

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES Health Care Financing Administration

Center for Medicaid and State Operations 7500 Security Boulevard Baltimore, MD 21244-1850

April 10, 2000

Dear State Medicaid Director:

The purpose of this letter is to clarify the Health Care Financing Administration=s (HCFA) expectations for State implementation of the Medicaid Statistical Information System (MSIS) reporting system, and the implications of delayed implementation.

Effective in Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 1999, the Balanced Budget Act (BBA) of 1997 requires that MSIS replace the annual hard-copy HCFA-2082 report as the primary source of Medicaid program statistical information. MSIS requires quarterly tape submittals of Medicaid data extracted from State eligibility and claims history files. These tapes must pass data quality reviews that include edit checks and distributional analysis of the data. During the implementation period for FFY 1999 MSIS, we have requested that States work to address data quality issues for a single quarter before submitting data for subsequent quarters.

HCFA recognized that the systems changes needed to implement the 1999 MSIS system came at a time when States faced a number of systems challenges, including Y2K. In recognition of these demands, HCFA allowed States significant flexibility in the timing of the submittal of the quarterly MSIS files for 1999, as long as the State was able to provide their MSIS file documentation, build the data files, clear the files through our data quality review, and submit the files for all four quarters in time to allow HCFA to create the needed FFY reporting.

The due date for submittal of the full set of FFY 1999 tapes was December 15, 1999. Currently, we have many States who have not successfully submitted their data. Although we have allowed significant implementation flexibility, the MSIS data are critical to our ability to be accountable for a program representing over \$190 billion in State and Federal expenditures.

We have provided the enclosed chart showing the general status of State MSIS tape submittal for FFY 1999. Given the number of States that have been unsuccessful in implementing MSIS at this point in time we wanted to share this status with you and clarify our expectations for the time line to receive all required MSIS submittals. We expect all States to have submitted their required MSIS documentation, initial quarter=s tapes, and follow up tapes that meet our data quality criteria for all four quarters no later than July 31, 2000. This time line represents a compromise between the normal due date of December 15, 1999 and our recognition of States= needs for lead time to complete this effort.

For States that are unable to successfully mobilize the resources to implement MSIS on this timetable, we will require that States submit a hard-copy HCFA-2082 report by July 31, 2000. Section 2700 of the <u>State Medicaid Manual</u> requires that States not successfully implementing MSIS for the full FFY 1999 must submit the hard-copy HCFA-2082. This report is NOT in lieu of continued expectations for State efforts to implement MSIS for 1999. States are expected to continue their efforts to implement MSIS.

You need to know that failure to submit MSIS data violates a BBA-mandated Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) requirement, and places your MMIS enhanced Federal matching in serious jeopardy of being reduced to 50-percent Federal financial participation.

We plan to continue working with your staff as partners in this effort, and we expect that we will successfully implement MSIS in most States by the July 31, 2000 date. We are committed to continuing to work with States with an appreciation of the demands they face, and we will do everything in our power to help the States implement this critical reporting system with as little disruption as possible.

I want to thank you for the efforts of your staff in this endeavor, and for your continued support. Please refer any questions related to this letter to Betty Kern of my staff at 410-786-0141 or <u>bkern@hcfa.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

/s/

Timothy M. Westmoreland Director

cc: All HCFA Regional Administrators

All HCFA Associate Regional Administrators for Medicaid and State Operations

Lee Partridge Director, Health Policy Unit American Public Human Services Association

Joy Wilson Director, Health Committee National Conference of State Legislatures

Matt Salo Director of Health Legislation National Governors=Association

Alaska Alexina		E	ligibili					s File	s							
Albama Albama<	Ctoto	01	00					00	04			nes sub	mitted	Validation		
Alaska Alexina		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4				mileu	valiuatioi		
Arizona Arizona <t< td=""><td>Alabama</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Alabama															
Arizona No tapes submitted Arkansas No tapes submitted California No tapes submitted Connecticut No tapes submitted Delaware No tapes submitted Dist. of Col. No tapes submitted Dist. of Col. No tapes submitted Dist. of Col. No tapes submitted Bergin No tapes submitted Georgia No tapes submitted Hawaii No tapes submitted Idaho No tapes submitted Idaha No tapes submitted	Alaska															its
Arkansas No tapes submitted California California Colorado Conecticut Delaware Note: HCFA's policy is not to process any subsequent quarters' tapes until the designated are quarters' tapes untinthe designated are	Arizona										and/	or DQ no	ot pass	ed or per	aing	
California Image: Colorado Image											No t	apes sub	mitted			
Colorado Image: Colorado <thimage: colorado<="" th=""> Image: Colorado <thimage: colorado<="" th=""> <thimage: colorado<="" th=""></thimage:></thimage:></thimage:>																
Connecticut Image: Connectic																
Delaware Dist. of Col. Note: I-CFA solicy is not to process any subsequent quarters' tapes until the designated using quarter has been received, passed HCFA's validation Edit program. AND passes the HCFA contractor's Data Quality review. Second and a solicy of the designated using quarter has been received, passed HCFA's validation Edit program. AND passes the HCFA contractor's Data Quality review. Second and a solicy of the designated using quarter has been received, passed HCFA's validation Edit program. AND passes the HCFA contractor's Data Quality review. Second and a solicy of the designated using quarter has been received, passed HCFA's validation Edit program. AND passes the HCFA contractor's Data Quality review. Second and a solicy of the designated using quarter has been received, passed HCFA's validation Edit program. AND passes the HCFA contractor's Data Quality review. Second and a solicy of the designated using quarter has been received, passed HCFA's validation Edit program. AND passes the HCFA contractor's Data Quality review. Second and a solicy of the designated using quarter has been received, passed HCFA's validation Edit program. AND passes the HCFA contractor's Data Quality review. Second and a solicy of the designated using quarter has been received, passed HCFA's validation Edit program. AND passes the HCFA contractor's Data Quality review. Second and a solicy of the designated using quarter has been received, passed HCFA's validation Edit program. AND passes the HCFA contractor's Data Quality review. Second and a solicy of the designated using quarter has been received, passed HCFA's validation Edit program. AND passes the HCFA contractor's Data Quality review. Second and the designated duarter has been received, passed HCFA's validation end to the designated duarter has been received, passed HCFA's validation end to the designated duarter has been received, passed HCFA's validation end to the host passes host passes thas be																
Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col. Dist. of Col																
Image: State of the state o										Not	e: HCFA	's policy	is not	to proces	s anv subse	quent
Forida Image: Contractor's Data Quality review. Georgia Image: Contractor's Data Quality review. Hawaii Image: Contractor's Data Quality review. Idaho Image: Contractor's Data Quality review. Hawaii Image: Contractor's Data Quality review. Idaho Image: Contractor's Data Quality review. Illinois Image: Contractor's Data Quality review. Indiana Image: Contractor's Data Quality review. Kansas Image: Contractor's Data Quality review. Indiana Image: Contractor's Data Quality review. Kansas Image: Contractor's Data Quali										qua	rters' ta	bes until	the de	signated	test quarter l	has been
Florida Image: Constraint of the second																
Georgia Image: Constraint of the const	Florida									pas			Unitac	101 5 Dala		(; vv.
Hawaii Image: Constraint of the second s																
Idaho Image: Constraint of the second se	Hawaii															
Illinois Image: Constraint of the second	Idaho															
Iowa																
Indiana Image: Constraint of the second	-															
Kansas Image: state of the state of t																
Kentucky Image: state of the state of																
Louisiana Image: Section of the sec																
Maryland Image: Sector of the sector of																
Maine Image: Constraint of the second se																
Massachusetts Image: Constraint of the second s																
Michigan Image: Sector of the sector o																
MinnesotaImage: Solution of the second s																
MississippiImage: style																
MissouriImage: state of the stat																
Montana Image: style s																
Nebraska Image: state																
New Hampshire Image: Sector of the sec																
North Carolina Image: Constraint of the second secon		e														
North Dakota Image: state of the stat	North Carolina	-														
New Jersey Image: seg				ļ												
Nevada Image: state of the state of t				ļ												
New Mexico Image: Second																
New York Image: Second s																
Ohio Image: Second secon	New York															
OklahomaImage: Second seco	Ohio															
Oregon Image: style st																
Pennsylvania Image: South Carolina South Carolina Image: South Carolina South Dakota Image: South Carolina Tennessee Image: South Carolina Texas Image: South Carolina																
Rhode Island Image: South Carolina South Carolina Image: South Dakota South Dakota Image: South Carolina Tennessee Image: South Carolina Texas Image: South Carolina				ļ												
South Carolina Image: Constraint of the second				ļ												
South Dakota Image: Constraint of the second seco																
Tennessee Image: Constraint of the second																
Texas Internet in the second sec				ļ												
	Texas			ļ												
	Utah				ļ											

Virginia								
Washington								
West Virginia								
Wisconsisn								
Wyoming								