ◆ Annual dental visit

Over 90 percent of CHIP OHP Plus children are enrolled in managed care. OHA evaluates and monitors the measures listed above for each health plan. These measures are used as part of our periodic on-site reviews of each health plan to promote access to necessary services. OHA does not currently sanction plans for not meeting minimum performance levels for these measures. However, DMAP has both a Quality Improvement Coordinator and a coordinated care organization coordinator assigned to each plan to monitor access to services and performance on these critical indicators. If problems are encountered, these staff members work with the health plans to establish and monitor corrective action plans in order to achieve acceptable performance.

Contracted health plans are required to have written policies and procedures and monitoring systems that provide for emergency and urgent services for all OHA Members on a 24-hour, 7-day-a-week basis.

Contracted health plans are required to have written policies, procedures and monitoring systems that ensure the provision of Case Management Services for all OHP clients, to coordinate and manage services, and to ensure that referrals made are noted in the patient's clinical record. Plans are required to develop and maintain a formal referral system consisting of a network of consultation and referral providers, including applicable Alternative Care Settings, for all services covered by agreements with OHA. Health plans must ensure that access to and quality of care provided in all referral settings is monitored.

### **Other Efforts to Improve Quality of and Access to OHP Services:**

#### **Quality**

OHA coordinates the activities of the OHAs' Oregon Health Plan Quality Improvement Committee (OHPQIC). The OHPQIC is responsible for advising and guiding the quality improvement efforts of all administrative components of the OHP and will serve a similar role in assessing the CHIP OHP Plus. The overall mechanism for quality improvement, administered by OHA requires CCOs to have

**an active Quality Improvement Process (QIP) in place and integrated with other management functions. QIP performance is evaluated annually and involves review against standards in the following areas:**

- ◆ **Member Care is measured against current, relevant, criteria for care.**
- ◆ **Medical and Dental Records are reviewed for structure and completeness.**
- ◆ **Quality Improvement Program Policies and Standards are reviewed and refined to meet changing conditions and needs.**
- ◆ **Comorbidities and Special Needs are reviewed before denial of a service and review of notices of denials.**
- ◆ **Member Access to Service and Utilization of Service is evaluated by site examination of PHP policies and practices and encounter data claim validation.**
- ◆ **Member Educational Plans and Provider Information are evaluated by site examination of PHP policies, practices and materials.**
- ◆ **Preventive care, adequacy of medical or dental record keeping;**
- ◆ **Operation and outcome of referral procedures;**
- ◆ **Medication reviews;**
- ◆ **Appointment systems;**
- ◆ **After-hours call-in system;**
- ◆ **Emergency services;**
- ◆ **Denials of service;**
- ◆ **PHP-initiated disenrollments;**
- ◆ **The access plan and out-of-plan access;**
- ◆ **Encounter data management; and**
- ◆ **Timeliness and appropriateness of referrals.**
- ◆ **DMAP also reviews for compliance with its Administrative Rules which set standards for access, provider credentialing and other structural measures of quality.**

**Oregon's CCOs have adopted selected elements of NCQA standards as the basis for their quality improvement programs, credentialing systems, record keeping, and utilization review. OHA also contracts with an External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) for medical record review of a representative sample of OHP Medicaid clients to determine the quality of care they receive. Recent EQRO studies include prenatal care, diabetes management and depression.**

#### **Access and Member Satisfaction**

**OHA conducts surveys of members to determine satisfaction with access to medical services in terms of distance and appointment availability. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) access is reviewed in the survey of adult populations and children's access to service with the children's form of the Consumer Assessment of Health Plans Study (CAHPS) survey. Oregon has established a biennial member**

satisfaction survey using the nationally standardized CAHPS instrument to assess members experiences of access, satisfaction, and system performance.

#### **Quality Assurance and Utilization Review**

Oregon has built on the successful design, implementation, and improvement of the OHP Quality Improvement Program for CHIP OHP Plus. CCOs monitor the quality of care using a number of aspects of care, including outcomes of selected procedures. Each PHP is responsible for the maintenance of the organizational and methodological structures (such as Quality Improvement Committees and reviews of adverse events) necessary to ensure the quality and appropriateness of care.

#### **Preventive Care**

Oregon's emphasis on primary prevention is best demonstrated through the activities of Project: PREVENTION! a management and quality initiative developed by DMAP in the Spring of 1996 in partnership with the Public Health Division and the OHP managed care plans. The goal of Project: PREVENTION! is to assure the presence and effectiveness of preventive health care services for OHP clients. A task force identified and recommended appropriate preventive health practice measures for individual plans to target and accelerate. In addition, Project: PREVENTION! developed a joint-venture partnership with OHP plans, the Public Health Division, non-OHP plans and county health departments on one unified statewide measure, an electronic pediatric immunization registry, Immunization ALERT. Immunization ALERT is a comprehensive immunization registry designed to give providers access to current and complete childhood immunization records despite changes in family residence, health insurance and choice of health provider if the child remains in Oregon.

Project: PREVENTION! supports a statewide tobacco cessation effort that involves partnership with medical and dental managed care plans, the Public Health Division and the Tobacco Free Coalition of Oregon. Central to the tobacco cessation project is the collective identification, education, and treatment of tobacco users. Medical and dental providers developed programs to help prevent children and adults from starting to use tobacco and have increased their efforts to help them quit. Project: PREVENTION! also adopted HEDIS technical standards for use in the measurement of childhood and adolescent immunization status, diabetes and asthma.

In 2001 Project: PREVENTION! adopted Early Childhood Cavities Prevention as the focus for prevention efforts. These efforts are ongoing with the FCHPs and DCOs.

**7.2.2.** Access to covered services, including emergency services as defined in 42 CFR 457.10.

(Section 2102(a)(7)) 42 CFR 457.495(b))

**CHIP OHP Plus: CCOs that contract with OHA are required to follow established rules concerning access and availability of covered services outlined in the Oregon Health Plan Administrative Rules under rule OAR 410-141-0220: Oregon Health Plan Prepaid Health Plan Accessibility. Requirements of this rule include:**

- ◆ **Written policies and procedures that establish standards for access, capacity, risk assessment, interpreter services, and ADA compliant accommodation to ensure access to health care services to all OHP members**
- ◆ **Geographic proximity of facilities and appointment wait times as determined by the prevailing Community Standard**
- ◆ **Sufficient provider panels and networks to ensure adequate service capacity to provide availability of, and timely access to, medically appropriate services**
- ◆ **Professional expertise among providers to treat or otherwise accommodate the full range of medical, dental or mental health conditions experienced by OHP members**
- ◆ **Monitoring systems to assure access to services according to time standards as indicated by the nature of the appointment including:**
- ◆ **Emergency care – Immediately for physical. Within 24 hours for dental, mental, or chemical dependency.**
- ◆ **Urgent care – Within 48 hours for physical, mental or chemical dependency, as indicated. Within one to two weeks for dental.**
- ◆ **Well Care, Routine, Preventive or Non-urgent – Within four weeks or the Community Standard for physical. Intake assessment for mental or chemical dependency within two weeks of patient request. Within twelve weeks for dental.**
- ◆ **Maintenance of 24-hour telephone coverage with a live operator (not a recording) guided by established standards pertaining to Primary Care Provider (PCP) call-back and back-up in the areas of:**
  - **Emergency, urgent, and routine issues**
  - **Internal Medicine, Family Practice, OB/Gyn, and Pediatrics**
  - **Interpretive services after office hours**

**OHA and the CCOs monitor all access issues from both the planning and implementation perspective. Regular reports, site inspections, internal and external audits, and consumer satisfaction surveys serve to validate the effectiveness and timeliness of access to covered medical services.**

- 7.2.3.** Appropriate and timely procedures to monitor and treat enrollees with chronic, complex, or serious medical conditions, including access to an adequate number of visits to specialists experienced in treating the specific medical condition and access to out-of-network providers when the network is not adequate for the enrollee's medical condition. (Section 2102(a)(7)) (42 CFR 457.495(c))

**CHIP OHP Plus: CCOs are required to assure access to the services they provide including: specialists, pharmacy, hospital, vision and ancillary services, as accessible to OHA Members in terms of timeliness, amount, duration and scope as those services are to non-Medicaid persons within the same service area. If the CCO is unable to provide those services locally, it must so demonstrate to OHA and provide reasonable alternatives for Members to access care that must be approved by OHA. CCOs have a monitoring system that demonstrates to OHA, as applicable, that the plan has surveyed and monitored for equal access of OHA Members to referral providers pharmacy, hospital, vision and ancillary services.**

- 7.2.4.** Decisions related to the prior authorization of health services are completed in accordance with State law or, in accordance with the medical needs of the patient, within 14 days after the receipt of a request for services. (Section 2102(a)(7)) (42 CFR 457.495(d)) Exigent medical circumstances may require more rapid response according to the medical needs of the patient.

**CHIP OHP Plus: OHA requires CCOs to make a determination on authorization requests within two working days of receipt of an authorization or reauthorization request related to urgent services; alcohol and drug services; and/or care required while in skilled nursing facility. Authorizations for prescription drugs must be completed and the pharmacy notified within 24 hours. If an authorization for a prescription cannot be completed within the 24 hours, the CCO must provide for the dispensing of at least a 72 hour supply if the medical need for the drug is immediate.**

**For all other pre-authorization requests, CCOs shall notify providers of an approval, a denial or a need for further information within 14 calendar working days of receipt of the request.**

**Section 8. Cost-Sharing and Payment**

Check here if the State elects to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded eligibility under the State’s Medicaid plan, and continue on to Section 9.

**8.1.** Is cost-sharing imposed on any of the children covered under the plan? (42 CFR 457.505) Indicate if this also applies for pregnant women. (CHIPRA #2, SHO # 09-006, issued May 11, 2009)

- 8.1.1.**  Yes
- 8.1.2.**  No, skip to question 8.8.

- 8.1.1-PW**  Yes
- 8.1.2-PW**  No, skip to question 8.8.

Guidance: It is important to note that for families below 150 percent of poverty, the same limitations on cost sharing that are under the Medicaid program apply. (These cost-sharing limitations have been set forth in Section 1916 of the Social Security Act, as implemented by regulations at 42 CFR 447.50 - 447.59). For families with incomes of 150 percent of poverty and above, cost sharing for all children in the family cannot exceed 5 percent of a family's income per year. Include a statement that no cost sharing will be charged for pregnancy-related services. (CHIPRA #2, SHO # 09-006, issued May 11, 2009) (Section 2103(e)(1)(A)) (42 CFR 457.505(a), 457.510(b) and (c), 457.515(a) and (c))

**8.2.** Describe the amount of cost-sharing, any sliding scale based on income, the group or groups of enrollees that may be subject to the charge by age and income (if applicable) and the service for which the charge is imposed or time period for the charge, as appropriate. (Section 2103(e)(1)(A)) (42 CFR 457.505(a), 457.510(b) and (c), 457.515(a) and (c))

- 8.2.1.**  Premiums:
- 8.2.2.**  Deductibles:
- 8.2.3.**  Coinsurance or copayments:
- 8.2.4.**  Other:

**8.2-DS**  **Supplemental Dental** (CHIPRA # 7, SHO # #09-012 issued October 7, 2009) For children enrolled in the dental-only supplemental coverage, describe the amount of cost-sharing, specifying any sliding scale based on income. Also describe how the State will track that the cost sharing does not exceed 5 percent of gross family income. The 5 percent of income calculation shall include all cost-sharing for health insurance and dental insurance. (Section 2103(e)(1)(A)) (42 CFR 457.505(a), 457.510(b), and (c), 457.515(a) and (c), and 457.560(a)) Please update Sections 1.1-DS, 4.1-DS, 4.2-DS, 6.2-DS, and 9.10 when electing this option.

8.2.1-DS  Premiums:

8.2.2-DS  Deductibles:

8.2.3-DS  Coinsurance or copayments:

8.2.4-DS  Other:

8.3. Describe how the public will be notified, including the public schedule, of this cost sharing (including the cumulative maximum) and changes to these amounts and any differences based on income. (Section 2103(e)(1)(A)) (42 CFR 457.505(b))

Guidance: The State should be able to demonstrate upon request its rationale and justification regarding these assurances. This section also addresses limitations on payments for certain expenditures and requirements for maintenance of effort.

8.4. The State assures that it has made the following findings with respect to the cost sharing in its plan: (Section 2103(e))

8.4.1.  Cost-sharing does not favor children from higher income families over lower income families. (Section 2103(e)(1)(B)) (42 CFR 457.530)

8.4.2.  No cost-sharing applies to well-baby and well-child care, including age-appropriate immunizations. (Section 2103(e)(2)) (42 CFR 457.520)

8.4.3  No additional cost-sharing applies to the costs of emergency medical services delivered outside the network. (Section 2103(e)(1)(A)) (42 CFR 457.515(f))

8.4.1- MHPAEA  There is no separate accumulation of cumulative financial requirements, as defined in 42 CFR 457.496(a), for mental health and substance abuse disorder benefits compared to medical/surgical benefits. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(iii))

8.4.2- MHPAEA  If applicable, any different levels of financial requirements that are applied to different tiers of prescription drugs are determined based on reasonable factors, regardless of whether a drug is generally prescribed for medical/surgical benefits or mental health/substance use disorder benefits. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(ii)(A))

8.4.3- MHPAEA  Cost sharing applied to benefits provided under the State child health plan will remain capped at five percent of the beneficiary's income as required by 42 CFR 457.560 (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(D)).

8.4.4- MHPAEA Does the State apply financial requirements to any mental health or substance use disorder benefits? If yes, specify the classification(s) of benefits in which the State applies financial requirements on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits.

Yes (Specify: \_\_\_\_\_ )

No

**Guidance: For the purposes of parity, financial requirements include deductibles, copayments, coinsurance, and out of pocket maximums; premiums are excluded from the definition. If the state does not apply financial requirements on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the state meets parity requirements for financial requirements. If the state does apply financial requirements to mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the state must conduct a parity analysis. Please continue below.**

**Please ensure that changes made to financial requirements under the State child health plan as a result of the parity analysis are also made in Section 8.2.**

**8.4.5- MHPAEA** Does the State apply any type of financial requirements on any medical/surgical benefits?

Yes

No

**Guidance: If the State does not apply financial requirements on any medical/surgical benefits, the State may not impose financial requirements on mental health or substance use disorder benefits.**

**8.4.6- MHPAEA** Within each classification of benefits in which the State applies a type of financial requirement on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the State must determine the portion of medical and surgical benefits in the class which are subject to the limitation.

The State assures it has applied a reasonable methodology to determine the dollar amounts used in the ratio described above (Section 6.2.5.2-MHPAEA) for each classification or within which the State applies financial requirements to mental health or substance use disorder benefits. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(E))

**Guidance: Please include the state's methodology and results of the parity analysis as an attachment to the State child health plan.**

**8.4.7- MHPAEA** For each type of financial requirement applied to any mental health or substance use disorder benefits within a given classification, does the State apply the same type of financial requirement to at least two-thirds ("substantially all") of all the medical/surgical

benefits within the same classification? (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(A))

Yes

No

**Guidance: If the State does not apply a type of financial requirement to substantially all medical/surgical benefits in a given classification of benefits, the State may not impose financial requirements on mental health or substance use disorder benefits in that classification. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(A))**

**8.4.8- MHPAEA** For each type of financial requirement applied to substantially all medical/surgical benefits in a classification, the State must determine the predominant level (as defined in 42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(B)) of that type which is applied to medical/surgical benefits in the classification. For each type of financial requirement applied to substantially all medical/surgical benefits in a classification, the State assures:

The same reasonable methodology applied in determining the dollar amounts used in determining whether substantially all medical/surgical benefits within a classification are subject to a type of financial requirement also is applied in determining the dollar amounts used to determine the predominant level of a type of financial requirement applied to medical/surgical benefits within a classification. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(E))

The level of each type of financial requirement applied by the State to mental health or substance use disorder benefits in any classification is no more restrictive than the predominant level of that type which is applied by the State to medical/surgical benefits within the same classification. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(2)(i))

**Guidance: If there is no single level of a type of financial requirement that exceeds the one-half threshold, the State may combine levels within a type of financial requirement such that the combined levels are applied to at least half of all medical/surgical benefits within a classification; the predominant level is the least restrictive level of the levels combined to meet the one-half threshold. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(B)(2))**

- 8.5.** Describe how the State will ensure that the annual aggregate cost-sharing for a family does not exceed 5 percent of such family's income for the length of the child's eligibility period in the State. Include a description of the procedures that do not primarily rely on a refund given by the State for overpayment by an enrollee: (Section 2103(e)(3)(B)) (42CFR 457.560(b) and 457.505(e))
- 8.6.** Describe the procedures the State will use to ensure American Indian (as defined by the

Indian Health Care Improvement Act of 1976) and Alaska Native children will be excluded from cost-sharing. (Section 2103(b)(3)(D)) (42 CFR 457.535)

- 8.7.** Provide a description of the consequences for an enrollee or applicant who does not pay a charge. (42 CFR 457.570 and 457.505(c))

Guidance: Section 8.7.1 is based on Section 2101(a) of the Act provides that the purpose of title XXI is to provide funds to States to enable them to initiate and expand the provision of child health assistance to uninsured, low-income children in an effective and efficient manner that is coordinated with other sources of health benefits coverage for children.

- 8.7.1.** Provide an assurance that the following disenrollment protections are being applied:

Guidance: Provide a description below of the State's premium grace period process and how the State notifies families of their rights and responsibilities with respect to payment of premiums. (Section 2103(e)(3)(C))

- 8.7.1.1.**  State has established a process that gives enrollees reasonable notice of and an opportunity to pay past due premiums, copayments, coinsurance, deductibles or similar fees prior to disenrollment. (42 CFR 457.570(a))
- 8.7.1.2.**  The disenrollment process affords the enrollee an opportunity to show that the enrollee's family income has declined prior to disenrollment for non-payment of cost-sharing charges. (42 CFR 457.570(b))
- 8.7.1.3.**  In the instance mentioned above, that the State will facilitate enrolling the child in Medicaid or adjust the child's cost-sharing category as appropriate. (42 CFR 457.570(b))
- 8.7.1.4.**  The State provides the enrollee with an opportunity for an impartial review to address disenrollment from the program. (42 CFR 457.570(c))

- 8.8.** The State assures that it has made the following findings with respect to the payment aspects of its plan: (Section 2103(e))

- 8.8.1.**  No Federal funds will be used toward State matching requirements. (Section 2105(c)(4) (42 CFR 457.220)
- 8.8.2.**  No cost-sharing (including premiums, deductibles, copayments, coinsurance and all other types) will be used toward State matching requirements. (Section 2105(c)(5) (42 CFR 457.224) (Previously 8.4.5)
- 8.8.3.**  No funds under this title will be used for coverage if a private insurer would have been obligated to provide such assistance except for a provision limiting this

obligation because the child is eligible under this title. (Section 2105(c)(6)(A)) (42 CFR 457.626(a)(1))

8.8.4. ☒ Income and resource standards and methodologies for determining Medicaid eligibility are not more restrictive than those applied as of June 1, 1997. (Section 2105(d)(1) (42 CFR 457.622(b)(5))

8.8.5. ☒ No funds provided under this title or coverage funded by this title will include coverage of abortion except if necessary to save the life of the mother or if the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest. (Section 2105)(c)(7)(B)) (42 CFR 457.475)

8.8.6. ☒ No funds provided under this title will be used to pay for any abortion or to assist in the purchase, in whole or in part, for coverage that includes abortion (except as described above). (Section 2105)(c)(7)(A)) (42 CFR 457.475)

## **Section 9. Strategic Objectives and Performance Goals and Plan Administration**

Guidance: States should consider aligning its strategic objectives with those discussed in Section II of the CHIP Annual Report.

9.1. Describe strategic objectives for increasing the extent of creditable health coverage among targeted low-income children and other low-income children: (Section 2107(a)(2)) (42 CFR 457.710(b))  
**The strategic objective for Oregon’s Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) is to expand coverage of the Oregon Health Plan (OHP) to include eligible low income children. The current OHP delivery system assures quality medical care to the Medicaid and CHIP population by removing financial barriers and providing access to inpatient, outpatient, primary and preventive health care services. Specific strategic objectives include:**

**Objective 1 Expand OHP eligibility rules to include uninsured children:**

- **Birth through age 5. Living in households with gross income between 133% and 200% of the federal poverty level (FPL).**

**Age 6 through 18. Living in households with two months average gross income between 100% and 200% of the FPL.**

**Objective 2 Identify CHIP eligibles through coordinated and ongoing outreach activities.**

**Objective 3 Enroll CHIP eligibles in the OHP Plus, health care delivery system to assure a usual source of health care coverage.**

**Objective 4 Monitor access and utilization patterns among OHA CHIP OHP Plus enrollees.**

**Objective 5 Improve the health status of CHIP enrollees through provider and client programs specific to the needs of this population.**

Guidance: Goals should be measurable, quantifiable and convey a target the State is working towards.

**9.2.** Specify one or more performance goals for each strategic objective identified: (Section 2107(a)(3)) (42 CFR 457.710(c))

**The following performance goals and measures will be utilized to measure the effectiveness of Oregon’s identified strategic objectives for CHIP:**

**Performance Goals for Objective 1**

**Since July 1, 1998, the Oregon Health Authority has expanded the capacity of the OHP to meet the needs of 76,595 CHIP eligibles. OHA’s data and operational systems are structured to accommodate CHIP criteria in the areas of eligibility determination, enrollment, client information, and utilization of health care services. OHA staff and field personnel have and continue to receive CHIP-related training.**

**Performance Goals for Objective 2**

**Since January 1, 1999, OHA has developed and implemented outreach efforts among current Medicaid OHP channels to identify, enroll, and meet the health care needs of the CHIP population.**

**Performance Goals for Objective 3**

**As of August 2017, 76,595 low income children are enrolled in Oregon’s CHIP. They have access to a usual source of health care coverage in the form of a stable health care plan and a primary care provider (PCP).**

**Performance Goals for Objective 4**

**OHA CHIP enrollees are assigned a unique code that will enable OHA analysts to distinguish CHIP clients from the OHP Medicaid population. OHA monitors CHIP utilization patterns to help assure access to health care and the delivery of medically appropriate care.**

**Performance Goals for Objective 5**

**Health status and health care system measures for Oregon’s OHA CHIP program enrollees are collected and analyzed to demonstrate acceptable utilization in the following areas: access to a primary care provider, childhood immunization status, and well-child and -adolescent visits.**

Guidance: The State should include data sources to be used to assess each performance goal. In

addition, check all appropriate measures from 9.3.1 to 9.3.8 that the State will be utilizing to measure performance, even if doing so duplicates what the State has already discussed in Section 9.

It is acceptable for the State to include performance measures for population subgroups chosen by the State for special emphasis, such as racial or ethnic minorities, particular high-risk or hard to reach populations, children with special needs, etc.

HEDIS (Health Employer Data and Information Set) 2008 contains performance measures relevant to children and adolescents younger than 19. In addition, HEDIS 3.0 contains measures for the general population, for which breakouts by children's age bands (e.g., ages < 1, 1-9, 10-19) are required. Full definitions, explanations of data sources, and other important guidance on the use of HEDIS measures can be found in the HEDIS 2008 manual published by the National Committee on Quality Assurance. So that State HEDIS results are consistent and comparable with national and regional data, states should check the HEDIS 2008 manual for detailed definitions of each measure, including definitions of the numerator and denominator to be used. For states that do not plan to offer managed care plans, HEDIS measures may also be able to be adapted to organizations of care other than managed care.

### 9.3.

Describe how performance under the plan will be measured through objective, independently verifiable means and compared against performance goals in order to determine the State's performance, taking into account suggested performance indicators as specified below or other indicators the State develops: (Section 2107(a)(4)(A),(B)) (42 CFR 457.710(d))

**Oregon's performance relative to its stated goals is objectively and independently verified through OHA analysis of OHP CHIP population and utilization data. The ongoing analysis of data obtained through the Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) is used to measure the state's progress toward its goals and objectives.**

**As previously noted, health plan oversight occurs as clinical data review, desktop medical chart audits, and on-site inspection of CCOs. Health plans are notified when areas of deficiency are discovered and of corrective actions needed. CCOs are required to give OHA medical and dental service utilization reports, provider capacity reports and access to service statistics and various semiannual and annual financial reports. In addition to administering client satisfaction surveys, OHA produces monthly enrollment reports, quarterly disenrollment reports and reports profiling the demographic characteristics of enrollees.**

**OHA staff directly responsible for the implementation and monitoring of CHIP, continuously monitor program administration and take necessary action to ensure the program meets strategic objectives.**

**The Office for Oregon Health Policy and Research will analyze and evaluate CHIP OHP Plus expansion. The office will report on information using a variety of data sources including a statewide health insurance survey, program administrative data and other quantitative and qualitative data sources. Unless otherwise noted, the program will be evaluated annually.**

- **Biennial estimates of the number of children who are eligible for but not enrolled in the program;**
- **The number of children enrolled in the program;**
- **The number of children disenrolled from the program;**
- **A description of any identified barriers to enrolling or maintaining enrollment of children in the program;**
- **The quality of care received using nationally accepted HEDIS measures for children;**

**Biennial estimates of the number children voluntarily not enrolling in employer-sponsored health coverage and enroll in the program.**

Check the applicable suggested performance measurements listed below that the State plans to use: (Section 2107(a)(4))

- 9.3.1.  The increase in the percentage of Medicaid-eligible children enrolled in Medicaid.
- 9.3.2.  The reduction in the percentage of uninsured children.
- 9.3.3.  The increase in the percentage of children with a usual source of care.
- 9.3.4.  The extent to which outcome measures show progress on one or more of the health problems identified by the state.
- 9.3.5.  HEDIS Measurement Set relevant to children and adolescents younger than 19.
- 9.3.6.  Other child appropriate measurement set. List or describe the set used.
- 9.3.7.  If not utilizing the entire HEDIS Measurement Set, specify which measures will be collected, such as:
- 9.3.7.1.  Immunizations
  - 9.3.7.2.  Well childcare
  - 9.3.7.3.  Adolescent well visits
  - 9.3.7.4.  Satisfaction with care
  - 9.3.7.5.  Mental health
  - 9.3.7.6.  Dental care
  - 9.3.7.7.  Other, list:
- 9.3.8.  Performance measures for special targeted populations.
- 9.4.  The State assures it will collect all data, maintain records and furnish reports to the Secretary at the times and in the standardized format that the Secretary requires. (Section 2107(b)(1)) (42 CFR 457.720)

Guidance: The State should include an assurance of compliance with the annual reporting requirements, including an assessment of reducing the number of low-income uninsured children. The State should also discuss any annual activities to be undertaken that relate to assessment and evaluation of the program.

9.5.  The State assures it will comply with the annual assessment and evaluation required under Section 10. Briefly describe the State’s plan for these annual assessments and reports. (Section 2107(b)(2)) (42 CFR 457.750)

9.6.  The State assures it will provide the Secretary with access to any records or information relating to the plan for purposes of review or audit. (Section 2107(b)(3)) (42 CFR 457.720)

Guidance: The State should verify that they will participate in the collection and evaluation of data as new measures are developed or existing measures are revised as deemed necessary by CMS, the states, advocates, and other interested parties.

9.7.  The State assures that, in developing performance measures, it will modify those measures to meet national requirements when such requirements are developed. (42 CFR 457.710(e))

9.8. The State assures, to the extent they apply, that the following provisions of the Social Security Act will apply under Title XXI, to the same extent they apply to a State under Title XIX: (Section 2107(e)) (42 CFR 457.135)

9.8.1.  Section 1902(a)(4)(C) (relating to conflict of interest standards)

9.8.2.  Paragraphs (2), (16) and (17) of Section 1903(i) (relating to limitations on payment)

9.8.3.  Section 1903(w) (relating to limitations on provider donations and taxes)

9.8.4.  Section 1132 (relating to periods within which claims must be filed)

Guidance: Section 9.9 can include discussion of community-based providers and consumer representatives in the design and implementation of the plan and the method for ensuring ongoing public involvement. Issues to address include a listing of public meetings or announcements made to the public concerning the development of the children's health insurance program or public forums used to discuss changes to the State plan.

9.9. Describe the process used by the State to accomplish involvement of the public in the design and implementation of the plan and the method for ensuring ongoing public involvement. (Section 2107(c)) (42 CFR 457.120(a) and (b))

**Policy guidance for the development of Oregon's response to Title XXI includes substantial public comment and participation. The Oregon Legislature established**

**the Oregon Health Policy Council as the body responsible for providing a forum for public debate on the policy framework for the state's CHIP program. The Health Council is the policy-recommending body for health planning in the state. It consists of nine public members appointed by the Governor. The Health Council held a public hearing on Oregon's response to CHIP on October 18, 1997 in Salem, Oregon. At this meeting, approximately 30 interested parties, including consumers and consumer advocates, providers, managed care plans, insurance carriers, and educators, delivered testimony. Besides this focused, three-hour public hearing, the Health Council also solicited public comment at its regular meetings. At each of the six Council meetings held September 1997 through January 1998, written and oral public comment about CHIP was provided and discussed. On January 15, 1998, there were four additional public hearings around the state; Portland and Eugene in the Willamette Valley, Medford in Southern Oregon, and Bend in Central Oregon. Approximately 70 additional parties presented testimony at these hearings. There were comments on general program policy issues. Program staff then summarized this public input and presented to a joint meeting of the Health Council. Additional opportunities to receive public input around CHIP design and implementation have occurred and continue. A draft of the initial Title XXI State Plan document was circulated for comment internally to state agencies and externally to providers, consumer advocates, and to a broad array of other interested parties. When the original Title XXI State Plan was submitted to CMS, DMAP submitted a notice for publication in Oregon's major newspapers. All interested Oregonians were notified on how to obtain a copy of this document and had timely opportunity to comment on CHIP**

**Oregon Health Decisions conducted a series of approximately 200 meetings around the state. "Health Decisions '98" continued ongoing efforts by Oregon Health Decisions to engage Oregonians at the grass-roots level in a democratic approach to developing health policy. A similar series of "town hall meetings" in 1990 informed the setting of health service priorities by the Health Services Commission, information upon which they developed the Prioritized List of Health Services. A subsequent set of focus groups in 1995 addressed questions designed to identify the most sensible "next steps" for the Oregon Health Plan following implementation of the Medicaid expansion with benefits based on those priorities. "Health Decisions '98" focused on issues of how we finance health care, who ultimately pays for it, and how we can build more equity into the financing of health care while improving access and quality. As in the past with the Oregon Health Plan, public input on CHIP and more general health care policy questions is being used to inform debate, set policy, and develop concepts for program development and proposed legislation. Public comment is a continuing part of Oregon's design, implementation, and refinement of its CHIP program and other expansions of the Oregon Health Plan.**

**9.9.1. Describe the process used by the State to ensure interaction with Indian Tribes and**

organizations in the State on the development and implementation of the procedures required in 42 CFR 457.125. States should provide notice and consultation with Tribes on proposed pregnant women expansions. (Section 2107(c)) (42 CFR 457.120(c))

**The OHA engages Tribal consultation prior to submission of state plan amendments, waiver requests, proposed demonstration waivers, and rule-making likely to have a cost or direct impact on Oregon Native Americans, Indian Health Programs, or Urban Indian Organizations. To the extent practical and permitted by law, the state consults with Tribal governments as early as possible in the consultation process. This policy applies to the Children’s Health Insurance Program in the same manner in which it applies to Medicaid.**

**A representative from the OHA attends quarterly “770” meetings to discuss proposed State Plan Amendments, waiver proposals or amendments, demonstration project proposals or amendments, and rule-making that may have a direct impact on American Indians and Tribal entities. Face-to-face consultation is the preferred method of communication and consultation prior to submission of documents to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. In the event a deadline is out of the control of the OHA, the communication and consultation will be handled by mail distributed through the OHA Tribal Liaison to Tribal designees. A monthly written Update is provided to the OHA Tribal Liaison, who forwards it to Tribal designees. This update includes the status of State Plan Amendments, waiver or demonstration project proposals or amendments, and rule filings.**

**9.9.2.** For an amendment relating to eligibility or benefits (including cost sharing and enrollment procedures), describe how and when prior public notice was provided as required in 42 CFR 457.65(b) through (d).

**Prior to an amendment being submitted, OHA coordinates with the Oregon Health Policy Committee, Tribal organizations, Medicaid Advisory Committee or Legislative Committees as appropriate. Oregon Administrative Rules are filed and at a minimum OHA gives a public notice 45 days prior to any changes or closure of the program.**

**9.9.3.** Describe the State’s interaction, consultation, and coordination with any Indian tribes and organizations in the State regarding implementation of the Express Lane eligibility option. **The Division has a Tribal consultation policy. For SPA’s with no direct effect on tribes the Division notifies them of any upcoming SPA submission on items with no direct effect or for federal law changes with limited flexibility for implementation. Items with a direct effect on Tribes are discussed at the quarterly meeting with the Tribal organizations, IHS etc at a committee meeting call the “770 meeting”. The policy has been developed with the Tribal leaders in this committee and outlines how direct effect is determined what the procedures are for**

**communication and timelines for notifications. For this specific SPA discussion were held at the tribal “770” committee meeting held in Bend, Oregon May 6, 2010 as well as an electronic summary distributed to the tribal contacts on July 21, 2010.**

**9.10.** Provide a 1-year projected budget. A suggested financial form for the budget is below. The budget must describe: (Section 2107(d)) (42 CFR 457.140)

- Planned use of funds, including:
  - Projected amount to be spent on health services;
  - Projected amount to be spent on administrative costs, such as outreach, child health initiatives, and evaluation; and
  - Assumptions on which the budget is based, including cost per child and expected enrollment.
  - Projected expenditures for the separate child health plan, including but not limited to expenditures for targeted low income children, the optional coverage of the unborn, lawfully residing eligibles, dental services, etc.
  - All cost sharing, benefit, payment, eligibility need to be reflected in the budget.
  
- Projected sources of non-Federal plan expenditures, including any requirements for cost-sharing by enrollees.
- Include a separate budget line to indicate the cost of providing coverage to pregnant women.
- States must include a separate budget line item to indicate the cost of providing coverage to premium assistance children.
- Include a separate budget line to indicate the cost of providing dental-only supplemental coverage.
- Include a separate budget line to indicate the cost of implementing Express Lane Eligibility.
- Provide a 1-year projected budget for all targeted low-income children covered under the state plan using the attached form. Additionally, provide the following:
  - Total 1-year cost of adding prenatal coverage
  - Estimate of unborn children covered in year 1

**CHIP Budget**

<b>STATE:</b>	<b>FFY Budget</b>
<b>Federal Fiscal Year</b>	
State’s enhanced FMAP rate	
<b>Benefit Costs</b>	
Insurance payments	

<b>STATE:</b>	<b>FFY Budget</b>
Managed care	
<u>per member/per month rate</u>	
Fee for Service	
<b>Total Benefit Costs</b>	
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)	
<b>Net Benefit Costs</b>	
<b>Cost of Proposed SPA Changes – Benefit</b>	
<b>Administration Costs</b>	
Personnel	
General administration	
Contractors/Brokers	
Claims Processing	
Outreach/marketing costs	
Health Services Initiatives	
Other	
<b>Total Administration Costs</b>	
10% Administrative Cap	
<b>Cost of Proposed SPA Changes</b>	
Federal Share	
State Share	
<b>Total Costs of Approved CHIP Plan</b>	

**NOTE: Include the costs associated with the current SPA.**

**The Source of State Share Funds:**

**Section 10. Annual Reports and Evaluations**

Guidance: The National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), CMS and the states developed framework for the annual report that states have the option to use to complete the required evaluation report. The framework recognizes the diversity in State approaches to implementing CHIP and provides consistency across states in the structure, content, and format of the evaluation report. Use of the framework and submission of this information will allow comparisons to be made between states and on a nationwide basis. The framework for the annual report can be obtained from NASHP’s website at <http://www.nashp.org>. Per the title XXI statute at Section 2108(a), states must submit reports by January 1<sup>st</sup> to be compliant with requirements.

- 10.1.** **Annual Reports.** The State assures that it will assess the operation of the State plan under this Title in each fiscal year, including: (Section 2108(a)(1),(2)) (42 CFR 457.750)
- 10.1.1.**  The progress made in reducing the number of uninsured low-income children and report to the Secretary by January 1 following the end of the fiscal year on the result of the assessment, and
- 10.2.**  The State assures it will comply with future reporting requirements as they are developed. (42 CFR 457.710(e))
- 10.3.**  The State assures that it will comply with all applicable Federal laws and regulations, including but not limited to Federal grant requirements and Federal reporting requirements.
- 10.3-DC**  The State agrees to submit yearly the approved dental benefit package and to submit quarterly current and accurate information on enrolled dental providers in the State to the Health Resources and Services Administration for posting on the Insure Kids Now! Website. Please update Sections 6.2-DC and 9.10 when electing this option.

**Section 11. Program Integrity (Section 2101(a))**

- Check here if the State elects to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded eligibility under the State's Medicaid plan, and continue to Section 12.
- 11.1.**  The State assures that services are provided in an effective and efficient manner through free and open competition or through basing rates on other public and private rates that are actuarially sound. (Section 2101(a)) (42 CFR 457.940(b))
- 11.2.** The State assures, to the extent they apply, that the following provisions of the Social Security Act will apply under Title XXI, to the same extent they apply to a State under Title XIX: (Section 2107(e)) (42 CFR 457.935(b)) (The items below were moved from section 9.8. Previously 9.8.6. - 9.8.9.)
- 11.2.1.**  42 CFR Part 455 Subpart B (relating to disclosure of information by providers and fiscal agents)
- 11.2.2.**  Section 1124 (relating to disclosure of ownership and related information)
- 11.2.3.**  Section 1126 (relating to disclosure of information about certain convicted individuals)
- 11.2.4.**  Section 1128A (relating to civil monetary penalties)
- 11.2.5.**  Section 1128B (relating to criminal penalties for certain additional charges)
- 11.2.6.**  Section 1128E (relating to the National health care fraud and abuse data collection)

program)

**Section 12. Applicant and Enrollee Protections (Sections 2101(a))**

- Check here if the State elects to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded eligibility under the State's Medicaid plan.

**12.1. Eligibility and Enrollment Matters-** Describe the review process for eligibility and enrollment matters that complies with 42 CFR 457.1120. Describe any special processes and procedures that are unique to the applicant's rights when the State is using the Express Lane option when determining eligibility.

**OHA CHIP applicants and members have the same rights as OHP Medicaid members with respect to eligibility and enrollment matters. Clients and applicants have a right to a timely, written, impartial external review through the administrative hearing process that complies with 42 CFR 457.1120.**

**Under Express Lane Eligibility when a child is found eligible for HKC which require premiums, the OHA sends a notice informing the client that the child may be eligible for lower or no premiums using our regular eligibility methods. If a child were found to be ineligible using an ELE finding the OHA would do a full eligibility determination.**

Guidance: "Health services matters" refers to grievances relating to the provision of health care.

**12.2. Health Services Matters-** Describe the review process for health services matters that complies with 42 CFR 457.1120.

**12.3. Premium Assistance Programs-** If providing coverage through a group health plan that does not meet the requirements of 42 CFR 457.1120, describe how the State will assure that applicants and enrollees have the option to obtain health benefits coverage other than through the group health plan at initial enrollment and at each redetermination of eligibility.

**Not applicable**

### **Key for Newly Incorporated Templates**

The newly incorporated templates are indicated with the following letters after the numerical section throughout the template.

- PC- Prenatal care and associated health care services (SHO #02-004, issued November 12, 2002)
- PW- Coverage of pregnant women (CHIPRA #2, SHO # 09-006, issued May 11, 2009)
- TC- Tribal consultation requirements (ARRA #2, CHIPRA #3, issued May 28, 2009)
- DC- Dental benefits (CHIPRA # 7, SHO # #09-012, issued October 7, 2009)
- DS- Supplemental dental benefits (CHIPRA # 7, SHO # #09-012, issued October 7, 2009)
- PA- Premium assistance (CHIPRA # 13, SHO # 10-002, issued February 2, 2010)
- EL- Express lane eligibility (CHIPRA # 14, SHO # 10-003, issued February 4, 2010)
- LR- Lawfully Residing requirements (CHIPRA # 17, SHO # 10-006, issued July 1, 2010)

**CMS Regional Offices**

<b>CMS Regional Offices</b>	<b>States</b>		<b>Associate Regional Administrator</b>	<b>Regional Office Address</b>
Region 1- Boston	Connecticut Massachusetts Maine	New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont	Richard R. McGreal <a href="mailto:richard.mcgreal@cms.hhs.gov">richard.mcgreal@cms.hhs.gov</a>	John F. Kennedy Federal Bldg. Room 2275 Boston, MA 02203-0003
Region 2- New York	New York Virgin Islands	New Jersey Puerto Rico	Michael Melendez <a href="mailto:michael.melendez@cms.hhs.gov">michael.melendez@cms.hhs.gov</a>	26 Federal Plaza Room 3811 New York, NY 10278-0063
Region 3- Philadelphia	Delaware District of Columbia Maryland	Pennsylvania Virginia West Virginia	Ted Gallagher <a href="mailto:ted.gallagher@cms.hhs.gov">ted.gallagher@cms.hhs.gov</a>	The Public Ledger Building 150 South Independence Mall West Suite 216 Philadelphia, PA 19106
Region 4- Atlanta	Alabama Florida Georgia Kentucky	Mississippi North Carolina South Carolina Tennessee	Jackie Glaze <a href="mailto:jackie.glaze@cms.hhs.gov">jackie.glaze@cms.hhs.gov</a>	Atlanta Federal Center 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor 61 Forsyth Street, S.W. Suite 4T20 Atlanta, GA 30303-8909
Region 5- Chicago	Illinois Indiana Michigan	Minnesota Ohio Wisconsin	Verlon Johnson <a href="mailto:verlon.johnson@cms.hhs.gov">verlon.johnson@cms.hhs.gov</a>	233 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 600 Chicago, IL 60601
Region 6- Dallas	Arkansas Louisiana New Mexico	Oklahoma Texas	Bill Brooks <a href="mailto:bill.brooks@cms.hhs.gov">bill.brooks@cms.hhs.gov</a>	1301 Young Street, 8th Floor Dallas, TX 75202
Region 7- Kansas City	Iowa Kansas	Missouri Nebraska	James G. Scott <a href="mailto:james.scott1@cms.hhs.gov">james.scott1@cms.hhs.gov</a>	Richard Bulling Federal Bldg. 601 East 12 Street, Room 235 Kansas City, MO 64106-2808
Region 8- Denver	Colorado Montana North Dakota	South Dakota Utah Wyoming	Richard Allen <a href="mailto:richard.allen@cms.hhs.gov">richard.allen@cms.hhs.gov</a>	Federal Office Building, Room 522 1961 Stout Street Denver, CO 80294-3538
Region 9- San Francisco	Arizona California Hawaii Nevada	American Samoa Guam Northern Mariana Islands	Gloria Nagle <a href="mailto:gloria.nagle@cms.hhs.gov">gloria.nagle@cms.hhs.gov</a>	90 Seventh Street Suite 5-300 San Francisco Federal Building San Francisco, CA 94103

Region 10- Seattle	Idaho Washington	Alaska Oregon	Carol Peverly <a href="mailto:carol.peverly@cms.hhs.gov">carol.peverly@cms.hhs.gov</a>	2001 Sixth Avenue MS RX-43 Seattle, WA 98121
-----------------------	---------------------	------------------	---	--

## **GLOSSARY**

Adapted directly from Sec. 2110. DEFINITIONS.

**CHILD HEALTH ASSISTANCE-** For purposes of this title, the term ‘child health assistance’ means payment for part or all of the cost of health benefits coverage for targeted low-income children that includes any of the following (and includes, in the case described in Section 2105(a)(2)(A), payment for part or all of the cost of providing any of the following), as specified under the State plan:

1. Inpatient hospital services.
2. Outpatient hospital services.
3. Physician services.
4. Surgical services.
5. Clinic services (including health center services) and other ambulatory health care services.
6. Prescription drugs and biologicals and the administration of such drugs and biologicals, only if such drugs and biologicals are not furnished for the purpose of causing, or assisting in causing, the death, suicide, euthanasia, or mercy killing of a person.
7. Over-the-counter medications.
8. Laboratory and radiological services.
9. Prenatal care and pre-pregnancy family planning services and supplies.
10. Inpatient mental health services, other than services described in paragraph (18) but including services furnished in a State-operated mental hospital and including residential or other 24-hour therapeutically planned structured services.
11. Outpatient mental health services, other than services described in paragraph (19) but including services furnished in a State-operated mental hospital and including community-based services.
12. Durable medical equipment and other medically-related or remedial devices (such as prosthetic devices, implants, eyeglasses, hearing aids, dental devices, and adaptive devices).
13. Disposable medical supplies.
14. Home and community-based health care services and related supportive services (such as home health nursing services, home health aide services, personal care, assistance with activities of daily living, chore services, day care services, respite care services, training for family members, and minor modifications to the home).
15. Nursing care services (such as nurse practitioner services, nurse midwife services, advanced practice nurse services, private duty nursing care, pediatric nurse services, and respiratory care services) in a home, school, or other setting.
16. Abortion only if necessary to save the life of the mother or if the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest.
17. Dental services.
18. Inpatient substance abuse treatment services and residential substance abuse treatment services.
19. Outpatient substance abuse treatment services.
20. Case management services.
21. Care coordination services.
22. Physical therapy, occupational therapy, and services for individuals with speech, hearing, and language disorders.

23. Hospice care.
24. Any other medical, diagnostic, screening, preventive, restorative, remedial, therapeutic, or rehabilitative services (whether in a facility, home, school, or other setting) if recognized by State law and only if the service is--
  - a. prescribed by or furnished by a physician or other licensed or registered practitioner within the scope of practice as defined by State law,
  - b. performed under the general supervision or at the direction of a physician, or
  - c. furnished by a health care facility that is operated by a State or local government or is licensed under State law and operating within the scope of the license.
25. Premiums for private health care insurance coverage.
26. Medical transportation.
27. Enabling services (such as transportation, translation, and outreach services) only if designed to increase the accessibility of primary and preventive health care services for eligible low-income individuals.
28. Any other health care services or items specified by the Secretary and not excluded under this section.

**TARGETED LOW-INCOME CHILD DEFINED-** For purposes of this title--

1. **IN GENERAL-** Subject to paragraph (2), the term ‘targeted low-income child’ means a child--
  - a. who has been determined eligible by the State for child health assistance under the State plan;
  - b. (i) who is a low-income child, or  
(ii) is a child whose family income (as determined under the State child health plan) exceeds the Medicaid applicable income level (as defined in paragraph (4)), but does not exceed 50 percentage points above the Medicaid applicable income level; and
  - c. who is not found to be eligible for medical assistance under title XIX or covered under a group health plan or under health insurance coverage (as such terms are defined in Section 2791 of the Public Health Service Act).
2. **CHILDREN EXCLUDED-** Such term does not include--
  - a. a child who is a resident of a public institution or a patient in an institution for mental diseases; or
  - b. a child who is a member of a family that is eligible for health benefits coverage under a State health benefits plan on the basis of a family member's employment with a public agency in the State.
3. **SPECIAL RULE-** A child shall not be considered to be described in paragraph (1)(C) notwithstanding that the child is covered under a health insurance coverage program that has been in operation since before July 1, 1997, and that is offered by a State which receives no Federal funds for the program's operation.
4. **MEDICAID APPLICABLE INCOME LEVEL-** The term ‘Medicaid applicable income level’ means, with respect to a child, the effective income level (expressed as a percent of the poverty line) that has been specified under the State plan under title XIX (including under a waiver authorized by the Secretary or under Section 1902(r)(2)), as of June 1, 1997, for the child to be eligible for medical

assistance under Section 1902(1)(2) for the age of such child.

5. **TARGETED LOW-INCOME PREGNANT WOMAN.**—The term ‘targeted low-income pregnant woman’ means an individual— (A) during pregnancy and through the end of the month in which the 60-day period (beginning on the last day of her pregnancy) ends; (B) whose family income exceeds 185 percent (or, if higher, the percent applied under subsection (b)(1)(A)) of the poverty line applicable to a family of the size involved, but does not exceed the income eligibility level established under the State child health plan under this title for a targeted low-income child; and (C) who satisfies the requirements of paragraphs (1)(A), (1)(C), (2), and (3) of Section 2110(b) in the same manner as a child applying for child health assistance would have to satisfy such requirements.

**ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS-** For purposes of this title:

1. **CHILD-** The term ‘child’ means an individual under 19 years of age.
2. **CREDITABLE HEALTH COVERAGE-** The term ‘creditable health coverage’ has the meaning given the term ‘creditable coverage’ under Section 2701(c) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300gg(c)) and includes coverage that meets the requirements of section 2103 provided to a targeted low-income child under this title or under a waiver approved under section 2105(c)(2)(B) (relating to a direct service waiver).
3. **GROUP HEALTH PLAN; HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE; ETC-** The terms ‘group health plan’, ‘group health insurance coverage’, and ‘health insurance coverage’ have the meanings given such terms in Section 2191 of the Public Health Service Act.
4. **LOW-INCOME CHILD -** The term ‘low-income child’ means a child whose family income is at or below 200 percent of the poverty line for a family of the size involved.
5. **POVERTY LINE DEFINED-** The term ‘poverty line’ has the meaning given such term in section 673(2) of the Community Services Block Grant Act (42 U.S.C. 9902(2)), including any revision required by such section.
6. **PREEXISTING CONDITION EXCLUSION-** The term ‘preexisting condition exclusion’ has the meaning given such term in section 2701(b)(1)(A) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300gg(b)(1)(A)).
7. **STATE CHILD HEALTH PLAN; PLAN-** Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms ‘State child health plan’ and ‘plan’ mean a State child health plan approved under Section 2106.
8. **UNINSURED CHILD-** The term ‘uninsured child’ means a child that does not have creditable health coverage.