

---

## **Table of Contents**

**State/Territory Name:** Indiana

**State Plan Amendment (SPA) #:** IN-23-0016

This file contains the following documents in the order listed:

- 1) Approval Letter
- 2) State Plan Pages

**Children and Adults Health Programs Group**

---

December 9, 2024

Cora Steinmetz  
Director of Medicaid  
Family and Social Services Administration  
402 West Washington Street, Room W461, MS 25  
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Dear Director Cora Steinmetz:

Your title XXI Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) State Plan Amendment (SPA), IN-23-0016, submitted on August 31, 2023, with additional information submitted on August 7, 2024, and December 02, 2024, has been approved.

Through this SPA, Indiana provides 12 months of continuous eligibility (CE) coverage to individuals enrolled in its separate CHIP, pursuant to section 5112 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023 (CAA, 2023), and removes its premium lock-out period. This SPA has an effective date of January 1, 2024.

Section 5112 of the CAA, amended titles XIX and XXI of the Social Security Act (the Act) to require that states provide 12 months of CE for children under the age of 19 in Medicaid and CHIP. In Indiana, this provision applies to the targeted low-income children population. When a child transitions from CHIP to Medicaid during their CE period, section 1902(e)(12) of the Act requires that a state provide a child with a new 12-month CE period based on the date they were determined eligible for Medicaid. Indiana anticipates implementing this policy for children transitioning from CHIP to Medicaid by March 2025.

Additionally, through this SPA, Indiana confirms the state will not disenroll children from coverage due to late premium payments during the CE period but will disenroll children from coverage if the premiums remain unpaid at the end of the CE period. Regulations in 42 CFR 457.343 that cross-reference to § 435.916 require a state to complete an ex parte renewal prior to disenrolling individuals at the end of the CE period, including for nonpayment of premiums. Indiana anticipates implementing this renewal policy for children who would be disenrolled for nonpayment of premiums at the end of the CE period by May 2025.

A copy of the approved CS21 and CS27 state plan pages are attached to be incorporated into the state's approved CHIP state plan. The state must notify CMS when the updated transitions and renewal policies discussed above are complete.

Your Project Officer is Chanelle Parkar. She is available to answer your questions concerning this amendment and other CHIP-related matters. Her contact information is as follows:

Page 2 – Director Cora Steinmetz

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services  
Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services  
7500 Security Boulevard, Mail Stop: S2-01-16  
Baltimore, MD 21244-1850  
Telephone: (667) 290-9798  
E-mail: [Chanelle.Parkar@cms.hhs.gov](mailto:Chanelle.Parkar@cms.hhs.gov)

If you have additional questions, please contact Meg Barry, Director, Division of State Coverage Programs, at (410) 786-1536. We look forward to continuing to work with you and your staff.

Sincerely,

/Signed by Sarah deLone/

Sarah deLone  
Director

TEMPLATE FOR CHILD HEALTH PLAN UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT  
CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PROGRAM

(Required under 4901 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (New section 2101(b)))

State/Territory: Indiana

(Name of State/Territory)

As a condition for receipt of Federal funds under Title XXI of the Social Security Act, (42 CFR, 457.40(b))

(Signature of Governor, or designee, of State/Territory, Date Signed)

submits the following Child Health Plan for the Children's Health Insurance Program and hereby agrees to administer the program in accordance with the provisions of the approved Child Health Plan, the requirements of Title XXI and XIX of the Act (as appropriate) and all applicable Federal regulations and other official issuances of the Department.

The following State officials are responsible for program administration and financial oversight (42 CFR 457.40(c)):

Name: Rachael Foster

Position/Title: CHIP Manager, OMPP

Name: Cora Steinmetz

Position/Title: Medicaid Director, OMPP

Name: Dr. Daniel Rusyniak

Position/Title: Secretary, FSSA

\*Disclosure. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0938-1148 (CMS-10398 #34). The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 80 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, write to: CMS, 7500 Security Blvd., Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

**Introduction:** Section 4901 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (BBA), public law 1005-33 amended the Social Security Act (the Act) by adding a new title XXI, the Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP). In February 2009, the Children’s Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act (CHIPRA) renewed the program. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, further modified the program. The HEALTHY KIDS Act and The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 together resulted in an extension of funding for CHIP through federal fiscal year 2027.

This template outlines the information that must be included in the state plans and the State plan amendments (SPAs). It reflects the regulatory requirements at 42 CFR Part 457 as well as the previously approved SPA templates that accompanied guidance issued to States through State Health Official (SHO) letters. Where applicable, we indicate the SHO number and the date it was issued for your reference. The CHIP SPA template includes the following changes:

- Combined the instruction document with the CHIP SPA template to have a single document. Any modifications to previous instructions are for clarification only and do not reflect new policy guidance.
- Incorporated the previously issued guidance and templates (see the Key following the template for information on the newly added templates), including:
  - Prenatal care and associated health care services (SHO #02-004, issued November 12, 2002)
  - Coverage of pregnant women (CHIPRA #2, SHO # 09-006, issued May 11, 2009)
  - Tribal consultation requirements (ARRA #2, CHIPRA #3, issued May 28, 2009)
  - Dental and supplemental dental benefits (CHIPRA # 7, SHO # #09-012, issued October 7, 2009)
  - Premium assistance (CHIPRA # 13, SHO # 10-002, issued February 2, 2010)
  - Express lane eligibility (CHIPRA # 14, SHO # 10-003, issued February 4, 2010)
  - Lawfully Residing requirements (CHIPRA # 17, SHO # 10-006, issued July 1, 2010)
- Moved sections 2.2 and 2.3 into section 5 to eliminate redundancies between sections 2 and 5.
- Removed crowd-out language that had been added by the August 17 letter that later was repealed.
- Added new provisions related to delivery methods, including managed care, to section 3 (81 FR 27498, issued May 6, 2016)

States are not required to resubmit existing State plans using this current updated template. However, States must use this updated template when submitting a new State Plan Amendment.

**Federal Requirements for Submission and Review of a Proposed SPA.** (42 CFR Part 457 Subpart A)

In order to be eligible for payment under this statute, each State must submit a Title XXI plan for approval by the Secretary that details how the State intends to use the funds and fulfill other requirements under the law and regulations at 42 CFR Part 457. A SPA is approved in 90 days unless the Secretary notifies the State in writing that the plan is disapproved or that specified additional information is needed. Unlike Medicaid SPAs, there is only one 90 day review period, or clock for CHIP SPAs, that may be stopped by a request for additional information and restarted after a complete

response is received. More information on the SPA review process is found at 42 CFR 457 Subpart A.

When submitting a State plan amendment, states should redline the changes that are being made to the existing State plan and provide a “clean” copy including changes that are being made to the existing state plan.

The template includes the following sections:

1. **General Description and Purpose of the Children’s Health Insurance Plans and the Requirements-** This section should describe how the State has designed their program. It also is the place in the template that a State updates to insert a short description and the proposed effective date of the SPA, and the proposed implementation date(s) if different from the effective date. (Section 2101); (42 CFR, 457.70)
2. **General Background and Description of State Approach to Child Health Coverage and Coordination-** This section should provide general information related to the special characteristics of each state’s program. The information should include the extent and manner to which children in the State currently have creditable health coverage, current State efforts to provide or obtain creditable health coverage for uninsured children and how the plan is designed to be coordinated with current health insurance, public health efforts, or other enrollment initiatives. This information provides a health insurance baseline in terms of the status of the children in a given State and the State programs currently in place. (Section 2103); (42 CFR 457.410(A))
3. **Methods of Delivery and Utilization Controls-** This section requires the State to specify its proposed method of delivery. If the State proposes to use managed care, the State must describe and attest to certain requirements of a managed care delivery system, including contracting standards; enrollee enrollment processes; enrollee notification and grievance processes; and plans for enrolling providers, among others. (Section 2103); (42 CFR Part 457. Subpart L)
4. **Eligibility Standards and Methodology-** The plan must include a description of the standards used to determine the eligibility of targeted low-income children for child health assistance under the plan. This section includes a list of potential eligibility standards the State can check off and provide a short description of how those standards will be applied. All eligibility standards must be consistent with the provisions of Title XXI and may not discriminate on the basis of diagnosis. In addition, if the standards vary within the state, the State should describe how they will be applied and under what circumstances they will be applied. In addition, this section provides information on income eligibility for Medicaid expansion programs (which are exempt from Section 4 of the State plan template) if applicable. (Section 2102(b)); (42 CFR 457.305 and 457.320)
5. **Outreach-** This section is designed for the State to fully explain its outreach activities. Outreach is defined in law as outreach to families of children likely to be eligible for child health assistance under the plan or under other public or private health coverage programs. The purpose is to inform these families of the availability of, and to assist them in enrolling their children in, such a program. (Section 2102(c)(1)); (42 CFR 457.90)
6. **Coverage Requirements for Children’s Health Insurance-** Regarding the required scope of health insurance coverage in a State plan, the child health assistance provided must consist of

any of the four types of coverage outlined in Section 2103(a) (specifically, benchmark coverage; benchmark-equivalent coverage; existing comprehensive state-based coverage; and/or Secretary-approved coverage). In this section States identify the scope of coverage and benefits offered under the plan including the categories under which that coverage is offered. The amount, scope, and duration of each offered service should be fully explained, as well as any corresponding limitations or exclusions. (Section 2103); (42 CFR 457.410(A))

7. **Quality and Appropriateness of Care-** This section includes a description of the methods (including monitoring) to be used to assure the quality and appropriateness of care and to assure access to covered services. A variety of methods are available for State's use in monitoring and evaluating the quality and appropriateness of care in its child health assistance program. The section lists some of the methods which states may consider using. In addition to methods, there are a variety of tools available for State adaptation and use with this program. The section lists some of these tools. States also have the option to choose who will conduct these activities. As an alternative to using staff of the State agency administering the program, states have the option to contract out with other organizations for this quality of care function. (Section 2107); (42 CFR 457.495)
8. **Cost Sharing and Payment-** This section addresses the requirement of a State child health plan to include a description of its proposed cost sharing for enrollees. Cost sharing is the amount (if any) of premiums, deductibles, coinsurance and other cost sharing imposed. The cost-sharing requirements provide protection for lower income children, ban cost sharing for preventive services, address the limitations on premiums and cost-sharing and address the treatment of pre-existing medical conditions. (Section 2103(e)); (42 CFR 457, Subpart E)
9. **Strategic Objectives and Performance Goals and Plan Administration-** The section addresses the strategic objectives, the performance goals, and the performance measures the State has established for providing child health assistance to targeted low income children under the plan for maximizing health benefits coverage for other low income children and children generally in the state. (Section 2107); (42 CFR 457.710)
10. **Annual Reports and Evaluations-** Section 2108(a) requires the State to assess the operation of the Children's Health Insurance Program plan and submit to the Secretary an annual report which includes the progress made in reducing the number of uninsured low income children. The report is due by January 1, following the end of the Federal fiscal year and should cover that Federal Fiscal Year. In this section, states are asked to assure that they will comply with these requirements, indicated by checking the box. (Section 2108); (42 CFR 457.750)
11. **Program Integrity-** In this section, the State assures that services are provided in an effective and efficient manner through free and open competition or through basing rates on other public and private rates that are actuarially sound. (Sections 2101(a) and 2107(e); (42 CFR 457, subpart I)
12. **Applicant and Enrollee Protections-** This section addresses the review process for eligibility and enrollment matters, health services matters (i.e., grievances), and for states that use premium assistance a description of how it will assure that applicants and enrollees are given the opportunity at initial enrollment and at each redetermination of eligibility to obtain health benefits coverage other than through that group health plan. (Section 2101(a)); (42 CFR 457.1120)

**Program Options.** As mentioned above, the law allows States to expand coverage for children through a separate child health insurance program, through a Medicaid expansion program, or through a combination of these programs. These options are described further below:

- **Option to Create a Separate Program-** States may elect to establish a separate child health program that are in compliance with title XXI and applicable rules. These states must establish enrollment systems that are coordinated with Medicaid and other sources of health coverage for children and also must screen children during the application process to determine if they are eligible for Medicaid and, if they are, enroll these children promptly in Medicaid.
- **Option to Expand Medicaid-** States may elect to expand coverage through Medicaid. This option for states would be available for children who do not qualify for Medicaid under State rules in effect as of March 31, 1997. Under this option, current Medicaid rules would apply.

#### **Medicaid Expansion- CHIP SPA Requirements**

In order to expedite the SPA process, states choosing to expand coverage only through an expansion of Medicaid eligibility would be required to complete sections:

- 1 (General Description)
- 2 (General Background)

They will also be required to complete the appropriate program sections, including:

- 4 (Eligibility Standards and Methodology)
- 5 (Outreach)
- 9 (Strategic Objectives and Performance Goals and Plan Administration including the budget)
- 10 (Annual Reports and Evaluations).

#### **Medicaid Expansion- Medicaid SPA Requirements**

States expanding through Medicaid-only will also be required to submit a Medicaid State plan amendment to modify their Title XIX State plans. These states may complete the first check-off and indicate that the description of the requirements for these sections are incorporated by reference through their State Medicaid plans for sections:

- 3 (Methods of Delivery and Utilization Controls)
- 4 (Eligibility Standards and Methodology)
- 6 (Coverage Requirements for Children's Health Insurance)
- 7 (Quality and Appropriateness of Care)
- 8 (Cost Sharing and Payment)
- 11 (Program Integrity)
- 12 (Applicant and Enrollee Protections)

- **Combination of Options-** CHIP allows states to elect to use a combination of the Medicaid program and a separate child health program to increase health coverage for children. For example, a State may cover optional targeted-low income children in families with incomes of up to 133 percent of



poverty through Medicaid and a targeted group of children above that level through a separate child health program. For the children the State chooses to cover under an expansion of Medicaid, the description provided under “Option to Expand Medicaid” would apply. Similarly, for children the State chooses to cover under a separate program, the provisions outlined above in “Option to Create a Separate Program” would apply. States wishing to use a combination of approaches will be required to complete the Title XXI State plan and the necessary State plan amendment under Title XIX.

Where the state’s assurance is requested in this document for compliance with a particular requirement of 42 CFR 457 et seq., the state shall place a check mark to affirm that it will be in compliance no later than the applicable compliance date.

Proposed State plan amendments should be submitted electronically and one signed hard copy to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services at the following address:

Chanelle Parkar  
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services  
7500 Security Blvd  
Baltimore, Maryland 21244  
Attn: Children and Adults Health Programs Group  
Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services  
Mail Stop - S2-01-16

**Section 1. General Description and Purpose of the Children’s Health Insurance Plans and the Requirements**

- 1.1.** The state will use funds provided under Title XXI primarily for (Check appropriate box) (Section 2101)(a)(1)); (42 CFR 457.70):

Guidance: Check below if child health assistance shall be provided primarily through the development of a separate program that meets the requirements of Section 2101, which details coverage requirements and the other applicable requirements of Title XXI.

- 1.1.1.** ☐ Obtaining coverage that meets the requirements for a separate child health program (Sections 2101(a)(1) and 2103); OR

Guidance: Check below if child health assistance shall be provided primarily through providing expanded eligibility under the State’s Medicaid program (Title XIX). Note that if this is selected the State must also submit a corresponding Medicaid SPA to CMS for review and approval.

- 1.1.2.** ☐ Providing expanded benefits under the State’s Medicaid plan (Title XIX) (Section 2101(a)(2)); OR

Guidance: Check below if child health assistance shall be provided through a combination of both 1.1.1. and 1.1.2. (Coverage that meets the requirements of Title XXI, in conjunction with an expansion in the State’s Medicaid program). Note that if this is selected the state must also submit a corresponding Medicaid state plan amendment to CMS for review and approval.

- 1.1.3.** ☒ A combination of both of the above. (Section 2101(a)(2))  
Medicaid expansion under Phase I of the CHIP program was approved June 26, 1998.  
State-designed child health program under Phase II of the program was approved December 22, 1999.

- 1.1-DS** ☐ The State will provide dental-only supplemental coverage. Only States operating a separate CHIP program are eligible for this option. States choosing this option must also complete sections 4.1-DS, 4.2-DS, 6.2-DS, 8.2-DS, and 9.10 of this SPA template. (Section 2110(b)(5))

- 1.2.** ☒ Check to provide an assurance that expenditures for child health assistance will not be claimed prior to the time that the State has legislative authority to operate the State plan or plan amendment as approved by CMS. (42 CFR 457.40(d))

- 1.3.** ☒ Check to provide an assurance that the State complies with all applicable civil rights requirements, including title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, 45 CFR part 80, part 84, and part 91, and 28 CFR part 35. (42CFR 457.130)

Guidance: The effective date as specified below is defined as the date on which the State begins to incur costs to implement its State plan or amendment. (42 CFR 457.65) The implementation date is defined as the date the State begins to provide services; or, the date on which the State puts into practice the new policy described in the State plan or amendment. For example, in a State that has increased eligibility, this is the date on which the State begins to provide coverage to enrollees (and not the date the State begins outreach or accepting applications).

- 1.4. Provide the effective (date costs begin to be incurred) and implementation (date services begin to be provided) dates for this SPA (42 CFR 457.65). A SPA may only have one effective date, but provisions within the SPA may have different implementation dates that must be after the effective date.

Original Plan

Effective Date: October 1, 1997

Implementation Date: July 1, 1998

SPA # 23-0016 Purpose of SPA: Continuous Eligibility for CHIP beneficiaries under the Consolidated Appropriations Act Proposed effective date: January 1, 2024

Proposed implementation date: January 1, 2024

- 1.4- TC **Tribal Consultation** (Section 2107(e)(1)(C)) Describe the consultation process that occurred specifically for the development and submission of this State Plan Amendment, when it occurred and who was involved.

Tribal Notice is not required for CHIP State Plan Amendments in the State of Indiana. Indiana does not have any I/T/Us located in the state.

**Section 2. General Background and Description of Approach to Children's Health Insurance Coverage and Coordination**

Guidance: The demographic information requested in 2.1. can be used for State planning and will be used strictly for informational purposes. **THESE NUMBERS WILL NOT BE USED AS A BASIS FOR THE ALLOTMENT.**

Factors that the State may consider in the provision of this information are age breakouts, income brackets, definitions of insurability, and geographic location, as well as race and ethnicity. The State should describe its information sources and the assumptions it uses for the development of its description.

- Population
- Number of uninsured
- Race demographics
- Age Demographics
- Info per region/Geographic information

- 2.1.** Describe the extent to which, and manner in which, children in the State (including targeted low-income children and other groups of children specified) identified, by income level and other relevant factors, such as race, ethnicity and geographic location, currently have creditable health coverage (as defined in 42 CFR 457.10). To the extent feasible, distinguish between creditable coverage under public health insurance programs and public-private partnerships (See Section 10 for annual report requirements). (Section 2102(a)(1)); (42 CFR 457.80(a))

The table below provides information from the Annual CHIP Report to the Indiana General Assembly from an independent CHIP evaluator regarding general population characteristics. This information can be used to establish a general understanding of the current environment in the State of Indiana. Key facts for State of Indiana as reported from 2016-2018 include:

Description:	Number
Total Children 0-18:	1,661,782
Total Children 0-18 w/o Health Insurance	73,982

The table below provides information from CHIP Annual Report to the Indiana General Assembly regarding how many children in the state would qualify for either CHIP or Medicaid.

Total Children 0-18 Below 250% FPL	788,180
------------------------------------	---------

The table below provides information from the State of Indiana regarding the populations currently being served by Indiana Medicaid. It should be noted that MCHIP refers to the portion of the Medicaid population funded via CHIP funding and SCHIP refers to the CHIP population not funded via Medicaid funding. It should also be noted that many of the State's children are served in non-CHIP program areas. The information below can be used to establish a general understanding of the current environment in the State of Indiana.

State of Indiana (December 2018)

Description:	Number
Total Children 0-18 Enrolled in Medicaid or CHIP	676,577
Total Children 0-18 Enrolled in Hoosier Healthwise	590,558
Total Children 0-18 Enrolled in MCHIP (Enrolled in Hoosier Healthwise)	76,264
Total Children 0-18 Enrolled in SCHIP (Enrolled in Hoosier Healthwise)	36,501

Guidance: Section 2.2 allows states to request to use the funds available under the 10 percent limit on administrative expenditures in order to fund services not otherwise allowable. The health services initiatives must meet the requirements of 42 CFR 457.10.

**2.2. Health Services Initiatives-** Describe if the State will use the health services initiative option as allowed at 42 CFR 457.10. If so, describe what services or programs the State is proposing to cover with administrative funds, including the cost of each program, and how it is currently funded (if applicable), also update the budget accordingly. (Section 2105(a)(1)(D)(ii)); (42 CFR 457.10)

*Poison Treatment Advice And Prevention.* Indiana will use CHIP funds, within the 10 percent federal administrative expenditures cap allowed for states, to support the Indiana Poison Center (IPC). IPC provides daily, 24-hour emergency telephone treatment advice, referral assistance, and information to manage exposure to poisonous and hazardous substances. The IPC answers poisoning emergency calls from the general public 24 hours a day, 365 days each year at no charge. At all times, a Specialist in Poison Information (SPI) is available to manage cases and Certified Specialists in Poison Information (CSPI) manage cases and direct Poison Information Providers. The service is provided to all communities, including underserved and indigent populations, in over 150 languages and via telecommunications devices for the deaf and hearing impaired (TDD).

Approximately 50,000 exposures from Indiana are received annually by the IPC. Children under 19 represented 59% of all reports in calendar year 2014 with 48% of reported exposures for children under age 6. An estimated 33% of all calls relate to children age 0-18 with annual household incomes of \$60,000 or less (250% FPL for a family of 4), which is based on The Kids Count Data Center 2014 statistic, which states 56% of Hoosier children are living in a household with incomes less than 250% FPL. Children under the age of 5 account for nearly half of all poison exposures.

For every \$1 spent on poison control efforts, there is a return on investment of \$18. Over 90% of phone calls received by IPC are from the home, and results in an average savings of \$1,581 in avoided emergency department charges per case. There is a decreased length of hospital stay by 1.2 – 3 days, which is estimated to save \$7,948 per day saved, and results in approximately \$25 million per year in savings.

IPC public education programs on poisoning response and prevention direct attention and resources to at-risk children, adolescents and adults living in poverty, including minority and immigrant communities, where materials appear in multiple languages beyond English including Spanish and Burmese. IPC works closely with schools, healthcare organizations, parenting groups, and childcare providers throughout Indiana to promote poison awareness. The toxicologists in the center have worked closely with the Indiana State Department of Health (ISDH) on emerging patterns of drug abuse in locales throughout the state. IPC has published education materials focused on poison prevention and disseminates hard copies throughout the state and on social media sites like Facebook and Twitter. In addition to public education focused on prevention, IPC educates providers in management of poisonings and drug overdoses, and furthers knowledge in the field of clinical toxicology by research and contribution to the medical literature. The IPC website will have a link to allow members of the public to be able apply for health coverage for Medicaid and CHIP.

The IPC public toll-free number is listed in all Indiana telephone directories. It is also the first result

on Google and Bing search engines for “poison center number” or “poison control.”

Funding under this HSI will not supplant or match CHIP federal funds with other Federal funds, nor will it allow other Federal funds to supplant or match CHIP Federal funds.

Funding under this HSI is dedicated to children 18 years of age or younger.

*Lead Testing, Prevention Programs, and Abatement.* As permitted under section 2105(a)(1)(D)(ii) of the Social Security Act and federal regulations at 42 CFR 457.10, the State of Indiana is doing a health services initiative that will use CHIP funds, within the federal administrative expenditures cap allowed for states, to support expanded lead abatement activities in the impacted areas of East Chicago, Indiana and other areas within the State of Indiana, as further described herein. Federal assistance is necessary to minimize and further prevent any long-term adverse health effects associated with lead exposure, both in East Chicago and across Indiana. This health services initiative would complement other federal, state and local efforts to test, educate, and abate lead hazards from the homes and improve the health of Medicaid and CHIP eligible individuals.

The Indiana Lead & Healthy Homes Program is at the forefront of monitoring and managing lead poisoning among children. Lead program costs include educating families about lead poisoning, testing, and abatement services.

#### Lead Abatement Defined

The State is seeking federal funding pursuant to Section 2105(a)(1)(D)(ii) of the Social Security Act for the enhancement and expansion of its current lead abatement program through the use of a CHIP health services initiative. The State would provide coordinated and targeted lead abatement services to eligible properties in the impacted area to mitigate all lead risks. Abatement services are defined as the removal of lead hazards, including:

- The permanent removal, or enclosure, or encapsulation of lead based paint and lead dust hazards from an eligible residence.
- The removal or replacement of surfaces or fixtures within the eligible residence.
- The removal or covering of soil lead hazards up to the eligible residence property line, and
- All preparation, lab sampling analysis, clean up, disposal, and pre and post-abatement paint, dust, soil and clearance testing activities associated with such measures including pre and post-water sampling.

Eligible properties include owner-occupied, rental, and residential structures that an eligible individual inhabits or visits regularly (e.g. home of a family member, relative, or other informal child care where a child often visits) that are not behind on state property taxes. Once work has started on an eligible property, all surfaces and fixtures that have been identified as a lead hazard will be abated. Eligible surfaces for abatement activities include all structural components identified during an environmental investigation or the lead inspection/risk assessment as hazards including but not limited to: all window

components, door and door frames, stairs, interior walls and ceilings, painted cabinets, interior railings, painted floors, exterior porches, exterior painted siding, exterior windows and trim, exterior trim boards, exterior painted siding, trim and doors on garages and other structures, and soil. Eligible fixtures includes all interior plumbing components with the general exception of the interior water meter, which will be addressed in accordance with each community's coordinated plan.

For the purposes of this request, abatement does not include any of the following:

- Work that does not reduce a lead hazard.
- Work not performed by a licensed lead abatement professional
- Work that is not the responsibility of the property owner or landlord outside the confines of the property lines.
- Work on dwellings that do not have an eligible Medicaid or CHIP individual, under the age of 19, or pregnant women residing or frequently visiting the structure.
- Work to replace the main water service line connecting home to city water

#### Provision of Abatement Services

For this health services initiative, abatement activities would only be permissible for federal funds if the services are delivered to properties that a Medicaid or CHIP-eligible individual, under the age of 19, or pregnant woman is currently residing or visited regularly. Services may be rendered to the physical structure and include the surrounding land up to the property line, and would be coordinated with any lead service line removal that occurs outside of the property line. This SPA will be in effect for five years from the effective date or until all homes included in the scope of this SPA have been abated for lead.

The State will ensure that eligible properties in the impacted areas of East Chicago, Indiana receive priority status. Upon approval of this health services initiative, outreach will begin to identify eligible properties and engage affected beneficiaries in East Chicago. The State will concurrently begin identifying other high-risk communities within Indiana that will be targeted for health services initiative-approved abatement activities. Target communities will be selected based on the following criteria:

- Number/percentage of population under age 6 with an elevated blood lead level of  $\geq 5$  ug/dL;
- Percentage of population that is low-income;
- Number/percentage of pre-1978 and pre-1940 housing stock; and
- Other social determinant factors (e.g. unemployment rate, number/percentage of children receiving state assistance and housing conditions).

Medicaid or CHIP-eligible beneficiaries that have a blood lead level  $\geq 5$  ug/dL in non-target areas will also be eligible for abatement activities.

In East Chicago, abatement services on eligible properties must be coordinated with the ongoing state and federal efforts.

Individuals performing abatement services must be properly licensed by the state. Only a person licensed by Indiana State Department of Health (“ISDH”) as a lead abatement contractor may perform lead abatement activities in accordance with state law. A contractor is defined as an individual who has been trained by an accredited training program and licensed by ISDH to supervise and conduct lead abatement services and to prepare occupant protection plans and abatement reports. A lead abatement contractor is required for each lead abatement job, and must be present at the job site while all abatement work is being done. This requirement includes set up and clean up time. The lead abatement contractor must ensure that all abatement work is done within the limits of federal, state, and local laws.

A lead abatement worker is an individual who has been trained to perform abatements by an accredited training program and who is licensed by ISDH to perform lead abatement. Professionals licensed by ISDH are issued a card containing the person’s picture, name, certification number, and expiration date. All licensed professionals must work for an ISDH licensed lead abatement company. The abatement company and its employees must use abatement methods approved by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and/or the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and in accordance with state laws and regulations.

The department may certify entities who meet the professional requirements for lead service line removal and have been contracted to do such work under an approved coordinated plan.

For the purposes of this health services initiative, the state requests funding to supplement the training of individuals in lead abatement. Individual training for certification/licensure must occur through an accredited training program specific to lead. These funds will ensure that access to appropriate levels of lead professionals are available to mitigate lead risks in a timely manner.

Lastly, the state requests funding to supplement the administrative functions necessary to successfully implement this CHIP health services initiative. The funding received for this request will supplement but not supplant other federal funding sources for lead abatement or the training/credentialing process of inspectors.

### Post-Abatement Activities

ISDH recognizes that abatement activities would only be eligible for federal assistance when performance of these activities can be demonstrated to be effective in abating all identified lead hazards. State and federal laws dictate that a clearance test must be performed after any lead abatement work is finished to verify the work area is safe enough for the eligible resident(s) to return. On the inside of a house or apartment the dust is tested to confirm that abatement work has not created lead dust hazards that can poison young children, other occupants, or pets living in the building as defined in state law. Only a licensed lead inspector or risk assessor, who is independent of the abatement company, may perform clearance testing after abatement work is completed. A licensed inspector is defined as an individual who has been trained by an accredited training program and licensed by ISDH to conduct inspections and take samples for the presence of lead in paint, dust and soil for the purpose of abatement clearance testing. A licensed risk assessor is defined as an individual who has been trained by an



accredited training program and licensed by ISDH to conduct inspections and risk assessments and to take samples for the presence of lead in paint, dust and soil for the purpose of abatement clearance testing.

During the clearance testing, an interior visual inspection is done to see if the identified lead hazards have been abated. These professionals also inspect for the presence of any visible dust or paint chips. If any problems are found the abatement supervisor must resolve all of them before the clearance testing may continue. After the visual inspection passes, the lead inspector or risk assessor must take dust wipe samples that are sent to a lab for analysis. Clearance dust samples must be taken from the floors, windowsills, and window troughs in the rooms where work was done. At least one sample must be taken from outside the work area if containment was used and from each unique passage way. If no containment was used, then dust wipe samples may be taken in any room. A floor and a window in at least four rooms must be sampled. The samples must be tested for lead by an EPA approved lab. After exterior paint abatement work is completed, an Inspector or Risk Assessor must perform a visual inspection of the outdoor work area ensure that the lead hazards were properly addressed. The lead inspector or lead risk assessor will then look for any paint chips on the ground including the foundation of the house, garage, or below any exterior surface abated. If paint chips are present, the abatement company must remove the chips and debris from the site and properly dispose of them before the clearance can be finished. No dust wipe clearance testing is required for abatement on the exterior of a house or rental property.

#### Metrics/Reporting Requirements

The state believes that this health services initiative will abate identified lead hazards from the homes and improve the health of Medicaid and CHIP eligible individuals, both in East Chicago and throughout Indiana. Providing for enhancement and expansion of the lead hazard removal program will reduce the potential for ongoing exposure or re-exposure to lead hazards for the eligible population and future populations. A publicly-available housing registry of these ameliorated properties will be maintained by the state.

Key Metrics the state will track and report to CMS monthly or at another approved interval include:

- Number of houses identified with high levels of lead hazards in each of the targeted area(s)
- The number of homes in each of the targeted areas scheduled for lead hazard abatement.
- The number of homes in each of the targeted areas in which lead hazard abatement has occurred.
  - Number of houses abated for pregnant women.
  - Number of houses abated for CHIP or Medicaid children under the age of 19.
- Record of actual services provided in each house.
- Clearance testing results.

- Percentage of children receiving blood lead testing under EPSDT statewide and in the areas targeted by this health services initiative.
- Percentage of children with elevated blood lead levels statewide and in the areas by this health services initiative.

The results of the clearance testing will be maintained by the state. These testing results will have numbers with units of measurement; the units are different for dust and soil. EPA and HUD regulations define clearance lead levels with the values and units of measurement shown in the table below.

<b>Material Tested</b>	<b>Considered hazardous if lead is present at or above these levels*</b>
House dust (floors)	At or above 40 micrograms of lead per square foot of sampled area (ug/ft <sup>2</sup> )
House dust (window sills)	At or above 250 ug/ft <sup>2</sup> of lead
House dust (window troughs)	At or above 400 ug/ft <sup>2</sup> of lead
Paint tested by an X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) analyzer	equal to or more than 1.0 milligrams per square centimeter (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> ) of lead on a deteriorated sampled surface or an elevated dust wipe sample corresponding to the lead surface
Paint tested by paint chip analysis	equal to or more than 0.5% (one half of 1 percent) lead by dry weight, or equal to or more than 5,000 parts per million of lead in paint (ppm)
Water	At or above 15 PPB

*\* All levels indicated in the table above will be utilized until and unless more stringent guidelines are promulgated at the state or federal level.*

The state assures that this health services initiative will not supplant or match CHIP federal funds with other federal funds, nor allow other federal funds to supplant or match CHIP federal funds.

**2.3-TC Tribal Consultation Requirements-** (Sections 1902(a)(73) and 2107(e)(1)(C)); (ARRA #2, CHIPRA #3, issued May 28, 2009) Section 1902(a)(73) of the Social Security Act (the Act) requires a State in which one or more Indian Health Programs or Urban Indian Organizations furnish health care services to establish a process for the State Medicaid agency to seek advice on a regular, ongoing basis from designees of Indian health programs, whether operated by the Indian Health Service (IHS), Tribes or Tribal organizations under the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (ISDEAA), or Urban Indian Organizations under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (IHCIA). Section 2107(e)(1)(C) of the Act was also amended to apply these requirements to the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP). Consultation is required concerning Medicaid and CHIP matters having a direct impact on Indian health

programs and Urban Indian organizations.

Describe the process the State uses to seek advice on a regular, ongoing basis from federally-recognized tribes, Indian Health Programs and Urban Indian Organizations on matters related to Medicaid and CHIP programs and for consultation on State Plan Amendments, waiver proposals, waiver extensions, waiver amendments, waiver renewals and proposals for demonstration projects prior to submission to CMS. Include information about the frequency, inclusiveness and process for seeking such advice.

### **Section 3. Methods of Delivery and Utilization Controls**

- ☐ Check here if the State elects to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded eligibility under the State's Medicaid plan, and continue on to Section 4 (Eligibility Standards and Methodology).

Guidance: In Section 3.1, describe all delivery methods the State will use to provide services to enrollees, including: (1) contracts with managed care organizations (MCO), prepaid inpatient health plans (PIHP), prepaid ambulatory health plans (PAHP), primary care case management entities (PCCM entities), and primary care case managers (PCCM); (2) contracts with indemnity health insurance plans; (3) fee-for-service (FFS) paid by the State to health care providers; and (4) any other arrangements for health care delivery. The State should describe any variations based upon geography and by population (including the conception to birth population). States must submit the managed care contract(s) to CMS' Regional Office for review.

## **3.1. Delivery Systems (Section 2102(a)(4)) (42 CFR 457.490; Part 457, Subpart L)**

### **3.1.1 Choice of Delivery System**

**3.1.1.1** Does the State use a managed care delivery system for its CHIP populations? Managed care entities include MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCM entities and PCCMs as defined in 42 CFR 457.10. Please check the box and answer the questions below that apply to your State.

- ☐ No, the State does not use a managed care delivery system for any CHIP populations.
- ☐ Yes, the State uses a managed care delivery system for all CHIP populations.
- ☒ Yes, the State uses a managed care delivery system; however, only some of the CHIP population is included in the managed care

delivery system and some of the CHIP population is included in a fee-for-service system.

If the State uses a managed care delivery system for only some of its CHIP populations and a fee-for-service system for some of its CHIP populations, please describe which populations are, and which are not, included in the State's managed care delivery system for CHIP. States will be asked to specify which managed care entities are used by the State in its managed care delivery system below in Section 3.1.2.

- Population utilizing a managed care delivery system:

Indiana adopted to implement the “combination” approach similar to 20 other states. The combination consists of CHIP Package A and CHIP Package C enrollees.

CHIP Package A covers uninsured children in families with incomes up to 158 percent of the Federal Poverty Limit (FPL) who are not already eligible for Medicaid.

CHIP Package C covers uninsured children in families with incomes from 158 percent up to 250 percent FPL.

- Population not utilizing a managed care delivery system:

Indiana has two (2) 1915(i) State Plan Home and Community-Based Service programs that impact children who may otherwise have been included in CHIP: Behavioral and Primary Healthcare Coordination (BPHC) and Children's Mental Health Wraparound (CMHW). All children, including the separate CHIP population, who are enrolled either of these programs are not utilizing managed care and instead the State fiscal agent pays on a fee-for-service basis.

Guidance:     Utilization control systems are those administrative mechanisms that are designed to ensure that enrollees receiving health care services under the State plan receive only appropriate and medically necessary health care consistent with the benefit package.

Examples of utilization control systems include, but are not limited to: requirements for referrals to specialty care; requirements that clinicians use clinical practice guidelines; or demand management systems (e.g., use of an 800 number for after-hours and urgent care). In addition, the State should describe its plans for review, coordination, and implementation of utilization controls, addressing both procedures and State developed

standards for review, in order to assure that necessary care is delivered in a cost-effective and efficient manner. (42 CFR 457.490(b))

If the State does not use a managed care delivery system for any or some of its CHIP populations, describe the methods of delivery of the child health assistance using Title XXI funds to targeted low-income children. Include a description of:

- The methods for assuring delivery of the insurance products and delivery of health care services covered by such products to the enrollees, including any variations. (Section 2102(a)(4); 42 CFR 457.490(a))
- The utilization control systems designed to ensure that enrollees receiving health care services under the State plan receive only appropriate and medically necessary health care consistent with the benefit package described in the approved State plan. (Section 2102(a)(4); 42 CFR 457.490(b))

Guidance: Only States that use a managed care delivery system for all or some CHIP populations need to answer the remaining questions under Section 3 (starting with 3.1.1.2). If the State uses a managed care delivery system for only some of its CHIP population, the State's responses to the following questions will only apply to those populations.

**3.1.1.2** Do any of your CHIP populations that receive services through a managed care delivery system receive any services outside of a managed care delivery system?

- ☐ No  
☒ Yes

If yes, please describe which services are carved out of your managed care delivery system and how the State provides these services to an enrollee, such as through fee-for-service. Examples of carved out services may include transportation and dental, among others.

In Indiana, there are four carved-out categories of services from managed care. Those services are: Medicaid Rehabilitation Option (MRO) Services; 1915(i) State Plan Home and Community-Based Services; Individualized Family Services Plan (IFSP); and Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Services. In each case, the State fiscal agent pays on a fee-for-service basis for carved-out services rendered to the member.

### **3.1.2 Use of a Managed Care Delivery System for All or Some of the State's CHIP Populations**

**3.1.2.1**

Check each of the types of entities below that the State will contract with under its managed care delivery system, and select and/or explain the method(s) of payment that the State will use:

- ☒ Managed care organization (MCO) (42 CFR 457.10)  
☒ Capitation payment  
 Describe population served:

Eligibility for CHIP depends on the child's age as well as the family's income. MCHIP (Package A) is the entitlement portion of the program and was put in place at the beginning of the program. SCHIP (Package C) is the name of the non-entitlement portion of the program. SCHIP was introduced in two phases (Package C original and Package C expansion).

Age	CHIP Package A* (began 1998)	CHIP Package C (began 2000)	CHIP Package C Expansion (began 2008)
Up to age 1**	158 – 208% FPL		208 – 250% FPL
1 - 5	141 – 158% FPL	158 – 200% FPL	200 – 250% FPL
6 – 18	106 – 158% FPL	158 – 200% FPL	200 – 250% FPL

\*Includes children without any other insurance; otherwise, child is considered Medicaid eligible.

\*\*Newborns below 208% of FPL are considered eligible for Medicaid

- ☐ Prepaid inpatient health plan (PIHP) (42 CFR 457.10)  
☐ Capitation payment  
☐ Other (please explain)  
 Describe population served:

Guidance: If the State uses prepaid ambulatory health plan(s) (PAHP) to exclusively provide non-emergency medical transportation (a NEMT PAHP), the State should not check the following box for that plan. Instead, complete section 3.1.3 for the NEMT PAHP.

- ☐ Prepaid ambulatory health plan (PAHP) (42 CFR 457.10)  
☐ Capitation payment  
☐ Other (please explain)

Describe population served:

- ☐ Primary care case manager (PCCM) (individual practitioners) (42 CFR 457.10)
  - ☐ Case management fee
  - ☐ Other (please explain)
- ☐ Primary care case management entity (PCCM Entity) (42 CFR 457.10)
  - ☐ Case management fee
  - ☐ Shared savings, incentive payments, and/or other financial rewards for improved quality outcomes (see 42 CFR 457.1240(f))
  - ☐ Other (please explain)

If PCCM entity is selected, please indicate which of the following function(s) the entity will provide (as described in 42 CFR 457.10), in addition to PCCM services:

- ☐ Provision of intensive telephonic case management
- ☐ Provision of face-to-face case management
- ☐ Operation of a nurse triage advice line
- ☐ Development of enrollee care plans
- ☐ Execution of contracts with fee-for-service (FFS) providers in the FFS program
- ☐ Oversight responsibilities for the activities of FFS providers in the FFS program
- ☐ Provision of payments to FFS providers on behalf of the State
- ☐ Provision of enrollee outreach and education activities
- ☐ Operation of a customer service call center
- ☐ Review of provider claims, utilization and/or practice patterns to conduct provider profiling and/or practice improvement
- ☐ Implementation of quality improvement activities including administering enrollee satisfaction surveys or collecting data necessary for performance measurement of providers
- ☐ Coordination with behavioral health systems/providers
- ☐ Other (please describe)

- 3.1.2.2** ☐ The State assures that if its contract with an MCO, PAHP, or PIHP allows the entity to use a physician incentive plan, the contract stipulates that the entity must comply with the requirements set forth in 42 CFR 422.208 and 422.210. (42 CFR 457.1201(h), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.3(i))

### **3.1.3 Nonemergency Medical Transportation PAHPs**

Guidance: Only complete Section 3.1.3 if the State uses a PAHP to exclusively provide non-emergency medical transportation (a NEMT PAHP). If a NEMT PAHP is the only managed care entity for CHIP in the State, please continue to Section 4 after checking the assurance below. If the State uses a PAHP that does not exclusively provide NEMT and/or uses other managed care entities beyond a NEMT PAHP, the State will need to complete the remaining sections within Section 3.

- ☐ The State assures that it complies with all requirements applicable to NEMT PAHPs, and through its contracts with such entities, requires NEMT PAHPs to comply with all applicable requirements, including the following (from 42 CFR 457.1206(b)):
- All contract provisions in 42 CFR 457.1201 except those set forth in 42 CFR 457.1201(h) (related to physician incentive plans) and 42 CFR 457.1201(l) (related to mental health parity).
  - The information requirements in 42 CFR 457.1207 (see Section 3.5 below for more details).
  - The provision against provider discrimination in 42 CFR 457.1208.
  - The State responsibility provisions in 42 CFR 457.1212 (about disenrollment), 42 CFR 457.1214 (about conflict of interest safeguards), and 42 CFR 438.62(a), as cross-referenced in 42 CFR 457.1216 (about continued services to enrollees).
  - The provisions on enrollee rights and protections in 42 CFR 457.1220, 457.1222, 457.1224, and 457.1226.
  - The PAHP standards in 42 CFR 438.206(b)(1), as cross-referenced by 42 CFR 457.1230(a) (about availability of services), 42 CFR 457.1230(d) (about coverage and authorization of services), and 42 CFR 457.1233(a), (b) and (d) (about structure and operation standards).
  - An enrollee's right to a State review under subpart K of 42 CFR 457.
  - Prohibitions against affiliations with individuals debarred or excluded by Federal agencies in 42 CFR 438.610, as cross referenced by 42 CFR 457.1285.
  - Requirements relating to contracts involving Indians, Indian Health Care Providers, and Indian managed care entities in 42 CFR 457.1209.

## **3.2. General Managed Care Contract Provisions**

- 3.2.1** ☒ The State assures that it provides for free and open competition, to the maximum extent practical, in the bidding of all procurement contracts for coverage or other services, including external quality review organizations, in accordance with the procurement requirements of 45 CFR part 75, as applicable. (42 CFR 457.940(b); 42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.356(e))



- 3.2.2 ☒ The State assures that it will include provisions in all managed care contracts that define a sound and complete procurement contract, as required by 45 CFR part 75, as applicable. (42 CFR 457.940(c))
- 3.2.3 ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, and PCCM entity complies with any applicable Federal and State laws that pertain to enrollee rights, and ensures that its employees and contract providers observe and protect those rights (42 CFR 457.1220, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.100). These Federal and State laws include: Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (45 CFR part 80), Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (45 CFR part 91), Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Titles II and III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, and section 1557 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.
- 3.2.4 ☒ The State assures that it operates a Web site that provides the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, and PCCM entity contracts. (42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(c)(3))

### 3.3 Rate Development Standards and Medical Loss Ratio

- 3.3.1 The State assures that its payment rates are:
- ☒ Based on public or private payment rates for comparable services for comparable populations; and
  - ☒ Consistent with actuarially sound principles as defined in 42 CFR 457.10. (42 CFR 457.1203(a))

Guidance: States that checked both boxes under 3.3.1 above do not need to make the next assurance. If the state is unable to check both boxes under 3.1.1 above, the state must check the next assurance.

- ☐ If the State is unable to meet the requirements under 42 CFR 457.1203(a), the State attests that it must establish higher rates because such rates are necessary to ensure sufficient provider participation or provider access or to enroll providers who demonstrate exceptional efficiency or quality in the provision of services. (42 CFR 457.1203(b))

- 3.3.2 ☒ The State assures that its rates are designed to reasonably achieve a medical loss ratio standard equal to at least 85 percent for the rate year and provide for reasonable administrative costs. (42 CFR 457.1203(c))
- 3.3.3 ☒ The State assures that it will provide to CMS, if requested by CMS, a description of the manner in which rates were developed in accordance with the requirements of 42 CFR 457.1203(a) through (c). (42 CFR 457.1203(d))

**3.3.4** ☒ The State assures that it annually submits to CMS a summary description of the reports pertaining to the medical loss ratio received from the MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs. (42 CFR 457.1203(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.74(a))

**3.3.5** Does the State require an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to pay remittances through the contract for not meeting the minimum MLR required by the State? (42 CFR 457.1203(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.74(b)(1))

☐ No, the State does not require any MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to pay remittances.

☒ Yes, the State requires all MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs to pay remittances.

☐ Yes, the State requires some, but not all, MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs to pay remittances.

If the State requests some, but not all, MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs to pay remittances through the contract for not meeting the minimum MLR required by the State, please describe which types of managed care entities are and are not required to pay remittances. For example, if a state requires a medical MCO to pay a remittances but not a dental PAHP, please include this information.

If the answer to the assurance above is yes for any or all managed care entities, please answer the next assurance:

☒ The State assures that if a remittance is owed by an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to the State, the State:

- Reimburses CMS for an amount equal to the Federal share of the remittance, taking into account applicable differences in the Federal matching rate; and
- Submits a separate report describing the methodology used to determine the State and Federal share of the remittance with the annual report provided to CMS that summarizes the reports received from the MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs. (42 CFR 457.1203(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.74(b))

**3.3.6** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP calculates and reports the medical loss ratio in accordance with 42 CFR 438.8. (42 CFR 457.1203(f))

## **3.4 Enrollment**

☒ The State assures that its contracts with MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, and PCCM entities provide that the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity:

- Accepts individuals eligible for enrollment in the order in which they apply without restriction (unless authorized by CMS), up to the limits set under the contract (42 CFR 457.1201(d), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.3(d)(1));
- Will not, on the basis of health status or need for health care services, discriminate against individuals eligible to enroll (42 CFR 457.1201(d), cross-referencing to 42

CFR 438.3(d)(3)); and

- Will not discriminate against individuals eligible to enroll on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or disability and will not use any policy or practice that has the effect of discriminating on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity or disability. (42 CFR 457.1201(d), cross-referencing to 438.3(d)(4))

### **3.4.1 Enrollment Process**

**3.4.1.1** ☒ The State assures that it provides informational notices to potential enrollees in an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity that includes the available managed care entities, explains how to select an entity, explains the implications of making or not making an active choice of an entity, explains the length of the enrollment period as well as the disenrollment policies, and complies with the information requirements in 42 CFR 457.1207 and accessibility standards established under 42 CFR 457.340. (42 CFR 457.1210(c))

**3.4.1.2** ☒ The State assures that its enrollment system gives beneficiaries already enrolled in an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity priority to continue that enrollment if the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity does not have the capacity to accept all those seeking enrollment under the program. (42 CFR 457.1210(b))

**3.4.1.3** Does the State use a default enrollment process to assign beneficiaries to an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity? (42 CFR 457.1210(a))

☒ Yes

☐ No

If the State uses a default enrollment process, please make the following assurances:

☒ The State assigns beneficiaries only to qualified MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, and PCCM entities that are not subject to the intermediate sanction of having suspension of all new enrollment (including default enrollment) under 42 CFR 438.702 and have capacity to enroll beneficiaries. (42 CFR 457.1210(a)(1)(i))

☒ The State maximizes continuation of existing provider-beneficiary relationships under 42 CFR 457.1210(a)(1)(ii) or if that is not possible, distributes the beneficiaries equitably and does not arbitrarily exclude any MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity from being considered. (42 CFR 457.1210(a)(1)(ii), 42 CFR 457.1210(a)(1)(iii))

### 3.4.2 Disenrollment

- 3.4.2.1 ☒ The State assures that the State will notify enrollees of their right to disenroll consistent with the requirements of 42 CFR 438.56 at least annually. (42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(f)(2))
- 3.4.2.2 ☒ The State assures that the effective date of an approved disenrollment, regardless of the procedure followed to request the disenrollment, will be no later than the first day of the second month following the month in which the enrollee requests disenrollment or the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity refers the request to the State. (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 438.56(e)(1))
- 3.4.2.3 ☒ If a beneficiary disenrolls from an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity, the State assures that the beneficiary is provided the option to enroll in another plan or receive benefits from an alternative delivery system. (Section 2103(f)(3) of the Social Security Act, incorporating section 1932(a)(4); 42 CFR 457.1212, cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.56; State Health Official Letter #09-008)

#### 3.4.2.4 MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM Entity Requests for Disenrollment.

- ☒ The State assures that contracts with MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs and PCCM entities describe the reasons for which an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM entity may request disenrollment of an enrollee, if any. (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(b))

Guidance: Reasons for disenrollment by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, and PCCM entity must be specified in the contract with the State. Reasons for disenrollment may not include an adverse change in the enrollee's health status, or because of the enrollee's utilization of medical services, diminished mental capacity, or uncooperative or disruptive behavior resulting from his or her special needs (except when his or her continued enrollment in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity seriously impairs the entity's ability to furnish services to either this particular enrollee or other enrollees). (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(b)(2))

#### 3.4.2.5 Enrollee Requests for Disenrollment.

Guidance: The State may also choose to limit disenrollment from the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity, except for either: 1) for cause, at any

time; or 2) without cause during the latter of the 90 days after the beneficiary's initial enrollment or the State sends the beneficiary notice of that enrollment, at least once every 12 months, upon reenrollment if the temporary loss of CHIP eligibility caused the beneficiary to miss the annual disenrollment opportunity, or when the State imposes the intermediate sanction specified in 42 CFR 438.702(a)(4). (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(c))

Does the State limit disenrollment from an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM entity by an enrollee? (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(c))

- ☒ Yes  
☐ No

If the State limits disenrollment by the enrollee from an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM entity, please make the following assurances (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(c)):

- ☒ The State assures that enrollees and their representatives are given written notice of disenrollment rights at least 60 days before the start of each enrollment period. (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(f)(1))
- ☒ The State assures that beneficiary requests to disenroll for cause will be permitted at any time by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity. (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(c)(1) and (d)(2))
- ☒ The State assures that beneficiary requests for disenrollment without cause will be permitted by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity at the following times:
- During the 90 days following the date of the beneficiary's initial enrollment into the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity, or during the 90 days following the date the State sends the beneficiary notice of that enrollment, whichever is later;
  - At least once every 12 months thereafter;
  - If the State plan provides for automatic reenrollment for an individual who loses CHIP eligibility for a period of 2 months or less and the temporary loss of CHIP eligibility has caused the beneficiary to miss the annual disenrollment opportunity; and
  - When the State imposes the intermediate sanction on the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity specified in 42 CFR 438.702(a)(4). (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(c)(2))

- 3.4.2.6** ☒ The State assures that the State ensures timely access to a State review for any enrollee dissatisfied with a State agency determination that there is not

good cause for disenrollment. (42 CFR 457.1212, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.56(f)(2))

### **3.5 Information Requirements for Enrollees and Potential Enrollees**

- 3.5.1** ☒ The State assures that it provides, or ensures its contracted MCOs, PAHPs, PIHPs, PCCMs and PCCM entities provide, all enrollment notices, informational materials, and instructional materials related to enrollees and potential enrollees in accordance with the terms of 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10.
- 3.5.2** ☒ The State assures that all required information provided to enrollees and potential enrollees are in a manner and format that may be easily understood and is readily accessible by such enrollees and potential enrollees. (42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(c)(1))
- 3.5.3** ☒ The State assures that it operates a Web site that provides the content specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(g)-(i) either directly or by linking to individual MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity Web sites.
- 3.5.4** ☒ The State assures that it has developed and requires each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity to use:
- Definitions for the terms specified under 42 CFR 438.10(c)(4)(i), and
  - Model enrollee handbooks, and model enrollee notices. (42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(c)(4))
- 3.5.5** ☒ If the State, MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs or PCCM entities provide the information required under 42 CFR 457.1207 electronically, check this box to confirm that the State assures that it meets the requirements under 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(c)(6) for providing the material in an accessible manner. Including that:
- The format is readily accessible;
  - The information is placed in a location on the State, MCO's, PIHP's, PAHP's, or PCCM's, or PCCM entity's Web site that is prominent and readily accessible;
  - The information is provided in an electronic form which can be electronically retained and printed;
  - The information is consistent with the content and language requirements in 42 CFR 438.10; and
  - The enrollee is informed that the information is available in paper form without charge upon request and is provided the information upon request within 5 business days.

**3.5.6** ☒

The State assures that it meets the language and format requirements set forth in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(d), including but not limited to:

- Establishing a methodology that identifies the prevalent non-English languages spoken by enrollees and potential enrollees throughout the State, and in each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity service area;
- Making oral interpretation available in all languages and written translation available in each prevalent non-English language;
- Requiring each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, and PCCM entity to make its written materials that are critical to obtaining services available in the prevalent non-English languages in its particular service area;
- Making interpretation services available to each potential enrollee and requiring each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, and PCCM entity to make those services available free of charge to each enrollee; and
- Notifying potential enrollees, and requiring each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, and PCCM entity to notify its enrollees:
  - That oral interpretation is available for any language and written translation is available in prevalent languages;
  - That auxiliary aids and services are available upon request and at no cost for enrollees with disabilities; and
  - How to access the services in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.10(d)(5)(i) and (ii).

**3.5.7** ☒

The State assures that the State or its contracted representative provides the information specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 438.10(e)(2), and includes the information either in paper or electronic format, to all potential enrollees at the time the potential enrollee becomes eligible to enroll in a voluntary managed care program or is first required to enroll in a mandatory managed care program and within a timeframe that enables the potential enrollee to use the information to choose among the available MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs and PCCM entities:

- Information about the potential enrollee's right to disenroll consistent with the requirements of 42 CFR 438.56 and which explains clearly the process for exercising this disenrollment right, as well as the alternatives available to the potential enrollee based on their specific circumstance;
- The basic features of managed care;
- Which populations are excluded from enrollment in managed care, subject to mandatory enrollment, or free to enroll voluntarily in the program;
- The service area covered by each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity;
- Covered benefits including:
  - Which benefits are provided by the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP; and which, if

- any, benefits are provided directly by the State; and
  - For a counseling or referral service that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP does not cover because of moral or religious objections, where and how to obtain the service;
- The provider directory and formulary information required in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(h) and (i);
- Any cost-sharing for the enrollee that will be imposed by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity consistent with those set forth in the State plan;
- The requirements for each MCO, PIHP or PAHP to provide adequate access to covered services, including the network adequacy standards established in 42 CFR 457.1218, cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.68;
- The MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM entity's responsibilities for coordination of enrollee care; and
- To the extent available, quality and performance indicators for each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity, including enrollee satisfaction.

**3.5.8** ☒ The State assures that it will provide the information specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(f) to all enrollees of MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs and PCCM entities, including that the State must notify all enrollees of their right to disenroll consistent with the requirements of 42 CFR 438.56 at least annually.

**3.5.9** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity will provide the information specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(f) to all enrollees of MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs and PCCM entities, including that:

- The MCO, PIHP, PAHP and, when appropriate, the PCCM entity, must make a good faith effort to give written notice of termination of a contracted provider within the timeframe specified in 42 CFR 438.10(f), and
- The MCO, PIHP, PAHP and, when appropriate, the PCCM entity must make available, upon request, any physician incentive plans in place as set forth in 42 CFR 438.3(i).

**3.5.10** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity will provide enrollees of that MCO, PIHP, PAHP or PCCM entity an enrollee handbook that meets the requirements as applicable to the MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity, specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(g)(1)-(2), within a reasonable time after receiving notice of the beneficiary's enrollment, by a method consistent with 42 CFR 438.10(g)(3), and including the following items:

- Information that enables the enrollee to understand how to effectively use the managed care program, which, at a minimum, must include:
  - Benefits provided by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP or PCCM entity;



- How and where to access any benefits provided by the State, including any cost sharing, and how transportation is provided; and
- In the case of a counseling or referral service that the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity does not cover because of moral or religious objections, the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity must inform enrollees that the service is not covered by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity and how they can obtain information from the State about how to access these services;
- The amount, duration, and scope of benefits available under the contract in sufficient detail to ensure that enrollees understand the benefits to which they are entitled;
- Procedures for obtaining benefits, including any requirements for service authorizations and/or referrals for specialty care and for other benefits not furnished by the enrollee's primary care provider;
- The extent to which, and how, after-hours and emergency coverage are provided, including:
  - What constitutes an emergency medical condition and emergency services;
  - The fact that prior authorization is not required for emergency services; and
  - The fact that, subject to the provisions of this section, the enrollee has a right to use any hospital or other setting for emergency care;
- Any restrictions on the enrollee's freedom of choice among network providers;
- The extent to which, and how, enrollees may obtain benefits, including family planning services and supplies from out-of-network providers;
- Cost sharing, if any is imposed under the State plan;
- Enrollee rights and responsibilities, including the elements specified in 42 CFR §438.100;
- The process of selecting and changing the enrollee's primary care provider;
- Grievance, appeal, and review procedures and timeframes, consistent with 42 CFR 457.1260, in a State-developed or State-approved description, including:
  - The right to file grievances and appeals;
  - The requirements and timeframes for filing a grievance or appeal;
  - The availability of assistance in the filing process; and
  - The right to request a State review after the MCO, PIHP or PAHP has made a determination on an enrollee's appeal which is adverse to the enrollee;
- How to access auxiliary aids and services, including additional information in alternative formats or languages;

- The toll-free telephone number for member services, medical management, and any other unit providing services directly to enrollees; and
- Information on how to report suspected fraud or abuse.

- 3.5.11** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity will give each enrollee notice of any change that the State defines as significant in the information specified in the enrollee handbook at least 30 days before the intended effective date of the change. (42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(g)(4))
- 3.5.12** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and when appropriate, PCCM entity, will make available a provider directory for the MCO's, PIHP's, PAHP's or PCCM entity's network providers, including for physicians (including specialists), hospitals, pharmacies, and behavioral health providers, that includes information as specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(h)(1)-(2) and (4).
- 3.5.13** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and when appropriate, PCCM entity, will update any information included in a paper provider directory at least monthly and in an electronic provider directories as specified in 42 CFR 438.10(h)(3). (42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(h)(3))
- 3.5.14** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and when appropriate, PCCM entity, will make available the MCO's, PIHP's, PAHP's, or PCCM entity's formulary that meets the requirements specified in 42 CFR 457.1207, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.10(i), including:
- Which medications are covered (both generic and name brand); and
  - What tier each medication is on.
- 3.5.15** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM entity follows the requirements for marketing activities under 42 CFR 457.1224, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.104 (except 42 CFR 438.104(c)).

Guidance: Requirements for marketing activities include, but are not limited to, that the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity does not distribute any marketing materials without first obtaining State approval; distributes the materials to its entire service areas as indicated in the contract; does not seek to influence enrollment in conjunction with the sale or offering of any private insurance; and does not, directly or indirectly, engage in door-to-door, telephone, email, texting, or other cold-call marketing activities. (42 CFR 104(b))

Guidance: Only States with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to answer the remaining assurances in Section 3.5 (3.5.16 through 3.5.18).

**3.5.16** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP and PAHP protects communications between providers and enrollees under 42 CFR 457.1222, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.102.

**3.5.17** ☒ The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs have arrangements and procedures that prohibit the MCO, PIHP, and PAHP from conducting any unsolicited personal contact with a potential enrollee by an employee or agent of the MCO, PAHP, or PIHP for the purpose of influencing the individual to enroll with the entity. (42 CFR 457.1280(b)(2))

Guidance: States should also complete Section 3.9, which includes additional provisions about the notice procedures for grievances and appeals.

**3.5.18** ☒ The State assures that each contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHP comply with the notice requirements specified for grievances and appeals in accordance with the terms of 42 CFR 438, Subpart F, except that the terms of 42 CFR 438.420 do not apply and that references to reviews should be read to refer to reviews as described in 42 CFR 457, Subpart K. (42 CFR 457.1260)

## **3.6 Benefits and Services**

Guidance: The State should also complete Section 3.10 (Program Integrity).

**3.6.1** ☒ The State assures that MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM entity, and PCCM contracts involving Indians, Indian health care providers, and Indian managed care entities comply with the requirements of 42 CFR 438.14. (42 CFR 457.1209)

**3.6.2** ☒ The State assures that all services covered under the State plan are available and accessible to enrollees. (42 CFR 457.1230(a), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.206)

**3.6.3** ☒ The State assures that it:

- Publishes the State's network adequacy standards developed in accordance with 42 CFR 457.1218, cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.68(b)(1) on the Web site required by 42 CFR 438.10;
- Makes available, upon request, the State's network adequacy standards at no cost to enrollees with disabilities in alternate formats or through the provision of auxiliary aids and services. (42 CFR 457.1218, cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.68(e))

Guidance: Only States with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete the remaining assurances in Section 3.6 (3.6.4 through 3.6.20).

- 3.6.4** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PAHP and PIHP meet the State’s network adequacy standards. (42 CFR 457.1218, cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.68; 42 CFR 457.1230(a), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.206)
- 3.6.5** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP includes within its network of credentialed providers:
- A sufficient number of providers to provide adequate access to all services covered under the contract for all enrollees, including those with limited English proficiency or physical or mental disabilities;
  - Women’s health specialists to provide direct access to covered care necessary to provide women’s routine and preventative health care services for female enrollees; and
  - Family planning providers to ensure timely access to covered services. (42 CFR 457.1230(a), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.206(b))
- 3.6.6** ☒ The State assures that each contract under 42 CFR 457.1201 permits an enrollee to choose his or her network provider. (42 CFR 457.1201(j), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.3(l))
- 3.6.7** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP provides for a second opinion from a network provider, or arranges for the enrollee to obtain one outside the network, at no cost. (42 CFR 457.1230(a), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.206(b)(3))
- 3.6.8** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP ensures that providers, in furnishing services to enrollees, provide timely access to care and services, including by:
- Requiring the contract to adequately and timely cover out-of-network services if the provider network is unable to provide necessary services covered under the contract to a particular enrollee and at a cost to the enrollee that is no greater than if the services were furnished within the network;
  - Requiring the MCO, PIHP and PAHP meet and its network providers to meet State standards for timely access to care and services, taking into account the urgency of the need for services;
  - Ensuring that the hours of operation for a network provider are no less than the hours of operation offered to commercial enrollees or comparable to Medicaid or CHIP Fee-For-Service, if the provider serves only Medicaid or CHIP enrollees;
  - Ensuring that the MCO, PIHP and PAHP makes available services

include in the contract on a 24 hours a day, 7 days a week basis when medically necessary;

- Establishing mechanisms to ensure compliance by network providers;
- Monitoring network providers regularly to determine compliance;
- Taking corrective action if there is a failure to comply by a network provider. (42 CFR 457.1230(a), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.206(b)(4) and (5) and (c))

**3.6.9** ☒

The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP has the capacity to serve the expected enrollment in its service area in accordance with the State's standards for access to care. (42 CFR 457.1230(b), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.207)

**3.6.10** ☒

The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP will be required to submit documentation to the State, at the time of entering into a contract with the State, on an annual basis, and at any time there has been a significant change to the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP's operations that would affect the adequacy of capacity and services, to demonstrate that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP for the anticipated number of enrollees for the service area:

- Offers an appropriate range of preventative, primary care and specialty services; and
- Maintains a provider network that is sufficient in number, mix, and geographic distribution. (42 CFR 457.1230, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.207(b))

**3.6.11** ☒

Except that 42 CFR 438.210(a)(5) does not apply to CHIP, the State assures that its contracts with each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP comply with the coverage of services requirements under 42 CFR 438.210, including:

- Identifying, defining, and specifying the amount, duration, and scope of each service that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP is required to offer; and
- Permitting an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to place appropriate limits on a service. (42 CFR 457.1230(d), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.210(a) except that 438.210(a)(5) does not apply to CHIP contracts)

**3.6.12** ☒

Except that 438.210(b)(2)(iii) does not apply to CHIP, the State assures that its contracts with each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP comply with the authorization of services requirements under 42 CFR 438.210, including that:

- The MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and its subcontractors have in place and follow written policies and procedures;
- The MCO, PIHP, or PAHP have in place mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria and consult with the requesting provider when appropriate; and
- Any decision to deny a service authorization request or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested be

made by an individual with appropriate expertise in addressing the enrollee's medical, or behavioral health needs. (42 CFR 457.1230(d), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.210(b), except that 438.210(b)(2)(iii) does not apply to CHIP contracts)

- 3.6.13** ☒ The State assures that its contracts with each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP require each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to notify the requesting provider and given written notice to the enrollee of any adverse benefit determination to deny a service authorization request, or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested. (42 CFR 457.1230(d), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.210(c))
- 3.6.14** ☒ The State assures that its contracts with each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP provide that compensation to individuals or entities that conduct utilization management activities is not structured so as to provide incentives for the individual or entity to deny, limit, or discontinue medically necessary services to any enrollee. (42 CFR 457.1230(d), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.210(e))
- 3.6.15** ☒ The State assures that it has a transition of care policy that meets the requirements of 438.62(b)(1) and requires that each contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHP implements the policy. (42 CFR 457.1216, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.62)
- 3.6.16** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP has implemented procedures to deliver care to and coordinate services for all enrollees in accordance with 42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208, including:
- Ensure that each enrollee has an ongoing source of care appropriate to his or her needs;
  - Ensure that each enrollee has a person or entity formally designated as primarily responsible for coordinating the services accessed by the enrollee;
  - Provide the enrollee with information on how to contract their designated person or entity responsible for the enrollee's coordination of services;
  - Coordinate the services the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP furnishes to the enrollee between settings of care; with services from any other MCO, PIHP, or PAHP; with fee-for-service services; and with the services the enrollee receives from community and social support providers;
  - Make a best effort to conduct an initial screening of each enrollees needs within 90 days of the effective date of enrollment for all new enrollees;
  - Share with the State or other MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs serving the enrollee the results of any identification and assessment of the enrollee's needs;

- Ensure that each provider furnishing services to enrollees maintains and shares, as appropriate, an enrollee health record in accordance with professional standards; and
- Ensure that each enrollee's privacy is protected in the process of coordinating care is protected with the requirements of 45 CFR parts 160 and 164 subparts A and E. (42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208(b))

Guidance: For assurances 3.6.17 through 3.6.20, applicability to PIHPs and PAHPs is based on a determination by the State in relation to the scope of the entity's services and on the way the State has organized its delivery of managed care services, whether a particular PIHP or PAHP is required to implement the mechanisms for identifying, assessing, and producing a treatment plan for an individual with special health care needs. (42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208(a)(2))

- 3.6.17** ☒ The State assures that it has implemented mechanisms for identifying to MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs enrollees with special health care needs who are eligible for assessment and treatment services under 42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208(c) and included the mechanism in the State's quality strategy.
- 3.6.18** ☒ The State assures that each applicable MCO, PIHP, and PAHP implements the mechanisms to comprehensively assess each enrollee identified by the state as having special health care needs. (42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208(c)(2))
- 3.6.19** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP will produce a treatment or service plan that meets the following requirements for enrollees identified with special health care needs:
- Is in accordance with applicable State quality assurance and utilization review standards;
  - Reviewed and revised upon reassessment of functional need, at least every 12 months, or when the enrollee's circumstances or needs change significantly. (42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208(c)(3))
- 3.6.20** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP must have a mechanism in place to allow enrollees to directly access a specialist as appropriate for the enrollee's condition and identified needs for enrollees identified with special health care needs who need a course of treatment or regular care monitoring. (42 CFR 457.1230(c), cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.208(c)(4))

## 3.7 Operations

- 3.7.1** ☒ The State assures that it has established a uniform credentialing and recredentialing policy that addresses acute, primary, behavioral, and substance use disorders providers and requires each MCO, PIHP and PAHP to follow those policies. (42 CFR 457.1233(a), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.214(b)(1))

Guidance: Only States with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to answer the remaining assurances in Section 3.7 (3.7.2 through 3.7.9).

- 3.7.2** The State assures each contracted MCO, PIHP and PAHP will comply with the provider selection requirements in 42 CFR 457.1208 and 457.1233(a), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.12 and 438.214, including that:
- ☒ Each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP implements written policies and procedures for selection and retention of network providers (42 CFR 457.1233(a), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.214(a));
  - ☒ MCO, PIHP, and PAHP network provider selection policies and procedures do not discriminate against particular providers that serve high-risk populations or specialize in conditions that require costly treatment (42 CFR 457.1233(a), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.214(c));
  - ☒ MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs do not discriminate in the participation, reimbursement, or indemnification of any provider who is acting within the scope of his or her license or certification, solely on the basis of that license or certification (42 CFR 457.1208, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.12(a));
  - ☒ If an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP declines to include individual or groups of providers in the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP's provider network, the MCO, PIHP, and PAHP gives the affected providers written notice of the reason for the decision (42 CFR 457.1208, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.12(a)); and
  - ☒ MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs do not employ or contract with providers excluded from participation in Federal health care programs under either section 1128 or section 1128A of the Act. (42 CFR 457.1233(a), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.214(d)).

- 3.7.3** The State assures that each contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHP complies with the subcontractual relationships and delegation requirements in 42 CFR 457.1233(b), cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.230, including that:
- ☒ The MCO, PIHP, or PAHP maintains ultimate responsibility for adhering to and otherwise fully complying with all terms and conditions of its contract with the State;
  - ☒ All contracts or written arrangements between the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and any subcontractor specify that all delegated activities or obligations, and related reporting responsibilities, are specified in the contract or written agreement, the subcontractor agrees to perform the delegated activities and



reporting responsibilities specified in compliance with the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's contract obligations, and the contract or written arrangement must either provide for revocation of the delegation of activities or obligations, or specify other remedies in instances where the State or the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP determine that the subcontractor has not performed satisfactorily;

☒ All contracts or written arrangements between the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and any subcontractor must specify that the subcontractor agrees to comply with all applicable CHIP laws, regulations, including applicable subregulatory guidance and contract provisions; and

☒ The subcontractor agrees to the audit provisions in 438.230(c)(3).

**3.7.4** ☒ The State assures that each contracted MCO and, when applicable, each PIHP and PAHP, adopts and disseminates practice guidelines that are based on valid and reliable clinical evidence or a consensus of providers in the particular field; consider the needs of the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's enrollees; are adopted in consultation with network providers; and are reviewed and updated periodically as appropriate. (42 CFR 457.1233(c), cross referencing 42 CFR 438.236(b) and (c))

**3.7.5** ☒ The State assures that each contracted MCO and, when applicable, each PIHP and PAHP makes decisions for utilization management, enrollee education, coverage of services, and other areas to which the guidelines apply are consistent with the practice guidelines. (42 CFR 457.1233(c), cross referencing 42 CFR 438.236(d))

**3.7.6** ☒ The State assures that each contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHP maintains a health information system that collects, analyzes, integrates, and reports data consistent with 42 CFR 438.242. The systems must provide information on areas including, but not limited to, utilization, claims, grievances and appeals, and disenrollments for other than loss of CHIP eligibility. (42 CFR 457.1233(d), cross referencing 42 CFR 438.242)

**3.7.7** ☒ The State assures that it reviews and validates the encounter data collected, maintained, and submitted to the State by the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to ensure it is a complete and accurate representation of the services provided to the enrollees under the contract between the State and the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and meets the requirements 42 CFR 438.242 of this section. (42 CFR 457.1233(d), cross referencing 42 CFR 438.242)

**3.7.8** ☒ The State assures that it will submit to CMS all encounter data collected, maintained, submitted to the State by the MCO, PIHP, and PAHP once the State has reviewed and validated the data based on the requirements of 42 CFR 438.242. (CMS State Medicaid Director Letter #13-004)

- 3.7.9 ☒ The State assures that each contracted MCO, PIHP and PAHP complies with the privacy protections under 42 CFR 457.1110. (42 CFR 457.1233(e))

### 3.8 Beneficiary Protections

- 3.8.1 ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM and PCCM entity has written policies regarding the enrollee rights specified in 42 CFR 438.100. (42 CFR 457.1220, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.100(a)(1))
- 3.8.2 ☒ The State assures that its contracts with an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity include a guarantee that the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity will not avoid costs for services covered in its contract by referring enrollees to publicly supported health care resources. (42 CFR 457.1201(p))
- 3.8.3 ☒ The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs do not hold the enrollee liable for the following:
- The MCO's, PIHP's or PAHP's debts, in the event of the entity's solvency. (42 CFR 457.1226, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.106(a))
  - Covered services provided to the enrollee for which the State does not pay the MCO, PIHP or PAHP or for which the State, MCO, PIHP, or PAHP does not pay the individual or the health care provider that furnished the services under a contractual, referral or other arrangement. (42 CFR 457.1226, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.106(b))
  - Payments for covered services furnished under a contract, referral or other arrangement that are in excess of the amount the enrollee would owe if the MCO, PIHP or PAHP covered the services directly. (42 CFR 457.1226, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.106(c))

### 3.9 Grievances and Appeals

Guidance: Only States with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete Section 3.9. States with PCCMs and/or PCCM entities should be adhering to the State's review process for benefits.

- 3.9.1 ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP has a grievance and appeal system in place that allows enrollees to file a grievance and request an appeal. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.402(a) and 438.402(c))
- 3.9.2 ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP has only one level of appeal for enrollees. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.402(b))
- 3.9.3 ☒ The State assures that an enrollee may request a State review after receiving notice that the adverse benefit determination is upheld, or after an MCO, PIHP, or

PAHP fails to adhere to the notice and timing requirements in 42 CFR 438.408. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 438.402(c))

**3.9.4.** Does the state offer and arrange for an external medical review?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Guidance: Only states that answered yes to assurance 3.9.4 need to complete the next assurance (3.9.5).

**3.9.5** ☒

The State assures that the external medical review is:

- At the enrollee's option and not required before or used as a deterrent to proceeding to the State review;
- Independent of both the State and MCO, PIHP, or PAHP;
- Offered without any cost to the enrollee; and
- Not extending any of the timeframes specified in 42 CFR 438.408. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.402(a) and 438.402(c)(1)(i))

**3.9.6** ☒

The State assures that an enrollee may file a grievance with the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP at any time. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.402(a) and 438.402(c)(2)(i))

**3.9.7** ☒

The State assures that an enrollee has 60 calendar days from the date on an adverse benefit determination notice to file a request for an appeal to the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.402(a) and 438.402(c)(2)(ii))

**3.9.8** ☒

The State assures that an enrollee may file a grievance and request an appeal either orally or in writing. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.402(a) and 438.402(c)(3)(i))

**3.9.9** ☒

The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP gives enrollees timely and adequate notice of an adverse benefit determination in writing consistent with the requirements below in Section 3.9.10 and in 42 CFR 438.10.

**3.9.10** ☒

The State assures that the notice of an adverse benefit determination explains:

- The adverse benefit determination.
- The reasons for the adverse benefit determination, including the right of the enrollee to be provided upon request and free of charge, reasonable access to and copies of all documents, records, and other information relevant to the enrollee's adverse benefit determination. Such information includes medical necessity criteria, and any processes, strategies, or evidentiary standards used in setting coverage limits.

- The enrollee's right to request an appeal of the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's adverse benefit determination, including information on exhausting the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's one level of appeal and the right to request a State review.
- The procedures for exercising the rights specified above under this assurance.
- The circumstances under which an appeal process can be expedited and how to request it. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.404(b))

**3.9.11** ☒

The State assures that the notice of an adverse benefit determination is provided in a timely manner in accordance with 42 CFR 457.1260. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.404(c))

**3.9.12** ☒

The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs give enrollees reasonable assistance in completing forms and taking other procedural steps related to a grievance or appeal. This includes, but is not limited to, auxiliary aids and services upon request, such as providing interpreter services and toll-free numbers that have adequate TTY/TTD and interpreter capability. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.406(a))

**3.9.13**

The state makes the following assurances related to MCO, PIHP, and PAHP processes for handling enrollee grievances and appeals:

- ☒ Individuals who make decisions on grievances and appeals were neither involved in any previous level of review or decision-making nor a subordinate of any such individual.
- ☒ Individuals who make decisions on grievances and appeals, if deciding any of the following, are individuals who have the appropriate clinical expertise in treating the enrollee's condition or disease:
  - An appeal of a denial that is based on lack of medical necessity.
  - A grievance regarding denial of expedited resolution of an appeal.
  - A grievance or appeal that involves clinical issues.
- ☒ All comments, documents, records, and other information submitted by the enrollee or their representative will be taken into account, without regard to whether such information was submitted or considered in the initial adverse benefit determination.
- ☒ Enrollees have a reasonable opportunity, in person and in writing, to present evidence and testimony and make legal and factual arguments.
- ☒ Enrollees are provided the enrollee's case file, including medical records, other documents and records, and any new or additional evidence considered, relied upon, or generated by the MCO, PIHP or PAHP (or at the direction of the MCO, PIHP or PAHP) in connection with the appeal of the adverse

benefit determination. This information must be provided free of charge and sufficiently in advance of the resolution timeframe for appeals.

- ☒ The enrollee and his or her representative or the legal representative of a deceased enrollee's estate are included as parties to the appeal. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.406(b))

**3.9.14** ☒ The State assures that standard grievances are resolved (including notice to the affected parties) within 90 calendar days from the day the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP receives the grievance. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.408(b))

**3.9.15** ☒ The State assures that standard appeals are resolved (including notice to the affected parties) within 30 calendar days from the day the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP receives the appeal. The MCO, PIHP, or PAHP may extend the timeframe by up to 14 calendar days if the enrollee requests the extension or the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP shows that there is need for additional information and that the delay is in the enrollee's interest. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 42 CFR 438.408(b) and (c))

**3.9.16** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP establishes and maintains an expedited review process for appeals that is no longer than 72 hours after the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP receives the appeal. The expedited review process applies when the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP determines (for a request from the enrollee) or the provider indicates (in making the request on the enrollee's behalf or supporting the enrollee's request) that taking the time for a standard resolution could seriously jeopardize the enrollee's life, physical or mental health, or ability to attain, maintain, or regain maximum function. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.408(b) and (c), and 42 CFR 438.410(a))

**3.9.17** ☒ The State assures that if an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP denies a request for expedited resolution of an appeal, it transfers the appeal within the timeframe for standard resolution in accordance with 42 CFR 438.408(b)(2). (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.410(c)(1))

**3.9.18** ☒ The State assures that if the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP extends the timeframes for an appeal not at the request of the enrollee or it denies a request for an expedited resolution of an appeal, it completes all of the following:

- Make reasonable efforts to give the enrollee prompt oral notice of the delay.
- Within 2 calendar days give the enrollee written notice of the reason for the decision to extend the timeframe and inform the enrollee of the right to file a grievance if he or she disagrees with that decision.
- Resolve the appeal as expeditiously as the enrollee's health condition requires and no later than the date the extension expires. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-

referencing to 42 CFR 438.408(c) and 42 CFR 438.410(c))

- 3.9.19** ☒ The State assures that if an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP fails to adhere to the notice and timing requirements in this section, the enrollee is deemed to have exhausted the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's appeals process and the enrollee may initiate a State review. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.408(c)(3))
- 3.9.20** ☒ The State assures that has established a method that an MCO, PIHP, and PAHP will use to notify an enrollee of the resolution of a grievance and ensure that such methods meet, at a minimum, the standards described at 42 CFR 438.10. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross referencing to 42 CFR 457.408(d)(1))
- 3.9.21** ☒ For all appeals, the State assures that each contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHP provides written notice of resolution in a format and language that, at a minimum, meet the standards described at 42 CFR 438.10. The notice of resolution includes at least the following items:
- The results of the resolution process and the date it was completed; and
  - For appeals not resolved wholly in favor of the enrollees:
    - The right to request a State review, and how to do so.
    - The right to request and receive benefits while the hearing is pending, and how to make the request.
    - That the enrollee may, consistent with State policy, be held liable for the cost of those benefits if the hearing decision upholds the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's adverse benefit determination. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross referencing to 42 CFR 457.408(d)(2)(i) and (e))
- 3.9.22** ☒ For notice of an expedited resolution, the State assures that each contracted MCO, PIHP, or PAHP makes reasonable efforts to provide oral notice, in addition to the written notice of resolution. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross referencing to 42 CFR 457.408(d)(2)(ii))
- 3.9.23** ☒ The State assures that if it offers an external medical review:
- The review is at the enrollee's option and is not required before or used as a deterrent to proceeding to the State review;
  - The review is independent of both the State and MCO, PIHP, or PAHP; and
  - The review is offered without any cost to the enrollee. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.408(f))
- 3.9.24** ☒ The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs do not take punitive action against providers who request an expedited resolution or support an enrollee's appeal. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.410(b))

- 3.9.25** ☒ The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs must provide information specified in 42 CFR 438.10(g)(2)(xi) about the grievance and appeal system to all providers and subcontractors at the time they enter into a contract. This includes:
- The right to file grievances and appeals;
  - The requirements and timeframes for filing a grievance or appeal;
  - The availability of assistance in the filing process;
  - The right to request a State review after the MCO, PIHP or PAHP has made a determination on an enrollee's appeal which is adverse to the enrollee; and
  - The fact that, when requested by the enrollee, benefits that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP seeks to reduce or terminate will continue if the enrollee files an appeal or a request for State review within the timeframes specified for filing, and that the enrollee may, consistent with State policy, be required to pay the cost of services furnished while the appeal or State review is pending if the final decision is adverse to the enrollee. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.414)
- 3.9.26** ☒ The State assures that it requires MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs to maintain records of grievances and appeals and reviews the information as part of its ongoing monitoring procedures, as well as for updates and revisions to the State quality strategy. The record must be accurately maintained in a manner accessible to the state and available upon request to CMS. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.416)
- 3.9.27** ☒ The State assures that if the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP, or the State review officer reverses a decision to deny, limit, or delay services that were not furnished while the appeal was pending, the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP must authorize or provide the disputed services promptly and as expeditiously as the enrollee's health condition requires but no later than 72 hours from the date it receives notice reversing the determination. (42 CFR 457.1260, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.424(a))

### **3.10 Program Integrity**

Guidance: The State should complete Section 11 (Program Integrity) in addition to Section 3.10.

Guidance: Only States with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to answer the first seven assurances (3.10.1 through 3.10.7).

- 3.10.1** The State assures that any entity seeking to contract as an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP under a separate child health program has administrative and management arrangements or procedures designed to safeguard against fraud and abuse, including:
- ☒ Enforcing MCO, PIHP, and PAHP compliance with all applicable Federal and State statutes, regulations, and standards;

- ☒ Prohibiting MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs from conducting any unsolicited personal contact with a potential enrollee by an employee or agent of the MCO, PAHP, or PIHP for the purpose of influencing the individual to enroll with the entity; and
- ☒ Including a mechanism for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs to report to the State, to CMS, or to the Office of Inspector General (OIG) as appropriate, information on violations of law by subcontractors, providers, or enrollees of an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and other individuals. (42 CFR 457.1280)

**3.10.2** ☒ The State assures that it has in effect safeguards against conflict of interest on the part of State and local officers and employees and agents of the State who have responsibilities relating to the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP contracts or enrollment processes described in 42 CFR 457.1210(a). (42 CFR 457.1214, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.58)

**3.10.3** ☒ The State assures that it periodically, but no less frequently than once every 3 years, conducts, or contracts for the conduct of, an independent audit of the accuracy, truthfulness, and completeness of the encounter and financial data submitted by, or on behalf of, each MCO, PIHP or PAHP. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.602(e))

**3.10.4** ☒ The State assures that it requires MCOs, PIHPs, PAHP, and or subcontractors (only to the extent that the subcontractor is delegated responsibility by the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP for coverage of services and payment of claims) implement and maintain arrangements or procedures that are designed to detect and prevent fraud, waste, and abuse. The arrangements or procedures must include the following:

- A compliance program that include all of the elements described in 42 CFR 438.608(a)(1);
- Provision for prompt reporting of all overpayments identified or recovered, specifying the overpayments due to potential fraud, to the State;
- Provision for prompt notification to the State when it receives information about changes in an enrollee's circumstances that may affect the enrollee's eligibility;
- Provision for notification to the State when it receives information about a change in a network provider's circumstances that may affect the network provider's eligibility to participate in the managed care program, including the termination of the provider agreement with the MCO, PIHP or PAHP;
- Provision for a method to verify, by sampling or other methods, whether services that have been represented to have been delivered by network providers were received by enrollees and the application of such verification processes on a regular basis;



- In the case of MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs that make or receive annual payments under the contract of at least \$5,000,000, provision for written policies for all employees of the entity, and of any contractor or agent, that provide detailed information about the False Claims Act and other Federal and State laws described in section 1902(a)(68) of the Act, including information about rights of employees to be protected as whistleblowers;
- Provision for the prompt referral of any potential fraud, waste, or abuse that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP identifies to the State Medicaid/CHIP program integrity unit or any potential fraud directly to the State Medicaid Fraud Control Unit; and
- Provision for the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's suspension of payments to a network provider for which the State determines there is a credible allegation of fraud in accordance with 42 CFR 455.23. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.608(a))

- 3.10.5** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP requires and has a mechanism for a network provider to report to the MCO, PIHP or PAHP when it has received an overpayment, to return the overpayment to the MCO, PIHP or PAHP within 60 calendar days after the date on which the overpayment was identified, and to notify the MCO, PIHP or PAHP in writing of the reason for the overpayment. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.608(d)(2))
- 3.10.6** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, or PAHP reports annually to the State on their recoveries of overpayments. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.608(d)(3))
- 3.10.7** ☒ The State assures that it screens and enrolls, and periodically revalidates, all network providers of MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs, in accordance with the requirements of part 455, subparts B and E. This requirement also extends to PCCMs and PCCM entities to the extent that the primary care case manager is not otherwise enrolled with the State to provide services to fee-for-service beneficiaries. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.602(b)(1) and 438.608(b))
- 3.10.8** ☒ The State assures that it reviews the ownership and control disclosures submitted by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity, and any subcontractors. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.602(c))
- 3.10.9** ☒ The State assures that it confirms the identity and determines the exclusion status of the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity, any subcontractor, as well as any person with an ownership or control interest, or who is an agent or managing employee of the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity through routine checks of Federal databases. If the State finds a party that is excluded, the State

promptly notifies the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity and takes action consistent with 42 CFR 438.610(c). (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.602(d))

**3.10.10** ☒ The State assures that it receives and investigates information from whistleblowers relating to the integrity of the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity, subcontractors, or network providers receiving Federal funds under this part. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.602(f))

**3.10.11** ☒ The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, or PCCM entities with which the State contracts are not located outside of the United States and that no claims paid by an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to a network provider, out-of-network provider, subcontractor or financial institution located outside of the U.S. are considered in the development of actuarially sound capitation rates. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.602(i); Section 1902(a)(80) of the Social Security Act)

**3.10.12** The State assures that MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, and PCCM entities submit to the State the following data, documentation, and information:

- ☒ Encounter data in the form and manner described in 42 CFR 438.818.
- ☒ Data on the basis of which the State determines the compliance of the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP with the medical loss ratio requirement described in 42 CFR 438.8.
- ☒ Data on the basis of which the State determines that the MCO, PIHP or PAHP has made adequate provision against the risk of insolvency as required under 42 CFR 438.116.
- ☒ Documentation described in 42 CFR 438.207(b) on which the State bases its certification that the MCO, PIHP or PAHP has complied with the State's requirements for availability and accessibility of services, including the adequacy of the provider network, as set forth in 42 CFR 438.206.
- ☒ Information on ownership and control described in 42 CFR 455.104 of this chapter from MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, PCCM entities, and subcontractors as governed by 42 CFR 438.230.
- ☒ The annual report of overpayment recoveries as required in 42 CFR 438.608(d)(3). (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.604(a))

**3.10.13** The State assures that:

- ☒ It requires that the data, documentation, or information submitted in accordance with 42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.604(a), is certified in a manner that the MCO's, PIHP's, PAHP's, PCCM's, or PCCM entity's Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer is ultimately responsible for the certification. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.606(a))

- ☒ It requires that the certification includes an attestation that, based on best information, knowledge, and belief, the data, documentation, and information specified in 42 CFR 438.604 are accurate, complete, and truthful. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.606(b)); and
- ☒ It requires the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity to submit the certification concurrently with the submission of the data, documentation, or information required in 42 CFR 438.604(a) and (b). (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.604(c))

**3.10.14** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, PCCM entity, and any subcontractors provides: written disclosure of any prohibited affiliation under 42 CFR 438.610, written disclosure of and information on ownership and control required under 42 CFR 455.104, and reports to the State within 60 calendar days when it has identified the capitation payments or other payments in excess of amounts specified in the contract. (42 CFR 457.1285, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.608(c))

**3.10.15** ☒ The State assures that services are provided in an effective and efficient manner. (Section 2101(a))

**3.10.16** ☒ The State assures that it operates a Web site that provides:

- The documentation on which the State bases its certification that the MCO, PIHP or PAHP has complied with the State's requirements for availability and accessibility of services;
- Information on ownership and control of MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, PCCM entities, and subcontractors; and
- The results of any audits conducted under 42 CFR 438.602(e). (42 CFR 457.1285, cross-referencing to 42 CFR 438.602(g)).

### **3.11 Sanctions**

Guidance: Only States with MCOs need to answer the next three assurances (3.11.1 through 3.11.3).

Intermediate sanctions are defined at 42 CFR 438.702(a)(4) as: (1) Civil money penalties; (2) Appointment of temporary management (for an MCO); (3) Granting enrollees the right to terminate enrollment without cause; (4) Suspension of all new enrollment; and (5) Suspension of payment for beneficiaries.

**3.11.1** ☒ The State assures that it has established intermediate sanctions that it may impose if it makes the determination that an MCO has acted or failed to act in a manner specified in 438.700(b)-(d). (42 CFR 457.1270, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.700)

- 3.11.2 ☒ The State assures that it will impose temporary management if it finds that an MCO has repeatedly failed to meet substantive requirements of part 457 subpart L. (42 CFR 457.1270, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.706(b))
- 3.11.3 ☒ The State assures that if it imposes temporary management on an MCO, the State allows enrollees the right to terminate enrollment without cause and notifies the affected enrollees of their right to terminate enrollment. (42 CFR 457.1270, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.706(b))

Guidance: Only states with PCCMs, or PCCM entities need to answer the next assurance (3.11.4).

- 3.11.4 Does the State establish intermediate sanctions for PCCMs or PCCM entities?
- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

Guidance: Only states with MCOs and states that answered yes to assurance 3.11.4 need to complete the next three assurances (3.11.5 through 3.11.7).

- 3.11.5 ☒ The State assures that before it imposes intermediate sanctions, it gives the affected entity timely written notice. (42 CFR 457.1270, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.710(a))
- 3.11.6 ☒ The State assures that if it intends to terminate an MCO, PCCM, or PCCM entity, it provides a pre-termination hearing and written notice of the decision as specified in 42 CFR 438.710(b). If the decision to terminate is affirmed, the State assures that it gives enrollees of the MCO, PCCM or PCCM entity notice of the termination and information, consistent with 42 CFR 438.10, on their options for receiving CHIP services following the effective date of termination. (42 CFR 457.1270, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.710(b))
- 3.11.7 ☒ The State assures that it will give CMS written notice that complies with 42 CFR 438.724 whenever it imposes or lifts a sanction for one of the violations listed in 42 CFR 438.700. (42 CFR 457.1270, cross referencing 42 CFR 438.724)

### 3.12 **Quality Measurement and Improvement; External Quality Review**

Guidance: The State should complete Sections 7 (Quality and Appropriateness of Care) and 9 (Strategic Objectives and Performance Goals and Plan Administration) in addition to Section 3.12.

Guidance: States with MCO(s), PIHP(s), PAHP(s), or certain PCCM entity/ies (PCCM entities whose contract with the State provides for shared savings, incentive payments or other financial reward for improved quality outcomes - see 42 CFR 457.1240(f)) - should

complete the applicable sub-sections for each entity type in this section, regarding 42 CFR 457.1240 and 1250.

### **3.12.1 Quality Strategy**

Guidance: All states with MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs, or PCCM entities need to complete section 3.12.1.

- 3.12.1.1** ☒ The State assures that it will draft and implement a written quality strategy for assessing and improving the quality of health care and services furnished CHIP enrollees as described in 42 CFR 438.340(a). The quality strategy must include the following items:
- The State-defined network adequacy and availability of services standards for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs required by 42 CFR 438.68 and 438.206 and examples of evidence-based clinical practice guidelines the State requires in accordance with 42 CFR 438.236;
  - A description of:
    - The quality metrics and performance targets to be used in measuring the performance and improvement of each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP with which the State contracts, including but not limited to, the performance measures reported in accordance with 42 CFR 438.330(c); and
    - The performance improvement projects to be implemented in accordance with 42 CFR 438.330(d), including a description of any interventions the State proposes to improve access, quality, or timeliness of care for beneficiaries enrolled in an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP;
  - Arrangements for annual, external independent reviews, in accordance with 42 CFR 438.350, of the quality outcomes and timeliness of, and access to, the services covered under each contract;
  - A description of the State's transition of care policy required under 42 CFR 438.62(b)(3);
  - The State's plan to identify, evaluate, and reduce, to the extent practicable, health disparities based on age, race, ethnicity, sex, and primary language;
  - For MCOs, appropriate use of intermediate sanctions that, at a minimum, meet the requirements of subpart I of 42 CFR Part 438;
  - A description of how the State will assess the performance and quality outcomes achieved by each PCCM entity;
  - The mechanisms implemented by the State to comply with 42 CFR 438.208(c)(1) (relating to the identification of persons with special health care needs);
  - Identification of the external quality review (EQR)-related activities for

which the State has exercised the option under 42 CFR 438.360 (relating to nonduplication of EQR-related activities), and explain the rationale for the State's determination that the private accreditation activity is comparable to such EQR-related activities;

- Identification of which quality measures and performance outcomes the State will publish at least annually on the Web site required under 42 CFR 438.10(c)(3); and
- The State's definition of a “significant change” for the purposes of updating the quality strategy under 42 CFR 438.340(c)(3)(ii). (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(b))

- 3.12.1.2** ☒ The State assures that the goals and objectives for continuous quality improvement in the quality strategy are measurable and take into consideration the health status of all populations in the State served by the MCO, PIHP, and PAHP. (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(b)(2))
- 3.12.1.3** ☒ The State assures that for purposes of the quality strategy, the State provides the demographic information for each CHIP enrollee to the MCO, PIHP or PAHP at the time of enrollment. (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(b)(6))
- 3.12.1.4** ☒ The State assures that it will review and update the quality strategy as needed, but no less than once every 3 years. (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(c)(2))
- 3.12.1.5** ☒ The State assures that its review and updates to the quality strategy will include an evaluation of the effectiveness of the quality strategy conducted within the previous 3 years and the recommendations provided pursuant to 42 CFR 438.364(a)(4). (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(c)(2)(i) and (iii)).
- 3.12.1.6** ☒ The State assures that it will submit to CMS:
- A copy of the initial quality strategy for CMS comment and feedback prior to adopting it in final; and
  - A copy of the revised strategy whenever significant changes are made to the document, or whenever significant changes occur within the State's CHIP program, including after the review and update required every 3 years. (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(c)(3))
- 3.12.1.7** ☒ Before submitting the strategy to CMS for review, the State assures that when it drafts or revises the State's quality strategy it will:

- Make the strategy available for public comment; and
- If the State enrolls Indians in the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP, consult with Tribes in accordance with the State's Tribal consultation policy. (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(c)(1))

**3.12.1.8** ☒ The State assures that it makes the results of the review of the quality strategy (including the effectiveness evaluation) and the final quality strategy available on the Web site required under 42 CFR 438.10(c)(3). (42 CFR 457.1240(e), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.340(c)(2)(ii) and (d))

### **3.12.2 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program**

**3.12.2.1** Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program: Measures and Projects

Guidance: Only states with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete the next two assurances (3.12.2.1.1 and 3.12.2.1.2).

**3.12.2.1.1** ☒ The State assures that it requires that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP establish and implement an ongoing comprehensive quality assessment and performance improvement program for the services it furnishes to its enrollees as provided in 42 CFR 438.330, except that the terms of 42 CFR 438.330(d)(4) (related to dual eligibles) do not apply. The elements of the assessment and program include at least:

- Standard performance measures specified by the State;
- Any measures and programs required by CMS (42 CFR 438.330(a)(2);
- Performance improvement projects that focus on clinical and non-clinical areas, as specified in 42 CFR 438.330(d);
- Collection and submission of performance measurement data in accordance with 42 CFR 438.330(c);
- Mechanisms to detect both underutilization and overutilization of services; and
- Mechanisms to assess the quality and appropriateness of care furnished to enrollees with special health care needs, as defined by the State in the quality strategy under 42 CFR 457.1240(e) and Section 3.12.1 of this template). (42 CFR 457.1240(b), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.330(b) and (c)(1))

Guidance: A State may request an exemption from including the performance measures or performance improvement programs

established by CMS under 42 CFR 438.330(a)(2), by submitting a written request to CMS explaining the basis for such request.

- 3.12.2.1.2** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP's performance improvement projects are designed to achieve significant improvement, sustained over time, in health outcomes and enrollee satisfaction. The performance improvement projects include at least the following elements:
- Measurement of performance using objective quality indicators;
  - Implementation of interventions to achieve improvement in the access to and quality of care;
  - Evaluation of the effectiveness of the interventions based on the performance measures specified in 42 CFR 438.330(d)(2)(i); and
  - Planning and initiation of activities for increasing or sustaining improvement. (42 CFR 457.1240(b), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.330(d)(2))

Guidance: Only states with a PCCM entity whose contract with the State provides for shared savings, incentive payments or other financial reward for improved quality outcomes need to, complete the next assurance (3.12.2.1.3).

- 3.12.2.1.3** ☐ The State assures that it requires that each PCCM entity establishes and implements an ongoing comprehensive quality assessment and performance improvement program for the services it furnishes to its enrollees as provided in 42 CFR 438.330, except that the terms of 42 CFR 438.330(d)(4) (related to dual eligibles) do not apply. The assessment and program must include:
- Standard performance measures specified by the State;
  - Mechanisms to detect both underutilization and overutilization of services; and
  - Collection and submission of performance measurement data in accordance with 42 CFR 438.330(c). (42 CFR 457.1240(a) and (b), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.330(b)(3) and (c))

**3.12.2.2 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program: Reporting and Effectiveness**

Guidance: Only states with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete Section 3.12.2.2.



- 3.12.2.2.1** ☒ The State assures that each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP reports on the status and results of each performance improvement project conducted by the MCO, PIHP, and PAHP to the State as required by the State, but not less than once per year. (42 CFR 457.1240(b), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.330(d)(3))
- 3.12.2.2.2** ☒ The State assures that it annually requires each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP to:
- 1) Measure and report to the State on its performance using the standard measures required by the State;
  - 2) Submit to the State data specified by the State to calculate the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's performance using the standard measures identified by the State; or
  - 3) Perform a combination of options (1) and (2) of this assurance. (42 CFR 457.1240(b), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.330(c)(2))
- 3.12.2.2.3** ☒ The State assures that the State reviews, at least annually, the impact and effectiveness of the quality assessment and performance improvement program of each MCO, PIHP, PAHP and PCCM entity. The State's review must include:
- The MCO's, PIHP's, PAHP's, and PCCM entity's performance on the measures on which it is required to report; and
  - The outcomes and trended results of each MCO's, PIHP's, and PAHP's performance improvement projects. (42 CFR 457.1240(b), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.330(e)(1))

### **3.12.3 Accreditation**

Guidance: Only states with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete Section 3.12.3.

- 3.12.3.1** ☒ The State assures that it requires each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP to inform the state whether it has been accredited by a private independent accrediting entity, and, if the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP has received accreditation by a private independent accrediting agency, that the MCO, PIHP, and PAHP authorizes the private independent accrediting entity to provide the State a copy of its recent accreditation review that includes the MCO, PIHP, and PAHP's accreditation status, survey type, and level (as applicable); accreditation results, including recommended actions or improvements, corrective action plans, and summaries of findings; and expiration date of the accreditation. (42 CFR 457.1240(c), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.332(a) and (b)).

- 3.12.3.2** ☒ The State assures that it will make the accreditation status for each contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHP available on the Web site required under 42 CFR 438.10(c)(3), including whether each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP has been accredited and, if applicable, the name of the accrediting entity, accreditation program, and accreditation level; and update this information at least annually. (42 CFR 457.1240(c), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.332(c))

### **3.12.4 Quality Rating**

Guidance: Only states with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete Section 3.12.4.

- ☒ The State assures that it will implement and operate a quality rating system that issues an annual quality rating for each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP, which the State will prominently display on the Web site required under 42 CFR 438.10(c)(3), in accordance with the requirements set forth in 42 CFR 438.334. (42 CFR 457.1240(d))

Guidance: States will be required to comply with this assurance within 3 years after CMS, in consultation with States and other Stakeholders and after providing public notice and opportunity for comment, has identified performance measures and a methodology for a Medicaid and CHIP managed care quality rating system in the Federal Register.

### **3.12.5 Quality Review**

Guidance: All states with MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs or PCCM entities need to complete Sections 3.12.5 and 3.12.5.1.

- ☒ The State assures that each contract with a MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity requires that a qualified EQRO performs an annual external quality review (EQR) for each contracting MCO, PIHP, PAHP or PCCM entity, except as provided in 42 CFR 438.362. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.350(a))

#### **3.12.5.1 External Quality Review Organization**

- 3.12.5.1.1** ☒ The State assures that it contracts with at least one external quality review organization (EQRO) to conduct either EQR alone or EQR and other EQR-related activities. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.356(a))
- 3.12.5.1.2** ☒ The State assures that any EQRO used by the State to comply with 42 CFR 457.1250 must meet the competence and independence requirements of 42 CFR 438.354 and, if the EQRO uses subcontractors, that the EQRO is accountable for and oversees all

subcontractor functions. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.354 and 42 CFR 438.356(b) through (d))

### **3.12.5.2 External Quality Review-Related Activities**

Guidance: Only states with MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs need to complete the next three assurances (3.12.5.2.1 through 3.12.5.2.3). Under 42 CFR 457.1250(a), the State, or its agent or EQRO, must conduct the EQR-related activity under 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(iv) regarding validation of the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP's network adequacy during the preceding 12 months; however, the State may permit its contracted MCO, PIHP, and PAHPs to use information from a private accreditation review in lieu of any or all the EQR-related activities under 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(i) through (iii) (relating to the validation of performance improvement projects, validation of performance measures, and compliance review).

- 3.12.5.2.1** ☒ The State assures that the mandatory EQR-related activities described in 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(i) through (iv) (relating to the validation of performance improvement projects, validation of performance measures, compliance review, and validation of network adequacy) will be conducted on all MCOs, PIHPs, or PAHPs. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1))
- 3.12.5.2.2** ☒ The State assures that if it elects to use nonduplication for any or all of the three mandatory EQR-related activities described at 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(i) – (iii), the State will document the use of nonduplication in the State's quality strategy. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing 438.360, 438.358(b)(1)(i) through (b)(1)(iii), and 438.340)
- 3.12.5.2.3** ☒ The State assures that if the State elects to use nonduplication for any or all of the three mandatory EQR-related activities described at 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(i) – (iii), the State will ensure that all information from a Medicare or private accreditation review for an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP will be furnished to the EQRO for analysis and inclusion in the EQR technical report described in 42 CFR 438.364. ((42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.360(b))

Guidance: Only states with PCCM entities need to complete the next assurance (3.12.5.2.4).

- 3.12.5.2.4** ☐ The State assures that the mandatory EQR-related activities described in 42 CFR 438.358(b)(2) (cross-referencing 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(ii) and (b)(1)(iii)) will be conducted on all PCCM entities, which include:
- Validation of PCCM entity performance measures required in accordance with 42 CFR 438.330(b)(2) or PCCM entity performance measures calculated by the State during the preceding 12 months; and
  - A review, conducted within the previous 3-year period, to determine the PCCM entity's compliance with the standards set forth in subpart D of 42 CFR part 438 and the quality assessment and performance improvement requirements described in 42 CFR 438.330. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 438.358(b)(2))

### **3.12.5.3 External Quality Review Report**

Guidance: All states with MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs or PCCM entities need to complete Sections 3.12.5.3.

- 3.12.5.3.1** ☒ The State assures that data obtained from the mandatory and optional, if applicable, EQR-related activities in 42 CFR 438.358 is used for the annual EQR to comply with 42 CFR 438.350 and must include, at a minimum, the elements in §438.364(a)(2)(i) through (iv). (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.358(a)(2))
- 3.12.5.3.2** ☒ The State assures that only a qualified EQRO will produce the EQR technical report (42 CFR 438.364(c)(1)).
- 3.12.5.3.3** ☒ The State assures that in order for the qualified EQRO to perform an annual EQR for each contracting MCO, PIHP, PAHP or PCCM entity under 42 CFR 438.350(a) that the following conditions are met:
- The EQRO has sufficient information to use in performing the review;
  - The information used to carry out the review must be obtained from the EQR-related activities described in 42 CFR 438.358 and, if applicable, from a private accreditation review as described in 42 CFR 438.360;
  - For each EQR-related activity (mandatory or optional), the information gathered for use in the EQR must include the elements described in 42 CFR 438.364(a)(2)(i) through (iv);

and

- The information provided to the EQRO in accordance with 42 CFR 438.350(b) is obtained through methods consistent with the protocols established by the Secretary in accordance with 42 CFR 438.352. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.350(b) through (e))

**3.12.5.3.4** ☒ The State assures that the results of the reviews performed by a qualified EQRO of each contracting MCO, PIHP, PAHP, and PCCM entity are made available as specified in 42 CFR 438.364 in an annual detailed technical report that summarizes findings on access and quality of care. The report includes at least the following items:

- A description of the manner in which the data from all activities conducted in accordance with 42 CFR 438.358 were aggregated and analyzed, and conclusions were drawn as to the quality, timeliness, and access to the care furnished by the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity (described in 42 CFR 438.310(c)(2));
- For each EQR-related activity (mandatory or optional) conducted in accordance with 42 CFR 438.358:
  - Objectives;
  - Technical methods of data collection and analysis;
  - Description of data obtained, including validated performance measurement data for each activity conducted in accordance with 42 CFR 438.358(b)(1)(i) and (ii); and
  - Conclusions drawn from the data;
- An assessment of each MCO's, PIHP's, PAHP's, or PCCM entity's strengths and weaknesses for the quality, timeliness, and access to health care services furnished to CHIP beneficiaries;
- Recommendations for improving the quality of health care services furnished by each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity, including how the State can target goals and objectives in the quality strategy, under 42 CFR 438.340, to better support improvement in the quality, timeliness, and access to health care services furnished to CHIP beneficiaries;
- Methodologically appropriate, comparative information about all MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, and PCCM entities, consistent with guidance included in the EQR protocols issued in accordance with 42 CFR 438.352(e); and
- An assessment of the degree to which each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity has addressed effectively the

recommendations for quality improvement made by the EQRO during the previous year's EQR. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.350(f) and 438.364(a))

- 3.12.5.3.5 ☒ The State assures that it does not substantively revise the content of the final EQR technical report without evidence of error or omission. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.364(b))
- 3.12.5.3.6 ☒ The State assures that it finalizes the annual EQR technical report by April 30<sup>th</sup> of each year. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.364(c)(1))
- 3.12.5.3.7 ☒ The State assures that it posts the most recent copy of the annual EQR technical report on the Web site required under 42 CFR 438.10(c)(3) by April 30th of each year. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.364(c)(2)(i))
- 3.12.5.3.8 ☒ The State assures that it provides printed or electronic copies of the information specified in 42 CFR 438.364(a) for the annual EQR technical report, upon request, to interested parties such as participating health care providers, enrollees and potential enrollees of the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM, beneficiary advocacy groups, and members of the general public. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.364(c)(2)(ii))
- 3.12.5.3.9 ☒ The State assures that it makes the information specified in 42 CFR 438.364(a) for the annual EQR technical report available in alternative formats for persons with disabilities, when requested. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.364(c)(3))
- 3.12.5.3.10 ☒ The State assures that information released under 42 CFR 438.364 for the annual EQR technical report does not disclose the identity or other protected health information of any patient. (42 CFR 457.1250(a), cross referencing to 42 CFR 438.364(d))g

#### **Section 4. Eligibility Standards and Methodology**

Guidance: States electing to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded eligibility under the State's Medicaid plan or combination plan should check the appropriate box and provide the ages and income level for each eligibility group. If the State is electing to take up the option to expand Medicaid eligibility as allowed under section 214 of CHIPRA regarding lawfully residing, complete section 4.1-LR as

well as update the budget to reflect the additional costs if the state will claim title XXI match for these children until and if the time comes that the children are eligible for Medicaid.

4.0. ☒

**Medicaid Expansion**

4.0.1. Ages of each eligibility group and the income standard for that group:  
Refer to CS 3.

4.1. ☒

**Separate Program** Check all standards that will apply to the State plan. (42CFR 457.305(a) and 457.320(a))

4.1.0 ☒ Describe how the State meets the citizenship verification requirements.  
Include whether or not State has opted to use SSA verification option.

Refer to CS 18

4.1.1 ☒ Geographic area served by the Plan if less than Statewide:  
Statewide

4.1.2 ☒ Ages of each eligibility group, including unborn children and pregnant women (if applicable) and the income standard for that group:  
0-18 (less than 19 years of age)

4.1.2.1-PC ☐ Age: through birth (SHO #02-004, issued November 12, 2002)

4.1.3 ☒ Income of each separate eligibility group (if applicable):  
Refer to CS 7.

4.1.3.1-PC ☐ 0% of the FPL (and not eligible for Medicaid) through %  
of the FPL (SHO #02-004, issued November 12, 2002)

4.1.4 ☐ Resources of each separate eligibility group (including any standards relating to spend downs and disposition of resources):

4.1.5 ☒ Residency (so long as residency requirement is not based on length of time in state):  
Refer to CS 17.

4.1.6 ☐ Disability Status (so long as any standard relating to disability status does not restrict eligibility):

4.1.7 ☒ Access to or coverage under other health coverage:

- Children cannot have other creditable health care coverage. A three-month waiting period from the date the child was last covered will be imposed.
- Exceptions to the waiting period will be provided if the coverage was lost involuntarily (such as through the loss of employment, divorce, etc.), if the child was previously covered by Medicaid, the premium paid by the family for the child for other insurance in a group health plan exceeded 5 percent of the household income, the cost of family coverage that includes the child exceeds 9.5% of the household income, or the child has special health care



needs.

**4.1.8** ☒ Duration of eligibility, not to exceed 12 months:

- See CS27

**4.1.9** ☒ Other Standards- Identify and describe other standards for or affecting eligibility, including those standards in 457.310 and 457.320 that are not addressed above. For instance:

Refer to CS 19.

Guidance: States may only require the SSN of the child who is applying for coverage. If SSNs are required and the State covers unborn children, indicate that the unborn children are exempt from providing a SSN. Other standards include, but are not limited to presumptive eligibility and deemed newborns.

**4.1.9.1** ☒ States should specify whether Social Security Numbers (SSN) are required.

Refer to CS 19

Guidance: States should describe their continuous eligibility process and populations that can be continuously eligible.

**4.1.9.2** ☒ Continuous eligibility  
**Children under age 19 receive continuous eligibility for 12 months, regardless of changes in income.**

**4.1-PW** ☐ **Pregnant Women Option** (section 2112)- The State includes eligibility for one or more populations of targeted low-income pregnant women under the plan. Describe the population of pregnant women that the State proposes to cover in this section. Include all eligibility criteria, such as those described in the above categories (for instance, income and resources) that will be applied to this population. Use the same reference number system for those criteria (for example, 4.1.1-P for a geographic restriction). Please remember to update sections 8.1.1-PW, 8.1.2-PW, and 9.10 when electing this option.

Guidance: States have the option to cover groups of “lawfully residing” children and/or pregnant women. States may elect to cover (1) “lawfully residing” children described at section

2107(e)(1)(J) of the Act; (2) “lawfully residing” pregnant women described at section 2107(e)(1)(J) of the Act; or (3) both. A state electing to cover children and/or pregnant women who are considered lawfully residing in the U.S. must offer coverage to all such individuals who meet the definition of lawfully residing, and may not cover a subgroup or only certain groups. In addition, states may not cover these new groups only in CHIP, but must also extend the coverage option to Medicaid. States will need to update their budget to reflect the additional costs for coverage of these children. If a State has been covering these children with State only funds, it is helpful to indicate that so CMS understands the basis for the enrollment estimates and the projected cost of providing coverage. Please remember to update section 9.10 when electing this option.

#### 4.1- LR ☐

**Lawfully Residing Option** (Sections 2107(e)(1)(J) and 1903(v)(4)(A); (CHIPRA # 17, SHO # 10-006 issued July 1, 2010) Check if the State is electing the option under section 214 of the Children’s Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009 (CHIPRA) regarding lawfully residing to provide coverage to the following otherwise eligible pregnant women and children as specified below who are lawfully residing in the United States including the following:

A child or pregnant woman shall be considered lawfully present if he or she is:

- (1) A qualified alien as defined in section 431 of PRWORA (8 U.S.C. §1641);
- (2) An alien in nonimmigrant status who has not violated the terms of the status under which he or she was admitted or to which he or she has changed after admission;
- (3) An alien who has been paroled into the United States pursuant to section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) (8 U.S.C. §1182(d)(5)) for less than 1 year, except for an alien paroled for prosecution, for deferred inspection or pending removal proceedings;
- (4) An alien who belongs to one of the following classes:
  - (i) Aliens currently in temporary resident status pursuant to section 210 or 245A of the INA (8 U.S.C. §§1160 or 1255a, respectively);
  - (ii) Aliens currently under Temporary Protected Status (TPS) pursuant to section 244 of the INA (8 U.S.C. §1254a), and pending applicants for TPS who have been granted employment authorization;
  - (iii) Aliens who have been granted employment authorization under 8 CFR 274a.12(c)(9), (10), (16), (18), (20), (22), or (24);
  - (iv) Family Unity beneficiaries pursuant to section 301 of Pub. L. 101-649, as amended;
  - (v) Aliens currently under Deferred Enforced Departure (DED) pursuant to a decision made by the President;
  - (vi) Aliens currently in deferred action status; or
  - (vii) Aliens whose visa petition has been approved and who have a pending application for adjustment of status;
- (5) A pending applicant for asylum under section 208(a) of the INA (8 U.S.C. § 1158) or for withholding of removal under section 241(b)(3) of the INA

- (8 U.S.C. § 1231) or under the Convention Against Torture who has been granted employment authorization, and such an applicant under the age of 14 who has had an application pending for at least 180 days;
- (6) An alien who has been granted withholding of removal under the Convention Against Torture;
- (7) A child who has a pending application for Special Immigrant Juvenile status as described in section 101(a)(27)(J) of the INA (8 U.S.C. §1101(a)(27)(J));
- (8) An alien who is lawfully present in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands under 48 U.S.C. § 1806(e); or
- (9) An alien who is lawfully present in American Samoa under the immigration laws of American Samoa.

- ☐ Elected for pregnant women.
- ☐ Elected for children under age

**4.1.1-LR** ☐ The State provides assurance that for an individual whom it enrolls in Medicaid under the CHIPRA Lawfully Residing option, it has verified, at the time of the individual's initial eligibility determination and at the time of the eligibility redetermination, that the individual continues to be lawfully residing in the United States. The State must first attempt to verify this status using information provided at the time of initial application. If the State cannot do so from the information readily available, it must require the individual to provide documentation or further evidence to verify satisfactory immigration status in the same manner as it would for anyone else claiming satisfactory immigration status under section 1137(d) of the Act.

**4.1-DS** ☐ **Supplemental Dental** (Section 2103(c)(5) - A child who is eligible to enroll in dental-only supplemental coverage, effective January 1, 2009. Eligibility is limited to only targeted low-income children who are otherwise eligible for CHIP but for the fact that they are enrolled in a group health plan or health insurance offered through an employer. The State's CHIP plan income eligibility level is at least the highest income eligibility standard under its approved State child health plan (or under a waiver) as of January 1, 2009. All who meet the eligibility standards and apply for dental-only supplemental coverage shall be provided benefits. States choosing this option must report these children separately in SEDS. Please update sections 1.1-DS, 4.2-DS, and 9.10 when electing this option.

**4.2. Assurances** The State assures by checking the box below that it has made the following findings with respect to the eligibility standards in its plan: (Section 2102(b)(1)(B) and 42 CFR 457.320(b))

**4.2.1.** ☒ These standards do not discriminate on the basis of diagnosis.

- 4.2.2. ☒ Within a defined group of covered targeted low-income children, these standards do not cover children of higher income families without covering children with a lower family income. This applies to pregnant women included in the State plan as well as targeted low-income children.
- 4.2.3. ☒ These standards do not deny eligibility based on a child having a pre-existing medical condition. This applies to pregnant women as well as targeted low-income children.

**4.2-DS** Supplemental Dental - Please update sections 1.1-DS, 4.1-DS, and 9.10 when electing this option. For dental-only supplemental coverage, the State assures that it has made the following findings with standards in its plan: (Section 2102(b)(1)(B) and 42 CFR 457.320(b))

- 4.2.1-DS ☐ These standards do not discriminate on the basis of diagnosis.
- 4.2.2-DS ☐ Within a defined group of covered targeted low-income children, these standards do not cover children of higher income families without covering children with a lower family income.
- 4.2.3-DS ☐ These standards do not deny eligibility based on a child having a pre-existing medical condition.

**4.3. Methodology.** Describe the methods of establishing and continuing eligibility and enrollment. The description should address the procedures for applying the eligibility standards, the organization and infrastructure responsible for making and reviewing eligibility determinations, and the process for enrollment of individuals receiving covered services, and whether the State uses the same application form for Medicaid and/or other public benefit programs. (Section 2102)(b)(2)) (42CFR, 457.350)

The application process and eligibility determination process for the CHIP program is integrated into the application and eligibility determination process for Hoosier Healthwise. Eligibility determinations for MCHIP (Title XIX) and SCHIP (XXI) are made by the DFR. Applicants are first screened for eligibility under Title XIX, and if found ineligible, they will be screened for eligibility under Title XXI. Before an application will be approved, income of a parent or guardian must be verified by supporting documentation from the payer. Acceptable items for verifying earnings include: pay stubs, statements from employers, or a wage verification form that is completed by employers. Indiana will conduct follow-up screening to identify when coverage is available through another plan. SCHIP will be discontinued beginning the day the child receives other creditable coverage.

Families who apply for benefits will be advised of the cost sharing requirements under SCHIP, and, to be considered for eligibility under SCHIP, they must agree to meet the cost-sharing requirements if the child is found eligible. In addition a conditional approval notice will be sent to the family and a record will be sent to the premium collection vendor. Once the first premium payment is made, the child becomes enrolled in the program.

At State discretion, requirements related to timely processing of renewals and/or deadlines for families to respond to renewal requests may be temporarily waived for CHIP beneficiaries who reside and/or work in a State or Federally declared disaster area.

At State discretion, the waiting period policy will be temporarily suspended for CHIP applicants and current enrollees who reside and/or work in a State or Federally declared disaster area.

The State will temporarily delay acting on certain changes in circumstances for CHIP beneficiaries whom the state determines are impacted by a State or Federally declared disaster area such that processing the change in a timely manner is not feasible. The state will continue to act on the required changes in circumstance described in 42 CFR 457.342(a) cross-referencing 42 CFR 435.926(d).

At State discretion, requirements related to timely processing of applications may be temporarily waived for CHIP applicants who reside and/or work in a State of Federally declared disaster area.

Guidance:     The box below should be checked as related to children and pregnant women.  
                    Please note: A State providing dental-only supplemental coverage may not have a  
                    waiting list or limit eligibility in any way.

**4.3.1. Limitation on Enrollment** Describe the processes, if any, that a State will use for instituting enrollment caps, establishing waiting lists, and deciding which children will be given priority for enrollment. If this section does not apply to your state, check the box below. (Section 2102(b)(2)) (42CFR, 457.305(b))

The following procedures will be used to determine when the State might need to consider options for containing enrollment and expenditures to ensure that Indiana's budget or annual appropriation is not exceeded. This process was approved by the Children's Health Policy Board in the spring of 2000.

The CHIP office will analyze current and past MCHIP and SCHIP enrollment in order to determine future enrollment trends and projected enrollment levels. CHIP expenditures will be estimated for MCHIP and SCHIP based on previous expenditures and will monitor projected expenses to determine their impact on the budget.

Enrollment and expenditure projections will be utilized to develop an estimate of how many enrollees the State can afford to cover in CHIP and then when to consider implementing mechanisms to ensure that the budget or annual appropriation is not exceeded. Budget limitations may be the result of the state appropriation or the annual federal allocation. If either the state appropriation or the federal allocation is exceeded, the state could choose to:

Allocate additional funds from another source or limit enrollment or expenditures to remain within the original state appropriation or federal allocation by pursuing one of the following options:

- Cover SCHIP at the Medicaid match rate once Title XXI funds are exhausted
  - Establish a waiting list for SCHIP
  - Change the benefit package for SCHIP
  - Implement cost-sharing for SCHIP to a maximum of 5% of a family's income.
- ☐ Check here if this section does not apply to your State.

Guidance: Note that for purposes of presumptive eligibility, States do not need to verify the citizenship status of the child. States electing this option should indicate so in the State plan. (42 CFR 457.355)

**4.3.2.** ☐ Check if the State elects to provide presumptive eligibility for children that meets the requirements of section 1920A of the Act. (Section 2107(e)(1)(L)); (42 CFR 457.355)

Guidance: Describe how the State intends to implement the Express Lane option. Include information on the identified Express Lane agency or agencies, and whether the State will be using the Express Lane eligibility option for the initial eligibility determinations, redeterminations, or both.

**4.3.3-EL Express Lane Eligibility** ☐ Check here if the state elects the option to rely on a finding from an Express Lane agency when determining whether a child satisfies one or more components of CHIP eligibility. The state agrees to comply with the requirements of sections 2107(e)(1)(E) and 1902(e)(13) of the Act for this option. Please update sections 4.4-EL, 5.2-EL, 9.10, and 12.1 when electing this option. This authority may not apply to eligibility determinations made before February 4, 2009, or after September 30, 2013. (Section 2107(e)(1)(E))

**4.3.3.1-EL** Also indicate whether the Express Lane option is applied to (1) initial eligibility determination, (2) redetermination, or (3) both.

**4.3.3.2-EL** List the public agencies approved by the State as Express Lane agencies.

**4.3.3.3-EL** List the components/components of CHIP eligibility that are determined under the Express Lane. In this section, specify any differences in budget unit, deeming, income exclusions, income disregards, or other methodology between CHIP eligibility determinations for such children and the determination under the Express Lane option.

**4.3.3.3-EL** List the component/components of CHIP eligibility that are determined under the Express Lane.

**4.3.3.4-EL** Describe the option used to satisfy the screen and enrollment requirements before a child may be enrolled under title XXI.

Guidance: States should describe the process they use to screen and enroll children required under section 2102(b)(3)(A) and (B) of the Social Security Act and 42 CFR 457.350(a) and 457.80(c). Describe the screening threshold set as a percentage of the Federal poverty level (FPL) that exceeds the highest Medicaid income threshold applicable to a child by a minimum of 30 percentage points. (NOTE: The State may set this threshold higher than 30 percentage points to account for any differences between the income calculation methodologies used by an Express Lane agency and those used by the State for its Medicaid program. The State may set one screening threshold for all children, based on the highest Medicaid income threshold, or it may set more than one screening threshold, based on its existing, age-related Medicaid eligibility thresholds.) Include the screening threshold(s) expressed as a percentage of the FPL, and provide an explanation of how this was calculated. Describe whether the State is temporarily enrolling children in CHIP, based on the income finding from an Express Lane agency, pending the completion of the screen and enroll process.

In this section, states should describe their eligibility screening process in a way that addresses the five assurances specified below. The State should consider including important definitions, the relationship with affected Federal, State and local agencies, and other applicable criteria that will describe the State's ability to make assurances. (Sections 2102(b)(3)(A) and 2110(b)(2)(B)), (42 CFR 457.310(b)(2), 42CFR 457.350(a)(1) and 457.80(c)(3))

**4.4. Eligibility screening and coordination with other health coverage programs**

States must describe how they will assure that:

- 4.4.1.** ☒ only targeted low-income children who are ineligible for Medicaid or not covered under a group health plan or health insurance (including access to a State health benefits plan) are furnished child health assistance under the plan. (Sections 2102(b)(3)(A), 2110(b)(2)(B)) (42 CFR 457.310(b), 42 CFR 457.350(a)(1) and 42 CFR 457.80(c)(3)) Confirm that the State does not apply a waiting period for pregnant women.

Eligibility determinations for MCHIP (Title XIX) and SCHIP (XXI) are made by the DFR. Applicants are first screened for eligibility under Title XIX, and if found ineligible, they will be screened for eligibility under Title XXI. The ICES system has a systematic approach to screening and enrolling an enrollee into the appropriate aid category.

Before an application will be approved, income of a parent or guardian must be verified by supporting documentation from the payer. Acceptable items for verifying earnings

include: pay stubs, statements from employers, or a wage verification form that is completed by employers. Indiana will conduct follow-up screening to identify when coverage is available through another plan. SCHIP will be discontinued beginning the day the child receives other creditable coverage. Four primary methods of third party liability policy gathering will be utilized:

- Absent parent data match using data from the State Wage Information Collection Agency
- Match with data from the Department of Defense that shows CHAMPUS coverage for dependents
- Match through Health Management Systems which matches claims information from the IndianaAIM system with insurance information from private insurance policies.
- The State will utilize the employment section of the application form to identify children who are eligible for dependent coverage under the state employees' health plan

**4.4.2.** ☒ children found through the screening process to be potentially eligible for medical assistance under the State Medicaid plan are enrolled for assistance under such plan; (Section 2102(b)(3)(B)) (42CFR, 457.350(a)(2))

Eligibility determinations for MCHIP (Title XIX) and SCHIP (XXI) are made by the DFR. Applicants are first screened for eligibility under Title XIX, and if found ineligible, they will be screened for eligibility under Title XXI. The ICES system has a systematic approach to screening and enrolling an enrollee into the appropriate aid category.

**4.4.3.** ☒ children found through the screening process to be ineligible for Medicaid are enrolled in CHIP; (Sections 2102(a)(1) and (2) and 2102(c)(2)) (42CFR 431.636(b)(4))

Children who are found not eligible for Medicaid under Title XIX, are enrolled in MCHIP if they are up to 158% FPL and do not have other insurance. Title XIX, rather than Title XXI, is used to provide services for children who are under 158% FPL but who have other health insurance. The enhanced match does not apply for these children since they do not fall under the targeted low-income definition due to their other insurance coverage. Children who are above 158% but not more than 250% FPL, who do not have other health coverage and who meet the other CHIP eligibility requirements are enrolled in SCHIP if the parent/guardian agrees to the cost sharing obligation.

**4.4.4.** ☒ the insurance provided under the State child health plan does not substitute for coverage under group health plans. (Section 2102(b)(3)(C)) (42CFR, 457.805) Indiana has instituted a number of mechanisms designed to address crowd out. To ensure that CHIP enrollees do not have other health insurance, the State requires that all CHIP



recipients attest to the lack of current health care coverage and specify the date of last coverage.

Since the MCHIP program limits family income to 158% of poverty, crowd out is not a significant issue because many of the lower income families do not have the option of employer-based health insurance.

Crowd out is of greater concern under SCHIP due to the higher income threshold. As such, Indiana has instituted three-month waiting periods and monthly premiums as crowd out deterrents under the SCHIP program. Also provisions included in Public Law 273-1999 prohibit insurers from knowingly or intentionally referring children covered under their dependent coverage policies to the CHIP program.

The Hoosier Healthwise application asks "Did any applicants who do not have health insurance lose their coverage in the last three months? Please tell us why coverage was lost." The choices are:

- Loss of employment
- Could not afford
- Coverage limit reached
- Company ended coverage
- Non-custodial parent dropped coverage
- Divorce
- Other

This information (for both approved and denied children) is entered into the ICES and monitored for signs of crowd-out among applicants. Applicants who lose coverage involuntarily are not subjected to the three-month waiting period. Denial reasons are tracked, resulting in:

- Count of applicants who were denied because they voluntarily dropped coverage but did not wait the required three months before applying

- Count of applicants who were denied because they currently carry private insurance

- Count of currently enrolled children who are denied because they gained private coverage rendering them ineligible for CHIP. This information is monitored using our data warehouse.

- 4.4.4.1.** ☐ (formerly 4.4.4.4) If the State provides coverage under a premium assistance program, describe: 1) the minimum period without coverage under a group health plan. This should include any allowable exceptions to the waiting period; 2) the expected minimum level of contribution employers will make; and 3) how cost-effectiveness is determined. (42CFR 457.810(a)-(c))

- 4.4.5. ☒ Child health assistance is provided to targeted low-income children in the State who are American Indian and Alaska Native. (Section 2102(b)(3)(D)) (42 CFR 457.125(a))

Targeted low-income children of American Indian and Alaskan Native families are allowed to enroll in CHIP. The eligibility system has been modified to allow a manual system override procedure to exempt any of these individuals from cost-sharing once they have been deemed eligible.

Guidance: When the State is using an income finding from an Express Lane agency, the State must still comply with screen and enroll requirements before enrolling children in CHIP. The State may either continue its current screen and enroll process, or elect one of two new options to fulfill these requirements.

**4.4-EL** The State should designate the option it will be using to carry out screen and enroll requirements:

- ☐ The State will continue to use the screen and enroll procedures required under section 2102(b)(3)(A) and (B) of the Social Security Act and 42 CFR 457.350(a) and 42 CFR 457.80(c). Describe this process.
- ☐ The State is establishing a screening threshold set as a percentage of the Federal poverty level (FPL) that exceeds the highest Medicaid income threshold applicable to a child by a minimum of 30 percentage points. (NOTE: The State may set this threshold higher than 30 percentage points to account for any differences between the income calculation methodologies used by the Express Lane agency and those used by the State for its Medicaid program. The State may set one screening threshold for all children, based on the highest Medicaid income threshold, or it may set more than one screening threshold, based on its existing, age-related Medicaid eligibility thresholds.) Include the screening threshold(s) expressed as a percentage of the FPL, and provide an explanation of how this was calculated.
- ☐ The State is temporarily enrolling children in CHIP, based on the income finding from the Express Lane agency, pending the completion of the screen and enroll process.

## **Section 5. Outreach and Coordination**

- 5.1.** (formerly 2.2) Describe the current State efforts to provide or obtain creditable health coverage for uninsured children by addressing sections 5.1.1 and 5.1.2. (Section 2102)(a)(2) (42CFR 457.80(b))

Guidance: The information below may include whether the state elects express lane

eligibility a description of the State's outreach efforts through Medicaid and state-only programs.

- 5.1.1.** (formerly 2.2.1.) The steps the State is currently taking to identify and enroll all uninsured children who are eligible to participate in public health insurance programs (i.e., Medicaid and state-only child health insurance):

Program: Purpose

Division of Family Resources (DFR) Enrollment Centers: Individuals currently apply for Hoosier Healthwise at one of more than 300 enrollment centers or through the offices of the Division of Family Resources (DFR) located in all 92 counties throughout the State. These enrollment centers can provide information on the programs under Medicaid.

Eligibility Modernization Project: Beginning October 29, 2007 the State of Indiana is modernizing its eligibility process. There is a uniform call center for questions regarding eligibility, enrollment, and Medicaid Programs.

Free and Reduced Lunch Program: Literature regarding the Medicaid program is distributed to all children that participate in the free and reduced lunch program.

Children's Special Health Care Services (CSHSC) Program: Insurance program that provides medical assistance to approximately 8,000 families of children who have certain chronic medical conditions and who also meet medical and financial eligibility requirements. Children are referred to the CSHCS program by providers and by other programs throughout the State. CSHCS requires that children who apply for the program also apply for Medicaid.

MOMS Helpline: Provides health care information and referrals through a toll free telephone number. The MOMS Helpline staff screen all clients for Hoosier Healthwise eligibility and provide appropriate referrals.

Local Health Departments: Local Health Departments (LHDs) provide immunizations, lead screenings and other direct services to individuals throughout Indiana. Some LHDs have special staff dedicated specifically to outreach activities.

First Steps: Indiana's early intervention system for infants and toddlers, who have developmental delays, brings together federal, state, local, and private funding sources in order to create a coordinated, community-based system of services. In each community, a "child find" system is developed and is utilized to identify, locate and evaluate children who are eligible for early intervention services. First Steps collaborates with the DFR by distributing information about the Hoosier Healthwise program.

Community Health Centers (CHC): These centers design their services around needs identified in their particular communities. Many of the CHCs engage in significant outreach activities and some serve as Hoosier Healthwise enrollment centers.

Indiana Minority Health Coalition: Used to promote healthy lifestyles through disease prevention and health awareness; and to provide referrals, information services, community outreach, and program services. The agency also collaborates with these coalitions on outreach activities for the immunization program and other programs administered by the agency. In addition, Indiana has developed statewide enrollment partnerships with Indiana Black Expo, the Wishard Hospital Hispanic Health Project and the Indiana Primary Health Care Association (IPHCA).

Guidance: The State may address the coordination between the public-private outreach and the public health programs that is occurring statewide. This section will provide a historic record of the steps the State is taking to identify and enroll all uninsured children from the time the State's plan was initially approved. States do not have to rewrite this section but may instead update this section as appropriate.

- 5.1.2.** (formerly 2.2.2.) The steps the State is currently taking to identify and enroll all uninsured children who are eligible to participate in health insurance programs that involve a public-private partnership:

ISDH and the IPHCA Through a collaborative arrangement between the ISDH and the IPHCA, health care services are provided to children and other individuals throughout the State. This arrangement was designed to improve access to primary health care programs for the medically underserved; individuals at poverty level; working poor; migrant and seasonal farm workers; the homeless; and individuals who lack health care due to geographic, financial and/or cultural barriers.

DMHA: The DMH has undertaken a collaborative effort with mental health providers throughout the state. The providers act as mini-HMOs in that they receive a payment up-front from the DMH, and, in return, provide a full array of mental health services to seriously emotionally disturbed children who are at 200% of poverty or below. The DMH is also involved in the Dawn Project, a collaborative effort with the Department of Education (DOE), Division of Special Education, the Marion County Office of Family and Children, the Marion County Superior Court Juvenile Division and the Marion County Mental Health Association. The goal of this pilot project is to provide community-based services to children and youth in Marion County who are seriously emotionally disturbed and who are at imminent risk of long-term inpatient psychiatric hospitalization or residential care. Families are assigned a service coordinator who works with the family to design an array of services that meet the individual needs of the child and family. Referrals to the program come primarily from the Office of Family and Children, the DOE and the Juvenile Court.

Guidance: The State should describe below how it's Title XXI program will closely coordinate the enrollment with Medicaid because under Title XXI, children identified as Medicaid-eligible are required to be enrolled in Medicaid. Specific information related to Medicaid screen and enroll procedures is requested in Section 4.4. (42CFR 457.80(c))

- 5.2.** (formerly 2.3) Describe how CHIP coordinates with other public and private health insurance programs, other sources of health benefits coverage for children, other relevant child health programs, (such as title V), that provide health care services for low-income

children to increase the number of children with creditable health coverage. (Section 2102(a)(3), 2102(b)(3)(E) and 2102(c)(2)) (42CFR 457.80(c)). This item requires a brief overview of how Title XXI efforts – particularly new enrollment outreach efforts – will be coordinated with and improve upon existing State efforts.

The SCHIP program, the MCHIP Medicaid expansion, and the Title XIX Medicaid program are all closely coordinated. Individuals who apply for benefits will be considered for each of the programs. Since the CHIP program is a component of Hoosier Healthwise, the CHIP program utilizes the same delivery system as is already in place for Medicaid. The goal is to provide a medical home for each child, and to establish a seamless system of care. This ensures that the programs are coordinated not only for the benefit it provides to enrollees, but also for the purpose of administrative simplification and efficiency. For example, Family and Social Services Administration (FSSA) has modified Indiana Client Eligibility System (ICES), CORE MMIS, FSSA's cost allocation plan, and other related systems to correctly reflect expenditures eligible for reimbursement from Indiana's federal CHIP allocation.

**5.2-EL** The State should include a description of its election of the Express Lane eligibility option to provide a simplified eligibility determination process and expedited enrollment of eligible children into Medicaid or CHIP.

Guidance: Outreach strategies may include, but are not limited to, community outreach workers, outstationed eligibility workers, translation and transportation services, assistance with enrollment forms, case management and other targeting activities to inform families of low-income children of the availability of the health insurance program under the plan or other private or public health coverage.

The description should include information on how the State will inform the target of the availability of the programs, including American Indians and Alaska Natives, and assist them in enrolling in the appropriate program.

**5.3. Strategies** Describe the procedures used by the State to accomplish outreach to families of children likely to be eligible for child health assistance or other public or private health coverage to inform them of the availability of the programs, and to assist them in enrolling their children in such a program. (Section 2102(c)(1)) (42CFR 457.90)

The outreach initiatives are listed below.

Central Office Activities:

The steps the central DFR office took are:

- ☐ Issuing a new policy directive regarding enhancing outreach and enrollment
- ☐ Analyzing the number of uninsured children per county
- ☐ Reviewing equipment specifications and technical needs so that local providers and agencies who want to partner with the State can purchase compatible equipment
- ☐ Developing a simplified shortened or joint Hoosier Healthwise application form
- ☐ Developing program outreach materials and campaigns

- ☐ Delinking Hoosier Healthwise from TANF in the computer system
- ☐ Redesigning the membership card so that no stigma is attached to carrying the card
- ☐ Creating a new training curriculum for caseworkers and other individuals
- ☐ Developing relationships with hospitals, schools, health centers and social service agencies to create enrollment centers statewide

#### Local Efforts:

Local DFR agency efforts include:

- ☐ Each office is given a county-specific enrollment target and are furnished a list of names of individuals and entities that they were required to contact
- ☐ Each office is responsible for working with partners in the individual communities and develop local enrollment centers
- ☐ Each office is required to develop local outreach plans geared to the specific communities.

#### State and Local Collaborations:

The State worked with eight community coalitions on a three year Robert Wood Johnson (RWJ) Covering Kids outreach grant which targeted hard to reach populations.

Public Law 273-1999 provides that the CHIP program may contract with community entities for services such as outreach and enrollment, and consumer education.

In addition to the collaborations with external coalitions, OMPP has determined that it is effective to employ local health care partners in eligibility intake efforts for the CHIP program. Toward this end, the state has contracted with Health and Hospital Corporation of Marion County (HHC), which operates Wishard Hospital, for CHIP eligibility intake services (including appropriate proportioned costs for facilities to carry out these services). These services enhance and complement other services provided by HHC, which include eligibility outstation services for both Medicaid and CHIP. HHC conducts eligibility intake to potentially eligible targeted low income children at the hospital, at other health care facilities owned by HHC, and at health fairs, schools, and other local community organizations.

#### Special Populations:

The State appears at every Black Expo, the IMHC, and the Wishard Hospital Hispanic Health Access Initiative to develop culturally sensitive materials and to implement outreach initiatives.

### **Section 6. Coverage Requirements for Children's Health Insurance**

- ☐ Check here if the State elects to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded eligibility under the State's Medicaid plan and proceed to Section 7 since children covered under a Medicaid expansion program will receive all Medicaid covered services including EPSDT.

**6.1.** The State elects to provide the following forms of coverage to children: (Check all that apply.) (Section 2103(c)); (42CFR 457.410(a))

Guidance: Benchmark coverage is substantially equal to the benefits coverage in a

benchmark benefit package (FEHBP-equivalent coverage, State employee coverage, and/or the HMO coverage plan that has the largest insured commercial, non-Medicaid enrollment in the state). If box below is checked, either 6.1.1.1., 6.1.1.2., or 6.1.1.3. must also be checked. (Section 2103(a)(1))

**6.1.1. ☐ Benchmark coverage; (Section 2103(a)(1) and 42 CFR 457.420)**

Guidance: Check box below if the benchmark benefit package to be offered by the State is the standard Blue Cross/Blue Shield preferred provider option service benefit plan, as described in and offered under Section 8903(1) of Title 5, United States Code. (Section 2103(b)(1) (42 CFR 457.420(b))

**6.1.1.1. ☐ FEHBP-equivalent coverage; (Section 2103(b)(1) (42 CFR 457.420(a)) (If checked, attach copy of the plan.)**

Guidance: Check box below if the benchmark benefit package to be offered by the State is State employee coverage, meaning a coverage plan that is offered and generally available to State employees in the state. (Section 2103(b)(2))

**6.1.1.2. ☐ State employee coverage; (Section 2103(b)(2)) (If checked, identify the plan and attach a copy of the benefits description.)**

Guidance: Check box below if the benchmark benefit package to be offered by the State is offered by a health maintenance organization (as defined in Section 2791(b)(3) of the Public Health Services Act) and has the largest insured commercial, non-Medicaid enrollment of covered lives of such coverage plans offered by an HMO in the state. (Section 2103(b)(3) (42 CFR 457.420(c)))

**6.1.1.3. ☐ HMO with largest insured commercial enrollment (Section 2103(b)(3)) (If checked, identify the plan and attach a copy of the benefits description.)**

Guidance: States choosing Benchmark-equivalent coverage must check the box below and ensure that the coverage meets the following requirements:

- the coverage includes benefits for items and services within each of the categories of basic services described in 42 CFR 457.430:
  - dental services
  - inpatient and outpatient hospital services,
  - physicians' services,
  - surgical and medical services,

- laboratory and x-ray services,
- well-baby and well-child care, including age-appropriate immunizations,  
and
- emergency services;
- the coverage has an aggregate actuarial value that is at least actuarially equivalent to one of the benchmark benefit packages (FEHBP-equivalent coverage, State employee coverage, or coverage offered through an HMO coverage plan that has the largest insured commercial enrollment in the state); and
- the coverage has an actuarial value that is equal to at least 75 percent of the actuarial value of the additional categories in such package, if offered, as described in 42 CFR 457.430:
  - coverage of prescription drugs,
  - mental health services,
  - vision services and
  - hearing services.

If 6.1.2. is checked, a signed actuarial memorandum must be attached. The actuary who prepares the opinion must select and specify the standardized set and population to be used under paragraphs (b)(3) and (b)(4) of 42 CFR 457.431. The State must provide sufficient detail to explain the basis of the methodologies used to estimate the actuarial value or, if requested by CMS, to replicate the State results.

The actuarial report must be prepared by an individual who is a member of the American Academy of Actuaries. This report must be prepared in accordance with the principles and standards of the American Academy of Actuaries. In preparing the report, the actuary must use generally accepted actuarial principles and methodologies, use a standardized set of utilization and price factors, use a standardized population that is representative of privately insured children of the age of children who are expected to be covered under the State child health plan, apply the same principles and factors in comparing the value of different coverage (or categories of services), without taking into account any differences in coverage based on the method of delivery or means of cost control or utilization used, and take into account the ability of a State to reduce benefits by taking into account the increase in actuarial value of benefits coverage offered under the State child health plan that results from the limitations on cost sharing under such coverage. (Section 2103(a)(2))

**6.1.2. ☒**

Benchmark-equivalent coverage; (Section 2103(a)(2) and 42 CFR 457.430)  
Specify the coverage, including the amount, scope and duration of each service, as well as any exclusions or limitations. Attach a signed actuarial report that meets the requirements specified in 42 CFR 457.431.



See instructions. (See Attachment A, benefits package, and Attachment B, actuarial opinion memo)

Guidance: A State approved under the provision below, may modify its program from time to time so long as it continues to provide coverage at least equal to the lower of the actuarial value of the coverage under the program as of August 5, 1997, or one of the benchmark programs. If “existing comprehensive state-based coverage” is modified, an actuarial opinion documenting that the actuarial value of the modification is greater than the value as of August 5, 1997, or one of the benchmark plans must be attached. Also, the fiscal year 1996 State expenditures for “existing comprehensive state-based coverage” must be described in the space provided for all states. (Section 2103(a)(3))

- 6.1.3. ☐ Existing Comprehensive State-Based Coverage; (Section 2103(a)(3) and 42 CFR 457.440) This option is only applicable to New York, Florida, and Pennsylvania. Attach a description of the benefits package, administration, and date of enactment. If existing comprehensive State-based coverage is modified, provide an actuarial opinion documenting that the actuarial value of the modification is greater than the value as of August 5, 1997 or one of the benchmark plans. Describe the fiscal year 1996 State expenditures for existing comprehensive state-based coverage.

Guidance: Secretary-approved coverage refers to any other health benefits coverage deemed appropriate and acceptable by the Secretary upon application by a state. (Section 2103(a)(4)) (42 CFR 457.250)

- 6.1.4. ☐ Secretary-approved Coverage. (Section 2103(a)(4)) (42 CFR 457.450)

6.1.4.1. ☐ Coverage the same as Medicaid State plan

6.1.4.2. ☐ Comprehensive coverage for children under a Medicaid Section 1115 demonstration waiver

6.1.4.3. ☐ Coverage that either includes the full EPSDT benefit or that the State has extended to the entire Medicaid population

Guidance: Check below if the coverage offered includes benchmark coverage, as specified in ☐ 457.420, plus additional coverage. Under this option, the State must clearly demonstrate that the coverage it provides includes the same coverage as the benchmark package, and also describes the services that are being added to the benchmark package.

6.1.4.4. ☐ Coverage that includes benchmark coverage plus additional coverage

- 6.1.4.5. ☐ Coverage that is the same as defined by existing comprehensive state-based coverage applicable only New York, Pennsylvania, or Florida (under 457.440)

Guidance: Check below if the State is purchasing coverage through a group health plan, and intends to demonstrate that the group health plan is substantially equivalent to or greater than to coverage under one of the benchmark plans specified in 457.420, through use of a benefit-by-benefit comparison of the coverage. Provide a sample of the comparison format that will be used. Under this option, if coverage for any benefit does not meet or exceed the coverage for that benefit under the benchmark, the State must provide an actuarial analysis as described in 457.431 to determine actuarial equivalence.

- 6.1.4.6. ☐ Coverage under a group health plan that is substantially equivalent to or greater than benchmark coverage through a benefit by benefit comparison (Provide a sample of how the comparison will be done)

Guidance: Check below if the State elects to provide a source of coverage that is not described above. Describe the coverage that will be offered, including any benefit limitations or exclusions.

- 6.1.4.7. ☐ Other (Describe)

Guidance: All forms of coverage that the State elects to provide to children in its plan must be checked. The State should also describe the scope, amount and duration of services covered under its plan, as well as any exclusions or limitations. States that choose to cover unborn children under the State plan should include a separate section 6.2 that specifies benefits for the unborn child population. (Section 2110(a)) (42CFR, 457.490)

If the state elects to cover the new option of targeted low income pregnant women, but chooses to provide a different benefit package for these pregnant women under the CHIP plan, the state must include a separate section 6.2 describing the benefit package for pregnant women. (Section 2112)

- 6.2. The State elects to provide the following forms of coverage to children: (Check all that apply. If an item is checked, describe the coverage with respect to the amount, duration and scope of services covered, as well as any exclusions or limitations) (Section 2110(a)) (42CFR 457.490)

6.2.1. ☒ Inpatient services (Section 2110(a)(1))

6.2.2. ☒ Outpatient services (Section 2110(a)(2))

- 6.2.3. ☒ Physician services (Section 2110(a)(3))
- 6.2.4. ☒ Surgical services (Section 2110(a)(4))
- 6.2.5. ☒ Clinic services (including health center services) and other ambulatory health care services. (Section 2110(a)(5))
- 6.2.6. ☒ Prescription drugs (Section 2110(a)(6))
- 6.2.7. ☒ Over-the-counter medications (Section 2110(a)(7))
- 6.2.8. ☒ Laboratory and radiological services (Section 2110(a)(8))
- 6.2.9. ☒ Prenatal care and pre-pregnancy family services and supplies (Section 2110(a)(9))
- 6.2.10. ☒ Durable medical equipment and other medically-related or remedial devices (such as prosthetic devices, implants, eyeglasses, hearing aids, dental devices, and adaptive devices) (Section 2110(a)(12))
- 6.2.11. ☒ Disposable medical supplies (Section 2110(a)(13))
- Guidance: Home and community based services may include supportive services such as home health nursing services, home health aide services, personal care, assistance with activities of daily living, chore services, day care services, respite care services, training for family members, and minor modifications to the home.
- 6.2.12. ☒ Home and community-based health care services (Section 2110(a)(14))
- Guidance: Nursing services may include nurse practitioner services, nurse midwife services, advanced practice nurse services, private duty nursing care, pediatric nurse services, and respiratory care services in a home, school or other setting.
- 6.2.13. ☒ Nursing care services (Section 2110(a)(15))
- 6.2.14. ☒ Abortion only if necessary to save the life of the mother or if the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest (Section 2110(a)(16))
- 6.2.15. ☒ Dental services (Section 2110(a)(17)) States updating their dental benefits must complete 6.2-DC (CHIPRA # 7, SHO # #09-012 issued October 7, 2009)
- 6.2.16. ☒ Vision screenings and services (Section 2110(a)(24))

- 6.2.17. ☒ Hearing screenings and services (Section 2110(a)(24))
- 6.2.18. ☐ Case management services (Section 2110(a)(20))
- 6.2.19. ☐ Care coordination services (Section 2110(a)(21))
- 6.2.20. ☒ Physical therapy, occupational therapy, and services for individuals with speech, hearing, and language disorders (Section 2110(a)(22))
- 6.2.21. ☒ Hospice care (Section 2110(a)(23))
- Guidance: See guidance for section 6.1.4.1 for a guidance on the statutory requirements for EPSDT under sections 1905(r) and 1902(a)(43) of the Act. If the benefit being provided does not meet the EPSDT statutory requirements, do not check this box.
- 6.2.22. ☒ EPSDT consistent with requirements of sections 1905(r) and 1902(a)(43) of the Act
- 6.2.22.1 ☒ The state assures that any limitations applied to the amount, duration, and scope of benefits described in Sections 6.2 and 6.3- BH of the CHIP state plan can be exceeded as medically necessary.
- Guidance: Any other medical, diagnostic, screening, preventive, restorative, remedial, therapeutic or rehabilitative service may be provided, whether in a facility, home, school, or other setting, if recognized by State law and only if the service is: 1) prescribed by or furnished by a physician or other licensed or registered practitioner within the scope of practice as prescribed by State law; 2) performed under the general supervision or at the direction of a physician; or 3) furnished by a health care facility that is operated by a State or local government or is licensed under State law and operating within the scope of the license.
- 6.2.23. ☒ Any other medical, diagnostic, screening, preventive, restorative, remedial, therapeutic, or rehabilitative services. (Section 2110(a)(24))
- 6.2.24. ☐ Premiums for private health care insurance coverage (Section 2110(a)(25))
- 6.2.25. ☒ Medical transportation (Section 2110(a)(26))
- Guidance: Enabling services, such as transportation, translation, and outreach services, may be offered only if designed to increase the accessibility of primary and preventive health care services for eligible low-income individuals.

- 6.2.26. ☐ Enabling services (such as transportation, translation, and outreach services) (Section 2110(a)(27))
- 6.2.27. ☒ Any other health care services or items specified by the Secretary and not included under this Section (Section 2110(a)(28))

Effective March 11, 2021 and through the last day of the first calendar quarter that begins one year after the last day of the COVID-19 emergency period described in section 1135(g)(1)(B) of the Act, and for all populations covered in the CHIP state child health plan:

COVID-19 Vaccine:

- The state provides coverage of COVID-19 vaccines and their administration, in accordance with the requirements of section 2103(c)(11)(A) of the Act.

COVID-19 Testing:

- The state provides coverage of COVID-19 testing, in accordance with the requirements of section 2103(c)(11)(B) of the Act.
- The state assures that coverage of COVID-19 testing is consistent with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) definitions of diagnostic and screening testing for COVID-19 and its recommendations for who should receive diagnostic and screening tests for COVID-19.
- The state assures that coverage includes all types of FDA authorized COVID-19 tests.

COVID-19 Treatment:

- The state assures that the following coverage of treatments for COVID-19 are provided without amount, duration, or scope limitations, in accordance with requirements of section 2103(c)(11)(B) of the Act:
  - The state provides coverage of treatments for COVID-19 including specialized equipment and therapies (including preventive therapies);
  - The state provides coverage of any non-pharmacological item or service described in section 2110(a) of the Act, that is medically necessary for treatment of COVID-19; and
  - The state provides coverage of any drug or biological that is

approved (or licensed) by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) or authorized by the FDA under an Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) to treat or prevent COVID-19, consistent with the applicable authorizations.

Coverage for a Condition That May Seriously Complicate the Treatment of COVID-19:

- The state provides coverage for treatment of a condition that may seriously complicate COVID-19 treatment without amount, duration, or scope limitations, during the period when a beneficiary is diagnosed with or is presumed to have COVID-19, in accordance with the requirements of section 2103(c)(11)(B) of the Act.

**6.2-BH Behavioral Health Coverage** Section 2103(c)(5) requires that states provide coverage to prevent, diagnose, and treat a broad range of mental health and substance use disorders in a culturally and linguistically appropriate manner for all CHIP enrollees, including pregnant women and unborn children.

Guidance: Please attach a copy of the state's periodicity schedule. For pregnancy-related coverage, please describe the recommendations being followed for those services.

**6.2.1- BH Periodicity Schedule** The state has adopted the following periodicity schedule for behavioral health screenings and assessments. Please specify any differences between any covered CHIP populations:

- ☐ State-developed schedule
- ☒ American Academy of Pediatrics/ Bright Futures
- ☐ Other Nationally recognized periodicity schedule (please specify: \_\_\_\_\_)
- ☐ Other (please describe: \_\_\_\_\_)

**6.3- BH Covered Benefits** Please check off the behavioral health services that are provided to the state's CHIP populations, and provide a description of the amount, duration, and scope of each benefit. For each benefit, please also indicate whether the benefit is available for mental health and/or substance use disorders. If there are differences in benefits based on the population or type of condition being treated, please specify those differences.

If EPSDT is provided, as described at Section 6.2.22 and 6.2.22.1, the state should only check off the applicable benefits. It does not have to provide additional information regarding the amount, duration, and scope of each covered behavioral health benefit.

Guidance: Please include a description of the services provided in addition to the behavioral

health screenings and assessments described in the assurance below at 6.3.1.1-BH.

**6.3.1- BH** ☒ Behavioral health screenings and assessments. (Section 2103(c)(6)(A))

**6.3.1.1- BH** ☒ The state assures that all developmental and behavioral health recommendations outlined in the AAP Bright Futures periodicity schedule and United States Public Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommendations graded as A and B are covered as a part of the CHIP benefit package, as appropriate for the covered populations.

Guidance: Examples of facilitation efforts include requiring managed care organizations and their networks to use such tools in primary care practice, providing education, training, and technical resources, and covering the costs of administering or purchasing the tools.

**6.3.1.2- BH** ☒ The state assures that it will implement a strategy to facilitate the use of age-appropriate validated behavioral health screening tools in primary care settings. Please describe how the state will facilitate the use of validated screening tools.

As part of the State's facilitation efforts, currently contractually obligates each Managed Care Entity and their networks to follow the latest guidance from the American Academy of Pediatrics.

At this time, the State disseminates information through the use of provider manuals with information on how to access the latest public tools from the American Academy of Pediatrics. Policy changes that lead to a manual update or edit are also communicated with providers through bulletins which are electronic notices sent to all providers to sign up for the service.

**6.3.2- BH** ☒ Outpatient services (Sections 2110(a)(11) and 2110(a)(19))

Guidance: Psychosocial treatment includes services such as psychotherapy, group therapy, family therapy and other types of counseling services.

**6.3.2.1- BH** ☒ Psychosocial treatment

Provided for: ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorder

Direct reimbursement is allowed for psychosocial treatment provided by licensed physicians, psychologists endorsed as a health service provider in psychology (HSPP), outpatient mental health facilities, psychiatric hospitals, and psychiatric wings of acute

care hospitals.

Current state regulation requires prior authorization (PA) for mental health services provided in an outpatient or office setting that exceed 20 units per member, per provider, per rolling 12-month period.

**6.3.2.2- BH** ☒ Tobacco cessation  
Provided for: ☒ Substance Use Disorder

Tobacco dependence treatments are reimbursable pursuant to State regulation 405 Indiana Administrative Code (“IAC”) 5-37. Treatment may include prescription of any combination of FDA-approved tobacco dependence treatment products and counseling. Providers can prescribe one or more modalities of treatment. Providers must include counseling in any combination of treatment.

Guidance: In order to provide a benefit package consistent with section 2103(c)(5) of the Act, MAT benefits are required for the treatment of opioid use disorders. However, if the state provides MAT for other SUD conditions, please include a description of those benefits below at section 6.3.2.3- BH.

**6.3.2.3- BH** ☒ Medication Assisted Treatment  
Provided for: ☒ Substance Use Disorder

For children who may not meet the OTP criteria, buprenorphine and naltrexone can be provided to a member as a pharmacy benefit outside of the OTP bundle when prescribed by licensed providers practicing within their scope. Additionally, all FDA approved MAT medications are available as part of the benefit. Certain psychiatric codes in combination are subject to 20 units per member per provider per rolling 12-month period without prior authorization. Additional units may be authorized via the prior authorization (PA) process. Other services that are traditionally associated with MAT are covered outside of OTPs including, but not limited to the following:

- Evaluation to assess for medications associated with treatment of substance use disorder (including alcohol, sedative hypnotic, nicotine, or opioid use disorder)
- Prescribing medication for treatment of substance use disorder when clinically indicated
- Daily, weekly, or monthly follow-up assessment with patient associated with prescribing medication for treatment of a substance use disorder
- Laboratory or other medical monitoring necessary for medication associated with treatment of substance use disorder
- Prescribing additional FDA approved medications as medically needed by patient



#### **6.3.2.3.1- BH ☒ Opioid Use Disorder**

The State currently covers Opioid Treatment Program (“OTP”) services as long as beneficiaries meet the defined medical necessity criteria. Services in an OTP are provided as part of a weekly bundled rate. The weekly bundled rate includes reimbursement for the following services (listed with restrictions):

- U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved opioid agonist and antagonist medication assisted treatment (MAT) medication
- Dispensing and administration of MAT medications
- Substance use disorder counseling
- Toxicology testing
- Group or individual psychotherapy, as required by Division of Mental Health and Addiction (“DMHA”)
- Intake activities
- Periodic assessments

Other services not defined as OTP services in this section may be reimbursable by an opioid treatment program provider if deemed appropriate by the Office of Medicaid Policy and Planning (OMPP).

#### **Medical Necessity Criteria:**

- Must be addicted to an opioid drug
- Must have two documented unsuccessful attempts at short-term withdrawal management or drug-free addiction treatment within a 12-month period preceding admission
  - If CHIP beneficiary is aged 18 -19 years old then this requirement becomes: “Must have been addicted for at least one year before admission to the OTP.”
- Must meet the criteria for the Opioid Treatment Services (OTS) level of care, according to all six dimensions of the ASAM Patient Placement Criteria

#### **6.3.2.3.2- BH ☒ Alcohol Use Disorder**

Same restrictions as listed above in Section 6.3.2.3.1.

#### **6.3.2.3.3- BH ☐ Other**

#### **6.3.2.4- BH ☒ Peer Support**

Provided for: ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorder

Peer recovery services are individual, face-to-face services that provide structured, scheduled activities that promote socialization, recovery, self-advocacy, development of natural supports, and maintenance of community living skills. Peer recovery services are available without prior authorization up to 365 hours (1,460 units) per rolling calendar year. Additional units may be authorized via the prior authorization (PA) process.

**6.3.2.5- BH** ☐ Caregiver Support

Provided for: ☐ Mental Health ☐ Substance Use Disorder

**6.3.2.6- BH** ☐ Respite Care

Provided for: ☐ Mental Health ☐ Substance Use Disorder

These services are provided fee-for-service to S-CHIP children if the services are determined to be medically necessary on an individual basis in order to treat, correct, or ameliorate an illness or condition.

**6.3.2.7- BH** ☐ Intensive in-home services

Provided for: ☐ Mental Health ☐ Substance Use Disorder

These services are provided fee-for-service to S-CHIP children if the services are determined to be medically necessary on an individual basis in order to treat, correct, or ameliorate an illness or condition.

**6.3.2.8- BH** ☒ Intensive outpatient

Provided for: ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorder

Intensive Outpatient Treatment (“IOT”) is a treatment program that operates at least 3 hours per day, at least 3 days per week. IOT is planned and organized with mental and behavioral health professionals and clinicians providing multiple treatment service components for rehabilitation of alcohol and other drug abuse or dependence in a group setting. IOT includes individual and family therapy, group therapy, skills training, medication training and support, peer recovery services, care coordination, and counseling. The State requires Prior Authorization and the provision of at least 120 minutes of therapeutic interventions (for example, individual/family or group therapy) per 3 hour session.

**6.3.2.9- BH** ☒ Psychosocial rehabilitation

Provided for: ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorder

**The State incorporates Psychosocial Rehabilitation into its Medicaid Rehabilitation Option (MRO) services. The State defines Psychosocial Rehabilitation as services**

delivered through a community-based accredited clubhouse setting in which the member, with staff assistance, is engaged in operating all aspects of the program, including clerical, reception, janitorial, and food services, as well as receiving other member services such as employment training, housing assistance, and educational support. However, for Psychosocial Rehabilitation services to be considered appropriate for a member, the member serious mental illness and/or a co-occurring substance use disorder who have a Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (“CANS”) or Adult Needs and Strengths Assessment (“ANSA”) Level of Need (LON) of 3 or higher.

If determined that a CHIP enrollee meets the clinical criteria, then the CHIP beneficiary may receive Psychosocial Rehabilitation and other medically necessary MRO services, without transitioning to Medicaid. However, CHIP MRO services are subject to the same coverage policies and benefit limitations as traditional Medicaid.

Guidance: If the state considers day treatment and partial hospitalization to be the same benefit, please indicate that in the benefit description. If there are differences between these benefits, such as the staffing or intensity of the setting, please specify those in the description of the benefit’s amount, duration, and scope.

**6.3.3- BH** ☐ Day Treatment

Provided for: ☐ Mental Health ☐ Substance Use Disorder

The state considers day treatment and partial hospitalization to be the same benefit

**6.3.3.1- BH** ☒ Partial Hospitalization

Provided for: ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorder

Partial hospitalization programs are highly intensive, time-limited medical services intended to either provide a transition from inpatient psychiatric hospitalization to community-based care or, in some cases, substitute for an inpatient admission.

Partial hospitalization programs must include four to six hours of active treatment per day and must be provided at least four days a week.

Any Child & Adolescent Needs and Strengths Assessment (“CANS”) level of need can qualify for partial hospitalization services. All partial hospitalization services will require prior authorization and review by the health plan for medical necessity.

**6.3.4- BH** ☒ Inpatient services, including services furnished in a state-operated mental hospital and including residential or other 24-hour therapeutically planned structural services (Sections 2110(a)(10) and 2110(a)(18))

Provided for: ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorder

CHIP members must meet medical necessity to be eligible for acute inpatient psychiatric or inpatient substance abuse services. Reimbursement is available to managed care entities for inpatient care provided in a freestanding psychiatric hospital or in the psychiatric unit of an acute care hospital only when the need for admission has been certified. Inpatient services furnished in a State Psychiatric Facility are reimbursable fee-for-service as long as the CHIP beneficiary is in a certified wing.

Guidance: If applicable, please clarify any differences within the residential treatment benefit (e.g. intensity of services, provider types, or settings in which the residential treatment services are provided).

**6.3.4.1- BH** ☒ Residential Treatment

Provided for: ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorder

Coverage for short-term low-intensity and high-intensity residential treatment for MH, OUD, and other SUD treatment in settings of all sizes, including facilities that qualify as IMDs. Services built into the daily per diem residential payment include:

- Individual therapy
- Group therapy
- Medication training and support
- Case management
- Drug testing
- Peer recovery supports

Prior Authorization is required for all residential MH and SUD stays. Admission criteria for residential stays for MH, OUD, or other SUD treatment is based on the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) Patient Placement Criteria.

Residential stays are allowed to be authorized with a statewide average length of 30 calendar days, based on medical necessity. If a facility determines that a member requires more time than was initially authorized, the facility should submit a PA update request showing that the member has made progress but can be expected to show more progress given more treatment time. An additional length of stay can be approved based on documentation of medical necessity.

Members must be disenrolled or suspended from managed care, respectively, and their coverage converted to a fee-for-service (FFS) benefit during their PRTF stay.

**6.3.4.2- BH** ☒ Detoxification  
Provided for: ☒ Substance Use Disorder

Prior Authorization is required for inpatient detoxification. Please refer to Section 6.3.4 for additional details.

Detoxification services are defined in Indiana state code and shall and shall be available twenty-four (24) hours per day, seven (7) days per week.

Guidance: Crisis intervention and stabilization could include services such as mobile crisis, or short term residential or other facility based services in order to avoid inpatient hospitalization.

**6.3.5- BH** ☒ Emergency services  
Provided for: ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorder

Emergency services are covered subject to the prudent layperson standard of an emergency medical condition. All medically necessary screening services provided to an individual who presents to an emergency department with an emergency medical condition are covered.

**6.3.5.1- BH** ☒ Crisis Intervention and Stabilization  
Provided for: ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorder

Crisis intervention is a short-term emergency behavioral health service, available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Crisis Intervention includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Crisis assessment, planning, and counseling specific to the crisis,
- Intervention at the site of the crisis (when clinically appropriate)
- Prehospital assessment.

**6.3.6- BH** ☒ Continuing care services  
Provided for: ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorder

Managed Care Entities are contractually obligated to employ behavioral health case managers to monitor the care of members receiving behavioral health services. Through provider contract provisions, Managed Care Entities require that members receiving inpatient psychiatric services are scheduled for outpatient follow-up and/or continuing treatment prior to discharge. This treatment shall be provided within seven (7) calendar days from the date of the member's discharge. If a member misses an outpatient follow-up or continuing treatment, the Contractor shall ensure that a behavioral health care provider or the Contractor's behavioral health case manager contacts that member within three (3) business days of notification of the missed appointment.

**6.3.7- BH** ☒ Care Coordination

Provided for: ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorder

The State contractually requires the MCE to coordinate services for individuals with multiple diagnoses of mental illness, substance abuse, and physical illness.

**Care Coordination ensures the coordination of physical and behavioral health services provided to the member, between all providers treating the member. Further, care coordination provides oversight of the member's behavioral and physical health service utilization and facilitates exchange of the member's health information between all providers.**

**6.3.7.1- BH** ☐ Intensive wraparound

Provided for: ☐ Mental Health ☐ Substance Use Disorder

These services are provided fee-for-service to S-CHIP children if the services are determined to be medically necessary on an individual basis in order to treat, correct, or ameliorate an illness or condition.

**6.3.7.2- BH** ☐ Care transition services

Provided for: ☐ Mental Health ☐ Substance Use Disorder

**6.3.8- BH** ☒ Case Management

Provided for: ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorder

Case Management Services include, but are not limited to the following: direct consumer contacts to provide members with scheduling; location of specialists and specialty services; transportation needs; access to a 24-Hour Nurse Line; general preventive (e.g. mammography) and disease specific reminders (e.g. Hgb A1C), pharmacy refill reminders; tobacco cessation education and materials; and education regarding use of primary care and emergency services. For CHIP members with special needs or co-morbidities, in addition to the services above, the MCOs develop care plans and provide referrals to supporting programs in order to address the medical, social, educational, and other services needed by the member.

**6.3.9- BH** ☐ Other

Provided for: ☐ Mental Health ☐ Substance Use Disorder

**6.4- BH Assessment Tools**

**6.4.1- BH** Please specify or describe all of the tool(s) required by the state and/or each managed care entity:

**Per each MCO contract, it is within the discretion of the MCEs to decide which, or how many, nationally recognized sets of guidelines to use. At minimum, each MCE is obligated to use one nationally recognized set of guidelines in their medical necessity determination unless pre-empted by state or federal laws.**

- ☒ ASAM Criteria (American Society Addiction Medicine)
  - ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorders
- ☒ InterQual
  - ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorders
- ☒ MCG Care Guidelines
  - ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorders
- ☐ CALOCUS/LOCUS (Child and Adolescent Level of Care Utilization System)
  - ☐ Mental Health ☐ Substance Use Disorders
- ☐ CASII (Child and Adolescent Service Intensity Instrument)
  - ☐ Mental Health ☐ Substance Use Disorders
- ☒ CANS (Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths)
  - ☒ Mental Health ☒ Substance Use Disorders
- ☐ State-specific criteria (e.g. state law or policies) (please describe)
  - ☐ Mental Health ☐ Substance Use Disorders
- ☐ Plan-specific criteria (please describe)
  - ☐ Mental Health ☐ Substance Use Disorders
- ☐ Other (please describe)
  - ☐ Mental Health ☐ Substance Use Disorders
- ☐ No specific criteria or tools are required
  - ☐ Mental Health ☐ Substance Use Disorders

Guidance: Examples of facilitation efforts include requiring managed care organizations and their networks to use such tools to determine possible treatments or plans of care, providing education, training, and technical resources, and covering the costs of administering or purchasing the assessment tools.

**6.4.2- BH** ☒ Please describe the state's strategy to facilitate the use of validated assessment

tools for the treatment of behavioral health conditions.

The State currently requires each managed care entity and their networks to utilize the tools in Section 6.4.1 to determine possible treatments and identify plans of care. At this time, the State disseminates information through the use of provider manuals with information on how to access the latest guidance from American Academy of Pediatrics/Bright Futures periodicity schedule. The periodicity schedule includes links to all recommended pediatric screening and assessment tools. Policy changes that lead to a manual update or edit are also communicated with providers through bulletins which are electronic notices sent to all providers to sign up for the service. A bulletin is published as needed throughout the year, manuals are updated every 6 months.

**6.2.5- BH Covered Benefits** The State assures the following related to the provision of behavioral health benefits in CHIP:

☒ All behavioral health benefits are provided in a culturally and linguistically appropriate manner consistent with the requirements of section 2103(c)(6), regardless of delivery system.

☒ The state will provide all behavioral health benefits consistent with 42 CFR 457.495 to ensure there are procedures in place to access covered services as well as appropriate and timely treatment and monitoring of children with chronic, complex or serious conditions.

**6.2-DC Dental Coverage** (CHIPRA # 7, SHO # #09-012 issued October 7, 2009) The State will provide dental coverage to children through one of the following. Please update Sections 9.10 and 10.3-DC when electing this option. Dental services provided to children eligible for dental-only supplemental services must receive the same dental services as provided to otherwise eligible CHIP children (Section 2103(a)(5)):

**6.2.1-DC** ☒ **State Specific Dental Benefit Package.** The State assures dental services represented by the following categories of common dental terminology (CDT<sup>1</sup>) codes are included in the dental benefits:

1. Diagnostic (i.e., clinical exams, x-rays) (CDT codes: D0100-D0999) (must follow periodicity schedule)
2. Preventive (i.e., dental prophylaxis, topical fluoride treatments, sealants) (CDT codes: D1000-D1999) (must follow periodicity schedule)
3. Restorative (i.e., fillings, crowns) (CDT codes: D2000-D2999)
4. Endodontic (i.e., root canals) (CDT codes: D3000-D3999)
5. Periodontic (treatment of gum disease) (CDT codes: D4000-D4999)
6. Prosthodontic (dentures) (CDT codes: D5000-D5899, D5900-D5999, and D6200-D6999)

---

Current Dental Terminology, © 2010 American Dental Association. All rights reserved.



7. Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery (i.e., extractions of teeth and other oral surgical procedures) (CDT codes: D7000-D7999)
8. Orthodontics (i.e., braces) (CDT codes: D8000-D8999)
9. Emergency Dental Services

**6.2.1.1-DC** Periodicity Schedule. The State has adopted the following periodicity schedule:

- ☐ State-developed Medicaid-specific
- ☒ American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry
- ☐ Other Nationally recognized periodicity schedule
- ☐ Other (description attached)

**6.2.2-DC** ☐ Benchmark coverage; (Section 2103(c)(5), 42 CFR 457.410, and 42 CFR 457.420)

**6.2.2.1-DC** ☐ FEHBP-equivalent coverage; (Section 2103(c)(5)(C)(i)) (If checked, attach copy of the dental supplemental plan benefits description and the applicable CDT<sup>2</sup> codes. If the State chooses to provide supplemental services, also attach a description of the services and applicable CDT codes)

**6.2.2.2-DC** ☐ State employee coverage; (Section 2103(c)(5)(C)(ii)) (If checked, identify the plan and attach a copy of the benefits description and the applicable CDT codes. If the State chooses to provide supplemental services, also attach a description of the services and applicable CDT codes)

**6.2.2.3-DC** ☐ HMO with largest insured commercial enrollment (Section 2103(c)(5)(C)(iii)) (If checked, identify the plan and attach a copy of the benefits description and the applicable CDT codes. If the State chooses to provide supplemental services, also attach a description of the services and applicable CDT codes)

**6.2-DS** ☐ **Supplemental Dental Coverage-** The State will provide dental coverage to children eligible for dental-only supplemental services. Children eligible for this option must receive the same dental services as provided to otherwise eligible CHIP children (Section 2110(b)(5)(C)(ii)). Please update Sections 1.1-DS, 4.1-DS, 4.2-DS, and 9.10 when electing this option.

Guidance: Under Title XXI, pre-existing condition exclusions are not allowed, with the only exception being in relation to another law in existence (HIPAA/ERISA). Indicate that the plan adheres to this requirement by checking the applicable description.

In the event that the State provides benefits through a group health plan or group health coverage, or provides family coverage through a group health plan under a waiver (see Section 6.4.2.), pre-existing condition limits are allowed to the extent permitted by HIPAA/ERISA. If the State is contracting with a group health plan or provides benefits through group health coverage, describe briefly any limitations on pre-existing conditions. (Formerly 8.6.)

**6.2- MHPAEA** Section 2103(c)(6)(A) of the Social Security Act requires that, to the extent that it provides both medical/surgical benefits and mental health or substance use disorder benefits, a State child health plan ensures that financial requirements and treatment limitations applicable to mental health and substance use disorder benefits comply with the mental health parity requirements of section 2705(a) of the Public Health Service Act in the same manner that such requirements apply to a group health plan. If the state child health plan provides for delivery of services through a managed care arrangement, this requirement applies to both the state and managed care plans. These requirements are also applicable to any additional benefits provided voluntarily to the child health plan population by managed care entities and will be considered as part of CMS's contract review process at 42 CFR 457.1201(l).

**6.2.1- MHPAEA** Before completing a parity analysis, the State must determine whether each covered benefit is a medical/surgical, mental health, or substance use disorder benefit based on a standard that is consistent with state and federal law and generally recognized independent standards of medical practice. (42 CFR 457.496(f)(1)(i))

**6.2.1.1- MHPAEA** Please choose the standard(s) the state uses to determine whether a covered benefit is a medical/surgical benefit, mental health benefit, or substance use disorder benefit. The most current version of the standard elected must be used. If different standards are used for different benefit types, please specify the benefit type(s) to which each standard is applied. If "Other" is selected, please provide a description of that standard.

- ☒ International Classification of Disease (ICD) ICD-10
- ☐ Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM)
- ☐ State guidelines (Describe: )
- ☐ Other (Describe: )

**6.2.1.2- MHPAEA** Does the State provide mental health and/or substance use disorder benefits?

- ☐ Yes

☐ No

**Guidance: If the State does not provide any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the mental health parity requirements do not apply ((42 CFR 457.496(f)(1)). Continue on to Section 6.3.**

**6.2.2- MHPAEA** Section 2103(c)(6)(B) of the Social Security Act (the Act) provides that to the extent a State child health plan includes coverage of early and periodic screening, diagnostic, and treatment services (EPSDT) defined in section 1905(r) of the Act and provided in accordance with section 1902(a)(43) of the Act, the plan shall be deemed to satisfy the parity requirements of section 2103(c)(6)(A) of the Act.

**6.2.2.1- MHPAEA** Does the State child health plan provide coverage of EPSDT? The State must provide for coverage of EPSDT benefits, consistent with Medicaid statutory requirements, as indicated in section 6.2.26 of the State child health plan in order to answer “yes.”

☐ Yes

☒ No

**Guidance: If the State child health plan *does not* provide EPSDT consistent with Medicaid statutory requirements at sections 1902(a)(43) and 1905(r) of the Act, please go to Section 6.2.3- MHPAEA to complete the required parity analysis of the State child health plan.**

**If the state *does* provide EPSDT benefits consistent with Medicaid requirements, please continue this section to demonstrate compliance with the statutory requirements of section 2103(c)(6)(B) of the Act and the mental health parity regulations of 42 CFR 457.496(b) related to deemed compliance. Please provide supporting documentation, such as contract language, provider manuals, and/or member handbooks describing the state’s provision of EPSDT.**

**6.2.2.2- MHPAEA** EPSDT benefits are provided to the following:

☐ All children covered under the State child health plan.

☐ A subset of children covered under the State child health plan.

Please describe the different populations (if applicable) covered under the State child health plan that are provided EPSDT benefits consistent with Medicaid statutory requirements.

**Guidance: If only a subset of children are provided EPSDT benefits under the State child health plan, 42 CFR 457.496(b)(3) limits deemed compliance to those children only and Section 6.2.3- MHPAEA must be completed as well as the required parity analysis for the other children.**

**6.2.2.3- MHPAEA** To be deemed compliant with the MHPAEA parity requirements, States must provide EPSDT in accordance with sections 1902(a)(43) and 1905(r) of the Act (42 CFR 457.496(b)). The State assures each of the following for children eligible for EPSDT under the separate State child health plan:

- ☐ All screening services, including screenings for mental health and substance use disorder conditions, are provided at intervals that align with a periodicity schedule that meets reasonable standards of medical or dental practice as well as when medically necessary to determine the existence of suspected illness or conditions. (Section 1905(r))
- ☐ All diagnostic services described in 1905(a) of the Act are provided as needed to diagnose suspected conditions or illnesses discovered through screening services, whether or not those services are covered under the Medicaid state plan. (Section 1905(r))
- ☐ All items and services described in section 1905(a) of the Act are provided when needed to correct or ameliorate a defect or any physical or mental illnesses and conditions discovered by the screening services, whether or not such services are covered under the Medicaid State plan. (Section 1905(r)(5))
- ☐ Treatment limitations applied to services provided under the EPSDT benefit are not limited based on a monetary cap or budgetary constraints and may be exceeded as medically necessary to correct or ameliorate a medical or physical condition or illness. (Section 1905(r)(5))
- ☐ Non-quantitative treatment limitations, such as definitions of medical necessity or criteria for medical necessity, are applied in an individualized manner that does not preclude coverage of any items or services necessary to correct or ameliorate any medical or physical condition or illness. (Section 1905(r)(5))

☐ EPSDT benefits are not excluded on the basis of any condition, disorder, or diagnosis. (Section 1905(r)(5))

☐ The provision of all requested EPSDT screening services, as well as any corrective treatments needed based on those screening services, are provided or arranged for as necessary. (Section 1902(a)(43))

☐ All families with children eligible for the EPSDT benefit under the separate State child health plan are provided information and informed about the full range of services available to them. (Section 1902(a)(43)(A))

**Guidance: For states seeking deemed compliance for their entire State child health plan population, please continue to Section 6.3. If not all of the covered populations are offered EPSDT, the State must conduct a parity analysis of the benefit packages provided to those populations. Please continue to 6.2.3-MHPAEA.**

#### **Mental Health Parity Analysis Requirements for States Not Providing EPSDT to All Covered Populations**

**Guidance: The State must complete a parity analysis for each population under the State child health plan that is not provided the EPSDT benefit consistent with the requirements 42 CFR 457.496(b). If the State provides benefits or limitations that vary within the child or pregnant woman populations, states should perform a parity analysis for each of the benefit packages. For example, if different financial requirements are applied according to a beneficiary's income, a separate parity analysis is needed for the benefit package provided at each income level.**

**Please ensure that changes made to benefit limitations under the State child health plan as a result of the parity analysis are also made in Section 6.2.**

**6.2.3- MHPAEA** In order to conduct the parity analysis, the State must place all medical/surgical and mental health and substance use disorder benefits covered under the State child health plan into one of four classifications: Inpatient, outpatient, emergency care, and prescription drugs. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(2)(ii); 42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(ii)(B))

**6.2.3.1 MHPAEA** Please describe below the standard(s) used to place covered benefits into one of the four classifications.

**Indiana uses the following classification definitions:**

- 405 IAC 5-2-12: “Inpatient services” means only those services provided to a member while the member is registered as an inpatient in an acute care or psychiatric hospital.
- 405 IAC 5-2-19: “Outpatient services” means those services provided to a member who is not registered as an inpatient in an acute care or psychiatric hospital except as specifically referenced in a given section.
- 405 IAC 5-2-9: “Emergency service” means a service provided to a member after the sudden onset of a medical condition manifesting itself by acute symptoms of sufficient severity that the absence of immediate medical attention could reasonably be expected to result in placing the patient’s health in serious jeopardy, serious impairment to bodily functions, or serious dysfunction of any bodily organ or part.
- 405 IAC 5-24-2: “Pharmacy services” means legend drugs, nonlegend drug included on the Medicaid nonlegend drug formulary developed in coordination with the Indiana Medicaid Drug Utilization Review (DUR) board, nutritional supplements, food supplements, and infant formula.

**6.2.3.1.1 MHPAEA** The State assures that:

- ☒ The State has classified all benefits covered under the State plan into one of the four classifications.
- ☒ The same reasonable standards are used for determining the classification for a mental health or substance use disorder benefit as are used for determining the classification of medical/surgical benefits.

**6.2.3.1.2- MHPAEA** Does the State use sub-classifications to distinguish between office visits and other outpatient services?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

**6.2.3.1.2.1- MHPAEA** If the State uses sub-classifications to distinguish between outpatient office visits and other outpatient services, the State assures the following:

- ☐ The sub-classifications are only used to distinguish office visits from other outpatient items and services, and are not used to distinguish between similar services on other bases (ex: generalist vs. specialist visits).

**Guidance: For purposes of this section, any reference to “classification(s)” includes sub-classification(s) in states using sub-classifications to distinguish between outpatient office visits from other outpatient services.**

**6.2.3.2 MHPAEA** The State assures that:

☒ Mental health/ substance use disorder benefits are provided in all classifications in which medical/surgical benefits are provided under the State child health plan.

**Guidance: States are not required to cover mental health or substance use disorder benefits (42 CFR 457.496(f)(2)). However if a state does provide any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, those mental health or substance use disorder benefits must be provided in all the same classifications in which medical/surgical benefits are covered under the State child health plan (42 CFR 457.496(d)(2)(ii).**

**Annual and Aggregate Lifetime Dollar Limits**

**6.2.4- MHPAEA** A State that provides both medical/surgical benefits and mental health and/or substance use disorder benefits must comply with parity requirements related to annual and aggregate lifetime dollar limits for benefits covered under the State child health plan. (42 CFR 457.496(c))

**6.2.4.1- MHPAEA** Please indicate whether the State applies an aggregate lifetime dollar limit and/or an annual dollar limit on any mental health or substance abuse disorder benefits covered under the State child health plan.

☐ Aggregate lifetime dollar limit is applied

☐ Aggregate annual dollar limit is applied

☒ No dollar limit is applied

**Guidance: A monetary coverage limit that applies to *all* CHIP services provided under the State child health plan is not subject to parity requirements.**

**If there are no aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limits on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, please go to section 6.2.5- MHPAEA.**

**6.2.4.2- MHPAEA** Are there any medical/surgical benefits covered under the State child health plan that have either an aggregate lifetime dollar limit or an annual dollar limit? If yes, please specify what type of limits apply.

☐ Yes (Type(s) of limit:    )

☐ No

**Guidance: If no aggregate lifetime dollar limit is applied to medical/ surgical benefits, the State may not impose an aggregate lifetime dollar limit on *any* mental health or substance use disorder benefits. If no aggregate annual dollar limit is applied to medical/surgical benefits, the State may not impose an aggregate annual dollar limit on *any* mental health or substance use disorder benefits. (42 CFR 457.496(c)(1))**

**6.2.4.3 – MHPAEA.** States applying an aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit on medical/surgical benefits and mental health or substance use disorder benefits must determine whether the portion of the medical/surgical benefits to which the limit applies is less than one-third, at least one-third but less than two-thirds, or at least two-thirds of all medical/surgical benefits covered under the State plan (42 CFR 457.496(c)). The portion of medical/surgical benefits subject to the limit is based on the dollar amount expected to be paid for all medical/surgical benefits under the State plan for the State plan year or portion of the plan year after a change in benefits that affects the applicability of the aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limits. (42 CFR 457.496(c)(3))

☐ The State assures that it has developed a reasonable methodology to calculate the portion of covered medical/surgical benefits which are subject to the aggregate lifetime and/or annual dollar limit, as applicable.

**Guidance: Please include the state's methodology to calculate the portion of covered medical/surgical benefits which are subject to the aggregate lifetime and/or annual dollar limit and the results as an attachment to the State child health plan.**

**6.2.4.3.1- MHPAEA** Please indicate the portion of the total costs for medical and surgical benefits covered under the State plan which are subject to a lifetime dollar limit:

☐ Less than 1/3

☐ At least 1/3 and less than 2/3

☐ At least 2/3

**6.2.4.3.2- MHPAEA** Please indicate the portion of the total costs for medical and surgical benefits covered under the State plan which are subject to an annual dollar limit:

☐ Less than 1/3



☐ At least 1/3 and less than 2/3

☐ At least 2/3

**Guidance: If an aggregate lifetime limit is applied to less than one-third of all medical/surgical benefits, the State may not impose an aggregate lifetime limit on *any* mental health or substance use disorder benefits. If an annual dollar limit is applied to less than one-third of all medical surgical benefits, the State may not impose an annual dollar limit on *any* mental health or substance use disorder benefits (42 CFR 457.496(c)(1)). Skip to section 6.2.5-MHPAEA.**

**If the State applies an aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit to at least one-third of all medical/surgical benefits, please continue below to provide the assurances related to the determination of the portion of total costs for medical/surgical benefits that are subject to either an annual or lifetime limit.**

**6.2.4.3.2.1- MHPAEA** If the State applies an aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit to at least 1/3 and less than 2/3 of all medical/surgical benefits, the State assures the following (42 CFR 457.496(c)(4)(i)(B)); (42 CFR 457.496(c)(4)(ii)):

☐ The State applies an aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit on mental health or substance use disorder benefits that is no more restrictive than an average limit calculated for medical/surgical benefits.

**Guidance: The state's methodology for calculating the average limit for medical/surgical benefits must be consistent with 42 CFR 457.496(c)(4)(i)(B) and 42 CFR 457.496(c)(4)(ii). Please include the state's methodology and results as an attachment to the State child health plan.**

**6.2.4.3.2.2- MHPAEA** If at least 2/3 of all medical/surgical benefits are subject to an annual or lifetime limit, the State assures either of the following (42 CFR 457.496(c)(2)(i)); (42 CFR 457.496(c)(2)(ii)):

☐ The aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit is applied to both medical/surgical benefits and mental health and substance use disorder benefits in a manner that does not distinguish between medical/surgical benefits and mental health and substance use disorder benefits; or

☐ The aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit placed on mental health and substance use disorder benefits is no more restrictive than the aggregate lifetime or annual dollar limit on medical/surgical benefits.

### Quantitative Treatment Limitations

**6.2.5- MHPAEA** Does the State apply quantitative treatment limitations (QTLs) on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits in any classification of benefits? If yes, specify the classification(s) of benefits in which the State applies one or more QTLs on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits.

☐ Yes (Specify:)

☒ No

**Guidance: If the state does not apply any type of QTLs on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits in any classification, the state meets parity requirements for QTLs and should continue to Section 6.2.6 - MHPAEA. If the state does apply QTLs to any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the state must conduct a parity analysis. Please continue.**

**6.2.5.1- MHPAEA** Does the State apply any type of QTL on any medical/surgical benefits?

☐ Yes

☐ No

**Guidance: If the State does not apply QTLs on any medical/surgical benefits, the State may not impose quantitative treatment limitations on mental health or substance use disorder benefits, please go to Section 6.2.6- MHPAEA related to non-quantitative treatment limitations.**

**6.2.5.2- MHPAEA** Within each classification of benefits in which the State applies a type of QTL on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the State must determine the portion of medical and surgical benefits in the classification which are subject to the limitation. More specifically, the State must determine the ratio of (a) the dollar amount of all payments expected to be paid under the State plan for medical and surgical benefits within a classification which are subject to the type of quantitative treatment limitation for the plan year (or portion of the plan year after a mid-year change affecting the applicability of a type of quantitative treatment limitation to any medical/surgical benefits in the class) to (b) the dollar amount expected to be paid for all medical and surgical benefits within the classification for the plan year. For purposes of this paragraph, all payments expected to be paid under the State plan includes payments expected to be made directly by the State and payments which are expected to

be made by MCEs contracting with the State. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(C))

☐ The State assures it has applied a reasonable methodology to determine the dollar amounts used in the ratio described above for each classification within which the State applies QTLs to mental health or substance use disorder benefits. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(E))

**Guidance: Please include the state’s methodology and results as an attachment to the State child health plan.**

**6.2.5.3- MHPAEA** For each type of QTL applied to any mental health or substance use disorder benefits within a given classification, does the State apply the same type of QTL to “substantially all” (defined as at least two-thirds) of the medical/surgical benefits within the same classification? (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(A))

☐ Yes

☐ No

**Guidance: If the State does not apply a type of QTL to substantially all medical/surgical benefits in a given classification of benefits, the State may *not* impose that type of QTL on mental health or substance use disorder benefits in that classification. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(A))**

**6.2.5.3.1- MHPAEA** For each type of QTL applied to mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the State must determine the predominant level of that type which is applied to medical/surgical benefits in the classification. The “predominant level” of a type of QTL in a classification is the level (or least restrictive of a combination of levels) that applies to more than one-half of the medical/surgical benefits in that classification, as described in 42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(B). The portion of medical/surgical benefits in a classification to which a given level of a QTL type is applied is based on the dollar amount of payments expected to be paid for medical/surgical benefits subject to that level as compared to all medical/surgical benefits in the classification, as described in 42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(C). For each type of quantitative treatment limitation applied to mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the State assures:

☐ The same reasonable methodology applied in determining the dollar amounts used to determine whether substantially all medical/surgical benefits within a classification are subject to a type of quantitative treatment limitation also is applied in determining the dollar amounts used to determine the predominant level of a type of quantitative treatment limitation applied to medical/surgical benefits within a classification. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(E))

☐ The level of each type of quantitative treatment limitation applied by the State to mental health or substance use disorder benefits in any classification is no more restrictive than the predominant level of that type which is applied by the State to medical/surgical benefits within the same classification. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(2)(i))

**Guidance: If there is no single level of a type of QTL that exceeds the one-half threshold, the State may combine levels within a type of QTL such that the combined levels are applied to at least half of all medical/surgical benefits within a classification; the predominant level is the least restrictive level of the levels combined to meet the one-half threshold. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(3)(i)(B)(2))**

## **Non-Quantitative Treatment Limitations**

**6.2.6- MHPAEA** The State may utilize non-quantitative treatment limitations (NQTLs) for mental health or substance use disorder benefits, but the State must ensure that those NQTLs comply with all the mental health parity requirements. (42 CFR 457.496(d)(4)); (42 CFR 457.496(d)(5))

**6.2.6.1 – MHPAEA** If the State imposes any NQTLs, complete this subsection. If the State does not impose NQTLs, please go to Section 6.2.7-MHPAEA.

☒ The State assures that the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards or other factors used in the application of any NQTL to mental health or substance use disorder benefits are no more stringent than the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards or other factors used in the application of NQTLs to medical/surgical benefits within the same classification.

**Guidance: Examples of NQTLs include medical management standards to limit or exclude benefits based on medical necessity, restrictions based on geographic location, provider specialty, or other criteria to limit the scope or duration of benefits and provider network design (ex: preferred providers vs. participating providers). Additional examples of possible NQTLs are provided in 42 CFR 457.496(d)(4)(ii). States will need to provide a summary of its NQTL analysis, as well as supporting documentation as requested.**

**6.2.6.2 – MHPAEA** The State or MCE contracting with the State must comply with parity if they provide coverage of medical or surgical benefits furnished by out-of-network providers.

**6.2.6.2.1- MHPAEA** Does the State or MCE contracting with the State provide coverage of medical or surgical benefits provided by out-of-network providers?

☐ Yes

☒ No

**Guidance: The State can answer no if the State or MCE only provides out of network services in specific circumstances, such as emergency care, or when the network is unable to provide a necessary service covered under the contract.**

**6.2.6.2.2- MHPAEA** If yes, the State must provide access to out-of-network providers for mental health or substance use disorder benefits. Please assure the following:

☐ The State attests that when determining access to out-of-network providers within a benefit classification, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used to determine access to those providers for mental health/ substance use disorder benefits are comparable to and applied no more stringently than the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards or other factors used to determine access for out- of-network providers for medical/surgical benefits.

#### **Availability of Plan Information**

**6.2.7- MHPAEA** The State must provide beneficiaries, potential enrollees, and providers with information related to medical necessity criteria and denials of payment or reimbursement for mental health or substance use disorder services (42 CFR 457.496(e)) in addition to existing notice requirements at 42 CFR 457.1180.

**6.2.7.1- MHPAEA** Medical necessity criteria determinations must be made available to any current or potential enrollee or contracting provider, upon request. The state attests that the following entities provide this information:

- ☐ State
- ☐ Managed Care entities
- ☒ Both
- ☐ Other

**Guidance: If other is selected, please specify the entity.**

**6.2.7.2- MHPAEA** Reason for any denial for reimbursement or payment for mental health or substance use disorder benefits must be made available to the enrollee by the health plan or the State. The state attests that the following entities provide denial information:

☐ State

☐ Managed Care entities

☒ Both

☐ Other

**6.3.** The State assures that, with respect to pre-existing medical conditions, one of the following two statements applies to its plan: (42CFR 457.480)

**6.3.1.** ☒ The State shall not permit the imposition of any pre-existing medical condition exclusion for covered services (Section 2102(b)(1)(B)(ii)); OR

**6.3.2.** ☐ The State contracts with a group health plan or group health insurance coverage, or contracts with a group health plan to provide family coverage under a waiver (see Section 6.6.2. (formerly 6.4.2) of the template). Pre-existing medical conditions are permitted to the extent allowed by HIPAA/ERISA. (Formerly 8.6.) (Section 2103(f)) Describe:

Guidance: States may request two additional purchase options in Title XXI: cost effective coverage through a community-based health delivery system and for the purchase of family coverage. (Section 2105(c)(2) and (3)) (457.1005 and 457.1010)

**6.4.** **Additional Purchase Options-** If the State wishes to provide services under the plan through cost effective alternatives or the purchase of family coverage, it must request the appropriate option. To be approved, the State must address the following: (Section 2105(c)(2) and (3)) (42 CFR 457.1005 and 457.1010)

**6.4.1.** ☐ **Cost Effective Coverage-** Payment may be made to a State in excess of the 10 percent limitation on use of funds for payments for: 1) other child health assistance for targeted low-income children; 2) expenditures for health services initiatives under the plan for improving the health of children (including targeted low-income children and other low-income children); 3) expenditures for outreach activities as provided in Section 2102(c)(1) under the plan; and 4) other reasonable costs incurred by the State to administer the plan, if it demonstrates the following (42CFR 457.1005(a)):

**6.4.1.1.** Coverage provided to targeted low-income children through such expenditures must meet the coverage requirements above; Describe the coverage provided by the alternative delivery system. The State may cross reference Section 6.2.1 - 6.2.28. (Section 2105(c)(2)(B)(i)) (42CFR 457.1005(b))

**6.4.1.2.** The cost of such coverage must not be greater, on an average per child

basis, than the cost of coverage that would otherwise be provided for the coverage described above; Describe the cost of such coverage on an average per child basis. (Section 2105(c)(2)(B)(ii)) (42CFR 457.1005(b))

Guidance: Check below if the State is requesting to provide cost-effective coverage through a community-based health delivery system. This allows the State to waive the 10 percent limitation on expenditures not used for Medicaid or health insurance assistance if coverage provided to targeted low-income children through such expenditures meets the requirements of Section 2103; the cost of such coverage is not greater, on an average per child basis, than the cost of coverage that would otherwise be provided under Section 2103; and such coverage is provided through the use of a community-based health delivery system, such as through contracts with health centers receiving funds under Section 330 of the Public Health Services Act or with hospitals such as those that receive disproportionate share payment adjustments under Section 1886(c)(5)(F) or 1923.

If the cost-effective alternative waiver is requested, the State must demonstrate that payments in excess of the 10 percent limitation will be used for other child health assistance for targeted low-income children; expenditures for health services initiatives under the plan for improving the health of children (including targeted low-income children and other low-income children); expenditures for outreach activities as provided in Section 2102(c)(1) under the plan; and other reasonable costs incurred by the State to administer the plan. (42CFR, 457.1005(a))

**6.4.1.3.** The coverage must be provided through the use of a community based health delivery system, such as through contracts with health centers receiving funds under Section 330 of the Public Health Service Act or with hospitals such as those that receive disproportionate share payment adjustments under Section 1886(c)(5)(F) or 1923 of the Social Security Act. Describe the community-based delivery system. (Section 2105(c)(2)(B)(iii)) (42CFR 457.1005(a))

Guidance: Check 6.4.2.if the State is requesting to purchase family coverage. Any State requesting to purchase such coverage will need to include information that establishes to the Secretary's satisfaction that: 1) when compared to the amount of money that would have been paid to cover only the children involved with a comparable package, the purchase of family coverage is cost effective; and 2) the purchase of family coverage is not a substitution for coverage already being provided to the child. (Section 2105(c)(3)) (42CFR 457.1010)

**6.4.2.** ☐ **Purchase of Family Coverage-** Describe the plan to purchase family coverage.

Payment may be made to a State for the purpose of family coverage under a group health plan or health insurance coverage that includes coverage of targeted low-income children, if it demonstrates the following: (Section 2105(c)(3)) (42CFR 457.1010)

- 6.4.2.1.** Purchase of family coverage is cost-effective. The State's cost of purchasing family coverage, including administrative expenditures, that includes coverage for the targeted low-income children involved or the family involved (as applicable) under premium assistance programs must not be greater than the cost of obtaining coverage under the State plan for all eligible targeted low-income children or families involved; and (2) The State may base its demonstration of cost effectiveness on an assessment of the cost of coverage, including administrative costs, for children or families under premium assistance programs to the cost of other CHIP coverage for these children or families, done on a case-by-case basis, or on the cost of premium assisted coverage in the aggregate.
- 6.4.2.2.** The State assures that the family coverage would not otherwise substitute for health insurance coverage that would be provided to such children but for the purchase of family coverage. (Section 2105(c)(3)(B)) (42CFR 457.1010(b))
- 6.4.2.3.** The State assures that the coverage for the family otherwise meets title XXI requirements. (42CFR 457.1010(c))

**6.4.3-PA: Additional State Options for Providing Premium Assistance** (CHIPRA # 13, SHO # 10-002 issued February, 2, 2010) A State may elect to offer a premium assistance subsidy for qualified employer-sponsored coverage, as defined in Section 2105(c)(10)(B), to all targeted low-income children who are eligible for child health assistance under the plan and have access to such coverage. No subsidy shall be provided to a targeted low-income child (or the child's parent) unless the child voluntarily elects to receive such a subsidy. (Section 2105(c)(10)(A)). Please remember to update section 9.10 when electing this option. Does the State provide this option to targeted low-income children?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No

**6.4.3.1-PA** Qualified Employer-Sponsored Coverage and Premium Assistance Subsidy

**6.4.3.1.1-PA** Provide an assurance that the qualified employer-sponsored insurance meets the definition of qualified employer-sponsored coverage as defined in Section 2105(c)(10)(B), and that the premium assistance subsidy meets the definition of premium assistance subsidy as defined in 2105(c)(10)(C).



**6.4.3.1.2-PA** Describe whether the State is providing the premium assistance subsidy as reimbursement to an employee or for out-of-pocket expenditures or directly to the employee's employer.

**6.4.3.2-PA:** Supplemental Coverage for Benefits and Cost Sharing Protections Provided under the Child Health Plan.

**6.4.3.2.1-PA** If the State is providing premium assistance for qualified employer-sponsored coverage, as defined in Section 2105(c)(10)(E)(i), provide an assurance that the State is providing for each targeted low-income child enrolled in such coverage, supplemental coverage consisting of all items or services that are not covered or are only partially covered, under the qualified employer-sponsored coverage consistent with 2103(a) and cost sharing protections consistent with Section 2103(e).

**6.4.3.2.2-PA** Describe whether these benefits are being provided through the employer or by the State providing wraparound benefits.

**6.4.3.2.3-PA** If the State is providing premium assistance for benchmark or benchmark-equivalent coverage, the State ensures that such group health plans or health insurance coverage offered through an employer will be certified by an actuary as coverage that is equivalent to a benchmark benefit package described in Section 2103(b) or benchmark equivalent coverage that meets the requirements of Section 2103(a)(2).

**6.4.3.3-PA:** Application of Waiting Period Imposed Under State Plan: States are required to apply the same waiting period to premium assistance as is applied to direct coverage for children under their CHIP State plan, as specified in Section 2105(c)(10)(F).

**6.4.3.3.1-PA** Provide an assurance that the waiting period for children in premium assistance is the same as for those children in direct coverage (if State has a waiting period in place for children in direct CHIP coverage).

**6.4.3.4-PA:** Opt-Out and Outreach, Education, and Enrollment Assistance

**6.4.3.4.1-PA** Describe the State's process for ensuring parents are permitted to disenroll their child from qualified employer-sponsored coverage and to enroll in CHIP effective on the first day of any month for which the child is eligible for such assistance and in a manner that ensures continuity of coverage for the child (Section 2105(c)(10)(G)).

**6.4.3.4.2-PA** Describe the State’s outreach, education, and enrollment efforts related to premium assistance programs, as required under Section 2102(c)(3). How does the State inform families of the availability of premium assistance, and assist them in obtaining such subsidies? What are the specific significant resources the State intends to apply to educate employers about the availability of premium assistance subsidies under the State child health plan? (Section 2102(c))

**6.4.3.5-PA Purchasing Pool-** A State may establish an employer-family premium assistance purchasing pool and may provide a premium assistance subsidy for enrollment in coverage made available through this pool (Section 2105(c)(10)(I)). Does the State provide this option?

☐ Yes  
☐ No

**6.6.3.5.1-PA** Describe the plan to establish an employer-family premium assistance purchasing pool.

**6.6.3.5.2-PA** Provide an assurance that employers who are eligible to participate: 1) have less than 250 employees; 2) have at least one employee who is a pregnant woman eligible for CHIP or a member of a family that has at least one child eligible under the State’s CHIP plan.

**6.6.3.5.3-PA** Provide an assurance that the State will not claim for any administrative expenditures attributable to the establishment or operation of such a pool except to the extent such payment would otherwise be permitted under this title.

**6.4.3.6-PA Notice of Availability of Premium Assistance-** Describe the procedures that assure that if a State provides premium assistance subsidies under this Section, it must: 1) provide as part of the application and enrollment process, information describing the availability of premium assistance and how to elect to obtain a subsidy; and 2) establish other procedures to ensure that parents are fully informed of the choices for child health assistance or through the receipt of premium assistance subsidies (Section 2105(c)(10)(K)).

**6.4.3.6.1-PA** Provide an assurance that the State includes information about premium assistance on the CHIP application or enrollment form.

## **Section 7. Quality and Appropriateness of Care**

**Guidance:** **Methods for Evaluating and Monitoring Quality-** Methods to assure quality include the application of performance measures, quality standards consumer information

strategies, and other quality improvement strategies.

Performance measurement strategies could include using measurements for external reporting either to the State or to consumers and for internal quality improvement purposes. They could be based on existing measurement sets that have undergone rigorous evaluation for their appropriateness (e.g., HEDIS). They may include the use of standardized member satisfaction surveys (e.g., CAHPS) to assess members' experience of care along key dimensions such as access, satisfaction, and system performance.

Quality standards are often used to assure the presence of structural and process measures that promote quality and could include such approaches as: the use of external and periodic review of health plans by groups such as the National Committee for Quality Assurance; the establishment of standards related to consumer protection and quality such as those developed by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners; and the formation of an advisory group to the State or plan to facilitate consumer and community participation in the plan.

Information strategies could include: the disclosure of information to beneficiaries about their benefits under the plan and their rights and responsibilities; the provision of comparative information to consumers on the performance of available health plans and providers; and consumer education strategies on how to access and effectively use health insurance coverage to maximize quality of care.

Quality improvement strategies should include the establishment of quantified quality improvement goals for the plan or the State and provider education. Other strategies include specific purchasing specifications, ongoing contract monitoring mechanisms, focus groups, etc.

Where States use managed care organizations to deliver CHIP care, recent legal changes require the State to use managed care quality standards and quality strategies similar to those used in Medicaid managed care.

**Tools for Evaluating and Monitoring Quality-** Tools and types of information available include, HEDIS (Health Employer Data Information Set) measures, CAHPS (Consumer Assessments of Health Plans Study) measures, vital statistics data, and State health registries (e.g., immunization registries).

Quality monitoring may be done by external quality review organizations, or, if the State wishes, internally by a State board or agency independent of the State CHIP Agency. Establishing grievance measures is also an important aspect of monitoring.

☐ Check here if the State elects to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded

eligibility under the State's Medicaid plan, and continue on to Section 8.

Guidance: The State must specify the qualifications of entities that will provide coverage and the conditions of participation. States should also define the quality standard they are using, for example, NCQA Standards or other professional standards. Any description of the information strategies used should be linked to Section 9. (Section 2102(a)(7)(A)) (42CFR, 457.495)

- 7.1.** Describe the methods (including external and internal monitoring) used to assure the quality and appropriateness of care, particularly with respect to well-baby care, well-child care, and immunizations provided under the plan. (Section 2102(a)(7)(A)) (42CFR 457.495(a)) Will the State utilize any of the following tools to assure quality? (Check all that apply and describe the activities for any categories utilized.)

#### External Quality Review

The State contracts with an evaluation consultant who develops and updates performance criteria, and also provides assistance in producing the evaluation and annual reports. The performance criteria are an important tool for measuring quality of care, particularly with respect to well-baby care, well-child care, and immunizations. Hoosier Healthwise uses NCQA's HEDIS measures to monitor quality.

#### Quality Improvement Programs

Quality assurance requirements are imposed on MCOs that contract with the State under Hoosier Healthwise. MCOs must have quality improvement (QI) programs in place that meet the federal requirements (42 CFR 438 Subpart D) and the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) standards. The QI programs must be based on annual plans that are approved by the OMPP. In addition, MCOs must meet a number of other QI requirements, including: establishing a QI Committee overseen by the MCO Medical Director; submitting Quarterly QI reports; conducting focused studies, including medical data abstraction and data entry, in areas of clinical priority for the Indiana Medicaid population; establishing internal systems for monitoring services; conducting a quality of care chart audit of providers of services; attending monthly Hoosier Healthwise Quality Improvement Committee (QIC) meetings; submitting QI data to the State; and taking other steps to improve quality of services.

##### **7.1.1. ☒ Quality standards**

☐ MCOs that provide services under Hoosier Healthwise must have Quality Improvement (QI) programs in place that meet the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) standards.

☐ PMPs will be required to comply with universally accepted standards for preventive care, as endorsed by the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Academy of Family Physicians, the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology, and the American Society of Internal Medicine. Specifically, these standards apply to the following areas: childhood immunizations, pregnancy, lead toxicity, comprehensive well child periodic health assessment, HIV status, asthma, diabetes, alcohol and drug abuse, sexually transmitted diseases, motor vehicle accidents, pregnancy prevention, prevention of influenza, smoking prevention and cessation, and others. Clinical practice guidelines from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality and the Indiana Medicaid Coordinated Care Technical Assistance

Group (TAG) may also recommend standards.

- ☐ Through the Clinical Advisory Committee, providers provide the OMPP with input on Hoosier Healthwise policies affecting quality, accessibility, appropriateness and cost effectiveness of care.
- ☐ The Hoosier Healthwise QIC oversees quality of care and appropriateness of care and integrates the quality improvement process. The Quality Integrity Committee (QIC) membership consists of MCO medical directors, MCO QI staff representatives, and the OMPP staff members
- ☐ Requests to disenroll are documented, tracked and monitored

**7.1.2. ☒ Performance measurement**

- ☐ MCOs must conduct annual member satisfaction surveys, and present this information to the OMPP, recipients and providers.
- ☐ MCOs must provide the Health Plan Employer Data Information Set (HEDIS) measures to OMPP on an annual basis.
- ☐ The evaluation consultant will develop performance criteria to measure the quality of services provided under CHIP. These measures will include health status indicators and EPSDT compliance.

**7.1.2 (a) ☒ CHIPRA Quality Core Set**  
Child Core Quality Data

**7.1.2 (b) ☐ Other**

**7.1.3. ☒ Information strategies**

- ☐ Hoosier Healthwise applicants are provided with materials regarding managed care; PMPs; MCOs; preventive services; 1-800 telephone hotline; emergency room usage; grievance procedures; recipients' rights and responsibilities; coverage, cost and claims; and a summary of program activities.
- ☐ The State conducts provider training and benefit advocate training. Indiana has implemented an enhanced outreach campaign

**7.1.4. ☒ Quality improvement strategies**

- ☐ The State has a toll free telephone number for recipients and providers. Staff investigates inquires and complaints received through this phone line.
- ☐ The Hoosier Healthwise MCOs monitor PMPs 24-hour accessibility by making random calls to PMPs during regular business hours and after hours.
- ☐ The State monitors several key indicators to assure that access problems do not arise. These indicators include: waiting periods; access to care after hours; referrals to specialists; and access to emergency or family planning services.
- ☐ MCOs must conduct focus studies on areas of clinical priority including preventive care, behavioral health, prenatal outcomes, and disease management (e.g. asthma and diabetes care)

Guidance: Provide a brief description of methods to be used to assure access to covered services, including a description of how the State will assure the quality and appropriateness of the

care provided. The State should consider whether there are sufficient providers of care for the newly enrolled populations and whether there is reasonable access to care. (Section 2102(a)(7)(B))

**7.2.** Describe the methods used, including monitoring, to assure: (Section 2102(a)(7)(B)) (42CFR 457.495)

**7.2.1.** Access to well-baby care, well-child care, well-adolescent care and childhood and adolescent immunizations. (Section 2102(a)(7)) (42CFR 457.495(a))

- ☐ The State employs an External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) that monitors and assesses the quality of and access to health care enabled by Hoosier Healthwise. Advising the monitoring process is a Quality Improvement Committee, a Clinical Advisory Committee, and Focused Study Workgroups. The Focused Study Workgroups include neonatal outcomes, management of members with complex needs, and behavioral health. The HEDIS is being utilized for tracking health plan performance on an annual basis. Several quarterly reports based on HEDIS specifications are also provided to OMPP by the Hoosier Healthwise MCOs. The State's EQRO is also the CHIP's independent evaluation consultant. Data collected by the vendor for the EQR are refined for the purpose of assessing CHIP's programmatic effectiveness in this area.
- ☐ CHIP monitors the size of Primary Physician panels at least annually.

**7.2.2.** Access to covered services, including emergency services as defined in 42 CFR 457.10. (Section 2102(a)(7)) 42CFR 457.495(b))

The evaluation consultant is responsible for updating and developing tools to measure the utilization of health services. The measure set will be intended to assess how often, how effectively and how appropriately enrollees are utilizing services under the program

**7.2.3.** Appropriate and timely procedures to monitor and treat enrollees with chronic, complex, or serious medical conditions, including access to an adequate number of visits to specialists experienced in treating the specific medical condition and access to out-of-network providers when the network is not adequate for the enrollee's medical condition. (Section 2102(a)(7)) (42CFR 457.495(c))

All Hoosier Healthwise members are allowed to select a Primary Medical Provider. If one is not selected within 30 days, a PMP will be assigned to them. By ensuring that all members have a medical home, chronic, complex, or serious medical conditions can be closely monitored and appropriate referrals can be made when necessary. In addition, when the network is not adequate for the enrollee's medical condition, managed care organizations are required to allow members to access to out-of-network providers.

**7.2.4.** Decisions related to the prior authorization of health services are completed in accordance with State law or, in accordance with the medical needs of the patient, within 14 days after the receipt of a request for services. (Section 2102(a)(7)) (42CFR 457.495(d)) Exigent medical circumstances may require more rapid response according to the medical needs of the patient.

Decisions related to the prior authorization of health services are completed in accordance with the CHIP administrative rules, found in Title 405 of the Indiana Administrative Code,

Section 13-2-1.

## Section 8. Cost-Sharing and Payment

☐ Check here if the State elects to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded eligibility under the State's Medicaid plan, and continue on to Section 9.

**8.1.** Is cost-sharing imposed on any of the children covered under the plan? (42CFR 457.505) Indicate if this also applies for pregnant women. (CHIPRA #2, SHO # 09-006, issued May 11, 2009)

**8.1.1.** ☒ Yes

**8.1.2.** ☐ No, skip to question 8.8.

**8.1.1-PW** ☐ Yes

**8.1.2-PW** ☐ No, skip to question 8.8.

Guidance: It is important to note that for families below 150 percent of poverty, the same limitations on cost sharing that are under the Medicaid program apply. (These cost-sharing limitations have been set forth in Section 1916 of the Social Security Act, as implemented by regulations at 42 CFR 447.50 - 447.59). For families with incomes of 150 percent of poverty and above, cost sharing for all children in the family cannot exceed 5 percent of a family's income per year. Include a statement that no cost sharing will be charged for pregnancy-related services. (CHIPRA #2, SHO # 09-006, issued May 11, 2009) (Section 2103(e)(1)(A)) (42CFR 457.505(a), 457.510(b) and (c), 457.515(a) and (c))

**8.2.** Describe the amount of cost-sharing, any sliding scale based on income, the group or groups of enrollees that may be subject to the charge by age and income (if applicable) and the service for which the charge is imposed or time period for the charge, as appropriate. (Section 2103(e)(1)(A)) (42CFR 457.505(a), 457.510(b) and (c), 457.515(a) and (c))

**8.2.1.** ☒ Premiums:

Sliding scale premiums (see chart below) will be imposed on families of children eligible for the Title XXI SCHIP program. Cost-sharing for these families (with incomes above 150% of FPL), will not exceed five percent of the family's yearly income. Individuals eligible under MCHIP (with incomes up to 150% of FPL) will continue to comply with cost-sharing limitations established under Medicaid. SCHIP individuals pay premiums monthly.

If an applicant is determined to be eligible for SCHIP (Hoosier Healthwise Package C), ICES issues a notice to the applicant informing him or her of the premium payment responsibility for enrollment, and holds the account in suspense. Information regarding the eligibility status and cost-sharing responsibilities is transferred to the premium-collection vendor. The premium-collection vendor issues



a premium statement and provides detailed information regarding the cost-sharing requirements. If the premium is paid by the due date, the premium-collection vendor transfers this information to ICES and the applicant's account is changed from suspended status to enrolled. The applicant becomes retroactively eligible for SCHIP coverage beginning the first day of the month the application was submitted to the DFR.

If the premium is not paid by the due date (the 12th day of the month following eligibility determination), the applicant's ICES account will remain in suspense and a second premium notice will be sent. If the premium has not been paid by the last day of the month following eligibility determination, the applicant will be notified that the application has been denied.

In situations where a child is enrolled in the program, but the family later fails to make a payment by the due date, a 60-day grace period will be provided. If fees are not paid by the end of the 60-day grace period, the child will be disenrolled from the program. Families that have been disenrolled due to non-payment of premiums may re-apply for coverage immediately. After meeting eligibility requirements, including the payment of all delinquent and current premiums due, the disenrolled child (ren) may be re-enrolled in the program.

#### PREMIUMS

Income (As a percent of FPL)	One Child	Two or More Children
Over 150 to 175 percent	\$22.00	\$33.00
Over 175 to 200 percent	\$33.00	\$50.00
Over 200 to 225 percent	\$42.00	\$53.00
Over 225 to 250 percent	\$53.00	\$70.00

At State discretion, premiums may be temporarily waived for CHIP applicants and/or existing beneficiaries who reside and/or work in a State or Federally declared disaster area.

Effective April 1, 2022, individuals eligible for extended postpartum coverage will not be charged premiums during the pregnancy and 12-month postpartum period.

**8.2.2.** ☐ Deductibles:  
Not applicable.

**8.2.3.** ☒ Coinsurance or copayments:

Prescription Drugs — Generic, Compound and Sole-Source: \$3

Prescription Drugs — Brand Name: \$10

Emergency Ambulance Transportation: \$10

Effective April 1, 2022, individuals eligible for extended postpartum coverage will not be charged copayments during the pregnancy and 12 month postpartum period.

Effective March 11, 2021 and through the last day of the first calendar quarter that begins one year after the last day of the COVID-19 emergency period described in section 1135(g)(1)(B) of the Act, and for all populations covered in the CHIP state child health plan, the state assures the following:

COVID-19 Vaccine:

- The state provides coverage of COVID-19 vaccines and their administration without cost sharing, in accordance with the requirements of section 2103(c)(11)(A) and 2103(e)(2) of the Act.

COVID-19 Testing:

- The state provides coverage of COVID-19 testing without cost sharing, in accordance with the requirements of section 2103(c)(11)(B) and 2103(e)(2) of the Act.

COVID-19 Treatment:

- The state provides coverage of COVID-19-related treatments without cost sharing, in accordance with the requirements of section 2103(c)(11)(B) and 2103(e)(2) of the Act.

Coverage for a Condition That May Seriously Complicate the Treatment of COVID-19:

- The state provides coverage for treatment of a condition that may seriously complicate COVID-19 treatment without cost sharing, during the period when a beneficiary is diagnosed with or is presumed to have COVID-19, in accordance with the requirements of section 2103(c)(11)(B) and 2103(e)(2) of the Act. This coverage includes items and services, including drugs, that were covered by the state as of March 11, 2021.

At State discretion, copayments may be waived for CHIP applicants and/or beneficiaries who meet income and other eligibility requirements and who reside in a State or Federally declared disaster areas for a specified period of time.

8.2.4. ☐ Other:

8.2-DS ☐ **Supplemental Dental** (CHIPRA # 7, SHO # #09-012 issued October 7, 2009) For children enrolled in the dental-only supplemental coverage, describe the amount of cost-sharing, specifying any sliding scale based on income. Also describe how the State will track that the cost sharing does not exceed 5 percent of gross family income. The 5 percent of income calculation shall include all cost-sharing for health insurance and dental insurance. (Section 2103(e)(1)(A)) (42 CFR 457.505(a), 457.510(b), and (c),

457.515(a) and (c), and 457.560(a)) Please update Sections 1.1-DS, 4.1-DS, 4.2-DS, 6.2-DS, and 9.10 when electing this option.

**8.2.1-DS** ☐ Premiums:

**8.2.2-DS** ☐ Deductibles:

**8.2.3-DS** ☐ Coinsurance or copayments:

**8.2.4-DS** ☐ Other:

**8.3.** Describe how the public will be notified, including the public schedule, of this cost sharing (including the cumulative maximum) and changes to these amounts and any differences based on income. (Section 2103(e)(1)(A)) (42CFR 457.505(b))

- ☐ Notice in the Hoosier Healthwise brochure;
- ☐ Notice in the application form (if the family does not agree to the cost-sharing requirements under SCHIP, the child will be considered only for Medicaid or MCHIP and will not be considered for SCHIP);
- ☐ Notice in the conditional approval form which is sent to the family after the child is found conditionally eligible for SCHIP before the family has received the premium notice;
- ☐ Notice in the first premium voucher which is sent to the family by the premium collection vendor;
- ☐ Notice in the members' handbooks which are sent once the first premium has been paid and the child has been enrolled;
- ☐ Public hearings are held regarding state statute rule changes including those defining cost sharing requirements;
- ☐ Publication in the Indiana Register; and
- ☐ Publication in the Indiana Administrative Code.
- ☐ Enrollees are sent a notice generated from the eligibility system that informs them of their cost-sharing maximum

Guidance: The State should be able to demonstrate upon request its rationale and justification regarding these assurances. This section also addresses limitations on payments for certain expenditures and requirements for maintenance of effort.

**8.4.** The State assures that it has made the following findings with respect to the cost sharing in its plan: (Section 2103(e))

- 8.4.1.** ☒ Cost-sharing does not favor children from higher income families over lower income families. (Section 2103(e)(1)(B)) (42CFR 457.530)
- 8.4.2.** ☒ No cost-sharing applies to well-baby and well-child care, including age-

appropriate immunizations. (Section 2103(e)(2)) (42CFR 457.520)  
**8.4.3** ☒ No additional cost-sharing applies to the costs of emergency medical services delivered outside the network. (Section 2103(e)(1)(A)) (42CFR 457.515(f))

**8.4.1- MHPAEA** ☒ There is no separate accumulation of cumulative financial requirements, as defined in §457.496(a), for mental health and substance abuse disorder benefits compared to medical/surgical benefits (§457.496(d)(3)(iii)).

**8.4.2- MHPAEA** ☒ If applicable, any different levels of financial requirements that are applied to different tiers of prescription drugs are determined based on reasonable factors, regardless of whether a drug is generally prescribed for medical/surgical benefits or mental health/substance use disorder benefits (§457.496(d)(3)(ii)(A)).

**8.4.3- MHPAEA** ☒ Cost sharing applied to benefits provided under the State child health plan will remain capped at five percent of the beneficiary's income as required §457.560 (§457.496(d)(i)(D)).

**8.4.4- MHPAEA** Does the State apply financial requirements to any mental health or substance use disorder benefits? If yes, specify the classification(s) of benefits in which the State applies financial requirements on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits.

☒ Yes (Specify: Copayments for prescription drugs are required for all medications according to the payment schedule in Section 8.2.3.)

☐ No

**Guidance: If the state does not apply financial requirements on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the state meets parity requirements for financial requirements. If the state does apply financial requirements to mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the state must conduct a parity analysis. Please continue below.**

**8.4.5- MHPAEA** Does the State apply any type of financial requirements on any medical/surgical benefits?

☒ Yes (Specify: Copayments for prescription drugs are required for all medications according to the payment schedule in Section 8.2.3.)

☐ No

**Guidance: If the State does not apply financial requirements on any medical/surgical benefits, the State may not impose financial requirements on mental health or substance use disorder benefits.**

**8.4.6- MHPAEA** Within each classification of benefits in which the State applies a type of financial requirement on any mental health or substance use disorder benefits, the State must determine the proportion of medical and surgical benefits in the class which are subject to the limitation.

☒ The State assures it has applied a reasonable methodology to determine the dollar amounts used in the ratio described above (Section 6.2.5.2) for each classification or within which the State applies financial requirements to mental health or substance use disorder benefits (§457.496(d)(3)(i)(E)).

**Guidance: Please include the state's methodology as an attachment to the State child health plan.**

**8.4.7- MHPAEA** For each type of financial requirement applied to any mental health or substance use disorder benefits within a given classification, does the State apply the same type of financial requirement to at least two-thirds ("substantially all") of all the medical/surgical benefits within the same classification? (§457.496(d)(3)(i)(A))

☒ Yes

☐ No

**Guidance: If the State does not apply a type of financial requirement to substantially all medical/surgical benefits in a given classification of benefits, the State may *not* impose financial requirements on mental health or substance use disorder benefits in that classification. (§457.496(d)(3)(i)(A))**

**8.4.8- MHPAEA** For each type of financial requirement applied to substantially all medical/surgical benefits in a classification, the State must determine the predominant level (as defined in §457.496(d)(3)(i)(B)(1)) of that type which is applied to medical/surgical benefits in the classification. For each type of financial requirement applied to substantially all medical/surgical benefits in a classification, the State assures:

☒ The same reasonable methodology applied in determining the dollar amounts used in determining whether substantially all medical/surgical benefits within a classification are subject to a type of financial requirement also is applied in determining the dollar amounts used to determine the predominant level of a type of financial requirement applied to medical/surgical benefits within a classification. (§457.496(d)(3)(i)(E))

☒ The level of each type of financial requirement applied by the State to mental health or substance use disorder benefits in any classification is no more restrictive than the

predominate level of that type which is applied by the State to medical/surgical benefits within the same classification. (§457.496(d)(2)(i))

**Guidance: If there is no single level of a type of financial requirement that exceeds the one-half threshold, the State may combine levels within a type of financial requirement such that the combined levels are applied to at least half of all medical/surgical benefits within a classification; the predominate level is the least restrictive level of the levels combined to meet the one-half threshold (§457.496(d)(3)(i)(B)(2)).**

- 8.5.** Describe how the State will ensure that the annual aggregate cost-sharing for a family does not exceed 5 percent of such family's income for the length of the child's eligibility period in the State. Include a description of the procedures that do not primarily rely on a refund given by the State for overpayment by an enrollee: (Section 2103(e)(3)(B)) (42CFR 457.560(b) and 457.505(e))

Indiana will utilize a "shoe box" approach, similar to that used by the Massachusetts CHIP program.

In an enrollment notice, automatically generated from the eligibility system, families are informed of their cost sharing limit based on their individual income, and instructed to keep records of their payments. They are further instructed to contact the Hoosier Healthwise Package C payment line for instructions about future payments if they believe their premium plus co-payments (if applicable) have reached the amount on the enrollment notice.

To ensure that no eligible children are charged cost sharing in excess of the cumulative cost-sharing maximum the office will obtain monthly reports from ICES that will reflect cost-sharing limits at the case level. Monthly, these reports will be compared to premium payment reports provided by the premium collection vendor. We will monitor MCOs for co-payment activity to confirm none are made or to track amounts per enrollee. Using this information the office will be able to determine which enrollees are within range, yet under the cost-sharing maximum. Those enrollees who have accumulated premium payment and co-payment liability within 4% of their annual income will be notified of their status and advised to stop premium payments and co pays, and to submit their records for review and confirmation of non-payment status. After payment record review and non-payment status confirmation, the office will notify:

- a) The premium vendor to waive premiums/suspend invoicing through the remainder of the benefit period, and
- b) The MCO (who will then notify their pharmacy and ambulance transportation vendors) to waive co-payments through the specified date.

A letter will be sent to each family who meets their 5% cap that may be used to confirm their cost-share-free status to vendors as needed with instructions to the vendor on how to verify.

- 8.6.** Describe the procedures the State will use to ensure American Indian (as defined by the

Indian Health Care Improvement Act of 1976) and Alaska Native children will be excluded from cost-sharing. (Section 2103(b)(3)(D)) (42CFR 457.535)

Targeted low-income children of American Indian and Alaskan Native families are excluded from the cost-sharing requirements. The ICES system has been modified to allow a manual system override procedure to exempt these individuals from cost-sharing once they have been deemed eligible.

- 8.7.** Provide a description of the consequences for an enrollee or applicant who does not pay a charge. (42CFR 457.570 and 457.505(c))

The first premium month is the month following conditional authorization. If the premium is not paid by the due date (the 12<sup>th</sup> day of the month following conditional eligibility authorization), the applicant's eligibility will remain in conditional status and a reminder to pay the invoice will be sent. If full payment is not received by the 12<sup>th</sup> day of the month for two consecutive months, the applicant will be sent a denial notice.

In situations where a child is enrolled in the program, but the family later fails to make a payment by the due date, a 60-day grace period will be provided. If a child goes beyond 60 days without making the payment, a nonpayment record is sent from the premium vendor to the eligibility and enrollment system. The record will be stored, and if payments are not caught up prior to renewal, termination for nonpayment will occur when renewal is due. Advance notice of the termination (at least 13 days prior to the effective date of closure) will be sent with appeal rights.

Guidance: Section 8.7.1 is based on Section 2101(a) of the Act provides that the purpose of title XXI is to provide funds to States to enable them to initiate and expand the provision of child health assistance to uninsured, low-income children in an effective and efficient manner that is coordinated with other sources of health benefits coverage for children.

- 8.7.1.** Provide an assurance that the following disenrollment protections are being applied:

Guidance: Provide a description below of the State's premium grace period process and how the State notifies families of their rights and responsibilities with respect to payment of premiums. (Section 2103(e)(3)(C))

- 8.7.1.1.** ☒ State has established a process that gives enrollees reasonable notice of and an opportunity to pay past due premiums, copayments, coinsurance, deductibles or similar fees prior to disenrollment. (42CFR 457.570(a))
- 8.7.1.2.** ☒ The disenrollment process affords the enrollee an opportunity to show that the enrollee's family income has declined prior to disenrollment for non-payment of cost-sharing charges. (42CFR 457.570(b))
- 8.7.1.3.** ☒ In the instance mentioned above, that the State will facilitate enrolling the

child in Medicaid or adjust the child's cost-sharing category as appropriate. (42CFR 457.570(b))

- 8.7.1.4** ☒ The State provides the enrollee with an opportunity for an impartial review to address disenrollment from the program. (42CFR 457.570(c))

**8.8.** The State assures that it has made the following findings with respect to the payment aspects of its plan: (Section 2103(e))

- 8.8.1.** ☒ No Federal funds will be used toward State matching requirements. (Section 2105(c)(4)) (42CFR 457.220)
- 8.8.2.** ☒ No cost-sharing (including premiums, deductibles, copayments, coinsurance and all other types) will be used toward State matching requirements. (Section 2105(c)(5) (42CFR 457.224) (Previously 8.4.5)
- 8.8.3.** ☒ No funds under this title will be used for coverage if a private insurer would have been obligated to provide such assistance except for a provision limiting this obligation because the child is eligible under the this title. (Section 2105(c)(6)(A)) (42CFR 457.626(a)(1))
- 8.8.4.** ☒ Income and resource standards and methodologies for determining Medicaid eligibility are not more restrictive than those applied as of June 1, 1997. (Section 2105(d)(1)) (42CFR 457.622(b)(5))
- 8.8.5.** ☒ No funds provided under this title or coverage funded by this title will include coverage of abortion except if necessary to save the life of the mother or if the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest. (Section 2105(c)(7)(B)) (42CFR 457.475)
- 8.8.6.** ☒ No funds provided under this title will be used to pay for any abortion or to assist in the purchase, in whole or in part, for coverage that includes abortion (except as described above). (Section 2105(c)(7)(A)) (42CFR 457.475)

## **Section 9. Strategic Objectives and Performance Goals and Plan Administration**

Guidance: States should consider aligning its strategic objectives with those discussed in Section II of the CHIP Annual Report.

**9.1.** Describe strategic objectives for increasing the extent of creditable health coverage among targeted low-income children and other low-income children: (Section 2107(a)(2)) (42CFR 457.710(b))

9.1.1 Reduce Uninsured Previously uninsured low-income children will have health insurance through Indiana's Title XXI program

9.1.2 Enrollment

Targeted low-income children will have health insurance through Indiana's Title XXI program

9.1.3 Access to Care



Children enrolled in Indiana's Title XXI Program will have access to appropriate behavioral health care

#### 9.1.4 Preventive Care

Immunizations-children will receive the recommended immunizations

#### 9.1.5 Adolescent Well Care

Adolescents aged 12-21 will receive recommended well care visits

#### 9.1.6 Well-Child Visits First 15 months of life, six or more visits.

#### 9.1.7 Well-Child Visits, ages 3-6 years

Guidance: Goals should be measurable, quantifiable and convey a target the State is working towards.

### 9.2. Specify one or more performance goals for each strategic objective identified: (Section 2107(a)(3)) (42CFR 457.710(c))

Maintain state's uninsured rate for population at or below 200% FPL below the 25th percentile of states nationally

Enrollment of approximately 13,500 children between 200% and 250% FPL by 9/30/2012

The rate at which children prescribed attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) medication will have the appropriate follow up visits with a behavioral health provider will exceed the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) 50th percentile for Medicaid

The rate at which children receive immunizations will exceed the Medicaid HEDIS 50th percentile available at the time of HEDIS Report Submission (July of each year)

The rate at which adolescents aged 12-21 will receive the recommended well care visits will exceed the Medicaid HEDIS 50th percentile available at the time of HEDIS report submission (July of each year)

The rate at which children 0-15 months of age receive at least six well care visits will exceed the Medicaid HEDIS 50th percentile available at the time of HEDIS report submission (July of each year).

The rate at which children 3-6 years of age receive at least one preventive exam will exceed the HEDIS 50th percentile at the time of HEDIS report submission (July of each year).

Guidance: The State should include data sources to be used to assess each performance goal. In addition, check all appropriate measures from 9.3.1 to 9.3.8 that the State will be utilizing to measure performance, even if doing so duplicates what the State has already discussed in Section 9.

It is acceptable for the State to include performance measures for population subgroups chosen by the State for special emphasis, such as racial or ethnic minorities, particular high-risk or hard to reach populations, children with special needs, etc.

HEDIS (Health Employer Data and Information Set) 2008 contains performance measures relevant to children and adolescents younger than 19. In addition, HEDIS 3.0 contains measures for the general population, for which breakouts by children's age bands (e.g., ages < 1, 1-9, 10-19) are required. Full definitions, explanations of data sources, and other important guidance on the use of HEDIS measures can be found in the HEDIS 2008 manual published by the National Committee on Quality Assurance. So that State HEDIS results are consistent and comparable with national and regional data, states should check the HEDIS 2008 manual for detailed definitions of each measure, including

definitions of the numerator and denominator to be used. For states that do not plan to offer managed care plans, HEDIS measures may also be able to be adapted to organizations of care other than managed care.

- 9.3.** Describe how performance under the plan will be measured through objective, independently verifiable means and compared against performance goals in order to determine the State's performance, taking into account suggested performance indicators as specified below or other indicators the State develops: (Section 2107(a)(4)(A),(B)) (42CFR 457.710(d))

US Census CPS 3 year average uninsured rate for children under age 19 at or below 200% FPL

Hoosier Healthwise enrollment in the 200-250% FPL bracket

HEDIS measure for follow up care for ADHD medications (ADD), initiation phase

HEDIS (CIS), Combo Three

HEDIS (AWS) for ages 12-21 years

HEDIS (W15) for children ages 0-15 months

HEDIS (W34) for children 3-6 years of age

Check the applicable suggested performance measurements listed below that the State plans to use: (Section 2107(a)(4))

**9.3.1.** ☐ The increase in the percentage of Medicaid-eligible children enrolled in Medicaid.

**9.3.2.** ☒ The reduction in the percentage of uninsured children.

**9.3.3.** ☒ The increase in the percentage of children with a usual source of care.

**9.3.4.** ☒ The extent to which outcome measures show progress on one or more of the health problems identified by the state.

**9.3.5.** ☒ HEDIS Measurement Set relevant to children and adolescents younger than 19.

**9.3.6.** ☐ Other child appropriate measurement set. List or describe the set used.

**9.3.7.** ☒ If not utilizing the entire HEDIS Measurement Set, specify which measures will be collected, such as:

**9.3.7.1.** ☒ Immunizations

**9.3.7.2.** ☒ Well childcare

**9.3.7.3.** ☒ Adolescent well visits

**9.3.7.4.** ☒ Satisfaction with care

**9.3.7.5.** ☒ Mental health

**9.3.7.6.** ☒ Dental care

**9.3.7.7.** ☐ Other, list:

**9.3.8.** ☐ Performance measures for special targeted populations.

- 9.4.** ☒ The State assures it will collect all data, maintain records and furnish reports to the Secretary at the times and in the standardized format that the Secretary requires. (Section 2107(b)(1)) (42CFR 457.720)

Guidance: The State should include an assurance of compliance with the annual reporting

requirements, including an assessment of reducing the number of low-income uninsured children. The State should also discuss any annual activities to be undertaken that relate to assessment and evaluation of the program.

- 9.5. ☒ The State assures it will comply with the annual assessment and evaluation required under Section 10. Briefly describe the State's plan for these annual assessments and reports. (Section 2107(b)(2)) (42CFR 457.750)

The State assures that it will submit the evaluation required under Section 10 by January 1st of each year. The State also assures that it will complete an annual assessment of the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered low-income children, and report to the Secretary on the result of the assessment.

The assessments will be based largely upon the strategic objectives set forth in Section 9 and program evaluation criteria designed by the evaluation consultant. The strategic objectives focus on enrolling children, establishing usual sources of care, measuring enrollee and provider satisfaction, and improving health status. The data used to measure performance and assess the quality of care provided to Hoosier Healthwise children will be compiled from existing databases and the audited health plan-reported HEDIS results for child-relevant measures.

- 9.6. ☒ The State assures it will provide the Secretary with access to any records or information relating to the plan for purposes of review or audit. (Section 2107(b)(3)) (42CFR 457.720)

Guidance: The State should verify that they will participate in the collection and evaluation of data as new measures are developed or existing measures are revised as deemed necessary by CMS, the states, advocates, and other interested parties.

- 9.7. ☒ The State assures that, in developing performance measures, it will modify those measures to meet national requirements when such requirements are developed. (42CFR 457.710(e))

- 9.8. The State assures, to the extent they apply, that the following provisions of the Social Security Act will apply under Title XXI, to the same extent they apply to a State under Title XIX: (Section 2107(e)) (42CFR 457.135)

- 9.8.1. ☒ Section 1902(a)(4)(C) (relating to conflict of interest standards)
- 9.8.2. ☒ Paragraphs (2), (16) and (17) of Section 1903(i) (relating to limitations on payment)
- 9.8.3. ☒ Section 1903(w) (relating to limitations on provider donations and taxes)
- 9.8.4. ☒ Section 1132 (relating to periods within which claims must be filed)

Guidance: Section 9.9 can include discussion of community-based providers and consumer

representatives in the design and implementation of the plan and the method for ensuring ongoing public involvement. Issues to address include a listing of public meetings or announcements made to the public concerning the development of the children's health insurance program or public forums used to discuss changes to the State plan.

- 9.9.** Describe the process used by the State to accomplish involvement of the public in the design and implementation of the plan and the method for ensuring ongoing public involvement. (Section 2107(c)) (42CFR 457.120(a) and (b))

#### Public Input on Plan Design:

In designing the first and second phases of the CHIP program, Indiana developed a public input plan that included several different levels of discussion, and which capitalized on the expertise and experience of a myriad of individuals and entities within the state. This input included:

- A twenty-one member bi-partisan Governor's Advisory Panel representing a cross-section of Indiana experts was appointed to develop a blueprint on implementation of the CHIP program. Members on the panel included: hospital representatives, physicians, insurance executives, parents, advocates, school officials, health clinic representatives, and members of the Indiana General Assembly. Numerous press releases were utilized to publicize the work of the Advisory Panel. All meetings were publicized and covered by the news media. Further, there was significant news coverage during the General Assembly's deliberations on the Governor's CHIP proposal.
- Five subcommittees were established to provide a broader range of input and allow for in-depth discussion and analysis on key areas of importance. Membership on the subcommittees included: hospitals, physicians, nurses, pharmacists, local health department representatives, optometrists, mental health providers, economists, academics, numerous community and social services programs, migrant farmworkers and homeless parents, and various other experts. The subcommittees focused on the following key topics: Coordination/Infrastructure/Provider Supply/Community Systems; Benefits and Cost Sharing; Eligibility and Crowd Out; Outreach and Education; and Data, Evaluation and Outcomes. The subcommittees' reports were submitted to the Advisory Panel for consideration.
- A series of eight public forums were held across the state in order to allow for a wide range of input from individuals and entities within individual communities. The forums provided opportunities for citizens to share their concerns regarding methods for improving and for building upon the state's current health care system, and mechanisms for encouraging parents to access health services. In order to maximize awareness and participation, the forums were held at a number of different sites and at varying times. The local social service and health promotion agencies helped select the most appropriate time and location for each hearing. To promote the forums, the organizers worked closely with numerous individuals and entities. Assistance was provided by the local WIC sites, local Maternal and Child Health (MCH) agencies, local immunization sites, local Medicaid providers, community health centers, local DFR offices, the LHDs, and the Indiana Coalition on Housing and Homeless Issues. In order to make it easier for parents to attend, child care was provided during the forums. Individuals who were not able to attend were encouraged to submit written comments. State and local news media were notified in advance of all public forums through a myriad of sources. News releases, media advisories and telephone calls were all utilized in an effort to maximize press coverage.

- Numerous focus groups were established to draw upon the expertise, experience, and perspectives of homogeneous groups of individuals. The focus groups consisted of groups of providers, advocates, parents and adolescents. The groups met in various locations throughout the State and discussed key issues from their own specific perspectives.
- The Phase II benefits package was sent to stakeholders with requests for comments.
- Discussion of plan design was also possible during the rulemaking process. A public hearing was held during this process.
- As part of its CHIP oversight responsibility, the Policy Board established broad based committees in the areas of: children with special health care needs, data, and program coordination.
- Legislative oversight of the CHIP program will be provided by the Select Joint Committee on Medicaid Oversight.

#### Promotion of Plan Implementation:

The Chair of the Governor's Advisory Panel appeared before various editorial boards as a means of increasing awareness of the CHIP program. A CHIP website was developed to provide information regarding the CHIP program. This website (<http://www.in.gov/fssa/ompp/2545.htm>) is updated regularly and also includes a link to the Hoosier Healthwise website for families. Radio and television public service announcements were aired throughout the State. A radio blitz that included information about Hoosier Healthwise in a "Back to School" message was run throughout the State. Billboards, bus placards, and newspaper ads have also been used to promote the program. And, with the assistance of local DFR offices, local newspapers have run articles informing families about Hoosier Healthwise.

- 9.9.1.** Describe the process used by the State to ensure interaction with Indian Tribes and organizations in the State on the development and implementation of the procedures required in 42 CFR 457.125. States should provide notice and consultation with Tribes on proposed pregnant women expansions. (Section 2107(c)) (42CFR 457.120(c))  
Indiana contracted with the Indiana Minority Health Coalition (IMHC) and Wishard Hispanic Health Project during the design, implementation, and early stages of the CHIP program to develop culturally sensitive materials targeting a Native American audience and to assure that Native American children who are eligible for the program received assistance. Currently, the Coordinator of the American Indian Center is available in an advisory role to the State regarding this population.
- 9.9.2.** For an amendment relating to eligibility or benefits (including cost sharing and enrollment procedures), describe how and when prior public notice was provided as required in 42 CFR 457.65(b) through (d).

In the 2002 Indiana legislative session, state law (P.L. 107-2002) was passed to eliminate continuous eligibility from Hoosier Healthwise. As a result, it was necessary to amend our MCHIP administrative rules. As required by Indiana's rulemaking statute (IC 4-22-2), the agency provided public notice of the change before the rule was adopted. The proposed rule was published in the Indiana Register on June 1, 2002. Notice of the public hearing concerning the proposed change was published in the Indianapolis Star newspaper on May 24, 2002. A public hearing was held June 24, 2002. The agency complied with the public notice requirements prior to the July 1, 2002 effective date of the change in eligibility.

In addition to the public notice required by the rulemaking process, the agency provided notice of the change to all Hoosier Healthwise members. All Hoosier Healthwise members were sent a notice between June 17, 2002 and June 21, 2002 advising them of the change in law and how it would affect them. In addition, the CHIP premium collection vendor also sent flyers out with members' invoices in order to provide them with an additional reminder of the change in eligibility. These flyers were included with the June, July, and August 2002 invoices.

In the 2007 Indiana legislative session, state law (P.L. 218-2007) was passed to increase the income eligibility up to 250% FPL, and create continuous eligibility for children until three (3) years of age. The statute gives authority to the Office of Medicaid Policy and Planning to adjust eligibility based on available program resources and further gives rulemaking authority to establish premiums. The rulemaking process with all notice and comment requirements will be followed as described above.

**9.9.3.** Describe the State's interaction, consultation, and coordination with any Indian tribes and organizations in the State regarding implementation of the Express Lane eligibility option.

**9.10.** Provide a 1-year projected budget. A suggested financial form for the budget is below. The budget must describe: (Section 2107(d)) (42CFR 457.140)

- Planned use of funds, including:
  - Projected amount to be spent on health services;
  - Projected amount to be spent on administrative costs, such as outreach, child health initiatives, and evaluation; and
  - Assumptions on which the budget is based, including cost per child and expected enrollment.
  - Projected expenditures for the separate child health plan, including but not limited to expenditures for targeted low income children, the optional coverage of the unborn, lawfully residing eligibles, dental services, etc.
  - All cost sharing, benefit, payment, eligibility need to be reflected in the budget.
- Projected sources of non-Federal plan expenditures, including any requirements for cost-sharing by enrollees.
- Include a separate budget line to indicate the cost of providing coverage to pregnant women.
- States must include a separate budget line item to indicate the cost of providing coverage to premium assistance children.
- Include a separate budget line to indicate the cost of providing dental-only supplemental coverage.
- Include a separate budget line to indicate the cost of implementing Express Lane

Eligibility.

- Provide a 1-year projected budget for all targeted low-income children covered under the state plan using the attached form. Additionally, provide the following:
  - Total 1-year cost of adding prenatal coverage
  - Estimate of unborn children covered in year 1

#### **CHIP Budget**

<b>STATE: IN</b>	<b>FFY Budget</b>
<b>Federal Fiscal Year</b>	<b>2022</b>
State's enhanced FMAP rate	<b>80.75</b>
<b>Benefit Costs</b>	
Insurance payments	
Managed care	219,898,114
<u>per member/per month rate</u>	556
Fee for Service	41,538,430
<b>Total Benefit Costs</b>	<b>261,436,544</b>
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)	
<b>Net Benefit Costs</b>	
<b>Cost of Proposed SPA Changes – Benefit</b>	
<b>Administration Costs</b>	
Personnel	139,130
General administration	595,019
Contractors/Brokers	1,032,332
Claims Processing	3,695,158
Outreach/marketing costs	2,151,324
Health Services Initiatives	
Other	1,291,297
<b>Total Administration Costs</b>	<b>8,904,260</b>
10% Administrative Cap	18,903,084
<b>Cost of Proposed SPA Changes</b>	
Federal Share	
State Share	
<b>Total Costs of Approved CHIP Plan</b>	

**NOTE: Include the costs associated with the current SPA.**

**\$0**

**The Source of State Share Funds:** The state portion of the expenditures will

<b>STATE: IN</b>	<b>FFY Budget</b>
------------------	-------------------

be generated from the State's tobacco settlement money. State general revenues will be used as a supplement, if needed.

## **Section 10. Annual Reports and Evaluations**

**Guidance:** The National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), CMS and the states developed framework for the annual report that states have the option to use to complete the required evaluation report. The framework recognizes the diversity in State approaches to implementing CHIP and provides consistency across states in the structure, content, and format of the evaluation report. Use of the framework and submission of this information will allow comparisons to be made between states and on a nationwide basis. The framework for the annual report can be obtained from NASHP's website at <http://www.nashp.org>. Per the title XXI statute at Section 2108(a), states must submit reports by January 1<sup>st</sup> to be compliant with requirements.

**10.1. Annual Reports.** The State assures that it will assess the operation of the State plan under this Title in each fiscal year, including: (Section 2108(a)(1),(2)) (42CFR 457.750)

**10.1.1.** ☒ The progress made in reducing the number of uninsured low-income children and report to the Secretary by January 1 following the end of the fiscal year on the result of the assessment, and

**10.2.** ☒ The State assures it will comply with future reporting requirements as they are developed. (42CFR 457.710(e))

**10.3.** ☒ The State assures that it will comply with all applicable Federal laws and regulations, including but not limited to Federal grant requirements and Federal reporting requirements.

**10.3-DC** ☒ The State agrees to submit yearly the approved dental benefit package and to submit quarterly current and accurate information on enrolled dental providers in the State to the Health Resources and Services Administration for posting on the Insure Kids Now! Website. Please update Sections 6.2-DC and 9.10 when electing this option.

## **Section 11. Program Integrity (Section 2101(a))**

☐ Check here if the State elects to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded eligibility under the State's Medicaid plan, and continue to Section 12.

**11.1.** ☐ The State assures that services are provided in an effective and efficient manner through free and open competition or through basing rates on other public and private rates that



are actuarially sound. (Section 2101(a)) (42CFR 457.940(b))

- 11.2.** The State assures, to the extent they apply, that the following provisions of the Social Security Act will apply under Title XXI, to the same extent they apply to a State under Title XIX: (Section 2107(e)) (42CFR 457.935(b)) (The items below were moved from section 9.8. Previously 9.8.6. - 9.8.9.)

- 11.2.1.** ☐ 42 CFR Part 455 Subpart B (relating to disclosure of information by providers and fiscal agents)
- 11.2.2.** ☐ Section 1124 (relating to disclosure of ownership and related information)
- 11.2.3.** ☐ Section 1126 (relating to disclosure of information about certain convicted individuals)
- 11.2.4.** ☐ Section 1128A (relating to civil monetary penalties)
- 11.2.5.** ☐ Section 1128B (relating to criminal penalties for certain additional charges)
- 11.2.6.** ☐ Section 1128E (relating to the National health care fraud and abuse data collection program)

**Section 12. Applicant and Enrollee Protections (Sections 2101(a))**

- ☐ Check here if the State elects to use funds provided under Title XXI only to provide expanded eligibility under the State's Medicaid plan.

- 12.1. Eligibility and Enrollment Matters-** Describe the review process for eligibility and enrollment matters that complies with 42 CFR 457.1120. Describe any special processes and procedures that are unique to the applicant's rights when the State is using the Express Lane option when determining eligibility.

Guidance: "Health services matters" refers to grievances relating to the provision of health care.

- 12.2. Health Services Matters-** Describe the review process for health services matters that complies with 42 CFR 457.1120.

- 12.3. Premium Assistance Programs-** If providing coverage through a group health plan that does not meet the requirements of 42 CFR 457.1120, describe how the State will assure that applicants and enrollees have the option to obtain health benefits coverage other than through the group health plan at initial enrollment and at each redetermination of eligibility.

**Key for Newly Incorporated Templates**

The newly incorporated templates are indicated with the following letters after the numerical section throughout the template.

- PC- Prenatal care and associated health care services (SHO #02-004, issued November 12, 2002)
- PW- Coverage of pregnant women (CHIPRA #2, SHO # 09-006, issued May 11, 2009)
- TC- Tribal consultation requirements (ARRA #2, CHIPRA #3, issued May 28, 2009)
- DC- Dental benefits (CHIPRA # 7, SHO # #09-012, issued October 7, 2009)
- DS- Supplemental dental benefits (CHIPRA # 7, SHO # #09-012, issued October 7, 2009)
- PA- Premium assistance (CHIPRA # 13, SHO # 10-002, issued February 2, 2010)
- EL- Express lane eligibility (CHIPRA # 14, SHO # 10-003, issued February 4, 2010)
- LR- Lawfully Residing requirements (CHIPRA # 17, SHO # 10-006, issued July 1, 2010)
- PP -

CMS Regional Offices				
CMS Regional Offices	States		Associate Regional Administrator	Regional Office Address
Region 1- Boston	Connecticut Massachusetts Maine	New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont	Richard R. McGreal <a href="mailto:richard.mcgregal@cms.hhs.gov">richard.mcgregal@cms.hhs.gov</a>	John F. Kennedy Federal Bldg. Room 2275 Boston, MA 02203-0003
Region 2- New York	New York Virgin Islands	New Jersey Puerto Rico	Michael Melendez <a href="mailto:michael.melendez@cms.hhs.gov">michael.melendez@cms.hhs.gov</a>	26 Federal Plaza Room 3811 New York, NY 10278-0063
Region 3- Philadelphia	Delaware District of Columbia Maryland	Pennsylvania Virginia West Virginia	Ted Gallagher <a href="mailto:ted.gallagher@cms.hhs.gov">ted.gallagher@cms.hhs.gov</a>	The Public Ledger Building 150 South Independence Mall West Suite 216 Philadelphia, PA 19106
Region 4- Atlanta	Alabama Florida Georgia Kentucky	Mississippi North Carolina South Carolina Tennessee	Jackie Glaze <a href="mailto:jackie.glaze@cms.hhs.gov">jackie.glaze@cms.hhs.gov</a>	Atlanta Federal Center 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor 61 Forsyth Street, S.W. Suite 4T20 Atlanta, GA 30303-8909
Region 5- Chicago	Illinois Indiana Michigan	Minnesota Ohio Wisconsin	Verlon Johnson <a href="mailto:verlon.johnson@cms.hhs.gov">verlon.johnson@cms.hhs.gov</a>	233 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 600 Chicago, IL 60601
Region 6- Dallas	Arkansas Louisiana New Mexico	Oklahoma Texas	Bill Brooks <a href="mailto:bill.brooks@cms.hhs.gov">bill.brooks@cms.hhs.gov</a>	1301 Young Street, 8th Floor Dallas, TX 75202
Region 7- Kansas City	Iowa Kansas	Missouri Nebraska	James G. Scott <a href="mailto:james.scott1@cms.hhs.gov">james.scott1@cms.hhs.gov</a>	Richard Bulling Federal Bldg. 601 East 12 Street, Room 235 Kansas City, MO 64106-2808
Region 8- Denver	Colorado Montana North Dakota	South Dakota Utah Wyoming	Richard Allen <a href="mailto:richard.allen@cms.hhs.gov">richard.allen@cms.hhs.gov</a>	Federal Office Building, Room 522 1961 Stout Street Denver, CO 80294-3538
Region 9- San Francisco	Arizona California Hawaii Nevada	American Samoa Guam Northern Mariana Islands	Gloria Nagle <a href="mailto:gloria.nagle@cms.hhs.gov">gloria.nagle@cms.hhs.gov</a>	90 Seventh Street Suite 5-300 San Francisco Federal Building San Francisco, CA 94103

Region 10- Seattle	Idaho Washington	Alaska Oregon	Carol Peverly <a href="mailto:carol.peverly@cms.hhs.gov">carol.peverly@cms.hhs.gov</a>	2001 Sixth Avenue MS RX-43 Seattle, WA 98121
-----------------------	---------------------	------------------	---	--

## **GLOSSARY**

Adapted directly from Sec. 2110. DEFINITIONS.

**CHILD HEALTH ASSISTANCE-** For purposes of this title, the term ‘child health assistance’ means payment for part or all of the cost of health benefits coverage for targeted low-income children that includes any of the following (and includes, in the case described in Section 2105(a)(2)(A), payment for part or all of the cost of providing any of the following), as specified under the State plan:

1. Inpatient hospital services.
2. Outpatient hospital services.
3. Physician services.
4. Surgical services.
5. Clinic services (including health center services) and other ambulatory health care services.
6. Prescription drugs and biologicals and the administration of such drugs and biologicals, only if such drugs and biologicals are not furnished for the purpose of causing, or assisting in causing, the death, suicide, euthanasia, or mercy killing of a person.
7. Over-the-counter medications.
8. Laboratory and radiological services.
9. Prenatal care and pre-pregnancy family planning services and supplies.
10. Inpatient mental health services, other than services described in paragraph (18) but including services furnished in a State-operated mental hospital and including residential or other 24-hour therapeutically planned structured services.
11. Outpatient mental health services, other than services described in paragraph (19) but including services furnished in a State-operated mental hospital and including community-based services.
12. Durable medical equipment and other medically-related or remedial devices (such as prosthetic devices, implants, eyeglasses, hearing aids, dental devices, and adaptive devices).
13. Disposable medical supplies.
14. Home and community-based health care services and related supportive services (such as home health nursing services, home health aide services, personal care, assistance with activities of daily living, chore services, day care services, respite care services, training for family members, and minor modifications to the home).
15. Nursing care services (such as nurse practitioner services, nurse midwife services, advanced practice nurse services, private duty nursing care, pediatric nurse services, and respiratory care services) in a home, school, or other setting.
16. Abortion only if necessary to save the life of the mother or if the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest.
17. Dental services.
18. Inpatient substance abuse treatment services and residential substance abuse treatment services.
19. Outpatient substance abuse treatment services.
20. Case management services.
21. Care coordination services.
22. Physical therapy, occupational therapy, and services for individuals with speech, hearing, and language disorders.

23. Hospice care.
24. Any other medical, diagnostic, screening, preventive, restorative, remedial, therapeutic, or rehabilitative services (whether in a facility, home, school, or other setting) if recognized by State law and only if the service is--
  - a. prescribed by or furnished by a physician or other licensed or registered practitioner within the scope of practice as defined by State law,
  - b. performed under the general supervision or at the direction of a physician, or
  - c. furnished by a health care facility that is operated by a State or local government or is licensed under State law and operating within the scope of the license.
25. Premiums for private health care insurance coverage.
26. Medical transportation.
27. Enabling services (such as transportation, translation, and outreach services) only if designed to increase the accessibility of primary and preventive health care services for eligible low-income individuals.
28. Any other health care services or items specified by the Secretary and not excluded under this section.

**TARGETED LOW-INCOME CHILD DEFINED-** For purposes of this title--

1. **IN GENERAL-** Subject to paragraph (2), the term ‘targeted low-income child’ means a child--
  - a. who has been determined eligible by the State for child health assistance under the State plan;
  - b. (i) who is a low-income child, or  
(ii) is a child whose family income (as determined under the State child health plan) exceeds the Medicaid applicable income level (as defined in paragraph (4)), but does not exceed 50 percentage points above the Medicaid applicable income level; and
  - c. who is not found to be eligible for medical assistance under title XIX or covered under a group health plan or under health insurance coverage (as such terms are defined in Section 2791 of the Public Health Service Act).
2. **CHILDREN EXCLUDED-** Such term does not include--
  - a. a child who is a resident of a public institution or a patient in an institution for mental diseases; or
  - b. a child who is a member of a family that is eligible for health benefits coverage under a State health benefits plan on the basis of a family member's employment with a public agency in the State.
3. **SPECIAL RULE-** A child shall not be considered to be described in paragraph (1)(C) notwithstanding that the child is covered under a health insurance coverage program that has been in operation since before July 1, 1997, and that is offered by a State which receives no Federal funds for the program's operation.
4. **MEDICAID APPLICABLE INCOME LEVEL-** The term ‘Medicaid applicable income level’ means, with respect to a child, the effective income level (expressed as a percent of the poverty line) that has been specified under the State plan under title XIX (including under a waiver authorized by the Secretary or under Section 1902(r)(2)), as of June 1, 1997, for the child to be eligible for medical

assistance under Section 1902(l)(2) for the age of such child.

5. **TARGETED LOW-INCOME PREGNANT WOMAN.**—The term ‘targeted low-income pregnant woman’ means an individual— (A) during pregnancy and through the end of the month in which the 60-day period (beginning on the last day of her pregnancy) ends; (B) whose family income exceeds 185 percent (or, if higher, the percent applied under subsection (b)(1)(A)) of the poverty line applicable to a family of the size involved, but does not exceed the income eligibility level established under the State child health plan under this title for a targeted low-income child; and (C) who satisfies the requirements of paragraphs (1)(A), (1)(C), (2), and (3) of Section 2110(b) in the same manner as a child applying for child health assistance would have to satisfy such requirements.

**ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS-** For purposes of this title:

1. **CHILD-** The term ‘child’ means an individual under 19 years of age.
2. **CREDITABLE HEALTH COVERAGE-** The term ‘creditable health coverage’ has the meaning given the term ‘creditable coverage’ under Section 2701(c) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300gg(c)) and includes coverage that meets the requirements of section 2103 provided to a targeted low-income child under this title or under a waiver approved under section 2105(c)(2)(B) (relating to a direct service waiver).
3. **GROUP HEALTH PLAN; HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE; ETC-** The terms ‘group health plan’, ‘group health insurance coverage’, and ‘health insurance coverage’ have the meanings given such terms in Section 2191 of the Public Health Service Act.
4. **LOW-INCOME CHILD -** The term ‘low-income child’ means a child whose family income is at or below 200 percent of the poverty line for a family of the size involved.
5. **POVERTY LINE DEFINED-** The term ‘poverty line’ has the meaning given such term in section 673(2) of the Community Services Block Grant Act (42 U.S.C. 9902(2)), including any revision required by such section.
6. **PREEXISTING CONDITION EXCLUSION-** The term ‘preexisting condition exclusion’ has the meaning given such term in section 2701(b)(1)(A) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300gg(b)(1)(A)).
7. **STATE CHILD HEALTH PLAN; PLAN-** Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms ‘State child health plan’ and ‘plan’ mean a State child health plan approved under Section 2106.
8. **UNINSURED CHILD-** The term ‘uninsured child’ means a child that does not have creditable health coverage.



# CHIP Eligibility

State Name:

OMB Control Number: 09381148

Transmittal Number: IN - 23 - 0016

<b>Separate Child Health Insurance Program Non-Financial Eligibility - Non-Payment of Premiums</b>		<b>CS21</b>
42 CFR 457.570		
<b>Non-Payment of Premiums</b>		
Does the state impose premiums or enrollment fees?	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	
Can non-payment of premiums or enrollment fees result in loss of CHIP eligibility?	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	
Does the state have a premium lock out period?	<input type="text" value="No"/>	
The state assures that it provides enrollees with an opportunity for an impartial review to address disenrollment from the program in accordance with section 457.1130(a)(3).		

## PRA Disclosure Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0938-1148. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 50 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: CMS, 7500 Security Boulevard, Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

V.20181119





# CHIP Eligibility

State Name:

OMB Control Number: 0938-1148

Transmittal Number: IN - 23 - 0016

## Separate Child Health Insurance Program General Eligibility - Continuous Eligibility

CS27

2107(e)(1)(K) of the SSA and 42 CFR 457.342 and 435.926; 2107(e)(1)(J) and 1902(e)(16) of the SSA

### Mandatory 12-Month Postpartum Continuous Eligibility in CHIP for States Electing This Option in Medicaid

At state option in Medicaid, states may elect to provide continuous eligibility for an individual's 12-month postpartum period consistent with section 1902(e)(16) of the SSA. If elected under Medicaid, states are required to provide the same continuous eligibility and extended postpartum period for pregnant individuals in its separate CHIP. A separate CHIP cannot implement this option if not also elected under the Medicaid state plan.

State elected the Medicaid option to provide continuous eligibility through the 12- month postpartum period

The state assures the extended postpartum period available to pregnant targeted low-income children or targeted low-income pregnant women under section 2107(e)(1)(J) of the SSA is provided consistent with the following provisions:

Individuals who, while pregnant, were eligible and received services under the state child health plan or waiver shall remain eligible throughout the duration of the pregnancy (including any period of retroactive eligibility) and the 12-month postpartum period, beginning on the day the pregnancy ends and ending on the last day of the 12th month consistent with paragraphs (5) and (16) of section 1902(e) of the SSA

Continuous eligibility is provided to targeted low-income children who are pregnant or targeted low-income pregnant women (if applicable) who are eligible for and enrolled under the state child health plan through the end of the 12-month postpartum period who would otherwise lose eligibility because of a change in circumstances, unless:

The individual or representative requests voluntary disenrollment.

The individual is no longer a resident of the state.

The Agency determines that eligibility was erroneously granted at the most recent determination or renewal of eligibility because of Agency error or fraud, abuse, or perjury attributed to the individual.

The individual dies.

Unlike continuous eligibility for children, states providing the 12-month postpartum period may not end an individual's continuous eligibility due to becoming eligible for Medicaid.

Consistent with section 2107(e)(1)(J) of the SSA, the state assures that continuous eligibility is provided through an individual's pregnancy and 12-month postpartum period regardless of an individual becoming eligible for Medicaid.

Benefits provided during the 12-month postpartum period must be the same scope of comprehensive services consistent with the benefit package elected by the state under section 2103(a) of the SSA that is available to targeted low-income children and/or targeted low-income pregnant women and may include additional benefits as described in Section 6 of the CHIP state plan.



# CHIP Eligibility

## Mandatory Continuous Eligibility for Children

The CHIP Agency must provide that children who have been determined eligible under the state plan shall remain eligible, regardless of any changes in the family's circumstances, for a 12-month continuous eligibility period.

Consistent with section 2107(e)(1)(K) of the SSA, the state assures that continuous eligibility is provided to its targeted low-income children for a duration of 12 months, regardless of any changes in circumstances, unless:

The child attains age 19.

The child or child's representative requests voluntary disenrollment.

The child is no longer a resident of the state.

The Agency determines that eligibility was erroneously granted at the most recent determination or renewal of eligibility because of Agency error or fraud, abuse, or perjury attributed to child or child's representative.

The child dies.

The child becomes eligible for Medicaid.

The state elects to provide coverage to the from-conception-to-end-of-pregnancy (FCEP) population (otherwise known as the "unborn").

No

## PRA Disclosure Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0938-1148. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 50 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: CMS, 7500 Security Boulevard, Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

V.20240322